

Danaos Corp
Form 20-F
March 15, 2016

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 20-F

o **REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR 12(g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OR

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015**

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

OR

o **SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Date of event requiring this shell company report

Commission file number 001-33060

DANAOS CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Not Applicable

(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

Republic of The Marshall Islands

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

c/o Danaos Shipping Co. Ltd
14 Akti Kondyli
185 45 Piraeus
Greece

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

(Address of principal executive offices)

Evangelos Chatzis
Chief Financial Officer
c/o Danaos Shipping Co. Ltd
14 Akti Kondyli
185 45 Piraeus
Greece
Telephone: +30 210 419 6480
Facsimile: +30 210 419 6489

(Name, Address, Telephone Number and Facsimile Number of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--|--|
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share | New York Stock Exchange |
| Preferred stock purchase rights | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None.

As of December 31, 2015, there were 109,781,744 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer
Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION | 1 |
| PART I | 2 |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Key Information</u> | <u>2</u> |
| RISK FACTORS | 5 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Information on the Company</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 4A.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> <u>Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u> | <u>96</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Information</u> | <u>104</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> <u>The Offer and Listing</u> | <u>104</u> |
| <u>Item 10.</u> <u>Additional Information</u> | <u>105</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>125</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> <u>Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities</u> | <u>128</u> |
| PART II | 129 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> <u>Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u> | <u>129</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> <u>Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>129</u> |
| <u>Item 15.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>129</u> |
| <u>Item 16A.</u> <u>Audit Committee Financial Expert</u> | <u>130</u> |
| <u>Item 16B.</u> <u>Code of Ethics</u> | <u>130</u> |
| <u>Item 16C.</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>130</u> |
| <u>Item 16D.</u> <u>Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u> | <u>131</u> |
| <u>Item 16E.</u> <u>Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u> | <u>131</u> |
| <u>Item 16F.</u> <u>Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant</u> | <u>132</u> |
| <u>Item 16G.</u> <u>Corporate Governance</u> | <u>132</u> |
| <u>Item 16H.</u> <u>Mine Safety Disclosure</u> | <u>132</u> |
| PART III | 133 |
| <u>Item 17.</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>133</u> |
| <u>Item 18.</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>133</u> |
| <u>Item 19.</u> <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>133</u> |

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This annual report contains forward-looking statements based on beliefs of our management. Any statements contained in this annual report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements as defined in Section 27A of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events, including:

future operating or financial results;

pending acquisitions and dispositions, business strategies and expected capital spending;

operating expenses, availability of crew, number of off-hire days, drydocking requirements and insurance costs;

general market conditions and shipping market trends, including charter rates, vessel values and factors affecting supply and demand;

our financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to comply with covenants in our financing arrangements and to service our outstanding indebtedness;

performance by our charterers of their obligations;

the availability of ships to purchase, the time that it may take to construct new ships, or the useful lives of our ships;

our ability to obtain financing in the future to fund acquisitions and other general corporate activities;

our continued ability to enter into multi-year, fixed-rate period charters with our customers;

our ability to leverage to our advantage our manager's relationships and reputation in the containership shipping sector of the international shipping industry;

changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities;

potential liability from future litigation; and

other factors discussed in "Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors" of this annual report.

The words "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "forecast," "intend," "potential," "may," "plan," "project," "predict," and "should" and similar expressions as they relate to us are intended to identify such forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. We may also from time to time make forward-looking statements in our periodic reports that we file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") other information sent to our security holders, and other written materials. Such statements reflect our current views and assumptions and all forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations. The factors that could affect our future financial results are discussed more fully in "Item 3. Key

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Information "Risk Factors" and in our other filings with the SEC. We caution readers of this annual report not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

PART I

Danaos Corporation is a corporation domesticated in the Republic of The Marshall Islands that is referred to in this Annual Report on Form 20-F, together with its subsidiaries, as "Danaos Corporation," "the Company," "we," "us," or "our." This report should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes thereto, which are included in Item 18 to this annual report.

We use the term "Panamax" to refer to vessels capable of transiting the Panama Canal and "Post-Panamax" to refer to vessels with a beam of more than 32.31 meters that cannot transit the Panama Canal. We use the term "twenty foot equivalent unit," or "TEU," the international standard measure of containers, in describing the capacity of our containerships. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to currency amounts in this annual report are in U.S. dollars.

All data regarding our fleet and the terms of our charters is as of February 29, 2016. As of February 29, 2016, we owned 55 containerships aggregating 329,588 TEU in capacity. Gemini Shipholdings Corporation ("Gemini"), a Marshall Islands company incorporated in August 2015 and beneficially owned 49% by Danaos Corporation and 51% by Virage International Ltd. ("Virage"), a company controlled by Danaos Corporation's largest stockholder, owned an additional four containerships of 23,998 TEU aggregate capacity as of February 29, 2016. We do not consolidate Gemini's results of operations and account for our minority equity interest in Gemini under the equity method of accounting. See "Item 4. Information on the Company Business Overview Our Fleet".

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not Applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not Applicable.

Item 3. Key Information

Selected Financial Data

The following table presents selected consolidated financial and other data of Danaos Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries for each of the five years in the five year period ended December 31, 2015. The table should be read together with "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects." The selected consolidated financial data of Danaos Corporation is derived from our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or "U.S. GAAP", and have been audited for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011 by PricewaterhouseCoopers S.A., an independent registered public accounting firm.

Our audited consolidated statements of operations, statements of comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, and the

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014, together with the notes thereto, are included in "Item 18. Financial Statements" and should be read in their entirety.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | In thousands, except per share amounts and other data | | | | |
| STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 567,936 | \$ 552,091 | \$ 588,117 | \$ 589,009 | \$ 468,101 |
| Voyage expenses | (12,284) | (12,974) | (11,770) | (13,503) | (10,765) |
| Vessel operating expenses | (112,736) | (113,755) | (122,074) | (123,356) | (119,127) |
| Depreciation | (131,783) | (137,061) | (137,414) | (143,938) | (106,178) |
| Amortization of deferred drydocking and special survey costs | (3,845) | (4,387) | (5,482) | (6,070) | (5,800) |
| Impairment loss | (41,080) | (75,776) | (19,004) | (129,630) | |
| General and administrative expenses | (21,831) | (21,442) | (19,458) | (20,379) | (21,028) |
| Gain/(loss) on sale of vessels | | 5,709 | (449) | 830 | |
| Income from operations | 244,377 | 192,405 | 272,466 | 152,963 | 205,203 |
| Interest income | 3,419 | 1,703 | 2,210 | 1,642 | 1,304 |
| Interest expense | (70,397) | (79,980) | (91,185) | (87,340) | (55,124) |
| Other finance expenses | (18,696) | (19,757) | (20,120) | (18,107) | (14,581) |
| Equity loss on investments | (1,941) | | | | |
| Other (expenses)/income, net | 111 | 422 | 302 | 811 | (1,986) |
| Unrealized and realized losses on derivatives | (39,857) | (98,713) | (126,150) | (155,173) | (121,379) |
| Total other expenses, net | (127,361) | (196,325) | (234,943) | (258,167) | (191,766) |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 117,016 | \$ (3,920) | \$ 37,523 | \$ (105,204) | \$ 13,437 |
| PER SHARE DATA | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted net income/(loss) per share of common stock | \$ 1.07 | \$ (0.04) | \$ 0.34 | \$ (0.96) | \$ 0.12 |
| Basic and diluted weighted average number of shares | 109,785 | 109,676 | 109,654 | 109,613 | 109,045 |
| CASH FLOW DATA | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 271,676 | \$ 192,181 | \$ 189,025 | \$ 166,558 | \$ 59,492 |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities | (13,292) | 11,437 | 6,087 | (369,789) | (644,593) |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities | (243,861) | (214,041) | (182,587) | 207,497 | 406,628 |
| Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 14,523 | (10,423) | 12,525 | 4,266 | (178,473) |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA (at year end) | | | | | |
| Total current assets | \$ 127,570 | \$ 103,073 | \$ 126,866 | \$ 98,673 | \$ 93,291 |
| Total assets | 3,697,103 | 3,851,192 | 4,066,552 | 4,212,045 | 3,988,104 |
| Total current liabilities, including current portion of long-term debt | 312,145 | 328,082 | 369,888 | 365,252 | 231,693 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 269,979 | 178,116 | 146,462 | 125,076 | 41,959 |
| Current portion of Vendor financing | | 46,530 | 57,388 | 57,388 | 10,857 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | 2,505,399 | 2,773,004 | 2,965,641 | 3,097,472 | 2,960,288 |
| Vendor financing, net of current portion | | 17,837 | 64,367 | 121,754 | 54,288 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 841,914 | 688,149 | 598,476 | 440,304 | 442,535 |
| Common stock (shares outstanding) | 109,782 | 109,669 | 109,653 | 109,604 | 109,564 |
| Common stock at par value | 1,098 | 1,097 | 1,097 | 1,096 | 1,096 |
| OTHER DATA | | | | | |
| Number of vessels at period end | 56 | 56 | 59 | 64 | 59 |
| TEU capacity at period end | 334,239 | 334,239 | 345,179 | 363,049 | 291,149 |
| Ownership days | 20,440 | 20,406 | 22,257 | 22,910 | 20,053 |

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Operating days | 20,239 | 19,905 | 20,784 | 21,297 | 19,576 |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

In the first quarter of 2009, our board of directors decided to suspend the payment of further cash dividends as a result of market conditions in the international shipping industry. Our payment of dividends is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors. Our loan agreements and the

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

provisions of Marshall Islands law also contain restrictions that affect our ability to pay dividends and we generally will not be permitted to pay cash dividends under the terms of the bank agreement ("Bank Agreement") and new financing agreements which we entered into in 2011. See "Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Inherent in Our Business We are generally not permitted to pay cash dividends under our financing arrangements." See "Item 8. Financial Information Dividend Policy."

Capitalization and Indebtedness

The table below sets forth our consolidated capitalization as of December 31, 2015:

on an actual basis; and

on an as adjusted basis to reflect, in the period from January 1, 2016 to February 29, 2016, scheduled debt repayments of \$40.9 million, of which \$37.5 million relates to our Bank Agreement and \$3.4 million relates to our Sinasure-CEXIM-Citi-ABN Amro credit facility.

Other than these adjustments, there have been no material changes to our capitalization from debt or equity issuances, re-capitalizations, special dividends, or debt repayments as adjusted in the table below between January 1, 2016 and February 29, 2016.

| | As of December 31, 2015 | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Actual | As Adjusted |
| | (US Dollars in thousands) | |
| Debt: | | |
| Total debt(1) | \$ 2,775,378 | \$ 2,734,437 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01, 100,000,000 preferred shares authorized and none issued; actual and as adjusted | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 750,000,000 shares authorized; 109,781,744 shares issued and outstanding; actual and as adjusted(2) | 1,098 | 1,098 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 546,822 | 546,822 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (103,081) | (103,081) |
| Retained earnings | 397,075 | 397,075 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 841,914 | 841,914 |
| Total capitalization | \$ 3,617,292 | \$ 3,576,351 |

(1) All of our indebtedness is secured.

(2) Does not include 15 million warrants issued in 2011 to purchase shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$7.00 per share, which we issued to the lenders participating in our comprehensive financing plan. The warrants, which will expire on January 31, 2019, are exercisable solely on a cashless exercise basis.

Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

Risks Inherent in Our Business

Our business, and an investment in our securities, involves a high degree of risk, including risks relating to the downturn in the container shipping market, which continues to adversely affect the major liner companies which charter our vessels and may, as it has in the past, have an adverse effect on our earnings and affect our compliance with our loan covenants.

The downturn in the containership market, from which we derive all of our revenues, has severely affected the container shipping industry, particularly the large liner companies to which we charter our vessels, and has adversely affected our business. The containership market has declined sharply since mid-2015, reaching the lowest levels since the historically low levels of 2008 and 2009, after a mild upturn in the first half of 2015 from the generally low levels experienced since the third quarter of 2011. The benchmark rates have declined in all quoted size sectors, with the deepest decline in the benchmark one-year daily rate of a 4,400 TEU Panamax containership, which was \$36,000 in May 2008 and, after reaching \$15,000 in the first half of 2015, \$6,000 in December 2015. The decline in charter rates is due to various factors, including the level of global trade, including exports from China to Europe and the United States, and increases in containership capacity. The decline in the containership market has affected the major liner companies which charter our vessels, some of which have reported large losses again in 2015 and announced the intention to restructure their obligations, including some of our charterers. For instance, as part of its announced efforts to restructure its obligations with various parties, including finance providers and owners of its chartered-in fleet, Hyundai Merchant Marine ("Hyundai"), which currently charters 13 of our vessels, has initiated discussions with containership charter-owners, such as us, regarding its charter obligations for which it is seeking concessions. It also affects the value of our vessels, which follow the trends of freight rates and containership charter rates, and the earnings on our charters, and similarly, affects our cash flows and liquidity. Before the covenant levels in our financing arrangements were reset in the first quarter of 2011 at levels at which we are now in compliance, we had to obtain waivers from the lenders under all but one of our credit facilities because we had not been in compliance with the covenants contained in our loan agreements. The further decline in the containership charter market in recent months may continue to have additional adverse consequences for our industry including limited financing for vessel acquisitions and newbuildings, a less active secondhand market for the sale of vessels, charterers not performing under, or requesting modifications of, existing time charters and loan covenant defaults in the container shipping industry. This significant downturn in the container shipping industry could adversely affect our ability to service our debt and other obligations and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Low containership charter rates and containership vessel values and any future declines in these rates and values can affect our ability to comply with various covenants in our credit facilities.

Our credit facilities, which are secured by mortgages on our vessels, require us to maintain specified collateral coverage ratios and satisfy financial covenants, including requirements based on the market value of our containerships, our net worth and consolidated debt to EBITDA. Persistently low containership charter rates, or the failure of our charterers to fulfill their obligations under their charters for our vessels, due to the financial pressure on these liner companies from the significant decreases in demand for the seaborne transport of containerized cargo or otherwise, could adversely affect our ability to comply with covenants in our financing arrangements. The market value of containerships is sensitive to, among other things, changes in the charter markets with vessel values deteriorating in times when charter rates are falling and improving when charter rates are anticipated to rise. The depressed state of the containership charter market coupled with the prevailing difficulty in obtaining financing for vessel purchases has generally adversely affected containership values. Under the agreement ("Bank Agreement") we entered into in the first quarter of 2011 for the restructuring of

Table of Contents

our then existing credit facilities and certain credit facilities we entered into in January 2011 ("January 2011 Credit Facilities"), the financial covenants in our financing arrangements were reset to levels that gradually tighten over the period through the maturity of these financing arrangements in late 2018.

If we are unable to comply with the financial and other covenants under our credit facilities, our lenders could accelerate our indebtedness and foreclose on the vessels in our fleet, which would impair our ability to continue to conduct our business. Any such acceleration, because of the cross-default provisions in our loan agreements, could in turn lead to additional defaults under our other loan agreements and the consequent acceleration of the indebtedness thereunder and the commencement of similar foreclosure proceedings by our other lenders. If our indebtedness were accelerated in full or in part, it would be difficult in the current financing environment for us to refinance our debt or obtain additional financing and we could lose our vessels if our lenders foreclose upon their liens, which would adversely affect our ability to continue our business.

We may have difficulty securing profitable employment for our vessels in the currently depressed containership market.

Of our 55 vessels, as of February 29, 2016, nine are employed on time charters expiring between March 2016 and October 2016. Two of the vessels owned by Gemini are unemployed as of February 29, 2016. Given the current depressed state of the containership charter market, we may be unable to secure employment for these vessels at attractive rates, or at all, when, if applicable, their charters expire. Although we do not receive any revenues from our vessels while not employed, as was also the case for certain of our vessels for periods in recent years, we are required to pay expenses necessary to maintain the vessel in proper operating condition, insure it and service any indebtedness secured by such vessel. If we cannot re-charter our vessels profitably, our results of operations and operating cash flow will be adversely affected.

We are dependent on the ability and willingness of our charterers to honor their commitments to us for all of our revenues and the failure of our counterparties to meet their obligations under our charter agreements could cause us to suffer losses or otherwise adversely affect our business.

We derive all of our revenues from the payment of charter hire by our charterers. Each of our 55 containerships are currently employed under time or bareboat charters with eleven liner companies, with 99% of our revenues in 2015 generated from six such companies. We could lose a charterer or the benefits of a time charter if:

the charterer fails to make charter payments to us because of its financial inability, disagreements with us, defaults on a payment or otherwise;

the charterer exercises certain specific limited rights to terminate the charter;

we do not take delivery of any newbuilding containership we may contract for at the agreed time; or

the charterer terminates the charter because the ship fails to meet certain guaranteed speed and fuel consumption requirements and we are unable to rectify the situation or otherwise reach a mutually acceptable settlement.

In recent years, a number of major liner companies, including some of our charterers, have announced significant losses, efforts to obtain third party aid and to restructure their obligations, including charter modifications, as well as an intention to reduce the number of vessels they charter-in, which circumstances may increase the likelihood of losing a charterer or the benefits of a time charter. Hyundai, which currently charters 13 of our vessels, has announced that it is undertaking efforts to restructure its obligations with various parties, including finance providers and owners of its

Table of Contents

chartered-in fleet, and has initiated discussions with containership charter-owners, including us, regarding its charter obligations for which it is seeking concessions.

