

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC
 Form 424B3
 January 12, 2005

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

The information in this prospectus supplement is not complete and may be changed. This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus are not an offer to sell these securities and we are not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED JANUARY 11, 2005

**PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT
 (To prospectus dated December 23, 2004)**

**Registration No. 333-121172
 Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)**

\$300,000,000
MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.
% Senior Notes due 2013

We are offering \$300,000,000 principal amount of senior notes. We will pay interest on the notes on _____ and _____ of each year the notes are outstanding, beginning on _____, 2005. The notes will bear interest at _____ % per annum and will mature on _____, 2013. We may redeem the notes on or after _____, 2009, in whole or in part, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus supplement. See "Description of the Notes - Optional Redemption".

The notes are unsecured and rank equally with all of our other existing and future unsecured senior debt. The notes will be effectively junior to our secured indebtedness and the indebtedness and other liabilities of our subsidiaries. The notes will be issued only in registered form in denominations of \$1,000.

Concurrently with this offering, we are also conducting a separate public offering of approximately 9.4 million shares of beneficial interest by means of a separate prospectus supplement, pursuant to which we have granted an option for the underwriters to purchase up to approximately an additional 1.4 million shares of beneficial interest to cover over-allotments, if any. This option may be exercised on or before the 30th day after the date of the related prospectus supplement. The completion of this offering and the offering of our shares of beneficial interest are contingent upon each other.

Investing in the notes involves a number of risks, including risks that are described in the "Risk Factors" section beginning on page S-23 of this prospectus supplement and page 8 of the accompanying prospectus.

	Price to Investors ⁽¹⁾	Underwriting Discount	Proceeds, Before Expenses, to Mercer
Per senior note	%	%	%
Total	\$	\$	\$

(1) Plus accrued interest from _____, 2005, if settlement occurs after that date.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission, referred to as the "SEC", nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus supplement. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

All of the notes will be delivered in book-entry form through The Depository Trust Company on or about _____, 2005.

Joint Book-Running Managers

RBC Capital Markets

Credit Suisse First Boston

Co-Manager

CIBC World Markets

The date of this prospectus supplement is _____, 2005.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

	Page
Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	iii
Market and Industry Data	iv
Exchange Rates	iv
Information About Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	v
Summary	S-1
Risk Factors	S-23
Use of Proceeds	S-30
The Financings	S-31
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	S-31
Capitalization	S-32
The Acquisition	S-34
Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements	S-38
Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Information of Mercer	S-58
Selected Historical Financial Information of Celgar	S-62
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Mercer	S-65
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Celgar	S-90
Business	S-93
Management	S-126
Principal Shareholders	S-129
Certain Relationships	S-131
Description of Certain Indebtedness	S-133
Description of the Notes	S-138
Description of Capital Stock	S-179
Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations	S-180
State and Other Tax Considerations	S-182
Underwriting	S-183
Notice to Investors	S-186
Legal Matters	S-187
Experts	S-187
Index to Financial Statements	S-188

PROSPECTUS

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	3
Market and Industry Data	4
Exchange Rates	4
Mercer International Inc.	6
Risk Factors	8
Securities We May Offer	15
Use of Proceeds	16
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	16
Description of Debt Securities	16
Description of Capital Stock	23
Plan of Distribution	27
Legal Matters	28
Experts	28
Where You Can Find More Information	29
Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference	29

You should rely only on the information contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized any other person to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. This document is in two parts. The first part is a prospectus supplement, which describes the specific terms of this offering and other matters relating to us and our financial condition. The second part is the accompanying prospectus, dated December 23, 2004, which gives more general information about securities we may offer from time to time, some of which may not apply to the senior notes we are currently offering. If the description of this offering or the operations presented varies between this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, you should rely on the information in this prospectus supplement. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, as well as the information contained in any document incorporated by reference, is accurate as of the date of each such document only, unless the information specifically indicates that another date applies.

The distribution of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, and the offering of the notes, may be restricted by law in certain jurisdictions. You should inform yourself about, and observe, any of these restrictions. This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus do not constitute, and may not be used in connection with, an offer or solicitation by anyone in any jurisdiction in which the offer or solicitation is not authorized, or in which the person making the offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so, or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make the offer or solicitation.

We are not offering to sell any of our shares of beneficial interest with this prospectus supplement. We will offer our shares of beneficial interest only by means of a separate prospectus supplement.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus supplement, including the information incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement, contains "forward-looking statements". They can be identified by words such as "estimates", "projects", "scheduled", "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "plans", "will", "should", "believes", "goal", "seek", "strategy" or their negatives or other comparable words. These statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties including the risks and uncertainties outlined under "Risk Factors", many of which are beyond our control. We wish to caution the reader that these forward-looking statements are only estimates or predictions, such as statements regarding:

development of our business;

demand and prices for our products; and

future capital expenditures.

We do not undertake any obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by the forward-looking statements we make in this prospectus supplement are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such plans, intentions or expectations will be achieved. Actual events or results may differ materially due to risks facing us or due to actual facts differing from the assumptions underlying our predictions. Some of these risks and assumptions include:

our level of indebtedness;

the cyclical nature of our business;

our ability to fully implement our business plan with relation to the development and expansion of our operations as planned, including with respect to the Celgar and Stendal pulp mills;

our ability to integrate and improve the operations of the Celgar pulp mill;

our ability to manage our capital expenditures and maintenance costs;

our ability to efficiently and effectively manage our growth;

our exposure to interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations;

our use of derivatives;

fluctuations in the price and supply of our raw materials;

our ability to respond to increasing competition;

environmental legislation and environmental risks associated with conditions at our facilities;

our ability to negotiate acceptable agreements with our employees;

our dependence upon German federal and state grants and guarantees;

our dependence upon key personnel;

potential disruptions to our production and delivery;

difficulties or delays in providing certifications under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;

our insurance coverage; and

other regulatory, legislative and judicial developments,

any of which could cause actual results to vary materially from anticipated results.

We advise the reader that these cautionary remarks expressly qualify in their entirety all forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf. Important factors that you should also consider, include, but are not limited to, the factors discussed under "Risk Factors" in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus.

MARKET AND INDUSTRY DATA

In this prospectus supplement, we rely on and refer to information and statistics regarding our market share and the markets in which we compete. We have obtained some of this market share and industry data from internal surveys, market research, publicly available information and industry publications. Such reports generally state that the information contained therein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but the accuracy or completeness of such information is not guaranteed. Although we believe this information is reliable, neither we nor the underwriters have independently verified or can guarantee the accuracy or completeness of that information.

EXCHANGE RATES

As of January 1, 2002, we changed our reporting currency from the U.S. dollar to the Euro, as a significant majority of our business transactions are originally denominated in Euros. Accordingly, our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 included in this prospectus supplement are stated in Euros and our financial statements and other financial information for periods prior to the year ended December 31, 2002 included in this prospectus supplement have been restated in Euros. We translate non-Euro denominated assets and liabilities at the rate of exchange on the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average rate of exchange prevailing during the period.

The following table sets out exchange rates, based on the noon buying rates in New York City for cable transfers in foreign currencies as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, referred to as the "Noon Buying Rate", for the conversion of Euros and Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars in effect at the end of the following periods, the average exchange rates during these periods (based on daily Noon Buying Rates) and the range of high and low exchange rates for these periods:

	Year Ended December 31,					Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(€/\$)						
End of period	0.9937	1.0646	1.1227	0.9536	0.7938	0.8584	0.8053
High for period	0.9984	1.2087	1.1945	1.1638	0.9652	0.9652	0.8474
Low for period	0.8422	0.9697	1.0487	0.9536	0.7938	0.8425	0.7780
Average for period	0.9430	1.0901	1.1219	1.0660	0.8838	0.9001	0.8158
	(C\$/€)						
End of period	1.4440	1.4995	1.5926	1.5800	1.2923	1.3506	1.2649
High for period	1.4440	1.4349	1.4932	1.5108	1.2923	1.3348	1.2649
Low for period	1.5302	1.5600	1.6023	1.6129	1.5751	1.5751	1.3970
Average for period	1.4828	1.4870	1.5518	1.5704	1.3916	1.4267	1.3280

On January 6, 2005 the Noon Buying Rate for the conversion of Euros and Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars was €0.7583 per U.S. dollar and C\$1.2371 per U.S. dollar.

In addition, the financial statements and certain financial information relating to Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc. included in this prospectus supplement are stated in Canadian dollars while we report our financial results in Euros. The following table sets out exchange rates, based on the noon rates as provided by the Bank of Canada, for the conversion of Canadian dollars to Euros in effect at the end of the following periods, the average exchange rates during these periods (based on daily noon rates) and the range of high and low exchange rates for these periods:

	Year Ended December 31,					Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(C\$/€)						
End of period	1.4525	1.4092	1.4185	1.6564	1.6280	1.5734	1.5700
High for period	1.4525	1.2538	1.2640	1.3682	1.4967	1.4967	1.5563
Low for period	1.8123	1.5047	1.4641	1.6564	1.6643	1.6643	1.6915
Average for period	1.5847	1.3707	1.3868	1.4832	1.5826	1.5875	1.6281

On January 6, 2005, the noon rate for the conversion of Canadian dollars to Euros was C\$1.6311 per Euro.

INFORMATION ABOUT STONE VENEPAL (CELGAR) PULP INC.

Information in this prospectus supplement relating to Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc. and its operations is based on information provided by KPMG Inc. as receiver and trustee for Celgar. While we believe such information to be accurate in all material respects, neither we nor the underwriters have verified the accuracy or completeness of such information and neither we nor the underwriters represent that any such information, or the information derived therefrom, included in this prospectus supplement is accurate or complete.

In this prospectus supplement, please note the following:

references to "we", "our", "us" or the "Company" mean Mercer International Inc. and its subsidiaries, after giving effect to the acquisition of the Celgar mill, unless the context clearly suggests otherwise; references to "Mercer" mean Mercer International Inc. and its subsidiaries, without giving effect to the acquisition of the Celgar mill, unless the context clearly suggests otherwise; and references to "Mercer Inc." mean Mercer International Inc. excluding its subsidiaries;

information is provided as of September 30, 2004, unless otherwise stated;

"€" refers to Euros, the lawful currency adopted by most members of the European Union, unless otherwise stated; "\$" refers to U.S. dollars; and "C\$" refers to Canadian dollars; and

except as otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus supplement assumes that the underwriters have not exercised their over-allotment option with respect to the offering of our shares of beneficial interest.

Unless the context clearly suggests otherwise, this prospectus supplement has been prepared to give pro forma effect to the following:

the acquisition of the Celgar mill;

this offering;

the offering of our shares of beneficial interest;

the establishment of two new revolving working capital facilities; and

the payment of estimated financing and transaction costs.

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

SUMMARY

This summary highlights certain information contained elsewhere or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. Because it is a summary, it is not complete and does not contain all the information you will need to make your investment decision. You should read this entire prospectus supplement as well as the information incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement carefully, including the section entitled "Risk Factors", before deciding to invest. On November 22, 2004, we agreed to acquire a pulp mill located near Castlegar, British Columbia, Canada, which we refer to as the "Celgar mill", that produces northern bleached softwood kraft, or "NBSK", pulp. Unless the context otherwise requires, this prospectus supplement has been prepared to give pro forma effect to the proposed acquisition of the Celgar mill, which we refer to as the "Acquisition", this offering, the offering of our shares of beneficial interest, the establishment of two new revolving working capital facilities and the payment of estimated financing and transaction costs.

Our Company

We are one of the largest market NBSK pulp producers in the world. We will have a consolidated annual production capacity of approximately 1.3 million air-dried metric tonnes, or "ADMTs", when we complete the Acquisition. We manufacture and sell high quality NBSK pulp, which is considered a premium grade of kraft pulp because of its strength and it generally obtains the highest price relative to other kraft pulps. We are the sole kraft pulp producer, and the only producer of pulp for resale, known as "market pulp", in Germany, which is the largest pulp import market in Europe. With the acquisition of the Celgar mill, the locations of our pulp mills will allow us to service many of our customers on a global basis. We also operate two paper facilities in Germany focused primarily on the production of value-added specialty papers.

Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Rosenthal, owns and operates a modern, efficient, ISO 9002 certified NBSK pulp mill in Germany. In late 1999, we completed a major capital project which converted the Rosenthal mill to the production of NBSK pulp from sulphite pulp and increased its annual production capacity from approximately 160,000 ADMTs to approximately 280,000 ADMTs, and subsequently to approximately 310,000 ADMTs. The aggregate cost of the project was approximately €361.0 million. The project was financed through a combination of a project loan supported by government guarantees, government grants totaling approximately €101.7 million and an equity investment made by us. We believe that it was the fastest start-up of a large-scale NBSK pulp facility to date. We believe that the Rosenthal mill is one of the lowest-cost producers globally of NBSK pulp delivered to Europe with average cash production costs of €300 per ADMT in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. As a result of significant local demand and favorable transportation economics, the majority of our NBSK pulp production at the Rosenthal mill is sold in Germany and other European markets.

In September 2004, our 63.6% owned subsidiary, Stendal, completed construction of a new, "greenfield", state-of-the-art, single-line NBSK pulp mill in Germany with a designed annual production capacity of approximately 552,000 ADMTs. Once operating at capacity, we believe the Stendal mill will be one of the largest NBSK pulp mills in Europe. The Stendal mill was constructed under a €716.0 million fixed-price turn-key engineering, procurement and construction, or "EPC", contract between Stendal and RWE Industrie-Lösungen GmbH, or "RWE". Construction of the Stendal mill commenced in August 2002 and was completed substantially on its planned schedule and budget. The aggregate cost of the Stendal project is approximately €1.0 billion. The Stendal project was financed through a combination of government grants totaling approximately €274.5 million, low cost, long-term project debt which is largely severally guaranteed by the federal government of Germany and the state government of Sachsen-Anhalt, and equity contributions. The commissioning of the Stendal mill commenced in the third quarter of 2004 and production is expected to reach approximately 80% of

rated capacity during the first year and to exceed 90% in the second year thereafter. The Stendal mill has been designed to produce pulp with cash production costs below those of the Rosenthal mill. We expect synergies arising from the operation of both the Stendal and the Rosenthal mills, which are located approximately 300 kilometers apart, in the areas of raw materials and supplies procurement, production engineering, sales, maintenance and marketing.

As a result of the Acquisition, we will also operate a modern NBSK pulp mill located near Castlegar, British Columbia, Canada. The Celgar mill has a current annual production capacity of approximately 430,000 ADMTs. It was completely rebuilt in the early 1990s through an C\$850 million modernization and expansion project, which transformed it into a low-cost producer. Based on an industry survey, we believe that the Celgar mill ranks in the second quartile in North America on a manufactured cost basis for NBSK pulp and it had average cash production costs of C\$533 per ADMT in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The Celgar mill's geographic location will allow us to leverage existing relationships with European customers that also have facilities in North America and Asia. Furthermore, we believe that there are significant opportunities to enhance the financial and operating performance of the Celgar mill. See "The Acquisition".

In addition, we own and operate two paper mills located at Heidenau and Fährbrücke, Germany, which produce specialty papers and printing and writing papers and, based upon their current product mix, have an aggregate annual production capacity of approximately 70,000 ADMTs.

The Pulp Industry

Pulp is used in the production of paper, tissues and paper related products. Pulp is generally classified according to the type of raw material, or fiber, and the process used in its production and the degree to which it is bleached. Kraft pulp can be made in different grades, with varying technical specifications, for different end uses. Kraft pulp can be bleached to increase its brightness. It is noted for its strength, brightness and absorption properties and is used to produce a variety of products, including lightweight publication grades of paper, tissues and paper related products.

The market value of kraft pulp depends in part on the fiber used in the production process. There are two primary species of wood used as fiber: softwood and hardwood. Softwood species have long, flexible fibers and add strength to paper. Hardwood species contain shorter fibers which lend bulk and opacity. Prices for softwood pulp are generally much higher than for hardwood pulp. As at September 30, 2004, there was an approximately \$110 per ADMT difference in their list prices in Europe. Currently, the kraft pulp market is roughly evenly split between softwood and hardwood grades. Most uses of market kraft pulp, including in the production of fine printing papers, coated and uncoated magazine papers and tissue grades, utilize a mix of softwood and hardwood grades to optimize production and product qualities. In recent years, production of hardwood pulp, based on fast growing plantation fiber primarily from Asia and South America, has increased much more rapidly than softwood grades that have longer growth cycles. As a result of the growth in supply and lower costs, many kraft pulp customers in recent years have substituted some of the pulp content in their products to hardwood pulp. Counteracting this trend has been the requirement for strength characteristics in finished goods, paper and tissue makers' focus on higher machine speeds, and an industry move towards lower basis weights for publishing papers, all of which require the strength characteristics of softwood pulp and, in particular, NBSK pulp. We believe that the ability of kraft pulp users to further substitute hardwood for softwood pulp is limited by such requirements.

NBSK pulp, which is a bleached kraft pulp manufactured using species of northern softwood fiber primarily in northern Europe and Canada, is considered a premium grade because of its strength. It generally obtains the highest price relative to other kraft pulps. NBSK pulp is the sole product of the Rosenthal, Stendal and Celgar mills.

Producers ranging from small independent manufacturers to large integrated companies produce pulp worldwide. In 2003, more than 130 million ADMTs of kraft pulp were converted into printing and writing papers, tissues, cartonboards and other white grades of paper and paperboard around the world. Approximately 65% of this pulp was produced for internal use by integrated paper and paperboard manufacturers, and approximately 35% was produced for sale on the open market as market pulp.

Although demand is cyclical, worldwide demand for kraft market pulp has grown at an average rate of approximately 3% annually over the last ten years. The historical growth rate in demand for NBSK pulp reflects similar trends. The following chart illustrates the worldwide NBSK market pulp demand for the specified periods:

Worldwide NBSK Market Pulp Demand

Source: Pulp and Paper Products Council

Western Europe accounts for approximately 40% of global market pulp demand with a growth rate of approximately 2% annually over the past ten years. Approximately 18 million ADMTs of market pulp were consumed in western Europe in 2003, of which approximately six million ADMTs were comprised of NBSK pulp. With its large economy and sizable paper industry, Germany is the largest pulp import market in Europe, consuming approximately six million ADMTs of market pulp in 2003, of which approximately 61% was kraft pulp, while relying largely on imports from North America and Scandinavia.

Demand for market pulp in Asia (excluding Japan) has been growing at approximately 9% annually over the past ten years and currently accounts for approximately 30% of global demand. Approximately 13 million ADMTs of market pulp were consumed in Asia (excluding Japan) in 2003, of which approximately 2.3 million ADMTs were comprised of NBSK pulp. Canada is the largest exporter to this region, supplying approximately three million ADMTs of market pulp in 2003, approximately two million ADMTs of which were comprised of NBSK pulp. Additionally, Japan consumed approximately three million ADMTs of market pulp in 2002, the latest year for which data is available, of which approximately 25% was comprised of NBSK pulp.

Global economic conditions, changes in production capacity, inventory levels, and currency exchange rates are the primary factors affecting NBSK pulp list prices. Canada and western Europe currently represent approximately 50% and 40%, respectively, of global NBSK pulp capacity while list prices are denominated in U.S. dollars. The following chart illustrates the average annual European NBSK list pulp prices since 1990:

Average Annual European NBSK List Pulp Prices

Source: Pulp and Paper Week

The average annual European list prices for NBSK pulp between 1990 and 2003 ranged from a low of \$444 per ADMT in 1993 to a high of \$875 per ADMT in 1995. Following a decline in demand in 2001, list prices for NBSK pulp also declined and averaged approximately \$463 per ADMT in 2002. An increase in demand resulting from improving American and major European economies and the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro and other major currencies in 2003 resulted in list prices for kraft pulp in Europe increasing to approximately \$560 per ADMT in December 2003 despite relatively high inventory levels. List prices for kraft pulp in Europe continued to strengthen in 2004 due to the relatively weak U.S. dollar and improving world economies, with particularly strong growth in demand in Asia. List prices increased to approximately \$660 per ADMT in July 2004, before falling to approximately \$585 per ADMT in October 2004 and subsequently recovering to approximately \$625 per ADMT in December 2004. A producer's sales realizations reflect customer discounts, commissions and other items and it is likely that NBSK pulp prices will continue to fluctuate in the future.

Competitive Strengths

Our competitive strengths include the following:

Modern Low Cost NBSK Pulp Mills. Upon the acquisition of the Celgar mill and the ramp up of the Stendal mill, we will operate three large, modern, low cost NBSK pulp mills. The significant capital investments at the Rosenthal mill have resulted in a facility which ranks in the lowest cost quartile for NBSK pulp delivered to Europe. We expect our overall cost structure to

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

improve because the Stendal mill is designed to have even lower production costs than the Rosenthal mill. Based on an industry survey, we believe that the Celgar mill ranks in the second quartile in North America on a manufactured cost basis for NBSK pulp. The relative age and production capacity of our NBSK pulp mills provide us with certain manufacturing cost advantages over many of our competitors including lower maintenance capital expenditures.

High Quality NBSK Pulp Products. Our pulp mills produce high quality NBSK pulp which is a premium grade of kraft pulp. Our Rosenthal mill continues to increase the proportion of its sales of reinforcement NBSK pulp, which is used to produce stronger papers and generally obtains the highest price. The Stendal mill is similarly expected to produce a very high quality NBSK pulp product, although from a slightly different species mix, resulting in a complementary product more suitable for different end uses. We believe that the pulp produced at the Celgar mill has excellent product characteristics.

Close Proximity to Customers. We are the sole kraft pulp producer and the only producer of market pulp in Germany, which is the largest pulp import market in Europe. Due to the proximity of the Rosenthal and Stendal mills to most of our European customers and the new member countries of the European Union, we benefit from lower transportation costs relative to our major competitors. As the Celgar mill is located in western Canada, it is well situated to serve Asian and North American customers. We believe our ability to deliver pulp on a timely basis enhances customer satisfaction and has made us a preferred supplier for many customers.

Stable and Abundant Fiber Supply. There is a significant amount of high-quality fiber within a close radius of each of our pulp mills. This fiber supply, combined with our purchasing power, provides us with an ability to enter into contracts which have relatively stable prices and volumes.

Strategy

Our corporate strategy is to create shareholder value by focusing on the expansion of our asset and earnings base through organic growth and acquisitions primarily in Europe and North America. We pursue organic growth through active management and targeted capital expenditures designed to produce a high return by increasing production, reducing costs and improving quality. We seek to acquire interests in companies and assets in the pulp and paper industry and related businesses where we can leverage our experience and expertise in adding value through a focused management approach. Key features of our strategy include:

Focusing on NBSK Market Pulp. We focus on NBSK pulp because it is a premium grade kraft pulp known for its strength and generally obtains the highest price relative to other kraft pulps. Although demand is cyclical, worldwide demand for kraft market pulp has grown at an average of approximately 3% per annum over the last ten years with higher growth rates in certain markets such as eastern Europe and Asia. We do not believe there are any significant new NBSK pulp production capacity increases coming online in the next several years due in part to fiber supply constraints and high capital costs.

Operating Modern, World-Class NBSK Pulp Production Facilities. In order to keep our operating costs as low as possible, with a goal of operating profitably in all market conditions, we only plan to operate large, modern NBSK pulp production facilities. We believe such production facilities provide the best platform to be an efficient, low cost producer of high quality NBSK pulp without the need for significant sustaining capital.

Improving Efficiency and Reducing Operating Costs. We focus on increasing the productivity and operating efficiency of our production facilities through cost reduction initiatives, including targeted capital investments. We seek to make high return capital investments that increase the

production and operating efficiency at our production facilities, reduce costs and improve product quality. We also seek to reduce operating costs by better managing certain operating activities at our facilities such as fiber procurement, sales and marketing activities, and we intend to further coordinate these activities at our pulp facilities to realize on potential synergies among them. In particular, we believe there are a number of opportunities to reduce the operating costs, increase production and improve the financial results of the Celgar mill.

Enhancing Customer Relationships. We focus on continually improving our marketing and distribution capabilities to enhance our customer relationships and capitalize on our geographic diversification. We seek to differentiate ourselves from our competitors by consistently delivering high quality products to our customers on a global basis. We intend to coordinate the marketing and distribution activities at our pulp mills to better service our customers.

Acquisition of Celgar Pulp Mill

Overview

On November 22, 2004, we entered into an agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc., referred to as "Celgar", for \$210 million, of which \$170 million is payable in cash and \$40 million is payable in our shares, plus an amount for the defined working capital at the Celgar mill on closing of the Acquisition. The purchase price is subject to certain adjustments and excludes fees and expenses. See "The Acquisition".

The Celgar mill is a modern NBSK pulp mill that produces high quality NBSK pulp. It has an annual production capacity of approximately 430,000 ADMTs, and is located near the city of Castlegar, British Columbia, Canada. Completely rebuilt in the 1990s through an C\$850 million modernization and expansion project, the Celgar mill was transformed into a low cost producer of high quality NBSK pulp. In 1998, primarily as a result of the indebtedness incurred by Celgar during the modernization process, its directors assigned it into bankruptcy and KPMG Inc. was appointed trustee in bankruptcy. Immediately thereafter, two senior secured bank lenders of Celgar, being Royal Bank of Canada and National Westminster Bank PLC, appointed KPMG Inc. as the receiver for all of the assets and undertakings of Celgar under their security. KPMG Inc. has operated the Celgar mill as trustee in bankruptcy since that time.

The Celgar mill has a secure supply of high quality wood chips and pulp logs that are purchased from a diverse group of Canadian and U.S. suppliers. The supply of fiber is characterized by a mix of a variety of species (whitewoods and cedar) which allows for production flexibility, custom blending and varied pulp grade mix.

We believe that the pulp produced at the Celgar mill has excellent product characteristics and the mill is a long-established supplier to paper producers in Asia. We also believe that Celgar's NBSK pulp is well recognized in China, having been sold there for over 20 years. The Asian markets show the highest rate of growth in demand for softwood pulp and, with the Celgar mill's history in the region, we believe that we will be well placed to exploit such growth.

The Acquisition is subject to various conditions, including, without limitation, our offering of senior notes under this prospectus supplement, the offering of our shares of beneficial interest and the establishment of new working capital facilities for the Celgar mill and Rosenthal mill and certain regulatory approvals.

Acquisition Rationale

The Acquisition of the Celgar mill reflects our strategy of acquiring world-class market NBSK pulp production capacity on terms below comparable replacement cost where we can use our management

focus to enhance operations, improve profitability and create value for our stakeholders. It provides us with several strategic benefits and synergies, including the following:

Enhancing Our Position as a Leading Market NBSK Pulp Producer. The Acquisition will make us one of the largest producers of market NBSK pulp in the world. We will have a consolidated annual production capacity of approximately 1.3 million ADMTs of high quality NBSK pulp from three modern NBSK pulp mills located in Europe and North America. We believe this will improve our service to those larger paper and tissue producing customers who wish to develop purchasing arrangements with pulp suppliers that can service them on a worldwide basis.

Creating Value Through Active Management. We believe we can leverage our management focus and turnaround experience to enhance the Celgar mill's operating performance by improving price realizations, increasing production and reducing production costs. See "The Acquisition Acquisition Opportunities".

Diversifying Our Revenue and Cost Base. In 2003, substantially all of Mercer's revenues resulted from sales in Europe. Approximately 69% of the Celgar mill's sales in 2003 were in Asia, which is the fastest growing market for NBSK pulp imports. The Celgar mill's costs are largely in Canadian dollars, which should reduce our relative exposure to the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and Euro.

Given our management team's experience in converting and optimizing the Rosenthal mill, constructing the Stendal mill and starting up these large scale NBSK pulp mills, we believe we are well positioned to integrate the Celgar mill into our operations and to improve its operating and financial performance over time. We have identified teams of individuals in our organization, at the Celgar mill and from our consulting engineers that, upon the closing of the Acquisition, will initiate the process of integrating the Celgar mill and enhancing its operations.

Acquisition Opportunities

Although the Celgar mill is a modern facility that has generally been well maintained, it has been operated by a trustee in bankruptcy since 1998. As a result, we believe the Celgar mill has not performed at its full potential and that there are a number of opportunities to enhance its performance. Although we will not know the full potential until we control the Celgar mill, we are currently targeting C\$25 million in annual operating margin improvements over a three-year period, based on current pricing levels. This is expected to be achieved by capitalizing on the following opportunities:

Improving Price Realizations. We understand that, in 2003, the Celgar mill's pulp price realizations were approximately C\$38 per ADMT below the average for NBSK pulp mills in British Columbia, Canada. We believe this resulted from the mill's current sales arrangements which rely solely on third party agents, its product classification and a history of inconsistent production. We intend to have our existing sales force take over responsibility for supervising and managing agent sales and perform some of its sales functions directly on a coordinated global basis with our Rosenthal and Stendal mills over time. We also intend to reduce the amount of pulp sold at a discount in the spot market by adding to the number of grades of pulp marketed by the mill and more effectively matching it with customer requirements and improving the mill's pulp brightness consistency through a planned strategic capital project. We plan to eliminate the price realization discount incurred by the Celgar mill in comparison to other NBSK pulp mills in British Columbia, Canada, over time. See "The Acquisition Acquisition Opportunities".

Increasing Production. We, in conjunction with our consulting engineers, have identified certain high return capital projects that we believe can increase the production of the Celgar mill, along

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

with lowering its operating costs and improving the mill's reliability. Through these identified strategic capital projects, along with other enhancements and debottlenecking initiatives, we plan to increase the Celgar mill's production capacity to approximately 470,000 ADMTs over time.

Lowering Production Costs. We believe that we can reduce the Celgar mill's production costs by improving its operating consistency and reliability. We plan to achieve these improvements through certain strategic capital projects, as well as revising the mill's approach to maintenance management through a greater focus on preventative maintenance, such as we conduct at our Rosenthal mill. We believe these initiatives will reduce costs, including chemical and energy costs, at the Celgar mill.

We estimate the aggregate amount to be spent on the foregoing capital projects to be approximately C\$25 million over a three-year period.

The Financings

In conjunction with the Acquisition, we are also offering shares of beneficial interest concurrently with this offering. We intend to offer approximately 9.4 million shares of beneficial interest for estimated net proceeds, before expenses, of approximately \$94.8 million, assuming no exercise of the over-allotment option. The net proceeds from this offering, the net proceeds from the concurrent offering of our shares of beneficial interest and cash on hand will be utilized to pay the cash portion of the purchase price of the Acquisition, the amount for the defined working capital of the Celgar mill on closing of the Acquisition, the transaction costs of the Acquisition and to refinance all of the bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal mill (€150.2 million or \$186.5 million as at September 30, 2004, net of restricted cash of €28.5 million or \$35.4 million) and for general corporate purposes, including working capital. The offering of our shares of beneficial interest will be conducted as a separate public offering by means of a separate prospectus supplement. In this prospectus supplement, we refer to our offering of the senior notes as the "Senior Note Offering" and the offering of our shares of beneficial interest as the "Share Offering". The completion of the Senior Note Offering and the Share Offering are contingent upon each other. Both such offerings are contingent upon the satisfaction or waiver of all conditions relating to the Acquisition other than the condition relating to the financings.

Effective upon the completion of the Acquisition, we intend to establish a new revolving working capital facility for the Rosenthal mill in the amount of €40 million with an initial term of five years and for the Celgar mill in the amount of \$30 million with an initial term of one year which, if not renewed, will convert to a one year term loan. In this prospectus supplement, we refer to the Share Offering, the Senior Note Offering and the two new revolving working capital facilities as the "Financings". See "The Financings", "The Acquisition" and "Description of Certain Indebtedness - New Working Capital Facilities".

Corporate Structure

Substantially all of our operations are conducted through subsidiaries. Our operations at the Stendal mill are conducted through Stendal, our operations at the Rosenthal mill are conducted through Rosenthal, our operations at the Celgar mill will be conducted through a wholly owned subsidiary and our paper operations are conducted through our wholly owned subsidiary, Dresden. Stendal and Dresden will be designated as unrestricted subsidiaries under the indenture governing the notes. As a result, these subsidiaries, their assets, liabilities and results of operations will not be part of a restricted group subject to the restrictive covenants of the indenture. We have presented unaudited pro forma financial information of our restricted group in this prospectus supplement to show the effect of excluding the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the unrestricted subsidiaries from the restricted group.

Organizational Chart

The following chart sets out our directly and indirectly owned principal operating subsidiaries, their jurisdictions of organization and their principal activities:

(1) Certain wholly owned intermediate holding companies are not shown.

Corporate Information

Mercer is a business trust organized under the laws of the State of Washington in 1968. Under Washington law, shareholders of a business trust have the same limited liability as shareholders of a corporation. Our operations are located primarily in Germany and, following the Acquisition, western Canada. We maintain offices at 14900 Interurban Avenue South, Suite 282, Seattle, Washington, USA 98168, and the telephone number is (206) 674-4639. We also maintain an office at Suite 2840, P.O. Box 11576, 650 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6B 4N8 and the telephone number is (604) 684-1099.

S-9

The Offering

Issuer	Mercer International Inc.
Securities Offered	\$300,000,000 aggregate principal amount of % senior unsecured notes due 2013.
Offering Price	% of the principal amount of notes plus accrued interest, if any, from , 2005.
Maturity	The notes will mature on , 2013.
Interest Payment Date	Interest will be payable in arrears on and of each year the notes are outstanding, beginning on , 2005.
Ranking	<p>The notes are our senior unsecured obligations. Accordingly, the notes will rank:</p> <p>effectively junior in right of payment to all our existing and future secured indebtedness and all indebtedness and liabilities of our subsidiaries;</p> <p>equal in right of payment with all of our existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness; and</p> <p>senior in right of payment to our 8.5% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2010 and any future subordinated indebtedness.</p>
Optional Redemption	We may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, on or after , 2009, at the applicable redemption prices described under "Description of the Notes Optional Redemption", plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date. In certain circumstances, we may also redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes at any time prior to , 2008 at a redemption price of % of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings. See "Description of the Notes Optional Redemption".
Covenants of the Indenture	<p>We will issue the notes under an indenture which will, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to, among other things:</p> <p>incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock;</p> <p>pay dividends or make other distributions to our stockholders;</p> <p>purchase or redeem capital stock or subordinated indebtedness;</p> <p>make investments;</p> <p>create liens and enter into sale and leaseback transactions;</p> <p>incur restrictions on the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to us;</p> <p>sell assets;</p>

consolidate or merge with or into other companies or transfer all or substantially all of our assets; and

engage in transactions with affiliates.

These limitations will be subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions. See "Description of the Notes – Covenants".

Change in control

Upon certain changes in control, each holder of the notes may require us to repurchase some or all of its notes 30 business days after the occurrence of the change in control at a purchase price equal to the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase. See "Description of the Notes – Purchase of Notes at the Option of Holders Upon a Change in Control".

Form of the notes

The notes will be issued in book-entry form and will be represented by one or more global securities deposited with a custodian for and registered in the name of a nominee of The Depository Trust Company, or "DTC".

Use of Proceeds

We estimate the net proceeds from the offering of senior notes, after deducting fees and expenses, to be approximately \$290.7 million. In conjunction with the Acquisition, we are also offering approximately 9.4 million shares of beneficial interest for estimated net proceeds, before expenses, of approximately \$94.8 million (approximately \$109.0 million if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full). The net proceeds from this offering, the net proceeds from the concurrent Share Offering and cash on hand will be utilized to pay the cash portion of the purchase price of the Acquisition, the amount for the defined working capital at the Celgar mill on closing of the Acquisition, the transaction costs of the Acquisition and to refinance all of the net bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal pulp mill and for general corporate purposes, including working capital.

A portion of the proceeds from the Acquisition will be disbursed by KPMG Inc. to Royal Bank of Canada on account of its prior existing senior secured loans to Celgar. Royal Bank of Canada is the indirect parent of RBC Capital Markets Corporation, one of the underwriters of this offering.

See "Use of Proceeds", "The Financings", "Description of the Notes" and "Description of Certain Indebtedness – New Working Capital Facilities" for more information.

Risk Factors

See "Risk Factors" and other information included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement for a discussion of factors you should consider carefully before deciding to invest in the senior notes offered under this prospectus supplement.

Summary Historical Consolidated Financial Data

The following tables set forth Mercer's summary historical consolidated financial data and certain operating data relating to Celgar as at and for the periods indicated. The following summary historical consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of both Mercer and Celgar included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. The operating data relating to Celgar reflects its operations prior to the Acquisition when Celgar was operating under management of a trustee in bankruptcy under Canadian bankruptcy law.

The summary historical financial data for Mercer as at and for the four years ended December 31, 2003 presented below is derived from Mercer's audited consolidated financial statements. The summary historical financial data for Mercer as at and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 presented below is derived from Mercer's unaudited consolidated financial statements and includes, in the opinion of our management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly this unaudited interim financial data. The results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for an entire fiscal year.

Effective January 1, 2002, we changed our reporting currency from the U.S. dollar to the Euro. The following summary historical financial data relating to Mercer for the periods prior to the year ended December 31, 2002 has been restated in Euros and reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation.

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000	2001 ⁽¹⁾	2002 ⁽¹⁾	2003	2003	2004

(unaudited)

(in thousands, except for ratios)

Statement of Operations Data:

Revenues	€ 258,883	€ 216,447	€ 239,132	€ 194,556	€ 144,136	€ 153,868
Cost of sales	193,704	184,679	213,463	179,690	134,226	133,642
Gross profit	65,179	31,768	25,669	14,866	9,910	20,226
Income (loss) from operations	49,665	13,332	(1,145)	(4,541)	(2,519)	(7,625)
Interest expense	15,198	16,170	13,753	11,523	6,887	9,554
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	32,130	(2,740)	(17,551)	(6,068)	(17,446)	(16,577)
Income (loss) before minority interest	32,013	(2,823)	(17,287)	(9,240)	(17,672)	(16,540)
Minority interest			10,965	5,647	8,499	3,936
Net income (loss)	32,013	(2,823)	(6,322)	(3,593)	(9,173)	(12,604)

Other Data:

Net cash from (used in) operating activities	€ 43,395	€ 30,364	€ 40,446	€ 31,440	€ 6,969	€ (1,185)
Depreciation and amortization ⁽²⁾	€ 24,046	€ 22,966	€ 25,614	€ 24,105	€ 18,135	€ 17,217
Capital expenditures ⁽³⁾	€ 27,028	€ 10,097	€ 13,800	€ 14,647	€ 9,485	€ 6,611
Operating EBITDA ⁽⁴⁾	€ 73,711	€ 36,298	€ 24,469	€ 19,564	€ 15,616	€ 15,592
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽⁵⁾	3.11	0.83 ⁽⁶⁾		0.32 ⁽⁶⁾		

(6)

(6)

(6)

S-12

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

As at December 31,

	2000	2001	2002	2003	As at September 30, 2004
					(unaudited)

(in thousands)

Balance Sheet Data:

Cash and cash equivalents	€ 19,689	€ 11,741	€ 30,261	€ 51,993	€ 42,643
Restricted cash	26,775	33,388	48,254	59,367 ⁽⁷⁾	76,884 ⁽⁷⁾
Working capital (deficit)	28,388	15,544	6,328	(48,947) ⁽⁸⁾	(122,474) ⁽⁸⁾
Total assets	429,724	429,593	599,750	935,905 ⁽⁹⁾	1,192,727
Debt, current portion, and note payable	29,822	25,752	17,138	17,178	16,868
Note payable, construction in progress ⁽¹⁰⁾			15,000		
Debt, Stendal, current portion				80,000	50,000
Debt, less current portion ⁽¹¹⁾	221,772	216,871	205,393	255,901	234,317
Debt, Stendal, ⁽¹⁰⁾ less current portion			146,485	324,238	476,301
Shareholders' equity	133,497	131,613	124,969	132,855	121,595

Year Ended December 31,

Nine Months Ended
September 30,

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
						(unaudited)

(in thousands, except for ADMT data)

Rosenthal Mill Operating Data⁽¹²⁾:

Sales volume (ADMTs) ⁽¹³⁾	239,552	285,654	293,607	303,655	221,926	229,462
Productivity (ADMTs produced per day)	736	858	887	898	893	914
Average price realized (per ADMT) ⁽¹³⁾	€ 667	€ 512	€ 443	€ 417	€ 416	€ 452
Cash production costs (per ADMT produced) ⁽¹⁴⁾	€ 353	€ 342	€ 312	€ 307	€ 310	€ 300
Income (loss) from operations	€ 49,594	€ 18,610	€ 3,421	€ 1,931	€ (22)	€ 13,004
Depreciation and amortization ⁽⁷⁾	€ 20,481	€ 21,422	€ 21,567	€ 21,881	€ 16,311	€ 14,166
Capital expenditures	€ 23,766	€ 7,416	€ 8,426	€ 6,869	€ 3,459	€ 2,924

Celgar Mill Operating Data:

(unaudited)

Sales volume (ADMTs)	379,375	365,132 ⁽¹⁵⁾	400,101	427,860	329,253	292,233
Productivity (ADMTs produced per day)	1,172	1,198 ⁽¹⁵⁾	1,155	1,202	1,181	1,209
Average price realized (per ADMT)	C\$ 958	C\$ 674 ⁽¹⁵⁾	C\$ 623	C\$ 635	C\$ 630	C\$ 735
Cash production costs (per ADMT produced) ⁽¹⁴⁾	C\$ 565	C\$ 571 ⁽¹⁵⁾	C\$ 491	C\$ 499	C\$ 513	C\$ 533
Income (loss) from operations ⁽¹⁶⁾	C\$ 64,224	C\$ (58,642) ⁽¹⁵⁾	C\$ (33,810)	C\$ (36,283)	C\$ (32,850)	C\$ (127,572) ⁽¹⁷⁾
Depreciation and amortization	C\$ 38,807	C\$ 38,966	C\$ 38,932	C\$ 39,225	C\$ 29,320	C\$ 22,833
Capital expenditures	C\$ 3,579	C\$ 6,262	C\$ 3,912	C\$ 5,201	C\$ 3,985	C\$ 2,017

- (1) In December 2001, we acquired Landqart AG, or "Landqart", which operates a specialty paper mill, for approximately \$2.7 million. Results from the Landqart mill are not included in Mercer's results for 2001, but are included for 2002. The Landqart mill sold approximately 18,222 ADMTs for €39.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2002. At the end of 2002, we transferred 20% of our interest in Landqart to a Swiss bank and exchanged our remaining 80% interest for an indirect 39% minority interest through a limited partnership on a non-cash basis. As of December 31, 2002, our interest in the Landqart mill is no longer consolidated and is included in our financial results on an equity basis.
- (2) The Stendal pulp mill was substantially complete and ready for its intended use on September 18, 2004. Effective September 18, 2004, we began expensing all of the costs, including interest, related to the Stendal

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

mill and began depreciating it. A depreciation period of 25 years was established based on the expected useful life of the production assets. Depreciation was computed using the straight-line method in accordance with our accounting policies. In conjunction with establishing the depreciation period for the Stendal mill, we also reviewed the useful life of the Rosenthal mill, which resulted in a change in the estimate of its useful life from an initial 15 to 25 years. The change in estimate was reflected effective July 1, 2004. As the Rosenthal mill had been depreciated for approximately 5 years as of July 1, 2004, the change in estimate reflects a remaining depreciable life of approximately 20 years. The total effect of the change in estimate resulted in a decrease of approximately €2.2 million in depreciation, cost of sales and net loss, and a decrease in basic and diluted net loss per share of €0.13 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

(3)

Excluding capital expenditures of approximately €186.9 million, €399.4 million, €284.1 million and €235.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, respectively, relating to the Stendal project.

(4)

Net income (loss) to Operating EBITDA Reconciliation:

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited)					
	(in thousands)					
Net income (loss)	€ 32,013	€ (2,823)	€ (6,322)	€ (3,593)	€ (9,173)	€ (12,604)
Minority interest			(10,965)	(5,647)	(8,499)	(3,936)
Income taxes	117	83	(264)	3,172	226	(37)
Interest expense	15,198	16,170	13,753	11,523	6,887	9,554
Investment income	2,337	(2,872)	(436)	(1,653)	(1,055)	(1,679)
Derivative financial instruments		2,504	6,679	(16,168)	3,604	1,077
Impairment of investments				7,825	5,511	
Other		270	(3,590)		(20)	
	49,665	13,332	(1,145)	(4,541)	(2,519)	(7,625)
Income (loss) from operations						
Add: Depreciation and amortization	24,046	22,966	25,614	24,105	18,135	17,217
Impairment charge						6,000
Operating EBITDA	€ 73,711	€ 36,298	€ 24,469	€ 19,564	€ 15,616	€ 15,592

In connection with Mercer's results for the third quarter of 2004, our management determined to record and our audit committee approved a non-cash impairment charge of €6.0 million to write-off the carrying value of our Fährbrücke paper mill assets. We determined to take the impairment charge as the Fährbrücke mill has generated weaker than expected returns over a period of time despite changes to its product mix. We do not expect the impairment charge in and of itself to result in future cash expenditures as we intend to continue to operate the Fährbrücke mill.

We define Operating EBITDA for this purpose as income from operations plus depreciation and amortization and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges. Management uses Operating EBITDA as a benchmark measurement of its own operating results and as a benchmark relative to its competitors. Management considers it to be a meaningful supplement to operating and net income as a performance measure primarily because depreciation expense is not an actual cash cost and varies widely from company to company

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

in a manner that management considers largely independent of the underlying cost efficiency of their operating facilities. In addition, we believe it is commonly used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties to evaluate our financial performance. Operating EBITDA does not reflect the impact of a number of items that affect our net income (loss), including financing costs and the effect of derivative instruments. Management also believes Operating EBITDA facilitates operating performance comparisons from period to period by backing out the significant impact caused by our derivative instruments which are marked to market for each reporting period or settled. Operating EBITDA is not a measure of financial performance under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or "GAAP", and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) or income from operations as a measure of performance, nor as an alternative

S-14

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

to net cash from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. Operating EBITDA has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Mercer Results of Operations" for a discussion of these limitations. Because of these limitations, Operating EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. See the Statements of Cash Flows included in our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. Because all companies do not calculate Operating EBITDA in the same manner, Operating EBITDA as calculated by us may differ from Operating EBITDA as calculated by other companies.

- (5) For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consist of income before income taxes, minority interest, income (loss) from equity investee and fixed charges. Fixed charges consist of interest expense plus capitalized interest.
- (6) For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, our deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €2.7 million, €20.7 million, €19.5 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, our deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €29.0 million and €43.2 million, respectively.
- (7) As at December 31, 2003, this amount is comprised of €15.2 million for payment of construction in progress costs payable and €19.1 million in a debt service account, relating to construction in progress at the site of the Stendal mill, as well as €25.1 million in a debt service account relating to the Rosenthal mill. As at September 30, 2004, this amount is comprised of €29.3 million for payment of construction costs payable and €19.1 million in a debt service account, both relating to the Stendal project, as well as €28.5 million in a debt service account related to the Rosenthal mill.
- (8) We had a working capital deficit of €48.9 million and €122.5 million at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, respectively, primarily because we had Stendal construction costs payable of €42.8 million and €161.0 million at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, respectively, for which we had not yet drawn down under the Stendal project finance facility and, under our accounting policies, we do not record certain government grants until they are received. The Stendal construction costs will be paid pursuant to the Stendal project finance facility in the ordinary course. At September 30, 2004, we qualified for additional investment grants related to the Stendal mill totaling approximately €65.2 million from the federal and state governments of Germany, which we expect to receive in 2005. Approximately €61.2 million of these grants, when received, will be applied to repay the amounts drawn under the dedicated tranche of the Stendal project finance facility. The grants are not reported in our income and reduce the cost basis of the assets purchased when they are received. We expect to qualify for additional investment grants totaling €23.3 million when such Stendal construction costs have been substantially paid.
- (9) Includes approximately €503.2 million related to properties construction in progress at the site of the Stendal mill as at December 31, 2003.
- (10) Construction of the Stendal mill commenced in August 2002 and was completed substantially on its planned schedule and budget in the third quarter of 2004. As a result, as at September 30, 2004, liabilities relating to the construction of the Stendal mill were no longer classified as construction in progress.
- (11) As at September 30, 2004, this includes €66.4 million aggregate principal amount of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due October 2010, convertible at any time by the holder into our shares of beneficial interest at \$7.75 per share.
- (12) Construction of the Stendal Mill was completed in the third quarter of 2004. Although the Stendal mill had approximately 13 days of operations in September 2004, it is currently in the start-up phase. As a result, management believes that the operating data relating to the Stendal mill during the third quarter of 2004 would not provide an accurate representation of the mill's actual operating performance in the future. Accordingly, we have provided only the operating data relating to the Rosenthal mill.
- (13) For external sales only.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (14) Cost of production per ADMT produced excluding depreciation. Total cash production costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 may not be comparable on an annualized basis due to the timing of maintenance expenditures.
- (15) The Celgar mill was shut down for approximately 50 days in 2001 for market related reasons.
- (16) Income (loss) from operations includes costs (benefits) relating to a burner misalignment in the lime kiln at the Celgar mill, for which the vendor of the Celgar mill has an insurance claim outstanding, foreign exchange losses (gains) on current receivables and payables and fees paid to KPMG Inc., as receiver and trustee in bankruptcy of Celgar as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited)				(unaudited)	
	(in thousands)					
Lime kiln costs	C\$	C\$	C\$	C\$ 3,136	C\$ 2,036	C\$ 96
Foreign exchange losses (gains)	C\$ (1,333)	C\$ (1,769)	C\$ 792	C\$ 5,965	C\$ 4,952	C\$ 921
Receiver and trustee costs	C\$ 1,965	C\$ 2,025	C\$ 1,812	C\$ 1,899	C\$ 1,747	C\$ 772

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Celgar".

- (17) As at and for the nine month period ended September 30, 2004, Celgar recorded an impairment charge of C\$129.2 million to write-down the carrying value of its property, plant and equipment to the fair value of the assets as a result of the Acquisition.

Summary Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Data

The following tables set forth Mercer's summary pro forma consolidated financial data as at and for the periods indicated and is derived from the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial data has been prepared by us and gives pro forma effect to the Financings, the Acquisition, related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses as if they had occurred on September 30, 2004 for the pro forma consolidated balance sheet as at September 30, 2004 and at the beginning of the period for the pro forma consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 and the year ended December 31, 2003. The unaudited pro forma restricted group financial data presented below represents the unaudited financial results and condition of the restricted group, which is comprised of Mercer Inc., Rosenthal and our acquisition subsidiary which is acquiring the Celgar mill that will be designated restricted subsidiaries under the indenture governing the notes. Stendal and Dresden and their subsidiaries will be designated unrestricted subsidiaries under the indenture and will not be subject to the restrictive covenants of the indenture. This unaudited pro forma restricted group financial data excludes the effect of consolidation of the ownership and operation of the unrestricted subsidiaries. The unaudited pro forma balance sheet data of the restricted group includes the pro forma effect of the Financings, the Acquisition, related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses and excludes the effect of consolidation of the ownership of the unrestricted subsidiaries. The unaudited pro forma statements of operations data of the restricted group gives effect to the Financings, the Acquisition, related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses as if they occurred at the beginning of each period presented and eliminates the results of operations of the unrestricted subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidated restricted group. Shareholders' equity of the restricted group reflects the net assets of the restricted group.

We have included this pro forma restricted group financial data because we believe it provides investors with helpful information with respect to the financial results of the business and operations that will be subject to restrictive covenants in the indenture governing the notes. The following summary unaudited pro forma consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of both Mercer and Celgar and the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. The pro forma information is presented for illustrative purposes only and does not purport to represent what our actual results of operations or financial position would have been had the matters described above occurred on the date assumed, nor is it necessarily indicative of our future operating results or financial position.

	Pro Forma		Pro Forma Restricted Group	
	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	Year Ended Dec. 31, 2003	2003	Year Ended Dec. 31, 2003	2003

(unaudited)

(unaudited)

(in thousands)

Statement of Operations Data:

Revenues	€ 365,725	€ 274,681	€ 285,904	€ 310,721	€ 233,390	€ 245,939
Cost of sales	336,921	258,178	245,579	286,521	221,231	204,876
Gross profit	28,804	16,503	40,325	24,200	12,159	41,063
General and administrative	41,833	29,472	35,584	33,024	23,999	23,979
Settlement expenses	1,041	630		1,041	630	
Impairment of capital assets			6,000			
Flooding grants less losses and expenses	957	1,162	669			
Income (loss) from operations	(13,113)	(12,437)	(928)	(9,865)	(12,470)	17,084
Interest expense	23,544	16,181	18,964	26,715	19,844	20,584
Investment income	993	542	556	3,704	3,666	1,881
Derivative financial instruments gains (losses)	15,048	(22,306)	491	27,437	(367)	1,293
Impairment of equity method investments	2,255			2,255		
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	5,570	5,511		4,480	4,441	
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility	12,917	10,331	1,588	12,917	10,331	1,588
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(15,524)	(45,562)	(17,257)	653	(23,125)	1,262
Income (loss) before minority interest	(18,696)	(45,788)	(17,220)	(2,519)	(23,351)	1,299
Minority interest	5,647	8,499	3,936			
Net income (loss)	(13,049)	(37,289)	(13,284)	(2,519)	(23,351)	1,299

Pro Forma

Pro Forma Restricted Group

As at
September 30, 2004

As at
September 30, 2004

(unaudited)

(unaudited)

(in thousands)

Balance Sheet Data:

Cash and cash equivalents	€ 48,690	€ 42,731
Restricted cash	48,420	
Working capital (deficit) ⁽¹⁾	(81,783)	70,250
Total assets	1,376,528	556,143
Debt, current portion, and note payable	1,779	
Debt, Stendal, current portion	50,000	
Debt, less current portion ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	312,319	308,045
Debt, Stendal, less current portion ⁽⁴⁾	476,301	
Shareholders' equity	230,116	207,823

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Pro Forma			Pro Forma Restricted Group		
Year Ended Dec. 31, 2003	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Year Ended Dec. 31, 2003	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2004		2003	2004
	(unaudited)			(unaudited)	
(in thousands, except for ratios)					

Other Data:

Operating EBITDA ⁽⁵⁾	€	22,903	€	14,631	€	31,222	€	24,120	€	12,799	€	40,671
Indenture EBITDA ⁽⁶⁾		N/A		N/A		N/A	€	32,975	€	19,457	€	43,942
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽⁷⁾		0.29 ⁽⁸⁾				0.05 ⁽⁸⁾		N/A		N/A		N/A

- (1) We had a working capital deficit of €122.5 million at September 30, 2004, primarily because we had Stendal construction costs payable of €161.0 million at September 30, 2004 for which we had not yet drawn down under the Stendal project finance facility and, under our accounting policies, we do not record certain government grants until they are received. The Stendal construction costs will be paid pursuant to the Stendal project finance facility in the ordinary course. At September 30, 2004, we qualified for additional investment grants related to the Stendal mill totaling approximately €65.2 million from the federal and state governments of Germany, which we expect to receive in 2005. Approximately €61.2 million of these grants, when received, will be applied to repay the amounts drawn under the dedicated tranche of the Stendal project finance facility. The grants are not reported in our income and reduce the cost basis of the assets purchased when they are received. We expect to qualify for additional investment grants totaling €23.3 million when such Stendal construction costs have been substantially paid.
- (2) As at September 30, 2004, this includes €66.4 million aggregate principal amount of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due October 2010, convertible at any time by the holder into our shares of beneficial interest at \$7.75 per share.
- (3) In addition, Mercer Inc., a member of the restricted group, has guaranteed five credit facilities, aggregating approximately €4.7 million, relating to the paper operations which are operated by an unrestricted subsidiary.
- (4) Construction of the Stendal mill commenced in August 2002 and was completed substantially on its planned schedule and budget in the third quarter of 2004. As a result, as at September 30, 2004, liabilities relating to the construction of the Stendal mill were no longer classified as construction in progress.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. See the Statements of Cash Flows included in our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. Because all companies do not calculate Operating EBITDA in the same manner, Operating EBITDA as calculated by us may differ from Operating EBITDA as calculated by other companies.

(6)

The indenture governing the notes will contain various restrictive covenants, including several that are based on a formulation of the financial measure EBITDA, which is net income (loss) adjusted to exclude interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, certain non-cash charges and extraordinary or otherwise unusual gains or

S-20

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

losses, and certain other items. We refer to this formulation of EBITDA as "Indenture EBITDA" which is defined in the note indenture as Consolidated EBITDA. The indenture governing the notes will provide that, in order to enter into certain types of transactions, including the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the making of restricted payments and the completion of mergers and consolidations, we must meet a minimum Indenture EBITDA to Fixed Charges ratio, referred to and defined as the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio in the note indenture. See "Description of the Notes". Indenture EBITDA is included in this prospectus supplement to provide additional information with respect to our ability to incur debt and make distributions.

While Indenture EBITDA and similar variations thereof are frequently used as a financial measure of ability to incur debt or make distributions, these terms are not necessarily comparable to other similarly titled financial measures of other companies due to the potential inconsistencies in the method of calculation. Indenture EBITDA is not a financial measure that is prescribed by GAAP. As such, we encourage investors not to use Indenture EBITDA as a substitute for net income, operating cash flow or other similar GAAP financial measures of operating performance or cash flow, and to use them primarily for purposes of determining covenant compliance described above.

The following table sets forth the applicable components of Indenture EBITDA for the pro forma restricted group and also serves as a reconciliation to net income (loss) on a GAAP basis for the periods indicated:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	Pro Forma Restricted Group		
	Year Ended Dec. 31, 2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited) (in thousands)		
Net income (loss), GAAP	€ (2,519)	€ (23,351)	€ 1,299
Foreign exchange gain on indebtedness	(12,917)	(10,331)	(1,588)
Marked to market gain (loss) on hedging obligations	(27,347)	367	(1,293)
	(42,783)	(33,315)	(1,582)
Indenture net income	(42,783)	(33,315)	(1,582)
Equity losses	1,676	912	554
	(41,107)	(32,403)	(1,028)
Indenture consolidated net income	(41,107)	(32,403)	(1,028)
Income taxes	3,172	226	(37)
Interest expense	26,715	19,844	20,584
Depreciation and amortization	33,985	25,269	23,587
Impairment of investments	6,735	4,441	
Mercer stock compensation expense ^(a)	454	432	690
Mercer special shareholder costs ^(b)	601	212	
Acquisition expenses			58
Mercer corporate reorganization costs ^(c)	251		567
Celgar foreign exchange losses ^(d)	3,769	3,119	566
Celgar lime kiln costs ^(e)	1,982	1,283	59
Accrued interest income	(3,582)	(2,966)	(1,104)
	€ 32,975	€ 19,457	€ 43,942
Indenture EBITDA	€ 32,975	€ 19,457	€ 43,942

(a) Mercer stock compensation expense is a non-cash expense related to stock options issued to trustees and officers.

(b) Mercer special shareholder costs are related to a proxy contest which occurred in connection with our annual meeting of shareholders held on August 22, 2003 resulting in additional proxy solicitation, settlement and related costs.

(c) Mercer corporate reorganization costs are related to an internal corporate reorganization effected by Mercer within its German subsidiaries.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (d) Celgar foreign exchange losses are related to foreign exchange losses on current receivables and payables resulting from currency fluctuations.
- (e) Celgar lime kiln costs are additional incremental costs related to a burner misalignment at the Celgar lime kiln.
- Fixed charges, as defined in the note indenture, for the pro forma restricted group were comprised only of interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004. For the four quarters ending September 30, 2004, we calculate the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio for the pro forma restricted group to be 2.1.
- (7) For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consist of income before income taxes, minority interest, income (loss) from equity investee and fixed charges. Fixed charges consist of interest expense plus capitalized interest.
- (8) On a pro forma basis for the year ended December 31, 2003, and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, the deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €29.0 million, €57.1 million and €43.9 million.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this prospectus supplement or incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement before deciding whether to invest in the notes offered under this prospectus supplement. The risks described below are not the only ones facing our Company. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations.

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks. The trading price of the notes could decline due to any of these risks, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

This prospectus supplement also contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including the risks faced by us described below, set out under "Risks Related to our Company" in the accompanying prospectus and incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement.

Risks Related to the Acquisition

Any failure to successfully integrate the Celgar mill with our business may adversely affect our results of operations.

Our future performance will depend in part on whether we can integrate the Celgar mill with our operations in an effective and efficient manner. The acquisition of the Celgar mill is larger than any of the other acquisitions we have made. Integrating the Celgar mill with our operations will be a complex, time consuming and potentially expensive process and will be subject to various risks including:

diversion of management's attention from our ongoing business;

the expense of upgrading the Celgar mill to enhance its operations may be more significant than currently anticipated;

difficulty integrating the operations, including financial and accounting functions, sales and marketing procedures, technology and other corporate administrative functions of the combined operations;

difficulty in establishing financial controls and procedures consistent with our own;

difficulty in converting the Celgar mill's current business information systems to our system;

difficulty maintaining relationships with present and potential customers, distributors and suppliers of the Celgar mill due to uncertainties regarding service, production quality and prices; and

problems retaining key employees who were previously employed by Celgar.

All of the pulp produced by the Celgar mill is currently sold by third party agents. We intend to perform some of its sales functions directly over time. We cannot assure you that our internal sales staff and third party agents will be able to sell the combined pulp production of the Rosenthal, Stendal and Celgar mills on terms as favorable as those achieved by the existing agents.

We estimate that we will incur costs of approximately €14.3 million associated with the Acquisition, the Financings, the related transactions and the estimated fees and expenses. A portion of these costs will be associated with the integration of the Celgar mill with our operations. The actual costs may substantially exceed our estimates and unanticipated expenses associated with such integration may arise. Furthermore, we may not be aware of all of the risks associated with the Acquisition and we may

not have identified adverse information concerning the assets we are acquiring. If the benefits of the Acquisition do not exceed the costs, our financial results will be adversely affected.

We cannot guarantee that we will successfully integrate the Celgar mill with our operations. If we are unable to address any of these risks, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected and the operations of the Celgar mill may not achieve the results or otherwise perform as expected.

The operations of the Celgar mill are subject to their own risks, which we may not be able to manage successfully.

The financial results of the Celgar mill are subject to many of the same factors that affect our financial condition and results of operations, including the cyclical nature of the pulp and paper business, exposure to interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations, exposure to liability for environmental damage, the competitive nature of our markets and regulatory, legislative and judicial developments. The financial results of the Celgar mill could be materially adversely affected as a result of any of these or other related factors, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition on a consolidated basis. See " Risks Related to our Company".

We have only limited recourse under the acquisition agreement for losses relating to the Acquisition.

The diligence conducted in connection with the Acquisition and the indemnification provided in the acquisition agreement may not be sufficient to protect us from, or compensate us for, all losses resulting from the Acquisition. Subject to certain exceptions, under the terms of the acquisition agreement, the maximum amount we may claim is limited to \$30 million (\$20 million in the case of environmental losses). Subject to certain exceptions, the vendor is only liable for misrepresentations or breaches of warranty for 15 months from the closing date of the Acquisition (12 months in the case of environmental losses). A material loss associated with the Acquisition for which there is no adequate remedy under the acquisition agreement that becomes known 15 months after the Acquisition (12 months in the case of environmental losses) could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition and reduce the anticipated benefits of the Acquisition.

We may not be able to enhance the operating performance and financial results or lower the costs of the Celgar mill as planned.

While we believe that there are a number of opportunities to reduce operating costs, increase production and improve the financial results of the Celgar mill, we cannot fully evaluate the feasibility of our plans until we control the Celgar mill. We may not be able to achieve our planned operating improvements, cost reductions, capacity increases or improved price realizations in our expected time periods, if at all. In addition, some of the improvements that we hope to achieve depend upon capital expenditure projects that we plan to implement at the Celgar mill. Such capital projects may not be completed in our expected time periods, if at all, may not achieve the results that we have estimated or may have a cost substantially in excess of our planned amounts.

Risks Related to our Company

Our level of indebtedness could negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

As of September 30, 2004, after giving effect to the Acquisition and the application of the proceeds from the Financings, we would have had approximately €840.3 million of indebtedness outstanding, of which €526.3 million is project debt of Stendal. We may also incur additional indebtedness in the future. Our high debt levels may have important consequences for us, including, but not limited to the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing to fund future operations or meet our working capital needs or any such financing may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all;

a certain amount of our operating cash flow is dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, thereby diminishing funds that would otherwise be available for our operations and for other purposes;

a substantial decrease in net operating cash flows or increase in our expenses could make it more difficult for us to meet our debt service requirements, which could force us to modify our operations; and

our leveraged capital structure may place us at a competitive disadvantage by hindering our ability to adjust rapidly to changing market conditions or by making us vulnerable to a downturn in our business or the economy in general.

Our ability to repay or refinance our indebtedness will depend on our future financial and operating performance. Our performance, in turn, will be subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions, as well as financial, business, legislative, regulatory, industry and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our ability to meet our future debt service and other obligations may depend in significant part on the success of the Stendal and Celgar mills and the extent to which we can implement successfully our business and growth strategy. We cannot assure you that the Stendal or Celgar mill will be successful or that we will be able to implement our strategy fully or that the anticipated results of our strategy will be realized.

Our Stendal mill is subject to risks commonly associated with the start-up of large greenfield industrial projects.

We are the majority shareholder of a project company that has recently completed construction of the Stendal NBSK pulp mill near the town of Stendal, Germany. The aggregate cost of the mill is approximately €1.0 billion. The performance of the Stendal mill will have a material impact on our financial condition and operating performance. The implementation of the Stendal project commenced in 2002 and construction was completed in the third quarter of 2004. Under our current start-up plan, the Stendal mill underwent operational testing in December 2004 so that continuous production from the mill can commence. Our ongoing start-up of the Stendal mill is subject to risks commonly associated with the start-up of large greenfield industrial projects which could result in the Stendal mill experiencing operating difficulties or delays in the start-up period and the Stendal mill may not achieve our planned production, timing, quality or cost projections, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. These risks include, without limitation, equipment failures or damage, errors or miscalculations in engineering, design specifications or equipment manufacturing, faulty construction or workmanship, defective equipment or installation, human error, industrial accidents, weather conditions, failure to comply with environmental and other permits, and complex integration of processes and equipment.

We are exposed to currency exchange rate and interest rate fluctuations.

Approximately 72% of our sales from our German operations in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 were in products quoted in U.S. dollars while most of our operating costs and expenses at our German operations are incurred in Euros. Further, the Celgar mill only produces NBSK pulp which is quoted in U.S. dollars, while its costs are largely denominated in Canadian dollars. Our results of operations and financial condition are reported in Euros. As a result, our revenues have been adversely affected by the significant decrease of the U.S. dollar relative to the Euro. Additionally, an increase in the value of the Canadian dollar relative to the Euro, except to the extent offset by our derivatives strategy, would increase our costs at the Celgar mill as reported in Euros. Such shifts in currencies relative to the Euro would reduce our operating margin and the cash flow available to fund our operations and to service our debt. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Stendal has entered into variable-to-fixed interest rate swaps to fix interest payments under the Stendal project financing facility, which had kept Stendal from benefiting from the general decline in interest rates in the latter part of 2002 and first half of 2003. These derivatives are marked to market at the end of such reporting period and all unrealized gains and losses are recognized in earnings for the relevant reporting periods.

Fluctuations in the price and supply of our raw materials could adversely affect our business.

Wood chips and pulp logs comprise the fiber used by the Rosenthal, Stendal and Celgar mills. The fiber used by our paper mills consists of waste paper and pulp. Such fiber is cyclical in terms of both price and supply. The cost of wood chips and pulp logs is primarily affected by the supply and demand for lumber. The cost of fiber for our paper mills is primarily affected by the supply and demand for paper and pulp. Demand for these raw materials is determined by the volume of pulp and paper products produced globally and regionally. The markets for pulp and paper products, including our products, are highly variable and are characterized by periods of excess product supply due to many factors, including periods of insufficient demand due to weak general economic activity or other causes. The cyclical nature of pricing for these raw materials represents a potential risk to our profit margins if pulp producers are unable to pass along price increases to their customers.

We do not own any timberlands or have any long-term governmental timber concessions. We do not have any long-term fiber contracts at our German operations and only a portion of Celgar's fiber requirements are covered by such contracts. Although raw materials are available from a number of suppliers, and we have not historically experienced supply interruptions or substantial price increases, our requirements will increase as the Stendal mill approaches its full production capacity and we may not be able to purchase sufficient quantities of these raw materials to meet our production requirements at prices acceptable to us during times of tight supply. In addition, the quality of fiber we receive could be reduced as a result of industrial disputes, material curtailments or shut-down of operations by suppliers, government orders and legislation, acts of god and other events beyond our control. An insufficient supply of fiber or reduction in the quality of fiber we receive would materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

In addition to the supply of wood fiber, we are dependent on the supply of certain chemicals and other inputs used in our production facilities. Any disruption in the supply of these chemicals or other inputs could affect our ability to meet customer demand in a timely manner and would harm our reputation. Any material increase in the cost of these chemicals or other inputs could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

We operate in highly competitive markets.

We sell our products produced at our German operations primarily in Europe and the products produced at the Celgar mill are sold primarily in Asia and North America. The markets for our products are highly competitive. A number of other global companies compete in each of these markets and no company holds a dominant position. For both pulp and paper, many companies produce products that are largely standardized. As a result, the primary basis for competition in our markets has been price. Many of our competitors have greater resources and lower leverage than we do and may be able to adapt more quickly to industry or market changes or devote greater resources to the sale of products than we can. There can be no assurance that we will continue to be competitive in the future.

We are subject to risks related to our employees.

The majority of our employees in Germany and most of those at the Celgar mill are unionized. The collective agreement relating to employees at our paper mills in Germany expires in the third quarter of 2005. We expect to negotiate a new collective agreement with employees at our paper mills in Germany in the fourth quarter of 2005. The collective agreement relating to our pulp workers in Germany expires in the first quarter of 2005. We expect to negotiate a new collective agreement with

our pulp workers in Germany in the first half of 2005. A collective bargaining agreement with relation to employees at the Celgar mill was entered into in 2003 and expires in 2008. Although we have not experienced any work stoppages in the past, there can be no assurance that we will be able to negotiate acceptable collective agreements with our employees upon the expiration of the existing collective agreements. This could result in a strike or work stoppage by the affected workers. The renewal of the collective agreements or the outcome of our wage negotiations could result in higher wages or benefits paid to union members. Accordingly, we could experience a significant disruption of our operations or higher on-going labor costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

Risks Related to this Offering

We are a holding company and we are substantially dependent on cash provided by our subsidiaries to meet our debt service obligations under the notes.

We are a holding company that conducts substantially all of our operations through our subsidiaries. Because we are a holding company, the notes are effectively subordinated to all existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities of our subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2004, the aggregate outstanding liabilities of our subsidiaries was approximately €1,001.6 million, excluding inter-company amounts, including approximately €711.1 million of indebtedness for borrowed money.

Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities. Our subsidiaries have not guaranteed the notes and have no obligation to pay any amounts due on the notes. With limited exceptions, our subsidiaries are not required to provide us with funds for our payment obligations, whether by dividends, distributions or loans. In addition, payments of dividends, distributions, loans or advances by our subsidiaries to Mercer Inc. may be subject to contractual restrictions. The loan facilities of our subsidiaries do not contain any express provisions to permit distributions for debt servicing by Mercer Inc. Payments to Mercer Inc. by our subsidiaries will also be contingent upon our subsidiaries' earnings and other business considerations.

The notes will be effectively subordinated to all liabilities of our subsidiaries and are unsecured. We may not have sufficient funds to pay our obligations under the notes if we encounter financial difficulties.

The notes are not guaranteed and our subsidiaries have no obligations in respect of the notes. As a result, the notes are effectively subordinated to all liabilities of our subsidiaries. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization involving us or any of our subsidiaries and in certain other events, our assets will be available to pay obligations on the notes only after all liabilities of our subsidiaries (including trade creditors) have been paid in full. After satisfying these obligations, we may not have sufficient assets remaining to pay amounts due on any or all of the notes then outstanding. Our incurrence of additional debt and other liabilities could adversely affect our ability to pay our obligations under the notes.

Despite our and our subsidiaries' current levels of indebtedness, we may incur substantially more debt, which could further increase the risks associated with our substantial indebtedness.

Although the indenture governing the notes will contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness by us and our restricted subsidiaries, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions, and the indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. In addition to amounts that may be borrowed under our existing credit facilities, the indenture governing the notes also will allow us and our restricted subsidiaries to borrow significant amounts of money from other sources and will place no restrictions on borrowings by our unrestricted subsidiaries. Also, these restrictions do not prevent us from incurring obligations that do not constitute "indebtedness" as defined in the relevant agreement. If new debt is added to the current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

The agreements governing our indebtedness contain significant restrictions that limit our operating and financial flexibility.

The indenture and our new revolving credit facilities contain covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to:

incur additional indebtedness and issue preferred stock;

pay dividends and make distributions;

repurchase stock or repay subordinated indebtedness;

make certain investments;

transfer, sell or make certain dispositions of assets or engage in sale and leaseback transactions;

incur liens;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

create dividend or other payment restrictions affecting restricted subsidiaries; and

merge, consolidate, amalgamate or sell or substantially all of our assets to another person.

In addition, our new revolving credit facilities require us to maintain specified financial ratios, and we may be unable to meet such ratios. All of these restrictions may limit our ability to execute our business strategy. Moreover, if operating results fall below current levels, we may be unable to comply with these covenants. If that occurs, our lenders could accelerate our indebtedness, in which case we may not be able to repay all of our indebtedness, and your notes may not be repaid fully, if at all.

We may not have sufficient funds or may be restricted in our ability to repurchase the notes upon a change in control.

The indenture governing the notes contains provisions that apply to a change in our control. You may require us to repurchase all or any portion of your notes upon a change in control. We may not have sufficient funds to repurchase the notes upon a change in control. The current project loan facilities of our subsidiaries limit our subsidiaries' ability to make cash disbursements to us and future debt agreements may prohibit us from paying the repurchase price. If we are prohibited from repurchasing the notes, we could seek consent from our lenders to make distributions to repurchase the notes. If we are unable to obtain consent, we could attempt to refinance the notes. If we are unable to obtain a consent or refinance, we would be prohibited from repurchasing the notes. If we are unable to repurchase the notes upon a change in control, it would result in an event of default under the indenture. An event of default under the indenture could result in a further event of default under our other then-existing debt. In addition, the occurrence of the change in control may be an event of default under our other debt. Our ability to repurchase the notes in such event may be limited by law, the indenture, or the terms of other agreements relating to our senior indebtedness.

An active or liquid trading market may not develop for the notes.

The notes will constitute a new issue of securities for which there is no established trading market. We do not intend to apply for listing or quotation of the notes on any securities exchange or automated quotation system. The underwriters may make a market for the notes and assist in their resale, but are not obligated to do so. Any market making activity may be discontinued at any time for any reason, without notice, after this offering is completed. We cannot predict whether an active trading market for the notes will develop or, if such market develops, how liquid it will be. If an active market for the notes fails to develop or to be sustained, the trading price of the notes could fall. Even if an active trading market were to develop, the notes could trade at prices that may be lower than the initial offering price of the notes, or the holders could experience difficulty or an inability to resell the notes.

The market price of the notes may be volatile

You may not be able to sell your notes at a particular time or at a price favorable to you. Future trading prices of the notes will depend on many factors, including:

our operating performance and financial condition;

the interest of securities dealers in making a market;

the market for similar securities;

prevailing interest rates; and

general economic conditions.

Historically, the market for non-investment grade debt has been subject to disruptions that have caused substantial volatility in prices. The market for the notes, if any, may be subject to similar disruptions. A disruption may have a negative effect on you as a holder of the notes, regardless of our prospects or performance.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate the net proceeds from our offering of the senior notes under this prospectus supplement to be approximately \$290.7 million, after deducting the underwriters' discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering, the estimated net proceeds, before expenses, from the concurrent Share Offering of \$94.8 million, assuming no exercise of the over-allotment option, and cash on hand to pay the cash portion of the purchase price of the Acquisition, the amount for the defined working capital of the Celgar mill on closing of the Acquisition, the estimated transaction costs of the Acquisition and to refinance all of the net bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal mill and for general corporate purposes, including working capital. See "The Acquisition".

The purchase price for the Acquisition will be paid to KPMG Inc., as receiver for Celgar. Subject to the payment of customary receiver, trustee and bankruptcy related fees, costs and expenses, the sales proceeds received by KPMG Inc. from the sale of the Celgar mill to us will be disbursed by it to the senior secured lenders of Celgar who are owed in excess of C\$875 million. Royal Bank of Canada, which is the indirect parent company of RBC Capital Markets Corporation, one of the underwriters in this offering and in the Share Offering, is a 50% holder of Celgar's senior secured indebtedness.

The anticipated sources and uses of funds for the Acquisition and the repayment of Rosenthal's debt are as follows as at September 30, 2004 on a pro forma basis (€ in millions):

Sources		Uses	
Notes issued under this prospectus supplement	€ 241.6	Cash portion of Acquisition purchase price	€ 136.9
Share Offering	80.5	Amount for Celgar working capital ⁽¹⁾	13.2
		Repayment of net Rosenthal debt ⁽²⁾	151.7
		Estimated fees, expenses, discounts and commissions for the Acquisition and the Financings	14.3
		General corporate purposes	6.0
Total sources	€ 322.1	Total uses	€ 322.1

(1) Estimated as at September 30, 2004.

(2) As at September 30, 2004 and including the marked-to-market value of settling existing derivative instruments relating thereto and net of restricted cash of €28.5 million which shall be applied to retire such debt. The long-term indebtedness relating to the Rosenthal project finance facility bears an effective interest rate of 4.63% after taking into account certain interest rate derivatives relating thereto and is amortizing with a final maturity in 2013. The long-term indebtedness relating to the Rosenthal landfill bears interest at 6.71% and is amortizing with a final maturity in 2013.

THE FINANCINGS

In conjunction with the Acquisition, we are also offering approximately 9.4 million shares of beneficial interest for estimated net proceeds, before expenses, of approximately \$94.8 million, assuming no exercise of the over-allotment option. The net proceeds from this offering, the net proceeds from the concurrent Share Offering and cash on hand will be utilized to pay the cash portion of the purchase price of the Acquisition, the amount for the defined working capital of the Celgar mill on closing of the Acquisition, the transaction costs of the Acquisition and to refinance all of the net bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal mill and for general corporate purposes, including working capital. As at September 30, 2004, our Rosenthal mill had bank indebtedness of approximately €150.2 million, net of restricted cash of €28.5 million (\$186.5 million, net of restricted cash of \$35.4 million). The completion of the Senior Note Offering and the Share Offering are each contingent upon the other. Both such offerings are contingent upon the satisfaction or waiver of all conditions relating to the Acquisition other than the condition relating to the Financings.

Effective on the completion of the Acquisition, we intend to establish a new revolving working capital facility for the Rosenthal mill in the amount of €40 million with an initial term of five years and for the Celgar mill in the amount of \$30 million with an initial term of one year which, if not renewed, will convert to a one year term loan. We have received term sheets from two financial institutions relating to the provision of such working capital facilities upon terms and conditions customary for facilities of this nature. For more information relating to these new working capital facilities, see "Description of Certain Indebtedness - New Working Capital Facilities".

RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

The following table sets forth our ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the periods indicated:

					Pro Forma ⁽¹⁾				
Year Ended December 31,					Nine Months Ended September 30,		Year Ended Dec. 31, 2003	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
1999 ⁽²⁾	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004		2003	2004
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽³⁾									
(4)	3.11	0.83 ⁽⁴⁾	(4)	0.32 ⁽⁴⁾	(4)	(4)	0.29 ⁽⁴⁾	(4)	0.05 ⁽⁴⁾

(1) Pro forma gives effect to the Financings, the Acquisition, related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses as if they had occurred at the beginning of the period for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 and the year ended December 31, 2003.

(2) In 1999, we effected the conversion of our Rosenthal mill from a sulphite to a kraft process, which resulted in production downtime from July to December of that year.

(3) For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consists of income before income taxes, minority interest, income (loss) from equity investee and fixed charges. Fixed charges consist of interest expense plus capitalized interest.

(4) For the years ended December 31, 1999, 2001, 2002 and 2003, our deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €44.0 million, €2.7 million, €20.7 million and €19.5 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, our deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €29.0 million and €43.2 million, respectively. On a pro forma basis for the year ended December 31, 2003, and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, our deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €29.0 million, €57.1 million and €43.9 million, respectively.

CAPITALIZATION

Capitalization is the amount invested in a company and is a common measurement of a company's size. The following table sets forth the consolidated cash, restricted cash and capitalization as at September 30, 2004 on an actual basis for Mercer and as adjusted to reflect the Financings, the Acquisition, related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses, and as further adjusted to reflect these matters on our restricted group. You should read this table in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes of Mercer and the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement.

	As at September 30, 2004		
	Actual	Pro Forma (unaudited) (in thousands)	Pro Forma Restricted Group ⁽¹⁾
Cash and cash equivalents	€ 42,643	€ 48,690 ⁽²⁾	€ 42,731
Restricted cash	€ 76,884	€ 48,420 ⁽³⁾	
Current debt:			
Debt, current portion, and note payable	€ 16,868 ⁽⁴⁾	€ 1,779	€
Debt, Stendal	50,000	50,000	
Long-term debt:			
Debt, Stendal, less current portion ⁽⁵⁾	476,301	476,301	
Senior notes offered hereby ⁽⁶⁾		241,604	241,604
Debt, less current portion	234,317 ⁽⁷⁾	70,715 ⁽⁸⁾	66,441 ⁽⁹⁾
Total long-term debt	710,618	788,620	308,045
Total debt	777,486	840,399	308,045
Minority interest			
Shareholders' equity:			
Preferred shares, no par value; 50,000,000 authorized and issuable in series			
Series A, 500,000 authorized, none issued and outstanding			
Series B, 3,500,000 authorized, none issued and outstanding			
Shares of beneficial interest, \$1 par value; unlimited authorized; 17,324,229 and 30,950,951 ⁽¹⁰⁾ issued and outstanding in the actual and pro forma periods	79,736	188,257	N/A
Additional paid-in capital, stock options	14	14	N/A
Retained earnings	36,592	36,592	N/A
Accumulated other comprehensive income ⁽¹¹⁾	5,253	5,253	N/A
Total shareholders' equity	121,595	230,116	207,823
Total capitalization	€ 899,081	€ 1,070,515	€ 515,868

(1) Pro forma restricted group capitalization excludes financial data as it relates to Stendal and Dresden, which will be designated as unrestricted subsidiaries under the indenture governing the notes.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (2) Reflects net cash expected from this offering and the Share Offering less amounts used to pay the cash portion of the Acquisition purchase price, repay all of the net bank indebtedness of the Rosenthal mill, complete certain related transactions and pay estimated fees and expenses.
- (3) Comprised of €29.3 million for payment of construction in progress costs payable and €19.1 million in a debt service account, both relating to the Stendal project. Excludes a further €28.5 million in a debt service account relating to the Rosenthal mill that will be used in connection with the repayment of all of the bank indebtedness of the Rosenthal mill.

S-32

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (4) Includes €15.1 million of bank indebtedness related to the Rosenthal mill which is to be repaid from the proceeds of the Financings.
- (5) As at September 30, 2004, we had construction costs payable of €161.0 million which are expected to be paid through drawdowns utilizing the Stendal project finance facility, thereby increasing debt, Stendal, less current portion.
- (6) Comprised of \$300 million of senior notes converted into Euros at a rate of €0.8053 per U.S. dollar as at September 30, 2004.
- (7) Comprised of €163.6 million of bank indebtedness related to the Rosenthal mill which is to be repaid from the proceeds of the Financings, €4.3 million of indebtedness, less current portion, related to our paper operations and €66.4 million aggregate principal amount of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due October 2010, convertible at any time by the holder into our shares of beneficial interest at \$7.75 per share.
- (8) Includes €4.3 million of indebtedness, less current portion, related to our paper operations and €66.4 million aggregate principal amount of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due October 2010, convertible at any time by the holder into our shares of beneficial interest at \$7.75 per share, but excludes €241.6 million of indebtedness from this offering.
- (9) Reflects €66.4 million aggregate principal amount of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due October 2010, convertible at any time by the holder into our shares of beneficial interest at \$7.75 per share, but excludes €241.6 million of indebtedness from this offering. In addition, Mercer Inc., a member of the restricted group, has guaranteed five credit facilities, aggregating approximately €4.7 million, relating to the paper operations which are operated by an unrestricted subsidiary.
- (10) Assumes the issuance of 4,210,526 of our shares of beneficial interest as partial consideration for the Acquisition and 9,416,196 of our shares of beneficial interest pursuant to the Share Offering. The number of our shares of beneficial interest issued will be definitively determined on the closing of the Acquisition.
- (11) Reflects unrealized losses on securities and foreign currency translation adjustments.

THE ACQUISITION

Summary of Acquisition Agreement

On November 22, 2004, we entered into an agreement, referred to as the "Acquisition Agreement", with KPMG Inc., as receiver of Celgar, to acquire substantially all of the assets of Celgar. The assets to be acquired are substantially all of the operating assets of Celgar, including real property, plant and equipment, personal property, leaseholds, contractual interests and intellectual property. We are not acquiring certain assets of Celgar comprised principally of finished goods inventory, receivables, cash on hand and certain insurance claims. Pursuant to the Acquisition Agreement, we will assume various employment, pension and benefit, asset retirement and contractual obligations of Celgar. We are not assuming any obligations for any current liabilities of Celgar as at the date of closing, except for certain accrued employee liabilities, or any indebtedness of Celgar, whether incurred pre- or post-bankruptcy.

A copy of the Acquisition Agreement is attached as an exhibit to our Form 8-K filed with SEC on November 23, 2004 and the summary of the Acquisition Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the agreement.

Subject to certain adjustments and excluding fees and expenses, the purchase price for the Acquisition is \$210 million, consisting of \$170 million payable in cash and \$40 million payable in our shares of beneficial interest, with an adjustment for the defined working capital at the Celgar mill on closing of the Acquisition. We estimate the additional amount that will be required for the working capital payment to be approximately \$16 million as at September 30, 2004. Our shares to be issued as part of the consideration for the Acquisition will be issued at a price equal to the volume weighted average trading price of our shares on the Nasdaq National Market during the period of 20 consecutive trading days ending on the fifth business day prior to the closing date of the Acquisition, subject to a minimum price of \$7.75 and a maximum price of \$9.50.

The cash portion of the purchase price and the working capital amount is to be funded in part from the proceeds of the Share Offering and the balance is to be funded from this offering. See "The Financings".

On closing of the Acquisition, we will add approximately 420 employees to our operations who were previously employees of Celgar. The initial positions, base salaries and benefits of such employees will be comparable to the positions, salaries and benefits held by them immediately prior to closing. The terms of employment, salaries and benefits applicable to such employees are comparable to other similarly situated employees in the pulp business in British Columbia, Canada.

The Acquisition is subject to various conditions, including financing satisfactory to us and the establishment of new working capital facilities for the Celgar mill and Rosenthal mill. The completion of the Acquisition is also conditional upon certain regulatory approvals, including the expiration or earlier termination of the applicable statutory waiting periods under the *Competition Act* (Canada) and the *Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976*, and a determination that the Acquisition is of net benefit to Canada under the *Investment Canada Act* (Canada). Substantially all of the conditions must be satisfied or waived prior to the issuance of our notes hereunder.

The Acquisition Agreement contains customary representations and warranties of the vendor covering various matters, many of which are qualified by the vendor's knowledge after reasonable investigation and some of which are limited in scope to the receivership period extending from July 23, 1998 to the closing date. Additionally, the vendor's environmental compliance representation and warranty in the Acquisition Agreement is limited in scope to the compliance period of November 15, 2003 to the closing date and certain specified environmental statutes, regulations and rules. The vendor's environmental representations and warranties survive the closing for a period of 12 months

after the closing date and all other representations and warranties made by the parties survive the closing for a period of 15 months after the closing date.

In the case of misrepresentations or breaches of warranty by a party other than the vendor's environmental representations and warranties, the claiming party must incur aggregate damages in excess of \$0.5 million, in which event all such damages up to a maximum of \$30 million may be claimed by the claiming party. In the case of a misrepresentation or breach of warranty in respect of the vendor's environmental representations and warranties, Mercer must incur aggregate damages in excess of \$1 million, in which event all such damages up to a maximum of \$20 million may be claimed by Mercer.

Pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition, the vendor also entered into a lock-up agreement. Subject to certain exceptions, the lock-up agreement generally prohibits the vendor and its transferees, without the prior written consent of the underwriters, from selling, offering or agreeing to sell, hypothecating, pledging, granting an option to purchase or otherwise disposing of any of our shares of beneficial interest or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for our shares of beneficial interest or any warrants or other rights to purchase our shares of beneficial interest or such securities. These restrictions will be in effect for 180 days after the closing date of the Acquisition. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition Agreement, we entered into a registration rights agreement dated November 22, 2004 with the vendor pursuant to which we have agreed to file a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the "Securities Act", to register our shares of beneficial interest to be issued as part of the consideration of the Acquisition within 90 days of the closing date of the Acquisition and to use our commercially reasonable efforts to have the registration statement declared effective within 180 days of the closing date of the Acquisition. Subject to certain exceptions, under the registration rights agreement, if: (i) we fail to file a registration statement covering such shares within 90 days from the closing date of the Acquisition; (ii) the registration statement is not declared effective within 180 days of the closing date of the Acquisition; (iii) the registration statement cannot be used for any reason after being declared effective; or (iv) such shares are not listed on Nasdaq, the New York Stock Exchange or the American Stock Exchange at any time after the deadline for the effectiveness of the registration statement, we are required to pay the vendor liquidated damages equal to an amount determined by multiplying the aggregate purchase price of our shares of beneficial interest held by the vendor by a rate equal to the prime rate set forth in the registration rights agreement plus 2% per annum for each 30-day period (or portion thereof) during which we are in such default. The registration rights agreement also provides piggy-back registration rights for future equity offerings.

Acquisition Rationale

The Acquisition of the Celgar mill reflects our strategy of acquiring world-class NBSK pulp production capacity on terms below comparable replacement cost where we can use our management focus to enhance operations, improve profitability and create value for our stakeholders. It provides us with several strategic benefits and synergies, including the following:

Enhancing Our Position as a Leading Market NBSK Pulp Producer. The Acquisition will make us one of the largest producers of market NBSK pulp in the world. We will have a consolidated annual production capacity of approximately 1.3 million ADMTs of high quality NBSK pulp from three modern NBSK pulp mills located in Europe and North America. We believe this will improve our service to those larger paper and tissue producing customers who wish to develop purchasing arrangements with pulp suppliers that can service them on a worldwide basis.

Creating Value Through Active Management. We believe we can leverage our management focus and turnaround experience to enhance the Celgar mill's operating performance by improving

price realizations, increasing production and reducing production costs. See " Acquisition Opportunities".

Diversifying Our Revenue and Cost Base. In 2003, substantially all of Mercer's revenues resulted from sales in Europe. Approximately 69% of the Celgar mill's sales in 2003 were in Asia, which is the fastest growing market for NBSK pulp imports. The Celgar mill's costs are largely in Canadian dollars, which should reduce our relative exposure to the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and Euro.

Given our management team's experience in converting and optimizing the Rosenthal mill, constructing the Stendal mill and starting up these large scale NBSK pulp mills, we believe we are well positioned to integrate the Celgar mill into our operations and to improve its operating and financial performance over time. We have identified teams of individuals in our organization, at the Celgar mill and from our consulting engineers that, upon the closing of the Acquisition, will initiate the process of integrating the Celgar mill and enhancing its operations.

Acquisition Opportunities

Although the Celgar mill is a modern facility that has generally been well maintained, we believe the mill has not performed at its full potential. As the Celgar mill has been operated by a trustee in bankruptcy since 1998, we believe that there are a number of opportunities that will enable us to enhance the Celgar mill's performance and we are currently targeting C\$25 million in annual operating margin improvements over a three-year period, based on current pricing levels. These opportunities include the following:

Improving Price Realizations. We understand that, in 2003, the Celgar mill's pulp price realizations were approximately C\$38 per ADMT below the average for NBSK pulp mills in British Columbia, Canada. We believe that this resulted from a combination of the mill's current sales arrangements, its product classification and a history of inconsistent production.

Since its assignment into bankruptcy, agents under the supervision of KPMG Inc. have conducted all of the Celgar mill's sales. The mill has lost, or is generally unable to obtain, regular contract sales with customers. Instead, Celgar has relied upon spot sales through third party agents who are generally more focused on volume than price or reducing transportation costs by focusing on the most freight logical customers. We intend to have our existing sales force take over responsibility for supervising and managing all of the Celgar mill's agent sales and perform some of its sales functions directly on a coordinated global basis with our Rosenthal and Stendal mills over time. We believe this will permit us to reduce agents' fees, lower transportation costs, improve price realizations and service our larger customers on a global basis.

The Celgar mill has also suffered lower pulp price realizations as a result of the amount of pulp it classifies as off-grade, primarily because of variations in brightness. It sells such pulp at a substantial discount in the spot market. In 2003, the Celgar mill had off-grade sales of approximately 15% of its total sales, compared to less than 2% at our Rosenthal mill. We believe that we can substantially reduce the amount of such off-grade sales by adding to the number of grades of pulp marketed by the mill and more effectively matching it with customer requirements. We believe that minor variations in brightness would not require such pulp to be sold at a discount to many customers. We also intend to improve the mill's pulp brightness consistency through an upgrade of the EOP washer at the mill. Because of the overall product characteristics of the NBSK pulp produced at the Celgar mill and our experience in managing and coordinating sales at our Rosenthal and Stendal mills, we plan to eliminate or reduce the price realization discount incurred by the Celgar mill in comparison to other NBSK pulp mills in British Columbia, Canada, over time.

Increasing Production. We, in conjunction with our consulting engineers, have identified certain high return strategic capital projects that we believe can increase the production of the Celgar mill, along with lowering its operating costs and improving its reliability. Significant strategic capital projects include improvements to deknottling and screening facilities, installation of an EOP washer, optimization of the mill's steam balance with the addition of a steam condenser and enhancements to the mill's drying capacity.

Through these identified strategic capital projects, along with other enhancements and debottlenecking initiatives, we plan to increase the Celgar mill's annual production capacity to approximately 470,000 ADMTs over time. We have successfully implemented similar initiatives at our Rosenthal mill which increased its annual production capacity from approximately 280,000 ADMTs to approximately 310,000 ADMTs over a three-year period.

Lowering Production Costs. We believe that we can reduce the Celgar mill's production costs by improving its operating consistency and reliability. We plan to achieve such improvements through strategic capital projects such as the installation of the EOP washer. The washer project is designed to reduce costs, improve pulp brightness and improve mill reliability.

Although the Celgar mill has generally been well maintained, we believe that there has been a limited focus on "preventative maintenance" such as we conduct at our Rosenthal mill and we will conduct at our Stendal mill. We believe that a combined management and work force focus on preventative maintenance will enhance running time, reliability and efficiency. We intend to bring many of the existing best practices we have developed at our other mills to the Celgar mill by way of investments in training and leadership. Improved consistency of operations would also allow the Celgar mill to reduce unnecessarily high energy costs. The mill has the capacity to generate approximately 48 megawatts of energy while its steady state consumption requirements are approximately 36 megawatts. Although the mill exports energy from time to time, due to variable mill operations and internal system imbalances, it has also purchased substantial amounts of energy in the past. Once we have implemented our planned strategic capital projects, and assuming we have maximized reliability through best preventative maintenance practices, we believe the mill should generate approximately 46 megawatts of energy and be a consistent net exporter of power. Similar to the efficiencies we have achieved since the start-up of the Rosenthal mill, where natural gas usage has declined by 45%, we believe that over time the Celgar mill should be able to consume substantially less natural gas and has the potential to reduce its energy costs by up to 50%.

We have estimated the amount to be spent on the strategic capital projects referred to above to be an aggregate of approximately C\$25 million over a three-year period. The most significant such project is the installation of the EOP washer at an aggregate approximate cost of C\$8.5 million.

We have an experienced management team that has overseen the conversion and expansion of our Rosenthal mill. Our management team has also overseen the construction of the Stendal mill and is overseeing its start-up. We believe our management team will be able to bring their expertise and management practices to the Celgar mill to enhance the mill's performance.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following sets forth the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements of the Company as at and for the periods indicated. The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements have been prepared by us and give pro forma effect to the Senior Note Offering, the Share Offering, the Acquisition, the refinancing of the bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal mill, the related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses. For a more detailed discussion of the basis of presentation, see note 1 to the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements of the Company. The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of both Mercer and Celgar included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. The pro forma information is presented for illustrative purposes only and does not purport to represent what our actual results of operations or financial position would have been had the matters described above occurred on the dates assumed, nor is it necessarily indicative of our future operating results or combined financial position. The information reflects the operations of Celgar prior to the Acquisition.

Mercer prepares its financial statements in accordance with GAAP. Celgar prepares its financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles, or "Canadian GAAP", which differs in certain respects from GAAP. For a discussion of the principal differences between Canadian GAAP and GAAP as they relate to Celgar and the Company on a pro forma basis, see Note 12 to Celgar's audited financial statements, and Note 5 to the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements of the Company.

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

September 30, 2004
(Expressed in thousands)

	Mercer International Inc.	Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Consolidated Pro Forma
ASSETS					
Current					
Cash and cash equivalents	€ 42,643	€	€ 307,844	4(a)(iii), (iv), 4(b)(ii), (iii), (iv)	€ 48,690
			(150,138)	3	
			(178,691)	4(b)(v)	
			(1,432)	4(b)(v)	
			28,464	4(b)(v)	
Cash restricted	29,346				29,346
Receivables	33,003	18,348	(18,316)	4(b)(i)	33,035
Unrealized foreign exchange derivative gains	899		(420)	4(b)(v)	479
Inventories	59,225	48,513	(27,443)	4(b)(i)	80,295
Prepaid expenses	4,603	882	(616)	4(b)(i)	4,869
Total current assets	169,719	67,743	(40,748)		196,714
Cash restricted	47,538		(28,464)	4(b)(v)	19,074
Property, plant and equipment	942,249	160,963	17,698	4(b)(i)(iv)	1,120,910
Investments	878				878
Equity method investments	3,993				3,993
Deferred note issuance costs	3,908		6,040	4(b)(ii)	9,948
Unrealized foreign exchange derivative gains	14,442				14,442
Other		569			569
Deferred income tax	10,000				10,000
Total assets	€ 1,192,727	€ 229,275	€ (45,474)		€ 1,376,528
LIABILITIES					
Current					
Bank indebtedness	€	€ 13,166	€ (13,166)	4(b)(i)	€
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	64,373	15,384	(12,733)	4(b)(i)	65,766
			(1,258)	4(b)(v)	
Construction costs payable	160,952				160,952
Note payable	1,403				1,403
Debt, Stendal	50,000				50,000
Debt, current portion	15,465	166	(166)	4(b)(i)	376
			(15,089)	4(b)(v)	
Total current liabilities	292,193	28,716	(42,412)		278,497
Debt, Stendal	476,301				476,301
Debt, less current portion	234,317	708,554	241,604	4(a)(iv)	312,319
			(708,554)	4(b)(i)	
			(163,602)	4(b)(v)	
Unrealized interest rate derivative loss	58,874				58,874
Unrealized foreign exchange derivative loss	594		(594)	4(b)(v)	
Pension plan and post retirement benefit obligation		10,953			10,953
Asset retirement obligation		615			615
Capital leases and other	8,853	111	(111)	4(b)(i)	8,853
Total liabilities	1,071,132	748,949	(673,669)		1,146,412

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

	Mercer International Inc.	Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Consolidated Pro Forma
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (CAPITAL DEFICIENCY)					
Shares of beneficial interest	79,736	11,342	80,535	4(a)(iii)	188,257
			(4,228)	4(b)(iii)	
			(11,342)	4(b)(i)	
			32,214	4(a)(ii)	
Additional paid-in capital, stock options	14				14
Retained earnings (deficit)	36,592	(528,066)	528,066	4(b)(i)	36,592
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5,253	(2,950)	2,950	4(b)(i)	5,253
Total shareholders' equity	121,595	(519,674)	628,195		230,116
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	€ 1,192,727	€ 229,275	€ (45,474)		€ 1,376,528

See accompanying Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Nine months ended September 30, 2004
(Expressed in thousands, except per share data)

	Mercer International Inc.	Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Consolidated Pro Forma
Revenues					
Pulp and paper	€ 145,084	€ 132,036	€		€ 277,120
Transportation	2,134				2,134
Other	6,650				6,650
	<u>153,868</u>	<u>132,036</u>			<u>285,904</u>
Cost of sales					
Pulp and paper	131,420	117,034	(5,097)	4(c)(i)	243,357
Transportation	2,222				2,222
	<u>133,642</u>	<u>117,034</u>	<u>(5,097)</u>		<u>245,579</u>
Gross profit	20,226	15,002	5,097		40,325
General and administrative	(21,182)	(14,000)	598	4(c)(ii)	(34,584)
Impairment of capital assets	(6,000)	(79,389)	79,389	4(b)(i)	(6,000)
Flooding losses and expenses, less grant income	(669)				(669)
	<u>(7,625)</u>	<u>(78,387)</u>	<u>85,084</u>		<u>(928)</u>
Income (loss) from operations					
Other income (expense)					
Interest expense	(9,554)	(21,194)	12,350	4(c)(iii)	(18,964)
			(566)	4(c)(iv)	
Investment income	1,679		(1,123)	4(c)(vii)	556
Derivative financial instruments					
Unrealized gain on natural gas forward supply contracts		1,293			1,293
Unrealized loss on interest rate derivatives	(15,825)		101	4(c)(v)	(15,724)
Unrealized and realized gain on foreign exchange rate derivatives	14,748		174	4(c)(v)	14,922
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility		9,448	(7,860)	4(c)(vi)	1,588
	<u>(8,952)</u>	<u>(10,453)</u>	<u>3,076</u>		<u>(16,329)</u>
Total other income (expense)					
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(16,577)	(88,840)	88,160		(17,257)
Income tax benefit	37				37
	<u>(16,540)</u>	<u>(88,840)</u>	<u>88,160</u>		<u>(17,220)</u>
Income (loss) before minority interest					
Minority interest	3,936				3,936
	<u>3,936</u>				<u>3,936</u>

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

	<u>Mercer International Inc.</u>	<u>Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.</u>	<u>Pro Forma Adjustments</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<u>Consolidated Pro Forma</u>
Net income (loss)	€ (12,604)	€ (88,840)	€ 88,160		€ (13,284)
Loss per share					
Basic	€ (0.73)				€ (0.43)
Diluted	€ (0.73)				€ (0.43)
Number of shares outstanding for computing basic and diluted loss per share	17,256,894				30,883,616

See accompanying Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements

S-40

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Year ended December 31, 2003
(Expressed in thousands, except per share data)

	Mercer International Inc.	Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Consolidated Pro Forma
Revenues					
Pulp and paper	€ 182,456	€ 171,169	€		€ 353,625
Transportation	3,607				3,607
Other	8,493				8,493
	<u>194,556</u>	<u>171,169</u>			<u>365,725</u>
Cost of sales					
Pulp and paper	176,655	170,044	(12,813)	4(d)(i)	333,886
Transportation	3,035				3,035
	<u>14,866</u>	<u>1,125</u>	<u>12,813</u>		<u>28,804</u>
Gross profit					28,804
General and administrative	(19,323)	(23,995)	1,485	4(d)(ii)	(41,833)
Settlement expenses	(1,041)				(1,041)
Flooding grants, less losses and expenses	957				957
	<u>(4,541)</u>	<u>(22,870)</u>	<u>14,298</u>		<u>(13,113)</u>
Loss from operations					(13,113)
Other income (expense)					
Interest expense	(11,523)	(30,312)	19,046	4(d)(iii)	(23,544)
			(755)	4(d)(iv)	
Investment income	1,653		(660)	4(d)(vii)	993
Derivative financial instruments					
Unrealized loss on natural gas forward supply contracts		(665)			(665)
Unrealized loss, construction in progress financing	(13,042)				(13,042)
Realized gain, construction in progress financing	743				743
Net gains (losses), other	28,467		(455)	4(d)(v)	28,012
Impairment of equity method investments	(2,255)				(2,255)
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	(5,570)				(5,570)
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility		76,875	(63,958)	4(d)(vi)	12,917
	<u>(1,527)</u>	<u>45,898</u>	<u>(46,782)</u>		<u>(2,411)</u>
Total other expense					(2,411)
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(6,068)	23,028	(32,484)		(15,524)
Income tax provision	(3,172)				(3,172)
	<u>(9,240)</u>	<u>23,028</u>	<u>(32,484)</u>		<u>(18,696)</u>
Income (loss) before minority interest					(18,696)

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

	Mercer International Inc.	Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Consolidated Pro Forma
Minority interest	5,647				5,647
Net income (loss)	€ (3,593)	€ 23,028	€ (32,484)		€ (13,049)
Loss per share					
Basic	€ (0.21)				€ (0.43)
Diluted	€ (0.21)				€ (0.43)
Number of shares outstanding for computing basic and diluted loss per share	16,940,858				30,567,580

See accompanying Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

Nine months ended September 30, 2003
(Expressed in thousands, except per share data)

	Mercer International Inc.	Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Consolidated Pro Forma
Revenues					
Pulp and paper	€ 134,935	€ 130,545	€		€ 265,480
Transportation	2,850				2,850
Other	6,351				6,351
	<u>144,136</u>	<u>130,545</u>			<u>274,681</u>
Cost of sales					
Pulp and paper	131,838	133,457	(9,505)	4(e)(i)	255,790
Transportation	2,388				2,388
	<u>134,226</u>	<u>133,457</u>	<u>(9,505)</u>		<u>258,178</u>
Gross profit (loss)	9,910	(2,912)	9,505		16,503
General and administrative					
Settlement expenses	(12,961)	(17,746)	1,235	4(e)(ii)	(29,472)
Impairment of capital assets	(630)				(630)
Flooding grants, less losses and expenses	1,162				1,162
	<u>(2,519)</u>	<u>(20,658)</u>	<u>10,740</u>		<u>(12,437)</u>
Other income (expense)					
Interest expense	(6,887)	(22,485)	13,757	4(e)(iii)	(16,181)
Investment income	1,055		(566)	4(e)(iv)	542
Derivative financial instruments			(513)	4(e)(vii)	(387)
Unrealized gain on natural gas forward supply contracts		(387)			(387)
Unrealized loss on interest rate derivatives	(22,832)		307	4(e)(v)	(22,525)
Unrealized and realized gain on foreign exchange rate derivatives	19,228		(18,642)	4(e)(v)	586
Net gain (losses), other	20				20
Impairment of equity method investments					
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	(5,511)				(5,511)
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility		61,484	(51,153)	4(e)(vi)	10,331
	<u>(14,927)</u>	<u>38,612</u>	<u>(56,810)</u>		<u>(33,125)</u>
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest					
	(17,446)	17,954	(46,070)		(45,562)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(226)				(226)

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

	Mercer International Inc.	Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc.	Pro Forma Adjustments	Notes	Consolidated Pro Forma
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Income (loss) before minority interest	(17,672)	17,954	(46,070)		(45,788)
Minority interest	8,499				8,499
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Net income (loss)	€ (9,173)	€ 17,954	€ (46,070)		€ (37,289)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Loss per share					
Basic	€ (0.54)				€ (1.22)
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Diluted	€ (0.54)				€ (1.22)
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Number of shares outstanding for computing basic and diluted loss per share	16,887,262				30,513,984
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>

See accompanying Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2004

(Expressed in thousands, unless otherwise stated)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

On November 22, 2004, we entered into an agreement with KPMG Inc., as receiver of Celgar, to acquire substantially all of the assets of Celgar (Note 3). The completion of the Acquisition is subject to, among other things, us raising from the sale of our equity and/or debt securities funds to finance the payment of the purchase price and refinance the indebtedness of our Rosenthal pulp mill, on terms and conditions satisfactory to us, and us having accepted a commitment from a Canadian chartered bank or its affiliates for an operating credit facility of not less than US\$30 million. We have made certain assumptions in respect of the anticipated equity and debt to be issued to finance the Acquisition (Note 4). The Acquisition has been accounted for by the purchase method of accounting in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations.

Our unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements as at September 30, 2004 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 and the year ended December 31, 2003 have been prepared by management after giving effect to the Acquisition, the anticipated equity and debt to be issued to finance the Acquisition and refinance all the bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal mill, the related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses. These pro forma consolidated financial statements have been compiled from and include:

- (a) A pro forma consolidated balance sheet combining the unaudited consolidated balance sheet of Mercer as at September 30, 2004 with the unaudited balance sheet of Celgar as at September 30, 2004;
- (b) A pro forma consolidated statement of operations combining the unaudited consolidated statement of operations of Mercer for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 with the unaudited statement of operations of Celgar for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003; and
- (c) A pro forma consolidated statement of operations combining the audited consolidated statement of operations of Mercer for the year ended December 31, 2003 with the audited statement of operations of Celgar for the year ended December 31, 2003.

The September 30, 2004 pro forma balance sheet has been prepared as if the transaction described in Note 3 had occurred on September 30, 2004. The pro forma statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 have been prepared as if the transactions described in Note 3 had occurred on January 1, 2003.

The pro forma statements have been presented in Euros which is the reporting currency for Mercer. The exchange rates used for conversion to Euros throughout these statements are included in the table below:

	US\$	C\$
As at September 30, 2004	1.2417	1.5694
Average for the Nine months ending September 30, 2004	1.2255	1.6275
Average for the Twelve months ending December 31, 2003	1.1321	1.5865
Average for the Nine months ending September 30, 2003	1.1124	1.5902

S-43

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

It is management's opinion that these pro forma consolidated financial statements include all adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of the transactions described in Note 3 in accordance with GAAP applied on a basis consistent with Mercer's accounting policies. The pro forma consolidated financial statements are not intended to reflect the results of operations or the financial position of Mercer which would have actually resulted had the proposed transactions been effected on the dates indicated. Further, the pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of Mercer's financial position or results of operations that may be obtained in the future.

The unaudited pro forma financial statements should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements and notes thereto of Celgar and Mercer included in this prospectus supplement and our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004 filed with the SEC.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements have been compiled using the significant accounting policies as set out in the audited financial statements of Mercer for the year ended December 31, 2003 included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 filed with the SEC. The significant accounting policies of Celgar, after adjustment into GAAP, conform in all material respects to those of Mercer. The differences between Canadian GAAP and GAAP which would have a material effect on these pro forma consolidated financial statements are reflected in Note 5.

Note 3. Business Acquisition

Celgar

Pursuant to the Acquisition Agreement dated November 22, 2004 between the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiary, 0706906 B.C. Ltd. and KPMG Inc. (in its capacity as receiver of Celgar), the Company has agreed to acquire substantially all of the assets of Celgar for a purchase price of €169,123 (US\$210,000), of which €136,909 (US\$170,000) is payable in cash and €32,214 (US\$40,000) is payable in shares of beneficial interest of Mercer, plus an amount for the defined working capital at the Celgar mill on the closing date of the Acquisition. We will not assume any of Celgar's debt, equity and other liabilities, except for certain accrued employee liabilities, pension plan and post retirement benefit obligations and asset retirement obligations. The purchase price is subject to certain adjustments and excludes fees and expenses. The aggregate purchase price of the Acquisition is estimated to be €186,379 (US\$231,427).

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The preliminary estimated allocation of the purchase price is summarized below and is subject to change. The actual allocation of the purchase price will be based upon the fair values of the net assets of Celgar at the date of acquisition.

Purchase price:	
Cash	€ 150,138
Equity shares of beneficial interest	32,214
Estimated acquisition costs	4,027
	186,379
	€ 186,379
Net assets acquired:	
Receivables	€ 32
Inventory	21,070
Prepays	266
Property, plant and equipment	178,662
Other assets	569
Accrued liabilities	(2,652)
Asset retirement obligation	(615)
Pension plan and post-retirement benefits obligation	(10,953)
	186,379
	€ 186,379

Celgar's unaudited balance sheet as at September 30, 2004, unaudited statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 and audited statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003 have been restated into GAAP and Euros as presented in Note 5.

The cash portion of the purchase price and the defined working capital amount will be financed from the partial net proceeds of the equity and debt offerings as described in Note 4(a).

Note 4. Pro Forma Adjustments

The respective pro forma adjustments are explained below beside the corresponding footnote:

- (a) General Assumptions:
 - (i) The Company will acquire the assets as described in Note 3 from KPMG Inc., as receiver of Celgar.
 - (ii) The Company expects to issue 4,210,526 shares of beneficial interest at an assumed price of US\$9.50 per share which represents €32,214 (US\$40,000) of the purchase price as described in Note 3 as partial consideration for the Acquisition. The exact number of shares of beneficial interest to be issued will be definitively determined on the closing of the Acquisition pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition Agreement and may range from 4,210,526 to 5,161,290.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

(iii) Assuming an issue price of US\$10.62, the Company intends to issue 9,416,196 shares of beneficial interest to raise gross proceeds of €80,535 (US\$100,000) to finance the Acquisition, refinance all the bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal mill and for general corporate purposes. The assumed issue price of US\$10.62 per share was based on the last reported sale price of our shares of beneficial interest on the Nasdaq National Market on December 8, 2004. The price and number of shares of beneficial interest actually issued will depend on market conditions and the demand for our equity securities.

(iv) The Company intends to issue debt securities to raise gross proceeds of €241,604 (US\$300,000) to finance the Acquisition, refinance all the bank indebtedness of our Rosenthal mill and for general corporate purposes. The interest rate and aggregate principal amount of debt securities actually issued will depend on market conditions and the demand for our debt securities.

(b) Assumptions for unaudited pro forma consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2004:

(i) We will acquire substantially all the assets of Celgar through the Acquisition Agreement as described in Note 3.

(ii) Estimated debt financing costs of €6,040 will be deferred and amortized over eight years, which is the expected term of the related debt.

(iii) Estimated equity financing costs of €4,228 will be netted against the gross proceeds of equity issued.

(iv) Acquisition costs estimated at €4,027 have been added to the cost of the Acquisition.

(v) The Rosenthal debt of €178,691 and the associated unrealized net derivative liabilities of €1,432 will be settled and refinanced through proceeds from the debt and equity offerings and €28,464 of long-term restricted cash. This long-term restricted cash becomes unrestricted upon the settlement of the Rosenthal debt.

(c) Assumptions for pro forma consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2004:

(i) Amortization expense has been decreased by €5,097 to reflect reduction in asset value and harmonization of depreciation policies.

(ii) Reduce general and administrative expenses by €598 which are non-recurring professional costs related to the oversight of the Celgar mill by the receiver and trustee. These costs will not be incurred in the future as these services will be provided by the Company's senior officers.

(iii) Reduced interest expense of €12,350 has been recorded to reflect the reversal of interest on the Celgar debt and the refinancings of the Rosenthal debt, offset by the €241,641 (US\$300,000) debt securities financing.

(iv) Amortization of deferred financing costs of €566 has been charged to interest expense.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (v) A decrease in unrealized loss on interest rate derivatives of €101 and an increase in unrealized and realized gain on foreign exchange rate derivatives of €174 to reflect derivatives settled on the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt. These derivatives and the Rosenthal debt will be settled and refinanced with partial proceeds from the issuance of our debt securities.
 - (vi) A decrease in foreign exchange gain of €7,860 on the Celgar term facility to reflect the new financing inherent in the Acquisition. The Company will not be assuming such Celgar term facility pursuant to the Acquisition (Note 3).
 - (vii) Reduced investment income of €1,123 to reflect the reduction of interest earned on restricted cash utilized in the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt.
 - (viii) No tax expense has been recorded for items (i) thru (vii) above as the Company has sufficient tax loss carry-forwards available that could be utilized against taxes payable. The Company maintains a valuation reserve against the majority of these loss carry-forwards due to uncertainties regarding future taxable income.
- (d) Assumptions for pro forma consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2003:
- (i) Amortization expense has been decreased by €12,813 to reflect reduction in asset value and harmonization of depreciation policies.
 - (ii) Reduce general and administrative expenses by €1,485 which are non-recurring professional costs related to the oversight of the Celgar operations by the receiver and trustee in bankruptcy. These costs will not be incurred in the future as these services will be provided by the Company's senior officers.
 - (iii) Reduced interest expense of €19,046 has been recorded to reflect the reversal of interest on the Celgar debt and the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt, offset by the €241,604 (US\$300,000) debt securities financing.
 - (iv) Amortization of deferred financing costs of €755 has been charged to interest expense.
 - (v) A decrease in net gain (losses), other of €455 to reflect derivatives settled on the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt. These derivatives and the Rosenthal debt will be settled and refinanced with partial proceeds from the issuance of our debt securities.
 - (vi) A decrease in foreign exchange gain of €63,958 on the Celgar term facility to reflect the new financing inherent in the Acquisition. The Company will not be assuming such Celgar term facility pursuant to the Acquisition (Note 3).
 - (vii) Reduced investment income by €660 to reflect the reduction of interest earned on restricted cash utilized in the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt.
 - (viii) No tax expense has been recorded for items (i) thru (vii) above as the Company has sufficient tax loss carry-forwards available that could be utilized against taxes payable. The

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Company maintains a valuation reserve against the majority of these loss carry-forwards due to uncertainties regarding future taxable income.

(e)

Assumptions for pro forma consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2003:

- (i) Amortization expense has been decreased by €9,505 to reflect reduction in asset value and harmonization of depreciation policies.
- (ii) Reduce general and administrative expenses by €1,235 which are non-recurring professional costs related to the oversight of the Celgar mill by the receiver and trustee. These costs will not be incurred in the future as these services will be provided by the Company's senior officers.
- (iii) Reduced interest expense of €13,757 has been recorded to reflect the reversal of interest on the Celgar debt and the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt, offset by the €241,604 (US\$300,000) debt securities financing.
- (iv) Amortization of deferred financing costs of €566 has been charged to interest expense.
- (v) A decrease in unrealized loss on interest rate derivatives of €307 and in unrealized and realized gain on foreign exchange rate derivatives of €18,642 to reflect derivatives settled on the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt. These derivatives and the Rosenthal debt will be settled and refinanced with partial proceeds from the issuance of our debt securities.
- (vi) A decrease in foreign exchange gain of €51,153 on the Celgar term facility to reflect the new financing inherent in the Acquisition. The Company will not be assuming such Celgar term facility pursuant to the Acquisition (Note 3).
- (vii) Reduced investment income of €513 to reflect the reduction of interest earned on restricted cash utilized in the refinancing of the Rosenthal debt.
- (viii) No tax expense has been recorded for items (i) thru (vii) above as the Company has sufficient tax loss carry-forwards available that could be utilized against taxes payable. The Company maintains a valuation reserve against the majority of these loss carry-forwards due to uncertainties regarding future taxable income.

Note 5. GAAP Differences

Celgar prepares its financial statements in accordance with Canadian GAAP and in Canadian dollars. The table below summarizes the conversion from Canadian GAAP and Canadian dollars to GAAP and the Euro. The GAAP adjustments are more fully disclosed in Note 10 of the unaudited financial statements of Celgar for the nine month period ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 and Note 12 of the audited financial statements of Celgar for the year ended December 31, 2003 in this prospectus supplement. The conversion from Canadian dollars to Euros has been reflected at the rates described in Note 1.

BALANCE SHEET OF STONE VENEPAL (CELGAR) PULP INC., IN BANKRUPTCY
September 30, 2004
(Unaudited)

(Expressed in thousands, unless otherwise stated)

	<u>Canadian GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP Adjustments</u>	<u>GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP</u>
Assets				
Current				
Accounts receivable	C\$ 27,551	C\$ 1,244	C\$ 28,795	€ 18,348
Inventories	76,136		76,136	48,513
Prepaid expenses and other	1,384		1,384	882
	<u>105,071</u>	<u>1,244</u>	<u>106,315</u>	<u>67,743</u>
Total current assets	105,071	1,244	106,315	67,743
Property, plant and equipment	252,613		252,613	160,963
Other assets		893	893	569
	<u>357,684</u>	<u>2,137</u>	<u>359,821</u>	<u>229,275</u>
Total assets	C\$ 357,684	C\$ 2,137	C\$ 359,821	€ 229,275
Liabilities				
Current				
Bank indebtedness	C\$ 20,662	C\$	C\$ 20,662	€ 13,166
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	24,145		24,145	15,384
Current portion of obligation under capital leases	261		261	166
	<u>45,068</u>		<u>45,068</u>	<u>28,716</u>
Total current liabilities	45,068		45,068	28,716
Asset retirement obligation	965		965	615
Pre-bankruptcy and other debt	1,123,660	5,523	1,129,183	719,507
Obligation under capital leases	174		174	111
	<u>1,169,867</u>	<u>5,523</u>	<u>1,175,390</u>	<u>748,949</u>
Total liabilities	1,169,867	5,523	1,175,390	748,949
Shareholders' deficiency				
Share capital	17,800		17,800	11,342
Deficit	(829,983)	1,244	(828,739)	(528,066)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		(4,630)	(4,630)	(2,950)
	<u>(812,183)</u>	<u>(3,386)</u>	<u>(815,569)</u>	<u>(519,674)</u>
Total shareholders' deficiency	(812,183)	(3,386)	(815,569)	(519,674)
	<u>357,684</u>	<u>2,137</u>	<u>359,821</u>	<u>229,275</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	C\$ 357,684	C\$ 2,137	C\$ 359,821	€ 229,275

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS OF STONE VENEPAL (CELGAR) PULP INC., IN BANKRUPTCY
Nine months ended September 30, 2004
(Unaudited)

(Expressed in thousands, unless otherwise stated)

	<u>Canadian GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP Adjustments</u>	<u>GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP</u>
Net sales	C\$ 214,886	C\$	C\$ 214,886	€ 132,036
Operating expenses				
Cost of products sold	167,637		167,637	103,004
Depreciation and amortization	22,833		22,833	14,030
General and administrative	22,784		22,784	14,000
Impairment loss on property, plant and equipment	129,204		129,204	79,389
	<u>342,458</u>		<u>342,458</u>	<u>210,423</u>
Operating income	<u>(127,572)</u>		<u>(127,572)</u>	<u>(78,387)</u>
Other income (expense)				
Short-term interest expense	(474)		(474)	(291)
Interest expense on term credit facility	(34,019)		(34,019)	(20,903)
Unrealized gain (loss) on natural gas forward supply contracts		2,104	2,104	1,293
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility	15,377		15,377	9,448
	<u>(19,116)</u>	<u>2,104</u>	<u>(17,012)</u>	<u>(10,453)</u>
Net earnings (loss) for the year	<u>C\$ (146,688)</u>	<u>C\$ 2,104</u>	<u>C\$ (144,584)</u>	<u>€ (88,840)</u>

S-50

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS OF STONE VENEPAL (CELGAR) PULP INC., IN BANKRUPTCY
Year ended December 31, 2003
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in thousands, unless otherwise stated)

	<u>Canadian GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP Adjustments</u>	<u>GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP</u>
Net sales	C\$ 271,566	C\$	C\$ 271,566	€ 171,169
Operating expenses				
Cost of products sold	230,555		230,555	145,320
Depreciation and amortization	39,225		39,225	24,724
General and administrative	38,069		38,069	23,995
	<u>307,849</u>		<u>307,849</u>	<u>194,039</u>
Operating loss	(36,283)		(36,283)	(22,870)
Other income (expense)				
Short-term interest expense	(512)		(512)	(323)
Interest expense on term credit facility	(47,579)		(47,579)	(29,989)
Unrealized gain (loss) on natural gas forward supply contracts		(1,055)	(1,055)	(665)
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility	121,965		121,965	76,875
	<u>73,874</u>	<u>(1,055)</u>	<u>72,819</u>	<u>45,898</u>
Net earnings (loss) for the year	C\$ 37,591	C\$ (1,055)	C\$ 36,536	€ 23,028

S-51

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS OF STONE VENEPAL (CELGAR) PULP INC., IN BANKRUPTCY
Nine months ended September 30, 2003
(Unaudited)

(Expressed in thousands, unless otherwise stated)

	<u>Canadian GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP Adjustments</u>	<u>GAAP</u>	<u>GAAP</u>
Net sales	C\$ 207,593	C\$	C\$ 207,593	€ 130,545
Operating expenses				
Cost of products sold	182,903		182,903	115,019
Depreciation and amortization	29,320		29,320	18,438
General and administrative	28,220		28,220	17,746
	<u>240,443</u>		<u>240,443</u>	<u>151,203</u>
Loss from operations	(32,850)		(32,850)	(20,658)
Other income (expense)				
Short-term interest expense	(83)		(83)	(52)
Interest expense on term credit facility	(35,673)		(35,673)	(22,433)
Unrealized gain (loss) on natural gas forward supply contracts		(615)	(615)	(387)
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility	97,772		97,772	61,484
	<u>62,016</u>	<u>(615)</u>	<u>61,401</u>	<u>38,612</u>
Net earnings (loss) for the year	C\$ 29,166	C\$ (615)	C\$ 28,551	€ 17,954

S-52

Note 6. Restricted Group and Unrestricted Subsidiaries

The unaudited pro forma financial data below represents the financial results and condition of the restricted group of Mercer International Inc. and those of its subsidiaries that will be restricted subsidiaries under the indenture governing the notes. The restricted group is comprised of Mercer Inc., Rosenthal and Celgar. The unrestricted subsidiaries, comprised of Stendal and Dresden, will not be subject to the restrictive covenants of the indenture. This pro forma restricted group financial data excludes the effect of consolidation of the ownership and operation of the unrestricted subsidiaries. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet of the restricted group includes the pro forma effect of the Financings, the Acquisition, related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses and excludes the effect of consolidation of the ownership of the unrestricted subsidiaries. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations of the restricted group gives effect to the Financings, the Acquisition, related transactions and the payment of estimated fees and expenses as if they occurred at the beginning of each period presented and eliminates the results of operations of the unrestricted subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidated restricted group. We have included this pro forma restricted group financial data because we believe the data provides investors with helpful information with respect to the financial results of the business and operations that will be subject to the restrictive covenants in the indenture governing the notes.