We contributed to ZIM's past restructurings by agreeing to receive a portion of the charter hire payable under time charters for six of our vessels in the form of long-term notes and ZIM's 2014 agreement with its creditors included a significant reduction in the charter rates payable by ZIM under its time charters, expiring in 2020 or 2021, for six of our vessels and we received unsecured, non-amortizing, interest bearing ZIM notes maturing in 2023 and ZIM shares in exchange for such reductions and cancellation of ZIM's other obligations to us which relate to the previously deferred charter hire.

If we lose a time charter, we may be unable to re-deploy the related vessel on terms as favorable to us or at all. We would not receive any revenues from such a vessel while it remained unchartered, but we may be required to pay expenses necessary to maintain the vessel in proper operating condition, insure it and service any indebtedness secured by such vessel.

Many of the time charters on which we deploy our containerships, including those with Hyundai for a number of our vessels, provide for charter rates that are significantly above current market rates. The ability and willingness of each of our counterparties to perform its obligations under their time charters with us will depend on a number of factors that are beyond our control and may include, among other things, general economic conditions, the condition of the container shipping industry, which has generally experienced sharp declines since mid-2015 from the already weaker levels generally experienced during the limited recovery from the 2008-2009 economic crisis, and the overall financial condition of the counterparty. Furthermore, the combination of a reduction in cash flow resulting from declines in world trade, a reduction in borrowing bases under credit facilities and the reduced availability of debt and equity financing may result in a significant reduction in the ability of our charterers to make charter payments to us, with a number of large liner companies announcing efforts to obtain third party aid and restructure their obligations, including some of our charterers. The likelihood of a charterer seeking to renegotiate or defaulting on its charter with us may be heightened to the extent such customers are not able to utilize the vessels under charter from us, and instead leave such chartered vessels idle. Should a counterparty fail to honor its obligations under agreements with us, it may be difficult to secure substitute employment for such vessel, and any new charter arrangements we secure may be at lower rates given currently depressed situation in the charter market. Gemini, in which we have minority equity investment, faces the same risks with respect to its vessels that it employs on time charters.

If Hyundai or our other charterers fail to meet their obligations to us or attempt to renegotiate our charter agreements, as part of a court-led restructuring or otherwise, we could sustain significant reductions in revenue and earnings which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, as well as our ability to pay dividends, if any, in the future, and comply with the covenants in our credit facilities. In such an event, we could be unable to service our debt and other obligations and could ourselves have to restructure our obligations.

We depend upon a limited number of customers for a large part of our revenues. The loss of these customers could adversely affect us.

Our customers in the containership sector consist of a limited number of liner operators. The percentage of our revenues derived from these customers has varied in past years. In the past several years, CMA CGM, Hanjin, Hyundai Merchant Marine, Yang Ming, China Shipping and ZIM have represented substantial amounts of our revenue. In 2015, approximately 99% of our operating revenues were generated by these six customers, while in 2014, approximately 97% of our operating revenues were derived from these customers. As of the date of this report, we have charters for four of our

Table of Contents

vessels with China Shipping, for twelve of our vessels with CMA CGM, for thirteen of our vessels with Hyundai, for eight of our vessels with Hanjin, for six of our vessels with Yang Ming and for seven of our vessels with ZIM. We expect that a limited number of liner companies may continue to generate a substantial portion of our revenues. Some of these liner companies, including our largest customer by revenues in 2015, have publicly acknowledged the financial difficulties facing them, reported substantial losses again in 2015 and announced the intention to restructure their obligations, including charter contracts. Hyundai, from which 28% of our revenues were generated in 2015, has initiated discussions with containership charter-owners, including us, regarding its charter obligations for which it is seeking concessions. ZIM's 2014 restructuring agreement with its creditors included a significant reduction in the charter rates payable by ZIM under its time charters, expiring in 2020 or 2021, for six of our vessels. If any of these liner operators cease doing business or do not fulfill their obligations under their charters for our vessels, due to the financial pressure on these liner companies from the significant decreases in demand for the seaborne transport of containerized cargo or otherwise, our results of operations and cash flows, and ability to comply with covenants in our financing arrangements, could be adversely affected. Further, if we encounter any difficulties in our relationships with these charterers, our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Our profitability and growth depend on the demand for containerships and the current global economic weakness, and the impact on consumer confidence and consumer spending may continue to result in a decrease in containerized shipping volume and adversely affect charter rates. Charter hire rates for containerships may continue to experience volatility or settle at depressed levels, which would, in turn, adversely affect our profitability.

Demand for our vessels depends on demand for the shipment of cargoes in containers and, in turn, containerships. The ocean-going container shipping industry is both cyclical and volatile in terms of charter hire rates and profitability. Containership charter rates peaked in 2005 and generally stayed strong until the middle of 2008, when the effects of the economic crisis began to affect global container trade, and in 2008 and 2009 the ocean-going container shipping industry experienced severe declines, with charter rates at significantly lower levels than the historic highs of the prior few years. Containership charter rates again declined sharply beginning in the third quarter of 2011, after limited improvement in 2010 and 2011, before recovering somewhat in the first half of 2015. Since mid-2015 rates have declined sharply, remain well below long-term averages and could remain at depressed levels for an extended period. Variations in containership charter rates result from changes in the supply and demand for ship capacity and changes in the supply and demand for the major products transported by containerships. The factors affecting the supply and demand for containerships and supply and demand for products shipped in containers are outside of our control, and the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions are unpredictable. The slowdown in the global economy and disruptions in the credit markets may continue to reduce demand for products shipped in containers and, in turn, containership capacity.

Factors that influence demand for containership capacity include:

- supply and demand for products suitable for shipping in containers;
- changes in global production of products transported by containerships;
- the distance that container cargo products are to be moved by sea;
- the globalization of manufacturing;
- global and regional economic and political conditions;
- developments in international trade;

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns, including changes in the distances over which containerized cargoes are transported and steaming speed of vessels;

environmental and other regulatory developments; and

currency exchange rates.

Factors that influence the supply of containership capacity include:

the number of new building deliveries;

the scrapping rate of older containerships;

the price of steel and other raw materials;

changes in environmental and other regulations that may limit the useful life of containerships;

the number of containerships that are out of service; and

port congestion.

Consumer confidence and consumer spending remain relatively weak and uncertain. Consumer purchases of discretionary items, many of which are transported by sea in containers, generally decline during periods where disposable income is adversely affected or there is economic uncertainty and, as a result, liner company customers may ship fewer containers or may ship containers only at reduced rates. Any such decrease in shipping volume could adversely impact our liner company customers and, in turn, demand for containerships. As a result, charter rates and vessel values in the containership sector have decreased significantly and the counterparty risk associated with the charters for our vessels has increased.

Our ability to recharter our containerships upon the expiration or termination of their current charters and the charter rates payable under any renewal or replacement charters will depend upon, among other things, the prevailing state of the charter market for containerships. As of February 29, 2016, two vessels owned by Gemini were not employed and the charters for nine of our existing vessels expire between March 2016 and October 2016. If the charter market, which has experienced sharp declines since mid-2015 from already low levels, is depressed when our vessels' charters expire, we may be forced to recharter the containerships, if we were able to recharter such vessels at all, at sharply reduced rates and possibly at rates whereby we incur a loss. If we were unable to recharter our vessels on favorable terms, we may potentially scrap certain of such vessels, which may reduce our earnings or make our earnings volatile. The same issues will exist if we acquire additional containerships, if we are able to recharter such vessels at all, and attempt to obtain multi-year charter arrangements as part of an acquisition and financing plan.

The Bank Agreement in respect of our financing arrangements imposes stringent operating and financial restrictions on us which may, among other things, limit our ability to grow our business and currently effectively prevent us from pursuing opportunities to acquire newbuilding and other recently built containerships that meet the needs of our liner company customers.

Under the terms of the Bank Agreement, our credit facilities and financing arrangements impose more stringent operating and financial restrictions on us than those previously contained in our credit facilities. These restrictions, as described in "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects," generally preclude us from:

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

incurring additional indebtedness without the consent of our lenders, except to the extent the proceeds of such additional indebtedness is used to repay existing indebtedness;

creating liens on our assets, generally, unless for the equitable and ratable benefit of our existing lenders;

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

selling capital stock of our subsidiaries;

disposing of assets without the consent of the lenders with loans collateralized by such assets and, in case of such approval, using the proceeds thereof to repay indebtedness;

using a significant portion of the proceeds from equity issuances for any purpose other than to repay indebtedness;

using more than a minimal amount of our free cash from operations for purposes other than repayment of indebtedness;

engaging in transactions that would constitute a change of control, as defined in such financing agreement, without repaying all of our indebtedness in full;

paying dividends, absent a substantial reduction in our leverage; or

changing our manager or certain members of our management.

As a result we have reduced discretion in operating our business and may have difficulty growing our business. In particular, the conditions on the use of equity proceeds and incurrence of indebtedness effectively prevent us from pursuing opportunities to acquire newbuildings and other recently built containerships that meet the needs of our liner company customers with the resulting risks of a deterioration in our reputation and standing with our customers and a loss of competitive position among other containership owners.

In addition, our respective lenders under these financing arrangements will, at their option, be able to require us to repay in full amounts outstanding under such respective credit facilities, upon a "Change of Control" of our company, which for these purposes and as further described in "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Bank Agreement", includes Dr. Coustas ceasing to be our Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Coustas and members of his family ceasing to collectively own over one-third of the voting interest in our outstanding capital stock or any other person or group controlling more than 20% of the voting power of our outstanding capital stock.

The Bank Agreement and our financing arrangements contain financial covenants requiring us to:

maintain a ratio of (i) the market value of all of the vessels in our fleet, on a charter-inclusive basis, plus the net realizable value of any additional collateral, to (ii) our consolidated total debt above specified minimum levels gradually increasing from 90% through December 31, 2011 to 130% from September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2018. This ratio was required to be 115% as of December 31, 2015;

maintain a minimum ratio of (i) the market value of the nine vessels (Hyundai Smart, Hyundai Speed, Hyundai Ambition, Hyundai Together, Hyundai Tenacity, Hanjin Greece, Hanjin Italy, Hanjin Germany and CMA CGM Rabelais) collateralizing the January 2011 Credit Facilities, calculated on a charter-free basis, plus the net realizable value of any additional collateral, to (ii) our aggregate debt outstanding under the January 2011 Credit Facilities of 100% from September 30, 2012 through September 30, 2018;

maintain minimum free consolidated unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, less the amount of the aggregate variable principal amortization amounts, described above, of \$30.0 million at the end of each calendar quarter;

ensure that our (i) consolidated total debt less unrestricted cash and cash equivalents to (ii) consolidated EBITDA (defined as net income before interest, gains or losses under any hedging arrangements, tax, depreciation, amortization and any other non-cash item, capital gains or losses realized from the sale of any vessel, finance charges and capital losses on vessel

cancellations and before any non-recurring items and excluding any accrued interest due to us

Table of Contents

but not received on or before the end of the relevant period; provided that non-recurring items excluded from this calculation shall not exceed 5% of EBITDA calculated in this manner) for the last twelve months does not exceed a maximum ratio gradually decreasing from 12:1 on December 31, 2010 to 4.75:1 on September 30, 2018. This ratio was required to be 7.0:1 as of December 31, 2015;

ensure that the ratio of our (i) consolidated EBITDA for the last twelve months to (ii) net interest expense (defined as interest expense (excluding capitalized interest), less interest income, less realized gains on interest rate swaps (excluding capitalized gains) and plus realized losses on interest rate swaps (excluding capitalized losses)) exceeds a minimum level of 1.50:1 through September 30, 2013 and thereafter gradually increasing to 2.80:1 by September 30, 2018. This ratio was required to be 2.0:1 as of December 31, 2015; and

maintain a consolidated market value adjusted net worth (defined as the amount by which our total consolidated assets adjusted for the market value of our vessels in the water less cash and cash equivalents in excess of our debt service requirements exceeds our total consolidated liabilities after excluding the net asset or liability relating to the fair value of derivatives as reflected in our financial statements for the relevant period) of at least \$400 million.

The provisions of our KEXIM-ABN Amro credit facility, which is not covered by the Bank Agreement, have been aligned with the above covenants through November 20, 2018 and our Sinasure-CEXIM credit facility has similar financial covenants and a collateral coverage covenant of 125% per tranche as described in "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects." In addition, under our KEXIM credit facility, we must comply with a collateral coverage covenant of 130%.

If we fail to meet our payment or covenant compliance obligations under the terms of the Bank Agreement covering our credit facilities or other financing arrangements, our lenders could then accelerate our indebtedness and foreclose on the vessels in our fleet securing those credit facilities, which could result in cross-defaults under our other credit facilities, and the consequent acceleration of the indebtedness thereunder and the commencement of similar foreclosure proceedings by other lenders. The loss of any of these vessels would have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Substantial debt levels could limit our flexibility to obtain additional financing and pursue other business opportunities and our ability to service our outstanding indebtedness will depend on our future operating performance, including the charter rates we receive under charters for our vessels.

As of December 31, 2015, we had outstanding indebtedness of \$2.8 billion and, while we have no remaining borrowing availability under our existing loan agreements, we may incur substantial additional indebtedness, as market conditions warrant over the medium to long-term and to the extent permitted by our existing lenders, further grow our fleet. This level of debt could have important consequences to us, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may be unavailable on favorable terms;

we will need to use substantially all of our free cash from operations, as required under the terms of our Bank Agreement, to make principal and interest payments on our debt, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for future business opportunities and, if permitted by our lenders and reinstated, dividends to our stockholders;

our debt level could make us more vulnerable than our competitors with less debt to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally; and

Table of Contents

our debt level may limit our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

Our ability to service our debt will depend upon, among other things, our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. In particular, the charter rates we obtain for our vessels, including the nine vessels with charters expiring between March 2016 and October 2016, and any reductions in contracted charter rates for our vessels and other concessions, such as we agreed in 2014 with ZIM for six of our vessels and such as Hyundai is currently seeking from containership charter-owners, will have a significant impact on our ability to service our indebtedness. Due to the restrictions on the use of cash from operations and other sources for purposes other than the repayment of indebtedness, even if we otherwise generate sufficient cash flow to service our debt, we may still be forced to take actions such as reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt or seeking additional equity capital. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms, or at all. In addition, restrictions in the Bank Agreement in respect of our credit facilities and a relative lack of liquidity in the debt and equity markets could hinder our ability to refinance our debt or obtain additional financing on favorable terms in the future.

Disruptions in world financial markets and the resulting governmental action could have a further material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows, and could cause the market price of our common stock to decline further.

Europe, the United States and other parts of the world continue to exhibit weak economic trends. For example, the credit markets in Europe and, to a lesser extent, the United States have experienced significant contraction, de-leveraging and reduced liquidity, and European Union and international organizations, as well as the United States federal government and state governments, have implemented and are considering a broad variety of governmental action and/or new regulation of the financial markets. Securities and futures markets and the credit markets are subject to comprehensive statutes, regulations and other requirements. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, other regulators, self-regulatory organizations and securities exchanges are authorized to take extraordinary actions in the event of market emergencies, and may effect changes in law or interpretations of existing laws.

Credit markets and the debt and equity capital markets have been distressed at times since the severe disruptions and volatility of 2008 and 2009. These issues, along with the re-pricing of credit risk and the difficulties being experienced by financial institutions have made, and will likely continue to make, it difficult to obtain financing. As a result of the disruptions in the credit markets, the cost of obtaining bank financing has increased as many lenders have increased interest rates, enacted tighter lending standards, required more restrictive terms, including higher collateral ratios for advances, shorter maturities and smaller loan amounts, refused to refinance existing debt at maturity at all or on terms similar to our current debt. Furthermore, certain banks that have historically been significant lenders to the shipping industry have announced an intention to reduce or cease lending activities in the shipping industry. We cannot be certain that financing will be available on acceptable terms or at all. If financing is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, we may be unable to meet our obligations as they come due. In the absence of available financing, we may be unable to take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations.

We face risks attendant to changes in economic environments, changes in interest rates, and instability in the banking and securities markets around the world, among other factors. Major market disruptions and the current adverse changes in market conditions and the regulatory climate in the United States and worldwide may adversely affect our business or impair our ability to borrow amounts

Table of Contents

under any future financial arrangements. We cannot predict how long the current market conditions will last. However, these recent and developing economic and governmental factors, together with the concurrent decline in charter rates and vessel values, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows, have caused the price of our common stock to decline and could cause the price of our common stock to decline further.

In addition, as a result of the ongoing economic slump in Greece resulting from the sovereign debt crisis and the related austerity measures implemented by the Greek government, our operations in Greece may be subjected to new regulations that may require us to incur new or additional compliance or other administrative costs and may require that we pay to the Greek government new taxes or other fees. Furthermore, the change in the Greek government and potential shift in its policies may undermine Greece's political and economic stability, which may adversely affect our operations and those of our manager located in Greece. We also face the risk that strikes, work stoppages, civil unrest and violence within Greece, as well as the capital controls in effect in Greece since mid-2015, may disrupt our shoreside operations and those of our manager located in Greece.