The pro forma restricted group financial data also reflects transactions between the restricted group and the unrestricted subsidiaries, such as sales of pulp by Rosenthal to Dresden and interest payments accruing from Stendal to Rosenthal. Mercer Inc., a member of the restricted group, has guaranteed five credit facilities, aggregating approximately €4.7 million, relating to the paper operations which are operated by an unrestricted subsidiary.

PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (RESTRICTED GROUP)
September 30, 2004
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in thousands)

	Consolidated Pro Forma	Pro Forma Unrestricted Subsidiaries	Pro Forma Restricted Group
ASSETS			
Current			
Cash and cash equivalents	€ 48,690	€ 5,959	€ 42,731
Cash restricted	29,346	29,346	
Receivables	33,035	16,937	16,098
Unrealized foreign exchange derivative gains	479	479	
Inventories	80,295	44,969	35,326
Prepaid expenses	4,869	2,320	2,549
	196,714	100,010	96,704
Total current assets			
Cash restricted	19,074	19,074	
Property, plant and equipment	1,120,910	726,005	394,905
Other	25,388		21,395
Unrealized foreign exchange derivative gains	14,442	14,442	
Due from unrestricted group		4,189	43,139
	€ 1,376,528	€ 863,720	€ 556,143
Total assets			
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current			
Accounts payable and accrued expense	€ 65,766	€ 39,312	€ 26,454
Construction costs payable	160,952	160,952	
Note payable	1,403	1,403	
Debt, Stendal	50,000	50,000	
Debt, current portion	376	376	
	278,497	252,043	26,454
Total current liabilities			
Debt, Stendal	476,301	476,301	
Debt, less current portion	312,319	4,274	308,045
Due to restricted group		43,139	
Derivative financial instruments, construction in progress	58,874	58,874	
Other	20,421	6,600	13,821
	1,146,412	841,231	348,320
Total liabilities			
Shareholders' equity	230,116	22,489	207,823
	€ 1,376,528	€ 863,720	€ 556,143
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity			

The sum of the pro forma restricted group and unrestricted subsidiaries may not equal the pro forma consolidated amounts as intercompany transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated pro forma.

PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (RESTRICTED GROUP)
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in thousands, except per share data)

	Consolidated Pro Forma	Pro Forma Unrestricted Subsidiaries	Pro Forma Restricted Group
Revenues	€ 285,904	€ 42,255	€ 245,939
Cost of sales	245,579	42,913	204,876
Gross Profit	40,325	(658)	41,063
General and administrative	(34,584)	(10,605)	(23,979)
Impairment of capital assets	(6,000)	(6,000)	
Flooding grants, less losses and expenses	(669)	(669)	
Income (loss) from operations	(928)	(17,932)	17,084
Other income (expense)			
Interest expense	(18,964)	(3,413)	(20,584)
Investment income	556	(301)	1,881
Derivative financial instruments	491	(802)	1,293
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility	1,588		1,588
Total other expense	(16,329)	(4,516)	(15,822)
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(17,257)	(22,448)	1,262
Income tax benefit	37		37
Income (loss) before minority interest	(17,220)	(22,448)	1,299
Minority interest	3,936	3,936	
Net income (loss)	€ (13,284)	€(18,512)	€ 1,299
Supplemental Disclosure:			
Depreciation and amortization	€ 26,150	€ 2,563	€ 23,587

The sum of the pro forma restricted group and unrestricted subsidiaries may not equal the pro forma consolidated amounts as intercompany transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated pro forma.

PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (RESTRICTED GROUP)

Year Ended December 31, 2003

(Unaudited)

(Expressed in thousands, except per share data)

	Consolidated Pro Forma	Pro Forma Unrestricted Subsidiaries	Pro Forma Restricted Group
Revenues	€ 365,725	€ 57,339	€ 310,721
Cost of sales	336,921	52,735	286,521
Gross Profit	28,804	4,604	24,200
General and administrative	(41,833)	(8,809)	(33,024)
Settlement expenses	(1,041)		(1,041)
Flooding grants, less losses and expenses	957	957	
Loss from operations	(13,113)	(3,248)	(9,865)
Other income (expense)			
Interest expense	(23,544)	(4,405)	(26,715)
Investment income	993	871	3,704
Derivative financial instruments	15,048	(12,299)	27,347
Impairment of equity method investment	(2,255)		(2,255)
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	(5,570)	(1,090)	(4,480)
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility	12,917		12,917
Total other expense	(2,411)	(16,923)	10,518
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(15,524)	(20,171)	653
Income tax (provision) benefit	(3,172)		(3,172)
Loss before minority interest	(18,696)	(20,171)	(2,519)
Minority interest	5,647	5,647	
Net loss	€ (13,049)	€(14,524)	€ (2,519)
Supplemental Disclosure:			
Depreciation and amortization	€ 36,016	€ 2,031	€ 33,985

The sum of the pro forma restricted group and unrestricted subsidiaries may not equal the pro forma consolidated amounts as intercompany transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated pro forma.

PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (RESTRICTED GROUP)**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003****(Unaudited)****(Expressed in thousands, except per share data)**

	Consolidated Pro Forma	Pro Forma Unrestricted Subsidiaries	Pro Forma Restricted Group
Revenues	€ 274,681	€ 43,313	€ 233,390
Cost of sales	258,178	39,126	221,231
Gross Profit	16,503	4,187	12,159
General and administrative	(29,472)	(5,473)	(23,999)
Settlement expenses	(630)		(630)
Flooding grants, less losses and expenses	1,162	1,162	
Loss from operations	(12,437)	(124)	(12,470)
Other income (expense)			
Interest expense	(16,181)	(3,297)	(19,844)
Investment income	542	(1)	3,666
Derivative financial instruments	(22,306)	(21,939)	(367)
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	(5,511)	(1,070)	(4,441)
Foreign exchange gain on term credit facility	10,331		10,331
Total other expense	(33,125)	(26,307)	(10,655)
Loss before income taxes and minority interest	(45,562)	(26,431)	(23,125)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(226)		(226)
Loss before minority interest	(45,788)	(26,431)	(23,351)
Minority interest	8,499	8,499	
Net loss	€ (37,289)	€(17,932)	€ (23,351)

Supplemental Disclosure:

Depreciation and amortization	€ 27,068	€ 1,799	€ 25,269
-------------------------------	----------	---------	----------

The sum of the pro forma restricted group and unrestricted subsidiaries may not equal the pro forma consolidated amounts as intercompany transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated pro forma.

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MERCER

The following tables set forth selected historical consolidated financial and operating data of Mercer as at and for the periods indicated. Effective January 1, 2002, we changed our reporting currency from the U.S. dollar to the Euro. Accordingly, the following selected financial data for periods prior to the year ended December 31, 2002 has been restated in Euros and reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation. You should read the selected financial data set forth below in conjunction with Mercer's consolidated financial statements and the related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Mercer" included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. The selected financial data as at and for the four years ended December 31, 2003 has been derived from Mercer's audited consolidated financial statements. The selected financial data as at September 30, 2004 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 has been derived from Mercer's unaudited consolidated financial statements and includes, in the opinion of Mercer's management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly this unaudited financial data. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for an entire fiscal year.

Selected financial data as at and for the period ended December 31, 1999 is included in Mercer's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, which is incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. Management believes that the selected financial data relating to 1999 does not provide a meaningful comparison of financial and operating data to the periods shown below. In 1999, the Rosenthal mill was shut down from July to December while we completed a major capital project which converted the Rosenthal mill to the production of NBSK pulp from sulphite pulp and increased its annual production capacity from approximately 160,000 ADMTs to approximately 280,000 ADMTs and subsequently to approximately 310,000 ADMTs. Between 1998 and 2000, we owned and operated an additional four paper mills that produced packaging, carton and printing papers which have been divested pursuant to our strategy to focus on our core operations.

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000	2001 ⁽¹⁾	2002 ⁽¹⁾	2003	2003	2004
						(unaudited)
	(in thousands, except for per share data)					
Statement of Operations Data:						
Revenues	€ 258,883	€ 216,447	€ 239,132	€ 194,556	€ 144,136	€ 153,868
Cost of sales	193,704	184,679	213,463	179,690	134,226	133,642
General administration and other	15,514	18,436	26,814	19,407	12,429	27,851
Income (loss) from operations	49,665	13,332	(1,145)	(4,541)	(2,519)	(7,625)
Interest expense	(15,198)	(16,170)	(13,753)	(11,523)	(6,887)	(9,554)
Other income (expense)	(2,337)	98	(2,653)	9,996	(8,040)	602
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	32,130	(2,740)	(17,551)	(6,068)	(17,446)	(16,577)
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	(117)	(83)	264	(3,172)	(226)	37
Minority interest			10,965	5,647	8,499	3,936
Net income (loss)	€ 32,013	€ (2,823)	€ (6,322)	€ (3,593)	€ (9,173)	€ (12,604)
Earnings (loss) per share:						
Basic	€ 1.91	€ (0.17)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.21)	€ (0.54)	€ (0.73)
Diluted	€ 1.87	€ (0.17)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.21)	€ (0.54)	€ (0.73)
Shares used in computing earnings (loss) per share:						
Basic	16,779	16,875	16,775	16,941	16,887	17,257
Diluted	17,144	16,875	16,775	16,941	16,887	17,257

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

As at December 31,

	2000	2001 ⁽¹⁾	2002 ⁽¹⁾	2003	As at September 30, 2004
					(unaudited)

(in thousands)

Balance Sheet Data:

Cash and cash equivalents	€ 19,689	€ 11,741	€ 30,261	€ 51,993	€ 42,643
Restricted cash	26,775	33,388	48,254	59,367 ⁽²⁾	76,884 ⁽²⁾
Working capital (deficit)	28,388	15,544	6,328	(48,947) ⁽³⁾	(122,474) ⁽³⁾
Total assets	429,724	429,593	599,750	935,905 ⁽⁴⁾	1,192,727
Debt, current portion, and note payable	29,822	25,752	17,138	17,178	16,868
Note payable, construction in progress ⁽⁵⁾			15,000		
Debt, Stendal, current portion				80,000	50,000
Debt, less current portion ⁽⁶⁾	221,772	216,871	205,393	255,901	234,317
Debt, Stendal, less current portion ⁽⁵⁾			146,485	324,238	476,301
Shareholders' equity	133,497	131,613	124,969	132,855	121,595

Year Ended December 31,

Nine Months Ended
September 30,

	2000	2001 ⁽¹⁾	2002 ⁽¹⁾	2003	2003	2004
						(unaudited)

(in thousands, except for ratio and ADMT data)

Other Data:

Net cash from (used in) operating activities	€ 43,395	€ 30,364	€ 40,446	€ 31,440	€ 6,969	€ (1,185)
Capital expenditures ⁽⁷⁾	€ 27,028	€ 10,097	€ 13,800	€ 14,647	€ 9,485	€ 6,611
Operating EBITDA ⁽⁸⁾	€ 73,711	€ 36,298	€ 24,469	€ 19,564	€ 15,616	€ 15,592
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽⁹⁾	3.11	0.83 ⁽¹⁰⁾	⁽¹⁰⁾	0.32 ⁽¹⁰⁾	⁽¹⁰⁾	⁽¹⁰⁾

Rosenthal Mill Operating Data⁽¹¹⁾:

Sales volume (ADMTs) ⁽¹²⁾	239,552	285,654	293,607	303,655	221,926	229,462
Productivity (ADMTs produced per day)	736	858	887	898	893	914
Average price realized (per ADMT) ⁽¹²⁾	€ 667	€ 512	€ 443	€ 417	€ 416	€ 452
Cash production costs (per ADMT produced) ⁽¹³⁾	€ 353	€ 342	€ 312	€ 307	€ 310	€ 300
Income (loss) from operations	€ 49,594	€ 18,610	€ 3,421	€ 1,931	€ (22)	€ 13,004
Depreciation and amortization ⁽¹⁴⁾	€ 20,481	€ 21,422	€ 21,567	€ 21,881	€ 16,311	€ 14,166
Capital expenditures	€ 23,766	€ 7,416	€ 8,426	€ 6,869	€ 3,459	€ 2,924

(1)

In December 2001, we acquired Landqart, which operates a specialty paper mill, for approximately \$2.7 million. Results from the Landqart mill are not included in our results for 2001, but are included for 2002. The Landqart mill sold approximately 18,222 ADMTs for €39.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2002. At the end of 2002, we transferred 20% of our interest in Landqart to a Swiss bank and exchanged our remaining 80% interest for an indirect 39% minority interest through a limited partnership on a non-cash basis. As of December 31, 2002, our interest in the Landqart mill was no longer consolidated and is included in our financial results on an equity basis.

(2)

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

As at December 31, 2003, this amount is comprised of €15.2 million for payment of construction in progress costs payable and €19.1 million in a debt service account, relating to construction in progress at the site of the Stendal mill, as well as €25.1 million in a debt service account relating to the Rosenthal mill. As at September 30, 2004, this amount is comprised of €29.3 million for payment of construction costs payable and €19.1 million in a debt service account, both relating to the Stendal project, as well as €28.5 million in a debt service account related to the Rosenthal mill.

(3)

We had a working capital deficit of €48.9 million and €122.5 million at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, respectively, primarily because we had Stendal construction costs payable of €42.8 million and €161.0 million at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, respectively, for which we had not yet drawn down under the Stendal project finance facility and, under our accounting policies, we do not record certain

S-59

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

government grants until they are received. The Stendal construction costs will be paid pursuant to the Stendal project finance facility in the ordinary course. At September 30, 2004, we qualified for additional investment grants related to the Stendal mill totaling approximately €65.2 million from the federal and state governments of Germany, which we expect to receive in 2005. Approximately €61.2 million of these grants, when received, will be applied to repay the amounts drawn under the dedicated tranche of the Stendal project finance facility. The grants are not reported in our income and reduce the cost basis of the assets purchased when they are received. We expect to qualify for additional investment grants totaling €23.3 million when such Stendal construction costs have been substantially paid.

- (4) Includes approximately €503.2 million as at December 31, 2003 related to properties construction in progress at the site of the Stendal mill.
- (5) Construction of the Stendal mill commenced in August 2002 and was completed substantially on its planned schedule and budget in the third quarter of 2004. As a result, as at September 30, 2004, liabilities relating to the construction of the Stendal mill were no longer classified as construction in progress.
- (6) As at September 30, 2004, this includes €66.4 million aggregate principal amount of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due October 2010, convertible at any time by the holder into our shares of beneficial interest at \$7.75 per share.
- (7) Excluding capital expenditures of approximately €186.9 million, €399.4 million, €284.1 million and €235.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, respectively, relating to the Stendal project.
- (8) Net Income (Loss) to Operating EBITDA Reconciliation:

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited)					
	(in thousands)					
Net income (loss)	€ 32,013	€ (2,823)	€ (6,322)	€ (3,593)	€ (9,173)	€ (12,604)
Minority interest			(10,965)	(5,647)	(8,499)	(3,936)
Income taxes	117	83	(264)	3,172	226	(37)
Interest expense	15,198	16,170	13,753	11,523	6,887	9,554
Investment income	2,337	(2,872)	(436)	(1,653)	(1,055)	(1,679)
Derivative financial instruments		2,504	6,679	(16,168)	3,604	1,077
Impairment of investments				7,825	5,511	
Other		270	(3,590)		(20)	
	49,665	13,332	(1,145)	(4,541)	(2,519)	(7,625)
Income (loss) from operations						
Add: Depreciation and amortization	24,046	22,966	25,614	24,105	18,135	17,217
Impairment charge						6,000
Operating EBITDA	€ 73,711	€ 36,298	€ 24,469	€ 19,564	€ 15,616	€ 15,592

In connection with Mercer's results for the third quarter of 2004, our management determined to record and our audit committee approved a non-cash impairment charge of €6.0 million to write-off the carrying value of our Fährbrücke paper mill assets. We determined to take the impairment charge as the Fährbrücke mill has generated weaker than expected returns over a period of time despite changes to its product mix. We do not expect the impairment charge in and of itself to result in future cash expenditures as we intend to continue to operate the Fährbrücke mill.

We define Operating EBITDA for this purpose as income from operations plus depreciation and amortization and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges. Management uses Operating EBITDA as a benchmark measurement of its own operating results and as a benchmark relative to its competitors. Management considers it to be a meaningful supplement to operating income as a performance measure primarily because depreciation expense is not an actual cash cost and varies widely from company to company in a manner that management considers largely independent of the underlying cost efficiency of their operating facilities. In

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

addition, we believe it is commonly used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties to evaluate our financial performance. Operating EBITDA does not reflect the impact of a number of items that affect our net income (loss), including financing costs and the effect of derivative instruments. Management also believes Operating EBITDA facilitates operating performance comparisons from period to period by backing out the significant impact caused by our derivative instruments which are marked to market for each reporting period or settled. Operating EBITDA is not a measure of financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) or income from operations as a measure of performance, nor as an alternative to net cash from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. Operating EBITDA has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Because of these limitations, Operating EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. See the Statements of Cash Flows included in our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. Because all companies do not calculate Operating EBITDA in the same manner, Operating EBITDA as calculated by us may differ from Operating EBITDA as calculated by other companies.

- (9) For purposes of computing the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consist of income before income taxes, minority interest, income (loss) from equity investee and fixed charges. Fixed charges consist of interest expense plus capitalized interest.
- (10) For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, our deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €2.7 million, €20.7 million, €19.5 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, our deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €29.0 million and €43.2 million, respectively. On a pro forma basis for the year ended December 31, 2003, and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, the deficiency of earnings to fixed charges was €29.0 million, €57.1 million and €43.9 million, respectively.
- (11) Construction of the Stendal mill was completed in the third quarter of 2004. Although the Stendal mill had approximately 13 days of operations in September 2004, it is currently in the start-up phase. As a result, management believes that the operating data relating to the Stendal mill during the third quarter of 2004 would not provide an accurate representation of the mill's actual operating performance in the future. Accordingly, we have provided only the operating data relating to the Rosenthal mill.
- (12) For external sales only.
- (13) Cost of production per ADMT produced excluding depreciation. Total cash production costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 may not be comparable on an annualized basis due to the timing of maintenance expenditures.
- (14) The Stendal pulp mill was substantially complete and ready for its intended use on September 18, 2004. Effective September 18, 2004, we began expensing all of the costs, including interest, related to the Stendal mill and began depreciating it. A depreciation period of 25 years was established based on the expected useful life of the production assets. Depreciation was computed using the straight-line method in accordance with our accounting policies. In conjunction with establishing the depreciation period for the Stendal mill, we also reviewed the useful life of the Rosenthal mill, which resulted in a change in the estimate of its useful life from an initial 15 to 25 years. The change in estimate was reflected effective July 1, 2004. As the Rosenthal mill had been depreciated for approximately 5 years as of July 1, 2004, the change in estimate reflects a remaining depreciable life of approximately 20 years. The total effect of the change in estimate resulted in a decrease of approximately €2.2 million in depreciation, cost of sales and net loss, and a decrease in basic and diluted net loss per share of €0.13 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF CELGAR

The following tables set forth selected historical financial and operating data of Celgar as at and for the periods indicated. The following selected financial and operating data is qualified in its entirety by, and should be read in conjunction with, the financial statements and related notes of Celgar included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement.

The selected historical financial and operating data of Celgar as at and for the three years ended December 31, 2003 presented below has been derived from Celgar's audited financial statements. The selected historical financial and operating data of Celgar as at and for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 and the year ended December 31, 2000 presented below has been derived from Celgar's unaudited financial statements. It should be noted that the results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the entire fiscal year.

Please note that the selected historical financial and operating data of Celgar presented below and the financial statements for Celgar included in this prospectus supplement reflect the entire assets, liabilities and operations of Celgar for the periods and as at the dates indicated. However, we are only acquiring substantially all of the operating assets of Celgar which, pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition Agreement, do not include the finished goods inventory and receivables of Celgar. Further, we are not assuming certain liabilities of Celgar including indebtedness for borrowed money for pre-and post-bankruptcy periods which aggregated approximately C\$1.1 billion at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, respectively. Accordingly, we are only providing selected balance sheet data for Celgar. See "The Acquisition" for more information.

Celgar prepares its financial statements in accordance with Canadian GAAP, which differs in certain respects from GAAP. For a discussion of the differences between Canadian GAAP and GAAP as they pertain to Celgar, see Note 10 of the unaudited financial statements of Celgar for the nine month period ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 and Note 12 of the audited financial statements of Celgar for the year ended December 31, 2003 included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000 ⁽¹⁾	2001 ⁽²⁾	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited)				(unaudited)	

(in thousands, except for ADMT data)

Statement of Operations

Data:

Sales	C\$ 363,570	C\$ 246,139	C\$ 249,366	C\$ 271,566	C\$ 207,593	C\$ 214,886
Operating expenses:						
Costs of sales	C\$ 231,494	C\$ 239,938	C\$ 213,602	C\$ 230,555	C\$ 182,903	C\$ 167,637
Depreciation and amortization	C\$ 38,807	C\$ 38,966	C\$ 38,932	C\$ 39,225	C\$ 29,320	C\$ 22,833
General and administrative	C\$ 29,045	C\$ 25,877	C\$ 30,642	C\$ 38,069	C\$ 28,220	C\$ 22,784
Impairment loss on property, plant and equipment						C\$ 129,204 ⁽³⁾
Income (loss) from operations ⁽⁴⁾	C\$ 64,224	C\$ (58,642)	C\$ (33,810)	C\$ (36,283)	C\$ (32,850)	C\$ (127,572) ⁽³⁾

Balance Sheet Data:

Working capital	C\$ 82,268	C\$ 55,424	C\$ 56,058	C\$ 52,456	C\$ 48,081	C\$ 60,003
Property, plant and equipment	C\$ 503,029	C\$ 470,326	C\$ 436,542	C\$ 402,633	C\$ 411,206	C\$ 252,613 ⁽³⁾

Other Data:

Net cash from operating activities	C\$ 84,648	C\$ (7,401)	C\$ 8,085	C\$ 13,232	C\$ 10,199	C\$ 706
Capital expenditures	C\$ 3,579	C\$ 6,262	C\$ 3,912	C\$ 5,201	C\$ 3,985	C\$ 2,017
Operating EBITDA ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	C\$ 103,031	C\$ (19,676)	C\$ 5,122	C\$ 2,942	C\$ (3,530)	C\$ 24,465

Operating Data:

Sales volume (ADMTs)	379,377	365,133	400,101	427,860	329,253	292,233
Productivity (ADMTs produced per day)	1,172	1,198	1,155	1,202	1,181	1,209
Average price realized (per ADMT)	C\$ 958	C\$ 674	C\$ 623	C\$ 635	C\$ 630	C\$ 735
Cash production costs (per ADMT produced) ⁽⁶⁾	C\$ 565	C\$ 571	C\$ 491	C\$ 499	C\$ 513	C\$ 533

- (1) In connection with Celgar's results for 2000, audited financial statements were prepared for the twelve month period ended March 31, 2000 and for the nine month period ended December 31, 2000. Audited financial statements for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2000 were not prepared and, as a result, the selected financial information presented for 2000 is based on unaudited financial statements prepared for such period.
- (2) The Celgar mill was shut down for approximately 50 days in 2001 for market related reasons.
- (3) As at and for the nine month period ended September 30, 2004, Celgar recorded an impairment charge of C\$129.2 million to write-down the carrying value of its property, plant and equipment to the fair value of the assets as a result of the Acquisition.
- (4) "Income (loss) from operations" and "Operating EBITDA" include costs (benefits) relating to a burner misalignment in the lime kiln at the Celgar mill, for which the vendor of the Celgar mill has an insurance claim outstanding, foreign exchange losses (gains) on current receivables and payables and fees paid to KPMG Inc., as receiver and trustee in bankruptcy of Celgar as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited)				(unaudited)	
	(in thousands)					
Lime kiln costs				C\$ 3,136	C\$ 2,036	C\$ 96
Foreign exchange losses (gains)	C\$ (1,333)	C\$ (1,769)	C\$ 792	C\$ 5,965	C\$ 4,952	C\$ 921
Receiver and trustee costs	C\$ 1,965	C\$ 2,025	C\$ 1,812	C\$ 1,899	C\$ 1,747	C\$ 772

S-63

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Celgar".

(5)

Income (Loss) from Operations to Operating EBITDA Reconciliation:

	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited)				(unaudited)	
	(in thousands)					
Income (loss) from operations	C\$ 64,224	C\$ (58,642)	C\$ (33,810)	C\$ (36,283)	C\$ (32,850)	C\$ (127,572)
Add: Depreciation and amortization	C\$ 38,807	C\$ 38,966	C\$ 38,932	C\$ 39,225	C\$ 29,320	C\$ 22,833
Impairment loss on property, plant and equipment						C\$ 129,204
Operating EBITDA	C\$ 103,031	C\$ (19,676)	C\$ 5,122	C\$ 2,942	C\$ (3,530)	C\$ 24,465

Mercer is presenting Operating EBITDA for Celgar for comparative purposes only. Operating EBITDA for Celgar has not been reconciled to net income (loss) as Mercer is acquiring substantially all of the assets of Celgar and will not be assuming certain liabilities of Celgar, including indebtedness for borrowed money for pre- and post-bankruptcy periods. Operating EBITDA is defined as income (loss) from operations plus depreciation and amortization and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges.

(6)

Cost of production per ADMT produced excluding depreciation. Total cash production costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 may not be comparable on an annualized basis due to the timing of maintenance expenditures.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF MERCER**

For the purposes of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Mercer, all references to "we", "our", "us", the "Company" or "Mercer" mean Mercer International Inc. and its subsidiaries without giving effect to the Acquisition.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations as at and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 and the three years ended December 31, 2003 should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. Effective January 1, 2002, we changed our reporting currency from the U.S. dollar to the Euro. Accordingly, our financial statements as at and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 and the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 included in this prospectus supplement are stated in Euros and our financial statements for periods prior to the year ended December 31, 2002 included in this prospectus supplement have been restated in Euros and reclassified to conform to the current presentation. The following management discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon the restated financial statements for all periods.

Results of Operations

We operate in the pulp and paper business and our operations are located primarily in Germany. Our manufacturing facilities are comprised of: (a) an NBSK pulp mill operated by our wholly-owned subsidiary, Rosenthal, near Blankenstein, Germany, which has an annual production capacity of approximately 310,000 ADMTs; (b) a newly constructed, state-of-the-art NBSK pulp mill, with a design production capacity of approximately 552,000 ADMTs per year, near Stendal, Germany built and being started up by our 63.6% owned subsidiary, Stendal; and (c) two paper mills located at Heidenau and Fährbrücke, Germany, which produce specialty papers and printing and writing papers and, based upon their current product mix, have an aggregate annual production capacity of approximately 70,000 ADMTs.

The Stendal mill was completed substantially on its planned schedule and budget in the third quarter of 2004. Total investment costs in respect of the Stendal mill are approximately €1.0 billion, the majority of which was financed under a senior project finance facility, referred to as the "Stendal Loan Facility", in the amount of €828 million and arranged with Bayerische Hypo-und Vereinsbank AG, referred to as "HVB". The Stendal mill is currently in the start-up phase and underwent extensive testing and evaluation in December 2004. Samples of the pulp produced during such testing have been sent to laboratories for qualitative testing and the results are expected to be received by mid-January 2005. The mill substantially passed all of the quantitative tests, although the test was extended due to an operator error relating to the recovery boiler. See "Business Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing". Effective September 18, 2004, we commenced expensing all of the costs, including interest, related to the Stendal mill. Prior to that date, most of the costs, including interest, relating to the Stendal mill were capitalized. Our results for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 include operating and interest costs of €9.8 million related to the Stendal mill. During such period, we did not report any pulp sales revenues from Stendal. Some lower quality pulp produced at the Stendal mill prior to September 18, 2004 was sold, but the revenues therefrom were capitalized against testing costs in property, plant and equipment.

Our financial performance depends on a number of variables that impact sales and production costs. Sales and production results are influenced largely by the market price for products and raw materials, the mix of products produced and foreign currency exchange rates. Kraft pulp and paper markets are highly cyclical, with prices determined by supply and demand. Demand for kraft pulp and paper is influenced to a significant degree by global levels of economic activity and supply is driven by

industry capacity and utilization rates. Our product mix is important because premium grades of kraft pulp and specialty papers generally achieve higher prices and profit margins.

Our production costs are influenced by the availability and cost of raw materials, energy and labor, and our plant efficiencies and productivity. Our main raw material is fiber in the form of wood chips and pulp logs for pulp production, and waste paper and pulp for paper production. Wood chip and pulp log costs are primarily affected by the supply of, and demand for, lumber and pulp, which are both highly cyclical. Production costs also depend on the total volume of production. High operating rates and production efficiencies permit us to lower our average cost by spreading fixed costs over more units.

Global economic conditions, changes in production capacity and inventory levels are the primary factors affecting kraft pulp and paper prices. Historically kraft pulp and paper prices have been cyclical in nature. The average annual European list prices for NBSK pulp between 1990 and 2003 ranged from a low of \$444 per ADMT in 1993 to a high of \$875 per ADMT in 1995. Following a decline in demand in 2001, list prices for NBSK pulp also declined and averaged approximately \$463 per ADMT in 2002. An increase in demand resulting from improving American and major European economies and the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro and other major currencies in 2003 resulted in list prices for kraft pulp in Europe increasing to approximately \$560 per ADMT in December 2003 despite relatively high inventory levels. List prices for kraft pulp in Europe continued to strengthen in 2004 due to the relatively weak U.S. dollar and improving world economies. List prices increased to approximately \$660 per ADMT in July 2004, before falling to approximately \$585 per ADMT in October 2004 and subsequently recovering to approximately \$625 per ADMT in December 2004. A producer's sales realizations will reflect customer discounts, commissions and other items and it is likely that NBSK pulp prices will continue to fluctuate in the future.

Our financial performance for any reporting period is also impacted by changes in the U.S. dollar to Euro exchange rate and in interest rates. Changes in currency rates affect our operating results because the price for our principal product, NBSK pulp, is generally based on a global industry benchmark that is quoted in U.S. dollars, even though our sales are invoiced in Euros. Therefore, a weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro will generally reduce the amount of Euro revenues of our pulp operations. Most of our costs, including our debt obligations, are incurred in Euros. These do not fluctuate with the U.S. dollar to Euro exchange rate. Thus, a weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro tends to reduce our sales revenue, gross profit and income from operations. The continuing deterioration of the U.S. dollar against the Euro in the fourth quarter of 2004 will adversely affect our operating performance for the quarter, which we currently anticipate would be largely offset by realized gains on our hedging transactions.

Changes in interest rates can impact our operating results because the indebtedness we incurred under the credit facilities for establishing the Rosenthal and Stendal pulp mills provide for floating rates of interest.

Changes in currency exchange and interest rates also impact certain foreign currency and interest rate derivatives Rosenthal and Stendal use to partially protect against the effect of such changes. Gains or losses on such derivatives are included in our earnings, either as they are settled or as they are marked to market for each reporting period. See " Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk".

Stendal, as required under its project financing, entered into variable-to-fixed rate interest swaps, referred to as the "Stendal Interest Rate Swaps", in August 2002 to fix the interest rate on approximately €612.6 million of indebtedness for the full term of the Stendal Loan Facility. Rosenthal has also entered into forward interest rate and interest cap contracts, referred to as the "Rosenthal Interest Rate Contracts" and, together with the Stendal Interest Rate Swaps, the "Interest Rate

Contracts", in respect of a portion of its long-term indebtedness under its bank loan facility, referred to as the "Rosenthal Loan Facility".

In the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and year ended December 31, 2003, we recorded a net non-cash holding loss of €15.8 million and €12.6 million, respectively, before minority interests on the marked to market valuation of the Interest Rate Contracts versus a net loss of €22.8 million and €31.7 million before minority interests thereon in the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and year ended December 31, 2002, respectively.

In March 2004, to protect against a weakening U.S. dollar, Rosenthal entered into two currency swaps in the aggregate principal amount of €184.5 million to convert all of its long-term indebtedness under the Rosenthal Loan Facility into U.S. dollars and a currency forward in the notional amount of €40.7 million, referred to as the "Rosenthal Currency Derivatives". In the same month, Stendal entered into a currency swap in the principal amount of €306.3 million to convert approximately one-half of its indebtedness under the Stendal Loan Facility into U.S. dollars and a currency forward in the notional amount of €20.6 million, referred to as the "Stendal Currency Derivatives" and, together with the Rosenthal Currency Derivatives, the "Currency Derivatives". Primarily as a result of a weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro and changes in interest rates related to such currencies, in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we recognized a net non-cash holding gain of approximately €14.7 million before minority interests on the Currency Derivatives. In the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we recognized a net gain of approximately €19.2 million before minority interests on the then outstanding currency derivatives of Rosenthal and Stendal. In the year ended December 31, 2003, we recognized a net gain of €0.6 million before minority interests on the then outstanding currency derivatives of Rosenthal and Stendal, compared to a net loss of €0.7 million before minority interests in 2002 on the then outstanding currency derivatives of Rosenthal. Stendal did not have any currency derivatives outstanding in the year ended December 31, 2002. See " Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk".

In December 2004, we settled the Currency Derivatives due to the substantial weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro in 2004. We realized a gain of approximately €44.5 million upon the settlement of these derivatives, €14.7 million of which we had already booked as an unrealized gain as at September 30, 2004. We expect to enter into similar derivatives in the future and could record realized or unrealized marked to market non-cash holding gains or losses on such derivatives in the future.

Improving world economies resulted in an increase in interest rates in the first half of 2004. If world economies continue to strengthen, we would expect interest rates to continue to rise from their historically low levels. Higher interest rates could result in our recording marked to market non-cash holding gains on the Interest Rate Contracts in future periods, which may be offset in part by higher interest rates payable on Rosenthal's debt obligations. However, a fall in interest rates could result in our recording non-cash holding losses on the Interest Rate Contracts in future periods when they are marked to market. See " Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk".

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Selected sales data for each of our last three years and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(ADMTs)				
Sales Volume by Product Class					
Pulp ⁽¹⁾	285,654	293,607	303,655	221,926	229,462
Papers					
Specialty papers	40,437 ⁽²⁾	61,727 ⁽²⁾	40,621	30,420	28,144
Printing papers	26,815	23,195	21,397	16,568	19,357
Total papers	67,252	84,922	62,018	46,988	47,501
Total⁽¹⁾	352,906	378,529	365,673	268,914	276,963

(in thousands)

Revenues by Product Class					
Pulp ⁽¹⁾	€ 146,245	€ 130,173	€ 126,594	€ 92,418	€ 103,743
Papers					
Specialty papers	35,959 ⁽²⁾	79,358 ⁽²⁾	40,082	30,185	28,039
Printing papers	22,797	18,352	15,780	12,332	13,302
Total papers	58,756	97,710	55,862	42,517	41,341
Total⁽¹⁾	€ 205,001	€ 227,883	€ 182,456	€ 134,935	€ 145,084

(1) Excluding intercompany sales volumes of 10,447, 10,768 and 5,527 ADMTs of pulp and intercompany net sales revenues of approximately €5.8 million, €4.9 million and €2.3 million in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, and intercompany sales volumes of 5,166 and 3,897 ADMTs of pulp and intercompany net sales revenues of approximately €2.2 million and €1.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

(2) We acquired Landqart, which operates a specialty paper mill, in December 2001 for approximately \$2.7 million. As of December 31, 2002, our interest in Landqart is no longer consolidated and is included in our financial results on an equity basis. Accordingly, sales from the Landqart specialty paper mill are not included in our results for 2001, but are included for 2002. The Landqart mill sold approximately 18,222 ADMTs for approximately €39.7 million in 2002.

Acquisition of the Celgar Mill

On November 22, 2004, we entered into the Acquisition Agreement to acquire substantially all of the assets of Celgar. The purchase price of the Acquisition is \$210 million (subject to certain adjustments and excluding fees and expenses), of which \$170 million is payable in cash and \$40 million is payable in our shares, plus an amount for the defined working capital of the Celgar mill on closing of the Acquisition. The cash portion of the purchase price and the working capital amount is to be paid in part from the proceeds of the Share Offering and the balance is to be paid from this offering. See "The Financings" and "The Acquisition".

The Celgar mill is a modern NBSK pulp mill that produces high quality NBSK pulp. It has a current annual production capacity of approximately 430,000 ADMTs, and is located near the city of Castlegar, British Columbia, Canada. In the early 1990's, it was completely

rebuilt through a C\$850 million modernization and expansion project. See "Business" for more information relating to the Celgar mill.

S-68

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 (Unaudited)

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 increased to €153.9 million from €144.1 million in the comparative period of 2003, primarily because of higher pulp sales. Pulp and paper revenues were €145.1 million in the current period, versus €134.9 million in the comparative period of 2003.

Costs of pulp and paper sales in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 decreased to €131.4 million from €131.8 million in the comparative period of 2003, primarily as a result of lower pulp production costs at our Rosenthal mill.

In the current period, pulp sales increased to €103.7 million from €92.4 million in the same period a year ago as a result of higher prices and production from our Rosenthal mill. We did not report any revenues from the sale of pulp from the Stendal mill in either period. List prices for NBSK pulp in Europe were approximately €519 (\$635) per ADMT in the third quarter of 2004, compared to approximately €444 (\$550) per ADMT in the third quarter of last year. The increase in NBSK pulp prices was partially offset by the weakness of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro in the current period. In the current period, pulp sales by volume were 229,462 ADMTs, compared to 221,926 ADMTs in the comparative period of 2003.

Pulp sales realizations were €452 per ADMT on average in the current period, compared to €416 per ADMT in the comparative period of 2003.

Transportation and other revenues for the pulp operations were €8.9 million in the period ended September 30, 2004, compared to €8.2 million in the comparative period of last year.

Cost of sales and general, administrative and other expenses for the pulp operations increased to €108.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 from €104.6 million in the comparative period of 2003, primarily as a result of the inclusion of €7.9 million of operating costs related to the Stendal mill.

On average, fiber costs for pulp production decreased by approximately 4.3% compared to the first nine months of last year.

Depreciation for the pulp operations was €15.0 million in the current period, versus €16.3 million in the year ago period. A change effective July 1, 2004 in our depreciation estimate in respect of our Rosenthal mill resulted in a decrease of €2.2 million in cost of sales and net loss, and a decrease in net loss per share of €0.13 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

For the nine months of 2004, our pulp operations generated operating income of €5.7 million, versus an operating loss of €1.8 million in the year ago period.

Paper sales in the current period were €41.3 million, compared with €42.5 million in the same period of last year. Sales of specialty papers in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 were €28.0 million versus €30.2 million in the comparative period of 2003, primarily as a result of a shift in the product mix. For the current period, total paper sales volumes were 47,501 ADMTs, versus 46,988 ADMTs in the nine months ended September 30, 2003. On average, prices for specialty papers realized in the current period increased slightly, reflecting a shift in the product mix. Average prices for our printing papers decreased by approximately 7.7% reflecting generally weak demand.

Cost of sales and general, administrative and other expenses for the paper operations in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 increased to €52.2 million from €41.7 million in the comparative period of 2003, primarily as a result of a non-cash €6.0 million impairment charge relating to our paper operations. On November 3, 2004, our management determined to record and our audit committee approved a non-cash impairment charge of €6.0 million to write-off the carrying value of our Fährbrücke paper mill assets. Based upon its current product mix, the mill has an annual production

capacity of approximately 35,000 ADMTs and produces primarily printing and writing paper. We determined to take the impairment charge as the Fährbrücke mill has generated weaker than expected returns over a period of time despite changes to its product mix. We do not expect the impairment charge in and of itself to result in future cash expenditures as we intend to continue to operate the Fährbrücke mill.

Depreciation for the paper operations was €1.7 million in the current period, compared to €1.5 million in the same period last year.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, our paper operations generated an operating loss of €10.6 million, which included the non-cash impairment charge of €6.0 million, compared to operating income of €1.6 million in the same period of last year.

In the current period, we reported a loss from operations of €7.6 million, compared to €2.5 million in the same period last year. Interest expense (excluding capitalized interest of €27.2 million relating to the Stendal pulp mill) in the period ended September 30, 2004 increased to €9.6 million from €6.9 million a year ago, due to higher borrowings resulting primarily from our convertible note issue in October 2003 and the inclusion of interest expense of €1.9 million relating to the Stendal project after September 18, 2004.

In the period ended September 30, 2004, we recorded a net non-cash holding loss of €15.8 million before minority interests on the marked to market valuation of the Interest Rate Contracts versus a net loss of €22.8 million before minority interests thereon in the prior period of 2003. In addition, in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we recorded a net non-cash holding gain of approximately €14.7 million before minority interests on the valuation of the Currency Derivatives as a result of the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro and changes in interest rates related to such currencies. In the prior period of 2003, we recorded a net gain of €19.2 million before minority interests on the then outstanding currency derivatives of Rosenthal and Stendal.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2004, minority interest, representing the two minority shareholders' proportionate interest in the Stendal project, was €3.9 million, compared to €8.5 million in the comparative period of 2003.

Our results for the prior period of 2003 included an adjustment of €5.5 million for the non-cash impact of other-than-temporary impairment losses on our available-for-sale securities.

We reported a net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 of €12.6 million, or €0.73 per basic and diluted share, which reflected the non-cash €6.0 million impairment charge related to our paper operations, the inclusion of €9.8 million of operating and interest costs related to our Stendal mill and the net non-cash holding loss on the marked to market valuation of our derivative instruments. In the comparative period of 2003, we reported a net loss of €9.2 million, or €0.54 per basic and diluted share.

We generated "Operating EBITDA" of €15.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Operating EBITDA is defined as income (loss) from operations plus depreciation and amortization and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges. Operating EBITDA is calculated by adding depreciation and amortization and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges of €23.2 million and €18.1 million to the loss from operations of €7.6 million and €2.5 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Management uses Operating EBITDA as a benchmark measurement of its own operating results, and as a benchmark relative to its competitors. Management considers it to be a meaningful supplement to operating income as a performance measure primarily because depreciation expense and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges are not an actual cash cost, and depreciation expense varies widely from company to company in a manner that management considers largely independent

of the underlying cost efficiency of their operating facilities. In addition, we believe Operating EBITDA is commonly used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties to evaluate our financial performance.

Operating EBITDA does not reflect the impact of a number of items that affect our net income (loss), including financing costs and the effect of derivative instruments. Operating EBITDA is not a measure of financial performance under GAAP, and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) or income (loss) from operations as a measure of performance, nor as an alternative to net cash from operating activities as a measure of liquidity.

Operating EBITDA has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are: (i) Operating EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments; (ii) Operating EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, working capital needs; (iii) Operating EBITDA does not reflect the significant interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our outstanding debt; (iv) Operating EBITDA does not reflect minority interests on our Stendal operations; (v) Operating EBITDA does not reflect the impact of marked to market changes in our derivative positions, which can be substantial; and (vi) Operating EBITDA does not reflect the impact of impairment charges against our investments or assets. Because of these limitations, Operating EBITDA should only be considered as a supplemental performance measure and should not be considered as a measure of liquidity or cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. See the Statement of Cash Flows set out in our consolidated financial statements included herein. Because all companies do not calculate Operating EBITDA in the same manner, Operating EBITDA as calculated by us may differ from Operating EBITDA as calculated by other companies. We compensate for these limitations by using Operating EBITDA as a supplemental measure of our performance and relying primarily on our GAAP financial statements.

The following table provides a reconciliation of net loss to loss from operations and Operating EBITDA for the periods indicated:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2004
	(in thousands)	
Net loss	€ (9,173)	€ (12,604)
Minority interest	(8,499)	(3,936)
Income taxes	226	(37)
Interest expense	6,887	9,554
Investment income	(1,055)	(1,679)
Derivative financial instruments	3,604	1,077
Impairment of investments	5,511	
Other	(20)	
	_____	_____
Loss from operations	(2,519)	(7,625)
Add: Depreciation and amortization	18,135	17,217
Impairment charge		6,000
	_____	_____
Operating EBITDA	€ 15,616	€ 15,592
	_____	_____

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

In the year ended December 31, 2003, total revenues decreased to €194.6 million from €239.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily as the current period does not include

the revenues of the Landqart AG, referred to as "Landqart", specialty paper mill, which we reorganized in December 2002 and now account for under the equity method. Primarily as a result thereof, pulp and paper revenues decreased to €182.5 million in the current period from €227.9 million in 2002.

Cost of pulp and paper sales in the year ended December 31, 2003 decreased to €179.7 million from €213.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily as a result of the deconsolidation of Landqart.

Pulp sales in the current period were €126.6 million, compared to €130.2 million in 2002. U.S. dollar denominated list pulp price increases were mostly offset by a 17% decline in the U.S. dollar against the Euro in 2003. Average list prices for NBSK pulp in Europe were approximately €420 (\$440) per ADMT at the end of 2002, approximately €441 (\$480) per ADMT in the first quarter of 2003, approximately €484 (\$550) per ADMT in the second quarter of 2003, approximately €444 (\$500) per ADMT in the third quarter of 2003 and approximately €444 (\$560) per ADMT in the fourth quarter of 2003. Our pulp sales realizations were €417 per ADMT on average in the current period, compared to €443 per ADMT in 2002. Pulp sales by volume increased to 303,655 ADMTs in the current period from 293,607 ADMTs in 2002.

Cost of sales and general and administrative expenses for the pulp operations were €136.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, compared to €138.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. On average, fiber costs for pulp production in the current period generally remained at the same level as in the year ended December 31, 2002. Depreciation within the pulp segment was €21.9 million in the current period, compared to €21.6 million in 2002.

Our pulp operations generated operating income of €0.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2003, compared to operating income of €1.8 million in 2002.

Results for our paper segment during the current period reflect the aforementioned exclusion of the results from the Landqart specialty paper mill, which were included in the results for the prior year. Paper sales in the current period decreased to €55.9 million from €97.7 million in 2002. Sales of specialty papers in the year ended December 31, 2003 decreased to €40.1 million from €79.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2002. Total paper sales volumes decreased to 62,018 ADMTs in the year ended December 31, 2003 from 84,922 ADMTs in the year ended December 31, 2002. On average, prices for specialty papers realized in the year ended December 31, 2003 decreased by approximately 23.3% as our product mix changed upon the deconsolidation of the Landqart mill, and for printing papers decreased by approximately 6.8%, compared to the year ended December 31, 2002.

Cost of sales and general and administrative expenses for the paper operations decreased to €57.9 million in the current period from €101.0 million in 2002 as a result of lower paper sales. Paper segment depreciation decreased to €2.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2003 from €4.0 million in the prior period.

Our paper operations generated operating income of €0.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2003, compared to an operating loss of €4.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2002.

Consolidated general and administrative expenses decreased to €19.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2003 from €25.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily as a result of the exclusion of the results of the Landqart mill and a decrease in professional fees in the current period.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, we reported a loss from operations of €4.5 million, compared to €1.1 million in 2002. Interest expense (excluding capitalized interest of €17.4 million in respect of the Stendal project) in the current period decreased to €11.5 million from €13.8 million in 2002, primarily as a result of lower borrowing costs and lower indebtedness for our operating units.

During the current period, we made principal repayments of €13.2 million in respect of the indebtedness of the Rosenthal pulp mill.

Pursuant to the Stendal Loan Facility, Stendal entered into the Stendal Interest Rate Swaps for the full term of the facility to manage the risk exposure with respect to an aggregate maximum amount of approximately €612.6 million of the principal amount of the Stendal Loan Facility. Under these swaps, Stendal pays a fixed rate and receives a floating rate with respect to interest payments calculated on a notional amount. These swaps manage the exposure to variable cash flow risk from the variable interest payments under the Stendal Loan Facility. Stendal also entered into a currency forward contract in connection with the Stendal Loan Facility in the third quarter of 2003. These derivatives are marked to market at the end of each reporting period and all unrealized gains and losses are recognized in earnings for such period. In 2003, we recorded a non-cash holding loss of approximately €13.0 million when these swaps were marked to market at the end of the period, compared to a non-cash holding loss of approximately €30.1 million in 2002. A non-cash holding gain of €0.7 million before minority interests was recognized in respect of the currency forward in the year ended December 31, 2003. We determine market valuations based primarily upon values provided by our counterparties.

In addition, Rosenthal had entered into currency swaps to manage its exposure with respect to an aggregate amount of approximately €198.4 million of the principal long-term indebtedness of the Rosenthal mill under the Rosenthal Loan Facility. Rosenthal had also entered into currency forwards and forward interest rate and interest cap contracts in connection with certain indebtedness relating to the Rosenthal mill. The currency swaps and the currency forwards were settled in December 2003. These derivatives are also marked to market at the end of each reporting period, and all gains and losses are recognized in earnings for such period. Primarily as a result of the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro, in the current year we recognized a net gain of €28.5 million upon the settlement of the currency swaps and currency forwards and the marked to market valuation of the forward interest rate and interest cap contracts. In 2002, we recognized a net gain of €23.4 million from these derivative contracts.

Minority interest in the year ended December 31, 2003 amounted to €5.6 million and represented the proportion of the loss of the Stendal project allocated to the two minority shareholders of Stendal. Minority interest in the year ended December 31, 2002 amounted to €11.0 million.

Our results for the year ended December 31, 2003 include an adjustment of €5.6 million for the non-cash aggregate pre-tax earnings impact of other-than-temporary impairment losses on certain of our available-for-sale securities. This adjustment was recorded in other income (expense) in our consolidated statement of operations. This adjustment did not affect our shareholders' equity since all of our available-for-sale securities are marked to market on a quarterly basis and unrealized gains or losses are reported through the statement of comprehensive income in our financial statements and recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) within shareholders' equity on our balance sheet. Such unrealized gains or losses, the cost base and the current marked to market value of our available-for-sale securities are further described in the notes to our annual financial statements. These were legacy investments and unrelated to our pulp and paper operations and were largely sold in December 2003.

SFAS 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, EITF 03-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments*, and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 59, *Accounting for Noncurrent Marketable Equity Securities*, provide guidance on determining when an impairment is other-than-temporary, which requires judgment. In making this judgment, we evaluate, among other factors, the duration and extent to which the fair value of an investment is less than its cost; the financial health of and business outlook for the investee, including factors such as industry and sector performance, changes in technology, operational and financing cash flow, the investee's financial position including its appraisal and net asset value, market prices, its business plan and investment strategy; and our intent and ability to hold the investment.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

During 2003, we recorded a reserve for potential tax obligations of €3.0 million and an asset write-down of €2.3 million related to the valuation of certain assets in which we have a non-controlling interest as a result of the Landqart reorganization in 2002. No similar reserves or write-downs were recorded in 2002. Our results for 2003 also include a one-time pre-tax charge of approximately €1.0 million for settlement expenses relating to a proxy solicitation and settlement agreement with respect to our 2003 annual meeting.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, we reported a net loss of €3.6 million, or €0.21 per share on a basic and diluted basis, compared to a net loss of €6.3 million, or €0.38 per share on a basic and diluted basis, in the year ended December 31, 2002.

As the Stendal project was under construction and because of its overall size relative to our other facilities, management uses consolidated operating results excluding derivative items relating to the Stendal project to measure the performance and results of our operating units. Management believes this measure provides meaningful information for it and securityholders on the performance of our operating facilities for a reporting period. Upon completion of the ramp-up phase for the Stendal mill, the Stendal project will be evaluated with our other operating units. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we reported a net loss of €3.6 million or €0.21 per share on a diluted basis. If we had excluded items relating to the Stendal project by adding the loss on derivative financial instruments of €13.0 million on the Stendal Interest Rate Swap Agreements to, and subtracting the gain on the currency forward relating to the Stendal Loan Facility of €0.7 million and minority interest of €5.6 million from, the reported net loss of €3.6 million, we would have reported net income of €3.1 million or €0.18 per share on a diluted basis. For the year ended December 31, 2002, we reported a net loss of €6.3 million or €0.38 per share on a diluted basis. If we had excluded items relating to the Stendal project by adding the loss on derivative financial instruments of €30.1 million on the Stendal Interest Rate Swap Agreements to, and subtracting minority interests of €11.0 million from, the reported net loss of €6.3 million, we would have reported net income of €12.8 million or €0.76 per share on a diluted basis. This measure has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP.

We generated "Operating EBITDA" of €19.6 million in the current period, compared to Operating EBITDA of €24.5 million in 2002. Operating EBITDA is defined as income (loss) from operations plus depreciation and amortization and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges. Operating EBITDA is calculated by adding depreciation and amortization of €24.1 million and €25.6 million to the loss from operations of €4.5 million and €1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Operating EBITDA has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. See the discussion of our results for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 for additional information relating to Operating EBITDA.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The following table provides a reconciliation of net loss to loss from operations and Operating EBITDA for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2002	2003
(in thousands)		
Net loss	€ (6,322)	€ (3,593)
Minority interest	(10,965)	(5,647)
Income taxes	(264)	3,172
Interest expense	13,753	11,523
Investment income	(436)	(1,653)
Derivative financial instruments	6,679	(16,168)
Impairment of investments		7,825
Other	(3,590)	
Loss from operations	(1,145)	(4,541)
Add: Depreciation and amortization	25,614	24,105
Operating EBITDA	€ 24,469	€ 19,564

Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2001

In 2002, total revenues increased by approximately 10.5% to €239.1 million from €216.4 million in 2001, primarily as a result of increased sales of specialty papers resulting from the acquisition of the Landqart mill in December 2001. In 2002, pulp and paper revenues increased by approximately 11.2% to €227.9 million from €205.0 million in 2001, on a 66.3% increase in paper sales partially offset by an 11.0% decrease in pulp sales.

Costs of sales in 2002 increased to €213.5 million from €184.7 million in 2001, primarily as a result of higher revenues.

Pulp sales in 2002 decreased to €130.2 million from €146.2 million in 2001, as global economic weakness and high producer inventory levels led to lower prices. List prices for kraft pulp in Europe decreased from approximately €528 (\$470) per ADMT at the end of 2001 to approximately €420 (\$440) per ADMT at the end of the fourth quarter of 2002, before improving in early 2003 due primarily to supply disruptions. Our pulp sales realizations in 2002 decreased to €443 per ADMT from €512 per ADMT in 2001 as a result of such lower sales prices and the weaker dollar versus the Euro during 2002 compared to the prior period. Pulp sales by volume increased to 293,607 ADMTs in 2002 from 285,654 ADMTs in 2001, when we had 19 days of unscheduled technical downtime at the Rosenthal mill.

Cost of sales and general and administrative expenses for our pulp operations decreased to €138.9 million in 2002 from €140.4 million in 2001 despite higher production and sales volumes. On average, our fiber costs per ADMT for pulp production decreased by approximately 5.8% in 2002 compared to 2001 primarily because of lower fiber costs. Additionally, efficiency improvements at the Rosenthal mill also reduced energy and chemical costs relative to 2001. Depreciation was €21.6 million in 2002 compared to €21.4 million in 2001. In 2002, our average production costs per ADMT (excluding depreciation) were reduced by approximately 9% compared to 2001.

Our pulp operations generated €1.8 million of income from operations in 2002, compared to €18.6 million in 2001.

Paper sales in 2002 increased to €97.7 million from €58.8 million in 2001. Sales of specialty papers in 2002 increased to €79.4 million from €36.0 million in 2001 as a result of the inclusion of the results of the Landqart mill, which contributed sales volumes of 18,222 ADMTs in 2002. Excluding Landqart,

our sales volumes for these grades increased to 43,505 ADMTs in 2002 from 40,437 ADMTs in 2001. This increase in specialty paper sales is largely the result of our strategy to focus on niche products. In response to market conditions, we adjusted our product mix to more specialty products at our Heidenau mill where volumes of printing papers decreased to 23,195 ADMTs in 2002 from 26,815 ADMTs in the prior period. On average, prices for specialty papers realized in 2002 increased by approximately 44.6% and for printing papers decreased by approximately 6.9%, compared to 2001.

Cost of sales and general and administrative expenses for our paper operations increased to €101.0 million in 2002 from €61.7 million in 2001 as a result of the inclusion of Landqart. This increase was partially offset by lower raw material prices, particularly for virgin pulp and waste paper as compared to 2001. Furthermore, the flooding experienced at our Heidenau and Fährbrücke paper mills in August 2002 resulted in a period of reduced production and increased costs. Depreciation increased to €4.0 million in 2002 from €1.5 million in the prior year, as a result of the inclusion of Landqart.

A loss from operations of €4.5 million was recorded by our paper operations in 2002 relative to a €2.5 million loss from operations in 2001.

General and administrative expenses increased to €25.0 million in 2002 from €18.4 million in 2001, primarily as a result of the inclusion of the results of the Landqart mill and a foreign exchange transaction loss of €3.0 million in 2002. In 2001, we recorded a foreign exchange transaction gain of €3.4 million.

We had a loss from operations of €1.1 million in 2002, compared to income from operations of €13.3 million in 2001. Interest expense (excluding capitalized interest of €3.1 million in respect of the Stendal project) in 2002 decreased to €13.8 million from €16.2 million in 2001, primarily as a result of repayments made on outstanding indebtedness.

We recognized a holding loss of €30.1 million before minority interests in respect of the Stendal Interest Rate Swaps for the year ended December 31, 2002.

During 2002, we settled two currency swaps which were entered into earlier in the year to realize gains. We then subsequently re-entered into two currency swaps and one of them was settled and a gain was realized in December 2002. In addition, we have entered into currency forwards and forward interest rate and interest cap contracts in connection with certain indebtedness relating to the Rosenthal mill. These derivatives are also marked to market at the end of each reporting period and all gains and losses are recognized in earnings for a reporting period. In 2002, we realized a total gain of €23.4 million from these derivative contracts.

Income tax impacts were negligible in both 2002 and 2001 given the pre-tax losses sustained in both years. Minority interest in 2002 amounted to €11.0 million and represented the minority shareholders' interest in the losses of Stendal. There was no minority interest in 2001.

For 2002, we reported a net loss of €6.3 million, or €0.38 per share on a basic and diluted basis, compared to a net loss of €2.8 million, or €0.17 per share on a basic and diluted basis, in 2001.

For 2002, excluding items related to the Stendal project, net income would have been €12.8 million, or €0.76 per share on a diluted basis, which was determined by adding the loss on derivative financial instruments of €30.1 million to, and subtracting minority interests of €11.0 million from, the reported net loss of €6.3 million. As the Stendal project is currently under construction and because of its overall size relative to our other facilities, management uses our consolidated operating results excluding items relating to the Stendal project to measure the performance and results of our operating units. Management believes this measure provides meaningful information on the performance of our operating facilities for a reporting period.

We generated "Operating EBITDA" of €24.5 million in the current period, compared to Operating EBITDA of €36.3 million in 2001. Operating EBITDA is defined as income (loss) from operations plus depreciation and amortization and non-recurring capital asset impairment charges. Operating EBITDA

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

is calculated by adding depreciation and amortization of €25.6 million and €23.0 million to the income (loss) from operations of €(1.1) million and €13.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Operating EBITDA has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. See the discussion of our results for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 for additional information relating to Operating EBITDA.

The following table provides a reconciliation of net loss to income (loss) from operations and Operating EBITDA for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2001	2002
	(in thousands)	
Net loss	€ (2,823)	€ (6,322)
Minority interest		(10,965)
Income taxes	83	(264)
Interest expense	16,170	13,753
Investment income	(2,872)	(436)
Derivative financial instruments	2,504	6,679
Impairment of investments		
Other	270	(3,590)
	13,332	(1,145)
Income (loss) from operations	13,332	(1,145)
Add: Depreciation and amortization	22,966	25,614
	36,298	24,469
Operating EBITDA	€ 36,298	€ 24,469

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table is a summary of selected financial information for the periods indicated:

	As at December 31,		As at September 30,
	2002	2003	2004
	(in thousands)		
Financial Position			
Cash and cash equivalents	€ 30,261	€ 51,993	€ 42,643
Working capital (deficit)	6,328	(48,947) ⁽¹⁾	(122,474) ⁽¹⁾
Properties, net	441,990	745,178	942,249
Total assets	599,750	935,905	1,192,727
Long-term liabilities	384,892	625,702	778,939
Shareholders' equity	124,969	132,855	121,595

(1) As at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, we had a working capital deficit of €48.9 million and €122.5 million, respectively, primarily as a result of Stendal construction costs payable of €42.8 million and €161.0 million during the respective periods for which we had not drawn down under the Stendal Loan Facility. We qualify for investment grants from the federal and state governments of Germany and had claim expenditures of €82.1 million and €65.2 million outstanding at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, respectively. We expect to receive our currently outstanding claim expenditures in 2005. However, in accordance with our accounting policies, we do not record these grants until they are received.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

At September 30, 2004, our cash and cash equivalents were €42.6 million, compared to €52.0 million at December 31, 2003. We also had €29.3 million of cash restricted to pay construction costs payable and €19.1 million of cash restricted in a debt service account, both related to the Stendal

S-77

project. In addition, we had €28.5 million of cash restricted in a debt service account relating to the Rosenthal Loan Facility. At September 30, 2004, we had a working capital deficit of €122.5 million, primarily because we had Stendal construction costs payable of €161.0 million for which we had not yet drawn down under the Stendal Loan Facility and, under our accounting policies, we do not record certain government grants until they are received. The Stendal construction costs will be paid pursuant to the Stendal Loan Facility in the ordinary course. At September 30, 2004, we qualified for investment grants related to the Stendal mill totaling approximately €65.2 million from the federal and state governments of Germany, which we expect to receive in 2005. Approximately €61.2 million of these grants, when received, will be applied to repay the amounts drawn under the dedicated tranche of the Stendal Loan Facility. The grants are not reported in our income and reduce the cost basis of the assets purchased when they are received. We expect to qualify for additional investment grants totaling €23.3 million when such Stendal construction costs have been substantially paid.

In October 2003, we completed the sale of \$82.5 million in aggregate principal amount of convertible senior subordinated notes due October 2010. The notes bear interest at a rate of 8.5% per annum and are convertible into our shares of beneficial interest at a conversion price of \$7.75 per share. The net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$78.4 million were used to repay in full our indebtedness, including fees and accrued interest, under two bridge loan facilities aggregating approximately \$66.9 million and the balance was used for general corporate purposes, including working capital.

We expect to continue to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to pay our interest and debt service expenses and meet the working and maintenance capital requirements for our current operations. Our only revolving credit facility is at our Stendal mill. We intend to enter into two new working capital facilities for the Rosenthal and Celgar mills. See "Use of Proceeds", "The Financings" and "Description of Certain Indebtedness - New Working Capital Facilities".

From time to time, we have entered into project specific credit facilities to finance capital projects and expect to continue to do so, subject to availability. We expect to meet the capital requirements for the Stendal mill, including working capital and potential losses during start-up, through shareholder advances already made to Stendal, the Stendal Loan Facility, the receipt of government grants and cash flow from operations. See "Business - Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing" and "Description of Certain Indebtedness - Stendal Pulp Mill Project Financing".

Operating Activities

Operating activities in 2003 provided cash of €31.4 million, compared to €40.4 million in 2002. Net changes in trading securities provided cash of €0.3 million in 2003, compared to €4.4 million in 2002. An increase in receivables due primarily to higher sales in December 2003 used cash of €4.7 million in 2003, compared to a decrease in receivables providing cash of €18.2 million in 2002. An increase in inventories due primarily to the build up of fiber in connection with the start-up of the Stendal mill used cash of €7.5 million in 2003, compared to a decrease in inventories providing cash of €1.7 million in 2002. A decrease in cumulative unrealized gains on derivatives provided cash of €3.8 million in 2003, compared to an increase in the same using cash of €3.3 million in 2002. An increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses provided cash of €1.1 million in 2003, compared to a decrease in the same using cash of €12.7 million in 2002.

Operating activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 used cash of €1.2 million, compared to providing cash of €7.0 million in the comparative period of 2003. An increase in receivables related primarily to the start-up of the Stendal mill used cash of €2.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to using cash of €8.1 million in the comparative period of 2003. Higher inventories due primarily to the build up of fiber and finished goods in connection with the start-up of the Stendal mill used cash of €35.8 million in the first nine months of 2004, compared to higher inventories using cash of €6.6 million in the first nine months of 2003. We expect that as the

Stendal mill ramps up operations, inventory levels at the mill will decrease to more normalized levels. An increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses related primarily to the start-up of the Stendal mill provided cash of €26.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to providing cash of €11.6 million in the comparative period of 2003.

Investing Activities

Investing activities in 2003 used cash of €318.5 million, primarily as a result of the acquisition of properties, net of investment grants, of which €316.7 million was attributable to the Stendal project, compared to €195.7 million in 2002, of which €186.6 million was attributable to the Stendal project. Sales of available-for-sale securities provided cash of €6.4 million in 2003.

Investing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 used cash of €211.8 million, primarily as a result of the acquisition of properties, net of investment grants, of which €206.9 million was attributable to the Stendal project, compared to using cash of €225.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2003, of which €145.9 million was attributable to the Stendal project. Sales of certain legacy available-for-sale securities provided cash of €1.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

We qualify for investment grants from the federal and state governments of Germany and had claim expenditures of €65.2 million outstanding as of September 30, 2004. We expect to receive our currently outstanding claim expenditures in 2005. We received investment grants totaling €82.7 million and €103.0 million with respect to the Stendal project during 2003 and the first nine months of 2004, respectively. In accordance with our accounting policies, we do not record these grants until they are received. These grants reduce the cost basis of the assets purchased with them. See "Business Government Financing".

We are in the process of constructing a wastewater treatment plant at the Fährbrücke mill and have completed reconstructing the landfill at the Rosenthal mill. See "Business Environmental" and "Business Capital Expenditures".

In August 2002, we completed financing arrangements for the Stendal project. Total investment costs in connection with the project are approximately €1.0 billion, the majority of which was provided under the Stendal Loan Facility. We also contributed approximately €63.5 million to Stendal. For more information about the Stendal project, see "Business Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing" and "Business Capital Expenditures".

Financing Activities

Financing activities provided cash of €307.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2003. A net increase in indebtedness, primarily related to the Stendal project, provided cash of €299.0 million. An increase in restricted cash used cash of €11.1 million in 2003. We made principal repayments of €13.2 million in connection with the Rosenthal Loan Facility in the year ended December 31, 2003. The issuance of shares in connection with the exercise of options provided cash of €0.9 million in 2003. Financing activities provided cash of €174.7 million in 2002, primarily as a result of a net increase in indebtedness relating to the Stendal project.

Financing activities provided cash of €203.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. A net increase in indebtedness, primarily related to the Stendal project, provided cash of €104.1 million. An increase in construction costs payable provided cash of €118.2 million. An increase in restricted cash used cash of €17.5 million. We made principal repayments of €20.1 million in connection with the Rosenthal Loan Facility in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. The balance outstanding under such facility at September 30, 2004, net of cash in a restricted debt service account, was €143.1 million. The issuance of shares in connection with the exercise of options provided cash of €0.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Financing activities provided cash of €206.2 million in the first nine months of 2003, primarily as a result of an increase in construction costs payable relating to the Stendal project and an increase in indebtedness primarily in connection with the Stendal project.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

In 2003, our paper operations secured two term credit facilities aggregating approximately €2.5 million, which facilities along with certain government grants were utilized to repair flooding damage suffered by the mills in 2002. For information about these credit facilities, see "Description of Certain Indebtedness - Paper Mill Project Facilities".

In addition, in 2003, our Fährbrücke paper mill secured three credit facilities aggregating €5.5 million, which facilities along with certain government grants are utilized to finance equipment and construction costs associated with expanding, adapting and improving the efficiency of the paper machine at the mill. In September 2004, we repaid the majority of the outstanding amounts under these credit facilities and permanently reduced the aggregate amount available thereunder to €2.2 million. For information about these credit facilities, see "Description of Certain Indebtedness - Paper Mill Project Facilities". As at September 30, 2004, we had utilized the entire €4.7 million available under the five credit facilities relating to the paper operations.

Other than the Acquisition Agreement, we have no material commitments to acquire assets or operating businesses. The Acquisition is being financed through the offering of notes hereunder and the Share Offering. We anticipate that there will be acquisitions of businesses or commitments to projects in the future. To achieve our long-term goals of expanding our asset and earnings base through the acquisition of interests in companies and assets in the pulp and paper and related businesses, and organically through high return capital expenditures at our operating facilities, we will require substantial capital resources. The required necessary resources for such long-term goals will be generated from cash flow from operations, cash on hand, the sale of securities and/or assets, and borrowing against our assets, subject to the restrictions in the indenture governing the notes issued hereunder.

In addition, we have amounts available under the revolving portion of the Stendal Loan Facility, and the two new revolving working capital facilities to be established for the Rosenthal and Celgar mills. See "The Financings" and "Description of Certain Indebtedness - New Working Capital Facilities".

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table sets out our contractual obligations and commitments as at December 31, 2003 in connection with our long-term liabilities. These obligations and commitments are expected to increase in the future to reflect the start-up of the Stendal mill and the Acquisition.

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due By Period				
	2004	2005-2006	2007-2008	Beyond 2008	Total
	(in thousands)				
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	€ 15,801	€ 34,116	€ 38,836	€ 182,949	€ 271,702
Construction in progress, Stendal ⁽²⁾		18,248	48,877	267,467	334,592
Capital lease obligations ⁽³⁾	1,932	1,854	453		4,239
Operating lease obligations ⁽⁴⁾	2,097	3,149	3,280	80	8,606
Purchase obligations ⁽⁵⁾	37,764	44,382	4,117		86,263
Other long-term liabilities ⁽⁶⁾	27		125	57	209
Total	€ 57,621	€ 101,749	€ 95,688	€ 450,553	€ 705,611

(1) This reflects principal only relating primarily to indebtedness under the Rosenthal Loan Facility, which will be repaid from the proceeds of the Financings, and credit facilities relating to the paper mills, but does not reflect indebtedness relating to the Stendal project. See "Description of Certain Indebtedness", footnote 2 below and Note 9 to our annual financial statements included herein for a description of such indebtedness. Does not include amounts associated with derivatives entered into in connection with indebtedness relating to the Rosenthal mill, which we intend to settle as part of the Rosenthal refinancing. See "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk" for information about our derivatives.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (2) This reflects principal only in connection with indebtedness relating to the Stendal project, including under the Stendal Loan Facility and convertible notes. See "Description of Certain Indebtedness" and Note 9 to our annual financial statements included herein for a description of such indebtedness. Does not include amounts associated with derivatives entered into in connection with the Stendal Loan Facility. See " Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk" for information about our derivatives.
- (3) Capital lease obligations relate to transportation vehicles and production equipment. These amounts reflect principal and interest.
- (4) Operating lease obligations relate to transportation vehicles and other production and office equipment.
- (5) Purchase obligations relate primarily to take-or-pay contracts made in the ordinary course of business, of which purchases of raw materials and supplies totaled approximately €85.0 million.
- (6) Other long-term liabilities relate primarily to pension liability. Does not include obligations under employment agreements.

Capital Resources

In addition to our new €40 million and \$30 million revolving credit facilities for the Rosenthal and Celgar mills, respectively, we may seek to raise future funding in the debt markets if our indenture permits, subject to compliance with the indenture. The indenture governing the notes will contain various restrictive covenants, including several that are based on a formulation of the financial measure EBITDA, which is net income (loss) adjusted to exclude interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, certain non-cash charges and extraordinary or otherwise unusual gains or losses, and certain other items. We refer to this formulation of EBITDA as "Indenture EBITDA" which is defined in the note indenture as Consolidated EBITDA.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The following table sets forth the applicable components of Indenture EBITDA for the pro forma restricted group and also serves as a reconciliation to net income (loss) on a GAAP basis for the periods indicated:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	Pro Forma Restricted Group		
	Year Ended Dec. 31, 2003	2003	2004
	(unaudited) (in thousands)		
Net income (loss), GAAP	€ (2,519)	€ (23,351)	€ 1,299
Foreign exchange gain on indebtedness	(12,917)	(10,331)	(1,588)
Marked to market gain (loss) on hedging obligations	(27,347)	367	(1,293)
Indenture net income	(42,783)	(33,315)	(1,582)
Equity losses	1,676	912	554
Indenture consolidated net income	(41,107)	(32,403)	(1,028)
Income taxes	3,172	226	(37)
Interest expense	26,175	19,844	20,584
Depreciation and amortization	33,985	25,269	23,587
Impairment of investments	6,735	4,441	
Mercer stock compensation expense ⁽¹⁾	454	432	690
Mercer special shareholder costs ⁽²⁾	601	212	
Acquisition expenses			58
Mercer corporate reorganization costs ⁽³⁾	251		567
Celgar foreign exchange losses ⁽⁴⁾	3,769	3,119	566
Celgar lime kiln costs ⁽⁵⁾	1,982	1,283	59
Accrued interest income	(3,582)	(2,966)	(1,104)
Indenture EBITDA	€ 32,975	€ 19,457	€ 43,942

- (1) Mercer stock compensation expense is a non-cash expense related to stock options issued to trustees and officers.
- (2) Mercer special shareholder costs are related to a proxy contest which occurred in connection with our annual meeting of shareholders held on August 22, 2003 resulting in additional proxy solicitation, settlement and related costs.
- (3) Mercer corporate reorganization costs are related to an internal corporate reorganization effected by Mercer within its German subsidiaries.
- (4) Celgar foreign exchange losses are related to foreign exchange losses on current receivables and payables resulting from currency fluctuations.
- (5) Celgar lime kiln costs are additional incremental costs related to a burner misalignment at the Celgar lime kiln.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The indenture governing the notes will provide that, in order to enter into certain types of transactions, including the incurrence of additional indebtedness, the making of restricted payments and the completion of mergers and consolidations, we must meet a minimum ratio of Indenture EBITDA to Fixed Charges as defined in the note indenture of 2.0 to 1.0 on a pro forma basis for the most recently ended four full fiscal quarters. This ratio is referred to and defined as the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio in the note indenture. See "Description of the Notes". Indenture EBITDA provides additional information with respect to our ability to incur debt and make distributions and should be used primarily for the purposes of determining our ability to incur additional debt beyond our revolving credit facilities and certain other permitted borrowings that are independent of this ratio.

S-82

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Fixed charges, as defined in the note indenture, for the pro forma restricted group were comprised only of interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004. For the four quarters ending September 30, 2004, we calculate the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio for the pro forma restricted group to be 2.1.

For a description of our credit facilities, see "Description of Certain Indebtedness".

Sensitivity Analysis

The pulp and paper business is cyclical in nature and markets for our principal products are characterized by periods of supply and demand imbalance, which in turn affects product prices. The markets for pulp and paper are highly competitive and sensitive to changes in industry capacity and in the economy, both of which can have a significant influence on our selling prices and earnings. Approximately €126.6 million, or approximately 69.4%, of our revenues in 2003 were from pulp sales and approximately €103.7 million, or approximately 71.5%, of our revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 were from pulp sales. The following table illustrates the effect on our net operating results in 2003 and the first nine months of 2004 of a \$20 change in our average selling price per ADMT for NBSK pulp in 2003 and the first nine months of 2004, based upon our pulp sales during each period and translated into Euros at the average exchange rate for the U.S. dollar to the Euro for the period:

	Year Ended December 31, 2003	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)	
NBSK Pulp \$20 per ADMT change		
Change in net loss	€ 5,367	€ 3,744
Change in net loss per share	0.32	0.22

As our Stendal mill only started production in the third quarter of 2004, we expect our sensitivity to pulp prices to increase significantly both on an absolute and per share basis in the future.

Foreign Currency

Effective January 1, 2002, we changed our reporting currency from the U.S. dollar to the Euro as a significant majority of our business transactions are originally denominated in Euros. By adopting the Euro, most cumulative foreign currency translation losses were eliminated. However, we hold certain assets and liabilities in U.S. dollars, Swiss francs and, to a lesser extent, in Canadian dollars. Accordingly, our consolidated financial results are subject to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

We translate foreign denominated assets and liabilities into Euros at the rate of exchange on the balance sheet date. Unrealized gains or losses from these translations are recorded in our consolidated statement of comprehensive income and impact on shareholders' equity on the balance sheet but do not affect our net earnings.

In the year ended December 31, 2003, we reported a net €2.5 million foreign exchange translation gain and in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, we reported a net €0.3 million foreign exchange translation loss, and, as a result, the cumulative foreign exchange translation gain increased to €6.0 million at December 31, 2003 from €3.5 million at December 31, 2002 and decreased to €5.7 million at September 30, 2004 from €6.0 million from December 31, 2003.

Based upon the exchange rate at December 31, 2003, the U.S. dollar decreased by approximately 17% in value against the Euro since December 31, 2002 and, based on the average exchange rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, the U.S. dollar decreased by approximately 6.2% in value

against the Euro since September 30, 2003. See " Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk".

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for doubtful accounts, depreciation and amortization, asset impairments, income taxes, and contingencies. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Our management routinely makes judgments and estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. As the number of variables and assumptions affecting the probable future resolution of the uncertainties increase, these judgments become even more subjective and complex. We have identified certain accounting policies, described below, that are the most important to the portrayal of our current financial condition and results of operations. Our significant accounting policies are disclosed in Note 1 to our annual audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. In addition, we had a change in our depreciation estimate relating to the Rosenthal mill in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. See Note 8 to our interim consolidated financial statement included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement.

Derivative Instruments. We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" effective January 1, 2001. Derivative instruments are measured at fair value and reported in the balance sheet as assets or liabilities. Accounting for gains or losses depends on the intended use of the derivative instruments. Gains or losses on derivative instruments which are not designated hedges are recognized in earnings in the period of the change in fair value. Accounting for gains or losses on derivative instruments designated as hedges depends on the type of hedge and these gains or losses are recognized in either earnings or other comprehensive income.

We reported a non-cash holding loss of €13.0 million before minority interests in respect of the Stendal Interest Rate Swaps, a non-cash holding gain of €0.7 million before minority interests in respect of the Stendal currency forward contract and a gain of €28.5 million in respect of the Rosenthal Interest Rate Contracts and settlement of the Rosenthal currency swaps and currency forwards in our loss for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. We periodically evaluate long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In performing the review of recoverability, we estimate future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. The estimates of future cash flows, based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections, require our management to make subjective judgments. In addition, the time periods for estimating future cash flows is often lengthy, which increases the sensitivity of the assumptions made. Depending on the assumptions and estimates used, the estimated future cash flows projected in the evaluation of long-lived assets can vary within a wide range of outcomes. Our management considers the likelihood of possible outcomes in determining the best estimate of future cash flows.

Deferred Taxes. We currently have deferred tax assets which are comprised primarily of tax loss carryforwards and deductible temporary differences, both of which will reduce taxable income in the future. We assess the realization of these deferred tax assets on a periodic basis to determine whether a valuation allowance is required. We determine whether it is more likely than not that all or a portion

of the deferred tax assets will be realized, based on currently available information, including, but not limited to, the following:

the history of the tax loss carryforwards and their expiry dates;

our projected earnings; and

tax planning opportunities.

If we believe that it is more likely than not that some of these deferred tax assets will not be realized, based on currently available information, an income tax valuation allowance is recorded against these deferred tax assets. As at December 31, 2003, we had €84.5 million in deferred tax assets and €74.5 million in valuation allowances, resulting in a net deferred tax asset of €10.0 million.

If market conditions improve or tax planning opportunities arise in the future, we will reduce our valuation allowances, resulting in future tax benefits. If market conditions deteriorate in the future, we will increase our valuation allowances, resulting in future tax expenses. Any change in tax laws, particularly in Germany, will change the valuation allowances in future periods.

Environmental. Our operations are subject to a wide range of German federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, dealing primarily with water, air and land pollution control. In recent years, we have devoted significant financial and management resources to comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations. We believe our operations are currently in substantial compliance with the requirements of all applicable environmental laws and regulations and our respective operating permits.

Under German state environmental rules relating to effluent discharges, industrial users are required to pay wastewater fees based upon the amount of their effluent discharge. These rules also provide that an industrial user which undertakes environmental capital expenditures and lowers certain effluent discharges to prescribed levels may offset the amount of these expenditures against the wastewater fees that they would otherwise be required to pay in a three-year period. The requirement and timing of capital expenditures and the amount of wastewater fee charges are subject to negotiation with government agencies. As a result, we believe that our capital investment programs for our manufacturing plants will fully offset the wastewater fees that would have been payable for the past three years, subject to environmental audits. We estimate the aggregate wastewater fees offset by capital expenditures for the past three years to be approximately €9.6 million.

Other than wastewater fees, we accrue for environmental remediation liabilities on a site-by-site basis when it is probable that a loss can be reasonably estimated, or as a result of an environmental action or claim, environmental studies that we conduct or regulatory assessment. As at December 31, 2003, we recorded a liability for environmental conservation expenditures of €1.8 million, based on environmental studies that we conducted. We believe that the liability amount recorded is sufficient, subject to future changes in German environmental regulations.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to market risks from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, particularly the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the Euro, and equity prices which may affect our results of operations and financial condition and, consequently, our fair value. We manage these risks through internal risk management policies as well as the use of derivatives. We use derivatives to reduce or limit our exposure to interest rate and currency risks. We may in the future use derivatives to reduce or limit our exposure to fluctuations in pulp prices. We also use derivatives to reduce our potential losses or to augment our potential gains, depending on our management's perception of future economic events and developments. These types of derivatives are generally highly speculative in

nature. They are also very volatile as they are highly leveraged given that margin requirements are relatively low in proportion to notional amounts.

Many of our strategies, including the use of derivatives, and the types of derivatives selected by us, are based on historical trading patterns and correlations and our management's expectations of future events. However, these strategies may not be fully effective in all market environments or against all types of risks. Unexpected market developments may affect our risk management strategies during this time, and unanticipated developments could impact our risk management strategies in the future. If any of the variety of instruments and strategies we utilize are not effective, we may incur losses.

Derivatives

Derivatives are contracts between two parties where payments between the parties are dependent upon movements in the price of an underlying asset, index or financial rate. Examples of derivatives include swaps, options and forward rate agreements. The notional amount of the derivatives is the contract amount used as a reference point to calculate the payments to be exchanged between the two parties and the notional amount itself is not generally exchanged by the parties.

The principal derivatives we use are foreign exchange derivatives and interest rate derivatives.

Foreign exchange derivatives include currency swaps which involve the exchange of fixed payments in one currency for the receipt of fixed payments in another currency. Such cross currency swaps involve the exchange of both interest and principal amounts in two different currencies. They also include foreign exchange forwards which are contractual obligations in which two counterparties agree to exchange one currency for another at a specified price for settlement at a pre-determined future date. Forward contracts are effectively tailor-made agreements that are transacted between counterparties in the over-the-counter market.

Interest rate derivatives include interest rate forwards (forward rate agreements) which are contractual obligations to buy or sell an interest-rate-sensitive financial instrument on a future date at a specified price. Forward contracts are effectively tailor-made agreements that are transacted between different counterparties in the over-the-counter market. They also include interest rate swaps which are over-the-counter contracts in which two counterparties exchange interest payments based upon rates applied to a notional amount.

We use foreign exchange derivatives to convert some of our costs (including currency swaps relating to our long-term indebtedness) from Euros to U.S. dollars. We use interest rate derivatives to fix the rate of interest on indebtedness under the Rosenthal Loan Facility and the Stendal Loan Facility.

All of the derivatives we entered into were pursuant to the Rosenthal Loan Facility and the Stendal Loan Facility, each of which provide facilities for foreign exchange derivatives, interest rate derivatives and commodities derivatives, subject to prescribed controls, including maximum notional and at-risk amounts. These credit facilities are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Rosenthal and Stendal pulp mills, respectively, and have the benefit of certain German governmental guarantees. These credit facilities do not have any separate margin requirements when derivatives are entered into pursuant to the terms and conditions thereof and are subsequently marked to market.

All of the derivatives of Rosenthal and Stendal are marked to market at the end of each reporting period, and all unrealized gains and losses are recognized in earnings for a reporting period. We determine market valuations based primarily upon valuations provided by our counterparties.

In March 2004, Rosenthal entered into the Rosenthal Currency Derivatives which include two currency swaps in the aggregate principal amount of €184.5 million that mature in September 2008 and September 2013, respectively. As NBSK pulp prices are quoted in U.S. dollars and the majority of our

business transactions are denominated in Euros, Rosenthal had entered into the currency swaps to reduce the effects of exchange rate fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and the Euro on notional amounts under the Rosenthal Loan Facility. Under these currency swaps, Rosenthal effectively pays the principal and interest in U.S. dollars and at U.S. dollar borrowing rates.

The Rosenthal Currency Derivatives also include a currency forward in the notional amount of €40.7 million which matures in March 2005 that was entered into by Rosenthal to reduce or limit its exposure to currency risks and to augment its potential gains or to reduce its potential losses. In addition, Rosenthal entered into the Rosenthal Interest Rate Contracts in 2002 to either fix or limit the interest rates in connection with certain of its indebtedness.

In August 2002, Stendal entered into the Stendal Interest Rate Swaps in connection with its long-term indebtedness relating to the Stendal project to fix the interest rate under the Stendal Loan Facility at the then low level, relative to its historical trend and projected variable interest rate. These contracts were entered into under a specific credit line under the Stendal Loan Facility and are subject to prescribed controls, including certain maximum amounts for notional and at-risk amounts. Under the Stendal Interest Rate Swaps, Stendal pays a fixed rate and receives a floating rate with the interest payments being calculated on a notional amount. The interest rates payable under the Stendal Loan Facility were swapped into fixed rates based on the Eur-Euribor rate for the repayment periods of the tranches under the Stendal Loan Facility. Stendal effectively converted the Stendal Loan Facility from a variable interest rate loan into a fixed interest rate loan, thereby reducing interest rate uncertainty.

In March 2004, Stendal also entered into the Stendal Currency Derivatives which are comprised of a currency swap in the principal amount of €306.3 million which matures in April 2011 and a currency forward contract for the notional amount of €20.6 million maturing in March 2005 to reduce or limit its exposure to currency risks and to augment its potential gains or reduce its potential losses.

In December 2004, we settled the Currency Derivatives due to the substantial weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the Euro in 2004.

We are exposed to very modest credit related risks in the event of non-performance by counterparties to derivative contracts. However, we do not expect that the counterparties, which are major financial institutions, will fail to meet their obligations.

As of September 30, 2004, we have not entered into any material financial derivatives outside of our project companies, Rosenthal and Stendal, under their existing lines of credit. As at September 30, 2004, no derivative contract had been executed with respect to pulp prices.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The following table sets forth the maturity date, the notional amount and the recognized gain or loss, for derivatives that were in effect during 2003 and the first nine months of 2004:

Derivative Instrument	Maturity Date	Notional Amount	Recognized Gain (Loss) Year Ended December 31, 2003	Notional Amount	Recognized Gain (Loss) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004
		(in millions)	(in thousands)	(in millions)	(in millions)
ROSENTHAL					
Forward Rate Agreements ⁽¹⁾	Settled	\$ 149.0	€ (89)		
Forward Rate Agreements ⁽²⁾	Settled	\$ 124.8	(7)		
Currency Swap ⁽³⁾	Settled	€ 74.5	10,002		
Currency Swap ⁽⁴⁾	Settled	€ 124.2	14,057		
Currency Forward	Settled	\$ 20.0	768		
Currency Forward	Settled	\$ 30.0	3,751		
Forward Rate Agreement	Settled	\$ 126.9	(127)		
Forward Rate Agreement ⁽⁵⁾	Settled	\$ 200.9	(343)		
			28,012		
		As at December 31, 2003		As at September 30, 2004	
				(unaudited)	
Interest Rate Cap Agreements ⁽⁶⁾	September 2007	\$ 192.6	455	\$ 178.3	€ (0.1)
Currency Swap ⁽⁷⁾	September 2008			€ 111.8	(0.4)
Currency Swap ⁽⁸⁾	April 2011			€ 72.7	(0.2)
Currency Forward	March 2005			€ 40.7	0.4
			455		(0.3)
			€ 28,467		€ (0.3)
STENDAL					
Stendal Interest Rate Swaps ⁽⁹⁾	October 2017	€ 1,419.3	€ (13,042)	€ 1,147.5	€ (15.7)
Currency Swap ⁽¹⁰⁾	April 2011			€ 306.3	14.4
Currency Forward	March 2005			€ 20.6	0.5
Currency Forward	Settled	\$ 10.0	743		
			€(12,299)		€ (0.8)

(1) Rosenthal entered into two forward interest rate contracts with notional amounts of \$74.5 million and \$74.5 million both maturing on September 30, 2003. These derivatives were settled in 2003.

(2)

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The forward rate agreement was settled in 2003.

- (3) The interest component of the swaps was required under the terms of the Rosenthal Loan Facility, and became effective for the period starting September 30, 2002. For the outstanding principal amounts of €74.5 million under the Rosenthal Loan Facility, all repayment installments from September 30, 2002 until September 30, 2013, were swapped into U.S. dollar amounts at a rate of Euro 1.0050. The interest rate was swapped into the six-month U.S. dollar/Libor plus bank margin rate with a cap of 6.8% until September 28, 2007.
- (4) The interest component of the swaps was required under the terms of the Rosenthal Loan Facility, and became effective for the period starting January 23, 2003. For the outstanding principal amounts of €124.2 million under the Rosenthal Loan Facility, all repayment installments from January 23, 2003 until September 30, 2008, were swapped into U.S. dollar amounts at a rate of Euro 1.075. The interest rate was swapped into the three month U.S. dollar/Libor plus bank margin rate with a cap of 6.8% until September 28, 2007.

S-88

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (5) Rosenthal entered into two forward interest rate contracts with notional amounts of \$74.0 million and \$126.9 million both maturing on March 30, 2004.
- (6) Rosenthal entered into two interest rate cap contracts with notional amounts of \$106.2 million (2003: \$118.6 million) and \$72.1 million (2002: \$74.0 million), both maturing on September 28, 2007 with a strike rate of 6.8%.
- (7) For €111.8 million of the outstanding principal amount under the Rosenthal Loan Facility, all repayment installments from March 30, 2004 until September 30, 2008 were swapped into U.S. dollar amounts at a rate of U.S. 1.2398. The interest rate was swapped into the following payments: pay a fixed rate of 4.5%, pay the three-month Libor plus a spread of 0.12% and receive the three-month Euribor until September 30, 2008. These derivatives were settled in December 2004.
- (8) For €72.7 million of the outstanding principal amount under the Rosenthal Loan Facility, all repayment installments from March 30, 2004 until September 30, 2013 were swapped into U.S. dollar amounts at a rate of U.S. 1.2398. The interest rate was swapped into the six-month Libor plus a spread of 0.12% plus a bank margin of 0.7% until September 30, 2013. These derivatives were settled in December 2004.
- (9) In connection with the Stendal Loan Facility, in the third quarter of 2002 Stendal entered into the Stendal Interest Rate Swap Agreements, which are variable-to-fixed interest rate swaps, for the term of the Stendal Loan Facility, with respect to an aggregate maximum amount of approximately € 612.6 million of the principal amount of the long-term indebtedness under the Stendal Loan Facility. The swaps took effect on October 1, 2002 and are comprised of three contracts. The first contract commenced in October 2002 for a notional amount of €4.1 million, gradually increasing to €464.9 million, with an interest rate of 3.795%, and matured in May 2004. The second contract commenced in May 2004 for a notional amount of €464.9 million, gradually increasing to €612.6 million, with an interest rate of 5.28%, and matures in April 2005. The third contract is to commence in April 2005 for a notional amount of €612.6 million, with an interest rate of 5.28%, and the notional amount gradually decreases and the contract terminates upon the maturity of the Stendal Loan Facility in October 2017. As at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, the notional amounts of the two outstanding contracts was (i) €464.9 million and €534.9 million and (ii) €612.6 million and €612.6 million, respectively.
- (10) For €306.3 million of the outstanding principal amount under the Stendal Loan Facility, all repayment installments from April 1, 2004 until April 1, 2011 were swapped into U.S. dollar amounts at a rate of U.S. 1.2218. The interest rate was swapped into the following payments: pay a fixed rate of 3.5% and receive the six-month Euribor. These derivatives were settled in December 2004.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF CELGAR**

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of Celgar as at and for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 and the three years ended December 31, 2003 should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes of Celgar included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. Celgar prepares its financial statements in accordance with Canadian GAAP, which differs in certain respects from GAAP. For a discussion of the differences between Canadian GAAP and GAAP as they pertain to Celgar, see Note 10 of the unaudited financial statements of Celgar for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 and Note 12 of the audited financial statements of Celgar for the year ended December 31, 2003 included elsewhere in this prospectus supplement. For the purposes of this offering and the Senior Note Offering, Celgar has reconciled its accounts to GAAP and will continue to do so for ongoing Mercer consolidated reporting.

The information presented below and the financial statements for Celgar included in this prospectus supplement reflect the entire assets, liabilities and operations of Celgar for the periods and as at the dates indicated. However, we are acquiring only the operating assets of Celgar which, pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition Agreement, do not include the finished goods inventory, receivables, cash on hand and certain insurance claims of Celgar. Further, we are not assuming certain liabilities of Celgar including indebtedness for borrowed money for pre- and post-bankruptcy periods which aggregated approximately C\$1.1 billion at December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004. See "The Acquisition" and "Selected Historical Financial Information of Celgar" for more information.

Results of Operations

The Celgar mill is a modern NBSK pulp mill that produces high quality NBSK pulp. It has a current annual production capacity of approximately 430,000 ADMTs. In 1998, primarily as a result of the indebtedness incurred by the Celgar mill during an C\$850 million modernization process, its directors assigned Celgar into bankruptcy. KPMG Inc. was appointed trustee in bankruptcy. Immediately thereafter, two large institutional banks appointed KPMG Inc. as the receiver of all the assets and undertakings of Celgar under the security held by the banks. KPMG Inc. has operated the Celgar mill as trustee in bankruptcy since 1998.

The Celgar mill's financial performance depends on a number of variables that impact sales and production costs. Sales and production results are influenced largely by the market price for pulp and paper products and raw materials, the geographic sales mix of products produced and foreign currency exchange rates. Kraft pulp markets are highly cyclical, with prices determined by supply and demand. Demand for kraft pulp is influenced to a significant degree by global levels of economic activity and supply is driven by industry capacity and utilization rates. Primarily as a result of adjustments to inventory levels by Asian buyers in the fourth quarter of 2004, there was weaker demand and lower prices in the Asian market in the 2004 fourth quarter.

The Celgar mill's production costs are influenced by the availability and cost of raw materials, energy and labor, and its plant efficiencies and productivity. The Celgar mill's main raw material is fiber in the form of wood chips and pulp logs for pulp production. Fiber costs are primarily affected by the supply of, and demand for, pulp, which is highly cyclical. Production costs also depend on the total volume of production and plant efficiency. Throughout the later part of 2003, the Celgar mill experienced technical problems relating to a burner misalignment in its lime kiln causing improper heating which resulted in the kiln being shut down on several different occasions. Management at the Celgar mill has determined that the burner misalignment in the lime kiln resulted in additional aggregate costs, primarily related to purchases from third parties, of approximately C\$3.2 million being reflected in cost of sales through to September 2004.

The Celgar mill's financial performance for any reporting period is also impacted by changes in the U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar exchange rate. Changes in currency rates affect the mill's operating results because the price for NBSK pulp is generally based on a global industry benchmark that is priced in U.S. dollars. Therefore, a weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Canadian dollar will generally reduce the amount of Canadian dollar revenues of Celgar's operations. This will adversely affect the Celgar mill's operating margins as the vast majority of its costs are incurred in Canadian dollars. The Celgar mill has not mitigated the effect of currency rate fluctuations, as it has elected not to enter into foreign exchange derivative transactions. As a result, Celgar's product sales, which are primarily invoiced in U.S. dollars, have been at risk to fluctuations in exchange rates from the time such products are sold to the time such products are paid for. Any gain or loss on foreign exchange has been included in Celgar's general and administrative expenses.

Improving pulp demand resulting from improving world economies and the weakening of the U.S. dollar has led to list prices for kraft pulp in the U.S. increasing from an average of approximately \$545 in 2003 to an average of approximately \$670 in the third quarter of 2004. However, such price increases have been largely offset as the U.S. dollar has weakened against the Canadian dollar by approximately 20% during the same period.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 (Unaudited)

In the nine months ended September 30, 2004, net sales increased to C\$214.9 million from C\$207.6 million in the comparative period of 2003, primarily as a result of higher NBSK prices which were partially offset by the continuing decline in the U.S. dollar.

Cost of sales in the period ended September 30, 2004 decreased to C\$167.6 million from C\$182.9 million in the period ended September 30, 2003, primarily as a result of lower sales volume. The reduction in cost of sales was partially offset by an increase in average production costs which was primarily the result of increased fiber costs. Included in cost of sales are costs relating to the burner misalignment in the lime kiln of C\$96,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and C\$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003.

U.S. dollar denominated list pulp price increases were offset by the weakness of the U.S. dollar against the Canadian dollar in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Average list prices for NBSK pulp in the U.S. were approximately \$600 per ADMT in the first quarter of 2004, approximately \$660 per ADMT in the second quarter of 2004 and approximately \$670 per ADMT in the third quarter of 2004. Pulp sales realizations were C\$735 per ADMT on average in the current period, compared to C\$630 per ADMT in the comparative period of 2003. Pulp sales by volume decreased to 292,233 ADMTs in the current period from 329,253 ADMTs in the comparative period of 2003 primarily as a result of lower shipment volumes to southeast Asia and Europe.

General and administrative expenses decreased to C\$22.8 million in the period ended September 30, 2004 from C\$28.2 million in the period ended September 30, 2003, primarily as a result of a decrease in foreign exchange losses on current receivables and payables. Foreign exchange losses were C\$0.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to C\$5.0 million for the comparative period in 2003. General and administrative expenses also included C\$0.8 million and C\$1.7 million for the costs of KPMG Inc., as receiver and trustee in bankruptcy for the respective periods.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, Celgar reported a loss from operations of C\$127.6 million compared to a loss from operations of C\$32.9 million in the comparative period of 2003. This increase was attributable to a C\$129.2 million write down on property, plant and equipment in connection with the Acquisition.

Depreciation and amortization was C\$22.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004, compared to C\$29.3 million in the comparative period of 2003.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

In the year ended December 31, 2003, net sales increased to C\$271.6 million from C\$249.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily as a result of higher sales volumes.

Cost of sales in the year ended December 31, 2003 increased to C\$230.5 million from C\$213.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily as a result of higher sales volumes. Included in cost of sales are costs relating to the burner misalignment in the lime kiln of C\$3.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2003 with no corresponding charge in the comparative period in 2002.

U.S. dollar denominated list pulp price increases were mostly offset by an 18% decline in the U.S. dollar against the Canadian dollar in 2003. Average list prices for NBSK pulp in the U.S. were approximately \$490 per ADMT in 2002 and increased to an average of approximately \$550 per ADMT in 2003. Pulp sales realizations were C\$635 per ADMT on average in the current period, compared to C\$623 per ADMT in 2002. Pulp sales by volume increased to 427,860 ADMTs in the current period from 400,101 ADMTs in 2002.

General and administrative expenses increased to C\$38.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2003 from C\$30.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2002, primarily as a result of a required increase in a non-union salary pension plan accrual after an actuarial valuation and an increase in foreign exchange losses on current receivables and payables. Foreign exchange losses were C\$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, compared to C\$0.8 million for the comparative period. General and administrative expenses also included C\$1.9 million and C\$1.8 million for the costs of KPMG Inc., as receiver and trustee in bankruptcy for the respective periods.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, Celgar reported a loss from operations of C\$36.3 million, compared to a loss from operations of C\$33.8 million in 2002.

Depreciation and amortization was C\$39.2 million in 2003, compared to C\$38.9 million in 2002.

Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2001

In the year ended December 31, 2002, net sales increased to C\$249.3 million from C\$246.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2001, primarily as a result of higher sales volumes. In 2001, the Celgar mill was shut down for approximately 50 days for market related reasons.

Cost of sales in the year ended December 31, 2002 decreased to C\$213.6 million from C\$240.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2001, primarily as a result of lower production costs including a decrease in the cost of fiber and lower energy costs.

Average list prices for NBSK pulp in the U.S. were approximately \$525 per ADMT in 2001 and approximately \$490 per ADMT in 2002. Pulp sales realizations were C\$623 per ADMT on average in the current period, compared to C\$674 per ADMT in 2001. Pulp sales by volume increased to 400,101 ADMTs in the current period from 365,132 ADMTs in 2001.

General and administrative expenses increased to C\$30.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2002 from C\$25.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2001, primarily as a result of an increase in insurance premiums, a required increase in a non-union salary pension plan accrual after an actuarial valuation and foreign exchange losses from current receivables and payables. Foreign exchange losses were C\$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, compared to a foreign exchange gain of C\$1.8 million for the comparative period. General and administrative expenses also included C\$1.8 million and C\$2.0 million for the costs of KPMG Inc., as receiver and trustee in bankruptcy for the respective periods.

For the year ended December 31, 2002, Celgar reported a loss from operations of C\$33.8 million, compared to a loss from operations of C\$58.6 million in 2001.

Depreciation and amortization was C\$38.9 million in 2002, compared to C\$39.0 million in 2001.

BUSINESS

The Company

General

Mercer Inc. is a business trust organized under the laws of the State of Washington in 1968. Under Washington law, shareholders of a business trust have the same limited liability as shareholders of a corporation.

We operate in the pulp and paper business. Our operations are currently located primarily in eastern Germany and, upon completion of the Acquisition, will include western Canada. We currently employ approximately 1,647 people at our German operations and there are currently approximately 420 people employed at the Celgar mill. We believe we will be one of the largest market NBSK pulp producers in the world and will operate three pulp mills with an aggregate annual production capacity of approximately 1.3 million ADMTs:

Rosenthal mill. Our Rosenthal mill is a modern, efficient ISO 9002 certified pulp mill that has an annual production capacity of approximately 310,000 ADMTs and is located near the town of Blankenstein, Germany. The Rosenthal mill is currently the only producer of market NBSK pulp in Germany.

Stendal mill. Our Stendal mill is a new, state-of-the-art, single-line NBSK pulp mill which is designed to have an annual production capacity of approximately 552,000 ADMTs and is situated near the town of Stendal, Germany, approximately 300 kilometers north of the Rosenthal mill.

Celgar mill. The Celgar mill is a modern, efficient ISO 9001 certified pulp mill that has an annual production capacity of approximately 430,000 ADMTs of NBSK pulp and is located near the city of Castlegar, British Columbia, Canada, approximately 600 kilometers east of the port city of Vancouver, British Columbia.

We also own and operate two paper mills located at Heidenau and Fährbrücke, Germany that produce specialty papers and printing and writing papers and, based upon their current product mix, have an aggregate annual production capacity of approximately 70,000 ADMTs.

History and Development of Business

We originally invested in various real estate assets with the intention of becoming a real estate investment trust, but in 1985 changed its operational direction to acquiring controlling interests in operating companies. We acquired our current pulp and paper operations beginning in 1993.

Over the last five years to December 31, 2003, we have expended an aggregate of approximately €337.0 million on capital investments at our pulp and paper mills, not including the Stendal project or the Celgar mill, to increase production capacities, improve efficiencies, reduce effluent discharges and emissions and modernize the mills. Such capital investments were financed in large part through government guaranteed term financing and government grants of approximately €94.2 million. For more information about these grants, see "Business Government Financing".

In late 1999, we completed a major capital project which converted the Rosenthal mill to the production of kraft pulp from sulphite pulp, increased its annual production capacity from approximately 160,000 ADMTs to approximately 280,000 ADMTs and, through subsequent minor capital investments and efficiency improvements, increased its annual production capacity to approximately 310,000 ADMTs, and reduced emissions and energy costs. The aggregate cost of the project was approximately €361.0 million.

We completed financing arrangements and commenced construction of the Stendal mill in August 2002. The aggregate cost of the Stendal project is approximately €1.0 billion. Construction of

the Stendal mill was completed substantially on its planned schedule and budget in the third quarter of 2004 and the mill is currently in the start-up phase. For more information about the Stendal project, see " Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing".

Commencing in 1998, we implemented a strategy to focus on our core operations and rationalize assets that either were not part of our core operations or did not provide the desired level of return. As a result, between 1998 and 2000, we took a charge of €17.9 million relating to our paper operations and sold four paper mills that produced packaging, carton and printing papers located at Greiz, Raschau, Trebsen and Hainsberg, Germany.

The sale of these paper mills was the result of a strategic decision to withdraw from commodity paper grades produced principally from waste paper where we had little market share and, we believed, limited potential for long-term profitability. We continue to operate and upgrade the Heidenau and Fährbrücke paper mills as they principally produce niche products.

We reorganized our interest in Landqart, which owns a specialty paper mill in Switzerland, at the end of 2002 by transferring a 20% interest to a Swiss bank and exchanging the other 80% interest for an indirect 39% minority interest through a limited partnership on a non-cash basis. See "Business Our Products Paper".

Organizational Chart

The following chart sets out our directly and indirectly owned principal operating subsidiaries, their jurisdictions of organization and their principal activities:

(1) Certain wholly owned intermediate holding companies are not shown.

Competitive Strengths

Our competitive strengths include the following:

Modern Low Cost NBSK Pulp Mills. Upon completion of the Acquisition, we will operate three large, modern NBSK pulp mills and are a low cost NBSK pulp producer. The significant capital investments at the Rosenthal mill have resulted in a facility which ranks in the lowest cost quartile for NBSK pulp delivered to Europe. We expect our overall cost structure to improve because the Stendal mill is designed to have even lower production costs than the Rosenthal mill. Based on an industry survey, we believe that the Celgar mill ranks in the second quartile in North America on a manufactured cost basis for NBSK pulp. The relative age and production capacity of our NBSK pulp mills provide us with certain cost advantages over many of our competitors including lower maintenance capital expenditures.

High Quality NBSK Pulp Products. Our pulp mills produce high quality NBSK pulp which is a premium grade of kraft pulp. Our Rosenthal mill continues to increase the proportion of its sales of reinforcement NBSK pulp, which is used to produce stronger papers and generally obtains the highest price. The Stendal mill is similarly expected to produce a high quality NBSK pulp product, although from a slightly different species mix, resulting in a complementary product more suitable for different end uses. We believe that the pulp produced at the Celgar mill is known for its excellent product characteristics and the mill is a long-established supplier to paper producers in Asia.

Close Proximity to Customers. We are the sole kraft pulp producer and the only producer of market pulp in Germany, which is the largest pulp import market in Europe. Due to the proximity of the Rosenthal and Stendal mills to most of our European customers and the new member countries of the European Union, we benefit from lower transportation costs relative to our major competitors. As the Celgar mill is located in western Canada, it is well situated to serve Asian and North American customers. We believe our ability to deliver pulp on a timely basis enhances customer satisfaction and has made us a preferred supplier for many customers.

Stable and Abundant Fiber Supply. There is a significant amount of high-quality fiber within a close radius of each of our pulp mills. This fiber supply, combined with our purchasing power, provides us with an ability to enter into contracts which have relatively stable prices and volumes.

Strategy

Our corporate strategy is to create shareholder value by focusing on the expansion of our asset and earnings base through organic growth and acquisitions primarily in Europe and North America. We pursue organic growth through active management and targeted capital expenditures designed to produce a high return by increasing production, reducing costs and improving quality. We seek to acquire interests in companies and assets in the pulp and paper industry and related businesses where we can leverage our experience and expertise in adding value through a focused management approach. Key features of our strategy include:

Focusing on NBSK Market Pulp. We focus on NBSK pulp because it is a premium grade kraft pulp known for its strength which obtains the highest price relative to other kraft pulps. Although demand is cyclical, worldwide demand for kraft market pulp has grown at an average of approximately 3% per annum over the last ten years with higher growth rates in certain markets such as eastern Europe and Asia. We do not believe there are any significant new NBSK pulp production capacity increases coming online in the next several years due in part to fiber supply constraints and high capital costs.

Operating Modern, World-Class NBSK Pulp Production Facilities. In order to keep our operating costs as low as possible, with a goal of operating profitably in all market conditions, we only plan to operate large, modern NBSK pulp production facilities. We believe such production facilities provide the best platform to be an efficient, low cost producer of high quality NBSK pulp without the need for significant sustaining capital.

Improving Efficiency and Reducing Operating Costs. We focus on increasing the productivity and operating efficiency of our production facilities through cost reduction initiatives, including targeted capital investments. We seek to make high return capital investments that increase production and operating efficiency at our production facilities, reduce costs and improve product quality. We also seek to reduce operating costs by better managing certain operating activities at our facilities such as fiber procurement, sales and marketing activities, and we intend to further coordinate these activities at our pulp facilities to realize on potential synergies among them. In particular, we believe there are a number of opportunities to reduce the operating costs, increase production and improve the financial results of the Celgar mill.

Enhancing Customer Relationships. We focus on continually improving our marketing and distribution capabilities to enhance our customer relationships and capitalize on our geographic diversification. We seek to differentiate ourselves from our competitors by consistently delivering high quality products to our customers on a global basis. We intend to coordinate the marketing and distribution activities at our pulp mills to better service our customers.

The Pulp Industry

General

Pulp is used in the production of paper, tissues and paper related products. Pulp is generally classified according to fiber type, the process used in its production and the degree to which it is bleached. Kraft pulp is produced through a sulphate chemical process in which lignin, the component of wood which binds individual fibers, is dissolved in a chemical reaction. Chemically treated pulp allows the wood's fiber to retain its length and flexibility, resulting in stronger paper products. Kraft pulp can be bleached to increase its brightness. Kraft pulp is noted for its strength, brightness and absorption properties and is used to produce a variety of products, including lightweight publication grades of paper, tissues and paper related products.

The market value of kraft pulp depends in part on the fiber used in the production process. There are two primary species of wood used as fiber: softwood and hardwood. Softwood species generally have long, flexible fibers which add strength to paper while fibers from species of hardwood contain shorter fibers which lend bulk and opacity. Prices for softwood pulp are generally much higher than for hardwood pulp. As at September 30, 2004, there was an approximately \$110 per ADMT difference in their list prices in Europe. Currently, the kraft pulp market is roughly evenly split between softwood and hardwood grades. Most uses of market kraft pulp, including fine printing papers, coated and uncoated magazine papers and various tissue products, utilize a mix of softwood and hardwood grades to optimize production and product qualities. In recent years, production of hardwood pulp, based on fast growing plantation fiber primarily from Asia and South America, has increased much more rapidly than that of softwood grades that have longer growth cycles. As a result of the growth in supply and lower costs, kraft pulp customers in recent years have substituted some of the pulp content in their products to hardwood pulp. Counteracting customers' increased proportionate usage of hardwood pulp has been the requirement for strength characteristics in finished goods and paper and tissue makers focus on higher machine speeds and lower basis weights for publishing papers which also require the strength characteristics of softwood pulp. We believe that the ability of kraft pulp users to further substitute hardwood for softwood pulp is limited by such requirements.

NBSK pulp, which is a bleached kraft pulp manufactured using species of northern softwood, is considered a premium grade because of its strength. It generally obtains the highest price relative to other kraft pulps. Southern bleached softwood kraft pulp is kraft pulp manufactured using southern softwood species and does not possess the strength found in NBSK pulp. NBSK pulp is the sole product of the Rosenthal, Stendal and Celgar mills.

Kraft pulp can be made in different grades, with varying technical specifications, for different end uses. High quality kraft pulp is valued for its reinforcing role in mechanical printing papers, while other grades of kraft pulp are used to produce lower priced grades of paper, including tissues and paper related products.

Pulp Markets

Producers ranging from small independent manufacturers to large integrated companies produce pulp worldwide. In 2003, more than 130 million ADMTs of kraft pulp were converted into printing and writing papers, tissues, cartonboards and other white grades of paper and paperboard around the world. Approximately 65% of this pulp was produced for internal purposes by integrated paper and paperboard manufacturers, and approximately 35% was produced for sale on the open market.

In 2003, total worldwide consumption of market pulp was approximately 50 million ADMTs, comprised of various types of market pulp as illustrated below:

Global Market Pulp Shipments

Source: Pulp and Paper Products Council

Although demand is cyclical, worldwide demand for kraft market pulp has grown at an average rate of approximately 3% annually over the last ten years. Over the last ten years, hardwood kraft pulp production has increased at a faster rate than softwood kraft pulp production, at 5% and 2%, respectively. As such, hardwood pulp now comprises approximately 42% of total market pulp shipments, only slightly less than softwood, an increase from 35% in the early 1990s. This higher growth rate is the result of significant capital investment in new capacity in tropical regions where forest plantations exhibit higher growth rates, such as Indonesia and South America. The rapid growth rates result in shorter fibers, with resulting pulp qualities of softness, bulk and opacity. NBSK pulp, which provides paper makers with strength, allows for increased use of inert fillers, clays and coatings as well as reducing breakage on new high speed paper and printing machines. We believe that the substitution of softwood by hardwood pulp is limited, and that NBSK pulp will continue to act as the benchmark grade within the pulp markets. The growth rate for NBSK pulp reflects this continuing demand, with growth rates higher than the general softwood kraft group. The following chart illustrates the worldwide NBSK market pulp demand for the specified periods:

Worldwide NBSK Market Pulp Demand

Source: Pulp and Paper Products Council

S-98

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Western Europe accounts for approximately 40% of global market pulp demand with a growth rate of approximately 2% annually over the past ten years. Approximately 18 million ADMTs of market pulp were consumed in western Europe in 2003, of which approximately six million ADMTs were comprised of NBSK pulp. The following map provides an overview of the estimated European trade flows of market pulp in 2003, with Europe importing substantial quantities of pulp from the Americas:

2003 Trade Flows in Pulp for Europe (CEPI) ⁽¹⁾ (thousands of ADMTs)

Source: Confederation of European Paper Industries ("CEPI")

- (1) Europe (CEPI) means the European Union countries, Norway and Switzerland.
- (2) Europe (non-CEPI) means all European countries other than Europe as defined above.

Within Europe, Germany, with its large economy and sizable paper industry, is the largest pulp market and consumed approximately six million ADMTs of market pulp in 2003 relying largely on imports from North America and Scandinavia. Approximately 61% of the market pulp consumed in Germany in 2003 was kraft pulp. In 2003, the top five importing countries in continental Europe were as follows:

Market	Imports of Kraft Pulp From	
	Europe ⁽¹⁾	Outside Europe
	(thousands of ADMTs)	
Germany	2,346	1,469
Italy	926	1,976
France	823	1,078
United Kingdom	435	737
Netherlands	458	256

- (1) Includes European Union countries, Norway and Switzerland.
Source: Council of European Paper Industries

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Demand for market pulp in Asia (excluding Japan) has been growing at approximately 9% annually over the past ten years and currently accounts for approximately 30% of global demand. Approximately 13 million ADMTs of market pulp were consumed in Asia (excluding Japan) in 2003, of

S-99

which approximately 2.3 million ADMTs were comprised of NBSK pulp. This demand growth has been driven both by increasing per capita consumption combined with the mandated closure of numerous small, often non-wood based, pulp facilities in China. Canada is the largest exporter to this region, supplying approximately three million ADMTs of market pulp in 2003, approximately two million of which were comprised of NBSK pulp. Additionally, Japan consumed approximately three million ADMTs of market pulp in 2002, the latest year for which data is available, of which approximately 25% was comprised of NBSK pulp. The following chart illustrates the worldwide total market pulp demand for the specified periods:

Worldwide Total Market Pulp Demand

Source: Pulp and Paper Products Council

We expect Europe and Asia to continue to be significant net importers of pulp in the foreseeable future. The markets for kraft pulp are cyclical in nature and demand for kraft pulp is related to global and regional levels of economic activity. A measure of demand for kraft pulp is the ratio obtained by dividing the worldwide consumption of kraft pulp by the worldwide capacity for the production of kraft pulp, or the "consumption/capacity ratio". An increase in this ratio generally occurs when there is an increase in global and regional levels of economic activity and low inventories of kraft pulp. An increase in this ratio generally indicates greater demand as consumption increases, which generally results in rising kraft pulp prices and a build-up of inventories by buyers and a reduction by producers. As prices continue to rise, producers continue to run at higher operating rates. However, an adverse change in global and regional levels of economic activity generally negatively affects demand for kraft pulp, often leading to a high level of inventory build-up by buyers. As demand falls, buyers generally reduce their purchases and rely on inventories of kraft pulp and many producers will run at lower operating rates by taking downtime to limit the build-up of their own inventories.

The consumption/capacity ratio, excluding Indonesian and eastern European pulp producers, was approximately 89% in 2001, approximately 91% in 2002 and approximately 93% in 2003. We expect the long lead time and significant capital investment required to bring new pulp mills on stream to limit growth in industry capacity in the next few years.

Kraft Pulp Pricing

Global economic conditions, changes in production capacity, inventory levels, and currency exchange rates are the primary factors affecting NBSK pulp list prices. Canada and western Europe currently represent approximately 50% and 40%, respectively, of global NBSK pulp capacity while list prices are denominated in U.S. dollars. The following chart illustrates the average annual European NBSK list pulp prices since 1990:

Average Annual European NBSK List Pulp Prices

Source: Pulp and Paper Week

The average annual European list prices for NBSK pulp between 1990 and 2003 ranged from a low of approximately \$444 per ADMT in 1993 to a high of approximately \$875 per ADMT in 1995.

The 1995 price peak was followed by a steep decline as inventory levels for North American and Scandinavian, or "Norscan", producers grew to over 2.5 million ADMTs by early 1996. Norscan producers currently produce a majority of the market NBSK pulp sold in North America and Europe and inventory levels held by Norscan producers are considered an industry benchmark in determining industry inventory levels. Between 1996 and 1999, list pulp prices remained relatively low due in part to the Asian financial crisis which began in late 1997.

Prices started to recover in 1999 due to a combination of factors including a recovery in the Asian economy, the shutdown of unprofitable mills or older mills in need of environmental upgrades and a decline in capacity expansion. This contributed to tightening inventory levels among Norscan producers, which fell to approximately 1.1 million ADMTs in June 2000, resulting in list prices increasing to an average of approximately \$710 per ADMT in the fourth quarter of 2000. However, the decline of the American and major European economies in 2001 caused a sharp reduction in paper demand. As a result, Norscan pulp inventories rose to a high of approximately two million ADMTs in early 2001 and list price levels eroded to an average of approximately \$460 per ADMT in late 2001. Inventory levels ranged between approximately 1.3 million and 1.9 million ADMTs in 2002, and list prices averaged approximately \$463 per ADMT in 2002. The weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro and other major currencies and an increase in demand resulting from improving American and major European

economies in 2003 resulted in list prices for kraft pulp in Europe increasing to approximately \$560 per ADMT in December 2003 despite relatively high inventory levels. List prices for kraft pulp in Europe continued to strengthen in the first half of 2004 due to the relatively weak U.S. dollar and improving world economies. List prices increased to approximately \$660 per ADMT in July 2004, before falling to approximately \$585 per ADMT in October 2004 and subsequently recovering to approximately \$625 per ADMT in December 2004. A producer's sales realizations will reflect customer discounts, commissions and other items and it is likely that prices will continue to fluctuate in the future.

The Manufacturing Process

The following diagram provides a simplified description of the kraft pulp manufacturing process at the Rosenthal, Stendal and Celgar mills:

In order to transform wood chips into kraft pulp, wood chips undergo a multi-step process involving the following principal stages: chip screening, digesting, pulp washing, and screening, bleaching and drying.

In the initial processing stage, wood chips are screened to remove oversized chips and sawdust and are conveyed to a pressurized digester where they are heated and cooked with chemicals. This occurs in a continuous process at the Celgar and Rosenthal mills and in a batch process at the Stendal mill. This process softens and eventually dissolves the phenolic material called lignin that binds the fibers to each other in the wood.

Cooked pulp flows out of the digester and is washed and screened to remove most of the residual spent chemicals, called black liquor, and partially cooked wood chips. The pulp then undergoes a series of bleaching stages where the brightness of the pulp is gradually increased. Finally, the bleached pulp is

sent to the pulp machine where it is dried to achieve a dryness level of more than 90%. The pulp is then ready to be baled for shipment to customers.