Weak economic conditions throughout the world, particularly in Europe and in the Asia Pacific region, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Economic conditions have remained relatively weak since the 2008-2009 economic crisis and renewed concerns about the pace of the recovery in different parts of the world, including China, have emerged in recent months. Continuing concerns regarding the possibility of sovereign debt defaults by European Union member countries, including Greece, and the potential for recession in Europe have resulted in devaluation of the Euro and have led to concerns regarding consumer demand both in Europe and other parts of the world, including the United States. The deterioration in the global economy has caused, and may continue to cause, a decrease in worldwide demand for certain goods and, thus, container shipping. Continuing economic instability could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In particular, we anticipate a significant number of the port calls made by our vessels will continue to involve the loading or unloading of containers in ports in the Asia Pacific region. As a result, negative changes in economic conditions in any Asia Pacific country, and particularly in China, may exacerbate the effect of the significant downturns in the economies of the United States and the European Union and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations, as well as our future prospects. In recent years, China has been one of the world's fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product, which has had a significant impact on shipping demand. Recently, however, significant concerns have arisen over slowing growth in China and other countries in the Asia Pacific region and regarding how long such countries may experience slowed or even negative economic growth in the future. Moreover, the current relative weakness in the economies of the United States, the European Union and other Asian countries may further adversely affect economic growth in China and elsewhere. In particular, the possibility of sovereign debt defaults by European Union member countries, including Greece, and any resulting weakness of the Euro, including against the Chinese renminbi, could adversely affect European consumer demand, particularly for goods imported, many of which are shipped in containerized form, from China and elsewhere in Asia, and reduce the availability of trade financing which is vital to the conduct of international shipping. In addition, the charters that we enter into with Chinese customers, including the charters we currently have with China Shipping for four of our vessels, may be subject to new regulations in China that may require us to incur new or additional compliance or other administrative costs and may require that we pay to the Chinese government new taxes or other fees. Changes in laws and regulations, including with regards to tax matters, and their implementation by local authorities could affect our vessels chartered to Chinese customers as well as our vessels calling to Chinese ports and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Our business, financial condition, results of operations, ability to pay

Table of Contents

dividends, if any, as well as our future prospects, will likely be materially and adversely affected by a further economic downturn in any of these countries.

Demand for the seaborne transport of products in containers, which has declined sharply since mid-2015, has a significant impact on the financial performance of liner companies and, in turn, demand for containerhips and our charter counterparty risk.

Demand for the seaborne transportation of products in containers, which is significantly impacted by global economic activity, has declined sharply since mid-2015, reaching the lowest levels since the historically low levels of 2008 and 2009. Consequently, the cargo volumes and freight rates achieved by liner companies, with which all of the existing vessels in our fleet are chartered, have declined sharply, reducing liner company profitability and, at times, failing to cover the costs of liner companies operating vessels on their shipping lines. In response to such reduced cargo volume and freight rates, the number of vessels being actively deployed by liner companies decreased, with approximately 7% of the world containership fleet estimated to be out of service at the end of 2015, which was below the 12% high of December 2009 but up significantly from 1.3% at the end of 2014. Moreover, newbuilding containerships with an aggregate capacity of approximately 3.8 million TEUs, representing approximately 19% of the existing global fleet capacity at the end of 2015, were under construction, which may exacerbate the surplus of containership capacity further reducing charterhire rates or increasing the number of unemployed vessels. Many liner companies, including some of our customers, reported substantial losses in 2015 and other recent years, as well as having announced plans to reduce the number of vessels they charter-in and form cooperative alliances as part of efforts to reduce the size of their fleets to better align fleet capacity with the reduced demand for marine transportation of containerized cargo.

The reduced demand and resulting financial challenges faced by our liner company customers has significantly reduced demand for containerhips and may increase the likelihood of one or more of our customers being unable or unwilling to pay us the contracted charterhire rates, such as we agreed with ZIM in 2014, which are generally significantly above prevailing charter rates, under the charters for our vessels, including our charters with Hyundai for which it is currently seeking concessions. We generate all of our revenues from these charters and if our charterers fail to meet their obligations to us, we would sustain significant reductions in revenue and earnings, which could materially adversely affect our business and results of operations, as well as our ability to comply with covenants in our credit facilities.

An over-supply of containership capacity may prolong or further depress the current low charter rates and adversely affect our ability to recharter our containerhips at profitable rates or at all and, in turn, reduce our profitability.

While the size of the containership order book has declined from the historic highs reached in mid-2008, at the end of 2015 newbuilding containerships with an aggregate capacity of approximately 3.8 million TEUs were under construction, representing approximately 19% of the existing global fleet capacity. The size of the orderbook is large relative to historic levels and, notwithstanding that some orders may be cancelled or delayed, will likely result in a significant increase in the size of the world containership fleet over the next few years. An over-supply of containership capacity, particularly in conjunction with the currently low level of demand for the seaborne transport of containers, which proposed liner company alliances may accentuate, could exacerbate the recent decrease in charter rates or prolong the period during which low charter rates prevail. We do not hedge against our exposure to changes in charter rates, due to increased supply of containerhips or otherwise. As such, if the current low charter rate environment persists, or a further reduction occurs, during a period when the current charters for our containerhips expire or are terminated, we may only be able to recharter those containerhips at reduced or unprofitable rates or we may not be able to charter those vessels at all. As

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

of February 29, 2016, two vessels owned by Gemini are not employed and the charters for nine of our vessels expire between March 2016 and October 2016.

Our profitability and growth depends on our ability to expand relationships with existing charterers and to obtain new time charters, for which we will face substantial competition from established companies with significant resources as well as new entrants.

One of our objectives over the mid- to long-term is, when market conditions warrant and it is feasible, given the restrictions currently contained in our Bank Agreement, to acquire additional containerships in conjunction with entering into additional multi-year, fixed-rate time charters for these vessels. We employ our vessels in highly competitive markets that are capital intensive and highly fragmented, with a highly competitive process for obtaining new multi-year time charters that generally involves an intensive screening process and competitive bids, and often extends for several months. Generally, we compete for charters based on price, customer relationship, operating expertise, professional reputation and the size, age and condition of our vessels. Recently, in light of the dramatic downturn in the containership charter market, other containership owners, including many of the KG-model shipping entities, have chartered their vessels to liner companies at extremely low rates, including at unprofitable levels, increasing the price pressure when competing to secure employment for our containerships. Container shipping charters are awarded based upon a variety of factors relating to the vessel operator, including:

shipping industry relationships and reputation for customer service and safety;

container shipping experience and quality of ship operations (including cost effectiveness);

quality and experience of seafaring crew;

the ability to finance containerships at competitive rates and financial stability in general;

relationships with shipyards and the ability to get suitable berths;

construction management experience, including the ability to obtain on-time delivery of new ships according to customer specifications;

willingness to accept operational risks pursuant to the charter, such as allowing termination of the charter for force majeure events; and

competitiveness of the bid in terms of overall price.

We face substantial competition from a number of experienced companies, including state-sponsored entities and major shipping companies. Some of these competitors have significantly greater financial resources than we do, and can therefore operate larger fleets and may be able to offer better charter rates. We anticipate that other marine transportation companies may also enter the containership sector, including many with strong reputations and extensive resources and experience. This increased competition may cause greater price competition for time charters and, in stronger market conditions, for secondhand vessels and newbuildings.

In addition, a number of our competitors in the containership sector, including several that are among the largest charter owners of containerships in the world, have been established in the form of a German KG (Kommanditgesellschaft), which provides tax benefits to private investors. Although the German tax law was amended to significantly restrict the tax benefits to taxpayers who invest in these entities after November 10, 2005, the tax benefits afforded to all investors in the KG-model shipping entities continue to be significant, and such entities may continue to be attractive investments. Their focus on these tax benefits allows the KG-model shipping entities more flexibility in offering lower charter rates to liner companies. Further, since the charter rate is generally considered to be one of the principal factors in a charterer's decision to charter a vessel, the rates offered by these sizeable competitors can have a depressing effect throughout the charter market.

Table of Contents

As a result of these factors, we may be unable to compete successfully with established companies with greater resources or new entrants for charters at a profitable level, or at all, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may have more difficulty entering into multi-year, fixed-rate time charters if a more active short-term or spot container shipping market develops.

One of our principal strategies is to enter into multi-year, fixed-rate containership time charters particularly in strong charter rate environments, although in weaker charter rate environments, such as the one that currently exists, we would generally expect to target somewhat shorter charter terms of three to six years or even shorter periods, particularly for smaller vessels. As more vessels become available for the spot or short-term market, we may have difficulty entering into additional multi-year, fixed-rate time charters for our containerships due to the increased supply of containerships and the possibility of lower rates in the spot market and, as a result, our cash flows may be subject to instability in the long-term. A more active short-term or spot market may require us to enter into charters based on changing market rates, as opposed to contracts based on a fixed rate, which could result in a decrease in our cash flows and net income in periods when the market for container shipping is depressed, as it is currently, or insufficient funds are available to cover our financing costs for related containerships.

Delays in deliveries of any newbuilding vessels we may order or any secondhand vessels we may agree to acquire could harm our business.

Delays in the delivery of any newbuilding containerships we may order or any secondhand vessels we may agree to acquire, would delay our receipt of revenues under any arranged time charters and could result in the cancellation of such time charters or other liabilities under such charters, and therefore adversely affect our anticipated results of operations. The delivery of any newbuilding containership could also be delayed because of, among other things:

work stoppages or other labor disturbances or other events that disrupt the operations of the shipyard building the vessels;

quality or engineering problems;

changes in governmental regulations or maritime self-regulatory organization standards;

lack of raw materials;

bankruptcy or other financial crisis of the shipyard building the vessel;

our inability to obtain requisite financing or make timely payments;

a backlog of orders at the shipyard building the vessel;

hostilities or political or economic disturbances in the countries where the containerships are being built;

weather interference or catastrophic event, such as a major earthquake or fire;

our requests for changes to the original vessel specifications;

requests from the liner companies, with which we have arranged charters for such vessels, to delay construction and delivery of such vessels due to weak economic conditions and container shipping demand;

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

shortages of or delays in the receipt of necessary construction materials, such as steel;

our inability to obtain requisite permits or approvals; or

a dispute with the shipyard building the vessel.

Table of Contents

The shipbuilders with which we contract for any newbuilding may be affected by the ongoing instability of the financial markets and other market conditions, including with respect to the fluctuating price of commodities and currency exchange rates. In addition, the refund guarantors under any newbuilding contracts we enter into, which would be banks, financial institutions and other credit agencies, may also be affected by financial market conditions in the same manner as our lenders and, as a result, may be unable or unwilling to meet their obligations under their refund guarantees. If shipbuilders or refund guarantors are unable or unwilling to meet their obligations to us, this will impact our acquisition of vessels and may materially and adversely affect our operations and our obligations under our credit facilities.

The delivery of any secondhand containership we may agree to acquire could be delayed because of, among other things, hostilities or political disturbances, non-performance of the purchase agreement with respect to the vessels by the seller, our inability to obtain requisite permits, approvals or financing or damage to or destruction of the vessels while being operated by the seller prior to the delivery date.

Certain of the containerships in our fleet are subject to purchase options held by the charterers of the respective vessels, which, if exercised, could reduce the size of our containership fleet and reduce our future revenues.

The chartering arrangements with respect to the *CMA CGM Moliere*, the *CMA CGM Musset*, the *CMA CGM Nerval*, the *CMA CGM Rabelais* and the *CMA CGM Racine* include options for the charterer, CMA CGM, to purchase the vessels eight years after the commencement of their respective charters, which will fall in September 2017, March 2018, May 2018, July 2018 and August 2018, respectively, each for \$78.0 million. The option exercise prices with respect to these vessels reflect an estimate, made at the time of entry into the applicable charter, of market prices, which are in excess of the vessels' book values net of depreciation, at the time the options become exercisable. If CMA-CGM were to exercise these options with respect to any or all of these vessels, the expected size of our containership fleet would be reduced and, if there were a scarcity of secondhand containerships available for acquisition at such time and because of the delay in delivery associated with commissioning newbuilding containerships, we could be unable to replace these vessels with other comparable vessels, or any other vessels, quickly or, if containership values were higher than currently anticipated at the time we were required to sell these vessels, at a cost equal to the purchase price paid by CMA-CGM. Consequently, if these purchase options were to be exercised, the expected size of our containership fleet would be reduced, and as a result our anticipated level of revenues would be reduced.

Containership values have recently decreased significantly, and may remain at these depressed levels, or decrease further, and over time may fluctuate substantially. Depressed vessel values could cause us to incur impairment charges, such as the \$41.1 million and \$75.8 million impairment losses we recorded as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, for our older vessels, or to incur a loss if these values are low at a time we are attempting to dispose of a vessel.

Due to the sharp decline in world trade and containership charter rates, the market values of the containerships in our fleet are currently significantly lower than prior to the downturn that began in the second half of 2008. Containership values may remain at current low, or lower, levels for a prolonged period of time and can fluctuate substantially over time due to a number of different factors, including:

prevailing economic conditions in the markets in which containerships operate;

changes in and the level of world trade;

the supply of containership capacity;

prevailing charter rates; and

Table of Contents

the cost of retrofitting or modifying existing ships, as a result of technological advances in vessel design or equipment, changes in applicable environmental or other regulations or standards, or otherwise.

We review our vessels for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we concluded that events occurred and circumstances had changed, which may trigger the existence of potential impairment of our long-lived assets and we performed impairment testing and we recorded an impairment loss of \$41.1 million and \$75.8 million, respectively for our older vessels, and we have incurred impairment charges in prior years as well. In the future, if the market values of our vessels experience further deterioration or we lose the benefits of the existing charter arrangements for any of our vessels and cannot replace such arrangements with charters at comparable rates, we may be required to record additional impairment charges in our financial statements, which could adversely affect our results of operations. Any impairment charges incurred as a result of declines in charter rates could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if we sell any vessel at a time when vessel prices have fallen and before we have recorded an impairment adjustment to our financial statements, the sale may be at less than the vessel's carrying amount on our financial statements, resulting in a loss and a reduction in earnings.

We are generally not permitted to pay cash dividends under our financing arrangements.

Prior to 2009, we paid regular cash dividends on a quarterly basis. In the first quarter of 2009, our board of directors suspended the payment of cash dividends as a result of market conditions in the international shipping industry and in particular the sharp decline in charter rates and vessel values in the containership sector. Until such market conditions significantly improve, it is unlikely that we will reinstate the payment of dividends and if reinstated, it is likely that any dividend payments would be at reduced levels. The Bank Agreement, which restructured our credit facilities and provides new financing arrangements, does not permit us to pay cash dividends or repurchase shares of our common stock until the termination of such agreements in late 2018, absent a significant decrease in our leverage.

We are a holding company and we depend on the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute funds to us in order to satisfy our financial obligations.

We are a holding company and our subsidiaries conduct all of our operations and own all of our operating assets. We have no significant assets other than the equity interests in our subsidiaries and our equity investment in Gemini. As a result, our ability to pay our contractual obligations and, if permitted by our lenders and reinstated, to make any dividend payments in the future depends on our subsidiaries and their ability to distribute funds to us. The ability of a subsidiary to make these distributions could be affected by a claim or other action by a third party, including a creditor, or by the law of their respective jurisdictions of incorporation which regulates the payment of dividends by companies. If we are unable to obtain funds from our subsidiaries, even if our lenders agreed to allow dividend payments, our board of directors may exercise its discretion not to declare or pay dividends. If we reinstate dividend payments in the future, we do not intend to seek to obtain funds from other sources to make such dividend payments, if any.

If we are unable to fund our capital expenditures for additional vessels, we may not be able to grow our fleet.

We would have to make substantial capital expenditures to grow our fleet. We have no remaining borrowing availability under our existing credit facilities. In order to fund capital expenditures for future fleet growth to the extent feasible given the current restrictions in our Bank Agreement and other financing arrangements, we generally plan to use equity financing given the restrictions that are contained in our restructured credit facilities and other financing arrangements on the use of cash from

Table of Contents

our operations, debt financings and asset sales for purposes other than debt repayment. Our ability to access the capital markets through future offerings may be limited by our financial condition at the time of any such offering as well as by adverse market conditions resulting from, among other things, general economic conditions and contingencies and uncertainties that are beyond our control. Moreover, only a portion of the proceeds from any equity financings that we are able to complete will be permitted to be used for purposes other than debt repayment under our restructured and other financing arrangements, which could also adversely affect our ability to complete an equity financing on favorable terms. Our failure to obtain funds for future capital expenditures could limit our ability to grow our fleet.

We must make substantial capital expenditures to maintain the operating capacity of our fleet, which may reduce the amount of cash available for other purposes.

Maintenance capital expenditures include capital expenditures associated with modifying an existing vessel or acquiring a new vessel to the extent these expenditures are incurred to maintain the operating capacity of our existing fleet. These expenditures could increase as a result of changes in the cost of labor and materials; customer requirements; increases in our fleet size or the cost of replacement vessels; governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards relating to safety, security or the environment; and competitive standards. Significant capital expenditures, including to maintain the operating capacity of our fleet, may reduce the cash available for other purposes.

Our ability to obtain additional debt financing for future acquisitions of vessels may be dependent on the performance of our then existing charters and the creditworthiness of our charterers.

We have no remaining borrowing availability under our existing credit facilities. We intend, however, to borrow against vessels we may acquire in the future as part of our medium to long term growth plan to the extent permitted under our existing financing arrangements. The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, and any defaults by them, may materially affect our ability to obtain the additional capital resources that we will require to purchase additional vessels or may significantly increase our costs of obtaining such capital. Our inability to obtain additional financing or committing to financing on unattractive terms could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The derivative contracts we have entered into to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates could result in higher than market interest rates and charges against our income.