A significant feature of kraft pulping technology is the recovery system, whereby chemicals used in the cooking process are captured and extracted for re-use, which reduces chemical costs and improves environmental performance. During the cooking stage, dissolved organic wood materials and black liquor are extracted from the digester. After undergoing an evaporation process, black liquor is burned in a recovery boiler. The chemical compounds of the black liquor are collected from the recovery boiler and are reconstituted into cooking chemicals used in the digesting stage through additional processing in the recausticizing plant.

The heat produced by the recovery boiler is used to generate high-pressure steam. Additional steam is generated by a power boiler through the combustion of biomass consisting of bark and other wood residues from sawmills, residue generated by the effluent treatment system and natural gas. The steam produced by the recovery and power boilers is used to power a turbogenerator to generate electricity, as well as to provide heat for the digesting and pulp drying processes.

The Paper Industry

The paper industry is global in nature with many international, national and regional producers competing over many different product lines. Prices and profitability in the paper industry are driven primarily by global supply and demand. Demand is strongly influenced by global and regional levels of economic activity. Supply is determined by industry capacity and operating rates. In general, the paper industry has experienced periods of supply and demand imbalance. When demand increases, prices rise, which leads producers to increase their capacity and operating rates. As supply increases in response, price competition increases, driving prices lower.

We produce principally specialty papers and printing and writing papers. The specialty papers that we produce are comprised of coated and uncoated wallpaper base, non-woven wallpaper base and greaseproof paper.

Wallpaper can be coated with an agent to enhance its appearance and printing capability. In addition, non-woven wallpaper contains a certain proportion of synthetic fibers so that it does not expand when wet, paste can be applied to the wall instead of the wallpaper and it can be easily torn from the wall, or drystripped. Demand for wallpaper is related to activity in the construction and refurbishing industries, which have been relatively strong due to low interest rates in most industrialized countries. Non-woven wallpapers are the fastest growing category of wallpaper.

Greaseproof paper is a consumer oriented product that can be used for, among other things, baking and the packaging of food products such as fast foods.

Printing and writing papers which we produce consist of only uncoated woodfree papers. Woodfree papers generally contain less than 10% mechanical pulp. Uncoated woodfree papers can be finished to enhance their surface and are often used to print less costly products.

Raw Materials

Our mills are situated in regions which offer an ample and stable supply of fiber. The fiber consumed by our pulp mills consists of wood chips produced by sawmills and pulp logs, which are cyclical in both price and supply. Wood chips are small pieces of wood used to make pulp and are a product of either wood waste from sawmills or pulp logs processed, or chipped, especially for this purpose. Pulp logs consist of lower quality logs not used in the production of lumber. The costs of wood chips and pulp logs are primarily affected by the supply and demand for lumber.

Rosenthal mill

The wood chips for the Rosenthal mill are sourced from approximately 60 sawmills located in the States of Bavaria and Thuringia within a 150 kilometer radius of the Rosenthal mill. Within this radius, the Rosenthal mill is the largest consumer of wood chips. Given its location and size, the Rosenthal mill is the best economic outlet for the sale of wood chips in the area. In 2003, the Rosenthal mill consumed approximately 1.7 million cubic meters of fiber. Approximately 66%, or approximately 1.1 million cubic meters, of such consumption was in the form of sawmill wood chips. The balance of approximately 34%, or approximately 0.6 million cubic meters, was in the form of pulp logs. Approximately 85% to 90% of the fiber consumed by the Rosenthal mill is spruce and the remainder is pine. We believe the Rosenthal mill's fiber costs have historically been among the lowest for European pulp producers. The Rosenthal mill's transportation division, which operates approximately 50 trucks, handled approximately 50% of our wood chip deliveries to the mill in 2003. While fiber costs and supply are subject to cyclical changes largely in the sawmill industry, we expect that we will be able to continue to obtain an adequate supply of fiber on reasonably satisfactory terms for the Rosenthal mill due to its location and our long-term relationships with suppliers. We have not historically experienced any fiber supply interruptions at the Rosenthal mill.

Wood chips for the Rosenthal mill are normally sourced from sawmills under one year or quarterly supply contracts with fixed volumes, which provide for price adjustments. In 2003, we entered into a three-year agreement with one of our existing wood chip suppliers for the supply for the Rosenthal mill of approximately 500,000 cubic meters of wood chips annually until 2006. Pulp logs are partly sourced from the state forest agency in Thuringia on a contract basis and partly from private holders, on the same basis as wood chips. We organize the harvesting of pulp logs sourced from the state forest agency in Thuringia after discussions with the agency regarding the quantities of pulp logs that we require.

The Rosenthal mill's fiber requirements were historically procured principally by SCA Holz, a large wood supply company. Our agreement with SCA Holz expired in April 2003 and has not been renewed. We have organized our own internal wood procurement department to handle and source the fiber requirements for the Rosenthal mill. Five people are employed in the department currently. The department will continue to procure fiber for the Rosenthal mill from many of the same sources and under similar terms as under our agreement with SCA Holz. The department also assisted in sourcing fiber for the start-up of the Stendal mill. We expect to coordinate the fiber procurement for the Rosenthal and Stendal mills. We believe that handling our own fiber procurement will reduce our operating costs over the long-term due to the elimination of third party fees paid for sourcing fiber.

Stendal mill

The fiber consumed by the Stendal mill consists of wood chips and pulp logs. When fully operational, the Stendal mill is expected to consume approximately 2.8 to 3.0 million cubic meters of fiber annually. The core wood supply region for the Stendal mill includes most of the northern part of Germany within an approximately 240 kilometer radius of the mill. The wood supply potential in this core region is not yet fully utilized and we expect that it should be able to supply 80% of all of the fiber needed by the mill. We expect to obtain the balance primarily from southwestern and southern Germany. The fiber base in the planned wood supply area for the Stendal mill consists of approximately 80% pine and 20% spruce and fir. We expect approximately 20% of the fiber consumed by the Stendal mill to be in the form of sawmill wood chips and approximately 80% in the form of pulp logs. The Stendal mill has sufficient chipping capacity to fully operate using solely pulp logs, if required. We expect to source wood chips from sawmills within an approximately 360 kilometer radius of the Stendal mill. We expect to source pulp logs partly from private forest holders and partly from state forest agencies in Thuringia, Sachsen-Anhalt and Brandenburg. In 2003, Stendal commenced putting into place definitive supply arrangements similar to those of the Rosenthal mill. We have currently arranged for approximately 45% of the fiber requirements for the Stendal mill for 2005.

When fully operational, the Stendal mill is expected to be the largest consumer of wood chips in Germany and, together with the Rosenthal mill, provide the best economic outlet for the sale of wood chips in eastern Germany.

Stendal has established its own wood procurement organization to handle the fiber requirements for the Stendal mill. Currently, there are approximately 87 people employed in this division. This division focuses on three principal activities, being wood procurement and sales, harvesting, and transportation. The procurement and sales main activity is to procure the required wood chip and pulp log assortments for the mill's annual production. In conjunction with this activity, it may also procure higher quality sawlogs, either through harvesting or through purchases that it can sell or trade with others for wood chips in order to optimize the fiber mix. When fully operational, we expect these activities to employ up to 15 people. The harvesting activities focus on acquiring up to approximately 800,000 cubic meters per annum of harvestable timber, of which approximately 75% is expected to be pulp logs and the balance likely to be higher quality logs that could be sold or traded to third parties for wood chips. We expect that approximately half of this volume may be harvested directly by us and the other half would be contracted out to third parties. When fully operational, we expect to engage up to 55 people in this division. Transportation activities focus on managing, controlling and optimizing shipping and flows of pulp logs to the mill. When fully operational, we expect that the transportation activities may employ up to 40 people.

When the Stendal mill is fully operational, we expect to be the largest consumer of wood chips and pulp logs in Germany. We intend to coordinate and integrate the wood procurement activities for the Rosenthal mill and the Stendal mill to realize on a number of potential synergies between them. These include reduced overall personnel and administrative costs, greater purchasing power and coordinated buying and trading activities. We also believe such coordination and integration of fiber flows will allow us to optimize transportation costs, and the species and fiber mix for both mills.

Celgar mill

The Celgar mill has a secure supply of high quality fiber that it purchases from a number of Canadian and U.S. suppliers. When operating at full capacity, the Celgar mill's annual fiber requirements are approximately 2.4 million cubic meters. Two sources of fiber are used to meet this demand: chips purchased from nearby sawmills and chipping facilities, and roundwood pulp logs purchased from local logging contractors. All of the Celgar mill's fiber is sourced externally with approximately 90% covered under chip contracts and the remaining 10% coming from the roundwood pulp logs processed through its woodroom.

Celgar has entered into long and short-term chip supply agreements with approximately 30 different suppliers from British Columbia, Canada and the U.S. for a total of approximately 2.2 million cubic meters (excluding chips from its own woodroom). This represents approximately 90% of total annual fiber requirements at the mill. Celgar's woodroom supplies the remaining chips to meet the Celgar mill's requirements. The Canadian chip supply agreements contain terms that index the price of the chips to NBSK pulp pricing and therefore the chip costs are correlated with Celgar's net sales. The majority of the agreements are for periods ranging between two and six years. Several of the longer-term contracts are so-called "evergreen" agreements, where the contract remains in effect until one of the parties elects to terminate. Termination requires a minimum of two, and in some cases, five years written notice. Certain non-evergreen long-term agreements provide for renewal negotiations prior to expiry. We expect substantially all of the chip supply agreements which have been entered into by Celgar to be assigned to us or to renegotiate new agreements on substantially the same terms. Celgar has contracts with three sawmills, which are all owned by the same parent. These sawmills comprise approximately 25% of the Celgar mill's total fiber supply. Two of these chip agreements each remain in effect until December 31, 2008 and thereafter, if not extended, continue, subject to volume reductions, indefinitely, subject to termination by either party upon two years' prior notice. The third agreement is an evergreen agreement that remains in effect until terminated upon five years' prior notice. The chip agreements each contain provisions that may vary chip volume delivery commitments upon the happening of certain events.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Except for occasional minor purchases from smaller suppliers, the balance of Celgar's fiber requirements is met by the production of chips from its own woodroom. Currently the woodroom is effectively operating a 40 hour/week schedule to supply chips to the Celgar mill. To secure the volume of pulp logs required to meet its requirements, Celgar has entered into annual pulp log supply agreements with 20 to 30 different suppliers, many of whom are also Celgar's contract chip suppliers. The woodroom is capable of running additional shifts and has historically operated in this fashion. Additional volumes of pulp logs are available to ramp up woodroom operations by up to 50%. All of the pulp log agreements can be terminated by either party for any reason, upon seven days' written notice.

In addition to existing agreements, opportunities exist for the Celgar mill to secure additional fiber from mills in both Canada and the U.S. In the recent past, Celgar has purchased fiber from other suppliers. Celgar has flexibility in the selection and choice of suppliers, thus assuring continuity of supply as well as the ability to mix species when needed.

Paper mills

The fiber used by the paper mills consists of pulp and waste paper (recycled paper), which are cyclical in both price and supply. The cost of this fiber is primarily affected by the supply and demand for paper and pulp. In 2003, approximately 85%, or approximately 64,036 ADMTs, of the fiber consumed by our paper mills was in the form of market pulp and chemical additives. Market pulp and chemical additives are available at market prices from various suppliers throughout Europe. The balance of approximately 15%, or approximately 11,621 ADMTs, of the fiber consumed by our paper mills was in the form of waste paper. Germany has extensive waste paper recycling and collection laws which result in a readily available supply. The cost of lower grade waste paper is currently relatively low in comparison to virgin pulp. We have not historically experienced any fiber supply interruptions at our paper mills.

Pulp Cash Production Costs

The Rosenthal mill commenced kraft pulp operations in late 1999. As production and sales ramped-up and increased, efficiencies were achieved within the mill operations, resulting in lower per unit costs. Cash production costs for the Rosenthal mill for the periods indicated below are as follows:

Costs	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2001	2002	2003	2003 ⁽¹⁾	2004 ⁽¹⁾
	(per ADMT)			(unaudited)	
Fiber	€ 184	€ 178	€ 178	€ 178	€ 171
Labor	57	54	51	53	54
Chemicals	48	38	46	46	43
Energy ⁽²⁾	18	9	4	5	
Other	35	33	28	28	32
Total cash production costs⁽³⁾	€ 342	€ 312	€ 307	€ 310	€ 300

(1) We normally report our cash production costs for pulp on an annual basis only and intend to continue to do so in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for future periods. Total cash production costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 may not be comparable on an annualized basis due to the timing of maintenance expenditures.

(2) Net of energy revenues.

(3) Cost of production per ADMT produced excluding depreciation.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Construction of the Stendal mill was completed in the third quarter of 2004. Although the Stendal mill had approximately 13 days of operations in September 2004, it is currently in the start-up phase. As a result, we believe that cash production costs for pulp produced at the Stendal mill in the third quarter of 2004 are not meaningful as they do not provide an accurate representation of the mill's future operating performance. Accordingly, they are not included herein. The Stendal mill is designed to have even lower production costs than the Rosenthal mill.

The Celgar mill is a low-cost producer of NBSK pulp and, based on an industry survey, we believe it ranks in the second quartile in North America on a manufactured cost basis. Cash production costs for the Celgar mill for the periods indicated below are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2001 ⁽¹⁾	2002	2003	2003 ⁽²⁾	2004 ⁽²⁾
	(per ADMT)			(unaudited)	
Fiber	C\$257	C\$204	C\$208	C\$205	C\$233
Labor	99	89	96	101	98
Chemicals	79	72	75	74	76
Energy ⁽³⁾	54	43	38	42	35
Other	82	83	82	91	91
Total cash production costs⁽⁴⁾	C\$571	C\$491	C\$499	C\$513	C\$533

(1) The Celgar mill was shut down for approximately 50 days in 2001 for market related reasons.

(2) We normally report our cash production costs for pulp on an annual basis only and intend to continue to do so in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for future periods. Total cash production costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004 may not be comparable on an annualized basis due to the timing of maintenance expenditures.

(3) Net of energy revenues.

(4) Cost of production per ADMT produced excluding depreciation.

Our Products

We manufacture and sell NBSK pulp and two primary classes of paper products. Our products are produced from both virgin fiber, being wood chips, pulp logs and chemical woodfree pulp, and recycled fiber, being waste paper.

Pulp

In early 2000, we completed the conversion of the Rosenthal mill to the production of kraft pulp. The kraft pulp produced at the Rosenthal mill is a long-fibered softwood pulp produced by a sulphate cooking process and manufactured primarily from wood chips and pulp logs. A number of factors beyond economic supply and demand have an impact on the market for chemical pulp, including requirements for pulp bleached without any chlorine compounds or without the use of chlorine gas. The Rosenthal mill has the capability of producing both "totally chlorine free" and "elemental chlorine free" pulp. Totally chlorine free pulp is bleached to a high brightness using oxygen, ozone and hydrogen peroxide as bleaching agents, whereas elemental chlorine free pulp is produced by substituting chlorine dioxide for chlorine gas in the bleaching process. This substitution virtually eliminates complex chloro-organic compounds from mill effluent.

Kraft pulp is valued for its reinforcing role in mechanical printing papers and is sought after by producers of paper for the publishing industry, primarily for magazines and advertising materials. Kraft pulp produced for reinforcement fibers is considered the highest grade of kraft pulp and generally obtains the highest price. Through a focused technical and marketing effort, we have changed the mix of the kraft pulp that we produce at the Rosenthal mill to substantially increase our relative amount of

reinforcement fibers from approximately 16% at the beginning of 2000 to approximately 41% at the end of 2003.

The Rosenthal mill produces pulp for reinforcement fibers to the specifications of certain of our customers. We believe that a number of our customers consider us their supplier of choice. For more information about the facilities at the Rosenthal mill, see " Rosenthal Conversion Project and Financing" and " Properties".

The kraft pulp produced at the Stendal mill will be of a slightly different grade than the kraft pulp produced at the Rosenthal mill as the mix of softwood fiber used will be slightly different. This will result in a complementary product more suitable for different end uses. The Stendal mill is capable of producing both totally chlorine free and elemental chlorine free pulp. For more information about the facilities at the Stendal mill, see " Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing" and " Properties".

The Celgar mill produces high quality kraft pulp that is made from a unique blend of slow growing/long-fiber western Canadian tree species. It is used in the manufacture of high quality paper products. The Celgar mill currently produces the following two grades of elemental chlorine free pulp:

Celstar approximately 55% of the pulp produced by the Celgar mill is a high quality bleached softwood kraft pulp made from Hemlock, Balsam Fir, Spruce, Pine and Western Red Cedar.

Celect the remaining 45% of the pulp produced by the Celgar mill is a unique softwood pulp made from a specifically segregated mixture of long-fiber wood species (Douglas Fir and Western Larch). Celect is preferred by papermakers looking for high tear and lower air resistance.

We believe the Celgar mill's pulp is known for its excellent product characteristics, including tensile strength, wet strength and brightness. We also believe that the pulp produced at the Celgar mill is known for its excellent product characteristics and the mill is a long-established supplier to paper producers in Asia. For more information about the facilities at the Celgar mill, see " Properties".

Paper

Our paper manufacturing strategy has focused on utilizing our existing machines, with certain modifications, in combination with our skilled workforce, to principally produce niche products. As a result, we have divested certain paper mills which focused on packaging, carton and recycled printing and writing papers, and shifted our production away from woodfree printing and writing papers.

The following table sets out the primary classes of paper products that we produce and the mills at which they are produced:

Paper Product Class	Mill	Product Description
Specialty Paper	Heidenau	Coated and uncoated wallpaper and non-woven wallpaper base
	Fährbrücke	Greaseproof paper
Printing Paper	Fährbrücke	Printing and writing paper

We sell our wallpaper and non-woven wallpaper base primarily to specialty paper converters and printers. It is used primarily in new construction and in the renovation industry in residential housing and commercial buildings. We sell our greaseproof paper to paper converters supplying the food industry. It is used primarily for wrapping and baking food. We sell our printing and writing papers primarily to traders, converter suppliers and paper wholesalers.

We currently manufacture specialty and printing paper at two facilities located in Germany. For more information about the facilities at the paper mills, see " Properties".

We reorganized our interest in Landqart at the end of 2002 by selling a 20% interest to a Swiss bank and exchanging the other 80% interest for a 49% interest in a limited partnership on a non-cash basis. This resulted in our having a 39% indirect interest in Landqart. We reduced our Landqart stake to prioritize our available capital and resources on Stendal because of our obligation to repay or refinance the two bridge loans obtained in connection with the financing arrangements for Stendal, and poor capital market conditions. The reorganization has allowed the management of Landqart to focus on its operations and financial requirements without regard to our other operations. The Swiss bank had agreed to reduce and refinance a portion of Landqart's debt in consideration of the equity stake, and was in a better position to assist Landqart in securing the funds necessary to make the required capital investment in the Landqart mill.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution

The distribution of Mercer's pulp and paper sales volume and revenues by product class, and revenues by geographic area is set out in the following table for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2001	2002	2003	2003	2004
			(ADMTs)	(unaudited)	
Sales Volume by Product Class					
Pulp ⁽¹⁾	285,654	293,607	303,655	221,926	229,462
Papers					
Specialty Papers	40,437 ⁽²⁾	61,727 ⁽²⁾	40,621	30,420	28,144
Printing Papers	26,815	23,195	21,397	16,568	19,357
Total Papers	67,252	84,922	62,018	46,988	47,501
Total ⁽¹⁾	352,906	378,529	365,673	268,914	276,963
			(in thousands)		
Revenues by Product Class					
Pulp ⁽¹⁾	€ 146,245	€ 130,173	€ 126,594	€ 92,418	€ 103,743
Papers					
Specialty Papers	35,959 ⁽²⁾	79,358 ⁽²⁾	40,082	30,185	28,039
Printing Papers	22,797	18,352	15,780	12,332	13,302
Total Papers	58,756	97,710	55,862	42,517	41,341
Total ⁽¹⁾	€ 205,001	€ 227,883	€ 182,456	€ 134,935	€ 145,084
Revenues by Geographic Area					
Germany	€ 94,486	€ 88,809	€ 80,306	€ 60,596	€ 64,339
Italy	50,016	46,027	46,609	34,581	36,524
European Union ⁽³⁾	21,938	31,631	29,936	21,974	35,441
Eastern Europe and Other	38,561	61,416	25,605	17,784	8,780
Total ⁽¹⁾	€ 205,001	€ 227,883	€ 182,456	€ 134,935	€ 145,084

(1)

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Excluding intercompany sales volumes of 10,447, 10,768 and 5,527 ADMTs of pulp and intercompany net sales revenues of approximately €5.8 million, €4.9 million and €2.3 million in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, and intercompany sales volumes of 5,166 and 3,897 ADMTs of pulp and intercompany net sales revenues of approximately €2.2 million and €1.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

(2)

We acquired Landqart, which operates a specialty paper mill, in December 2001 for approximately \$2.7 million. As of December 31, 2002, our interest in Landqart is no longer consolidated and is included in our financial results on an equity

S-109

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

basis. Accordingly, sales from the Landqart specialty paper mill are not included in our results for 2001, but are included for 2002. The Landqart mill sold approximately 18,222 ADMTs for approximately €39.7 million in 2002.

(3)

Not including Germany or Italy; includes new entrant countries to the European Union from their time of admission.

A large proportion of our kraft pulp sales from the Rosenthal mill in western Europe were historically handled through a sales agency agreement with Oy Metsä Botnia Ab, or "Metsä", a member of the M-real Corporation of Finland which operates a number of different paper mills. These sales comprised approximately 41% and 37% of the mill's total pulp sales in 2002 and 2001. Sales and marketing in other countries were conducted by Rosenthal's own sales staff and through independent agents. We chose not to renew our sales agency agreement with Metsä when it expired in December 2002. In 2003, we successfully placed with other customers all of the volumes formerly sold to the M-real Corporation. We are now conducting all sales and marketing of the kraft pulp from the Rosenthal mill internally through sales staff and through agents. We believe that this allows us to better coordinate our pulp sales and results in reduced sales and marketing costs due to reduced third party fees in the distribution of our products. In addition, the Stendal mill has established a sales and marketing division that is responsible for conducting all sales and marketing of the kraft pulp produced at the mill. When fully operational, we expect approximately 8 people to be employed in this division. We intend to co-ordinate and integrate the sales and marketing activities at the Rosenthal mill and Stendal mill to realize on a number of synergies between them. These include reduced overall administrative and personnel costs and co-ordinated selling, marketing and transportation activities. When the Stendal mill is fully operational, we expect to sell substantially all of its kraft pulp production in continental Europe.

The Rosenthal and Stendal mills are currently the only market kraft pulp producers in Germany, which is one of the leading import markets for kraft pulp in western Europe. We therefore have a material competitive transportation cost advantage compared to Norscan pulp producers when shipping to customers in Europe. Due to the Rosenthal mill's central location, it delivers pulp to customers primarily by truck. Most trucks that deliver goods into eastern Germany generally do not also haul goods out of the region as eastern Germany is primarily an importer of goods. We are therefore able to obtain relatively low freight rates for the delivery of our products to many of our customers. Further, the Rosenthal mill's transportation division handled approximately 9% of the Rosenthal mill's pulp deliveries in 2003. Approximately 37% of pulp sales from the Rosenthal mill in 2003 were to customers or destinations located within a 500 kilometer radius of the Rosenthal mill. As a result, we can generally supply pulp to customers of Rosenthal faster than our competitors because of the short distances between the Rosenthal mill and our customers. For our customers in western Europe, we can, if requested, often supply them with pulp within one day of it being ordered. This permits us to be a "just in time" supplier to these customers. When fully operational, the Stendal mill should also have similar advantages over Norscan producers based upon its location.

Mercer's pulp sales are on customary industry terms. At December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, Mercer had no material payment delinquencies. In the nine months ended September 30, 2004, two customers accounted for 23% of Mercer's pulp sales. In 2003, one customer, which operates a number of paper mills, accounted for approximately 11% of Mercer pulp sales. In 2002 one customer accounted for approximately 12% and in 2001 two customers accounted for approximately 22% of Mercer's pulp sales. Mercer's pulp sales are not dependent upon the activities of any single customer or upon a concentrated group of major customers.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The distribution of Celgar's pulp sales volume and revenues by pulp product, and revenues by geographic area is set out in the following table for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2001 ⁽¹⁾	2002	2003	2003	2004
	(ADMTs)			(unaudited)	
Sales Volume	365,132	400,101	427,860	329,253	292,233
	(in thousands)				
Revenues	C\$ 246,139	C\$ 249,366	C\$ 271,566	C\$ 207,593	C\$ 214,886
Revenues by Geographic Area					
North America	C\$ 39,247	C\$ 25,087	C\$ 31,169	C\$ 24,506	C\$ 22,767
China	43,022	66,184	76,932	55,803	67,511
Japan	26,781	26,667	20,917	18,360	17,294
Asia Other	68,976	75,905	88,329	64,864	66,713
Europe	52,606	42,123	38,949	32,826	27,653
Other	15,507	13,400 ⁽²⁾	15,270	11,234	12,948
Total	C\$ 246,139	C\$ 249,366	C\$ 271,566	C\$ 207,593	C\$ 214,886

(1) The Celgar mill was shut down for approximately 50 days in 2001 for market related reasons.

(2) Includes misclassified freight expense of C\$43,000.

KPMG Inc., in its capacity as trustee in bankruptcy for Celgar, has retained sales agents for the purposes of pulp sales and distribution. As Celgar had no sales department or transportation/logistics manager, agency relationships are required in order to effectively market Celgar's pulp. The agency relationships also provide KPMG Inc. with payment guarantees for sales to creditworthy but offshore customers.

Our sales force will take over responsibility for supervising and managing all Celgar's sales agents and will perform some of its sales functions directly over time. We believe such changes will result in reduced agents' commissions and fees, increased contract sales and improved pulp price realizations. In addition, we expect to coordinate sales from the Celgar mill with our Rosenthal and Stendal mills on a global basis, thereby providing our larger customers with seamless service across all major geographies.

In 2003, one customer accounted for approximately 18%, in 2002 one customer accounted for approximately 19% and in 2001 one customer accounted for approximately 12% of Celgar's annual gross sales.

Recently, the Celgar mill's price realizations have been adversely affected by the amount of pulp that was considered and sold as "off-grade" caused primarily by a combination of technical production issues relating to variations in brightness and high quantities of pitch and talc deposits in the pulp and the mill's sales structure. We expect to address the amount of off-grade production and sales by adding a new EOP washer to the mill at a cost of approximately C\$8.5 million and making other capital improvements as well as supervising and coordinating its sales with our Rosenthal and Stendal mills.

The Celgar mill's pulp production is transported to customers by rail, truck and ocean carrier using strategically located third party warehouses to ensure timely delivery. All overseas exports are shipped through warehouse facilities in the Vancouver, British Columbia area. The majority of Celgar's pulp for overseas markets is initially delivered primarily by rail to the port of Vancouver for shipment overseas by ocean carrier. As a western Canada based pulp mill, the Celgar mill enjoys a transportation advantage in sales to Asian customers, in comparison to certain of our competitors.

The majority of the Celgar mill's pulp for domestic markets is shipped by rail to third party warehouses in the U.S. midwest or directly to the customer.

Our paper sales operations focus primarily on Europe and are responsible for the majority of our paper sales. Our paper sales conducted through agents were approximately 26% of total paper sales in the nine months ended September 30, 2004. Our paper sales conducted through agents were approximately 26% of total paper sales in 2003, compared to approximately 27% in 2002 and 30% in 2001. We sell the majority of our paper products to paper converters, printers and wallpaper manufacturers.

Our paper sales are also on customary industry terms. At December 31, 2003 and September 30, 2004, we had no material payment delinquencies. No single customer accounted for more than 10% of our paper sales in 2003, 2002 or 2001. Our paper sales are not dependent upon a single customer or upon a concentrated group of major customers.

Capital Expenditures

In 2003, we continued with our capital investment programs designed to increase production capacity, improve efficiency and reduce effluent discharges and emissions at our manufacturing facilities. The improvements made at our mills over the past five years have reduced operating costs and increased the competitive position of our facilities.

Capital investments at the Rosenthal mill were approximately €6.9 million, €8.4 million and €7.4 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and approximately €2.9 million and €3.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. We estimate capital expenditures at the Rosenthal mill to be approximately €5.2 million for 2004 relating primarily to maintaining the quality and efficiency of the mill and the reconstruction of the wastewater reservoirs at the mill. In addition, in 2003, we completed a strategic capital project to reconstruct the landfill related to the Rosenthal mill so that it will be useable for an additional 15 years. The total cost of this reconstruction was approximately €7.6 million, which Rosenthal is fully funding through a bank loan. Of this amount, we incurred €2.6 million in 2003 and €5.0 million in 2002. We estimate this project to have an approximately three-year payback period and the project required no equity investment on our part. A boiler was installed at the Rosenthal mill in 2003 at a cost of approximately €1.9 million which we expect will reduce energy costs at the mill.

Construction of the Stendal mill commenced in August 2002. Total capital costs incurred in respect of the project in 2003 were approximately €399.4 million. For more information about the Stendal project, see "Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing".

In June 1993, an extensive modernization and expansion of the Celgar mill was completed at a cost of approximately C\$850 million, which resulted in the Celgar mill becoming a low cost producer of high quality NBSK pulp with a significantly increased production capacity. Since the modernization of the Celgar mill in 1993, Celgar has made other capital expenditures to improve the efficiency of the mill and reduce operating costs. Over the last three years, Celgar has invested an aggregate of approximately C\$15.4 million in gross capital improvements at the Celgar mill. In addition, we expect to invest approximately C\$25 million in high return strategic capital projects at the Celgar mill over the next three years to reduce operating costs and increase production capacity and enhance operating efficiency and reliability at the mill. See "The Acquisition Acquisition Opportunities" for more information.

Capital investments at our paper operations were approximately €7.8 million, €5.4 million and €2.7 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. As a result of flooding in parts of Germany and other eastern European countries during the third quarter of 2002, our paper mills had to replace certain damaged equipment at an aggregate cost of approximately €3.3 million. We have applied for reimbursement for these costs under German government grants and for assistance under special credit

programs instituted by the German government for flooding victims. In 2004, we estimate capital investments to be approximately €4.0 million relating primarily to quality and productivity upgrades of the paper machines at the paper mills and the completion of a wastewater treatment plant at the Fährbrücke mill. Capital investments at the Heidenau mill in 2003 included a new waste paper storage building, replacement of a gas turbine and the installation of a head box top wire on the paper machine at the mill at an aggregate cost of approximately €3.8 million. Capital expenditures at the Fährbrücke mill in 2003 included the addition of a reel packing machine to the paper machine and the continued construction of a wastewater treatment plant at the mill at an aggregate cost of approximately €1.1 million. We also continued with the adjustment to the paper machine at the Heidenau mill to produce non-woven wallpaper base in 2003 at a cost of approximately €0.3 million. We continue to review strategic initiatives designed to upgrade the product mix at our paper mills and enhance returns.

Capital investments at our facilities in Germany to reduce effluent discharges have offset wastewater fees that would otherwise be required to be paid. We estimate the aggregate wastewater fees we saved over the last five fiscal years as a result of these environmental capital expenditures at our facilities in Germany to be approximately €18.7 million. For more information about our environmental capital expenditures, see " Environmental".

Government Financing

Grants

Our capital investment programs in Germany are partially financed through government grants made available by German federal and state governments. Under legislation adopted by the federal and certain state governments of Germany, government grants are provided to qualifying businesses operating in eastern Germany to finance capital investments. The grants are made to encourage investment and job creation. Pursuant to the current terms of these grants, federal and state governments will provide funding for up to 35% of the cost of qualified investments. The terms of such government grants also require that at least one permanent job be created for each €500,000 of capital investment eligible for such grants and that such jobs be maintained for a period of five years from the completion of the capital investment project. Such government grants are not repayable by a recipient unless it fails to complete the proposed capital investment or fails to create or maintain the requisite amount of jobs. In the case of such failure, the government is entitled to revoke the grants and seek repayment unless such failure resulted from material unforeseen market developments beyond the control of the recipient, wherein the government may refrain from reclaiming previous grants. Pursuant to such grants provided in respect of our Rosenthal mill and being provided in respect of the Stendal project, we have agreed to maintain stipulated job levels at each operation for the specified five-year period. For more information, see " Human Resources". We believe that we are in compliance with all of the terms and conditions governing the government grants we have received in Germany.

Such government grants are not reported in our income. These grants reduce the cost basis of the assets purchased when the grants are received.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The following table sets out the capital expenditures and government grants recorded by Mercer for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,					Total	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		2003	2004
	(in thousands)						(unaudited)	
Capital expenditures, gross ⁽¹⁾	€ 271,382	€ 27,028	€ 10,097	€ 13,800	€ 14,647	€ 336,954 ⁽²⁾	€ 9,485	€ 6,611
Government grants ⁽¹⁾	€ 31,847	€ 55,355	€ 2,450	€ 1,176	€ 3,323	€ 94,151	€ 1,199	€ 451

(1) Not including the Stendal project.

(2) The total cost of the conversion of the Rosenthal mill to produce kraft pulp was approximately €361.0 million. We also received government grants totaling approximately €101.7 million in connection with such capital investments. For more information about the Rosenthal mill, see "Rosenthal Conversion Project and Financing".

In addition, the Stendal project qualifies for approximately €274.5 million of government grants, of which we have received €185.7 million as at September 30, 2004. For more information about the Stendal project, see "Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing".

The following table sets out for the periods indicated the effect of these government grants on the recorded value of such assets in our consolidated balance sheets:

	As at December 31, 2004		As at September 30, 2004
	2002	2003	
	(in thousands)		(unaudited)
Properties, net (as shown on consolidated balance sheets)	€ 441,990	€ 745,178	€ 942,249
Add back: government grants less amortization, deducted from properties	85,358	163,988	262,748
Properties, gross amount including government grants less amortization	€ 527,348	€ 909,166	€ 1,204,997

Loan Guarantees

Loan guarantees are available from German federal and state governments for up to an aggregate of 80% of the borrowed amount for qualifying capital investments made in certain parts of Germany. The federal and state governments are each severally committed to a portion of the guaranteed amount. These guarantees are provided by German federal and state governments to assist any qualifying businesses with financing capital investments. The guarantees permit qualifying businesses to obtain term loans for such capital investments on terms and at interest rates that are more favorable than available in the general market. In addition, subsidized interest rate loans are available from public financial institutions in Germany, which provide loans at below market interest rates for qualified investments.

These loan guarantees have permitted us to obtain a significantly greater amount of financing for the project to convert the Rosenthal mill to the production of kraft pulp, as well as the construction of the Stendal mill, at substantially more favorable rates and upon substantially more favorable terms than would otherwise have been available.

Rosenthal Conversion Project and Financing

In late 1999, we completed a major capital project to convert the Rosenthal mill to the production of kraft pulp and increase its annual production capacity to approximately 280,000 ADMTs. Through subsequent minor capital investments and efficiency improvements, the annual production capacity at the Rosenthal mill has been increased to approximately 310,000 ADMTs. The project has also substantially reduced effluent and sulphur dioxide emissions and has reduced energy costs, with natural gas consumption decreasing by approximately 45% during the period from the beginning of 2000 to the end of 2003 as a result of operating efficiencies.

The aggregate cost of the project, including project financing, capitalized interest of approximately €14.1 million and related costs and an amount for contingencies, was approximately €361.0 million. The project was financed through a combination of a project loan supported by government guarantees, government grants and an equity investment made by us.

In 1998, Rosenthal entered into the Rosenthal Loan Facility to finance the project. The Rosenthal Loan Facility is secured by liens on all of the assets of Rosenthal and the German federal government and the state government of Thuringia severally guaranteed an aggregate of 80% of the facility. The Rosenthal Loan Facility will be repaid and discharged upon closing of the Acquisition.

In addition to the government guarantee, the state government of Thuringia also provided government grants of €73.6 million in respect of the project. The German federal government also provided, under existing programs, government grants totaling €27.1 million in respect of the project. As of December 31, 2003, Rosenthal had received government grants totaling approximately €101.7 million for the Rosenthal mill conversion.

The sulphite pulp production at the Rosenthal mill was shut-down in July 1999 to implement the conversion to kraft pulp. The mill was successfully started-up to produce kraft pulp in December 1999. The Rosenthal mill was producing at approximately 89% of its planned production capacity in the first year and was producing at full capacity by the end of 2000.

Stendal Pulp Mill Project and Financing

The Project

Our 63.6% owned subsidiary, Stendal, is the project company formed to develop, construct and operate the Stendal mill. The other shareholders of Stendal are RWE, as to a 29.4% interest, and AIG Altmark-Industrie AG, or "AIG", as to a 7.0% interest. RWE is a subsidiary of the second largest utility company in Germany and is experienced in the construction of pulp mills. AIG is a German real estate company which owns 1,050 acres of a 1,250 acre industrial park on which the Stendal mill is situated.

The Stendal mill is a "greenfield" softwood kraft pulp mill that was constructed at an aggregate cost of approximately €1.0 billion near the town of Stendal, in the German State of Sachsen-Anhalt. The mill is a modern, state-of-the-art single line mill with a designed annual production capacity of approximately 552,000 ADMTs. The overall mill design is based on proven or existing processes and technologies. The process and mill operations are highly automated to ensure stable operation and pulp quality. The mill process is a modern but ordinary kraft pulping process that emphasizes environmentally sound operational principles. The Stendal mill uses a batch pulp cooking process. Batch cooking allows for different grades to be batch produced for different end uses.

Construction of the Stendal mill commenced on August 26, 2002 and was completed in the third quarter of 2004. The Stendal mill is located approximately 300 kilometers north of the Rosenthal mill. As a result of the proximity of the Stendal mill to the Rosenthal mill and the use of similar equipment at both mills, we believe we will be able to realize operating synergies between the two operations,

particularly in the areas of raw materials and supplies procurement, production engineering, maintenance and marketing.

The Stendal mill is situated on an approximately 200 acre site owned by Stendal that is part of a larger 1,250 acre industrial park. The balance of the industrial park is owned by AIG, which is seeking to develop the park. Although no assurances can be provided that any development will occur and we are not directly a party to these discussions, we are aware of third party proposals to build manufacturing facilities on the balance of the industrial park that would be complementary to our pulp operations.

The Stendal mill is the largest market kraft pulp mill in Germany, the only other being our Rosenthal mill. We anticipate that the addition of production from the Stendal mill will allow us to expand our customer base, as our two pulp mills will produce slightly different grades of softwood kraft pulp suitable for different end uses.

The summaries of certain material provisions of agreements entered into in connection with the Stendal project set forth herein are not complete and such summaries, including definitions of certain terms, are qualified in their entirety by reference to such agreements on file with the SEC.

Control and Management

We, Stendal and its other shareholders, RWE and AIG, entered into a shareholders' agreement dated August 26, 2002 to govern our respective interests in Stendal. The agreement contains terms and conditions customary for these types of agreements, including restrictions on transfers of share capital and shareholder loans other than to affiliates, rights of first refusal on share and shareholder loan transfers, pre-emptive rights and piggyback rights on dispositions of our interest. The shareholders are not obligated to fund any further equity capital contributions to the project. Pursuant to the shareholders' agreement, we are entitled to transfer up to 12.5% of our interest in Stendal without the prior consent of the other shareholders. The shareholders' agreement provides that Stendal's managing directors may be appointed by holders of a simple majority of its share capital. Further, shareholder decisions, other than those mandated by law or for the provision of financial assistance to a shareholder, are determined by a simple majority of Stendal's share capital. If a shareholder is in default under the shareholders' agreement or commits certain acts of insolvency or bankruptcy, it shall be considered to be a defaulting shareholder and must offer to sell its share capital and shareholder loans to the remaining shareholders on a pro rata basis, to a third party nominated by the other shareholders or permit them to be redeemed by Stendal. Other than in circumstances where a shareholder is considered to be a defaulting shareholder, the shareholders' agreement does not provide for any mandatory or forced purchases and sales of a shareholder's interest in Stendal.

In addition to integrating the wood procurement activities at the Rosenthal and Stendal mills, we plan to coordinate other activities and operations between the two mills to realize efficiencies and optimize the cost structure of each mill. Such activities include establishing a unified sales organization to conduct the sales and marketing of the pulp produced by both mills. We currently coordinate the pulp sales of the Rosenthal mill pursuant to a sales agency agreement with Rosenthal whereby we receive a commission on overall sales. We intend to establish a similar arrangement for the Stendal mill. Other activities that we intend to coordinate between the two mills include purchases of supplies and stores, maintenance activities, workforce and management training and transportation.

EPC Contract

The Stendal mill was constructed under a €716.0 million fixed-price turn-key EPC contract between Stendal and RWE. RWE's obligations under the EPC contract are guaranteed by its parent company.

The contract price for the completion of the project is fixed, taking into account all risks associated with the project and is subject only to certain changes that we cause or agree to, changes that arise due to changes in the law and specified events of force majeure. Payments under the EPC contract are made periodically against milestones as and when achieved by RWE.

Under the EPC contract, RWE is responsible for all planning, design, engineering, procurement, construction and testing in connection with the build-out and start-up of the mill. We are responsible for obtaining legal title and possession of the site and providing the site and certain equipment, materials and services, as well as personnel, raw materials and other items in connection with the start-up of the mill. RWE is also primarily responsible for obtaining construction and operating permits. We constructed approximately €23.5 million of the site infrastructure and additional general site infrastructure connections were constructed by the local government. The costs of such infrastructure construction are 90% subsidized and co-financed by us, among others. Our co-financing obligations amounted to approximately €3.0 million and were funded out of the project loan facility.

Construction of the Stendal mill was completed in the third quarter of 2004 and the mill is currently in the start-up phase. The mill underwent extensive testing and evaluation in December 2004 to determine whether certain performance requirements have been met, referred to as the "Acceptance Test". The Acceptance Test required that the mill continuously produce pulp at stated volumes, and within certain product specifications, for a 72-hour period. Samples of the pulp produced during such test have been sent to laboratories for qualitative testing. The results are expected to be received by mid-January 2005. The mill substantially passed all of the quantitative tests, although the test was extended for approximately four hours due to an operating error relating to the recovery boiler which caused it to shut down for a short period. We intend to meet with RWE in January 2005 to review all of the results of the Acceptance Test to determine whether the results of the Acceptance Test are acceptable to us. Even if further modifications are required, we do not expect any such modifications to affect our start-up schedule or the mill's capacity. The mill is currently operating well and product sales continue to be for the benefit of Mercer.

If it is determined that the mill has not satisfied the Acceptance Test, RWE would be required to pay liquidated damages equal to 0.4% of the contract price per week of delay up to the maximum of 12% of the contract price. If certain performance requirements are not met within the terms of the agreement, subject to certain conditions, RWE has agreed to pay liquidated damages totaling up to a maximum of 10% of the contract price. The combined amounts that may become payable to us by RWE as a result of delays in completion and failure to meet performance requirements are capped at 17% of the contract price. Payment of such amounts will not relieve RWE of its obligations to complete the project, attain minimum performance requirements or cure deficiencies.

When acceptance of the mill has occurred, we are required to provide RWE with an acceptance certificate. Once we deliver the acceptance certificate to RWE, we assume responsibility for the operation of the mill, subject to RWE's warranty obligations. Furthermore, each department of the mill will be tested on a stand-alone basis for compliance with its design specifications after the acceptance of the mill has occurred. Such testing is scheduled to be completed within the six-month period after acceptance of the mill has occurred. Under the EPC contract, RWE warrants conformity to specifications, compliance with permits and laws, suitability for intended use, compliance with performance requirements and warrants against defects in construction, in each case for a period of 18 months after acceptance, subject to extension in certain circumstances. RWE is required under the EPC contract to provide irrevocable bank guarantees in our favor, in agreed upon amounts, as security for an initial advance payment and for any deficiencies arising during the warranty period. In July 2006, RWE is required to provide an additional guarantee in the same form, in respect of the same matters, in an amount not less than 5% of the contract price which shall remain in effect until January 1, 2009.

Subject to certain conditions, we have the right to terminate the EPC contract if, among other things, RWE becomes insolvent, assigns or transfers its interest in the agreement in violation of the provisions of the agreement or fails, without valid reason, to perform any of its material obligations.

The EPC contract also contains reciprocal indemnities between us and RWE pursuant to which we each agree to indemnify the other in respect of losses or claims arising from negligent, illegal or other wrongful acts in connection with the agreement or arising out of any violation of applicable laws or permits.

Project Financing

In August 2002, we completed financing arrangements for the Stendal project. Total investment costs in connection with the project are approximately €1.0 billion, the majority of which was provided under the Stendal Loan Facility arranged by HVB, pursuant to a project finance loan agreement, referred to as the "Project Finance Loan Agreement", entered into between Stendal and HVB. We also contributed financing to Stendal of approximately €63.5 million from cash on hand and through bridge loans from a U.S. investment partnership and a bank. For a brief summary of the Stendal Loan Facility, see "Description of Certain Indebtedness - Stendal Pulp Mill Project Financing".

As the site of the Stendal project is located in eastern Germany, it qualifies for approximately €274.5 million of government grants, which are applied to reduce the cost basis of the assets acquired with such grants. As of September 30, 2004, we had outstanding claim expenditures of €65.2 million of such grants in connection with the Stendal project, which we expect to receive in 2005. In accordance with our accounting policies, these grants are not recorded by us until they are received.

Under European Union rules, the Commission of the European Communities, referred to as the "Commission", was formally notified in March 2002 by Germany of plans to provide support to the Stendal project through grants and guarantees. The Commission considered these plans and, on June 19, 2002, decided not to raise any objection against such support being provided by the German federal and state governments in respect of the Stendal project. In its decision, the Commission was not called upon to determine whether the governmental aid schemes, on which the support is based, were acceptable, but was limited to a determination as to whether a reduction of the pre-approved aid level for investment in the German State of Sachsen-Anhalt under the previously approved schemes was required under European Union law in the case of the Stendal project. In coming to its decision, the Commission generally has a wide margin of discretion in its assessment of facts and data. Under European Union law, member states, competitors or trade associations directly affected by a decision of the Commission may appeal such decision within a period of two months and twenty-four days after publication of the Commission decision. Generally to be successful, an appeal must show that the Commission failed to comply with procedural requirements or committed a manifest error in assessing facts and data in adopting its decision. On December 23, 2002, Kronoply and Kronotex, two related manufacturers of, among other things, OSB and MDF boards that do not compete with the Stendal project by selling pulp or paper, filed an appeal with the Court of First Instance of the European Communities (Luxembourg) against the Commission decision of June 19, 2002. Although no assurance can be provided, we believe that the complainants are unlikely to have standing under the applicable rules to proceed with the appeal and that their appeal is without merit.

Project Development

Beginning in February 2002, approximately three months of pre-construction activity was carried out in respect of the Stendal project. This was comprised of, among other things, pre-engineering, planning and reviewing and sourcing procurement requirements. Construction activity commenced on the Stendal project upon the effectiveness of the EPC contract.

The Stendal mill was completed substantially on its planned schedule and budget in the third quarter of 2004. The mill is currently in the start-up phase and underwent extensive testing and

evaluation in December 2004. The mill has all of its requisite permits in place to commence operations and has currently arranged for approximately 45% of its fiber requirements for 2005. At September 30, 2004, the mill had filled in excess of 77% of its overall staffing requirements. The balance of the hiring will occur in affiliated activities such as harvesting and transportation and will be completed through 2005 as the mill ramps up operations.

The Stendal mill is currently being supervised by the contractor using Stendal's personnel to operate the mill. Stendal commenced the initial production of pulp in the third quarter of 2004. The initial pulp produced was off-grade pulp which was primarily sold into the recycled fiber, corrugated board and similar markets. The prices realized on the sale of off-grade pulp are lower than the selling price for on-grade NBSK pulp. Pursuant to our current start-up plan, we have been ramping up pulp production and quality at the Stendal mill and the mill is now producing a significant proportion of saleable kraft pulp.

In conjunction with the start-up of the Stendal mill, we built up the fiber and finished goods inventory at the mill. We expect that the inventory levels at the Stendal mill will decrease to more normalized levels as the mill ramps up operations.

The mill underwent extensive testing and evaluation in December 2004 in connection with its mechanical completion and the Acceptance Test. The Acceptance Test required that the mill continuously produce pulp for a 72-hour period in compliance with specified operational, quality and environmental requirements. When acceptance of the mill has occurred, we are required to provide the contractor with an acceptance certificate. Once we deliver the acceptance certificate, we assume responsibility for the operation of the mill, subject to the contractor's warranty obligations.

Pursuant to the current start-up plan, the contractor shut down the mill for approximately one week in the fourth quarter of 2004 for the completion of adjustments, installations and the replacement of equipment that was required in order to fulfill its obligations under the construction contract, as well as for a few days in December 2004 for fine tuning and cleaning so that the contractor could commence trials for the Acceptance Test.

As at September 30, 2004, Stendal qualified for investment grants and had outstanding claim expenditures totaling €65.2 million with respect to the project, which we expect to receive in 2005. In accordance with our accounting policies, these grants are not recorded by us until they are received.

Start-Up

Pursuant to the EPC contract, construction of the Stendal mill was completed in the third quarter of 2004. Such completion means that the construction and installation of all equipment and works were essentially finished and the final checks are occurring so that continuous production from the mill can commence. The mill is being supervised by RWE using Stendal's personnel to operate the mill. The mill must pass the Acceptance Test prior to being accepted by Stendal. During the period that RWE has been commissioning the mill and supervising its operations, the mill has had a low capacity utilization. Upon passing the Acceptance Test, the mill will be operated by Stendal. Production at the Stendal mill is expected to reach approximately 80% of the rated capacity of the mill during the first year and in excess of 90% of rated capacity in the following year.

Project Risks

Our planned start-up of the Stendal mill is subject to risks commonly associated with the start-up of large greenfield industrial projects which could result in the Stendal mill experiencing operating difficulties or delays in the start-up period and the Stendal mill may not achieve our planned production, timing, quality or cost projections. These risks include, without limitation, equipment failures or damage, errors or miscalculations in engineering, design specifications or equipment manufacturing, faulty construction or workmanship, defective equipment or installation, human error, industrial accidents, weather conditions, failure to comply with environmental and other permits, and complex integration of processes and equipment. See "Risk Factors".

Environmental

Our operations are subject to a wide range of environmental laws and regulations, dealing primarily with water, air and land pollution control. In recent years, we have devoted significant financial and management resources to comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations. Our total capital expenditures on environmental projects at our facilities in Germany were approximately €3.7 million in 2003 and are expected to be approximately €3.2 million in 2004.

We believe we have obtained all required environmental permits, authorizations and approvals for our operations. We believe our operations are currently in substantial compliance with the requirements of all applicable environmental laws and regulations and our respective operating permits.

The Rosenthal mill has a relatively modern biological wastewater treatment and oxygen bleaching facility. We have significantly reduced our levels of Adsorbable Organic Halogen, or "AOX", discharge at the Rosenthal mill and we believe the Rosenthal mill's AOX discharges are substantially below those currently mandated by the German government. Effective January 1, 2001, the Rosenthal mill is required to maintain levels of Chemical Oxygen Demand, or "COD" discharge at the Rosenthal mill below 25 kilograms per ADMT of pulp. The Rosenthal mill is currently in compliance with these levels of COD discharge. We will continue to modify our wastewater and bleaching facilities at the Rosenthal mill, which have been further enhanced as a result of the conversion of the mill to the production of kraft pulp, to meet or exceed prescribed regulations. In addition, in 2003 we commenced a strategic capital project to reconstruct the landfill at the Rosenthal mill so that it will be useable for an additional 15 years. The aggregate cost of the project is approximately €7.6 million.

Under German state environmental rules relating to effluent discharges, industrial users are required to pay wastewater fees based upon the amount of their effluent discharge. These rules also provide that an industrial user which undertakes environmental capital expenditures and lowers certain effluent discharges to prescribed levels may offset the amount of these expenditures against the wastewater fees that they would otherwise be required to pay. As a result, we estimate that the aggregate wastewater fees we saved in 2003 as a result of environmental capital expenditures made at our manufacturing plants in Germany were approximately €3.0 million. We expect that capital investment programs for our manufacturing plants in Germany will fully offset the wastewater fees that may be payable for 2004 and 2005 and will ensure that our operations continue in substantial compliance with prescribed standards.

Environmental compliance is a priority for our operations. To ensure compliance with environmental laws and regulations, we regularly monitor emissions at our mills and periodically perform environmental audits of operational sites and procedures both with our internal personnel and outside consultants. These audits identify opportunities for improvement and allow us to take proactive measures at the mills as considered appropriate.

Although the Rosenthal mill's overall emission levels for nitric oxide and nitrogen oxide, collectively referred to as "NOx", are substantially below prescribed levels, NOx emissions from one gas burner have recently exceeded its permitted emission level. We made a claim on the warranty from the supplier of the gas burner who installed an ammonia scrubber to reduce NOx emissions. Due to a technical issue, emission levels from the gas burner were not reduced to permitted levels. Pursuant to our agreement with the gas burner supplier, the supplier is responsible for installing a new burner that reduces NOx emission levels to prescribed standards. The supplier completed the installation of a new gas burner at the Rosenthal mill in the third quarter of 2004 at an aggregate cost of €0.9 million, of which €0.5 million was borne by the supplier and the remainder by us.

We have until the end of 2005 to begin biologically treating the wastewater at the Fährbrücke mill. We are in the process of constructing a wastewater treatment plant at the Fährbrücke mill, the first stage of which was completed in 2002 and brought into operation at the beginning of 2003. The cost of

the treatment plant is expected to be approximately €2.0 million, of which €0.2 million was incurred in 2003. The project is being funded by government grants as to 28%, a bank loan as to 45% and the remainder from our funds, and we expect construction of the plant to be completed in early 2005.

In September 2003, during a shut down, the Celgar mill inadvertently discharged approximately 1,500 m³ of diluted process chemicals through its effluent treatment system and, as a result, released semi-treated effluent into a nearby river. An independent report concluded that there were no measurable adverse effects from the spill. Upon investigation, the British Columbia Ministry of Water, Lands and Air Protection, referred to as "MWLAP", issued a pollution prevention order that required the Celgar mill to adopt certain requirements including operator training, reporting requirements, development of contingency plans and the dredging of spill ponds. The mill has complied with all of the requirements of the order. The MWLAP has not imposed any fines or sanctions as a result of the spill.

The Celgar mill has a number of permits regulating air emissions, including those with respect to sulphur dioxide, referred to as "SO₂". While the mill's overall SO₂ emissions are generally below one-third of the total SO₂ emissions permitted to be discharged under its air permits, the mill's lime kiln SO₂ emissions periodically exceed emissions allowed under its individual SO₂ air permit. The mill is investigating the level of SO₂ emissions from the lime kiln and the ability to amend its air permits to lower overall SO₂ emissions for the mill while increasing the SO₂ emission discharge limit on its lime kiln permit. In the event that such permit amendments are not available, our consulting engineers have preliminarily estimated the capital cost to correct the SO₂ emissions at the lime kiln to be in the range of C\$1.5 million to C\$2 million. MWLAP has been advised of the level of SO₂ emissions at the lime kiln and apprised of the mill's efforts to correct the same. Although the MWLAP has not taken actions or imposed any fines to date, there can be no assurance that any permit amendment will be successful, that MWLAP may not take action in the future or that the capital requirements to address the same will not exceed the preliminary estimates.

The Celgar mill operates two landfills, a newly commissioned site and an older site. The Celgar mill intends to decommission the old landfill and is developing a closure plan and reviewing such plan with the MWLAP. The Celgar mill currently believes it may receive regulatory approval for such closure plan in 2005 and would commence closure activities in 2006. Our consulting engineers have estimated that the closure program is to cost up to C\$3 million, which would incorporate a clay or synthetic hydraulic cap. Potential savings may accrue should effluent treatment sludge be approved for use as a cap. As the closure program for the old landfill has not been finalized or approved, there can be no assurance that the decommissioning of the old landfill will not exceed such cost estimate.

Future regulations or permits may place lower limits on allowable types of emissions, including air, water, waste and hazardous materials, and may increase the financial consequences of maintaining compliance with environmental laws and regulations or conducting remediation. Our ongoing monitoring and policies have enabled us to develop and implement effective measures to maintain emissions in material compliance with environmental laws and regulations to date in a cost-effective manner. However, there can be no assurances that this will be the case in the future.

Human Resources

We currently employ or hold positions for approximately 1,647 people. Our German operations have approximately 1,004 employees working in our pulp operations, including our transportation subsidiary, and approximately 223 employees working in our paper operations. The Celgar mill currently employs 420 people in its operations, the vast majority of which are unionized.

Pursuant to the government grants and financing arranged in connection with the conversion of the Rosenthal mill to the production of kraft pulp, we have agreed with state government authorities in Germany to maintain at least 504 jobs at our Rosenthal pulp operations until June 30, 2005. This

includes the employees of the Rosenthal mill's transportation operations which deliver raw materials to the mill and pulp to our customers.

When the Stendal mill is fully operational, Stendal and its subsidiaries are expected to employ approximately 580 people. Pursuant to the government grants and financing arranged in connection with the Stendal project, we have agreed with German state authorities to maintain this number of jobs until 2010.

Rosenthal and Dresden are bound by collective agreements negotiated with Bergbau-Chemie Energie, or "IG-BCE", a national union that represents pulp and paper workers. In February 2003, we entered into a new labor agreement with IG-BCE for our pulp workers which, among other things, had a one-year term and provided for a 2% wage increase effective March 1, 2003 and that the parties would negotiate in respect of a further wage increase for August 2003 depending upon general economic conditions. Our pulp workers agreed to defer negotiations in respect of a further wage increase for 2003 as a result of current general economic conditions. In February 2004, a new agreement was reached which provides for a 2% wage increase. The agreement expires at the end of February 2005 and a new agreement is expected to be negotiated in the first half of 2005.

A labor agreement was reached with the workers at our paper mills in 1999 upon terms which provided for wage increases of 1.5% in July 2000 and January 2001. A further agreement was reached in May 2001 upon terms which provided for wage increases of 2.0% in each of July 2001 and January 2002. In December 2002, a new agreement was reached for 2003 which provided for a wage increase of 2.5%. In February 2004, a new agreement was reached which provides for a 1.5% wage increase on each of February 1, 2004, July 1, 2004, January 1, 2005 and July 1, 2005. This agreement cannot be terminated prior to August 31, 2005 and a new agreement is expected to be negotiated in the fourth quarter of 2005.

Over 90% of the employees at our German pulp and paper operations have post-secondary education or are trained tradespersons. We consider the relationships with these employees to be good. We have implemented profit sharing plans, training programs and early retirement schemes for the benefit of our German employees. Although no assurances can be provided, we have not had any significant work stoppages at any of our German operations and we would therefore expect to enter into labor agreements with our pulp and paper workers in Germany without any significant work stoppages at our German mills.

A collective agreement was reached with the union hourly workers at the Celgar mill in January 2003 which has a term of five years. The agreement provides for wage increases effective May 2003 of 2.5% in each of 2003 and 2004, and 2% in each of the following three years. Management at the Celgar mill considers relations with its workers to be good.

Properties

We lease offices in Seattle, Washington, Vancouver, British Columbia, and in Germany. We own the Rosenthal mill, the Celgar mill and the paper mills and the underlying property. The Stendal mill is situated on property owned by Stendal, our 63.6% owned subsidiary.

The Rosenthal mill is situated on a 220 acre site near the town of Blankenstein in the State of Thuringia, approximately 300 kilometers south of the Stendal mill. The Saale river flows through the site of the mill. In late 1999, we completed a major capital project which converted the Rosenthal mill to the production of kraft pulp. It is a single line mill with an annual production capacity of approximately 310,000 ADMTs of kraft pulp. The mill is self-sufficient in steam and electrical power. Some excess electrical power which is constantly generated is sold to the regional power grid. The facilities at the mill include:

an approximately 723,000 square feet fiber storage area;

barking and chipping facilities for pulp logs;

a fiber line, which includes a Kamyr continuous digester and bleaching facilities;

a pulp machine, which includes a dryer and a cutter;

an approximately 63,000 square foot finished goods storage area;

a chemical recovery system, which includes a recovery boiler, evaporation plant and recausticizing plant;

a fresh water plant;

a wastewater treatment plant; and

a power station with a turbine capable of producing 45 megawatts of electric power from steam produced by the recovery boiler and a power boiler.

The Stendal mill is situated on a 200 acre site near the town of Stendal in the State of Sachsen-Anhalt, approximately 300 kilometers north of the Rosenthal mill and 130 kilometers from the city of Berlin. The mill is adjacent to the Elbe river and has access to harbor facilities for water transportation. Construction of the Stendal mill was completed in the third quarter of 2004. The mill is a single line mill with a designed annual production capacity of approximately 552,000 ADMTs of kraft pulp. The Stendal mill is designed to be self-sufficient in steam and electrical power. Some excess electrical power which is constantly being generated will be sold to the regional power grid. The facilities at the mill are designed to include:

an approximately 920,000 square feet fiber storage area;

barking and chipping facilities for pulp logs;

a fiber line, which includes eight Superbatch digester and bleaching facilities;

a pulp machine, which includes a dryer and a cutter;

an approximately 108,000 square foot finished goods storage area;

a recovery line, which includes a recovery boiler, evaporation plant, recausticizing plant and lime kiln;

a fresh water plant;

a wastewater treatment plant; and

a power station with a turbine capable of producing approximately 100 megawatts of electric power from steam produced by the recovery boiler and a power boiler.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The Celgar mill is situated on a 400 acre site near the city of Castlegar, British Columbia in Canada. The mill is located on the south bank of the Columbia River, approximately 600 kilometers east of the port city of Vancouver, British Columbia, and approximately 32 kilometers north of the Canada-United States border. The city of Seattle, Washington is approximately 650 kilometers southwest of Castlegar. It is a single line mill with a current annual production capacity of approximately 430,000 ADMTs of NBSK pulp. Internal power generating capacity could, with certain capital improvements, enable the Celgar mill to be self-sufficient in electrical power and at times to sell surplus electricity. The facilities at the Celgar mill include:

fiber storage facilities consisting of four vertical silos and an asphalt surfaced yard with a capacity of 200,000 m³ of chips;

a woodroom containing debarking and chipping equipment for pulp logs;

S-123

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

a fiber line, which includes a dual vessel hydraulic digester, pressure knotting and screening, single stage oxygen delignification and bleaching facilities;

two pulp machines;

a chemical recovery system, which includes a recovery boiler, recausticizing area and effluent treatment system; and

a turbine generator capable of producing approximately 48 megawatts of electric power from steam produced by a recovery boiler and power boiler.

The Heidenau mill is situated on a 26 acre site in the town of Heidenau in the State of Saxony at the Elbe river, approximately 120 kilometers east of the Fährbrücke mill and 12 kilometers south of the city of Dresden. The mill was constructed in 1956 and has been continually upgraded. The mill has a rated annual production capacity of approximately 45,000 ADMTs of specialty papers. The facilities at the mill include:

an approximately 34,200 square feet fiber storage area;

an approximately 57,600 square foot paper machine building, which houses a PAMA paper machine with a 339 centimeter trim width, processing speed of 300 meters per minute and including, among other things, a stock preparation unit, approach system, press section and dryer section;

a fresh water plant, which consists of 15 wells;

a wastewater treatment plant; and

a power plant, which includes a gas turbine capable of producing approximately 4,250 kilowatts of electric power, a waste heat boiler capable of producing 17 tonnes per hour of steam generated power and an auxiliary boiler capable of producing five tonnes per hour of steam generated power.

The Fährbrücke mill is situated on a 27 acre site near the town of Fährbrücke in the State of Saxony, in the western part of the Erzgebirge mountains at the Zwickauer Mulde river. The mill is approximately 100 kilometers east of the Rosenthal mill and approximately 120 kilometers west of the Heidenau mill. The mill was constructed between 1972 and 1973 and has been continually upgraded. The mill has a rated annual production capacity for approximately 40,000 ADMTs of printing and writing papers and specialty papers. The mill uses virgin fiber in producing various grades of printing and writing papers and specialty papers. The facilities at the mill include:

an approximately 69,300 square feet fiber storage area;

an approximately 60,300 square foot paper machine building, which houses a Voith paper machine with a 276 centimeter trim width, processing speed of 540 meters per minute and including, among other things, a pulper unit, paper chemical preparation unit, refiner system, stock blending system, approach system, press section and dryer section;

a fresh water plant;

a power plant, which consists of, among other things, a waste heat boiler which can produce 22 tonnes per hour of steam generated power and a heavy duty boiler which can produce 3.2 tonnes per hour of steam and a gas turbine which can produce approximately 4,250 kilowatts of electric power; and

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

a wastewater treatment plant is currently being constructed, the first stage of which was completed at the end of 2002 and brought on line at the beginning of 2003.

S-124

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The following table sets out, by primary product class, our production capacity and actual production for the periods indicated:

Product Class	Annual Production Capacity ⁽²⁾	Production ⁽¹⁾		
		Year Ended December 31,		
		2001 ⁽³⁾	2002	2003
(ADMTs)				
Pulp	1,292,000 ⁽⁴⁾	642,395 ⁽⁵⁾	707,315 ⁽⁵⁾	732,749 ⁽⁵⁾
Papers				
Specialty Papers	55,000 ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	40,275 ⁽⁶⁾	62,172 ⁽⁶⁾	40,424
Printing Papers	30,000 ⁽⁷⁾	26,852	23,195	21,488
Total Papers	70,000 ⁽⁷⁾	67,127	85,367	61,912
Total	1,362,000	709,522	792,682	794,661

(1) The Stendal mill is currently in the start-up phase and, as a result, the actual production amounts do not include production at the Stendal mill.

(2) Capacity is the rated capacity of the plants as at September 30, 2004, which is based upon production for 365 days a year. Targeted production is generally based upon 353 days per year for the Rosenthal mill, 350 days per year for the Celgar mill and 340 days per year for the paper mills.

(3) The Celgar mill was shut down for approximately 50 days in 2001 for market related reasons.

(4) After giving effect to the Acquisition, comprised of 310,000 ADMTs for our Rosenthal mill, 552,000 ADMTs for our Stendal mill and 430,000 ADMTs for the Celgar mill.

(5) After giving effect to the Acquisition.

(6) As we reorganized our interest in Landqart at the end of 2002, we no longer have a direct interest in the Landqart mill. The Landqart mill had an annual production capacity of approximately 20,500 ADMTs, which is not included in the calculation of our annual production capacity for specialty papers. Actual production of specialty papers at the Landqart mill is not included for 2001, but is included for 2002. The Landqart mill produced approximately 20,422 ADMTs in the year ended December 31, 2002. As of December 31, 2002, our interest in the Landqart mill is no longer consolidated and is included in our financial results on an equity basis.

(7) Based upon the current product mix at the paper mills, the aggregate annual production capacity of the paper mills is approximately 70,000 ADMTs. The rated aggregate annual production capacity of the paper mills is approximately 85,000 ADMTs.

We own a substantial amount of real estate adjacent to the paper mills, which is in excess of our production requirements and may be divested. Substantially all of our German pulp related assets, including our German pulp facilities, are currently pledged to secure the Rosenthal Loan Facility and the Stendal Loan Facility. The Rosenthal Loan Facility will be repaid and discharged upon closing of the Acquisition.

MANAGEMENT

As a business trust, we are managed by "trustees", who have comparable duties and responsibilities as directors of corporations. Trustees are elected by shareholders at annual meetings for staggered three-year terms. Each issued and outstanding share of beneficial interest is entitled to one vote at such meeting. Our trustees and executive officers are as follows:

Jimmy S.H. Lee, age 47, has been a trustee since May 1985 and President and Chief Executive Officer since 1992. Previously, Mr. Lee served with MFC Bancorp Ltd. as a director from 1986, Chairman from 1987 and President from 1988 to December 1996, respectively. During Mr. Lee's tenure with the Company, the Company acquired the Rosenthal mill, converted the Rosenthal mill to the production of kraft pulp, constructed and started up the Stendal mill and has entered into an agreement to acquire the Celgar mill.

William D. McCartney, age 49, has been a trustee since January 2003. Mr. McCartney has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Pemcorp Management Inc., a management services company, since 1990. Mr. McCartney is a director of Southwestern Resources Corp., where he has served since March 2004. Mr. McCartney is also a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Canada.

Kenneth A. Shields, age 56, has been a trustee since August 2003. Mr. Shields was a founder of the institutional firm of Goepel Shields & Partners Inc., where he held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer. In April of 1998, the firm merged with McDermid St. Lawrence Securities Ltd. to become the investment firm of Goepel McDermid Inc. which was subsequently acquired, in January of 2001, by Florida-based Raymond James Financial, Inc. Mr. Shields currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Raymond James Financial, Inc. and serves as the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a member of the board of directors of the Canadian subsidiary, Raymond James Ltd. Mr. Shields is also a director of TimberWest Forest Corp., a member of the Accounting Standards Oversight Council, and a Director of the Council for Business and the Arts in Canada. Additionally, Mr. Shields has served as past Chairman of the Investment Dealers Association of Canada and Pacifica Papers Inc., and is a former director of each of Slocan Forest Products Ltd. and the Investment Dealers Association of Canada.

Guy W. Adams, age 53, has been a trustee since August 2003. Mr. Adams is the managing member of GWA Advisors, LLC, GWA Investments, LLC, referred to as "GWA", and GWA Capital Partners, LLC, where he has served since 2002, and is the managing member of GWA Master Fund, LP since October 2004. GWA Advisors, LLC is a private equity investment firm and a holding company for Mr. Adams' private equity investments. GWA is an investment fund investing in publicly traded securities managed by GWA Capital Partners, LLC, a registered investment advisor. Prior to 2002, Mr. Adams was the President of GWA Capital, which he founded in 1996 to invest his own capital in public and private equity transactions, and a business consultant to entities seeking refinancing or recapitalization.

Eric Lauritzen, age 66, has been a trustee since June 2004. Mr. Lauritzen was President and Chief Executive Officer of Harmac Pacific, Inc., a North American producer of softwood kraft pulp previously listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange and acquired by Pope & Talbot Inc. in 1998, from May 1994 to July 1998, when he retired. Mr. Lauritzen was Vice President, Pulp and Paper Marketing of MacMillan Bloedel Limited, a North American pulp and paper company previously listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange and acquired by Weyerhaeuser Company Limited in 1999, from July 1981 to April 1994.

Graeme A. Witts, age 66, has been a trustee since January 2003. Mr. Witts organized Sanne Trust Company Limited, a trust company located in the Channel Islands, in 1988 and was managing director from 1988 to 2000, when he retired. Mr. Witts is also a fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of England and Wales.

David M. Gandossi, age 47, has been Secretary, Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer since August 15, 2003. Mr. Gandossi was formerly the Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice-President of Formation Forest Products (a closely held corporation) from June 2002 to August 2003. Mr. Gandossi previously served as Chief Financial Officer, Vice-President, Finance and Secretary of Pacifica Papers Inc., a North American specialty pulp and paper manufacturing company previously listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange, from December 1999 to August 2001 and Controller and Treasurer from June 1998 to December 1999. From June 1998 to August 31, 1998, he also served as Secretary to Pacifica Papers Inc. From March 1998 to June 1998, Mr. Gandossi served as Controller, Treasurer and Secretary of MB Paper Ltd. From April 1994 to March 1998, Mr. Gandossi held the position of Controller and Treasurer with Harmac Pacific Inc., a Canadian pulp manufacturing company previously listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange. Mr. Gandossi is a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Canada.

Wolfram Ridder, age 41, was appointed a managing director of Stendal, our 63.6% owned project subsidiary that has completed construction a new state-of-the-art NBSK kraft pulp mill near the town of Stendal, Germany, in July 2002. Mr. Ridder was the principal assistant to our Chief Executive Officer from November 1995 until September 2002. Mr. Ridder has also been a Vice-President of pulp operations since August 1999.

We also have experienced mill managers at our Rosenthal and Stendal mills who have operated through multiple business cycles in the pulp and paper industry. These managers have on average 18 years of industry experience.

Mr. Adams was nominated for election as a trustee at the 2003 annual meeting pursuant to a settlement agreement entered into between us and Greenlight Capital, Inc. and Greenlight Capital, LLC.

The terms of Mr. Lee and Mr. McCartney as trustees expire at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2005. The terms of Mr. Shields and Mr. Adams as trustees expire at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2006. The terms of Mr. Lauritzen and Mr. Witts as trustees expire at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2007.

Our board of trustees, referred to as the "Board", met five times during 2003 and each member of the Board attended 75% or more of the total number of such meetings and meetings of the committees of the Board on which they serve, other than C.S. Moon who was replaced as a trustee at the annual meeting of shareholders held in June 2004. In addition, our independent trustees regularly meet in separate executive sessions without any member of our management present. The Lead Trustee presides over these meetings. Although we do not have a formal policy with respect to attendance of trustees at our annual meetings, all trustees are encouraged and expected to attend such meetings if possible. Six trustees attended our 2003 annual meeting.

Our Board has developed corporate governance guidelines in respect of: (i) the duties and responsibilities of the Board, its committees and the officers of the Company; and (ii) practices with respect to the holding of regular quarterly and strategic meetings of the Board including separate meetings of non-management trustees. The Board is also developing additional disclosure controls and procedures in connection with periodic reports and certifications we are required to file with the SEC pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Our Board has established three standing committees, the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee functions pursuant to a charter adopted by the trustees. A copy of the current charter is attached as Appendix "A" to the definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A relating to our annual meeting of shareholders held in June 2004. The function of the Audit Committee generally is to meet with and review the results of the audit of our financial statements performed by

the independent public accountants and to recommend the selection of independent public accountants. The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. McCartney, Mr. Witts and Mr. Lauritzen, each of whom is independent under applicable laws and regulations and the listing requirements of the Nasdaq National Market. Both Mr. McCartney and Mr. Witts are chartered accountants and Mr. McCartney is a "financial expert" within the meaning of such term under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Audit Committee met five times during 2003.

The Audit Committee has established procedures for: (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters; and (ii) the confidential and anonymous submission by the Company's employees and others of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. A person wishing to notify the Company of such a complaint or concern should send a written notice thereof, marked "Private & Confidential", to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, Mercer International Inc., c/o Suite 2840, P.O. Box 11576, 650 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, B.C., V6B 4N8 Canada.

Compensation Committee

The Board has established a Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the strategy and design of the Company's compensation, equity-based and benefits programs. The Compensation Committee is also responsible for approving all compensation actions relating to executive officers. The members of the Compensation Committee are Mr. Shields, Mr. McCartney and Mr. Adams, each of whom is independent under applicable laws and regulations and the listing requirements of the Nasdaq National Market. The Compensation Committee met once during 2003.

Governance and Nominating Committee

Our Board has established a Governance and Nominating Committee comprised of Mr. Shields, Mr. McCartney and Mr. Witts, each of whom is independent under applicable laws and regulations and the listing requirements of the Nasdaq National Market. The Governance and Nominating Committee functions pursuant to a charter adopted by the trustees, a copy of which is attached as Appendix "B" to the definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A relating to our annual meeting of shareholders held in June 2004. The purpose of the committee is to: (i) manage the corporate governance system of the Board; (ii) assist the Board in fulfilling its duties to meet applicable legal and regulatory and self-regulatory business principles and codes of best practice; (iii) assist in the creation of a corporate culture and environment of integrity and accountability; (iv) in conjunction with the Lead Trustee, monitor the quality of the relationship between the Board and management; (v) review management succession plans; (vi) recommend to the Board nominees for appointment to the Board; (vii) lead the Board's annual review of the Chief Executive Officer's performance; and (viii) set the Board's forward meeting agenda. The Governance and Nominating Committee was established in September 2003 and met once in 2003.

Lead Trustee

Our Board appointed Mr. Shields as its Lead Trustee in September 2003. The role of the Lead Trustee is to provide leadership to the non-management trustees on the Board and to ensure that the Board can operate independently of management and that trustees have an independent leadership contact. The duties of the Lead Trustee include, among other things: (i) ensuring that the Board has adequate resources to support its decision-making process and ensuring that the Board is appropriately approving strategy and supervising management's progress against that strategy; (ii) ensuring that the independent trustees have adequate opportunity to meet to discuss issues without management being present; (iii) chairing meetings of trustees in the absence of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer; (iv) ensuring that delegated committee functions are carried out and reported to the Board; and (v) communicating to management, as appropriate, the results of private discussions among outside trustees and acting as a liaison between the Board and the Chief Executive Officer.

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our shares as of January 6, 2005 by each shareholder who is known by us to own more than five percent of our outstanding shares. The following is based solely on statements made in filings with the SEC or other information we believe to be reliable.

Name and Address of Owner	Number of Shares Owned	Percentage of Outstanding Shares
Peter R. Kellogg ⁽¹⁾ 120 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, NY 10271	4,196,783	14.6%
Greenlight Capital, L.L.C. ⁽²⁾ 420 Lexington Avenue Suite 875 New York, NY 10170	3,985,183	13.9%
Coghill Capital Management, LLC ⁽³⁾ One North Wacker Drive Suite 4725 Chicago, IL 60606	1,582,679	8.8%
FMR Corp. ⁽⁴⁾ 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109	2,200,001	7.7%
Cramer Rosenthal McGlynn 707 Westchester Avenue White Plains, NY 10604	1,018,500	5.6%
Franklin Resources, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾ One Franklin Parkway San Mateo, CA 94403	1,448,610	5.1%

- (1) Filed jointly with IAT Reinsurance Company Ltd., or IAT. The number of shares owned includes 1,645,161 shares issuable upon conversion of convertible senior subordinated notes. The percentage of outstanding shares owned gives pro forma effect to the 10,645,155 shares issuable upon conversion of the convertible senior subordinated notes.
- (2) Filed jointly with Greenlight Capital, L.L.C., Greenlight Capital, Inc. and David Einhorn. The number of shares owned includes 1,419,353 shares issuable upon conversion of convertible senior subordinated notes. The percentage of outstanding shares owned gives pro forma effect to the 10,645,155 shares issuable upon conversion of the convertible senior subordinated notes.
- (3) Filed jointly with CCM Master Qualified Fund, Ltd., Coghill Capital Management, LLC and Clint D. Coghill.
- (4) Filed jointly with Edward C. Johnson 3d, Abigail P. Johnson and Fidelity Management & Research Company. The number of shares owned represents shares issuable upon conversion of convertible senior subordinated notes. The percentage of outstanding shares owned gives pro forma effect to the 10,645,155 shares issuable upon conversion of the convertible senior subordinated notes.
- (5) Filed jointly with Charles B. Johnson, Rupert H. Johnson, Jr. and Franklin Advisory Services, LLC. The number of shares owned includes 903,210 shares issuable upon conversion of convertible senior subordinated notes. The percentage of outstanding shares owned gives pro forma effect to the 10,645,155 shares issuable upon conversion of the convertible senior subordinated notes.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Pursuant to the terms of the Acquisition, we will issue \$40 million of our shares of beneficial interest to either KPMG Inc. and/or the two senior secured lenders of Celgar, being Royal Bank of Canada and National Westminster Bank PLC.

S-129

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

The following table sets forth information regarding ownership of our shares as of January 6, 2005 by each of our trustees and executive officers and all of our trustees and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, each trustee has sole voting and disposition power with respect to the shares set forth opposite his name.

Name of Owner	Number of Shares Owned	Percentage of Outstanding Shares
Jimmy S.H. Lee ⁽¹⁾	1,619,800	8.6%
Kenneth A. Shields ⁽²⁾	25,000	*
Guy W. Adams ⁽³⁾	255,000	1.5%
William D. McCartney ⁽⁴⁾	5,000	*
Graeme A. Witts ⁽⁵⁾	5,000	*
Eric Lauritzen		
David M. Gandossi ⁽⁶⁾	100,000	*
Wolfram Ridder ⁽⁷⁾	60,000	*
Trustees and Officers as a Group (8 persons) ⁽⁸⁾	2,069,800	10.9%

*
Less than 1%.

- (1) Includes 784,800 shares of beneficial interest and presently exercisable stock options to acquire up to 835,000 shares. Mr. Lee exercised options and acquired an aggregate of 750,000 of our shares of beneficial interest in October 2004.
- (2) In January 2004, Mr. Shields was granted 25,000 restricted shares of beneficial interest in connection with his role as the Lead Trustee of our Board. These shares vest in three equal installments on January 20, 2004, November 11, 2004 and November 11, 2005.
- (3) In August 2003, we issued options to purchase up to 225,000 shares to GWA, of which Mr. Adams is the managing member, and up to 100,000 shares to Mr. Adams, each at an exercise price of \$4.53 per share. GWA exercised its options in September 2003 and Mr. Adams exercised his options in March 2004. In addition, in June 2004, Mr. Adams was granted 5,000 restricted shares in connection with his role as an independent trustee of Mercer. The shares vest and become non-forfeitable in June 2005.
- (4) In June 2004, Mr. McCartney was granted 5,000 restricted shares in connection with his role as an independent trustee of Mercer. These shares vest and become non-forfeitable in June 2005.
- (5) In June 2004, Mr. Witts was granted 5,000 restricted shares in connection with his role as an independent trustee of Mercer. These shares vest and become non-forfeitable in June 2005.
- (6) In September 2003, Mr. Gandossi was granted options to acquire up to 100,000 of our shares at a price of \$5.65 per share exercisable as of September 10, 2003 as to one-third of the options granted and one-third on each of September 10, 2004 and September 10, 2005. These options have a ten-year term.
- (7) Represents presently exercisable stock options.
- (8) Includes presently exercisable stock options to acquire up to 995,000 shares.

The following table sets forth information as at December 31, 2004 regarding: (i) our 1992 amended and restated stock option plan under which options to acquire an aggregate of 3,600,000 of our shares may be granted; and (ii) our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan pursuant to which 1,000,000 of our shares may be issued pursuant to options, stock appreciation rights and restricted shares:

Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Shares Available for Future Issuance Under Plan
--	--	---

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

	Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Shares Available for Future Issuance Under Plan
1992 Amended Stock Option Plan	1,055,000	\$ 6.58	230,500
2004 Stock Incentive Plan			960,000 ⁽¹⁾

(1) An aggregate of 40,000 restricted shares have been issued under the plan.

S-130

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS

MFC Bancorp Ltd., referred to as "MFC", was an approximately 92% owned subsidiary until June 1996, when we spun-off approximately 83% of the issued shares of MFC to our shareholders by way of a special dividend-in-kind. MFC is primarily engaged in merchant banking and financial services including through a wholly owned licensed Swiss bank.

As part of the financing for the Stendal project, in August 2002, we obtained a €15 million bridge loan from Babcock & Brown Investment Management Partners LP, referred to as "Babcock & Brown", and a €30 million bridge loan arranged by the Swiss banking affiliate of MFC with variable interest rates and specified fees. Babcock & Brown was our advisor in connection with the overall financing arrangements for the Stendal project. Both loans were on substantially the same terms. In October 2003, we fully repaid the two bridge loans from Babcock & Brown and MFC, which, with fees and accrued interest, aggregated \$66.9 million, from the proceeds of our convertible note offering.

In addition to the bridge loan, at December 31, 2002, Mercer had other indebtedness to MFC in the amount of approximately €8.6 million. Approximately €6.4 million of this indebtedness was repaid in December 2003 from the proceeds of the sale of MFC shares to an affiliate of MFC and the balance was fully repaid in early 2004. We previously held, directly and indirectly, approximately 575,683 common shares of MFC, being approximately 4% of its outstanding shares. We sold 538,683 MFC shares to an affiliate of MFC in December 2003 at a price of \$15.84 per share (being the 20-day weighted average trading price of the shares on the Nasdaq National Market at the time of the agreement to sell the shares) for total proceeds of \$8.5 million, referred to as the "MFC Share Sale". The proceeds were used to repay part of the €8.6 million indebtedness to MFC described above. The MFC Share Sale was approved by a special committee of Mercer's trustees and Mercer's board, with Mr. Lee abstaining. Subsequently, Mercer sold its remaining shares of MFC.

Mr. Lee, Mercer's Chairman, chief executive officer and a trustee was formerly a non-executive director of the Swiss banking affiliate of MFC until he resigned from such position in April 2004.

Ian Rigg, a former trustee and our former chief financial officer, became a Vice President of MFC in August 2003. Mr. Rigg resigned as our chief financial officer in August 2003 and as a trustee in December 2003.

We have in the course of our business entered into transactions and other arrangements with MFC and its affiliates. From time to time, our Rosenthal pulp mill sells pulp to a commodities trading subsidiary of MFC, both for its own account and as an agent for sales to certain parts of eastern Europe. All such transactions are conducted on market terms on an arm's length basis as provided for in the Rosenthal project loan agreements. As at December 31, 2003, we had trade receivables for pulp sales of approximately €1.4 million from MFC affiliates, which were all collected in the ordinary course.

In December 2002, we contributed our 80% interest in Landqart to a limited partnership in exchange for a 49% interest therein. The other limited partner of the limited partnership is MFC and the general partner is wholly-owned by Cade Struktur Corporation, referred to as "CSC". CSC is a Canadian public company in which we own an approximate 26% interest and MFC owns 25% of its issued and outstanding shares. Mr. Lee, our Chief Executive Officer, previously served as an officer and director of CSC from July 2001 to December 2002. During such time, Mr. Lee received no remuneration from CSC and did not have any ownership interest therein. At the time of the Landqart reorganization, Mr. Lee was neither an officer nor a director of CSC. Previously, an affiliate of MFC arranged receivables financing for Landqart. This receivables financing from an MFC affiliate was terminated by Landqart in the first half of 2004.

MFC has also acquired an indirect majority interest in AIG Altmark-Industrie AG, a German real estate company, which is an indirect 7% shareholder of Stendal.

As at December 31, 2004, we had an approximately €1.5 million investment in shares and loans of a venture company, which is an affiliate of both us and MFC. This is a legacy investment which Mercer has been seeking to divest.

Stendal (our 63.6% subsidiary) informally subleases furnished office space and receptionist services from an MFC affiliate in Berlin. The sublease rate is approximately €80,000 per quarter.

A director of MFC is indebted to a subsidiary of Mercer in the approximate principal amount of €245,000.

Mercer previously utilised administrative services from a private company that also provided similar services to MFC related companies. Mercer terminated using such administrative services at the end of the second quarter of 2004. Mercer used to pay C\$125,000 per quarter for such services and has agreed to pay such amounts until March 31, 2005.

Mercer's board has developed corporate governance guidelines which, among other things, provides that its audit committee or other special committee of trustees review and approve transactions with related and affiliated parties, including those with MFC. Mercer's board is comprised of six trustees, only one of which (the Chief Executive Officer) is part of management. There are no cross-directorships or officerships between Mercer (and its subsidiaries) and MFC (and its subsidiaries).

DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS

Rosenthal Project Financing

The following summary of certain material provisions of the Rosenthal project loan agreement is not complete and these provisions, including definitions of certain terms, are qualified by reference to the Rosenthal project loan agreement and the applicable amendment agreements on file with the SEC.

In 1998, Rosenthal entered into the Rosenthal Loan Facility, as amended, having a 15 year term with a German bank and other syndicated lenders in the aggregate amount of €259.7 million to finance the conversion project. The Rosenthal Loan Facility is secured by liens on all of the assets of Rosenthal and the German federal government and the state government of Thuringia severally guaranteed an aggregate of 80% of the facility. We have agreed with the lenders under the Rosenthal Loan Facility that, so long as Rosenthal has liability thereunder, to retain control over at least 51% of the voting shares of Rosenthal.

As part of the Rosenthal Loan Facility, there is a credit line which allows for derivative transactions, subject to certain controls, including certain maximum notional and at-risk amounts, to manage risk. Rosenthal has entered into derivatives with the lead bank under the Rosenthal Loan Facility to manage its risk exposure with respect to certain amounts outstanding thereunder.

Repayment of the Rosenthal Loan Facility commenced on March 31, 2001. During the first nine months of 2004, €20.1 million of the Rosenthal Loan Facility was repaid and, net of cash in a restricted account, €143.1 million remained outstanding as at September 30, 2004. The outstanding amount under the Rosenthal Loan Facility and €7.6 million under a bank facility of Rosenthal in connection with the reconstruction of the landfill at the Rosenthal mill will be repaid with a portion of the proceeds of the Financings. See "Use of Proceeds" and "The Financings".

Stendal Pulp Mill Project Financing

The following summary of certain material provisions of the Stendal loan facility agreement is not complete and these provisions, including definitions of certain terms, are qualified by reference to the Stendal loan facility agreement on file with the SEC.

In August 2002, referred to as the "Stendal Financing Closing Date", we completed financing arrangements for the Stendal project. Total investment costs in connection with the project are approximately €1.0 billion, the majority of which was provided under the Stendal Loan Facility pursuant to the Project Finance Loan Agreement entered into between Stendal and HVB. We also contributed financing to Stendal of approximately €63.5 million from cash on hand and through bridge loans from a U.S. investment partnership and a bank.

The Stendal Loan Facility is in the aggregate amount of €828.0 million and is divided into tranches which cover, among other things, project construction and development costs, financing and start-up costs and working capital, as well as the financing of a debt service reserve account, approved cost overruns and a revolving loan facility to cover any time lag for receipt of grant funding and value-added tax refunds in the amount of €160 million, referred to as "Tranche E". The Stendal Loan Facility is available for disbursement from August 2002 until the earlier of the issuance by us of a final acceptance certificate for the project and December 2005, except that financing under the Stendal Loan Facility for approved cost overruns will be available for up to one month prior to the first repayment.

Pursuant to the Project Finance Loan Agreement, interest on the credit facilities was to accrue at variable rates between Euribor plus 0.60% and Euribor plus 1.55% per year. The Project Finance Loan Agreement provides for facilities to allow us to manage our risk exposure to interest rate risk, currency risk and pulp price risk by way of interest rate swaps, Euro and U.S. dollar swaps and pulp hedging transactions, subject to certain controls, including certain maximum notional and at-risk amounts.

Pursuant to the terms of the Project Finance Loan Agreement, in 2002 Stendal entered into interest rate swap agreements in respect of borrowings under the Stendal Loan Facility to fix most of the interest costs under the Stendal Loan Facility at a rate of 3.795% per year until April 2004 and at a rate of 5.28% commencing May 2004, plus margin, until final payment in October 2017. For more information, see "Business Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk". In March 2003, as part of its loan syndication, HVB exercised its right under the Stendal Loan Facility to increase its up-front arrangement fee by 20 basis points and the rate of interest under the facility by 30 basis points.

Stendal has agreed to initially reduce the aggregate advances outstanding under the Stendal Loan Facility, other than in respect of Tranche E, to a maximum of €599.0 million, from a maximum original amount of €638.0 million (assuming no draws for approved cost overruns), on or before the first March 31 or September 30 following the fourth anniversary of the first advance under the Stendal Loan Facility for project construction and development costs. The tranches are generally repayable in installments and mature between the fifth and 15th anniversary of the first advance under the Stendal Loan Facility for project construction and development costs. Subject to various conditions, including a minimum debt service coverage test, Stendal may make distributions, in the form of interest and capital payments on shareholder debt or dividends on equity invested, to its shareholders, including us.

The tranches under the Stendal Loan Facility for project construction and development costs, financing costs, start-up costs and working capital are severally guaranteed by German federal and state governments in respect of an aggregate of 80% of the principal amount of these tranches, but the tranche under the Stendal Loan Facility for financing and start-up costs, working capital and certain of the project construction and development costs benefiting from these guarantees will be reduced semi-annually by 12.5% per year beginning on the first repayment date following the fourth anniversary of the first advance under the Stendal Loan Facility for each of these costs. Under the guarantees, the German federal and state governments that provide the guarantees are responsible for the performance of our payment obligations for the guaranteed amounts. Approximately €394.4 million was drawn under the Stendal Loan Facility as of December 31, 2003.

On December 12, 2003, Stendal entered into agreements with Nord Deutsche Landesbank, referred to as "Nord LB", and the European Investment Bank, referred to as "EIB". Pursuant to the agreements, EIB will provide a refinancing credit facility to Nord LB at preferred interest rates for up to €495.0 million. Such refinancing loan is made to Nord LB for the benefit of the Stendal project. Instead of actually refinancing the Stendal Loan Facility through Nord LB, and Stendal benefiting from lower interest rates over time, the agreements provide for the disbursement to Stendal of the net present value of the interest rate differential offered to Nord LB by EIB (less a portion retained by Nord LB).

A first draw down refinancing of €250.0 million was completed in December 2003 which resulted in a net present value of the interest rate differential of approximately €2.1 million being disbursed to Stendal. A second draw down refinancing in the amount of up to €245.0 million was completed in the first quarter of 2004 which resulted in a net present value interest rate differential credit of approximately €2.0 million being disbursed to Stendal.

The proceeds received from the interest rate differential arrangement with EIB were transferred to the Stendal project's disbursement account. These EIB proceeds are considered additional cash flow in the period between the Stendal mill's start-up and acceptance.

The Stendal Loan Facility is secured by all of the assets of Stendal. In addition, the Project Finance Loan Agreement provides for the establishment of an equity reserve account into which excess start-up cash flows may be deposited. The account will be used to secure claims and amounts owing to the lenders in priority to the funding of the debt service reserve account under the Stendal Loan

Facility. The Project Finance Loan Agreement also provides that revenues held by Stendal after certain payments may be paid to a shareholders' account.

In connection with the Stendal Loan Facility, we entered into a shareholders' undertaking agreement, referred to as the "Undertaking", dated August 26, 2002 with RWE, AIG and HVB in order to finance the shareholders' contribution to the Stendal project. Pursuant to the terms of the Undertaking, on the Stendal Financing Closing Date the shareholders of Stendal, on a pro rata basis, subscribed for €15 million of share capital of Stendal and advanced to it €55 million in subordinated loans. In addition, on a pro rata basis, the shareholders of Stendal agreed to advance to it €30 million of stand-by equity to, among other things, cover approved cost overruns, fund the equity reserve account and partially fund the debt service reserve account under the Stendal Loan Facility. On the Stendal Financing Closing Date, we provided HVB with a cash deposit for our pro rata portion of such equity reserve account. Our total funding commitment under the Undertaking was €63.5 million, all of which was effected in August 2002. Pursuant to the Undertaking, we have agreed, for as long as Stendal has any liability under the Stendal Loan Facility to HVB, to retain control over at least 51% of the voting shares of Stendal. We have no further capital commitments with relation to the Stendal project.

On the Stendal Financing Closing Date, we entered into and completed funding under two bridge financing loan agreements and used the net proceeds from the two bridge loans, referred to as the "Bridge Loans", in the principal amounts of €15.0 million and €30.0 million to fund, in part, our contribution to the Stendal project and commitment pursuant to the Undertaking. The Bridge Loans were negotiated at the same time and the agreements in respect of the Bridge Loans were entered into upon substantially the same terms. The Bridge Loans accrued interest at rates equal to Euribor plus 6.5% per year until April 2003 and Euribor plus 9.0% per year thereafter until October 2003.

In October 2003, we completed the sale of \$82.5 million in aggregate principal amount of convertible senior subordinated notes due 2010. The notes bear interest at a rate of 8.5% per annum and are convertible into our shares of beneficial interest at a conversion price of \$7.75 per share. The net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$78.4 million were used to repay in full our indebtedness, including fees and accrued interest, under the Bridge Loans aggregating approximately \$66.9 million and the balance was used for general corporate purposes, including working capital.

Convertible Notes

In October 2003, we issued \$82.5 million in aggregate principal amount of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due 2010, referred to as the "convertible notes". The following summary of certain provisions of the convertible notes and the indenture governing the convertible notes is not complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the indenture, a copy of which is attached as an exhibit to the Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 16, 2003.

We pay interest semi-annually on the convertible notes on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on April 15, 2004. The convertible notes mature on October 15, 2010. The convertible notes are redeemable on and after October 15, 2008, at any time in whole or in part, at our option on not less than 20 and not more than 60 days' prior notice at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the date of redemption, subject to the restrictions in the indenture governing the notes to be issued hereunder. See "Description of the Notes Certain Covenants Restricted Payments".

The convertible notes are convertible, at the option of the holder, unless previously redeemed, at any time on or prior to maturity into our shares of beneficial interest. The convertible notes are convertible at a conversion price of \$7.75 per share, which is equal to a conversion rate of approximately 129 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of convertible notes, subject to adjustment.

Holders of the convertible notes have the right to require us to purchase all or any part of the convertible notes 30 business days after the occurrence of a change of control with respect to us at a purchase price equal to the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase.

The convertible notes are unsecured obligations of Mercer International Inc. and are subordinated in right of payment to existing and future senior indebtedness and are effectively subordinated to all of the indebtedness and liabilities of our subsidiaries. The indenture governing the convertible notes limits the incurrence by us, but not our subsidiaries, of senior indebtedness.

The convertible notes are eligible for trading in the Private Offerings, Resales and Trading through Automated Linkages (PORTAL) systems of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. In addition, we have registered the convertible notes and underlying shares with the SEC for resale by the holders thereof.

Paper Mill Project Facilities

In 2003, our paper operations secured two long-term credit facilities aggregating approximately €2.5 million, which facilities along with certain government grants are being utilized to repair flooding damage suffered by the mills in 2002. One facility totaling approximately €1.0 million matures on June 30, 2009, bears interest at a rate of 2.65% per annum and is repayable in ten equal semi-annual installments. The other facility in the amount of approximately €1.5 million matures on June 30, 2013, bears interest at a rate of 2.65% per annum and is repayable in 16 equal semi-annual installments. Both facilities are guaranteed by Mercer Inc. as well as to 80% thereof by a German governmental agency.

In addition, in 2003, our Fährbrücke paper mill secured three credit facilities aggregating €5.5 million, which facilities along with certain government grants were utilized to finance equipment and construction costs associated with expanding and adapting the paper machine at the mill. In September 2004, we repaid the majority of the outstanding amounts under these credit facilities and permanently reduced the aggregate amount available thereunder to €2.2 million. Two of the facilities aggregating approximately €1.4 million mature on December 30, 2012 and bear interest at rates between 4.15% and 4.3% per annum and are repayable in 16 equal semi-annual installments. The other facility in the amount of approximately €0.8 million matures on March 31, 2009 and bears interest at a rate equal to the three-month Euribor rate plus 1.75% per annum and is repayable in 16 equal quarterly installments. All three facilities are guaranteed by Mercer Inc. as well as to 80% thereof by a German state government. As at September 30, 2004, we had utilized the entire €4.7 million available under the five credit facilities relating to the paper operations.

New Working Capital Facilities

In conjunction with the Acquisition, we intend to establish new working capital facilities for the Rosenthal and Celgar mills. We have received term sheets from two financial institutions relating to the provision of such working capital facilities upon terms and conditions customary for facilities of this nature.

The €40 million revolving working capital facility for the Rosenthal mill, arranged by HVB, consists of a revolving credit facility which may be utilized by way of cash advances or advances by way of letter of credit or bank guarantees. The facility will mature in February 2010. The interest payable on cash advances is LIBOR or EURIBOR plus 1.55%, plus certain other costs incurred by the lenders in connection with the facility. Each cash advance is to be repaid on the last day of the respective interest period and in full on the termination date and each advance by way of a letter of credit or bank guarantee shall be repaid on the applicable expiry date of such letter of credit or bank guarantee. An interest period for cash advances shall be three, six or 12 months or any other period as Rosenthal and

the lenders may determine. There is also a 0.375% per annum commitment fee on the unused and uncanceled amount of the revolving facility which is payable quarterly in arrears. This facility will be secured by a first fixed charge on the inventories, receivables and accounts of Rosenthal. In connection with this facility, Rosenthal will also enter into a hedging facility which will provide for a strategy to be agreed to between Rosenthal and HVB relating to the hedging of the interest, currency and pulp prices as they affect Rosenthal.

The \$30 million revolving working capital facility for the Celgar mill, arranged by Royal Bank of Canada on a best efforts basis, consists of either a 364 day revolving credit facility and a one year non-revolving term loan upon the conversion of the revolving facility. The revolving facility has a term of 364 days and the term facility will mature on the first anniversary of the conversion date. The borrower will be our wholly owned acquisition subsidiary which will acquire the Celgar mill. The borrower can request a 364 day extension, not more than 90 days or less than 60 days prior to the maturity date of the revolving facility, and the lenders, in their sole discretion, shall decide whether or not to extend such facility not later than 30 days prior to the maturity date of the revolving facility. The revolving facility shall be available by way of: (i) Canadian and U.S. prime rate loans which bear interest at the designated rate plus between 1.5% and 2% depending upon which designated debt coverage ratio of the borrower is applicable at the time; (ii) banker's acceptances which bear interest at the applicable banker's acceptance discount rate plus between 2.5% and 3%, depending upon which designated debt coverage ratio of the borrower is applicable at the time; and/or (iii) LIBOR advances, which will accrue for periods of one, two, three or six months duration and which bear interest at LIBOR plus between 2.5% and 3%, depending upon which designated debt coverage ratio of the borrower is applicable at the time. Letters of credit and/or letters of guarantee will also be available under the facility up to a maximum of \$10 million. There is also a commitment fee payable monthly in arrears on any unutilized and uncanceled amount of the revolving facility. The amount of the fee varies from 0.625% to 0.9% of such amount depending upon the amount drawn under the facility and which designated debt coverage ratio the borrower has achieved. This facility will be secured by a first charge on the current assets of the borrower, a guarantee and postponement of claims delivered by Mercer Inc. and a priority agreement executed by the borrower and Mercer Inc. which acknowledges the lender's priority claim on the accounts receivable and inventory of the borrower.

DESCRIPTION OF THE NOTES

You can find the definitions of certain terms used in this description under the subheading "Certain Definitions." In this description, the word "Mercer" refers only to Mercer International Inc. and not to any of its subsidiaries.

Mercer will issue the notes under a base indenture, as supplemented by a supplemental indenture to be dated the date of the issuance of the notes offered by this prospectus supplement (which together we refer to herein as the "indenture"), among itself and Wells Fargo, N.A., as trustee. The terms of the notes will include those stated in the indenture and those made part of the indenture by reference to the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended.

The following description is a summary of the material provisions of the indenture. It does not restate that agreement in its entirety. We urge you to read the indenture because it, and not this description, defines your rights as holders of the notes. We have filed a copy of the base indenture as an exhibit to the registration statement which includes this prospectus, and we will file a copy of the supplemental indenture as an exhibit to a current report on Form 8-K for incorporation by reference into the registration statement. Certain defined terms used in this description but not defined below under " Certain Definitions" have the meanings assigned to them in the supplemental indenture.

The registered holder of a note will be treated as the owner of it for all purposes. Only registered holders will have rights under the indenture.

Brief Description of the Notes

The notes:

will be general unsecured obligations of Mercer;

will be *pari passu* in right of payment with all existing and future unsecured senior Indebtedness of Mercer; and

will be senior in right of payment to our 8.5% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes due 2010 and any future subordinated Indebtedness of Mercer.

However, the notes will be effectively subordinated to all borrowings under the Credit Agreements, which will be secured by substantially all of the assets of certain of our Restricted Subsidiaries. See "Risk Factors We are a holding company and we are substantially dependent on cash provided by our subsidiaries to meet our debt service obligations under the notes." and " The notes will be effectively subordinated to all liabilities of our subsidiaries and are unsecured. We may not have sufficient funds to pay our obligations under the notes if we encounter financial difficulties."

The operations of Mercer are conducted through its Subsidiaries and, therefore, Mercer depends on the cash flow of its Subsidiaries to meet its obligations, including its obligations under the notes. The notes will be effectively subordinated in right of payment to all Indebtedness and other liabilities and commitments (including trade payables and lease obligations) of Mercer's Subsidiaries. Any right of Mercer to receive assets of any of its Subsidiaries upon the Subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization (and the consequent right of the holders of the notes to participate in those assets) will be effectively subordinated to the claims of that Subsidiary's creditors, except to the extent that Mercer is itself recognized as a creditor of the Subsidiary by reason of intercompany loans or otherwise, in which case the claims of Mercer would still be effectively subordinate in right of payment to any secured Indebtedness of the Subsidiary or Indebtedness senior to that held by Mercer. As of September 30, 2004, pro forma for the Acquisition and the refinancing of the outstanding Indebtedness of Rosenthal, as described under "Use of Proceeds," Mercer's Restricted Subsidiaries would have had approximately €308.0 million of Indebtedness and €26.4 million of trade payables and other liabilities outstanding.

As of the date of the supplemental indenture, Rosenthal and our acquisition subsidiary which is acquiring the Celgar mill (and their respective Subsidiaries) will be "Restricted Subsidiaries", and Stendal and Dresden (and their respective Subsidiaries) will be "Unrestricted Subsidiaries." Under the circumstances described below under the caption " Certain Covenants Designation of Restricted and Unrestricted Subsidiaries," we will be permitted to designate certain additional Subsidiaries of Mercer as Unrestricted subsidiaries. Our Unrestricted Subsidiaries will not be subject to many of the restrictive covenants in the indenture. We have presented unaudited pro forma financial information of Mercer and the Restricted Subsidiaries, which we refer to as the restricted group, elsewhere in this prospectus supplement to show the effect of excluding the assets, liabilities and results of operations of the Unrestricted Subsidiaries from the restricted group. See Note 6 to the "Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial Statements." Mercer, a member of the restricted group, has guaranteed certain credit facilities totaling approximately €4.7 million relating to paper mills operated by Unrestricted Subsidiaries. None of our Subsidiaries will guarantee the notes, except in the circumstances described below under the caption " Certain Covenants Note Guarantees".

Principal, Maturity and Interest

Mercer will issue \$300.0 million in aggregate principal amount of notes in this offering. Mercer may issue additional notes under the indenture from time to time after this offering. Any issuance of additional notes is subject to all of the covenants in the indenture, including the covenant described below under the caption " Certain Covenants Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock." The notes and any additional notes subsequently issued under the indenture will be treated as a single class for all purposes under the indenture, including, without limitation, waivers, amendments, redemptions and offers to purchase. Mercer will issue notes in denominations of \$1,000 and integral multiples of \$1,000. The notes will mature on _____, 2013.

Interest on the notes will accrue at the rate of _____ % per annum and will be payable semi-annually in arrears on _____ and _____, commencing on _____, 2005. Interest on overdue principal and interest will accrue at a rate that is 1% higher than the then applicable interest rate on the notes. Mercer will make each interest payment to the holders of record on the immediately preceding _____ and _____.

Interest on the notes will accrue from the date of original issuance or, if interest has already been paid, from the date it was most recently paid. Interest will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months.

Methods of Receiving Payments on the Notes

If a holder of notes has given wire transfer instructions to Mercer, Mercer will pay all principal, interest and premium, if any, on that holder's notes in accordance with those instructions. All other payments on the notes will be made at the office or agency of the paying agent and registrar within the City and State of New York unless Mercer elects to make interest payments by check mailed to the noteholders at their address set forth in the register of holders.

Paying Agent and Registrar for the Notes

The trustee will initially act as paying agent and registrar. Mercer may change the paying agent or registrar without prior notice to the holders of the notes, and Mercer or any of its Subsidiaries may act as paying agent or registrar.

Transfer and Exchange

A holder may transfer or exchange notes in accordance with the provisions of the indenture. The registrar and the trustee may require a holder, among other things, to furnish appropriate

endorsements and transfer documents in connection with a transfer of notes. Holders will be required to pay all taxes due on transfer. Mercer will not be required to transfer or exchange any note selected for redemption. Also, Mercer will not be required to transfer or exchange any note for a period of 15 days before a selection of notes to be redeemed.

Optional Redemption

At any time prior to _____, 2008, Mercer may on any one or more occasions redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of notes issued under the indenture at a redemption price of _____ % of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds of a sale of Equity Interests (other than Disqualified Stock) of Mercer; *provided that*:

- (1) at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of notes originally issued under the indenture (excluding notes held by Mercer and its Subsidiaries) remains outstanding immediately after the occurrence of such redemption; and
- (2) the redemption occurs within 90 days of the date of the closing of such sale of Equity Interests.

Except pursuant to the preceding paragraph, the notes will not be redeemable at Mercer's option prior to _____, 2009.

On or after _____, 2009, Mercer may redeem all or a part of the notes upon not less than 30 nor more than 60 days' notice, at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of principal amount) set forth below plus accrued and unpaid interest on the notes redeemed, to the applicable redemption date, if redeemed during the twelve-month period beginning on _____ of the years indicated below, subject to the rights of holders of notes on the relevant record date to receive interest on the relevant interest payment date:

Year	Percentage
2009	%
2010	%
2011 and thereafter	100.000%

Unless Mercer defaults in the payment of the redemption price, interest will cease to accrue on the notes or portions thereof called for redemption on the applicable redemption date.

Mandatory Redemption

Mercer is not required to make mandatory redemption or sinking fund payments with respect to the notes.

Repurchase at the Option of Holders

Change of Control

If a Change of Control occurs, each holder of notes will have the right to require Mercer to repurchase all or any part (equal to \$1,000 or an integral multiple of \$1,000) of that holder's notes pursuant to a Change of Control Offer on the terms set forth in the indenture. In the Change of Control Offer, Mercer will offer a Change of Control Payment in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of notes repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on the notes repurchased to the date of purchase, subject to the rights of holders of notes on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date. Within ten days following any Change of Control, Mercer will mail a notice to each holder describing the transaction or transactions that constitute the Change of Control and offering to repurchase notes on the Change of Control Payment Date specified in the notice, which date will be no earlier than 30 days and no later than

60 days from the date such notice is mailed, pursuant to the procedures required by the indenture and described in such notice. Mercer will comply with the requirements of Rule 14e-1 under the Exchange Act and any other securities laws and regulations thereunder to the extent those laws and regulations are applicable in connection with the repurchase of the notes as a result of a Change of Control. To the extent that the provisions of any securities laws or regulations conflict with the Change of Control provisions of the indenture, Mercer will comply with the applicable securities laws and regulations and will not be deemed to have breached its obligations under the Change of Control provisions of the indenture by virtue of such compliance.

On the Change of Control Payment Date, Mercer will, to the extent lawful:

- (1) accept for payment all notes or portions of notes properly tendered pursuant to the Change of Control Offer;
- (2) deposit with the paying agent an amount equal to the Change of Control Payment in respect of all notes or portions of notes properly tendered; and
- (3) deliver or cause to be delivered to the trustee the notes properly accepted together with an officers' certificate stating the aggregate principal amount of notes or portions of notes being purchased by Mercer.

The paying agent will promptly mail to each holder of notes properly tendered the Change of Control Payment for such notes, and the trustee will promptly authenticate and mail (or cause to be transferred by book entry) to each holder a new note equal in principal amount to any unpurchased portion of the notes surrendered, if any. Mercer will publicly announce the results of the Change of Control Offer on or as soon as practicable after the Change of Control Payment Date. The provisions described above that require Mercer to make a Change of Control Offer following a Change of Control will be applicable whether or not any other provisions of the indenture are applicable. Except as described above with respect to a Change of Control, the indenture does not contain provisions that permit the holders of the notes to require that Mercer repurchase or redeem the notes in the event of a takeover, recapitalization or similar transaction.

Mercer will not be required to make a Change of Control Offer upon a Change of Control if (1) a third party makes the Change of Control Offer in the manner, at the times and otherwise in compliance with the requirements set forth in the indenture applicable to a Change of Control Offer made by Mercer and purchases all notes properly tendered and not withdrawn under the Change of Control Offer, or (2) notice of redemption has been given pursuant to the indenture as described above under the caption " Optional Redemption," unless and until there is a default in payment of the applicable redemption price.

The definition of Change of Control includes a phrase relating to the direct or indirect sale, lease, transfer, conveyance or other disposition of "all or substantially all" of the properties or assets of Mercer and its Subsidiaries taken as a whole. Although there is a limited body of case law interpreting the phrase "substantially all," there is no precise established definition of the phrase under applicable law. Accordingly, the ability of a holder of notes to require Mercer to repurchase its notes as a result of a sale, lease, transfer, conveyance or other disposition of less than all of the assets of Mercer and its Subsidiaries taken as a whole to another Person or group may be uncertain.

Asset Sales

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, consummate an Asset Sale unless:

- (1) Mercer (or the Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be) receives consideration at the time of the Asset Sale at least equal to the Fair Market Value of the assets or Equity Interests issued or sold

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

or otherwise disposed of (provided that Fair Market Value shall be determined on the date of contractually agreeing to such Asset Sale); and

- (2) at least 75% of the consideration received in the Asset Sale by Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary is in the form of cash. For purposes of this provision, each of the following will be deemed to be cash:
- (a) Cash Equivalents;
 - (b) any liabilities, as shown on Mercer's most recent consolidated balance sheet, of Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary (other than contingent liabilities and liabilities that are by their terms subordinated to the notes) that are assumed by the transferee of any such assets pursuant to an agreement that releases Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary from, or indemnifies Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary against, further liability;
 - (c) any securities, notes or other obligations received by Mercer or any such Restricted Subsidiary from such transferee that are converted within 60 days by Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary into cash or Cash Equivalents, to the extent of the cash or Cash Equivalents received in that conversion; and
 - (d) any stock or assets of the kind referred to in clauses (2) or (4) of the next paragraph of this covenant.

Within 365 days after the receipt of any Net Proceeds from an Asset Sale, Mercer (or the applicable Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be) may apply such Net Proceeds:

- (1) to permanently repay or prepay Indebtedness and other Obligations under a Credit Facility and, if the Indebtedness repaid is revolving credit Indebtedness, to correspondingly reduce commitments with respect thereto;
- (2) to acquire (including by way of a purchase of assets or stock, merger, consolidation or otherwise), or enter into a binding commitment to acquire within 120 days thereafter, all or substantially all of the assets of, or any Capital Stock of, another Permitted Business, if, after giving effect to any such acquisition of Capital Stock, the Permitted Business is or becomes a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer;
- (3) to make, or enter into a binding commitment to make within 60 days thereafter, a capital expenditure; or
- (4) to acquire other assets that are not classified as current assets under GAAP and that are used or useful in a Permitted Business.

Pending the final application of any Net Proceeds, Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary may temporarily reduce revolving credit borrowings (under the Credit Facilities or otherwise) or otherwise invest the Net Proceeds in any manner that is not prohibited by the indenture.

Any Net Proceeds from Asset Sales that are not applied or invested as provided in the second paragraph of this covenant will constitute "Excess Proceeds." When the aggregate amount of Excess Proceeds exceeds \$15.0 million, within five days thereof, Mercer will make an Asset Sale Offer to all holders of notes and all holders of other Indebtedness that is *pari passu* with the notes containing provisions similar to those set forth in the indenture with respect to offers to purchase or redeem with the proceeds of sales of assets to purchase the maximum principal amount of notes and such other *pari passu* Indebtedness that may be purchased out of the Excess Proceeds. The offer price in any Asset Sale Offer will be equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase, and will be payable in cash. If any Excess Proceeds remain after consummation of an Asset Sale Offer, Mercer may use those Excess Proceeds for any purpose not otherwise prohibited by the indenture. If the aggregate principal amount of notes and other *pari passu* Indebtedness tendered

into such Asset Sale Offer exceeds the amount of Excess Proceeds, the trustee will select the notes and such other *pari passu* Indebtedness to be purchased on a *pro rata* basis. Upon completion of each Asset Sale Offer, the amount of Excess Proceeds will be reset at zero.

Mercer will comply with the requirements of Rule 14e-1 under the Exchange Act and any other securities laws and regulations thereunder to the extent those laws and regulations are applicable in connection with each repurchase of notes pursuant to an Asset Sale Offer. To the extent that the provisions of any securities laws or regulations conflict with the Asset Sale provisions of the indenture, Mercer will comply with the applicable securities laws and regulations and will not be deemed to have breached its obligations under the Asset Sale provisions of the indenture by virtue of such compliance.

Selection and Notice

If less than all of the notes are to be redeemed at any time, the trustee will select notes for redemption on a *pro rata* basis unless otherwise required by law or applicable stock exchange requirements.

No notes of \$1,000 or less can be redeemed in part. Notices of redemption will be mailed by first class mail at least 30 but not more than 60 days before the redemption date to each holder of notes to be redeemed at its registered address, except that redemption notices may be mailed more than 60 days prior to a redemption date if the notice is issued in connection with a defeasance of the notes or a satisfaction and discharge of the indenture. Notices of redemption may not be conditional.

If any note is to be redeemed in part only, the notice of redemption that relates to that note will state the portion of the principal amount of that note that is to be redeemed. A new note in principal amount equal to the unredeemed portion of the original note will be issued in the name of the holder of notes upon cancellation of the original note. Notes called for redemption become due on the date fixed for redemption. On and after the redemption date, interest ceases to accrue on notes or portions of notes called for redemption.

Certain Covenants

Restricted Payments

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, directly or indirectly:

- (1) declare or pay any dividend or make any other payment or distribution on account of Mercer's or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries' Equity Interests (including, without limitation, any payment in connection with any merger or consolidation involving Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries) or to the direct or indirect holders of Mercer's or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries' Equity Interests in their capacity as such (other than dividends or distributions payable in Equity Interests (other than Disqualified Stock) of Mercer and other than dividends or distributions payable to Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer);
- (2) purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire or retire for value (including, without limitation, in connection with any merger or consolidation involving Mercer) any Equity Interests of Mercer or any direct or indirect parent of Mercer;
- (3) make any payment on or with respect to, or purchase, redeem, defease or otherwise acquire or retire for value any Indebtedness of Mercer that is contractually subordinated to the notes (excluding any intercompany Indebtedness between or among Mercer and any of its Restricted Subsidiaries), except a payment of interest or principal at the Stated Maturity thereof; or
- (4) make any Restricted Investment;

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

(all such payments and other actions set forth in these clauses (1) through (4) above being collectively referred to as "*Restricted Payments*"),

unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such Restricted Payment:

- (1) no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing or would occur as a consequence of such Restricted Payment;
- (2) Mercer would, at the time of such Restricted Payment and after giving pro forma effect thereto as if such Restricted Payment had been made at the beginning of the applicable four-quarter period, have been permitted to incur at least \$1.00 of additional Indebtedness pursuant to the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio test set forth in the first paragraph of the covenant described below under the caption " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock;" and
- (3) such Restricted Payment, together with the aggregate amount of all other Restricted Payments made by Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries since the date of the supplemental indenture (excluding Restricted Payments permitted by clauses (2), (3), (4), (5), (7), and (8) of the next succeeding paragraph), is less than the sum, without duplication, of:
 - (a) 50% of the Consolidated Net Income of Mercer for the period (taken as one accounting period) from the beginning of the first fiscal quarter commencing after the date of the supplemental indenture to the end of Mercer's most recently ended fiscal quarter for which internal financial statements are available at the time of such Restricted Payment (or, if such Consolidated Net Income for such period is a deficit, less 100% of such deficit); *plus*
 - (b) 100% of the aggregate net cash proceeds received by Mercer since the date of the supplemental indenture (i) as a contribution to its common equity capital or from the issue or sale of Equity Interests of Mercer including upon exercise of stock options whether issued before or after the date of the supplemental indenture (other than Disqualified Stock) (excluding the issue or sale of Mercer common stock being used to finance, in part, the Acquisition, other than any Mercer common stock issued pursuant to any over-allotment option granted to the underwriters in connection therewith) or (ii) from the issue or sale of convertible or exchangeable Disqualified Stock or convertible or exchangeable debt securities of Mercer that have been converted into or exchanged for such Equity Interests (other than Equity Interests (or Disqualified Stock or debt securities) sold to a Subsidiary of Mercer); *plus*
 - (c) to the extent that any Restricted Investment that was made after the date of the supplemental indenture is sold for cash or otherwise liquidated or repaid for cash, the lesser of (i) the cash return of capital with respect to such Restricted Investment (less the cost of disposition, if any) and (ii) the initial amount of such Restricted Investment; *plus*
 - (d) to the extent that any Unrestricted Subsidiary of Mercer designated as such after the date of the supplemental indenture is redesignated as a Restricted Subsidiary after the date of the supplemental indenture, the lesser of (i) the Fair Market Value of Mercer's Investment in such Subsidiary as of the date of such redesignation or (ii) such Fair Market Value as of the date on which such Subsidiary was originally designated as an Unrestricted Subsidiary after the date of the supplemental indenture; *plus*
 - (e) 50% of (i) any dividends, interest, intercompany loan payments or other distributions received in cash by Mercer or a Wholly-Owned Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer after the date of the supplemental indenture from an Unrestricted Subsidiary of Mercer; (ii) without duplication, any amounts received in cash by Mercer or a Wholly-Owned Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer after the date of the supplemental indenture representing the proceeds of any settlement of any Hedging Obligations, to the extent that such dividends or cash proceeds represent gains previously recognized under GAAP and were not otherwise included in calculating the

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

Consolidated Net Income of Mercer; and (iii) the amount of any marketing administration or other fee received in cash by Mercer or a Wholly Owned Restricted Subsidiary from an Unrestricted Subsidiary to the extent such amounts were not included in the Consolidated Net Income of Mercer for such period; *less* 100% of any payment made in settlement of any Hedging Obligations of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries to the extent such payment represents cumulative net losses previously recognized under GAAP and not previously deducted in calculating the Consolidated Net Income of Mercer.