We have entered into interest rate swaps, in an aggregate notional amount of \$775 million as of December 31, 2015 (two of which with an aggregate notional amount of approximately \$575 million are forward starting), generally for purposes of managing our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates applicable to indebtedness under our credit facilities, which were advanced at floating rates based on LIBOR, as well as two interest rate swap agreements, in an aggregate notional amount of \$8.2 million as of December 31, 2015, converting fixed interest rate exposure under our credit facilities advanced at a fixed rate of interest to floating rates based on LIBOR. Our hedging strategies, however, may not be effective and we may again incur substantial losses, as we did in 2015 and prior years. In addition, interest rates have been at historically low levels and if such rates rise at times when our interest rate exposure is not hedged, we could have increased interest expense.

Since our discontinuation of hedge accounting for interest rate swaps and any other derivative instruments from July 1, 2012, we recognize all fluctuations in the fair value of such contracts in our consolidated Statements of Operations. Recognition of such fluctuations in our statement of operations may increase the volatility of our earnings.

Table of Contents

Our financial condition could also be materially adversely affected to the extent we do not hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations under our financing arrangements under which loans have been advanced at a floating rate based on LIBOR. Although relatively stable from 2009 through 2015, LIBOR was volatile in prior years, during which the spread between LIBOR and the prime lending rate widened, at times significantly.

In recent years, there have been proposals to increase government oversight of, and change the method for, the calculation of LIBOR. We cannot predict what changes, if any, will be implemented and what effect any such changes would have on LIBOR.

Any hedging activities we engage in may not effectively manage our interest rate exposure or have the desired impact on our financial conditions or results of operations.

Because we generate all of our revenues in United States dollars but incur a portion of our expenses in other currencies, exchange rate fluctuations could hurt our results of operations.

We generate all of our revenues in United States dollars and for the year ended December 31, 2015, we incurred approximately 28.2% of our vessels' expenses in currencies other than United States dollars, mainly Euros. This difference could lead to fluctuations in net income due to changes in the value of the United States dollar relative to the other currencies, in particular the Euro. Expenses incurred in foreign currencies against which the United States dollar falls in value could increase, thereby decreasing our net income. We have not hedged our currency exposure and, as a result, our U.S. dollar-denominated results of operations and financial condition could suffer. In addition, to the extent charter hire rates with respect to any port calls our vessels may make in Iran must be paid in a currency other than the U.S. dollar, due to continuing U.S. primary sanctions applicable to U.S. dollar transfers, we would be exposed to fluctuations in the value of that currency.

Due to our lack of diversification, adverse developments in the containership transportation business could reduce our ability to meet our payment obligations and our profitability.

We rely exclusively on the cash flows generated from charters for our vessels that operate in the containership sector of the shipping industry. Due to our lack of diversification, adverse developments in the container shipping industry have a significantly greater impact on our financial condition and results of operations than if we maintained more diverse assets or lines of business.

We may have difficulty properly managing our growth through acquisitions of additional vessels and we may not realize the expected benefits from these acquisitions, which may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and performance.

To the extent market conditions warrant and we are able to obtain sufficient financing for such purposes in compliance with the restrictions in our financing arrangements, we intend to grow our business over the medium to long-term by ordering newbuilding containerships and through selective acquisitions of additional vessels, including through our investment in Gemini. Future growth will primarily depend on:

locating and acquiring suitable vessels;

identifying and consummating vessel acquisitions or joint ventures relating to vessel acquisitions;

enlarging our customer base;

developments in the charter markets in which we operate that make it attractive for us to expand our fleet;

managing any expansion;

the operations of the shipyard building any newbuilding containerships we may order; and

Table of Contents

obtaining required financing, within the restrictions placed on the use of funds by our existing financing arrangements, on acceptable terms.

Although charter rates and vessel values currently are at relatively low levels, during periods in which charter rates are high, vessel values generally are high as well, and it may be difficult to acquire vessels at favorable prices. Moreover, our financing arrangements impose significant restrictions in our ability to use debt financing, or cash from operations, asset sales or equity financing, for purposes, such as vessel acquisitions, other than debt repayment without the consent of our lenders. In addition, growing any business by acquisition presents numerous risks, such as managing relationships with customers and integrating newly acquired assets into existing infrastructure. We cannot give any assurance that we will be successful in executing our growth plans or that we will not incur significant expenses and losses in connection with our future growth efforts.

We are subject to regulation and liability under environmental laws that could require significant expenditures and affect our cash flows and net income.

Our business and the operation of our vessels are materially affected by environmental regulation in the form of international, national, state and local laws, regulations, conventions and standards in force in international waters and the jurisdictions in which our vessels operate, as well as in the country or countries of their registration, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of oil spills and other contamination, air emissions, wastewater discharges and ballast water management. Because such conventions, laws, and regulations are often revised, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with such requirements or their impact on the resale price or useful life of our vessels. We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances with respect to our operations. Many environmental requirements are designed to reduce the risk of pollution, such as from oil spills, and our compliance with these requirements could be costly. Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of doing business and which may materially and adversely affect our operations.

Environmental requirements can also affect the resale value or useful lives of our vessels, could require a reduction in cargo capacity, ship modifications or operational changes or restrictions, could lead to decreased availability of insurance coverage for environmental matters or could result in the denial of access to certain jurisdictional waters or ports or detention in certain ports. Under local, national and foreign laws, as well as international treaties and conventions, we could incur material liabilities, including cleanup obligations and natural resource damages liability, in the event that there is a release of petroleum or hazardous materials from our vessels or otherwise in connection with our operations. Environmental laws often impose strict liability for remediation of spills and releases of oil and hazardous substances, which could subject us to liability without regard to whether we were negligent or at fault. The 2010 explosion of the *Deepwater Horizon* and the subsequent release of oil into the Gulf of Mexico may result in further regulation of the shipping industry, including modifications to liability schemes. We could also become subject to personal injury or property damage claims relating to the release of hazardous substances associated with our existing or historic operations. Violations of, or liabilities under, environmental requirements can result in substantial penalties, fines and other sanctions, including, in certain instances, seizure or detention of our vessels.

The operation of our vessels is also affected by the requirements set forth in the International Maritime Organization's, or IMO's, International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and Pollution Prevention, or the ISM Code. The ISM Code requires shipowners and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive "Safety Management System" that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. Failure to comply with the ISM

Table of Contents

Code may subject us to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected ships, and may result in denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports.

In connection with a 2001 incident involving the presence of oil on the water on the starboard side of one of our former vessels, the *Henry* (ex *CMA CGM Passiflore*) in Long Beach, California, our manager pled guilty to one count of negligent discharge of oil and one count of obstruction of justice, based on a charge of attempted concealment of the source of the discharge. Consistent with the government's practice in similar cases, our manager agreed, among other things, to develop and implement an approved third party consultant monitored environmental compliance plan. Any violation of this environmental compliance plan or any penalties, restitution or heightened environmental compliance plan requirements that are imposed relating to alleged discharges in any other action involving our fleet or our manager could negatively affect our operations and business.

Climate change and greenhouse gas restrictions may adversely impact our operations.

Due to concern over the risks of climate change, a number of countries and the International Maritime Organization, or "IMO", have adopted, or are considering the adoption of, regulatory frameworks to reduce greenhouse gas emission from ships. These regulatory measures may include adoption of cap and trade regimes, carbon taxes, increased efficiency standards and incentives or mandates for renewable energy. Emissions of greenhouse gases from international shipping currently are not subject to the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, or the "Kyoto Protocol", or any amendments or successor agreements. The Paris Agreement adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in December 2015, which contemplates commitments from each nation party thereto to take action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and limit increases in global temperatures but did not include any restrictions or other measures specific to shipping emissions. However, restrictions on shipping emissions are likely to continue to be considered and a new treaty may be adopted in the future that includes additional restrictions on shipping emissions to those already adopted under the International Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution from Ships, or the "MARPOL Convention". Compliance with future changes in laws and regulations relating to climate change could increase the costs of operating and maintaining our ships and could require us to install new emission controls, as well as acquire allowances, pay taxes related to our greenhouse gas emissions or administer and manage a greenhouse gas emissions program.

Increased inspection procedures, tighter import and export controls and new security regulations could cause disruption of our containership business.

International container shipping is subject to security and customs inspection and related procedures in countries of origin, destination, and certain trans-shipment points. These inspection procedures can result in cargo seizure, delays in the loading, offloading, trans-shipment, or delivery of containers, and the levying of customs duties, fines or other penalties against exporters or importers and, in some cases, charterers and charter owners.

Since the events of September 11, 2001, U.S. authorities have more than doubled container inspection rates to over 5% of all imported containers. Government investment in non-intrusive container scanning technology has grown and there is interest in electronic monitoring technology, including so-called "e-seals" and "smart" containers, that would enable remote, centralized monitoring of containers during shipment to identify tampering with or opening of the containers, along with potentially measuring other characteristics such as temperature, air pressure, motion, chemicals, biological agents and radiation. Also, as a response to the events of September 11, 2001, additional vessel security requirements have been imposed including the installation of security alert and automatic information systems on board vessels.

Table of Contents

It is further unclear what changes, if any, to the existing inspection and security procedures will ultimately be proposed or implemented, or how any such changes will affect the industry. It is possible that such changes could impose additional financial and legal obligations, including additional responsibility for inspecting and recording the contents of containers and complying with additional security procedures on board vessels, such as those imposed under the ISPS Code. Changes to the inspection and security procedures and container security could result in additional costs and obligations on carriers and may, in certain cases, render the shipment of certain types of goods by container uneconomical or impractical. Additional costs that may arise from current inspection or security procedures or future proposals that may not be fully recoverable from customers through higher rates or security surcharges.

Our vessels may call on ports located in countries that are subject to restrictions imposed by the United States government, which could negatively affect the trading price of our shares of common stock.

From time to time on charterers' instructions, our vessels have called and may again call on ports located in countries subject to sanctions and embargoes imposed by the United States government and countries identified by the United States government as state sponsors of terrorism. The U.S. sanctions and embargo laws and regulations vary in their application, as they do not all apply to the same covered persons or proscribe the same activities, and such sanctions and embargo laws and regulations may be amended or strengthened over time.

On January 16, 2016, "Implementation Day" for the Iran Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA), the United States lifted its secondary sanctions against Iran which prohibited certain conduct by non-U.S. companies and individuals that occurred entirely outside of U.S. jurisdiction involving specified industry sectors in Iran, including the energy, petrochemical, automotive, financial, banking, mining, shipbuilding and shipping sectors. By lifting the secondary sanctions against Iran, the U.S. government effectively removed U.S. imposed restraints on dealings by non-U.S. companies, such as our Company, and individuals with these formerly targeted Iranian business sectors. Non-U.S. companies continue to be prohibited under U.S. sanctions from (i) knowingly engaging in conduct that seeks to evade U.S. restrictions on transactions or dealings with Iran or that causes the export of goods or services from the United States to Iran, (ii) exporting, reexporting or transferring to Iran any goods, technology, or services originally exported from the U.S. and / or subject to U.S. export jurisdiction and (iii) conducting transactions with the Iranian or Iran-related individuals and entities that remain or are placed in the future on OFAC's list of Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons (SDN List), notwithstanding the lifting of secondary sanctions. The U.S. has the ability to reimpose sanctions against Iran, including if, in the future, Iran does not comply with its obligations under the nuclear agreement.

The U.S. government's primary Iran sanctions remain largely unchanged after Implementation Day and as a consequence, U.S. persons continue to be broadly prohibited from engaging in transactions or dealings in or with Iran or its government. In addition, U.S. persons continue to be broadly prohibited from engaging in transactions or dealings with the Government of Iran and Iranian financial institutions, which effectively impacts the transfer of funds to, from, or through the U.S. financial system whether denominated in US dollars or any other currency.

In 2015, 2014 and 2013, no vessels operated by us made any calls to ports in Cuba, Iran, Syria or Sudan. Certain vessels in our fleet, however, are operated by liner companies under long-term bareboat charters, pursuant to which the liner company does not notify us of its ports of call and controls all aspects of these vessels' operation including technical management, manning with its own officers and crew as well as its ports of call, cargoes and routes within the limits set forth in the charters, which include compliance with applicable law. Although we believe that we are in compliance with all applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations, and intend to maintain such compliance, there can be no assurance that we will be in compliance in the future, particularly as the scope of certain

Table of Contents

laws may be unclear and may be subject to changing interpretations. Any such violation could result in fines or other penalties and could result in some investors deciding, or being required, to divest their interest, or not to invest, in the Company. Additionally, some investors may decide to divest their interest, or not to invest, in the Company simply because we do business with companies that do lawful business in sanctioned countries. Moreover, our charterers may violate applicable sanctions and embargo laws and regulations as a result of actions that do not involve us or our vessels, and those violations could in turn negatively affect our reputation. As a result of the lifting of U.S. secondary sanctions (and relevant EU sanctions) relating to Iran, we can anticipate that some of our charterers may direct our vessels to carry containers to or from Iran. This could have various effects on us, such as affecting our reputation and our relationships with our investors and financing sources, affecting the cost of our insurance with respect to such voyages, and potentially increase our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. Investor perception of the value of our common stock may also be adversely affected by the consequences of war, the effects of terrorism, civil unrest and governmental actions in these and surrounding countries.

Governments could requisition our vessels during a period of war or emergency, resulting in loss of earnings.

A government of a ship's registry could requisition for title or seize our vessels. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a ship and becomes the owner. Also, a government could requisition our containerships for hire. Requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a ship and effectively becomes the charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur during a period of war or emergency. Government requisition of one or more of our vessels may negatively impact our revenues and results of operations.

Terrorist attacks and international hostilities could affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Terrorist attacks such as the attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001 and more recent attacks in other parts of the world, and the continuing response of the United States and other countries to these attacks, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks, continue to cause uncertainty in the world financial markets and may affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Events in the Middle East and North Africa, including Egypt and Syria, and the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan may lead to additional acts of terrorism, regional conflict and other armed conflicts around the world, which may contribute to further economic instability in the global financial markets. These uncertainties could also adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Terrorist attacks targeted at sea vessels, such as the October 2002 attack in Yemen on the VLCC Limburg, a ship not related to us, may in the future also negatively affect our operations and financial condition and directly impact our containerships or our customers. Future terrorist attacks could result in increased volatility of the financial markets in the United States and globally and could result in an economic recession affecting the United States or the entire world. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse impact on our operating results, revenue and costs.

Changing economic, political and governmental conditions in the countries where we are engaged in business or where our vessels are registered could affect us. In addition, future hostilities or other political instability in regions where our vessels trade could also affect our trade patterns and adversely affect our operations and performance.

Acts of piracy on ocean-going vessels have recently increased in frequency, which could adversely affect our business.

Acts of piracy have historically affected ocean-going vessels trading in regions of the world such as the South China Sea and in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. Despite leveling off somewhat in the last few years, the frequency of piracy incidents has increased significantly since 2008, particularly

Table of Contents

in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. For example, in January 2010, the Maran Centaurus, a tanker vessel not affiliated with us, was captured by pirates in the Indian Ocean while carrying crude oil estimated to be worth \$20 million, and was released in January 2010 upon a ransom payment of over \$5 million. In addition, crew costs, including costs due to employing onboard security guards, could increase in such circumstances. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from these incidents, which could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, any detention or hijacking as a result of an act of piracy against our vessels, or an increase in cost, or unavailability, of insurance for our vessels, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends.

Risks inherent in the operation of ocean-going vessels could affect our business and reputation, which could adversely affect our expenses, net income and stock price.

The operation of ocean-going vessels carries inherent risks. These risks include the possibility of:

marine disaster;

environmental accidents;

grounding, fire, explosions and collisions;

cargo and property losses or damage;

business interruptions caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, terrorism, political action in various countries, or adverse weather conditions;

work stoppages or other labor problems with crew members serving on our vessels, substantially all of whom are unionized and covered by collective bargaining agreements; and

piracy.

Such occurrences could result in death or injury to persons, loss of property or environmental damage, delays in the delivery of cargo, loss of revenues from or termination of charter contracts, governmental fines, penalties or restrictions on conducting business, higher insurance rates, and damage to our reputation and customer relationships generally. Any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues, which could result in reduction in the market price of our shares of common stock. The involvement of our vessels in an environmental disaster may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator.

Our insurance may be insufficient to cover losses that may occur to our property or result from our operations due to the inherent operational risks of the shipping industry.

The operation of any vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, fire, contact with floating objects, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of a marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps. There are also liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. We procure insurance for our fleet against risks commonly insured against by vessel owners and operators. Our current insurance includes (i) hull and machinery insurance covering damage to our vessels' hull and machinery from, among other things, contact with free and floating objects, (ii) war risks insurance covering losses associated with the outbreak or escalation of hostilities and (iii) protection and indemnity insurance (which includes environmental damage and pollution insurance) covering third-party and crew liabilities such as expenses resulting from the injury or death of crew members, passengers and other third parties, the loss or damage to cargo, third-party claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property, pollution arising from oil or other substances and salvage, towing and other related costs and (iv) loss of hire insurance for the *CSCL Europe*, the *CSCL America*, the *CSCL Pusan* and the *CSCL Le Havre*.

Table of Contents

We can give no assurance that we are adequately insured against all risks or that our insurers will pay a particular claim. Even if our insurance coverage is adequate to cover our losses, we may not be able to obtain a timely replacement vessel in the event of a loss. Under the terms of our credit facilities, we will be subject to restrictions on the use of any proceeds we may receive from claims under our insurance policies. Furthermore, in the future, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for our fleet. We may also be subject to calls, or premiums, in amounts based not only on our own claim records but also the claim records of all other members of the protection and indemnity associations through which we receive indemnity insurance coverage for tort liability. Our insurance policies also contain deductibles, limitations and exclusions which, although we believe are standard in the shipping industry, may nevertheless increase our costs.

In addition, we do not carry loss of hire insurance (other than for the *CSCL Europe*, the *CSCL America*, the *CSCL Pusan* and the *CSCL Le Havre* to satisfy our loan agreement requirements). Loss of hire insurance covers the loss of revenue during extended vessel off-hire periods, such as those that occur during an unscheduled drydocking due to damage to the vessel from accidents. Accordingly, any loss of a vessel or any extended period of vessel off-hire, due to an accident or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends, if any, to our stockholders.

Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flows.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against that vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lien holder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flows and require us to pay large sums of money to have the arrest lifted.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the "sister ship" theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel that is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any "associated" vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could try to assert "sister ship" liability against one vessel in our fleet for claims relating to another of our ships.

The aging of our fleet may result in increased operating costs in the future, which could adversely affect our earnings.

In general, the cost of maintaining a vessel in good operating condition increases with the age of the vessel. As our fleet ages, we may incur increased costs. Older vessels are typically less fuel efficient and more costly to maintain than more recently constructed vessels due to improvements in engine technology. Cargo insurance rates also increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers. Governmental regulations and safety or other equipment standards related to the age of a vessel may also require expenditures for alterations or the addition of new equipment to our vessels, and may restrict the type of activities in which our vessels may engage. Although our current fleet of 55 containerships had an average age (weighted by TEU capacity) of approximately 7.4 years as of February 29, 2016, we cannot assure you that, as our vessels age, market conditions will justify such expenditures or will enable us to profitably operate our vessels during the remainder of their expected useful lives.

Increased competition in technology and innovation could reduce our charter hire income and the value of our vessels.

The charter rates and the value and operational life of a vessel are determined by a number of factors, including the vessel's efficiency, operational flexibility and physical life. Efficiency includes speed and fuel economy. Flexibility includes the ability to enter harbors, utilize related docking facilities and pass through canals and straits. Physical life is related to the original design and construction,

Table of Contents

maintenance and the impact of the stress of operations. If new ship designs currently promoted by shipyards as more fuel efficient perform as promoted or containerships are built that are more efficient or flexible or have longer physical lives than our vessels, competition from these more technologically advanced containerships could adversely affect the amount of charter-hire payments that we receive for our containerships once their current time charters expire and the resale value of our containerships. This could adversely affect our ability to service our debt or pay dividends, if any, to our stockholders.

Compliance with safety and other requirements imposed by classification societies may be very costly and may adversely affect our business.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the Safety of Life at Sea Convention, and all vessels must be awarded ISM certification.

A vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle, under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. Each of the vessels in our fleet is on a special survey cycle for hull inspection and a continuous survey cycle for machinery inspection.

If any vessel does not maintain its class or fails any annual, intermediate or special survey, and/or loses its certification, the vessel will be unable to trade between ports and will be unemployable, and we could be in violation of certain covenants in our loan agreements. This would negatively impact our operating results and financial condition.

Our business depends upon certain employees who may not necessarily continue to work for us.

Our future success depends to a significant extent upon our chief executive officer, Dr. John Coustas, and certain members of our senior management and that of our manager. Dr. Coustas has substantial experience in the container shipping industry and has worked with us and our manager for many years. He and others employed by us and our manager are crucial to the execution of our business strategies and to the growth and development of our business. In addition, under the terms of the Bank Agreement, Dr. Coustas ceasing to serve as our Chief Executive Officer, absent a successor acceptable to our lenders, would constitute an event of default under these agreements. If these certain individuals were no longer to be affiliated with us or our manager, or if we were to otherwise cease to receive advisory services from them, we may be unable to recruit other employees with equivalent talent and experience, and our business and financial condition may suffer as a result.

The provisions in our restrictive covenant agreement with our chief executive officer restricting his ability to compete with us, like restrictive covenants generally, may not be enforceable and, subject to certain limitations, will not apply to vessels acquired during the period existing restrictions in our Bank Agreement apply in their current form and companies affiliated with our Chief Executive Officer, Dr. Coustas, may acquire vessels that compete with our fleet.

Dr. Coustas, our chief executive officer, has entered into a restrictive covenant agreement with us under which he is precluded during the term of our management agreement with our manager, Danaos Shipping, and for one year thereafter from owning and operating drybulk ships or containerships larger than 2,500 TEUs and from acquiring or investing in a business that owns or operates such vessels. Courts generally do not favor the enforcement of such restrictions, particularly when they involve individuals and could be construed as infringing on their ability to be employed or to earn a livelihood. Our ability to enforce these restrictions, should it ever become necessary, will depend upon the circumstances that exist at the time enforcement is sought. We cannot be assured that a court would enforce the restrictions as written by way of an injunction or that we could necessarily establish a case for damages as a result of a violation of the restrictive covenants.

Table of Contents

In connection with our investment in Gemini in 2015, these restrictions were waived, with the approval of our independent directors, with respect to vessels acquired by Gemini. In addition, a committee of independent directors previously determined that the restrictions in the restrictive covenant agreement will not apply, subject to certain limitations, until certain restrictions in the Bank Agreement cease to apply in their current form. Consequently, companies, other than Gemini, that are affiliated with our Chief Executive Officer, Dr. John Coustas, may directly or indirectly acquire, own and operate, and Danaos Shipping, our manager, may manage, vessels that compete directly with ours, subject to a chartering priority in favor of our containerhips of similar TEU capacity instituted to protect our containerhips from competition for chartering opportunities. In addition, our manager would be permitted to manage any such vessels acquired by entities affiliated with Dr. Coustas and Dr. Coustas and our other executive officers would be permitted to provide services with respect to such vessels and the entities owning, operating and managing such vessels. In such cases, these entities and individuals could compete with our fleet and may face conflicts between their own interests and their obligations to us, and such individuals may not devote all of their time to our business.

We depend on our manager to operate our business.

Pursuant to the management agreement and the individual ship management agreements, our manager and its affiliates provides us with technical, administrative and certain commercial services (including vessel maintenance, crewing, purchasing, shipyard supervision, insurance, assistance with regulatory compliance and financial services). Our operational success will depend significantly upon our manager's satisfactory performance of these services. Our business would be harmed if our manager failed to perform these services satisfactorily. In addition, if the management agreement were to be terminated or if its terms were to be altered, our business could be adversely affected, as we may not be able to immediately replace such services, and even if replacement services were immediately available, the terms offered could be less favorable than the ones currently offered by our manager. Our management agreement with any new manager may not be as favorable.

Our ability to compete for and enter into new time charters and to expand our relationships with our existing charterers depends largely on our relationship with our manager and its reputation and relationships in the shipping industry. If our manager suffers material damage to its reputation or relationships, it may harm our ability to:

renew existing charters upon their expiration;

obtain new charters;

successfully interact with shipyards during periods of shipyard construction constraints;

obtain financing on commercially acceptable terms or at all;

maintain satisfactory relationships with our charterers and suppliers; or

successfully execute our business strategies.

If our ability to do any of the things described above is impaired, it could have a material adverse effect on our business and affect our profitability.

Our manager is a privately held company and there is little or no publicly available information about it.

The ability of our manager to continue providing services for our benefit will depend in part on its own financial strength. Circumstances beyond our control could impair our manager's financial strength, and because it is a privately held company, information about its financial strength is not available. As a result, our stockholders might have little advance warning of problems affecting our manager, even though these problems could have a material adverse effect on us. As part of our

Table of Contents

reporting obligations as a public company, we will disclose information regarding our manager that has a material impact on us to the extent that we become aware of such information.

We are a Marshall Islands corporation, and the Marshall Islands does not have a well developed body of corporate law.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our articles of incorporation and bylaws and by the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, or BCA. The provisions of the BCA are similar to provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States. However, there have been few judicial cases in the Republic of The Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA. The rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the law of the Republic of The Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in certain U.S. jurisdictions. Stockholder rights may differ as well. While the BCA does specifically incorporate the non-statutory law, or judicial case law, of the State of Delaware and other states with substantially similar legislative provisions, our public stockholders may have more difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling stockholders than would stockholders of a corporation incorporated in a U.S. jurisdiction.

It may be difficult to enforce service of process and enforcement of judgments against us and our officers and directors.

We are a Marshall Islands corporation, and our registered office is located outside of the United States in the Marshall Islands. A majority of our directors and officers reside outside of the United States, and a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of our officers and directors are located outside of the United States. As a result, you may have difficulty serving legal process within the United States upon us or any of these persons. You may also have difficulty enforcing, both in and outside of the United States, judgments you may obtain in the U.S. courts against us or these persons in any action, including actions based upon the civil liability provisions of U.S. federal or state securities laws.

There is also substantial doubt that the courts of the Marshall Islands would enter judgments in original actions brought in those courts predicated on U.S. federal or state securities laws. Even if you were successful in bringing an action of this kind, the laws of the Marshall Islands may prevent or restrict you from enforcing a judgment against our assets or our directors and officers.

We maintain cash with a limited number of financial institutions including financial institutions that may be located in Greece, which will subject us to credit risk.

We maintain all of our cash with a limited number of financial institutions, including institutions that are located in Greece. These financial institutions located in Greece may be subsidiaries of international banks or Greek financial institutions. Economic conditions in Greece have been, and continue to be, severely disrupted and volatile, and as a result of sovereign weakness, Moody's Investor Services Inc. has downgraded the bank financial strength ratings, as well as the deposit and debt ratings, of several Greek banks to reflect their weakening stand-alone financial strength and the anticipated additional pressures stemming from the country's challenged economic prospects. In addition, in 2015, Greece implemented capital controls restricting the transfer of funds out of Greece, which could restrict our uses of the limited amount of cash we hold in Greece.

We do not expect that any of our balances held with Greek financial institutions will be covered by insurance in the event of default by these financial institutions. The occurrence of such a default could therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If we are unable to fund our capital expenditures, we may not be able to continue to operate some of our vessels, which would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Our Common Stock

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated widely and the market price of our common stock may fluctuate in the future.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated widely since our initial public offering in October 2006, reaching a high of \$40.26 per share in 2007 and a low of \$2.44 per share, most recently in the fourth quarter of 2012, and may continue to do so as a result of many factors, including our actual results of operations and perceived prospects, the prospects of our competition and of the shipping industry in general and in particular the containership sector, differences between our actual financial and operating results and those expected by investors and analysts, changes in analysts' recommendations or projections, changes in general valuations for companies in the shipping industry, particularly the containership sector, changes in general economic or market conditions and broad market fluctuations.

If the market price of our common stock remains below \$5.00 per share our stockholders will not be able to use such shares as collateral for borrowing in margin accounts. This inability to use shares of our common stock as collateral may depress demand and certain institutional investors are restricted from investing in shares priced below \$5.00, which may also lead to sales of such shares creating downward pressure on and increased volatility in the market price of our common stock.

Future issuances of equity, including upon exercise of outstanding warrants, or equity-linked securities, or future sales of our common stock by existing stockholders, may result in significant dilution and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We issued 15 million warrants, for no additional consideration, to our existing lenders participating in the Bank Agreement covering our then existing credit facilities and certain new credit facilities, entitling such lenders to purchase, solely on a cashless exercise basis, additional shares of our common stock, at an initial exercise price of \$7.00 per share. We have also agreed to register the warrants and underlying common stock for resale under the Securities Act, and have registered 8,044,176 warrants and underlying shares.

We may have to attempt to sell additional shares in the future to satisfy our capital and operating needs, however, under our debt agreements we are prohibited from using a significant portion of the proceeds from equity issuances for purposes other than the repayment of indebtedness. In addition, lenders may be unwilling to provide future financing or may provide future financing only on unfavorable terms. In light of the restrictions on our use of cash from operations, debt financings, equity proceeds and asset sales contained in our Bank Agreement governing our credit facilities, to finance further growth we would likely have to issue additional shares of common stock or other equity securities. If we sell shares in the future, the prices at which we sell these future shares will vary, and these variations may be significant. If made at currently prevailing prices, these sales would be significantly dilutive of existing stockholders.

Subsequent resales of substantial numbers of such shares in the public market, moreover, could adversely affect the market price of our shares. We filed with the SEC a shelf registration statements on Form F-3 registering under the Securities Act an aggregate of 88,222,555 shares of our common stock for resale on behalf of selling stockholders, including our executive officers, and granted registration rights in respect of additional shares of our common stock held by certain other investors in our August 2010 equity offering. In the aggregate these 98,372,555 registered shares represent approximately 89.6% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of February 29, 2016. These shares may be sold in registered transactions and may also be resold subject to the requirements of Rule 144 under the Securities Act. Sales or the possibility of sales of substantial amounts of our common stock by these shareholders in the public markets could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

We cannot predict the effect that future sales of our common stock or other equity related securities would have on the market price of our common stock.

The Coustas Family Trust, our principal existing stockholder, controls the outcome of matters on which our stockholders are entitled to vote and its interests may be different from yours.

The Coustas Family Trust, under which our chief executive officer is a beneficiary, together with other members of the Coustas Family, owned approximately 61.8% of our outstanding common stock as of February 29, 2016. This stockholder is able to control the outcome of matters on which our stockholders are entitled to vote, including the election of our entire board of directors and other significant corporate actions. The interests of this stockholder may be different from yours. Under the terms of the Bank Agreement governing our credit facilities, Dr. Coustas, together with the Coustas Family Trust and his family, ceasing to own over one-third of our outstanding common stock will constitute an event of default in certain circumstances.

We are a "controlled company" under the New York Stock Exchange rules, and as such we are entitled to exemptions from certain New York Stock Exchange corporate governance standards, and you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements.

We are a "controlled company" within the meaning of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance standards. Under the New York Stock Exchange rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by another company or group is a "controlled company" and may elect not to comply with certain New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements, including (1) the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors, (2) the requirement that the nominating committee be composed entirely of independent directors and have a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities, (3) the requirement that the compensation committee be composed entirely of independent directors and have a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities and (4) the requirement of an annual performance evaluation of the nominating and corporate governance and compensation committees. We may utilize these exemptions, and currently a non-independent director serves on our compensation committee and on our nominating and corporate governance committee. As a result, non-independent directors, including members of our management who also serve on our board of directors, may serve on the compensation or the nominating and corporate governance committees of our board of directors which, among other things, fix the compensation of our management, make stock and option awards and resolve governance issues regarding us. Accordingly, you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements.

Anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents could make it difficult for our stockholders to replace or remove our current board of directors or could have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing a merger or acquisition, which could adversely affect the market price of the shares of our common stock.

Several provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it difficult for our stockholders to change the composition of our board of directors in any one year, preventing them from changing the composition of our management. In addition, the same provisions may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that stockholders may consider favorable.

These provisions:

authorize our board of directors to issue "blank check" preferred stock without stockholder approval;

Table of Contents

provide for a classified board of directors with staggered, three- year terms;

prohibit cumulative voting in the election of directors;

authorize the removal of directors only for cause and only upon the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 66²/₃% of the outstanding stock entitled to vote for those directors;

prohibit stockholder action by written consent unless the written consent is signed by all stockholders entitled to vote on the action;

establish advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by stockholders at stockholder meetings; and

restrict business combinations with interested stockholders.

We have adopted a stockholder rights plan pursuant to which our board of directors may cause the substantial dilution of the holdings of any person that attempts to acquire us without the approval of our board of directors. In addition, our respective lenders under our existing credit facilities covered by the Bank Agreement for the restructuring thereof and the new credit facilities will be entitled to require us to repay in full amounts outstanding under such credit facilities, if Dr. Coustas ceases to be our Chief Executive Officer or, together with members of his family and trusts for the benefit thereof, ceases to collectively own over one-third of the voting interest in our outstanding capital stock or any other person or group controls more than 20.0% of the voting power of our outstanding capital stock.

These anti-takeover provisions, including the provisions of our stockholder rights plan, could substantially impede the ability of public stockholders to benefit from a change in control and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and your ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

Tax Risks

We may have to pay tax on U.S.-source income, which would reduce our earnings.