So long as no Default has occurred and is continuing or would be caused thereby, the preceding provisions will not prohibit:

- (1) the payment of any dividend or the consummation of any irrevocable redemption within 60 days after the date of declaration of the dividend or giving of the redemption notice, as the case may be, if at the date of declaration or notice, the dividend or redemption payment would have complied with the provisions of the indenture;
- (2) the making of any Restricted Payment in exchange for, or out of the net cash proceeds of the substantially concurrent sale (other than to a Subsidiary of Mercer) of, Equity Interests of Mercer (other than Disqualified Stock) or from the substantially concurrent contribution of common equity capital to Mercer; *provided* that the amount of any such net cash proceeds that are utilized for any such Restricted Payment will be excluded from clause (3)(b) of the preceding paragraph;
- (3) the repurchase, redemption, defeasance or other acquisition or retirement for value of Indebtedness of Mercer that is contractually subordinated to the notes with (a) the net cash proceeds from a substantially concurrent incurrence of Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness, or (b) after the completion of a Change in Control Offer pursuant to the terms of the covenant described above under the caption " Repurchase at the Option of Holders Change of Control," to the extent required pursuant to any similar change of control offer provision of the indenture or other agreement governing Subordinated Indebtedness (including the Subordinated Notes);
- (4) the repurchase, redemption, defeasance or other acquisition or retirement for value of Mercer's Subordinated Notes in the event that the daily closing sale price per share of Mercer's common stock on the Nasdaq National Market (or, if Mercer's common stock is no longer traded on the Nasdaq National Market, the principal public trading market, including the Toronto Stock Exchange, for such common stock) for a period of at least ten (10) consecutive Trading Days exceeds 120% of the then-applicable conversion price of the Subordinated Notes, determined in accordance with the terms of the Subordinated Indenture as in effect on the date of the supplemental indenture;
- (5) the payment of any dividend (or, in the case of any partnership or limited liability company, any similar distribution) by a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer to the holders of its Equity Interests who are not Affiliates of Mercer, except Restricted Subsidiaries of Mercer, on a *pro rata* basis;
- (6) the repurchase, redemption or other acquisition or retirement for value of any Equity Interests of Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer held by any current or former officer, trustee, director or employee of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries pursuant to any equity subscription agreement, stock option agreement, shareholders' agreement or similar agreement; *provided* that the aggregate price paid for all such repurchased, redeemed, acquired or retired Equity Interests may not exceed \$2.0 million in any twelve-month period;
- (7) the repurchase of Equity Interests deemed to occur upon the exercise of stock options or stock appreciation rights to the extent such Equity Interests represent a portion of the exercise price of those stock options or stock appreciation rights;

- (8) the declaration and payment of regularly scheduled or accrued dividends to holders of any class or series of Disqualified Stock of Mercer issued on or after the date of the supplemental indenture in accordance with the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio test described below under the caption " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock;"
- (9) payments or distributions to shareholders exercising appraisal or discount rights pursuant to applicable law pursuant to or in connection with a merger, consolidation or transfer of all or substantially all of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiary assets that complies with the provisions of the indenture;
- (10) in the event of a Change of Control, and if no Default or Event of Default shall have occurred and be continuing, the payment, purchase, redemption, defeasance or other acquisition or retirement of Indebtedness of Mercer or any Guarantor that is subordinated or junior in right of payment to the Notes or the Guarantee of such Guarantor, in each case, at a purchase price not greater than 101% of the principal amount of such Indebtedness, plus any accrued and unpaid interest therein; *provided* that prior or contemporaneously with such payment, purchase, redemption or defeasance or other acquisition or retirement, Mercer (or a third party to the extent permitted by the indenture) has made the Change of Control Offer with respect to the Notes and has repurchased all Notes validly tendered and not withdrawn in connection with such Change of Control Offer;
- (11) in the event of an Asset Sale which requires Mercer to make an Asset Sale Offer, and if no Default or Event of Default shall have occurred and be continuing, the payment, purchase, redemption, defeasance or other acquisition or retirement of Indebtedness of Mercer or any Guarantor that is subordinated or junior in right of payment to the Notes or the Guarantee of such Guarantor, in each case, at a purchase price not greater than 100% of the principal amount of such Indebtedness, plus any accrued and unpaid interest therein; *provided* that prior or contemporaneously with such payment, purchase, redemption or defeasance or other acquisition or retirement, the Company has made an Asset Sale Offer with respect to the Notes and has repurchased all Notes validly tendered and not withdrawn in connection with such Asset Sale Offer;
- (12) the purchase, redemption, acquisition, cancellation or other retirement for a nominal value per right of any rights granted to all the holders of Common Stock of Mercer pursuant to any shareholders' rights plan adopted for the purpose of protecting shareholders from unfair takeover practices; *provided* that any such purchase, redemption, acquisition, cancellation or other retirement of such rights shall not be for the purpose of evading the limitations of this covenant (as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors of Mercer); and
- (13) other Restricted Payments in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$15.0 million since the date of the supplemental indenture.

The amount of all Restricted Payments (other than cash) will be the Fair Market Value on the date of the Restricted Payment of the asset(s) or securities proposed to be transferred or issued by Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be, pursuant to the Restricted Payment. The Fair Market Value of any assets or securities that are required to be valued by this covenant will be determined in good faith (a) in the case of assets or securities of \$5.0 million or less by the Chief Financial Officer, Controller or Treasurer of Mercer set forth in a certificate delivered to the trustee, and (b) in the case of assets or securities valued at more than \$5.0 million by the Board of Directors of Mercer, and set forth in an officers certificate delivered to the trustee. The Board of Directors' determination must be based upon an opinion or appraisal issued by an accounting, appraisal or investment banking firm of national standing in the United States or Canada if the Fair Market Value exceeds \$20.0 million.

Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, directly or indirectly, create, incur, issue, assume, guarantee or otherwise become directly or indirectly liable, contingently or otherwise, with respect to (collectively, "incur") any Indebtedness (including Acquired Debt), and Mercer will not issue any Disqualified Stock and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to issue any shares of preferred stock; *provided, however*, that Mercer may incur Indebtedness (including Acquired Debt) or issue Disqualified Stock and any Restricted Subsidiary that is a Guarantor may incur Indebtedness (including Acquired Debt) or issue preferred stock if the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio for Mercer's most recently ended four full fiscal quarters for which internal financial statements are available immediately preceding the date on which such additional Indebtedness is incurred or such Disqualified Stock or such preferred stock is issued, as the case may be, would have been at least 2.0 to 1, determined on a pro forma basis (including a pro forma application of the net proceeds therefrom), as if the additional Indebtedness had been incurred or the Disqualified Stock or the preferred stock had been issued, as the case may be, at the beginning of such four-quarter period.

The first paragraph of this covenant will not prohibit the incurrence of any of the following items of Indebtedness (collectively, "Permitted Debt"):

- (1) the incurrence by Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries of additional Indebtedness and letters of credit under Credit Facilities in an aggregate principal amount at any one time outstanding under this clause (1) (with letters of credit being deemed to have a principal amount equal to the maximum potential liability of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries thereunder) not to exceed the greater of (x) \$85.0 million *less* the aggregate amount of all Net Proceeds of Asset Sales applied by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries since the date of the supplemental indenture to repay any term Indebtedness under a Credit Facility or to repay any revolving credit Indebtedness under a Credit Facility and effect a corresponding commitment reduction thereunder pursuant to the covenant described above under the caption " Repurchase at the Option of Holders Asset Sales", or (y) the amount of the Borrowing Base on the date of incurrence.
- (2) the incurrence by Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries of the Existing Indebtedness;
- (3) the incurrence by Mercer of Indebtedness represented by the notes to be issued on the date of the supplemental indenture;
- (4) the incurrence by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of Indebtedness represented by Capital Lease Obligations, mortgage financings, project financing, or purchase money obligations, in each case, incurred for the purpose of financing all or any part of the purchase price or cost of design, construction, installation or improvement of property, plant or equipment used in the business of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries, in an aggregate principal amount, including all Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness incurred to renew, refund, refinance, replace, defease or discharge any Indebtedness incurred pursuant to this clause (4), not to exceed at any time outstanding \$20.0 million plus an amount not to exceed \$10.0 million equal to all German federal and state grant receivables in connection therewith;
- (5) the incurrence by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness in exchange for, or the net proceeds of which are used to renew, refund, refinance, replace, defease or discharge any Indebtedness that was permitted by the indenture to be incurred under the first paragraph of this covenant or clauses (2), (3), (4), (5) or (14) of this paragraph;

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (6) the incurrence by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of intercompany Indebtedness between or among Mercer and any of its Restricted Subsidiaries; *provided, however*, that:
- (a) if Mercer is the obligor on such Indebtedness, such Indebtedness must be expressly subordinated to the prior payment in full in cash of all Obligations then due with respect to the notes; and
 - (b) (i) any subsequent issuance or transfer of Equity Interests that results in any such Indebtedness being held by a Person other than Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer and (ii) any sale or other transfer of any such Indebtedness to a Person that is not either Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer, will be deemed, in each case, to constitute an incurrence of such Indebtedness by Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be, that was not permitted by this clause (6);
- (7) the issuance by any of Mercer's Restricted Subsidiaries to Mercer or to any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of shares of preferred stock; *provided, however*, that:
- (a) any subsequent issuance or transfer of Equity Interests that results in any such preferred stock being held by a Person other than Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer; and
 - (b) any sale or other transfer of any such preferred stock to a Person that is not either Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer,
- will be deemed, in each case, to constitute an issuance of such preferred stock by such Restricted Subsidiary that was not permitted by this clause (7);
- (8) the incurrence by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of Hedging Obligations (which may, but need not be, under Credit Facilities) in the ordinary course of business and not for speculation;
- (9) the guarantee by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries that executes a Note Guarantee of Indebtedness of Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer that was permitted to be incurred by another provision of this covenant; *provided* that if the Indebtedness being guaranteed is subordinated to or *pari passu* with the notes, then the Guarantee shall be subordinated or *pari passu*, as applicable, to the same extent as the Indebtedness guaranteed;
- (10) the incurrence by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of Indebtedness in respect of workers' compensation claims, self-insurance obligations, bankers' acceptances, performance and surety bonds in the ordinary course of business;
- (11) the incurrence by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of Indebtedness arising from the honoring by a bank or other financial institution of a check, draft or similar instrument inadvertently drawn against insufficient funds, so long as such Indebtedness is covered within five business days;
- (12) Indebtedness of a Restricted Subsidiary incurred and outstanding on or prior to the date on which such Restricted Subsidiary was acquired by Mercer (other than Indebtedness incurred in contemplation of, or in connection with, the transaction or series of related transactions pursuant to which such Restricted Subsidiary became a Restricted Subsidiary of or was otherwise acquired by Mercer); *provided, however*, that for any such Indebtedness outstanding at any time under this clause (12), on the date that such Subsidiary is acquired by Mercer, Mercer would have been able to incur \$1.00 of additional Indebtedness pursuant to the first paragraph of this covenant and Mercer's Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio would not be reduced after giving effect to the incurrence of such Indebtedness pursuant to this clause (12);
- (13) Indebtedness arising from agreements of Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary providing for indemnification, adjustment of purchase price or similar obligations, in each case incurred or

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

assumed in connection with the disposition of any assets or property or Capital Stock of a Restricted Subsidiary; and

- (14) the incurrence by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries of additional Indebtedness (which may, but need not, be pursuant to Credit Facilities) in an aggregate principal amount (or accreted value, as applicable) at any time outstanding, including all Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness incurred to renew, refund, refinance, replace, defease or discharge any Indebtedness incurred pursuant to this clause (14), not to exceed \$20.0 million.

Mercer will not incur any Indebtedness (including Permitted Debt) that is contractually subordinated in right of payment to any other Indebtedness of Mercer unless such Indebtedness is also contractually subordinated in right of payment to the notes on substantially identical terms; *provided, however*, that no Indebtedness will be deemed to be contractually subordinated in right of payment to any other Indebtedness of Mercer solely by virtue of being unsecured or by virtue of being secured on a first or junior Lien basis.

For purposes of determining compliance with this "Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock" covenant, in the event that an item of proposed Indebtedness meets the criteria of more than one of the categories of Permitted Debt described in clauses (1) through (14) above, or is entitled to be incurred pursuant to the first paragraph of this covenant, Mercer will be permitted to classify such item of Indebtedness on the date of its incurrence, or later reclassify all or a portion of such item of Indebtedness, in any manner that complies with this covenant. Indebtedness under Credit Facilities outstanding on the date on which notes are first issued and authenticated under the indenture will initially be deemed to have been incurred on such date in reliance on the exception provided by clause (1) of the definition of Permitted Debt. Indebtedness permitted by this covenant need not be permitted solely by reference to one provision permitting such Indebtedness but may be permitted in part by one such provision and in part by one or more other provisions of this covenant permitting such Indebtedness. The accrual of interest, the accretion or amortization of original issue discount, the payment of interest on any Indebtedness in the form of additional Indebtedness with the same terms, the reclassification of preferred stock as Indebtedness due to a change in accounting principles, and the payment of dividends on Disqualified Stock or preferred stock in the form of additional shares of the same class of Disqualified Stock or preferred stock will not be deemed to be an incurrence of Indebtedness or an issuance of Disqualified Stock or preferred stock for purposes of this covenant; *provided*, in each such case, that the amount of any such accrual, accretion or payment is included in Fixed Charges of Mercer as accrued. For purposes of determining compliance with any U.S. dollar-denominated restriction on the incurrence of Indebtedness, the U.S. dollar-equivalent principal amount of Indebtedness denominated in a foreign currency shall be calculated based on the relevant currency exchange rate in effect on the date such Indebtedness was incurred, in the case of term Indebtedness, or first committed, in the case of revolving credit Indebtedness; provided that if such Indebtedness is incurred to refinance other Indebtedness denominated in a foreign currency, and such refinancing would cause the applicable U.S. dollar-denominated restriction to be exceeded if calculated at the relevant currency exchange rate in effect on the date of such refinancing, such U.S. dollar-denominated restriction shall be deemed not to have been exceeded so long as the principal amount of such refinancing Indebtedness does not exceed the principal amount of such Indebtedness being refinanced. Notwithstanding any other provision of this indenture, the maximum amount of Indebtedness that Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary may incur pursuant to this covenant shall not be or be deemed to be exceeded as a result of fluctuations in exchange rates or currency values.

The amount of any Indebtedness outstanding as of any date will be:

- (1) the accreted value of the Indebtedness, in the case of any Indebtedness issued with original issue discount;
- (2) the principal amount of the Indebtedness, in the case of any other Indebtedness; and

- (3) in respect of Indebtedness of another Person secured by a Lien on the assets of the specified Person, the lesser of:
- (a) the Fair Market Value of such assets at the date of determination; and
 - (b) the amount of the Indebtedness of the other Person.

Liens

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, directly or indirectly, create, incur, assume or suffer to exist any Lien of any kind on any asset now owned or hereafter acquired, except Permitted Liens, unless all payments due under the indenture and the notes are secured on an equal and ratable basis with the obligations so secured until such time as such obligations are no longer secured by a Lien.

Limitation on Sale and Leaseback Transactions

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, enter into any sale and leaseback transaction; *provided* that Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary may enter into a sale and leaseback transaction if:

- (1) Mercer or that Restricted Subsidiary, as applicable, could have (a) incurred Indebtedness in an amount equal to the Attributable Debt relating to such sale and leaseback transaction under the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio test in the first paragraph of the covenant described above under the caption " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock" and (b) incurred a Lien to secure such Indebtedness pursuant to the covenant described above under the caption " Liens";
- (2) the gross cash proceeds of that sale and leaseback transaction are at least equal to the Fair Market Value of the property that is the subject of that sale and leaseback transaction; and
- (3) the transfer of assets in that sale and leaseback transaction is permitted by, and Mercer applies the proceeds of such transaction in compliance with, the covenant described above under the caption " Repurchase at the Option of Holders Asset Sales."

Dividend and Other Payment Restrictions Affecting Subsidiaries

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, directly or indirectly, create or permit to exist or become effective any consensual encumbrance or restriction on the ability of any Restricted Subsidiary to:

- (1) pay dividends or make any other distributions on its Capital Stock to Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries, or with respect to any other interest or participation in, or measured by, its profits, or pay any indebtedness owed to Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries;
- (2) make loans or advances to Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries; or
- (3) sell, lease or transfer any of its properties or assets to Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries.

However, the preceding restrictions will not apply to encumbrances or restrictions existing under or by reason of:

- (1) agreements governing Existing Indebtedness and Credit Facilities as in effect on the date of the supplemental indenture and any amendments, restatements, modifications, renewals, supplements, refundings, replacements or refinancings of those agreements; *provided* that the amendments, restatements, modifications, renewals, supplements, refundings, replacements or refinancings are

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

not materially more restrictive, taken as a whole, with respect to such dividend and other payment restrictions than those contained in those agreements on the date of the supplemental indenture;

- (2) the indenture and the notes;
- (3) applicable law, rule, regulation or order;
- (4) any instrument governing Indebtedness or Capital Stock of a Person acquired by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries as in effect at the time of such acquisition (except to the extent such Indebtedness or Capital Stock was incurred in connection with or in contemplation of such acquisition), which encumbrance or restriction is not applicable to any Person, or the properties or assets of any Person, other than the Person, or the property or assets of the Person, so acquired; *provided* that, in the case of Indebtedness, such Indebtedness was permitted by the terms of the indenture to be incurred;
- (5) customary non-assignment provisions in contracts and licenses entered into in the ordinary course of business;
- (6) purchase money obligations for property acquired in the ordinary course of business and Capital Lease Obligations that impose restrictions on the property purchased or leased of the nature described in clause (3) of the preceding paragraph;
- (7) any agreement for the sale or other disposition of a Restricted Subsidiary or assets that restricts distributions by that Restricted Subsidiary or the transfer of the assets pending the sale or other disposition;
- (8) Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness; *provided* that the restrictions contained in the agreements governing such Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness are not materially more restrictive, taken as a whole, than those contained in the agreements governing the Indebtedness being refinanced;
- (9) Liens permitted to be incurred under the provisions of the covenant described above under the caption " Liens" that limit the right of the debtor to dispose of the assets subject to such Liens;
- (10) provisions limiting the disposition or distribution of assets or property in joint venture agreements, asset sale agreements, sale-leaseback agreements, Capital Stock sale agreements and other similar agreements entered into with the approval of Mercer's Board of Directors, which limitation is applicable only to the assets, or (in the case of Capital Stock sales) entities, that are the subject of such agreements;
- (11) restrictions on cash or other deposits or net worth imposed by customers under contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business; and
- (12) agreements governing Indebtedness permitted to be incurred by Restricted Subsidiaries of Mercer under the provisions of the covenant described above under the caption " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock;" *provided* that such agreements (except those agreements entered into pursuant to clause (14) of the definition of "Permitted Debt") are not materially more restrictive, taken as a whole, with respect to such dividend and other payment restrictions than those contained in the agreements governing Credit Facilities as in effect on the date of the supplemental indenture.

Merger, Consolidation or Sale of Assets

Mercer will not, directly or indirectly: (1) consolidate or merge with or into another Person (whether or not Mercer is the surviving corporation); or (2) sell, assign, transfer, convey or otherwise

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

dispose of all or substantially all of the properties or assets of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries taken as a whole, in one or more related transactions, to another Person, unless:

- (1) either: (a) Mercer is the surviving corporation; or (b) the Person formed by or surviving any such consolidation or merger (if other than Mercer) or to which such sale, assignment, transfer, conveyance or other disposition has been made is a corporation organized or existing under the laws of the United States, any state of the United States or the District of Columbia, or the laws of Canada or any province or territory thereof;
- (2) the Person formed by or surviving any such consolidation or merger (if other than Mercer) or the Person to which such sale, assignment, transfer, conveyance or other disposition has been made assumes all the obligations of Mercer under the notes, the indenture and pursuant to agreements reasonably satisfactory to the trustee;
- (3) immediately after such transaction, no Default or Event of Default exists; and
- (4) Mercer or the Person formed by or surviving any such consolidation or merger (if other than Mercer), or to which such sale, assignment, transfer, conveyance or other disposition has been made would, on the date of such transaction after giving pro forma effect thereto and any related financing transactions as if the same had occurred at the beginning of the applicable four-quarter period, be permitted to incur at least \$1.00 of additional Indebtedness pursuant to the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio test set forth in the first paragraph of the covenant described above under the caption " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock."

In addition, Mercer will not, directly or indirectly, lease all or substantially all of the properties and assets of it and its Restricted Subsidiaries taken as a whole, in one or more related transactions, to any other Person.

This "Merger, Consolidation or Sale of Assets" covenant will not apply to:

- (1) a merger of Mercer with an Affiliate solely for the purpose of reincorporating Mercer in another jurisdiction;
- (2) a conversion of Mercer from a business trust into a corporation organized or existing under the laws of the United States, any state of the United States or the District of Columbia; or
- (3) any consolidation or merger, or any sale, assignment, transfer, conveyance, lease or other disposition of assets between or among Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries.

Transactions with Affiliates

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, make any payment to, or sell, lease, transfer or otherwise dispose of any of its properties or assets to, or purchase any property or assets from, or enter into or make or amend any transaction, contract, agreement, understanding, loan, advance or guarantee with, or for the benefit of, any Affiliate of Mercer (each, an "*Affiliate Transaction*"), unless:

- (1) the Affiliate Transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to Mercer or the relevant Restricted Subsidiary than those that would have reasonably been obtained in a comparable transaction by Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary with an unrelated Person; and
- (2) Mercer delivers to the trustee:
 - (a) with respect to any Affiliate Transaction or series of related Affiliate Transactions involving aggregate consideration in excess of \$5.0 million, a resolution of the Board of Directors of Mercer set forth in an officers' certificate certifying that such Affiliate Transaction complies

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

with this covenant and that such Affiliate Transaction has been approved by a majority of the disinterested members of the Board of Directors of Mercer; and

- (b) with respect to any Affiliate Transaction or series of related Affiliate Transactions involving aggregate consideration in excess of \$20.0 million, an opinion as to the fairness to Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary of such Affiliate Transaction from a financial point of view issued by an accounting, appraisal or investment banking firm of national standing in the United States or Canada.

The following items will not be deemed to be Affiliate Transactions and, therefore, will not be subject to the provisions of the prior paragraph:

- (1) any employment agreement, employee benefit plan, officer, trustee or director indemnification agreement or any similar arrangement entered into by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries in the ordinary course of business and payments pursuant thereto;
- (2) transactions between or among Mercer and/or its Restricted Subsidiaries;
- (3) transactions with a Person (other than an Unrestricted Subsidiary of Mercer) that is an Affiliate of Mercer solely because Mercer owns, directly or through a Restricted Subsidiary, an Equity Interest in, or controls, such Person;
- (4) payment of reasonable compensation or fees to trustees, directors or officers of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries;
- (5) any issuance of Equity Interests (other than Disqualified Stock) of Mercer to Affiliates of Mercer;
- (6) Restricted Payments that do not violate the provisions of the indenture described above under the caption " Restricted Payments;"
- (7) Permitted Investments that are permitted by the provisions of the supplemental indenture;
- (8) provision of corporate-level administrative, marketing, tax, accounting, budgeting, treasury, finance, employee benefits, legal, risk management and other similar services for the benefit of Unrestricted Subsidiaries of Mercer on substantially the same terms provided to Restricted Subsidiaries of Mercer;
- (9) payment of consolidated taxes on behalf of Restricted Subsidiaries and Unrestricted Subsidiaries;
- (10) purchases, sales or other transfers of pulp , fibre, chemicals and other consumables between or among Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary and any Unrestricted Subsidiary at market prices pursuant to arrangements approved by Mercer's Board of Directors as being fair, from a financial point of view, to Mercer or the applicable Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be; purchases, sales or other transfers of spare parts or mill consumables between any Restricted Subsidiary and any Unrestricted Subsidiary at book value; and the provision of logistics, planning, and transportation services between and/or among any Restricted Subsidiary and Unrestricted Subsidiary at cost; and other transactions with customers, clients, suppliers or purchasers or sellers of goods or services, in each case in the ordinary course of business and otherwise in compliance with the terms of the indenture, that are fair to Mercer or the Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be, in the reasonable determination of Mercer's Board of Directors;
- (11) payment of sales agency, administration, management and other fees, payment of interest, principal, dividends or other distributions, in case from an Unrestricted Subsidiary to Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary to Mercer; and
- (12) loans or advances to employees in the ordinary course of business not to exceed \$2.0 million in the aggregate at any one time outstanding.

Business Activities

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, engage in any business other than Permitted Businesses, except to such extent as would not be material to Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries taken as a whole.

Note Guarantees

If Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries acquires or creates a Domestic Subsidiary after the date of the supplemental indenture, then that newly acquired or created Domestic Subsidiary (other than a Domestic Subsidiary the sole business of which is the direct or indirect ownership of one or more Foreign Subsidiaries) will become a Guarantor and execute a supplemental indenture and deliver an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the trustee within 10 business days of the date on which it was acquired or created; *provided* that any Domestic Subsidiary that does not constitute a Significant Subsidiary need not become a Guarantor until such time as it is a Significant Subsidiary. Mercer may designate any Restricted Subsidiary as a Guarantor at any time.

Limitation on Issuances of Guarantees of Indebtedness

Mercer will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries, directly or indirectly, to Guarantee or pledge any assets to secure the payment of any other Indebtedness of Mercer (except Permitted Liens) unless such Restricted Subsidiary simultaneously executes and delivers a supplemental indenture providing for the Guarantee of the payment of the notes by such Restricted Subsidiary, which Guarantee will be senior to or *pari passu* with such Restricted Subsidiary's Guarantee of or pledge to secure such other Indebtedness.

The Note Guarantee of a Guarantor will automatically and unconditionally be released:

- (1) in connection with any sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of that Guarantor (including by way of merger or consolidation) to a Person that is not (either before or after giving effect to such transaction) Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer, if the sale or other disposition does not violate the "Asset Sale" provisions of the indenture;
- (2) in connection with any sale or other disposition of all of the Capital Stock of that Guarantor to a Person that is not (either before or after giving effect to such transaction) Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer, if the sale or other disposition does not violate the "Asset Sale" provisions of the indenture;
- (3) if Mercer designates any Restricted Subsidiary that is a Guarantor to be an Unrestricted Subsidiary in accordance with the applicable provisions of the indenture; or
- (4) upon legal defeasance or satisfaction and discharge of the indenture as provided below under the captions " Legal Defeasance and Covenant Defeasance" and " Satisfaction and Discharge."

The form of the Note Guarantee will be attached as an exhibit to the supplemental indenture.

Designation of Restricted and Unrestricted Subsidiaries

The Board of Directors of Mercer may designate any Restricted Subsidiary to be an Unrestricted Subsidiary if that designation would not cause a Default. If a Restricted Subsidiary is designated as an Unrestricted Subsidiary, the aggregate Fair Market Value of all outstanding Investments owned by Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries in the Subsidiary designated as Unrestricted will be deemed to be an Investment made as of the time of the designation and will reduce the amount available for Restricted Payments under the covenant described above under the caption " Restricted Payments" or under one or more clauses of the definition of Permitted Investments, as determined by Mercer. That designation will only be permitted if the Investment would be permitted at that time and if the

Restricted Subsidiary otherwise meets the definition of an Unrestricted Subsidiary. The Board of Directors of Mercer may redesignate any Unrestricted Subsidiary to be a Restricted Subsidiary if that redesignation would not cause a Default.

Any designation of a Subsidiary of Mercer as an Unrestricted Subsidiary will be evidenced to the trustee by filing with the trustee a certified copy of a resolution of the Board of Directors giving effect to such designation and an officers' certificate certifying that such designation complied with the preceding conditions and was permitted by the covenant described above under the caption " Restricted Payments." If, at any time, any Unrestricted Subsidiary would fail to meet the preceding requirements as an Unrestricted Subsidiary, it will thereafter cease to be an Unrestricted Subsidiary for purposes of the indenture and any Indebtedness of such Subsidiary will be deemed to be incurred by a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer as of such date and, if such Indebtedness is not permitted to be incurred as of such date under the covenant described under the caption " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock," Mercer will be in default of such covenant. The Board of Directors of Mercer may at any time designate any Unrestricted Subsidiary to be a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer; *provided* that such designation will be deemed to be an incurrence of Indebtedness by a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer of any outstanding Indebtedness of such Unrestricted Subsidiary, and such designation will only be permitted if (1) such Indebtedness is permitted under the covenant described under the caption " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock," calculated on a pro forma basis as if such designation had occurred at the beginning of the four-quarter reference period; and (2) no Default or Event of Default would be in existence following such designation.

No Amendment to Subordination Provisions

Without the consent of the holders of at least a majority in aggregate principal amount of the notes then outstanding, Mercer will not amend, modify or alter the Subordinated Note Indenture in any way to:

- (1) increase the rate of or advance the time for payment of interest on any Subordinated Notes;
- (2) increase the principal of, advance the final maturity date of or shorten the Weighted Average Life to Maturity of any Subordinated Notes;
- (3) alter the redemption provisions, increase the price or otherwise alter terms at which Mercer is required to offer to purchase any Subordinated Notes; or
- (4) amend the provisions of Article 5 of the Subordinated Note Indenture (which relate to subordination);

provided that none of the foregoing shall prohibit amendments to the Subordinated Note Indenture that provide for satisfaction of any obligation thereunder solely in common stock of Mercer.

Payments for Consent

Mercer will not, and will not permit any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to, directly or indirectly, pay or cause to be paid any consideration to or for the benefit of any holder of notes for or as an inducement to any consent, waiver or amendment of any of the terms or provisions of the indenture or the notes unless such consideration is offered to be paid and is paid to all holders of the notes that consent, waive or agree to amend in the time frame set forth in the solicitation documents relating to such consent, waiver or agreement.

Reports

Whether or not required by the rules and regulations of the SEC, so long as any notes are outstanding, Mercer will furnish to the holders of notes or cause the trustee to furnish to the holders of notes, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and regulations:

- (1) all quarterly and annual reports that would be required to be filed with the SEC on Forms 10-Q and 10-K if Mercer were required to file such reports; and
- (2) all current reports that would be required to be filed with the SEC on Form 8-K if Mercer were required to file such reports.

All such reports will be prepared in all material respects in accordance with all of the rules and regulations applicable to such reports. Each annual report on Form 10-K will include a report on Mercer's consolidated financial statements by Mercer's certified independent accountants. In addition, Mercer will file a copy of each of the reports referred to in clauses (1) and (2) above with the SEC for public availability within the time periods specified in the rules and regulations applicable to such reports (unless the SEC will not accept such a filing).

If, at any time, Mercer is no longer subject to the periodic reporting requirements of the Exchange Act for any reason, Mercer will nevertheless continue filing the reports specified in the preceding paragraphs of this covenant with the SEC within the time periods specified above unless the SEC will not accept such a filing. Mercer will not take any action for the purpose of causing the SEC not to accept any such filings. If, notwithstanding the foregoing, the SEC will not accept Mercer's filings for any reason, Mercer will post the reports referred to in the preceding paragraphs on its website within the time periods that would apply if Mercer were required to file those reports with the SEC.

The quarterly and annual financial information required by the preceding paragraphs will include a reasonably detailed presentation, either on the face of the financial statements or in the footnotes thereto, and in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of the financial condition and results of operations of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries separate from the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Mercer.

In addition, Mercer agrees that, for so long as any notes remain outstanding, if at any time it is not required to file with the SEC the reports required by the preceding paragraphs, it will furnish to the holders of notes and to securities analysts and prospective investors, upon their request, the information required to be delivered pursuant to Rule 144A(d)(4) under the Securities Act.

Events of Default and Remedies

Each of the following is an "*Event of Default*":

- (1) default for 30 days in the payment when due of interest on the notes;
- (2) default in the payment when due (at maturity, upon redemption or otherwise) of the principal of, or premium, if any, on, the notes;
- (3) failure by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to comply with the provisions described under the captions " Repurchase at the Option of Holders Change of Control," " Repurchase at the Option of Holders Asset Sales," or " Certain Covenants Merger, Consolidation or Sale of Assets;"
- (4) failure by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries for 60 days after notice to Mercer by the trustee or the holders of at least 25% in aggregate principal amount of the notes then outstanding voting as a single class to comply with any of the other agreements in the indenture;

(5) default under any mortgage, indenture or instrument under which there may be issued or by which there may be secured or evidenced any Indebtedness for money borrowed by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries (or the payment of which is guaranteed by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries), whether such Indebtedness or Guarantee now exists, or is created after the date of the supplemental indenture, if that default:

- (a) is caused by a failure to pay principal of, or interest or premium, if any, on, such Indebtedness prior to the expiration of the grace period provided in such Indebtedness on the date of such default (a "*Payment Default*"); or
- (b) results in the acceleration of such Indebtedness prior to its express maturity,

and, in each case, the principal amount of any such Indebtedness, together with the principal amount of any other such Indebtedness under which there has been a Payment Default or the maturity of which has been so accelerated, aggregates \$15.0 million or more;

(6) failure by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to pay final judgments entered by a court or courts of competent jurisdiction aggregating in excess of \$15.0 million, which judgments are not paid, discharged or stayed for a period of 60 days; and

(7) certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency described in the indenture with respect to Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries that is a Significant Subsidiary or any group of Restricted Subsidiaries that, taken together, would constitute a Significant Subsidiary.

In the case of an Event of Default arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency, with respect to Mercer, any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer that is a Significant Subsidiary or any group of Restricted Subsidiaries of Mercer that, taken together, would constitute a Significant Subsidiary, all outstanding notes will become due and payable immediately without further action or notice. If any other Event of Default occurs and is continuing, the trustee or the holders of at least 25% in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes may declare all the notes to be due and payable immediately.

Subject to certain limitations, holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes may direct the trustee in its exercise of any trust or power. The trustee may withhold from holders of the notes notice of any continuing Default or Event of Default if it determines that withholding notice is in their interest, except a Default or Event of Default relating to the payment of principal, interest or premium, if any.

Subject to the provisions of the indenture relating to the duties of the trustee, in case an Event of Default occurs and is continuing, the trustee will be under no obligation to exercise any of the rights or powers under the indenture at the request or direction of any holders of notes unless such holders have offered to the trustee reasonable indemnity or security against any loss, liability or expense. Except to enforce the right to receive payment of principal, premium, if any, or interest when due, no holder of a note may pursue any remedy with respect to the indenture or the notes unless:

- (1) such holder has previously given the trustee notice that an Event of Default is continuing;
- (2) holders of at least 25% in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes have requested the trustee to pursue the remedy;
- (3) such holders have offered the trustee reasonable security or indemnity against any loss, liability or expense;
- (4) the trustee has not complied with such request within 60 days after the receipt of the request and the offer of security or indemnity; and

- (5) holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes have not given the trustee a direction inconsistent with such request within such 60-day period.

The holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes by notice to the trustee may, on behalf of the holders of all of the notes, rescind an acceleration or waive any existing Default or Event of Default and its consequences under the indenture except a continuing Default or Event of Default in the payment of interest or premium, if any, on, or the principal of, the notes.

In the case of any Event of Default occurring by reason of any willful action (or inaction) taken (or not taken) by or on behalf of Mercer with the intention of avoiding payment of the premium that Mercer would have had to pay if Mercer then had elected to redeem the notes pursuant to the optional redemption provisions of the indenture, an equivalent premium will also become and be immediately due and payable to the extent permitted by law upon the acceleration of the notes. If an Event of Default occurs prior to _____, 2008, by reason of any willful action (or inaction) taken (or not taken) by or on behalf of Mercer with the intention of avoiding the prohibition on redemption of the notes prior to _____, 2008, then an additional premium specified in the indenture will also become and be immediately due and payable to the extent permitted by law upon the acceleration of the notes.

Mercer is required to deliver to the trustee annually a statement regarding compliance with the indenture. Upon becoming aware of any Default or Event of Default, Mercer is required to deliver to the trustee a statement specifying such Default or Event of Default.

No Personal Liability of Directors, Trustees, Officers, Employees and Stockholders

No director, trustee, officer, employee, incorporator or stockholder of Mercer, as such, will have any liability for any obligations of Mercer under the notes, the indenture or for any claim based on, in respect of, or by reason of, such obligations or their creation. Each holder of notes by accepting a note waives and releases all such liability. The waiver and release are part of the consideration for issuance of the notes. The waiver may not be effective to waive liabilities under the federal securities laws.

Legal Defeasance and Covenant Defeasance

Mercer may at any time, at the option of its Board of Directors evidenced by a resolution set forth in an officers' certificate, elect to have all of its obligations discharged with respect to the outstanding notes ("*Legal Defeasance*") except for:

- (1) the rights of holders of outstanding notes to receive payments in respect of the principal of, or interest or premium, if any, on, such notes when such payments are due from the trust referred to below;
- (2) Mercer's obligations with respect to the notes concerning issuing temporary notes, registration of notes, mutilated, destroyed, lost or stolen notes and the maintenance of an office or agency for payment and money for security payments held in trust;
- (3) the rights, powers, trusts, duties and immunities of the trustee, and Mercer's obligations in connection therewith; and
- (4) the Legal Defeasance and Covenant Defeasance provisions of the indenture.

In addition, Mercer may, at its option and at any time, elect to have the obligations of Mercer released with respect to certain covenants (including its obligation to make Change of Control Offers and Asset Sale Offers) that are described in the indenture ("*Covenant Defeasance*") and thereafter any omission to comply with those covenants will not constitute a Default or Event of Default with respect to the notes. In the event Covenant Defeasance occurs, certain events (not including non-payment,

bankruptcy, receivership, rehabilitation and insolvency events) described under " Events of Default and Remedies" will no longer constitute an Event of Default with respect to the notes.

In order to exercise either Legal Defeasance or Covenant Defeasance:

- (1) Mercer must irrevocably deposit with the trustee, in trust, for the benefit of the holders of the notes, cash in U.S. dollars, non-callable Government Securities, or a combination of cash in U.S. dollars and non-callable Government Securities, in amounts as will be sufficient, in the opinion of a nationally recognized investment bank, appraisal firm or firm of independent public accountants, to pay the principal of, or interest and premium, if any, on, the outstanding notes on the stated date for payment thereof or on the applicable redemption date, as the case may be, and Mercer must specify whether the notes are being defeased to such stated date for payment or to a particular redemption date;
- (2) in the case of Legal Defeasance, Mercer must deliver to the trustee an opinion of counsel reasonably acceptable to the trustee confirming that (a) Mercer has received from, or there has been published by, the Internal Revenue Service a ruling or (b) since the date of the supplemental indenture, there has been a change in the applicable federal income tax law, in either case to the effect that, and based thereon such opinion of counsel will confirm that, the holders of the outstanding notes will not recognize income, gain or loss for federal income tax purposes as a result of such Legal Defeasance and will be subject to federal income tax on the same amounts, in the same manner and at the same times as would have been the case if such Legal Defeasance had not occurred;
- (3) in the case of Covenant Defeasance, Mercer must deliver to the trustee an opinion of counsel reasonably acceptable to the trustee confirming that the holders of the outstanding notes will not recognize income, gain or loss for federal income tax purposes as a result of such Covenant Defeasance and will be subject to federal income tax on the same amounts, in the same manner and at the same times as would have been the case if such Covenant Defeasance had not occurred;
- (4) no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing on the date of such deposit (other than a Default or Event of Default resulting from the borrowing of funds to be applied to such deposit) and the deposit will not result in a breach or violation of, or constitute a default under, any other instrument to which Mercer is a party or by which Mercer is bound;
- (5) such Legal Defeasance or Covenant Defeasance will not result in a breach or violation of, or constitute a default under, any material agreement or instrument (other than the indenture) to which Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries is a party or by which Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries is bound;
- (6) Mercer must deliver to the trustee an officers' certificate stating that the deposit was not made by Mercer with the intent of preferring the holders of notes over the other creditors of Mercer with the intent of defeating, hindering, delaying or defrauding any creditors of Mercer or others; and
- (7) Mercer must deliver to the trustee an officers' certificate and an opinion of counsel, each stating that all conditions precedent relating to the Legal Defeasance or the Covenant Defeasance have been complied with.

Amendment, Supplement and Waiver

Except as provided in the next two succeeding paragraphs, the indenture or the notes may be amended or supplemented with the consent of the holders of at least a majority in aggregate principal amount of the notes then outstanding (including, without limitation, consents obtained in connection with a purchase of, or tender offer or exchange offer for, notes), and any existing Default or Event of Default or compliance with any provision of the indenture or the notes may be waived with the consent

of the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes (including, without limitation, consents obtained in connection with a purchase of, or tender offer or exchange offer for, notes).

Without the consent of each holder of notes affected, an amendment, supplement or waiver may not (with respect to any notes held by a non-consenting holder):

- (1) reduce the principal amount of notes whose holders must consent to an amendment, supplement or waiver;
- (2) reduce the principal of or change the fixed maturity of any note or alter the provisions with respect to the redemption of the notes (other than provisions relating to the covenants described above under the caption " Repurchase at the Option of Holders");
- (3) reduce the rate of or change the time for payment of interest, including default interest, on any note;
- (4) waive a Default or Event of Default in the payment of principal of, or interest or premium, if any, on, the notes (except a rescission of acceleration of the notes by the holders of at least a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes and a waiver of the payment default that resulted from such acceleration);
- (5) make any note payable in money other than that stated in the notes;
- (6) make any change in the provisions of the indenture relating to waivers of past Defaults or the rights of holders of notes to receive payments of principal of, or interest or premium, if any, on, the notes;
- (7) waive a redemption payment with respect to any note (other than a payment required by one of the covenants described above under the caption " Repurchase at the Option of Holders"); or
- (8) make any change in the preceding amendment and waiver provisions.

Notwithstanding the preceding, without the consent of any holder of notes, Mercer and the trustee may amend or supplement the indenture or the notes:

- (1) to cure any ambiguity, defect or inconsistency;
- (2) to provide for uncertificated notes in addition to or in place of certificated notes;
- (3) to provide for the assumption of Mercer's obligations to holders of notes in the case of a merger or consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of Mercer's assets;
- (4) to make any change that would provide any additional rights or benefits to the holders of notes or that does not adversely affect the legal rights under the indenture of any such holder;
- (5) to comply with requirements of the SEC in order to effect or maintain the qualification of the indenture under the Trust Indenture Act;
- (6) to conform the text of the indenture or the notes to any provision of this Description of Notes to the extent that such provision in this Description of Notes was intended to be a verbatim recitation of a provision of the indenture or the notes;

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (7) to provide for the issuance of additional notes in accordance with the limitations set forth in the indenture as of the date of the supplemental indenture; or
- (8) to allow any Restricted Subsidiary to execute a supplemental indenture providing for a Note Guarantee with respect to the Notes.

S-160

Satisfaction and Discharge

The indenture will be discharged and will cease to be of further effect as to all notes issued thereunder, when:

- (1) either:
 - (a) all notes that have been authenticated, except lost, stolen or destroyed notes that have been replaced or paid and notes for whose payment money has been deposited in trust and thereafter repaid to Mercer, have been delivered to the trustee for cancellation; or
 - (b) all notes that have not been delivered to the trustee for cancellation have become due and payable by reason of the mailing of a notice of redemption or otherwise or will become due and payable within one year and Mercer has irrevocably deposited or caused to be deposited with the trustee as trust funds in trust solely for the benefit of the holders, cash in U.S. dollars, non-callable Government Securities, or a combination of cash in U.S. dollars and non-callable Government Securities, in amounts as will be sufficient, without consideration of any reinvestment of interest, to pay and discharge the entire Indebtedness on the notes not delivered to the trustee for cancellation for principal, premium, if any, and accrued interest to the date of maturity or redemption;
- (2) no Default or Event of Default has occurred and is continuing on the date of the deposit (other than a Default or Event of Default resulting from the borrowing of funds to be applied to such deposit) and the deposit will not result in a breach or violation of, or constitute a default under, any other instrument to which Mercer is a party or by which Mercer is bound;
- (3) Mercer has paid or caused to be paid all sums payable by it under the indenture; and
- (4) Mercer has delivered irrevocable instructions to the trustee under the indenture to apply the deposited money toward the payment of the notes at maturity or on the redemption date, as the case may be.

In addition, Mercer must deliver an officers' certificate and an opinion of counsel to the trustee stating that all conditions precedent to satisfaction and discharge have been satisfied.

Concerning the Trustee

If the trustee becomes a creditor of Mercer, the indenture limits the right of the trustee to obtain payment of claims in certain cases, or to realize on certain property received in respect of any such claim as security or otherwise. The trustee will be permitted to engage in other transactions; however, if it acquires any conflicting interest it must eliminate such conflict within 90 days, apply to the SEC for permission to continue as trustee (if the indenture has been qualified under the Trust Indenture Act) or resign.

The holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then outstanding notes will have the right to direct the time, method and place of conducting any proceeding for exercising any remedy available to the trustee, subject to certain exceptions. The indenture provides that in case an Event of Default occurs and is continuing, the trustee will be required, in the exercise of its power, to use the degree of care of a prudent man in the conduct of his own affairs. Subject to such provisions, the trustee will be under no obligation to exercise any of its rights or powers under the indenture at the request of any holder of notes, unless such holder has offered to the trustee security and indemnity satisfactory to it against any loss, liability or expense.

Book-Entry, Delivery and Form

Except as described in the next paragraph, the notes will initially be issued in registered, global form without interest coupons (the "*Global Notes*"). The Global Notes will be deposited upon issuance with the trustee as custodian for The Depository Trust Company ("*DTC*"), in New York, New York, and registered in the name of DTC or its nominee, for credit to an account of a direct or indirect participant in DTC as described below.

Notes that are issued as described below under "*Certificated Notes*" will be issued in the form of registered definitive certificates (the "*Certificated Notes*"). Upon the transfer of Certificated Notes, Certificated Notes may, unless all Global Notes have previously been exchanged for Certificated Notes, be exchanged for an interest in the Global Note representing the principal amount of notes being transferred, subject to the transfer restrictions set forth in the indenture.

DTC has advised Mercer that DTC is a limited-purpose trust company created to hold securities for its participating organizations (collectively, the "*Participants*") and to facilitate the clearance and settlement of transactions in those securities between Participants through electronic book-entry changes in accounts of its Participants. The Participants include securities brokers and dealers (including the underwriters), banks, trust companies, clearing corporations and certain other organizations. Access to DTC's system is also available to other entities such as banks, brokers, dealers and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Participant, either directly or indirectly (collectively, the "*Indirect Participants*"). Persons who are not Participants may beneficially own securities held by or on behalf of DTC only through the Participants or the Indirect Participants. The ownership interests in, and transfers of ownership interests in, each security held by or on behalf of DTC are recorded on the records of the Participants and Indirect Participants.

DTC has also advised Mercer that, pursuant to procedures established by it:

- (1) upon deposit of the Global Notes, DTC will credit the accounts of the Participants designated by the underwriters with portions of the principal amount of the Global Notes; and
- (2) ownership of these interests in the Global Notes will be shown on, and the transfer of ownership of these interests will be effected only through, records maintained by DTC (with respect to the Participants) or by the Participants and the Indirect Participants (with respect to other owners of beneficial interest in the Global Notes).

Prospective purchasers are advised that the laws of some states require that certain Persons take physical delivery in definitive form of securities that they own. Consequently, the ability to transfer beneficial interests in a Global Note to such Persons will be limited to such extent.

So long as the Global Note Holder is the registered owner of any notes, the Global Note Holder will be considered the sole holder under the indenture of any notes evidenced by the Global Notes. Beneficial owners of notes evidenced by the Global Notes will not be considered the owners or holders of the notes under the indenture for any purpose, including with respect to the giving of any directions, instructions or approvals to the trustee thereunder. Neither Mercer nor the trustee will have any responsibility or liability for any aspect of the records of DTC or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any records of DTC relating to the notes.

Payments in respect of the principal of, and interest and premium, if any, on, a Global Note registered in the name of DTC or its nominee will be payable to DTC in its capacity as the registered holder under the indenture. Under the terms of the indenture, Mercer and the trustee will treat the Persons in whose names the notes, including the Global Notes, are registered as the owners of the

notes for the purpose of receiving payments and for all other purposes. Consequently, neither Mercer, the trustee nor any agent of Mercer or the trustee has or will have any responsibility or liability for:

- (1) any aspect of DTC's records or any Participant's or Indirect Participant's records relating to or payments made on account of beneficial ownership interest in the Global Notes or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing any of DTC's records or any Participant's or Indirect Participant's records relating to the beneficial ownership interests in the Global Notes; or
- (2) any other matter relating to the actions and practices of DTC or any of its Participants or Indirect Participants.

DTC has advised Mercer that its current practice, upon receipt of any payment in respect of securities such as the notes (including principal and interest), is to credit the accounts of the relevant Participants with the payment on the payment date unless DTC has reason to believe that it will not receive payment on such payment date. Each relevant Participant is credited with an amount proportionate to its beneficial ownership of an interest in the principal amount of the relevant security as shown on the records of DTC. Payments by the Participants and the Indirect Participants to the beneficial owners of notes will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices and will be the responsibility of the Participants or the Indirect Participants and will not be the responsibility of DTC, the trustee or Mercer. Neither Mercer nor the trustee will be liable for any delay by DTC or any of the Participants or the Indirect Participants in identifying the beneficial owners of the notes, and Mercer and the trustee may conclusively rely on and will be protected in relying on instructions from DTC or its nominee for all purposes.

Certificated Notes

Subject to certain conditions, any Person having a beneficial interest in a Global Note may, upon prior written request to the trustee, exchange such beneficial interest for notes in the form of Certificated Notes. Upon any such issuance, the trustee is required to register such Certificated Notes in the name of, and cause the same to be delivered to, such Person or Persons (or their nominee). In addition, if:

- (1) DTC (a) notifies Mercer that it is unwilling or unable to continue as depository for the Global Notes or (b) has ceased to be a clearing agency registered under the Exchange Act and, in either case, Mercer fails to appoint a successor depository;
- (2) Mercer, at its option, notifies the trustee in writing that it elects to cause the issuance of the Certificated Notes; or
- (3) there has occurred and is continuing a Default or Event of Default with respect to the notes;

then, upon surrender by the Global Note Holder of its Global Note, notes in such form will be issued to each Person that the Global Note Holder and DTC identify as being the beneficial owner of the related notes.

Neither Mercer nor the trustee will be liable for any delay by the Global Note Holder or DTC in identifying the beneficial owners of notes and Mercer and the trustee may conclusively rely on, and will be protected in relying on, instructions from the Global Note Holder or DTC for all purposes.

Same Day Settlement and Payment

Mercer will make payments in respect of the notes represented by the Global Notes (including principal, interest and premium, if any) by wire transfer of immediately available funds to the accounts specified by DTC or its nominee. Mercer will make all payments of principal, interest and premium, if any, with respect to Certificated Notes by wire transfer of immediately available funds to the accounts specified by the holders of the Certificated Notes or, if no such account is specified, by mailing a check

to each such holder's registered address. The notes represented by the Global Notes are expected to trade in DTC's Same-Day Funds Settlement System, and any permitted secondary market trading activity in such notes will, therefore, be required by DTC to be settled in immediately available funds. Mercer expects that secondary trading in any Certificated Notes will also be settled in immediately available funds.

Certain Definitions

Set forth below are certain defined terms used in the indenture. Reference is made to the indenture for a full disclosure of all defined terms used therein, as well as any other capitalized terms used herein for which no definition is provided.

"*Acquired Debt*" means, with respect to any specified Person:

- (1) Indebtedness of any other Person existing at the time such other Person is merged with or into or became a Subsidiary of such specified Person, whether or not such Indebtedness is incurred in connection with, or in contemplation of, such other Person merging with or into, or becoming a Restricted Subsidiary of, such specified Person; and
- (2) Indebtedness secured by a Lien encumbering any asset acquired by such specified Person.

"*Acquisition*" means the acquisition by 0706906 B.C. Ltd. of substantially all of the assets of Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc., a corporation organized under the laws of Canada.

"*Affiliate*" of any specified Person means any other Person directly or indirectly controlling or controlled by or under direct or indirect common control with such specified Person. For purposes of this definition, "control," as used with respect to any Person, means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of such Person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by agreement or otherwise; *provided* that beneficial ownership of more than 15% (measured on a fully diluted basis) of the Voting Stock of a Person (except as reportable on Form 13-F or Form 13-G of the SEC) will be deemed to be control. For purposes of this definition, the terms "controlling," "controlled by" and "under common control with" have correlative meanings.

"*Asset Sale*" means:

- (1) the sale, lease, conveyance or other disposition of any assets or rights; *provided* that the sale, lease, conveyance or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries taken as a whole will be governed by the provisions of the indenture described above under the caption " Repurchase at the Option of Holders Change of Control" and/or the provisions described above under the caption " Certain Covenants Merger, Consolidation or Sale of Assets" and not by the provisions of the Asset Sale covenant; and
- (2) the issuance of Equity Interests in any of Mercer's Restricted Subsidiaries or the sale of Equity Interests in any of its Subsidiaries.

Notwithstanding the preceding, none of the following items will be deemed to be an Asset Sale:

- (1) any single transaction or series of related transactions that involves assets having a Fair Market Value of less than \$2.0 million;
- (2) a transfer of assets between or among Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries;
- (3) an issuance of Equity Interests by a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer to Mercer or to a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer;

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (4) the sale or lease of products, services, accounts receivable or current assets in the ordinary course of business and any sale or other disposition of damaged, worn-out or obsolete assets in the ordinary course of business;
- (5) the sale or other disposition of cash or Cash Equivalents;
- (6) any release of intangible claims or rights in connection with the loss or settlement of a bona fide lawsuit, dispute or other controversy;
- (7) leases or subleases to third persons not interfering in any material respect with the business of the Company or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries;
- (8) a Restricted Payment that does not violate the covenant described above under the caption " Certain Covenants Restricted Payments" or a Permitted Investment; and
- (9) purchases, sales or other transfers of pulp, fibre, chemicals and other consumables between or among Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary and any Unrestricted Subsidiary at market prices pursuant to arrangements approved by Mercer's Board of Directors as being fair, from a financial point of view, to Mercer or the applicable Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be; purchases, sales or other transfers of spare parts or mill consumables between any Restricted Subsidiary and any Unrestricted Subsidiary at book value; and other transactions with customers, clients, suppliers or purchasers or sellers of goods or services, in each case in the ordinary course of business and otherwise in compliance with the terms of the indenture, that are fair to Mercer or the Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be, in the reasonable determination of Mercer's Board of Directors.

"*Asset Sale Offer*" has the meaning assigned to that term in the indenture governing the notes.

"*Attributable Debt*" in respect of a sale and leaseback transaction means, at the time of determination, the present value of the obligation of the lessee for net rental payments during the remaining term of the lease included in such sale and leaseback transaction including any period for which such lease has been extended or may, at the option of the lessor, be extended. Such present value shall be calculated using a discount rate equal to the rate of interest implicit in such transaction, determined in accordance with GAAP; *provided, however*, that if such sale and leaseback transaction results in a Capital Lease Obligation, the amount of Indebtedness represented thereby will be determined in accordance with the definition of "Capital Lease Obligation."

"*Beneficial Owner*" has the meaning assigned to such term in Rule 13d-3 and Rule 13d-5 under the Exchange Act, except that in calculating the beneficial ownership of any particular "person" (as that term is used in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act), such "person" will be deemed to have beneficial ownership of all securities that such "person" has the right to acquire by conversion or exercise of other securities, whether such right is currently exercisable or is exercisable only after the passage of time. The terms "Beneficially Owns" and "Beneficially Owned" have a corresponding meaning.

"*Board of Directors*" means:

- (1) with respect to a corporation, the board of directors of the corporation or any committee thereof duly authorized to act on behalf of such board;
- (2) with respect to a partnership, the Board of Directors of the general partner of the partnership;
- (3) with respect to a limited liability company, the managing member or members or any controlling committee of managing members thereof; and
- (4) with respect to any other Person (including a business trust), the board of trustees or committee of such Person serving a similar function.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

"*Borrowing Base*" means, as of any date, an amount equal to:

- (1) 80% of the face amount of all accounts receivable owned by Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries as of the end of the most recent fiscal quarter preceding such date, calculated on a consolidated basis and in accordance with GAAP; *plus*
- (2) 50% of the book value of all inventory owned by Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries as of the end of the most recent fiscal quarter preceding such date, calculated on a consolidated basis and in accordance with GAAP.

"*Capital Lease Obligation*" means, at the time any determination is to be made, the amount of the liability in respect of a capital lease that would at that time be required to be capitalized on a balance sheet prepared in accordance with GAAP.

"*Capital Stock*" means:

- (1) in the case of a corporation, corporate stock;
- (2) in the case of an association or business entity or trust, any and all shares, interests, participations, rights or other equivalents (however designated) of corporate stock, including shares of beneficial interest;
- (3) in the case of a partnership or limited liability company, partnership interests (whether general or limited) or membership interests; and
- (4) any other interest or participation that confers on a Person the right to receive a share of the profits and losses of, or distributions of assets of, the issuing Person, but excluding from all of the foregoing any debt securities convertible into Capital Stock, whether or not such debt securities include any right of participation with Capital Stock.

"*Cash Equivalents*" means:

- (1) United States dollars, Canadian dollars or Euros;
- (2) securities issued or directly and fully guaranteed or insured by the United States, Canadian, German or United Kingdom government or any agency or instrumentality of the United States, Canadian, German or United Kingdom government (*provided* that the full faith and credit of the United States, Canada, Germany or the United Kingdom is pledged in support of those securities) having maturities of not more than six months from the date of acquisition;
- (3) certificates of deposit and eurodollar time deposits with maturities of six months or less from the date of acquisition, bankers' acceptances with maturities not exceeding six months and overnight bank deposits, in each case, with any lender party to the Credit Agreements or with any domestic commercial bank having capital and surplus in excess of \$500.0 million and a Thomson Bank Watch Rating of "B" or better;
- (4) repurchase obligations with a term of not more than seven days for underlying securities of the types described in clauses (2) and (3) above entered into with any financial institution meeting the qualifications specified in clause (3) above;
- (5) commercial paper having one of the two highest ratings obtainable from Moody's or S&P and, in each case, maturing within six months after the date of acquisition; and
- (6) money market funds at least 95% of the assets of which constitute Cash Equivalents of the kinds described in clauses (1) through (5) of this definition.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

"Change of Control" means the occurrence of any of the following:

- (1) the direct or indirect sale, lease, transfer, conveyance or other disposition (other than by way of merger or consolidation), in one or a series of related transactions, of all or substantially all of the properties or assets of Mercer and its Subsidiaries taken as a whole to any "person" (as that term is used in Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act);
- (2) the adoption of a plan relating to the liquidation or dissolution of Mercer;
- (3) the consummation of any transaction (including, without limitation, any merger or consolidation), the result of which is that any "person" (as defined above) becomes the Beneficial Owner, directly or indirectly, of more than 50% of the Voting Stock of Mercer, measured by voting power rather than number of shares, *provided that*, however, it is not a Change in Control if, pursuant to such transaction, all of the Voting Stock of Mercer is changed into or exchanged for securities of a parent corporation that after such transaction owns all of the Capital Stock of Mercer and no person is the Beneficial Owner, directly or indirectly, of more than 50% of the Voting Stock of such parent corporation;
- (4) during any consecutive two-year period, individuals who at the beginning of that two-year period constituted Mercer's Board of Directors (together with any new persons whose election to Mercer's Board of Directors, or whose nomination for election by Mercer's shareholders, was approved by a vote of a majority of the directors who were either directors at the beginning of such period or whose election or nomination for election was approved by Mercer's Board of Directors or the nominating committee thereof, the majority of the members of which meet the above criteria) cease for any reason to constitute a majority of Mercer's Board of Directors then in office;
- (5) Mercer consolidates with, or merges with or into, any Person, or any Person consolidates with, or merges with or into, Mercer, in any such event pursuant to a transaction in which any of the outstanding Voting Stock of Mercer or such other Person is converted into or exchanged for cash, securities or other property, other than any such transaction where the Voting Stock of Mercer outstanding immediately prior to such transaction is converted into or exchanged for Voting Stock (other than Disqualified Stock) of the surviving or transferee Person constituting a majority of the outstanding shares of such Voting Stock of such surviving or transferee Person (immediately after giving effect to such issuance).

"Change of Control Offer" has the meaning assigned to that term in the indenture governing the notes.

"Consolidated EBITDA" means, with respect to any specified Person for any period, the Consolidated Net Income of such Person for such period *plus*, without duplication:

- (1) an amount equal to any extraordinary loss plus any net loss realized by such Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries in connection with an Asset Sale, to the extent such losses were deducted in computing such Consolidated Net Income; *plus*
- (2) provision for taxes based on income or profits of such Person and its Restricted Subsidiaries for such period, to the extent that such provision for taxes was deducted in computing such Consolidated Net Income; *plus*
- (3) the Fixed Charges of such Person and its Restricted Subsidiaries for such period, to the extent that such Fixed Charges were deducted in computing such Consolidated Net Income; *plus*
- (4) depreciation, amortization (including amortization of intangibles but excluding amortization of prepaid cash expenses that were paid in a prior period) and other non-cash expenses (excluding any such non-cash expense to the extent that it represents an accrual of or reserve for cash

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

expenses in any future period or amortization of a prepaid cash expense that was paid in a prior period) of such Person and its Restricted Subsidiaries for such period to the extent that such depreciation, amortization and other non-cash expenses were deducted in computing such Consolidated Net Income; *plus*

- (5) any expenses directly related to consummation of the Acquisition, the offering of these Notes and concurrent offering of Mercer common stock, to the extent such amounts were deducted in computing such Consolidated Net Income; *plus*
- (6) the following expenses, losses or gains to the extent such amounts were included in the computation of Consolidated Net Income:
- (a) nonrecurring or unusual recruiting, severance and restructuring costs or gains during such period, as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors of Mercer; provided that the total of such costs shall not exceed \$2.0 million per twelve-month period;
 - (b) foreign exchange gains or losses incurred with respect to receivables (net of the impact on payables) on product sales;
 - (c) expenses related to any special or contested meetings of shareholders, including any proxy solicitation costs, settlement and related costs; and
 - (d) expenses related to equipment failures (including, without limitation, costs of repair, equipment replacement or addition) where a good faith application for the recovery of such costs from the vendor or an insurer has been made, less any such recovery and the amount of any such claim to the extent it has been finally determined to be uncollectible, the net amount not to exceed \$2.0 million in the aggregate over any twelve-month period; *minus*
- (7) non-cash items increasing such Consolidated Net Income for such period, other than the accrual of revenue in the ordinary course of business,

in each case, on a consolidated basis and determined in accordance with GAAP.

Notwithstanding the preceding, the provision for taxes based on the income or profits of, and the depreciation and amortization and other non-cash expenses of, a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer will be added to Consolidated Net Income to compute Consolidated EBITDA of Mercer only to the extent that a corresponding amount would be permitted at the date of determination to be dividend or distributed, directly or indirectly, to Mercer by such Restricted Subsidiary without prior governmental approval (that has not been obtained), and without direct or indirect restriction pursuant to the terms of its charter and all agreements, instruments, judgments, decrees, orders, statutes, rules and governmental regulations applicable to that Restricted Subsidiary or its stockholders.