Under the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, 50% of the gross shipping income of a ship owning or chartering corporation, such as ourselves, that is attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States is characterized as U.S.-source shipping income and as such is subject to a 4% U.S. federal income tax without allowance for deduction, unless that corporation qualifies for exemption from tax under Section 883 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

Other than with respect to four of our vessel-owning subsidiaries, as to which we are uncertain whether they qualify for this statutory tax exemption, we believe that we and our subsidiaries currently qualify for this statutory tax exemption and we currently intend to take that position for U.S. federal income tax reporting purposes. However, there are factual circumstances beyond our control that could cause us or our subsidiaries to fail to qualify for the benefit of this tax exemption and thus to be subject to U.S. federal income tax on U.S.-source shipping income. There can be no assurance that we or any of our subsidiaries will qualify for this tax exemption for any year. For example, even assuming, as we expect will be the case, that our shares are regularly and primarily traded on an established securities market in the United States, if shareholders each of whom owns, actually or under applicable attribution rules, 5% or more of our shares own, in the aggregate, 50% or more of our shares, then we and our subsidiaries will generally not be eligible for the Section 883 exemption unless we can establish, in accordance with specified ownership certification procedures, either (i) that a sufficient number of the shares in the closely-held block are owned, directly or under the applicable attribution rules, by "qualified shareholders" (generally, individuals resident in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions) so that the shares in the closely-held block that are not so owned could not constitute 50% or more of our shares for more than half of the days in the relevant tax year or (ii) that qualified shareholders owned more

Table of Contents

than 50% of our shares for at least half of the days in the relevant taxable year. There can be no assurance that we will be able to establish such ownership by qualified shareholders for any tax year. In connection with the four vessel-owning subsidiaries referred to above, we note that qualification under Section 883 will depend in part upon the ownership, directly or under the applicable attribution rules, of preferred shares issued by such subsidiaries as to which we are not the direct or indirect owner of record.

If we or our subsidiaries are not entitled to the exemption under Section 883 for any taxable year, we or our subsidiaries would be subject for those years to a 4% U.S. federal income tax on our gross U.S. source shipping income. The imposition of this taxation could have a negative effect on our business and would result in decreased earnings available for distribution to our stockholders. A number of our charters contain provisions that obligate the charterers to reimburse us for the 4% gross basis tax on our U.S. source shipping income.

If we were treated as a "passive foreign investment company," certain adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences could result to U.S. stockholders.

A foreign corporation will be treated as a "passive foreign investment company," or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes if at least 75% of its gross income for any taxable year consists of certain types of "passive income," or at least 50% of the average value of the corporation's assets produce or are held for the production of those types of "passive income." For purposes of these tests, "passive income" includes dividends, interest, and gains from the sale or exchange of investment property and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties that are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business. For purposes of these tests, income derived from the performance of services does not constitute "passive income." In general, U.S. stockholders of a PFIC are subject to a disadvantageous U.S. federal income tax regime with respect to the distributions they receive from the PFIC, and the gain, if any, they derive from the sale or other disposition of their shares in the PFIC. If we are treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, we will provide information to U.S. stockholders to enable them to make certain elections to alleviate certain of the adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences that would arise as a result of holding an interest in a PFIC.

While there are legal uncertainties involved in this determination, including as a result of a decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in *Tidewater Inc. and Subsidiaries v. United States*, 565 F.3d 299 (5th Cir. 2009) which held that income derived from certain time chartering activities should be treated as rental income rather than services income for purposes of the foreign sales corporation rules under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, we believe we should not be treated as a PFIC for the taxable year ended December 31, 2015. However, if the principles of the Tidewater decision were applicable to our time charters, we would likely be treated as a PFIC. Moreover, there is no assurance that the nature of our assets, income and operations will not change or that we can avoid being treated as a PFIC for subsequent years.

The enactment of proposed legislation could affect whether dividends paid by us constitute qualified dividend income eligible for the preferential rate.

Legislation has been previously introduced that would deny the preferential rate of federal income tax currently imposed on qualified dividend income with respect to dividends received from a non-U.S. corporation, unless the non-U.S. corporation either is eligible for benefits of a comprehensive income tax treaty with the United States or is created or organized under the laws of a foreign country which has a comprehensive income tax system. Because the Marshall Islands has not entered into a comprehensive income tax treaty with the United States and imposes only limited taxes on corporations organized under its laws, it is unlikely that we could satisfy either of these requirements. It is not possible at this time to predict with certainty whether or in what form legislation of this sort might be proposed, or enacted.

Table of Contents

If we became subject to Liberian taxation, the net income and cash flows of our Liberian subsidiaries and therefore our net income and cash flows, would be materially reduced.

A number of our subsidiaries are incorporated under the laws of the Republic of Liberia. The Republic of Liberia enacted a new income tax act effective as of January 1, 2001 (the "New Act") which does not distinguish between the taxation of "non-resident" Liberian corporations, such as our Liberian subsidiaries, which conduct no business in Liberia and were wholly exempt from taxation under the income tax law previously in effect since 1977, and "resident" Liberian corporations which conduct business in Liberia and are, and were under the prior law, subject to taxation.

The New Act was amended by the Consolidated Tax Amendments Act of 2011, which was published and became effective on November 1, 2011 (the "Amended Act"). The Amended Act specifically exempts from taxation non-resident Liberian corporations such as our Liberian subsidiaries that engage in international shipping (and are not engaged in shipping exclusively within Liberia) and that do not engage in other business or activities in Liberia other than those specifically enumerated in the Amended Act. In addition, the Amended Act made such exemption from taxation retroactive to the effective date of the New Act.

If, however, our Liberian subsidiaries were subject to Liberian income tax under the Amended Act, they would be subject to tax at a rate of 35% on their worldwide income. As a result, their, and subsequently our, net income and cash flows would be materially reduced. In addition, as the ultimate stockholder of the Liberian subsidiaries, we would be subject to Liberian withholding tax on dividends paid by our Liberian subsidiaries at rates ranging from 15% to 20%, which would limit our access to funds generated by the operations of our subsidiaries and further reduce our income and cash flows.

Item 4. Information on the Company

History and Development of the Company

Danaos Corporation is an international owner of containerships, chartering its vessels to many of the world's largest liner companies. We are a corporation domesticated in the Republic of The Marshall Islands on October 7, 2005, under the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, after having been incorporated as a Liberian company in 1998 in connection with the consolidation of our assets under Danaos Holdings Limited. In connection with our domestication in the Marshall Islands we changed our name from Danaos Holdings Limited to Danaos Corporation. Our manager, Danaos Shipping Company Limited, or Danaos Shipping, was founded by Dimitris Coustas in 1972 and since that time it has continuously provided seaborne transportation services under the management of the Coustas family. Dr. John Coustas, our chief executive officer, assumed responsibility for our management in 1987. Dr. Coustas has focused our business on chartering containerships to liner companies and has overseen the expansion of our fleet from three multi-purpose vessels in 1987 to the 55 containerships comprising our fleet as of February 29, 2016. In 2015, we formed a joint venture, Gemini Shipholdings Corporation, in which we have 49% minority equity interest, with our largest stockholder, Danaos Investments Limited as Trustee of the 883 Trust, which we refer to as the Coustas Family Trust, to acquire, own and operate containerships. As of February 29, 2016, Gemini had acquired a fleet of four containerships aggregating 23,998 TEU in capacity.

In October 2006, we completed an initial public offering of our common stock in the United States and our common stock began trading on the New York Stock Exchange. In August 2010, we completed a common stock sale of 54,054,055 shares for \$200 million and in 2011 we issued warrants to purchase 15 million shares of our common stock.

Our company operates through a number of subsidiaries incorporated in Liberia, Cyprus, Malta and Marshall Islands, all of which are wholly-owned by us and either directly or indirectly owns the

Table of Contents

vessels in our fleet. A list of our active subsidiaries as of February 29, 2016, and their jurisdictions of incorporation, is set forth in Exhibit 8 to this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Our principal executive offices are c/o Danaos Shipping Co. Ltd., 14 Akti Kondyli, 185 45 Piraeus, Greece. Our telephone number at that address is +30 210 419 6480.

Business Overview

We are an international owner of containerships, chartering our vessels to many of the world's largest liner companies. As of February 29, 2016, we had a fleet of 55 containerships aggregating 329,588 TEUs, making us among the largest containership charter owners in the world, based on total TEU capacity. Gemini, in which we have a 49% minority equity interest, had a fleet of four containerships of 23,998 TEU aggregate capacity as of February 29, 2016.

Our strategy is to charter our containerships under multi-year, fixed-rate period charters to a diverse group of liner companies, including many of the largest companies globally, as measured by TEU capacity. As of February 29, 2016, these customers included China Shipping, CMA-CGM, Hanjin, Hyundai Merchant Marine, Niledutch, MSC, Yang Ming, ZIM Israel Integrated Shipping Services, Nippon Yusen Kaisha Line (NYK), Hapag Lloyd and Maersk Line and, for Gemini, NYK. We believe our containerships provide us with contracted stable cash flows as they are deployed under multi-year, fixed-rate charters with initial terms that range from less than one to 18 years.

Our Fleet

General

Following the completion of our extensive new-building program, Danaos has been established as one of the largest containership operating lessors in the world. Since going public in 2006, we have almost tripled our TEU carrying capacity. Today, our fleet is one of the most modern in the industry and includes some of the largest containerships in the world, which are designed with certain technological advances and customized modifications that make them efficient with respect to both voyage speed and loading capability when compared to many existing vessels operating in the containership sector. On January 8, 2016 we completed the sale of the vessel *Federal*, which was held for sale as of December 31, 2015. During 2014, we sold five of our older vessels, the *Marathonas*, the *Messologi*, the *Mytilini*, the *Commodore* and the *Duka*, and we acquired two secondhand 6,402 TEU containerships built in 2002, the *Performance* and the *Priority*.

We deploy our containership fleet principally under multi-year charters with major liner companies that operate regularly scheduled routes between large commercial ports. As of February 29, 2016, our containership fleet was comprised of 53 containerships deployed on time charters and two containerships deployed on bareboat charter. The average age (weighted by TEU) of the 55 vessels in our containership fleet was approximately 7.4 years as of February 29, 2016. As of December 31, 2015, the average remaining duration of the charters for our containership fleet was 7.2 years (weighted by aggregate contracted charter hire).

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Characteristics

The table below provides additional information, as of February 29, 2016, about our fleet of 55 cellular containerships and the four cellular containerships owned by Gemini, in which we have a 49% equity interest.

| Vessel Name | Year Built | Vessel Size (TEU) | Time Charter Term(1) | Expiration of Charter(1) | Charterer |
|--|------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Post-Panamax | | | | | |
| <i>Hyundai Ambition</i> | 2012 | 13,100 | 12 years | June 2024 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Speed</i> | 2012 | 13,100 | 12 years | June 2024 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Smart</i> | 2012 | 13,100 | 12 years | May 2024 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Tenacity</i> | 2012 | 13,100 | 12 years | March 2024 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Together</i> | 2012 | 13,100 | 12 years | February 2024 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hanjin Italy</i> | 2011 | 10,100 | 12 years | April 2023 | Hanjin |
| <i>Hanjin Germany</i> | 2011 | 10,100 | 12 years | March 2023 | Hanjin |
| <i>Hanjin Greece</i> | 2011 | 10,100 | 12 years | May 2023 | Hanjin |
| <i>CSCL Le Havre</i> | 2006 | 9,580 | 12 years | September 2018 | China Shipping |
| <i>CSCL Pusan</i> | 2006 | 9,580 | 12 years | July 2018 | China Shipping |
| <i>CMA CGM Melisande</i> | 2012 | 8,530 | 12 years | November 2023 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Attila</i> | 2011 | 8,530 | 12 years | April 2023 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Tancredi</i> | 2011 | 8,530 | 12 years | May 2023 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Bianca</i> | 2011 | 8,530 | 12 years | July 2023 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Samson</i> | 2011 | 8,530 | 12 years | September 2023 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CSCL America</i> | 2004 | 8,468 | 12 years | September 2016 | China Shipping |
| <i>CSCL Europe</i> | 2004 | 8,468 | 12 years | June 2016 | China Shipping |
| <i>CMA CGM Moliere(2)</i> | 2009 | 6,500 | 12 years | August 2021 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Musset(2)</i> | 2010 | 6,500 | 12 years | February 2022 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Nerval(2)</i> | 2010 | 6,500 | 12 years | April 2022 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Rabelais(2)</i> | 2010 | 6,500 | 12 years | June 2022 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>CMA CGM Racine(2)</i> | 2010 | 6,500 | 12 years | July 2022 | CMA-CGM |
| <i>Priority</i> | 2002 | 6,402 | 0.5 years | October 2016 | NYK |
| <i>Performance</i> | 2002 | 6,402 | 0.2 years | May 2016 | Maersk Line |
| Panamax | | | | | |
| <i>SNL Colombo</i> | 2004 | 4,300 | 12 years | March 2019 | Yang Ming |
| <i>YM Singapore</i> | 2004 | 4,300 | 12 years | October 2019 | Yang Ming |
| <i>YM Seattle</i> | 2007 | 4,253 | 12 years | July 2019 | Yang Ming |
| <i>YM Vancouver</i> | 2007 | 4,253 | 12 years | September 2019 | Yang Ming |
| <i>ZIM Rio Grande</i> | 2008 | 4,253 | 12 years | May 2020 | ZIM |
| <i>ZIM Sao Paolo</i> | 2008 | 4,253 | 12 years | August 2020 | ZIM |
| <i>OOCL Istanbul</i> | 2008 | 4,253 | 12 years | September 2020 | ZIM |
| <i>ZIM Monaco</i> | 2009 | 4,253 | 12 years | November 2020 | ZIM |
| <i>OOCL Novorossiysk</i> | 2009 | 4,253 | 12 years | February 2021 | ZIM |
| <i>ZIM Luanda</i> | 2009 | 4,253 | 12 years | May 2021 | ZIM |
| <i>Derby D</i> | 2004 | 4,253 | 1 year | April 2016 | CMA CGM |
| <i>Deva</i> | 2004 | 4,253 | 0.4 year | March 2016 | ZIM |
| <i>Dimitris C</i> | 2001 | 3,430 | 0.3 years | July 2016 | Niledutch |
| <i>Hanjin Constantza</i> | 2011 | 3,400 | 10 years | February 2021 | Hanjin |
| <i>Hanjin Algeciras</i> | 2011 | 3,400 | 10 years | November 2020 | Hanjin |
| <i>Hanjin Buenos Aires</i> | 2010 | 3,400 | 10 years | March 2020 | Hanjin |
| <i>Hanjin Santos</i> | 2010 | 3,400 | 10 years | May 2020 | Hanjin |
| <i>Hanjin Versailles</i> | 2010 | 3,400 | 10 years | August 2020 | Hanjin |
| <i>Danae C (ex Niledutch Palanca)(3)</i> | 2001 | 2,524 | 0.3 years | July 2016 | Hapag Lloyd |
| <i>MSC Zebra (ex Niledutch Zebra)(4)</i> | 2001 | 2,602 | 3 years | October 2017 | MSC |
| <i>Amalia C</i> | 1998 | 2,452 | 2.7 years | March 2016 | CMA CGM |
| <i>Hyundai Advance</i> | 1997 | 2,200 | 10 years | June 2017 | Hyundai |

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|-------|----------|--------------|---------|
| <i>Hyundai Future</i> | 1997 | 2,200 | 10 years | August 2017 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Sprinter</i> | 1997 | 2,200 | 10 years | August 2017 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Stride</i> | 1997 | 2,200 | 10 years | July 2017 | Hyundai |
| | | | | December | |
| <i>Hyundai Progress</i> | 1998 | 2,200 | 10 years | 2017 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Bridge</i> | 1998 | 2,200 | 10 years | January 2018 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Highway</i> | 1998 | 2,200 | 10 years | January 2018 | Hyundai |
| <i>Hyundai Vladivostok</i> | 1997 | 2,200 | 10 years | May 2017 | Hyundai |

Gemini Vessels

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|-------|---------|---------------|-----|
| | | | | September | |
| <i>NYK Lodestar(5)</i> | 2001 | 6,422 | 2 years | 2017 | NYK |
| <i>NYK Leo(5)</i> | 2002 | 6,422 | 3 years | February 2019 | NYK |
| <i>Suez Canal(5)(6)</i> | 2002 | 5,610 | | | |
| <i>Genoa(5)(6)</i> | 2002 | 5,544 | | | |

**Bareboat
Charter
Term(1)**

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|-------|----------|-----------------|--------------|
| <i>YM Mandate</i> | 2010 | 6,500 | 18 years | January 2028 | Yang Ming |
| <i>YM Maturity</i> | 2010 | 6,500 | 18 years | April 2028 | Yang Ming |

(1) Earliest date charters could expire. Most charters include options for the charterers to extend their terms.

Table of Contents

- (2) The charters with respect to the *CMA CGM Moliere*, the *CMA CGM Musset*, the *CMA CGM Nerval*, the *CMA CGM Rabelais* and the *CMA CGM Racine* include an option for the charterer, CMA-CGM, to purchase the vessels eight years after the commencement of the respective charters, which will fall in September 2017, March 2018, May 2018, July 2018 and August 2018, respectively, each for \$78.0 million.
- (3) The *Danae C* was renamed to *Niledutch Palanca* at the request of the charterer of this vessel from March 25, 2014 to June 8, 2015.
- (4) On September 14, 2014, the *Niledutch Zebra* was renamed to *MSC Zebra* at the request of the charterer of this vessel.
- (5) Vessels acquired by Gemini, in which Danaos holds a 49% equity interest.
- (6) A subsidiary of Gemini holds a leasehold bareboat charter interest in such vessel, which was financed by and is subject to a capital lease pursuant to which such subsidiary will acquire all rights to such vessel at the end of such lease.

Gemini Shipholdings Corporation

On August 5, 2015, we entered into a Shareholders Agreement (the "Gemini Shareholders Agreement"), with Gemini Shipholdings Corporation ("Gemini") and Virage International Ltd. ("Virage"), a company controlled by our largest stockholder Danaos Investments Limited as Trustee of the 883 Trust, in connection with the formation of Gemini to acquire and operate containerships. We and Virage own 49% and 51%, respectively, of Gemini's issued and outstanding share capital. Under the Gemini Shareholders Agreement, we and Virage have preemptive rights with respect to issuances of Gemini capital stock as well as tag-along rights, drag-along rights and certain rights of first refusal with respect to proposed transfers of Gemini equity interests. In addition, certain actions by Gemini, including acquisitions or dispositions of vessels and newbuilding contracts, require the unanimous approval of the Gemini board of directors including the director designated by the Company, who is currently our Chief Operating Officer Iraklis Prokopakis. Mr. Prokopakis also serves as Chief Operating Officer of Gemini, and our Chief Financial Officer, Evangelos Chatzis, serves as Chief Financial Officer of Gemini, for which services Messrs. Prokopakis and Chatzis do not receive any additional compensation. We also have the right to purchase all of the equity interests in Gemini that we do not own for fair market value at any time after December 31, 2018, or earlier if permitted under our credit facilities, provided that such fair market value is not below the net book value of such equity interests.

Charterers

As the container shipping industry has grown, the major liner companies have increasingly contracted for containership capacity. As of February 29, 2016, our diverse group of customers in the containership sector included China Shipping, CMA-CGM, Hanjin, Hyundai Merchant Marine, MSC, Niledutch, Yang Ming, ZIM Israel Integrated Shipping Services, NYK, Hapag Lloyd and Maersk Line. Gemini has chartered two of its containerships to NYK, while two of its containerships under leasehold bareboat charters-in are currently not employed.

The containerships in our fleet are primarily deployed under multi-year, fixed-rate time charters having initial terms that range from less than one to 18 years. These charters expire at staggered dates ranging from March 2016 to the second quarter of 2028. The staggered expiration of the multi-year, fixed-rate charters for our vessels is both a strategy pursued by our management and a result of the growth in our fleet over the past several years. Under our time charters, the charterer pays voyage expenses such as port, canal and fuel costs, other than brokerage and address commissions paid by us, and we pay for vessel operating expenses, which include crew costs, provisions, deck and engine stores, lubricating oil, insurance, maintenance and repairs. We are also responsible for each vessel's intermediate and special survey costs.

Under the time charters, when a vessel is "off-hire" or not available for service, the charterer is generally not required to pay the hire rate, and we are responsible for all costs. A vessel generally will be deemed to be off-hire if there is an occurrence preventing the full working of the vessel due to, among other things, operational deficiencies, drydockings for repairs, maintenance or inspection, equipment breakdown, delays due to accidents, crewing strikes, labor boycotts, noncompliance with government water pollution regulations or alleged oil spills, arrests or seizures by creditors or our

Table of Contents

failure to maintain the vessel in compliance with required specifications and standards. In addition, under our time charters, if any vessel is off-hire for more than a certain amount of time (generally between 10-20 days), the charterer has a right to terminate the charter agreement for that vessel. Charterers also have the right to terminate the time charters in various other circumstances, including but not limited to, outbreaks of war or a change in ownership of the vessel's owner or manager without the charterer's approval.

Purchase Options

The charters with respect to the *CMA CGM Moliere*, the *CMA CGM Musset*, the *CMA CGM Nerval*, the *CMA CGM Rabelais* and the *CMA CGM Racine* include an option for the charterer, CMA-CGM, to purchase the vessels eight years after the commencement of the respective charters, which will fall in September 2017, March 2018, May 2018, July 2018 and August 2018, respectively, each for \$78.0 million. In each case, the option to purchase the vessel must be exercised 15 months prior to the dates noted in the preceding sentence. The \$78.0 million option prices reflect an estimate, made at the time of entry into the applicable charter, of the fair market value of the vessels at the time we would be required to sell the vessels upon exercise of the options. If CMA-CGM were to exercise these options with respect to any or all of these vessels, the expected size of our containership fleet would be reduced, and as a result our anticipated level of revenues after such sale would be reduced.

Management of Our Fleet

Our chief executive officer, chief operating officer, chief financial officer and deputy chief operating officer provide strategic management for our company while these officers also supervise, in conjunction with our board of directors, the management of these operations by Danaos Shipping, our manager. We have a management agreement pursuant to which our manager and its affiliates provide us and our subsidiaries with technical, administrative and certain commercial services for an initial term that expired on December 31, 2008, with automatic one year renewals for an additional 12 years at our option. Our manager reports to us and our board of directors through our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, each of which is appointed by our board of directors.

Our manager is regarded as an innovator in operational and technological aspects in the international shipping community. Danaos Shipping's strong technological capabilities derive from employing highly educated professionals, its participation and assumption of a leading role in European Community research projects related to shipping, and its close affiliation to Danaos Management Consultants, a leading ship-management software and services company.

Danaos Shipping achieved early ISM certification of its container fleet in 1995, well ahead of the deadline, and was the first Greek company to receive such certification from Det Norske Veritas, a leading classification society. In 2004, Danaos Shipping received the Lloyd's List Technical Innovation Award for advances in internet-based telecommunication methods for vessels. In 2015, Danaos Shipping received the Lloyd's List Intelligence Big Data Award for their "Waves" fleet performance system, which provides advanced performance monitoring, close bunkers control, emissions monitoring, energy management, safety performance monitoring, risk management and advance superintendence for the vessels.

Danaos Shipping maintains the quality of its service by controlling directly the selection and employment of seafarers through its crewing offices in Piraeus, Greece, Russia, as well as in Odessa and Mariupol in Ukraine and in Zanzibar, Tanzania. Investments in new facilities in Greece by Danaos Shipping enable enhanced training of seafarers and highly reliable infrastructure and services to the vessels.

Table of Contents

Danaos Shipping provides vessel management services to Gemini at the same rates we pay under our management agreement with Danaos Shipping. Historically, Danaos Shipping only infrequently managed vessels other than those in our fleet and currently it does not actively manage any other company's vessels, other than vessels owned by Gemini. Danaos Shipping also does not arrange the employment of other vessels and has agreed that, during the term of our management agreement, it will not provide any management services to any other entity without our prior written approval, other than with respect to other entities controlled by Dr. Coustas, our chief executive officer, which do not operate within the containership (larger than 2,500 TEUs) or drybulk sectors of the shipping industry or in the circumstances described below. In connection with our investment in Gemini in 2015, these restrictions were waived, with the approval of our independent directors, with respect to containerships acquired by Gemini. Other than with respect to Gemini and a participation in a vessel-owning company through Raven International Corporation, Dr. Coustas does not currently control any such vessel-owning entity. We believe we have and will derive significant benefits from our relationship with Danaos Shipping.

Dr. Coustas has also personally agreed to the same restrictions on the provision, directly or indirectly, of management services during the term of our management agreement. In addition, our chief executive officer (other than in his capacities with us) and our manager have separately agreed not, during the term of our management agreement and for one year thereafter, to engage, directly or indirectly, in (i) the ownership or operation of containerships of larger than 2,500 TEUs or (ii) the ownership or operation of any drybulk carriers or (iii) the acquisition of or investment in any business involved in the ownership or operation of containerships of larger than 2,500 TEUs or any drybulk carriers. Notwithstanding these restrictions, if our independent directors decline the opportunity to acquire any such containerships or to acquire or invest in any such business, our chief executive officer will have the right to make, directly or indirectly, any such acquisition or investment during the four-month period following such decision by our independent directors, so long as such acquisition or investment is made on terms no more favorable than those offered to us. In this case, our chief executive officer and our manager will be permitted to provide management services to such vessels. In connection with our investment in Gemini in 2015, these restrictions were waived, with the approval of our independent directors, with respect to containerships acquired by Gemini. The arrangement approved by a committee of independent directors in 2014, described in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Non-Competition", which lifted these restrictions, subject to certain limitations, while the restrictions in our Bank Agreement continue to apply to us in their current form, also remains in effect.

Danaos Shipping provides us with administrative, technical and certain commercial management services under a management agreement whose initial term expired at the end of 2008. The management agreement automatically renews for a one-year period if we do not provide 12 months' notice of termination and the fees payable for each renewal period are adjusted by agreement between us and our manager. For 2016 our manager will receive the following fees (i) a fee of \$850 per day, (ii) a fee of \$425 per vessel per day for vessels on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iii) a fee of \$850 per vessel per day for vessels other than those on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iv) a fee of 1.25% on all freight, charter hire, ballast bonus and demurrage for each vessel, (v) a fee of 0.5% based on the contract price of any vessel bought or sold by it on our behalf, excluding newbuilding contracts, and (vi) a flat fee of \$725,000 per newbuilding vessel, if any, which is capitalized, for the on premises supervision of any newbuilding contracts by selected engineers and others of its staff. In addition, from January 1, 2013 to April 30, 2015, our Manager provided us with the services of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Deputy Chief Operating Officer for an annual fee. We have directly employed our executive officers from May 1, 2015.

Table of Contents

Competition

We operate in markets that are highly competitive and based primarily on supply and demand. Generally, we compete for charters based upon price, customer relationships, operating expertise, professional reputation and size, age and condition of the vessel. Competition for providing containership services comes from a number of experienced shipping companies. In the containership sector, these companies include Zodiac Maritime, Seaspan Corporation and Costamare Inc. A number of our competitors in the containership sector have been financed by the German KG (Kommanditgesellschaft) system, which was based on tax benefits provided to private investors. While the German tax law has been amended to significantly restrict the tax benefits available to taxpayers who invest in such entities after November 10, 2005, the tax benefits afforded to all investors in the KG-financed entities will continue to be significant and such entities may continue to be attractive investments. These tax benefits allow these KG-financed entities to be more flexible in offering lower charter rates to liner companies.

The containership sector of the international shipping industry is characterized by the significant time necessary to develop the operating expertise and professional reputation necessary to obtain and retain customers and, in the past, a relative scarcity of secondhand containerships, which necessitated reliance on newbuildings which can take a number of years to complete. We focus on larger TEU capacity containerships, which we believe have fared better than smaller vessels during global downturns in the containership sector. We believe larger containerships, even older containerships if well maintained, provide us with increased flexibility and more stable cash flows than smaller TEU capacity containerships.

Crewing and Employees

Since May 1, 2015, we have directly employed our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Operating Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our Deputy Chief Operating Officer, whose services had been provided to us under our Management Agreement with our Manager, Danaos Shipping, from January 1, 2013 to April 30, 2015. As of December 31, 2015, 1,223 people served on board the vessels in our fleet and Danaos Shipping, our manager, employed 147 people, all of whom were shore-based. In addition, our manager is responsible for recruiting, either directly or through a crewing agent, the senior officers and all other crew members for our vessels and is reimbursed by us for all crew wages and other crew relating expenses. We believe the streamlining of crewing arrangements through our manager ensures that all of our vessels will be crewed with experienced crews that have the qualifications and licenses required by international regulations and shipping conventions.

Permits and Authorizations

We are required by various governmental and other agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses and certificates with respect to our vessels. The kinds of permits, licenses and certificates required by governmental and other agencies depend upon several factors, including the commodity being transported, the waters in which the vessel operates, the nationality of the vessel's crew and the age of a vessel. All permits, licenses and certificates currently required to permit our vessels to operate have been obtained. Additional laws and regulations, environmental or otherwise, may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of doing business.

Inspection by Classification Societies

Every seagoing vessel must be "classed" by a classification society. The classification society certifies that the vessel is "in class," signifying that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the classification society and complies with applicable rules and regulations of the vessel's country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member.

Table of Contents

In addition, where surveys are required by international conventions and corresponding laws and ordinances of a flag state, the classification society will undertake them on application or by official order, acting on behalf of the authorities concerned.

The classification society also undertakes on request other surveys and checks that are required by regulations and requirements of the flag state. These surveys are subject to agreements made in each individual case and/or to the regulations of the country concerned.

For maintenance of the class, regular and extraordinary surveys of hull and machinery, including the electrical plant, and any special equipment classed are required to be performed as follows:

Annual Surveys. For seagoing ships, annual surveys are conducted for the hull and the machinery, including the electrical plant, and where applicable, on special equipment classed at intervals of 12 months from the date of commencement of the class period indicated in the certificate.

Intermediate Surveys. Extended annual surveys are referred to as intermediate surveys and typically are conducted two and one-half years after commissioning and each class renewal. Intermediate surveys may be carried out on the occasion of the second or third annual survey.

Class Renewal Surveys. Class renewal surveys, also known as special surveys, are carried out on the ship's hull and machinery, including the electrical plant, and on any special equipment classed at the intervals indicated by the character of classification for the hull. During the special survey, the vessel is thoroughly examined, including audio-gauging to determine the thickness of the steel structures. Should the thickness be found to be less than class requirements, the classification society would prescribe steel renewals. The classification society may grant an one-year grace period for completion of the special survey. Substantial amounts of funds may have to be spent for steel renewals to pass a special survey if the vessel experiences excessive wear and tear. In lieu of the special survey every four or five years, depending on whether a grace period is granted, a shipowner has the option of arranging with the classification society for the vessel's hull or machinery to be on a continuous survey cycle, in which every part of the vessel would be surveyed within a five-year cycle. At an owner's application, the surveys required for class renewal may be split according to an agreed schedule to extend over the entire period of class. This process is referred to as continuous class renewal.

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

The following table lists the next drydockings and special surveys scheduled for the vessels in our current containership fleet:

| Vessel Name* | Next Survey | Next Drydocking |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| <i>Amalia C</i> | March 2018 | March 2018 |
| <i>Danae C (ex Niledutch Palanca)</i> | January 2021 | August 2018 |
| <i>Dimitris C</i> | March 2016 | March 2016 |
| <i>MSC Zebra</i> | November 2016 | October 2019 |
| <i>Hyundai Progress</i> | February 2018 | February 2018 |
| <i>Hyundai Highway</i> | March 2018 | March 2018 |
| <i>Hyundai Bridge</i> | March 2018 | March 2018 |
| <i>CMA CGM Musset</i> | March 2020 | September 2017 |
| <i>CMA CGM Nerval</i> | May 2020 | November 2017 |
| <i>Hanjin Buenos Aires</i> | February 2020 | November 2017 |
| <i>Zim Rio Grande</i> | December 2020 | December 2020 |
| <i>Zim Sao Paolo</i> | September 2018 | March 2016 |
| <i>CMA CGM Rabelais</i> | July 2020 | June 2018 |
| <i>Hanjin Santos</i> | April 2020 | January 2018 |
| <i>CMA CGM Racine</i> | August 2020 | February 2018 |
| <i>OOCL Istanbul</i> | May 2018 | May 2016 |
| <i>CSCL Pusan</i> | August 2021 | September 2019 |
| <i>Hanjin Versailles</i> | February 2018 | February 2018 |
| <i>Zim Monaco</i> | January 2019 | July 2016 |
| <i>CSCL Le Havre</i> | March 2019 | March 2019 |
| <i>Deva</i> | March 2019 | March 2019 |
| <i>SNL Colombo</i> | September 2019 | September 2016 |
| <i>OOCL Novorossiysk</i> | March 2019 | September 2016 |
| <i>Derby D</i> | April 2019 | October 2016 |
| <i>Hanjin Algeiras</i> | August 2018 | August 2018 |
| <i>Zim Luanda</i> | June 2019 | December 2016 |
| <i>CSCL Europe</i> | August 2019 | August 2016 |
| <i>CSCL America</i> | November 2019 | March 2016 |
| <i>CMA CGM Moliere</i> | September 2019 | July 2022 |
| <i>YM Singapore</i> | September 2019 | July 2017 |
| <i>Hanjin Germany</i> | March 2016 | September 2018 |
| <i>Hanjin Italy</i> | April 2016 | October 2018 |
| <i>Hanjin Constantza</i> | April 2016 | October 2018 |
| <i>Hanjin Greece</i> | May 2016 | November 2018 |
| <i>CMA CGM Attila</i> | July 2016 | January 2019 |
| <i>CMA CGM Tancredi</i> | August 2016 | February 2019 |
| <i>CMA CGM Bianca</i> | October 2016 | April 2019 |
| <i>CMA CGM Samson</i> | December 2016 | June 2019 |
| <i>CMA CGM Melisande</i> | February 2017 | August 2019 |
| <i>Hyundai Smart</i> | May 2017 | November 2019 |
| <i>Hyundai Together</i> | February 2017 | August 2019 |
| <i>Hyundai Tenacity</i> | March 2017 | September 2019 |
| <i>Priority</i> | June 2017 | September 2019 |
| <i>Performance</i> | March 2017 | December 2019 |
| <i>Hyundai Speed</i> | June 2017 | December 2019 |
| <i>Hyundai Ambition</i> | June 2017 | January 2020 |
| <i>Hyundai Vladivostok</i> | July 2017 | July 2017 |
| <i>Hyundai Advance</i> | October 2017 | October 2017 |
| <i>YM Seattle</i> | September 2017 | June 2019 |
| <i>Hyundai Stride</i> | September 2017 | September 2017 |
| <i>Hyundai Future</i> | September 2017 | September 2017 |
| <i>YM Vancouver</i> | November 2017 | November 2020 |
| <i>Hyundai Sprinter</i> | December 2017 | December 2017 |

*

Does not include vessels owned by Gemini

Table of Contents

All areas subject to surveys as defined by the classification society are required to be surveyed at least once per class period, unless shorter intervals between surveys are otherwise prescribed. The period between two subsequent surveys of each area must not exceed five years. Vessels under bareboat charter, such as the *YM Mandate*, and *YM Maturity*, are drydocked by their charterers.