"*Consolidated Net Income*" means, with respect to any specified Person for any period, the aggregate of the Net Income of such Person and its Restricted Subsidiaries for such period, on a consolidated basis, determined in accordance with GAAP; *provided* that:

- (1) the Net Income (but not loss) of any Person that is not a Restricted Subsidiary or that is accounted for by the equity method of accounting will be included only to the extent of the amount of dividends or similar distributions paid in cash to the specified Person or a Restricted Subsidiary of the Person;
- (2) the Net Income of any Restricted Subsidiary will be excluded to the extent that the declaration or payment of dividends or similar distributions by that Restricted Subsidiary of that Net Income is not at the date of determination permitted without any prior governmental approval (that has not been obtained) or, directly or indirectly, by operation of the terms of its charter or any agreement, instrument, judgment, decree, order, statute, rule or governmental regulation applicable to that Restricted Subsidiary or its stockholders;

- (3) the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principles will be excluded;
- (4) any goodwill impairment charges pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 142 will be excluded; and
- (5) notwithstanding clause (1) above, the Net Income of any Unrestricted Subsidiary or Landqart AG (including, without limitation, the impact of any Hedging Obligations) will be excluded, whether or not distributed to the specified Person or one of its Subsidiaries.

"*Credit Agreements*" means (i) that certain Credit Agreement by and among 0706906 B.C. Ltd. and Royal Bank of Canada, as Agent, providing for up to \$30.0 million of revolving credit borrowings, including any related notes, Guarantees, collateral documents, instruments and agreements executed in connection therewith, and, in each case, as amended, restated, modified, renewed, refunded, replaced (whether upon or after termination or otherwise) or refinanced (including by means of sales of debt securities to institutional investors) in whole or in part from time to time, and (ii) that certain Credit Agreement by and among Zellstoff-und Papierfabrik Rosenthal GmbH & Co. KG and Bayerische Hypo-und Vereinsbank AG, as Lead Arranger, providing for up to €40.0 million of revolving credit borrowings, including any related notes, Guarantees, collateral documents, instruments and agreements executed in connection therewith, and, in each case, as amended, restated, modified, renewed, refunded, replaced (whether upon or after termination or otherwise) or refinanced (including by means of sales of debt securities to institutional investors) in whole or in part from time to time.

"*Credit Facilities*" means, one or more debt facilities (including, without limitation, the Credit Agreements) or commercial paper facilities, in each case, with banks or other institutional lenders providing for revolving credit loans, term loans, receivables financing (including through the sale of receivables to such lenders or to special purpose entities formed to borrow from such lenders against such receivables) or letters of credit, in each case, as amended, restated, modified, renewed, refunded, replaced (whether upon or after termination or otherwise) or refinanced (including by means of sales of debt securities to institutional investors) in whole or in part from time to time.

"*Default*" means any event that is, or with the passage of time or the giving of notice or both would be, an Event of Default.

"*Disqualified Stock*" means any Capital Stock that, by its terms (or by the terms of any security into which it is convertible, or for which it is exchangeable, in each case, at the option of the holder of the Capital Stock), or upon the happening of any event, matures or is mandatorily redeemable, pursuant to a sinking fund obligation or otherwise, or redeemable at the option of the holder of the Capital Stock, in whole or in part, on or prior to the date that is 91 days after the date on which the notes mature. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, any Capital Stock that would constitute Disqualified Stock solely because the holders of the Capital Stock have the right to require Mercer to repurchase such Capital Stock upon the occurrence of a change of control or an asset sale will not constitute Disqualified Stock if the terms of such Capital Stock provide that Mercer may not repurchase or redeem any such Capital Stock pursuant to such provisions unless such repurchase or redemption complies with the covenant described above under the caption " Certain Covenants Restricted Payments." The amount of Disqualified Stock deemed to be outstanding at any time for purposes of the indenture will be the maximum amount that Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries may become obligated to pay upon the maturity of, or pursuant to any mandatory redemption provisions of, such Disqualified Stock, exclusive of accrued dividends.

"*Domestic Subsidiary*" means any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer that was formed under the laws of the United States or any state of the United States or the District of Columbia or that guarantees or otherwise provides direct credit support for any Indebtedness of Mercer.

"*Equity Interests*" means Capital Stock and all warrants, options or other rights to acquire Capital Stock (but excluding any debt security that is convertible into, or exchangeable for, Capital Stock).

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

"*Existing Indebtedness*" means the Indebtedness of Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries (other than Indebtedness under the Credit Agreements) in existence on the date of the supplemental indenture, until such amounts are repaid.

"*Fair Market Value*" means the value that would be paid by a willing buyer to an unaffiliated willing seller in a transaction not involving distress or necessity of either party. In the case of a transaction not exceeding \$10.0 million, Fair Market Value may be determined in good faith by the Chief Financial Officer, Controller or Treasurer of Mercer, and in the case of a transaction exceeding \$10.0 million, Fair Market Value shall be determined in good faith by the Board of Directors of Mercer (unless otherwise provided in the indenture).

"*Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio*" means with respect to any specified Person for any period, the ratio of the Consolidated EBITDA of such Person for such period to the Fixed Charges of such Person for such period. In the event that the specified Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries incurs, assumes, guarantees, repays, repurchases, redeems, defeases or otherwise discharges any Indebtedness (other than ordinary working capital borrowings, including working capital borrowings under Credit Facilities) or issues, repurchases or redeems preferred stock subsequent to the commencement of the period for which the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is being calculated and on or prior to the date on which the event for which the calculation of the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is made (the "*Calculation Date*"), then the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio will be calculated giving pro forma effect to such incurrence, assumption, Guarantee, repayment, repurchase, redemption, defeasance or other discharge of Indebtedness, or such issuance, repurchase or redemption of preferred stock, and the use of the proceeds therefrom, as if the same had occurred at the beginning of the applicable four-quarter reference period.

In addition, for purposes of calculating the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio:

- (1) acquisitions that have been made by the specified Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries, including through mergers or consolidations, or any Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries acquired by the specified Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries, and including any related financing transactions and including increases in ownership of Restricted Subsidiaries, during the four-quarter reference period or subsequent to such reference period and on or prior to the Calculation Date will be given pro forma effect (in accordance with Regulation S-X under the Securities Act) as if they had occurred on the first day of the four-quarter reference period;
- (2) the Consolidated EBITDA which is attributable to discontinued operations (as determined in accordance with GAAP), and operations or businesses (and ownership interests therein) disposed of prior to the Calculation Date, will be excluded;
- (3) the Fixed Charges attributable to discontinued operations, as determined in accordance with GAAP, and operations or businesses (and ownership interests therein) disposed of prior to the Calculation Date, will be excluded, but only to the extent that the obligations giving rise to such Fixed Charges will not be obligations of the specified Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries following the Calculation Date;
- (4) any Person that is a Restricted Subsidiary on the Calculation Date will be deemed to have been a Restricted Subsidiary at all times during such four-quarter period;
- (5) any Person that is not a Restricted Subsidiary on the Calculation Date will be deemed not to have been a Restricted Subsidiary at any time during such four-quarter period; and
- (6) if any Indebtedness bears a floating rate of interest, the interest expense on such Indebtedness will be calculated as if the rate in effect on the Calculation Date had been the applicable rate for the

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

entire period (taking into account any Hedging Obligation applicable to such Indebtedness if such Hedging Obligation has a remaining term as at the Calculation Date in excess of 6 months).

"Fixed Charges" means, with respect to any specified Person for any period, the sum, without duplication, of:

- (1) the consolidated interest expense of such Person and its Restricted Subsidiaries for such period, whether paid or accrued, including, without limitation, amortization of debt issuance costs and original issue discount, non-cash interest payments (but excluding any non-cash interest expense attributable to the movement in the mark to market valuation of Hedging Obligations in accordance with GAAP), the interest component of any deferred payment obligations, the interest component of all payments associated with Capital Lease Obligations, imputed interest with respect to Attributable Debt, commissions, discounts and other fees and charges incurred in respect of letter of credit or bankers' acceptance financings, and net of the effect of all payments made or received pursuant to Hedging Obligations in respect of interest rates (excluding, for the avoidance of doubt, amounts due upon settlement of any such Hedging Obligations); *plus*
- (2) the consolidated interest expense of such Person and its Restricted Subsidiaries that was capitalized during such period; *plus*
- (3) any interest on Indebtedness of another Person that is guaranteed by such Person or one of its Restricted Subsidiaries (other than Indebtedness of the Paper Subsidiaries not to exceed €4.7 million in existence as of the date of the supplemental indenture guaranteed by Mercer) or secured by a Lien on assets of such Person or one of its Restricted Subsidiaries, whether or not such Guarantee or Lien is called upon; *plus*
- (4) the product of (a) all dividends, whether paid or accrued and whether or not in cash, on any series of preferred stock of such Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries, other than dividends on Equity Interests payable solely in Equity Interests of Mercer (other than Disqualified Stock) or to Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer, *times* (b) a fraction, the numerator of which is one and the denominator of which is one minus the then current combined federal, state and local statutory tax rate of such Person, expressed as a decimal, in each case, determined on a consolidated basis in accordance with GAAP.

"Foreign Subsidiary" means any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer that is not a Domestic Subsidiary.

"GAAP" means generally accepted accounting principles set forth in the opinions and pronouncements of the Accounting Principles Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and statements and pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board or in such other statements by such other entity as have been approved by a significant segment of the accounting profession, which are in effect from time to time.

"Guarantee" means a guarantee other than by endorsement of negotiable instruments for collection in the ordinary course of business, direct or indirect, in any manner including, without limitation, by way of a pledge of assets or through letters of credit or reimbursement agreements in respect thereof, of all or any part of any Indebtedness (whether arising by virtue of partnership arrangements, or by agreements to keep-well, to purchase assets, goods, securities or services, to take or pay or to maintain financial statement conditions or otherwise).

"Guarantor" means each Subsidiary of Mercer that executes a Note Guarantee in accordance with the provisions of the indenture, and its successors and assigns, in each case, until the Note Guarantee of such Person has been released in accordance with the provisions of the indenture.

"*Hedging Obligations*" means, with respect to any specified Person, the obligations of such Person under:

- (1) interest rate swap agreements (whether from fixed to floating or from floating to fixed), interest rate cap agreements and interest rate collar agreements;
- (2) other agreements or arrangements designed to manage interest rates or interest rate risk; and
- (3) other agreements or arrangements designed to protect such Person against fluctuations in currency exchange rates (including, without limitation, foreign currency futures and options, currency swaps, currency forwards and related interest rate swaps and/or forwards) or commodity prices (including, without limitation, commodity futures, swaps or options).

"*Indebtedness*" means, with respect to any specified Person, any indebtedness of such Person (excluding accrued expenses and trade payables), whether or not contingent:

- (1) in respect of borrowed money;
- (2) evidenced by bonds, notes, debentures or similar instruments or letters of credit (or reimbursement agreements in respect thereof);
- (3) in respect of banker's acceptances;
- (4) representing Capital Lease Obligations or Attributable Debt in respect of sale and leaseback transactions;
- (5) representing the balance deferred and unpaid of the purchase price of any property or services due more than six months after such property is acquired or such services are completed, except any balance that constitutes an accrual of expenses or trade payable; or
- (6) representing any Hedging Obligations (the amount of any such Hedging Obligations to be equal at any time to the termination value of the agreement or arrangement giving rise to such Hedging Obligations that would be payable by such Person at such time),

if and to the extent any of the preceding items (other than letters of credit, Attributable Debt and Hedging Obligations) would appear as a liability upon a balance sheet of the specified Person prepared in accordance with GAAP. In addition, the term "Indebtedness" includes all Indebtedness of others secured by a Lien on any asset of the specified Person (whether or not such Indebtedness is assumed by the specified Person) and, to the extent not otherwise included, the Guarantee by the specified Person of any Indebtedness of any other Person.

"*Investments*" means, with respect to any Person, all direct or indirect investments by such Person in other Persons (including Affiliates) in the forms of loans (including Guarantees or other obligations), advances or capital contributions (excluding commission, travel and similar advances to officers and employees made in the ordinary course of business), purchases or other acquisitions for consideration of Indebtedness, Equity Interests or other securities, together with all items that are or would be classified as investments on a balance sheet prepared in accordance with GAAP. If Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer sells or otherwise disposes of any Equity Interests of any direct or indirect Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer such that, after giving effect to any such sale or disposition, such Person is no longer a Subsidiary of Mercer, Mercer will be deemed to have made an Investment on the date of any such sale or disposition equal to the Fair Market Value of Mercer's Investments in such Restricted Subsidiary that were not sold or disposed of in an amount determined as provided in the final paragraph of the covenant described above under the caption " Certain Covenants Restricted Payments." The acquisition by Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer of a Person

that holds an Investment in a third Person will be deemed to be an Investment by Mercer or such Subsidiary in such third Person in an amount equal to the Fair Market Value of the Investments held by the acquired Person in such third Person in an amount determined as provided in the final paragraph of the covenant described above under the caption " Certain Covenants Restricted Payments." Except as otherwise provided in the indenture, the amount of an Investment will be determined at the time the Investment is made and without giving effect to subsequent changes in value.

"*Lien*" means, with respect to any asset, any mortgage, lien, pledge, charge, security interest or encumbrance of any kind in respect of such asset, whether or not filed, recorded or otherwise perfected under applicable law, including any conditional sale or other title retention agreement, any lease in the nature thereof, any option or other agreement to sell or give a security interest in and any filing of or agreement to give any financing statement under the Uniform Commercial Code (or equivalent statutes) of any jurisdiction.

"*Moody's*" means Moody's Investors Service, Inc.

"*Net Income*" means, with respect to any specified Person, the net income (loss) of such Person, determined in accordance with GAAP and before any reduction in respect of preferred stock dividends, excluding, however:

- (1) any gain (but not loss), together with any related provision for taxes on such gain (but not loss), realized in connection with: (a) any Asset Sale; or (b) the disposition of any securities by such Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries or the extinguishment of any Indebtedness of such Person or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries;
- (2) any extraordinary gain (but not loss), together with any related provision for taxes on such extraordinary gain (but not loss);
- (3) any foreign exchange gain (loss) on Indebtedness; and
- (4) any marked to market gain (loss) whether realized or accrued, without duplication, on Hedging Obligations.

"*Net Proceeds*" means the aggregate cash proceeds received by Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries in respect of any Asset Sale (including, without limitation, any cash received upon the sale or other disposition of any non-cash consideration received in any Asset Sale), net of the direct costs relating to such Asset Sale, including, without limitation, legal, accounting and investment banking fees, and sales commissions, and any relocation expenses incurred as a result of the Asset Sale, taxes paid or payable as a result of the Asset Sale, in each case, after taking into account any available tax credits or deductions and any tax sharing arrangements, and amounts required to be applied to the repayment of Indebtedness, other than Indebtedness under a Credit Facility, secured by a Lien on the asset or assets that were the subject of such Asset Sale and any reserve for adjustment in respect of the sale price of such asset or assets established in accordance with GAAP.

"*Non-Recourse Debt*" means Indebtedness:

- (1) as to which neither Mercer nor any of its Restricted Subsidiaries (a) provides credit support of any kind (including any undertaking, agreement or instrument that would constitute Indebtedness), (b) is directly or indirectly liable as a guarantor or otherwise, or (c) constitutes the lender, other than the guarantees by Mercer of Indebtedness of the Paper Subsidiaries not to exceed €4.7 million in existence as of the date of the supplemental indenture; and

- (2) no default with respect to which (including any rights that the holders of the Indebtedness may have to take enforcement action against an Unrestricted Subsidiary) would permit upon notice, lapse of time or both any holder of any other Indebtedness of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries to declare a default on such other Indebtedness or cause the payment of the Indebtedness to be accelerated or payable prior to its Stated Maturity.

"*Note Guarantee*" means the Guarantee by each Guarantor of Mercer's obligations under the indenture and the notes, executed pursuant to the provisions of the indenture.

"*Obligations*" means any principal, interest, penalties, fees, indemnifications, reimbursements, damages and other liabilities payable under the documentation governing any Indebtedness.

"*Paper Subsidiaries*" means Dresden Papier GmbH and Fährbrücke GmbH.

"*Permitted Business*" means any business conducted by Mercer and its Restricted Subsidiaries on the date of the supplemental indenture, including the pulp and paper manufacturing and sales business and any business reasonably related thereto, ancillary or complimentary to reasonable extensions thereof, including, without limitation, transportation, logistics and wood harvesting and procurement.

"*Permitted Investments*" means:

- (1) any Investment in Mercer or in a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer;
- (2) any Investment in Cash Equivalents;
- (3) any Investment by Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer in a Person, if as a result of such Investment:
 - (a) such Person becomes a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer; or
 - (b) such Person is merged, consolidated or amalgamated with or into, or transfers or conveys substantially all of its assets to, or is liquidated into, Mercer or a Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer;
- (4) any Investment made as a result of the receipt of non-cash consideration from an Asset Sale that was made pursuant to and in compliance with the covenant described above under the caption " Repurchase at the Option of Holders Asset Sales;"
- (5) any acquisition of assets or Capital Stock solely in exchange for the issuance of Equity Interests (other than Disqualified Stock) of Mercer or out of the net proceeds of an issue or sale of Equity Interest of Mercer (other than Disqualified Stock) so long as such acquisition occurs within 60 days thereafter;
- (6) any Investments received in compromise or resolution of (A) obligations of trade creditors or customers that were incurred in the ordinary course of business of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries, including pursuant to any plan of reorganization or similar arrangement upon the bankruptcy or insolvency of any trade creditor or customer; or (B) litigation, arbitration or other disputes;
- (7) Investments represented by Hedging Obligations;
- (8) loans or advances to employees made in the ordinary course of business of Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$2.0 million at any one time outstanding;
- (9) repurchases of the notes;

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

- (10) extensions of trade credit or advances to customers on commercially reasonable terms in the ordinary course of business;
- (11) Guarantees of Indebtedness of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries issued in accordance with the covenant entitled " Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock;"
- (12) Investments resulting from payment of consolidated taxes that include Unrestricted Subsidiaries; and
- (13) other Investments in any Person (other than an Affiliate of Mercer that is not a Subsidiary of Mercer) having an aggregate Fair Market Value (measured on the date each such Investment was made and without giving effect to subsequent changes in value), when taken together with all other Investments made pursuant to this clause (13) that are at the time outstanding not to exceed \$15.0 million.

"Permitted Liens" means:

- (1) Liens on assets of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries securing Indebtedness and other Obligations under Credit Facilities that was permitted by the terms of the indenture to be incurred and/or securing Hedging Obligations related thereto;
- (2) Liens in favor of Mercer;
- (3) Liens on property of a Person existing at the time such Person is merged with or into or consolidated with Mercer or any Subsidiary of Mercer; *provided* that such Liens were in existence prior to the contemplation of such merger or consolidation and do not extend to any assets other than those of the Person merged into or consolidated with Mercer or the Subsidiary;
- (4) Liens on property (including Capital Stock) existing at the time of acquisition of the property by Mercer or any Subsidiary of Mercer; *provided* that such Liens were in existence prior to, such acquisition, and not incurred in contemplation of, such acquisition;
- (5) Liens to secure the performance of statutory obligations, surety or appeal bonds, performance bonds, letters of credit or other obligations of a like nature incurred in the ordinary course of business;
- (6) Liens to secure Indebtedness (including Capital Lease Obligations) permitted by clause (4) of the second paragraph of the covenant entitled " Certain Covenants Incurrence of Indebtedness and Issuance of Preferred Stock" covering only the assets acquired with or financed by such Indebtedness;
- (7) Liens existing on the date of the supplemental indenture or from contractual commitments existing on the date of the supplemental indenture;
- (8) Liens for taxes, assessments or governmental charges or claims that are not yet delinquent or that are being contested in good faith by appropriate proceedings promptly instituted and diligently concluded; *provided* that any reserve or other appropriate provision as is required in conformity with GAAP has been made therefor;
- (9) Liens imposed by law, such as carriers', warehousemen's, landlord's and mechanics' Liens, in each case, incurred in the ordinary course of business;
- (10) survey exceptions, easements or reservations of, or rights of others for, licenses, rights-of-way, sewers, electric lines, telegraph and telephone lines and other similar purposes, or zoning or other restrictions as to the use of real property that were not incurred in connection with Indebtedness

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

and that do not in the aggregate materially adversely affect the value of said properties or materially impair their use in the operation of the business of such Person;

- (11) Liens created for the benefit of (or to secure) the notes;
- (12) Liens to secure any Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness permitted to be incurred under the indenture; *provided, however*, that:
- (a) the new Lien shall be limited to all or part of the same property and assets that secured or, under the written agreements pursuant to which the original Lien arose, could secure the original Lien (plus improvements and accessions to, such property or proceeds or distributions thereof); and
- (b) the Indebtedness secured by the new Lien is not increased to any amount greater than the sum of (x) the outstanding principal amount, or, if greater, committed amount, of the Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness and (y) an amount necessary to pay any fees and expenses, including premiums, related to such renewal, refunding, refinancing, replacement, defeasance or discharge;
- (13) Liens securing Hedging Obligations made in the ordinary course of business and not for speculation; *provided* that such Hedging Obligations are permitted under the indenture;
- (14) Liens resulting from sale and leaseback transactions otherwise permitted by the covenant described above under the caption " Certain Covenants Limitation on Sale and Leaseback Transactions" at any one time outstanding that do not exceed \$15.0 million; and
- (15) Liens incurred in the ordinary course of business of Mercer or any Subsidiary of Mercer with respect to obligations at any one time outstanding that do not exceed \$20.0 million.

"*Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness*" means any Indebtedness of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries issued in exchange for, or the net proceeds of which are used to renew, refund, refinance, replace, defease or discharge other Indebtedness of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries (other than intercompany Indebtedness); *provided* that:

- (1) the principal amount (or accreted value, if applicable) of such Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness does not exceed the principal amount (or accreted value, if applicable) of the Indebtedness renewed, refunded, refinanced, replaced, defeased or discharged (plus all accrued interest on the Indebtedness and the amount of all fees and expenses, including premiums, incurred in connection therewith);
- (2) such Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness has a final maturity date later than the final maturity date of, and has a Weighted Average Life to Maturity equal to or greater than the Weighted Average Life to Maturity of, the Indebtedness being renewed, refunded, refinanced, replaced, defeased or discharged;
- (3) if the Indebtedness being renewed, refunded, refinanced, replaced, defeased or discharged is subordinated in right of payment to the notes, such Permitted Refinancing Indebtedness has a final maturity date later than the final maturity date of, and is subordinated in right of payment to, the notes on terms at least as favorable to the holders of notes as those contained in the documentation governing the Indebtedness being renewed, refunded, refinanced, replaced, defeased or discharged; and
- (4) such Indebtedness is incurred either by Mercer or by the Restricted Subsidiary who is the obligor on the Indebtedness being renewed, refunded, refinanced, replaced, defeased or discharged.

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

"*Person*" means any individual, corporation, partnership, joint venture, association, joint-stock company, trust, unincorporated organization, limited liability company or government or other entity.

"*Restricted Investment*" means an Investment other than a Permitted Investment.

"*Restricted Subsidiary*" of a Person means any Subsidiary of the referent Person that is not an Unrestricted Subsidiary.

"*Rosenthal*" means Zellstoff-und Papierfabrik Rosenthal GmbH & Co. KG.

"*S&P*" means Standard & Poor's Ratings Group.

"*Significant Subsidiary*" means any Subsidiary that would be a "significant subsidiary" as defined in Article 1, Rule 1-02 of Regulation S-X, promulgated pursuant to the Securities Act, as such Regulation is in effect on the date of the supplemental indenture.

"*Stated Maturity*" means, with respect to any installment of interest or principal on any series of Indebtedness, the date on which the payment of interest or principal was scheduled to be paid in the documentation governing such Indebtedness as of the date of the supplemental indenture, and will not include any contingent obligations to repay, redeem or repurchase any such interest or principal prior to the date originally scheduled for the payment thereof.

"*Subordinated Notes*" means Mercer's 8.5% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2010.

"*Subordinated Note Indenture*" means the indenture governing the Subordinated Notes.

"*Subsidiary*" means, with respect to any specified Person:

- (1) any corporation, association or other business entity of which more than 50% of the total voting power of shares of Capital Stock entitled (without regard to the occurrence of any contingency and after giving effect to any voting agreement or stockholders' agreement that effectively transfers voting power) to vote in the election of directors, managers or trustees of the corporation, association or other business entity is at the time owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by that Person or one or more of the other Subsidiaries of that Person (or a combination thereof); and
- (2) any partnership (a) the sole general partner or the managing general partner of which is such Person or a Subsidiary of such Person or (b) the only general partners of which are that Person or one or more Subsidiaries of that Person (or any combination thereof).

"*Trading Day*" means:

- (1) if Mercer's common Capital Stock is quoted on NASDAQ, a day on which trades may be made on NASDAQ; or
- (2) if Mercer's common Capital Stock is not so listed, admitted for trading or quoted, any day other than a Saturday or Sunday or a day on which banking institutions in the State of New York are authorized or obligated by law, executive order or otherwise to close.

"*Unrestricted Subsidiary*" means each of Dresden Papier GmbH, Stendal Pulp Holding GmbH, Zellstoff Stendal GmbH, Zellstoff Stendal Holz Service GmbH, Zellstoff Stendal Holz GmbH & Co. KG, ZS Beteiligungs GmbH, Zellstoff Stendal Transport Service GmbH, Zellstoff Stendal Transport GmbH & Co. KG, ZS Transport Beteiligungs GmbH (or any successor to any of them) or any other Subsidiary of Mercer that is designated by the Board of Directors of Mercer as an

Unrestricted Subsidiary pursuant to a resolution of the Board of Directors, but only to the extent that such Subsidiary:

- (1) has no Indebtedness other than Non-Recourse Debt;
- (2) except as permitted by the covenant described above under the caption " Certain Covenants Transactions with Affiliates," is not party to any agreement, contract, arrangement or understanding with Mercer or any Restricted Subsidiary of Mercer unless the terms of any such agreement, contract, arrangement or understanding are no less favorable to Mercer or such Restricted Subsidiary, as the case may be, than those that might reasonably be obtained at the time from Persons who are not Affiliates of Mercer;
- (3) is a Person with respect to which neither Mercer nor any of its Restricted Subsidiaries has any direct or indirect obligation (a) to subscribe for additional Equity Interests or (b) to maintain or preserve such Person's financial condition or to cause such Person to achieve any specified levels of operating results; and
- (4) has not guaranteed or otherwise directly or indirectly provided credit support for any Indebtedness of Mercer or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries.

"*Voting Stock*" of any specified Person as of any date means the Capital Stock of such Person that is at the time entitled to vote in the election of the Board of Directors of such Person.

"*Weighted Average Life to Maturity*" means, when applied to any Indebtedness at any date, the number of years obtained by dividing:

- (1) the sum of the products obtained by multiplying (a) the amount of each then remaining installment, sinking fund, serial maturity or other required payments of principal, including payment at final maturity, in respect of the Indebtedness, by (b) the number of years (calculated to the nearest one-twelfth) that will elapse between such date and the making of such payment; *by*
- (2) the then outstanding principal amount of such Indebtedness.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

We are authorized to issue an unlimited number of shares of beneficial interest, \$1.00 par value and 50,000,000 preferred shares issuable in series. As of January 6, 2005, there were 18,074,229 shares of beneficial interest and no preferred shares of any series issued and outstanding.

We are also authorized to issue preferred shares from time to time and to: (i) divide the preferred shares into one or more series; (ii) designate the number of shares of each series and the designation thereof; (iii) fix and determine the relative rights and preferences as between series including, but not limited to, the dividend rate (and whether dividends are cumulative), conversion rights, voting rights, rights and terms of redemption (including sinking fund provisions), redemption price and liquidation preferences (if and to the extent that any such rights are to be applicable to any such series); and (iv) amend the relative rights and preferences of any series that is wholly unissued.

Further information relating to our capital stock is set out in the prospectus accompanying this prospectus supplement relating to the securities offered hereby.

S-179

MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following summary discusses certain material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of notes. This discussion is based upon the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), the applicable proposed or promulgated Treasury regulations, and the applicable judicial and administrative interpretations, all as in effect as of the date hereof and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect, and to differing interpretations. This discussion is applicable only to persons who purchase the notes in the initial offering at their original issue price and hold the notes as capital assets for U.S. federal income tax purposes (generally, property held for investment) and not as part of a straddle, a hedge, a conversion transaction or other integrated investment. This discussion is intended for general information only, and does not address all of the tax consequences that may be relevant to holders of notes in light of their particular circumstances, or to certain types of holders (such as financial institutions, insurance companies, tax-exempt entities, partnerships and other pass-through entities for U.S. federal income tax purposes, certain former citizens or residents of the United States, "controlled foreign corporations," "passive foreign investment companies," "foreign personal holding companies," traders in securities that elect to use a marked to market method of accounting for their securities holdings, dealers in securities or currencies, or U.S. Holders (as defined below) whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar). Moreover, this discussion does not describe any state, local or non-U.S. tax implications, or any aspect of U.S. federal tax law other than income taxation. Prospective investors should consult their tax advisors with regard to the application of the U.S. federal income tax laws to their particular situations, as well as any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, local, or non-U.S. taxing jurisdiction.

As used herein, a "U.S. Holder" means a beneficial owner of notes that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, (a) a citizen or individual resident of the United States, (b) a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any State thereof or the District of Columbia, (c) an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source, or (d) a trust, if (1) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the trust's administration and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all of its substantial decisions or (2) it was in existence on August 20, 1996 and a valid election to be treated as a U.S. person is in effect with respect to such trust. A "Non-U.S. Holder" means a beneficial owner of notes that is neither a U.S. Holder nor a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The U.S. federal income tax treatment of partners in partnerships holding the notes generally will depend on the activities of the partnership and the status of the partner. Prospective investors that are partnerships (or entities treated as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes) should consult their tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal income tax consequences to them and their partners of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of the notes.

U.S. Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders

Payments of Interest. A U.S. Holder must include in gross income, as ordinary interest income, the stated interest on the notes at the time such interest accrues or is received in accordance with the U.S. Holder's regular method of accounting for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Sale, Retirement or Other Taxable Disposition. Upon the sale, retirement or other taxable disposition of a note, a U.S. Holder generally will recognize taxable gain or tax loss equal to the difference between (a) the sum of cash plus the fair market value of other property received on the sale, retirement or other taxable disposition (except to the extent such cash or property is attributable to accrued but unpaid interest, which will be treated in the manner described above under "-Payments of Interest") and (b) the U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in the note. A U.S. Holder's adjusted tax basis in a note generally will equal the amount paid for the note, reduced by any principal payments with respect to the note received by the U.S. Holder. Gain or loss recognized on the sale, retirement or

other taxable disposition of a note generally will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if, at the time of sale, retirement or other taxable disposition, the note has been held for more than one year. Certain U.S. Holders (including individuals) are eligible for preferential rates of U.S. federal income tax in respect of long-term capital gain. The deductibility of capital losses by U.S. Holders is subject to limitations under the Code.

U.S. Federal Income Taxation of Non-U.S. Holders

Payments of Interest. Subject to the discussion of backup withholding below and provided that a Non-U.S. Holder's income and gains in respect of a note are not effectively connected with the conduct by the Non-U.S. Holder of a U.S. trade or business, payments of interest on a note to the Non-U.S. Holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax, provided that (a) the Non-U.S. Holder does not own, directly or constructively, 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock entitled to vote, (b) the Non-U.S. Holder is not, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a "controlled foreign corporation" related, directly or constructively, to us through stock ownership, (c) the Non-U.S. Holder is not a bank receiving interest on a loan entered into in the ordinary course of its trade or business and (d) certain certification requirements (as described below) are met.

Under the Code and the applicable Treasury regulations, in order to obtain an exemption from U.S. federal withholding tax, either (a) a Non-U.S. Holder must provide its name and address and certify, under penalties of perjury, that such Non-U.S. Holder is not a U.S. person or (b) a securities clearing organization, bank or other financial institution that holds customers' securities in the ordinary course of its trade or business (a "Financial Institution"), and that holds the notes on behalf of the Non-U.S. Holder, must certify, under penalties of perjury, that such certificate has been received from such Non-U.S. Holder by such Financial Institution or by another Financial Institution between such Financial Institution and such Non-U.S. Holder and, if required, must furnish the payor with a copy thereof. Generally, the foregoing certification requirement may be met if a Non-U.S. Holder delivers a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN to the payor.

Payments of interest on a note that do not satisfy all of the foregoing requirements generally will be subject to U.S. federal withholding tax at a rate of 30% (or a lower applicable treaty rate, provided certain certification requirements are met). A Non-U.S. Holder generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax in the same manner as a U.S. Holder with respect to interest on a note if such interest is effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business conducted by the Non-U.S. Holder (or, if an income tax treaty applies, is attributable to a permanent establishment or fixed base maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States). Under certain circumstances, effectively connected interest income received by a corporate Non-U.S. Holder may be subject to an additional "branch profits tax" at a 30% rate (or a lower applicable treaty rate, provided certain certification requirements are met). Subject to the discussion of backup withholding below, such effectively connected interest income generally will be exempt from U.S. federal withholding tax if a Non-U.S. Holder delivers a properly executed IRS Form W-8ECI to the payor.

Sale, Retirement or Other Disposition. In general, subject to the discussion of backup withholding below, a Non-U.S. Holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on any gain recognized on the sale, retirement or other disposition of the notes, unless (a) the Non-U.S. Holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 or more days in the taxable year of disposition and certain other conditions are met or (b) the gain is effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business by the Non-U.S. Holder (or, if an income tax treaty applies, is attributable to a permanent establishment or fixed base maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States).

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

U.S. Holders. Generally, information reporting will apply to payments of principal and interest on the notes to a U.S. Holder and to the proceeds of sale or other disposition of the notes, unless the U.S. Holder is an exempt recipient (such as a corporation). Backup withholding generally will apply to such payments (currently at a rate of 28%), if a U.S. Holder fails to provide a correct taxpayer identification number or a certification of exempt status or fails to report in full dividend and interest income. Any amount withheld under the backup withholding rules generally will be allowed as a refund or credit against a U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability provided that the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS").

Non-U.S. Holders. Generally, payments of interest on the notes to a Non-U.S. Holder and the amount of any tax withheld from such payments must be reported annually to the IRS and to the Non-U.S. Holder. Copies of these information returns may be made available by the IRS to the tax authorities of the country in which the Non-U.S. Holder is a resident under the provisions of an applicable tax treaty. Under certain circumstances, information reporting also would apply to payments of principal on the notes, and backup withholding of U.S. federal income tax (currently at a rate of 28%) may apply to payments of principal and interest on the notes to a Non-U.S. Holder if the Non-U.S. Holder fails to certify under penalties of perjury that it is not a U.S. person.

Payments of the proceeds of the sale or other disposition of the notes by or through a foreign office of a U.S. broker or of a foreign broker with certain specified U.S. connections will be subject to information reporting requirements, but generally not backup withholding, unless the broker has evidence in its records that the payee is not a U.S. person and the broker has no actual knowledge or reason to know to the contrary. Payments of the proceeds of a sale or other disposition of the notes by or through the U.S. office of a broker will be subject to information reporting and backup withholding unless the payee certifies under penalties of perjury that it is not a U.S. person or otherwise establishes an exemption.

Any amount withheld under the backup withholding rules generally will be allowed as a refund or credit against a Non-U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability, provided that the required information is timely furnished to the IRS.

STATE AND OTHER TAX CONSIDERATIONS

In addition to the United States federal income tax consequences described in "Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations", above, potential investors should consider the state, local and foreign tax consequences of acquiring, owning and disposing of the notes. State, local and foreign tax laws may differ substantially from the corresponding United States federal law, and the discussion above does not purport to describe any aspect of the tax laws of any state or other jurisdiction. Therefore, prospective investors should consult their tax advisors with respect to the various tax consequences of investments in the notes.

UNDERWRITING

RBC Capital Markets Corporation and Credit Suisse First Boston LLC are acting as the joint lead managers of the offering. Subject to the terms and conditions of the underwriting agreement, we have agreed to sell to the underwriters, and each underwriter has agreed to purchase from us, the principal amount of notes listed below. The underwriters' obligations are several, which means that each underwriter is required to purchase a specified principal amount of notes, but other than the limited circumstances set forth in the underwriting agreement, is not responsible for the commitment of any other underwriter to purchase notes.

Underwriters	Principal Amount
RBC Capital Markets Corporation	\$
Credit Suisse First Boston LLC.	\$
CIBC World Markets Corp	\$
Total	\$ 300,000,000

The underwriting agreement provides that the underwriters' obligations to purchase the notes are subject to approval of legal matters by counsel and to the satisfaction of other conditions. The underwriters are obligated to purchase all of the notes if they purchase any notes.

Concurrently with this offering of notes, we are also offering to the public approximately \$100 million of shares of beneficial interest to pay a portion of the purchase price of the Acquisition. The public offering of shares is being underwritten by a syndicate of underwriters for whom RBC Capital Markets Corporation is acting as the representative. The completion of the offering of notes under this prospectus supplement and the Share Offering are contingent upon each other and both such offerings are contingent upon the satisfaction or waiver of all conditions relating to the Acquisition other than the condition relating to the Financings.

Commissions and Expenses

The joint lead managers have advised us that the underwriters propose to offer the notes directly to the public at the public offering price presented on the cover page of this prospectus supplement. Any notes sold by the underwriters to securities dealers may be sold at a discount from the offering price of up to % of the principal amount of notes. Any such securities dealers may resell any notes purchased from the underwriters to certain other brokers or dealers at a discount from the public offering price of up to % of the principal amount of notes. After the initial offering, the underwriters may change the offering price and the other selling terms.

The following table summarizes the underwriting discounts and commissions that we will pay to the underwriters in connection with this offering.

	Per Note	Total
Public offering price	%	\$
Underwriting discount paid by us	%	\$

We estimate that the total expenses of this offering including registration, filing and listing fees, printing fees and legal and accounting expenses, but excluding underwriting discounts and commissions, will be approximately €1.4 million. Pursuant to the underwriting agreement, the underwriters will be responsible for certain expenses associated with the marketing of this offering, not to exceed an agreed upon amount.

Indemnification

We have agreed to indemnify the underwriters against liabilities relating to the offering, including liabilities under the Securities Act and Canadian securities laws, and to contribute to payments that the underwriters may be required to make for these liabilities.

New Issue of Notes

The notes are a new issue of securities with no established trading market. The underwriters have advised us that they currently intend to facilitate a secondary market in the notes, but are not obligated to do so and may discontinue market making at any time. No assurance can be given as to the liquidity of the trading market for the notes.

Stabilization, Short Positions and Penalty Bids

The joint lead managers may engage in stabilizing transactions, over-allotment, syndicate covering transactions and penalty bids or purchases for the purpose of pegging, fixing or maintaining the price of our notes in accordance with Regulation M under the Exchange Act.

Stabilizing transactions permit bids to purchase the underlying security so long as the stabilizing bids do not exceed a specific maximum.

Over-allotment transactions involve sales by the underwriters of notes in excess of the number of notes the underwriters are obligated to purchase, which creates a syndicate short position. The underwriters may close out any short position by purchasing notes in the open market.

Syndicate covering transactions involve purchases of our notes in the open market after the distribution has been completed in order to cover syndicate short positions. A short position is likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the notes in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering.

Penalty bids permit the joint lead managers to reclaim a selling concession from a syndicate member when the notes originally sold by the syndicate member is purchased in a stabilizing or syndicate covering transaction to cover syndicate short positions.

These stabilizing transactions, syndicate covering transactions and penalty bids may have the effect of raising or maintaining the market price of our notes and/or other of our debt securities or preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of our notes. As a result, the price of our notes (or such other securities) may be higher than the price that might otherwise exist on the open market. These transactions may be effected on the over-the-counter market or otherwise and, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

Neither we nor any of the underwriters make any representation or prediction as to the direction or magnitude of any effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of our notes. In addition, neither we nor any of the underwriters make any representation that the representatives will engage in these stabilizing transactions or that any transaction, once commenced, will not be discontinued without notice.

Electronic Distribution

The prospectus supplement and the prospectus in electronic format may be made available on the websites maintained by one or more of the underwriters participating in this offering and one or more of the underwriters participating in this offering may distribute such documents electronically. The joint lead managers may agree to allocate a number of notes to underwriters for sale to their online

brokerage account holders. Internet distributions will be allocated by the underwriters that will make internet distributions on the same basis as other allocations.

Relationships

The underwriters may, from time to time, engage in transactions with or perform services for us in the ordinary course of their business.

RBC Capital Markets Corporation and CIBC World Markets Corp., underwriters for this offering of notes, are acting as underwriters in the Share Offering, for which they are receiving customary fees.

In connection with the \$30 million working capital facility for the Celgar mill expected to be entered into on the closing of the Acquisition, an affiliate of RBC Capital Markets Corporation is acting as arranger on a best efforts basis, for which it will receive customary fees. Royal Bank of Canada, also an affiliate of RBC Capital Markets Corporation, has committed \$15 million to the facility, for which it will receive customary fees. Royal Bank of Canada will also act as the administrative agent for the lenders under the working capital facility.

In October 2003, RBC Capital Markets Corporation acted as the initial purchaser for our private placement of offering of \$82.5 million of 8.5% convertible senior subordinated notes due 2010, for which it received customary underwriting fees.

Credit Suisse First Boston LLC has been engaged by us to provide strategic and financial advisory services through 2005, for which they will receive customary fees.

In November 2004, an affiliate of CIBC World Markets Corp. provided financial advisory services to us in connection with the Acquisition, for which such affiliate received customary fees.

Qualified Independent Underwriter

We intend to use more than 10% of the net proceeds from the sale of the notes to pay a portion of the purchase price for the Acquisition. Royal Bank of Canada, an affiliate of RBC Capital Markets Corporation, one of the joint lead managers of this offering, is a secured creditor and owner of the Celgar mill. Royal Bank of Canada will receive approximately \$93 million of the proceeds of this offering and the Share Offering and will receive approximately \$20 million of our shares of beneficial interest in consideration for the assets of Celgar that we are acquiring in the Acquisition.

Accordingly, this offering is being made in compliance with the requirements of Rules 2710(h) and 2720(c)(3) of the Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. This rule provides generally that if more than 10% of the net proceeds from the sale of debt securities, not including underwriting compensation, is paid to the underwriters of such debt securities or their affiliates, the yield on the securities may not be lower than that recommended by a "qualified independent underwriter" meeting certain standards. Credit Suisse First Boston LLC is assuming the responsibilities of acting as the qualified independent underwriter in pricing the offering and conducting due diligence. The yield on the notes, when sold to the public at the public offering price set forth on the cover page of this prospectus supplement, will be no lower than that recommended by Credit Suisse First Boston LLC.

Credit Suisse First Boston LLC will not receive any compensation for its services as a qualified independent underwriter; however, we will agree to indemnify Credit Suisse First Boston LLC against liabilities incurred in connection with acting as qualified independent underwriter, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933.

NOTICE TO INVESTORS

This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy the notes in any jurisdiction which, or to any person to whom, it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. The distribution of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus and the offer and sale of the notes is restricted by law in certain jurisdictions. Persons into whose possession this prospectus supplement or any of the notes may come must inform themselves about, and observe, any such restrictions. This prospectus supplement may not be used for, or in connection with, any offer to, or solicitation by, anyone in any jurisdiction or circumstances in which such offer or solicitation is not authorized or is unlawful. Neither the Company nor any underwriter is making any representation to any offeree or purchaser of the notes herein regarding the legality of the investment therein by such offeree or purchaser.

Each underwriter has agreed that (1) it has not offered or sold, and prior to the six months after the date of issue will not offer or sell, any notes to persons in the United Kingdom except to a person whose ordinary activities involve them in acquiring, holding, managing or disposing of investments (as principal or agent) for the purposes of their business or otherwise in circumstances which have not resulted and will not result in an offer to the public in the United Kingdom within the meaning of the Public Offers of Securities Regulations 1995, (2) it has only communicated or caused to be communicated, and will only communicate or cause to be communicated, any invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act ("FSMA")) received by it in connection with the issue or the sale of any notes in circumstances in which section 21(1) of the FSMA does not apply to us, and (3) it has complied with all applicable provisions of the FSMA with respect to anything done by it in relation to any notes in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom.

This prospectus supplement has not been prepared in the context of a public offering of securities in France within the meaning of Article L.411-1 of the French *Code Monétaire et Financier* and Regulations No. 98-01 and 98-08 of the *Commission des Opérations de Bourse* ("COB") and has therefore not been submitted to the COB for prior approval. It is made available only to qualified investors and/or to a limited circle of investors (as defined in Article L.411-2 of the French *Code Monétaire et Financier* and in the Decree No. 98-880 dated 1 October 1998), on the condition that it shall not be passed on to any person nor reproduced (in whole or in part) and that applicants act for their own account in accordance with the terms set out by the said Decree and undertake not to retransfer, directly or indirectly, the notes in France, other than in compliance with applicable laws and regulations (Articles L.411-1, L.411-2, L.412-1 and L.621-8 of the French *Code Monétaire et Financier*).

The offer of securities for sale in Germany is subject to certain restrictions, namely the restrictions provided in the German Securities Selling Prospectus Act (*Wertpapier-Verkaufsprospektgesetz*). The offer of the notes does not constitute a public offer of securities for sale in Germany. This prospectus supplement has not been approved by the German Federal Supervisory Authority for Financial Services (*Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht*) or any other competent German authority under the relevant laws. This prospectus supplement may not be publicly distributed in Germany and may not be used in connection with any resale of the notes. The notes may not be resold in Germany by way of a public offer. The notes will only be available to persons who on a professional or commercial basis purchase securities themselves for their own account or for the account of a third party.

The notes may not and will not be offered or sold to any individual or legal entity in The Netherlands other than to individuals or legal entities who or which trade in securities in the conduct of their profession or trade within the meaning of section 2 of the exemption regulation pursuant to the Dutch Securities Market Supervision Act (*Vrijstellingregeling Wet toezicht effectenverker* 1995), which includes banks, securities intermediaries (including dealers and brokers), insurance companies, pension

funds, other institutional investors and commercial enterprises which, as an ancillary activity, regularly invest or trade in securities.

The notes are sold in Switzerland on the basis of a private placement. This prospectus supplement does not, therefore, constitute a "Prospectus" within the meaning of Art. 652A of the Swiss Federal Code of Obligations.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the senior notes offered hereby and certain other legal matters in connection with the issuance and sale of the senior notes offered hereby will be passed upon for the Company by Sangra Moller, Vancouver, British Columbia and Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe LLP, Seattle, Washington. Certain legal matters in connection with the issuance and sale of the senior notes offered hereby will be passed upon for the underwriters by Latham & Watkins LLP, Los Angeles, California, Lawson Lundell, Vancouver, British Columbia and Stoel Rives LLP, Seattle, Washington.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of Mercer as at December 31, 2003 and for the year then ended included in this prospectus supplement have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent registered chartered accountants, as stated in their report which is included in this prospectus supplement, and have been so included in reliance upon the report of such firm and given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

The annual audited consolidated balance sheet of Mercer as at and for the year ended December 31, 2002 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 included in this prospectus supplement have been so included in reliance on the report of Peterson Sullivan P.L.L.C., an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The annual audited balance sheets of Celgar as at and for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the related statements of loss and deficit and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 included in this prospectus supplement have been so included in reliance on the report of Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent registered chartered accountants, given on the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Mercer International Inc.	
Audited Annual Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Report of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-8
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9
Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2003	F-29
Stone Venepal (Celgar) Pulp Inc. (In Bankruptcy)	
Audited Annual Financial Statements:	
Report of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants	F-45
Comments by Auditor on Canada-United States of America Reporting Differences	F-45
Balance Sheets	F-46
Statements of Loss and Deficit	F-47
Statements of Cash Flows	F-48
Notes to the Financial Statements	F-49
Interim Financial Statements for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2003	F-63
	S-188

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.
Report of Independent Registered Chartered Accountants
and
Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Year Ended December 31, 2003

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of
Mercer International Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Mercer International Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2003, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Vancouver, British Columbia
February 26, 2004

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders
Mercer International Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Mercer International Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Mercer International Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ PETERSON SULLIVAN
P.L.L.C.

January 31, 2003
Seattle, Washington

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2003 and 2002
(In Thousands of Euros)

	2003	2002
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	€ 51,993	€ 30,261
Cash restricted (Note 1)	15,187	9,459
Investments (Note 2)	6	307
Receivables (Note 3)	32,285	28,132
Cumulative derivative gains	743	3,792
Inventories (Note 4)	23,909	16,375
Prepaid expenses	4,278	7,891
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total current assets	128,401	96,217
Long-Term Assets		
Cash restricted (Note 1)	44,180	38,795
Property, plant and equipment (Note 5)	745,178	441,990
Investments (Note 2)	1,644	5,592
Equity method investments (Note 2)	2,309	7,019
Deferred note issuance costs	4,213	
Deferred income tax (Note 10)	9,980	10,137
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	807,504	503,533
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total assets	€ 935,905	€ 599,750
	<hr/>	<hr/>
LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses (Note 6)	€ 37,414	€ 32,866
Construction in progress costs payable	42,756	24,885
Note payable (Note 7)	1,377	832
Note payable, construction in progress (Note 7)		15,000
Debt, construction in progress (Note 8)	80,000	
Debt, current portion (Note 9)	15,801	16,306
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total current liabilities	177,348	89,889
Long-Term Liabilities		
Debt, construction in progress (Note 9)	324,238	146,485
Debt, less current portion (Note 9)	255,901	205,393
Derivative financial instruments, construction in progress (Note 15)	43,151	30,108
Capital leases and other	2,412	2,906
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	625,702	384,892
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total liabilities	803,050	474,781
Minority Interest		
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 17)		

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Preferred shares, no par value; 50,000,000 authorized and issuable in series		
Series A, 500,000 authorized, none issued and outstanding		
Series B, 3,500,000 authorized, none issued and outstanding		
Shares of beneficial interest, U.S.\$1 par value; unlimited authorized; 17,099,899 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2003 and 16,874,899 at December 31, 2002	78,139	76,995
Additional paid-in capital, stock options	223	
Retained earnings	49,196	52,789
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	5,297	(4,815)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total shareholders' equity	132,855	124,969
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	€ 935,905	€ 599,750
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
(In Thousands of Euros, Except Per Share Data)

	2003	2002	2001
Revenues			
Sales of pulp and paper	€ 182,456	€ 227,883	€ 205,001
Transportation	3,607	4,953	5,491
Other	8,493	6,296	5,955
	194,556	239,132	216,447
Cost of sales			
Pulp and paper	176,655	208,454	180,603
Transportation	3,035	5,009	4,076
	14,866	25,669	31,768
Gross profit	14,866	25,669	31,768
General and administrative	19,323	24,979	18,436
Settlement expenses	1,041		
Flooding grants, less losses and expenses	(957)	1,835	
	(4,541)	(1,145)	13,332
(Loss) income from operations	(4,541)	(1,145)	13,332
Other income (expense)			
Interest expense	(11,523)	(13,753)	(16,170)
Investment income	1,653	436	2,872
Derivative financial instruments			
Unrealized loss, construction in progress financing	(13,042)	(30,108)	
Realized gain, construction in progress financing	743		
Net gains (losses), other	28,467	23,429	(2,504)
Impairment of equity method investments	(2,255)		
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	(5,570)		
Other		3,590	(270)
	(1,527)	(16,406)	(16,072)
Total other expense	(1,527)	(16,406)	(16,072)
Loss before income taxes and minority interest	(6,068)	(17,551)	(2,740)
Income tax (provision) benefit (Note 10)	(3,172)	264	(83)
	(9,240)	(17,287)	(2,823)
Loss before minority interest	(9,240)	(17,287)	(2,823)
Minority interest	5,647	10,965	
	€ (3,593)	€ (6,322)	€ (2,823)
Net loss	€ (3,593)	€ (6,322)	€ (2,823)
Loss per share (Note 13)			
Basic	€ (0.21)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.17)
Diluted	€ (0.21)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.17)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
(In Thousands of Euros)

	2003	2002	2001
Net loss	€ (3,593)	€ (6,322)	€ (2,823)
Other comprehensive income (loss)			
Foreign currency translation adjustment	2,501	2,186	(942)
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period	(201)	(3,615)	1,881
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net loss	2,293	834	
Reclassification adjustment for other than temporary decline in value	5,519		
	7,611	(2,781)	1,881
Other comprehensive income (loss)	10,112	(595)	939
Comprehensive income (loss)	€ 6,519	€ (6,917)	€ (1,884)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
(In Thousands of Euros)

	Shares of Beneficial Interest				Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)				Shareholders' Equity
	Number of Shares	Par Value	Amount Paid in Excess of Par Value	Additional paid-in capital, stock options	Retained Earnings	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Securities	Total	
Balance at December 31, 2000	16,794,899	€ 12,781	€ 63,941		€ 61,934	€ 2,247	€ (7,406)	€ (5,159)	133,497
Net loss					(2,823)				(2,823)
Other comprehensive income (loss)						(942)	1,881	939	939
Balance at December 31, 2001	16,794,899	12,781	63,941		59,111	1,305	(5,525)	(4,220)	131,613
Shares issued for cash	200,000	191	695						886
Repurchase of shares	(120,000)	(121)	(492)						(613)
Net loss					(6,322)				(6,322)
Other comprehensive income (loss)						2,186	(2,781)	(595)	(595)
Balance at December 31, 2002	16,874,899	12,851	64,144		52,789	3,491	(8,306)	(4,815)	124,969
Shares issued on exercise of stock options	225,000	202	942	(231)					913
Granting of stock options				454					454
Net loss					(3,593)				(3,593)
Other comprehensive income						2,501	7,611	10,112	10,112
Balance at December 31, 2003	17,099,899	€ 13,053	€ 65,086	€ 223	€ 49,196	€ 5,992	€ (695)	€ 5,297	132,855

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001
(In Thousands of Euros)

	2003	2002	2001
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			
Net loss	€ (3,593)	€ (6,322)	€ (2,823)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash flows from operating activities			
Loss on derivative financial instruments, construction in progress, net	12,299	30,108	
Depreciation and amortization	24,105	25,614	22,966
Impairment of investments	7,825		
Minority interest	(5,647)	(10,965)	
Loss from equity investee	1,676		
Stock compensation expense	454		
Changes in current assets and liabilities			
Investments	298	4,356	514
Inventories	(7,534)	1,717	2,611
Receivables	(4,699)	18,238	8,233
Cumulative unrealized gains on derivatives	3,792	(3,329)	(463)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	1,082	(12,661)	(292)
Other	1,382	(6,310)	(382)
Net cash from operating activities	31,440	40,446	30,364
Cash Flows used in Investing Activities			
Purchase of property, plant and equipment, net of investment grants	(325,257)	(199,171)	(6,962)
Sale of properties	48	4,394	
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(612)	(636)
Sale of available-for-sale securities	6,408	948	
Purchase of a subsidiary, net of cash acquired			(2,055)
Disposal of a subsidiary		(1,156)	
Other	342	(56)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(318,459)	(195,653)	(9,653)
Cash Flows from (used in) Financing Activities			
Cash restricted	(11,113)	(14,866)	(6,615)
Increase in construction in progress costs payable	19,347	24,885	
Decrease in operating pulp mill renovation costs payable			(1,008)
Proceeds from borrowings of notes payable and debt	367,588	183,017	6,503
Repayment of notes payable and debt	(68,581)	(23,725)	(28,962)
Repayment of capital lease obligations	(1,011)	(1,097)	(1,266)
Issuance of shares of beneficial interest	913	273	
Equity and loans from minority shareholders		6,259	
Net cash from (used in) financing activities	307,143	174,746	(31,348)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1,608	(1,019)	2,689
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	21,732	18,520	(7,948)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year	30,261	11,741	19,689
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of year	€ 51,993	€ 30,261	€ 11,741

Edgar Filing: MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 424B3

2003	2002	2001
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-8

MERCER INTERNATIONAL INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. The Company and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Mercer International Inc. ("the Company") is a business trust organized under the laws of the State of Washington, U.S. Under Washington law, shareholders of a business trust have the same limited liability as shareholders of a corporation. The Company produces and markets pulp and paper products. The amounts in the notes are rounded to the nearest thousand of Euros except for the share and per share amounts.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries or investees in which the Company exercises control. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. The Company maintains cash balances in foreign financial institutions in excess of insured limits.

At December 31, 2003, €15,187 in cash was restricted by a lender to pay construction in progress costs payable. In addition, the Company had €44,180 of long-term restricted cash representing debt service reserve accounts required by long-term debt agreements.

Investments

Trading securities, consisting of marketable securities, are classified as current investments and are reported at fair values with realized gains or losses and unrealized holding gains or losses included in the results of operations.

The Company has certain equity investments in publicly traded companies in which it has less than 20% of the voting interest and in which it does not exercise significant influence. These securities are classified as available-for-sale securities and reported at fair values; based upon quoted market prices, with the unrealized gains or losses included as a separate component of shareholders' equity, until realized. If a loss in value in available-for-sale securities is considered to be other than temporary, the loss is recognized in the determination of net income. Available-for-sale securities have been classified as long-term investments.

The Company has certain equity investments in non-publicly traded companies in which it has less than 20% of the voting interest and in which it does not exercise significant influence. The Company monitors these securities for impairment and makes appropriate reductions in carrying values when necessary. These investments have been classified as long-term investments.

The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method to determine realized gains or losses.

Investments in entities where the Company owns between 20% and 50% of the voting interest, and in which the Company exercises significant influence are accounted for using the equity method. Under this method, the investment is initially recorded at cost then reduced by dividends and increased or decreased by the Company's proportionate share of the investee's net earnings or loss. The amount of earnings or losses from equity investees is included in other investment income.

Inventories

Inventories of pulp, paper and logs are valued at the lower of average cost and net realizable value. Other materials and supplies are valued at the lower of average cost and replacement cost.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation of buildings and production equipment is based on the estimated useful lives of the assets and is computed using the straight-line method. Buildings are depreciated over 10 to 50 years and production equipment over 8 to 20 years. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for new facilities and those expenditures that substantially increase the useful lives of existing property, plant and equipment are capitalized, as well as interest costs associated with major capital projects until ready for their intended use. Construction in progress includes costs related to building the Stendal pulp mill in Germany and capitalized interest (Note 5).

The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. To determine recoverability, the Company compares the carrying value of the assets to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Measurement of an impairment loss for long-lived assets held for use is based on the fair value of the asset.

The Company provides for asset retirement obligations when there are legislated or contractual bases for those obligations and fair value can be reasonably estimated. Due to the long-term nature of the underlying assets and discount rates, such amounts cannot currently be reliably estimated. Obligations, if any, are capitalized and amortized over the remaining useful life of the related operations.

Government Grants

The Company records investment grants from federal and state governments when they are received. Grants related to assets are government grants whose primary condition is that the company qualifying for them should purchase, construct or otherwise acquire long-term assets. Secondary conditions may also be attached restricting the type or location of the assets and/or other conditions must be met. Grants related to assets, when received, are deducted from the asset costs. Grants related to income are government grants which are either unconditional or related to the Company's normal business operations, and are reported as income when received.

Deferred Note Issuance Costs

Note issuance costs are deferred and amortized as expenses over the term of the related debt instrument.

Foreign Operations and Currency Translation

The Company translates foreign assets and liabilities of its subsidiaries, other than those denominated in Euros, at the rate of exchange at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average rate of exchange throughout the year. Gains or losses from these translations are reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income (loss), until all or a part of the

investment in the subsidiaries is sold or liquidated. The translation adjustments do not recognize the effect of income tax because the Company expects to reinvest the amounts indefinitely in operations.

Transaction gains and losses that arise from exchange rate fluctuations on transactions denominated in a currency other than the local functional currency are included in "General and administrative expenses" in the statement of operations, which amounted to €(1,664), €(3,026) and €3,361 for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company changed its reporting currency from the U.S. dollar to the Euro (except for currency amounts as contractually required). The reason for this change was because a significant majority of the Company's assets and operations are located in Germany where the currency is the Euro. The Company's functional currency and reporting currency are now the same. Prior years' financial statements had been reported in U.S. dollars, but have been restated into Euros using the guidance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 52, "Foreign Currency Translation." Therefore, the financial statements for prior years depict the same trends as the previous financial statements presented in U.S. dollars.

Revenue and Related Cost Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales, transportation and other when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the sales price is fixed or determinable, title of ownership and risk of loss have passed to the customer and collectibility is reasonably assured. Sales are reported net of discounts and allowances. Amounts charged to customers for shipping and handling are recognized as revenue. Shipping and handling costs incurred by the Company are included in cost of sales.

Environmental Conservation

Liabilities for environmental conservation are recorded when it is probable that obligations have been incurred and their fair value can be reasonably estimated. Any potential recoveries of such liabilities are recorded when there is an agreement with the reimbursing entity and recovery is assessed as likely to occur.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation

The Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan, which is described more fully in Note 11. The Company accounts for the plan under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25 ("APB 25"), "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. In 2002, no stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net loss, as all options granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. In 2003, the Company granted stock options to its Chief Financial Officer to acquire up to 100,000 shares of beneficial interest of the Company. At the date of the granting, the market value of the options was greater than the exercise price. Accordingly, the intrinsic value of the stock options was recognized as a stock-based compensation expense in accordance with APB 25 and included in the consolidated statements of operations. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and loss per share if the Company had applied the fair value

recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to stock-based employee compensation.

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Net Loss			
As reported	€ (3,593)	€ (6,322)	€ (2,823)
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based methods for all awards, net of any related tax effects	(29)	(9)	(3,213)
Add: Reversal of stock-based compensation expense recognized under APB Opinion No. 25	14		
	<u>€ (3,608)</u>	<u>€ (6,331)</u>	<u>€ (6,036)</u>
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Basic Loss Per Share			
As reported	€ (0.21)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.17)
Pro forma	€ (0.21)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.36)
Diluted Loss Per Share			
As reported	€ (0.21)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.17)
Pro forma	€ (0.21)	€ (0.38)	€ (0.36)

The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the grant date using the Black Scholes Model. The assumptions used in calculating fair value are as follows:

2003