Most vessels are also drydocked every 30 to 36 months for inspection of their underwater parts and for repairs related to such inspections. If any defects are found, the classification surveyor will issue a "recommendation" which must be rectified by the ship-owner within prescribed time limits.

Most insurance underwriters make it a condition for insurance coverage that a vessel be certified as "in class" by a classification society which is a member of the International Association of Classification Societies. All of our vessels are certified as being "in class" by Lloyd's Register of Shipping, Bureau Veritas, NKK, Det Norske Veritas & Germanischer Lloyd and the Korean Register of Shipping.

Risk of Loss and Liability Insurance

General

The operation of any vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA 90, which imposes virtually unlimited liability upon owners, operators and demise charterers of vessels trading in the United States exclusive economic zone for certain oil pollution accidents in the United States, has made liability insurance more expensive for shipowners and operators trading in the United States market.

While we maintain hull and machinery insurance, war risks insurance, protection and indemnity coverage for our containership fleet in amounts that we believe to be prudent to cover normal risks in our operations, we may not be able to maintain this level of coverage throughout a vessel's useful life. Furthermore, while we believe that our insurance coverage will be adequate, not all risks can be insured, and there can be no guarantee that any specific claim will be paid, or that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates.

Dr. John Coustas, our chief executive officer, is the Deputy Chairman of the Board of Directors of The Swedish Club, our primary provider of insurance, including a substantial portion of our hull & machinery, war risk and protection and indemnity insurance.

Hull & Machinery, Loss of Hire and War Risks Insurance

We maintain marine hull and machinery and war risks insurance, which covers the risk of particular average, general average, 4/4ths collision liability, contact with fixed and floating objects (FFO) and actual or constructive total loss in accordance with the Nordic Plan for all of our vessels. Our vessels will each be covered up to at least their fair market value after meeting certain deductibles per incident per vessel.

We carry a minimum loss of hire coverage only with respect to the *CSCL America* and the *CSCL Europe*, to cover standard requirements of KEXIM and the *CSCL Pusan* and *CSCL Le Havre*, to cover standard requirements of KEXIM and ABN Amro, the banks providing financing for our acquisition of these vessels. We do not and will not obtain loss of hire insurance covering the loss of revenue during extended off-hire periods for the other vessels in our fleet, other than with respect to any period during which our vessels are detained due to incidents of piracy, because we believe that this type of coverage is not economical and is of limited value to us, in part because historically our fleet has had a limited number of off-hire days.

Table of Contents

Protection and Indemnity Insurance

Protection and indemnity ("P&I") insurance provides insurance cover to its Members in respect of liabilities, costs or expenses incurred by them in their capacity as owner or operator of the respective entered ship and arising out of an event during the period of insurance as a direct consequence of the operation of the ship. This includes third-party liability, crew liability and other related expenses resulting from the injury or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, the loss or damage to cargo, and except where the cover is provided in the hull and machinery policy, also third-party claims arising from collision with other vessels and damage to other third-party property. Indemnity cover is also provided for liability for the discharge or escape of oil or other substance, or threat of escape of such substances. Other liabilities which include salvage, towing, wreck removal and an omnibus provision are also included. Our protection and indemnity insurance, is provided by mutual protection and indemnity associations, or P&I associations entered in the International Group of P&I Associations, who together provide poolable cover to almost unlimited capacity (about US\$4.3 billion per event) except where otherwise limited by International Convention or the relevant domestic law.

Our protection and indemnity insurance coverage in accordance with the International Group of P&I Club Agreement for pollution will be US\$1.0 billion per event. Our P&I Excess war risk coverage limit is US\$500.0 million and in respect of certain war and terrorist risks the liabilities arising from Bio-Chemical etc., the limit is US\$30.0 million. For passengers and seaman risks, the limit is US\$3.0 billion, with a sub-limit of US\$2.0 billion for passenger claims only. The thirteen P&I associations that comprise the International Group insure approximately 90% of the world's commercial blue-water tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association's liabilities. As a member of a P&I association, that is a member of the International Group, we will be subject to calls payable to the associations based inter-alia on the International Group's claim records, as well as the individual claims' records of all other members of the analogous individual associations and their performance. If our insurance providers are not able to obtain reinsurance for port calls in Iran, due to continuing U.S. primary sanctions applicable to U.S. persons facilitating transactions involving Iran, we may have to pay additional premiums with respect to any port calls that our charterers direct our vessels to make in Iran.

Environmental and Other Regulations

Government regulation significantly affects the ownership and operation of our vessels. They are subject to international conventions, national, state and local laws, regulations and standards in force in international waters and the countries in which our vessels may operate or are registered, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of oil spills and other contamination, air emissions, wastewater discharges and ballast water management. These laws and regulations include OPA, the U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the U.S. Clean Water Act, the International Convention for Prevention of Pollution from Ships, regulations adopted by the IMO and the European Union, various volatile organic compound air emission requirements and various Safety of Life at Sea ("SOLAS") amendments, as well as other regulations described below. Compliance with these laws, regulations and other requirements entails significant expense, including vessel modifications and implementation of certain operating procedures.

A variety of governmental and private entities subject our vessels to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections. These entities include the local port authorities (U.S. Coast Guard, harbor master or equivalent), classification societies, flag state administration (country of registry), charterers and, particularly, terminal operators. Certain of these entities require us to obtain permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances for the operation of our vessels. Failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require us to incur substantial costs or result in the temporary suspension of operation of one or more of our vessels.

Table of Contents

We believe that the heightened level of environmental and quality concerns among insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers is leading to greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the industry. Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for vessels that conform to the stricter environmental standards. We are required to maintain operating standards for all of our vessels that emphasize operational safety, quality maintenance, continuous training of our officers and crews and compliance with U.S. and international regulations. We believe that the operation of our vessels is in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Because such laws and regulations are frequently changed and may impose increasingly stricter requirements, any future requirements may limit our ability to do business, increase our operating costs, force the early retirement of some of our vessels, and/or affect their resale value, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, a future serious marine incident that causes significant adverse environmental impact, such as the 2010 *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill, could result in additional legislation or regulation that could negatively affect our profitability.

Environmental Regulation International Maritime Organization ("IMO")

Our vessels are subject to standards imposed by the IMO (the United Nations agency for maritime safety and the prevention of pollution by ships). The IMO has adopted regulations that are designed to reduce pollution in international waters, both from accidents and from routine operations. These regulations address oil discharges, ballasting and unloading operations, sewage, garbage, and air emissions. For example, Annex III of the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, or MARPOL, regulates the transportation of marine pollutants, and imposes standards on packing, marking, labeling, documentation, stowage, quantity limitations and pollution prevention. These requirements have been expanded by the International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code, which imposes additional standards for all aspects of the transportation of dangerous goods and marine pollutants by sea.

In September 1997, the IMO adopted Annex VI to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships to address air pollution from vessels. Annex VI, which came into effect on May 19, 2005, set limits on sulfur oxide ("SOx") and NOx emissions from vessels and prohibited deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances, such as chlorofluorocarbons. Annex VI also included a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allowed for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. Annex VI has been ratified by some, but not all IMO member states, including the Marshall Islands. Pursuant to a Marine Notice issued by the Marshall Islands Maritime Administrator as revised in March 2005, vessels flagged by the Marshall Islands that are subject to Annex VI must, if built before the effective date, obtain an International Air Pollution Prevention Certificate evidencing compliance with Annex VI by the first dry docking after May 19, 2005, but no later than May 19, 2008. All vessels subject to Annex VI and built after May 19, 2005 must also have this Certificate. We have obtained International Air Pollution Prevention certificates for all of our vessels. Amendments to Annex VI regarding particulate matter, NOx and SOx emission standards entered into force in July 2010. The amendments provide for a progressive reduction in SOx emissions from ships, with the global sulfur cap reduced initially to 3.50% (from the current 4.50%), effective from 1 January 2012; then progressively to 0.50%, effective from 1 January 2020, subject to a feasibility review to be completed no later than 2018. The Annex VI amendments also establish tiers of stringent NOx emissions standards for new marine engines, depending on their dates of installation. The United States ratified the amendments, and all vessels subject to Annex VI must comply with the amended requirements when entering U.S. ports or operating in U.S. waters. Additionally, more stringent emission standards apply in coastal areas designated by MEPC as Emission Control Areas (ECAs). The North American ECA, which includes the area extending 200 nautical miles from the Atlantic/Gulf and Pacific Coasts of the United States and Canada, the Hawaiian Islands, and the French territories of St. Pierre and Miquelon, has been enforceable since August 1, 2012. Fuel

Table of Contents

used by vessels operating in the ECA cannot contain more than 1.0% sulfur, dropping to no more than 0.1% sulfur in 2015. NOx after-treatment requirements will apply in 2016. The U.S. Caribbean ECA, which includes the waters of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, became enforceable on January 1, 2014. We may incur costs to install control equipment on our engines in order to comply with the new requirements. Other ECAs may be designated, and the jurisdictions in which our vessels operate may adopt more stringent emission standards independent of IMO.

The operation of our vessels is also affected by the requirements set forth in the IMO's International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and Pollution Prevention, or the ISM Code, which was adopted in July 1998. The ISM Code requires shipowners and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive "Safety Management System" that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a Safety Management Certificate for each vessel they operate. This certificate evidences compliance by a vessel's management with code requirements for a Safety Management System. No vessel can obtain a certificate unless its operator has been awarded a document of compliance, issued by each flag state, under the ISM Code. The failure of a shipowner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels or result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. Currently, each of the vessels in our fleet is ISM code-certified. However, there can be no assurance that such certifications will be maintained indefinitely.

In 2001, the IMO adopted the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or the Bunker Convention, which imposes strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of bunker oil. The Bunker Convention also requires registered owners of ships over a certain size to maintain insurance for pollution damage in an amount equal to the limits of liability under the applicable national or international limitation regime (but not exceeding the amount calculated in accordance with the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims of 1976, as amended). The Bunker Convention entered into force on November 21, 2008. Our entire fleet has been issued a certificate attesting that insurance is in force in accordance with the insurance provisions of the Convention. In jurisdictions where the Bunkers Convention has not been adopted, such as the United States, various legislative schemes or common law govern, and liability is either strict or imposed on the basis of fault.

Environmental Regulation The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA")

OPA established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills. It applies to discharges of any oil from a vessel, including discharges of fuel oil and lubricants. OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in U.S. waters, which include the United States' territorial sea and its two hundred nautical mile exclusive economic zone. While we do not carry oil as cargo, we do carry fuel oil (or bunkers) in our vessels, making our vessels subject to the OPA requirements.

Under OPA, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are "responsible parties" and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the discharge of oil results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels. OPA defines these other damages broadly to include:

natural resources damage and the costs of assessment thereof;

real and personal property damage;

Table of Contents

net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, fees and other lost revenues;

lost profits or impairment of earning capacity due to property or natural resources damage; and

net cost of public services necessitated by a spill response, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards, and loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

OPA preserves the right to recover damages under existing law, including maritime tort law.

OPA liability is limited to the greater of \$1,100 per gross ton or \$939,800 for non-tank vessels, subject to periodic adjustment by the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG). These limits of liability do not apply if an incident was directly caused by violation of applicable U.S. federal safety, construction or operating regulations or by a responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct, or if the responsible party fails or refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with oil removal activities.

OPA requires owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the USCG evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet their potential liabilities under the OPA. Under the regulations, vessel owners and operators may evidence their financial responsibility by providing proof of insurance, surety bond, self-insurance, or guaranty, and an owner or operator of a fleet of vessels is required only to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility in an amount sufficient to cover the vessels in the fleet having the greatest maximum liability under OPA. Under the self-insurance provisions, the shipowner or operator must have a net worth and working capital, measured in assets located in the United States against liabilities located anywhere in the world, that exceeds the applicable amount of financial responsibility. We have complied with the USCG regulations by providing a financial guaranty in the required amount.

OPA specifically permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries, and some states have enacted legislation providing for unlimited liability for oil spills. In some cases, states which have enacted such legislation have not yet issued implementing regulations defining vessels owners' responsibilities under these laws. We intend to comply with all applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call.

We currently maintain, for each of our vessels, oil pollution liability coverage insurance in the amount of \$1 billion per incident. In addition, we carry hull and machinery and protection and indemnity insurance to cover the risks of fire and explosion. Given the relatively small amount of bunkers our vessels carry, we believe that a spill of oil from the vessels would not be catastrophic. However, under certain circumstances, fire and explosion could result in a catastrophic loss. While we believe that our present insurance coverage is adequate, not all risks can be insured, and there can be no guarantee that any specific claim will be paid, or that we will always be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates. If the damages from a catastrophic spill exceeded our insurance coverage, it would have a severe effect on us and could possibly result in our insolvency.

In response to the BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill, a number of bills that could potentially increase or even eliminate the limits of liability under OPA have been introduced in the U.S. Congress. Compliance with any new OPA requirements could substantially impact our costs of operation or require us to incur additional expenses.

Title VII of the Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2004, or the CGMTA, amended OPA to require the owner or operator of any non-tank vessel of 400 gross tons or more, that carries oil of any kind as a fuel for main propulsion, including bunkers, to have an approved response plan for each vessel. The vessel response plans include detailed information on actions to be taken by vessel personnel to prevent or mitigate any discharge or substantial threat of such a discharge of oil from the vessel due to operational activities or casualties. We have approved response plans for each of our vessels.

Table of Contents

Environmental Regulation CERCLA

CERCLA governs spills or releases of hazardous substances other than petroleum or petroleum products. The owner or operator of a ship, vehicle or facility from which there has been a release is liable without regard to fault for the release, and along with other specified parties may be jointly and severally liable for remedial costs. Costs recoverable under CERCLA include cleanup and removal costs, natural resource damages and governmental oversight costs. Liability under CERCLA is generally limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$0.5 million per vessel carrying non-hazardous substances (\$5.0 million for vessels carrying hazardous substances), unless the incident is caused by gross negligence, willful misconduct or a violation of certain regulations, in which case liability is unlimited. The USCG's financial responsibility regulations under OPA also require vessels to provide evidence of financial responsibility for CERCLA liability in the amount of \$300 per gross ton. As noted above, we have provided a financial guaranty in the required amount to the USCG.

Environmental Regulation The Clean Water Act

The U.S. Clean Water Act, or CWA, prohibits the discharge of oil or hazardous substances in navigable waters and imposes strict liability in the form of penalties for any unauthorized discharges. The CWA also imposes substantial liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages and complements the remedies available under the more recent OPA and CERCLA, discussed above. Under U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, regulations we are required to obtain a CWA permit regulating and authorizing any discharges of ballast water or other wastewaters incidental to our normal vessel operations if we operate within the three-mile territorial waters or inland waters of the United States. The permit, which EPA has designated as the Vessel General Permit for Discharges Incidental to the Normal Operation of Vessels, or VGP, incorporated the then-current U.S. Coast Guard requirements for ballast water management, as well as supplemental ballast water requirements and limits for 26 other specific discharges. Regulated vessels cannot operate in U.S. waters unless they are covered by the VGP. To do so, vessel owners must submit a Notice of Intent, or NOI, at least 30 days before the vessel operates in U.S. waters. To comply with the VGP vessel owners and operators may have to install equipment on their vessels to treat ballast water before it is discharged or implement port facility disposal arrangements or procedures at potentially substantial cost. The VGP also requires states to certify the permit, and certain states have imposed more stringent discharge standards as a condition of their certification. Many of the VGP requirements have already been addressed in our vessels' current ISM Code SMS Plan. As part of a settlement of a lawsuit challenging the VGP, EPA issued a new VGP (2013 VGP) that became effective on December 19, 2013. The 2013 VGP contains numeric effluent limits for ballast water discharges that are expressed as maximum concentrations of living organisms per unit of ballast water volume discharged. These requirements correspond with the IMO's requirements under the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments, or the BWM Convention, discussed below, and are consistent with the USCG's 2012 ballast water discharge standards described below. The 2013 VGP also includes additional management requirements for non-ballast water discharges and requires the submission of annual reports by all vessels covered by the 2013 VGP. EPA is implementing the 2013 VGP on a staggered basis, depending on the size of a vessel and its first drydocking between January 1, 2014 and January 1, 2016. Vessels that are constructed after December 1, 2013 are immediately subject to the requirements of the 2013 VGP. The ballast water management standards of the 2013 VGP were challenged by the Canadian Shipowners' Association in the U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeals. The U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeals ruled on October 5, 2015 that EPA had acted arbitrarily and capriciously with respect to certain of the ballast water provisions in the 2013 VGP. The Court remanded the issue to EPA to either justify its approach in the 2013 VGP or redraft the ballast water sections of the VGP consistent with the Court's ruling. In the meantime, the 2013 VGP will remain in effect. Although there are no USCG-approved ballast water management systems, EPA to date has refused to extend or waive the date for compliance with the ballast water management requirements in

Table of Contents

the 2013 VGP. Instead, EPA will consider why a vessel does not have compliant ballast water management technology if it takes action to enforce the new requirements. We have submitted NOIs for all of our vessels that operate or potentially operate in U.S. waters and have submitted annual reports for all of our covered vessels.

Environmental Regulation The Clean Air Act

The Federal Clean Air Act (CAA) requires the EPA to promulgate standards applicable to emissions of volatile organic compounds and other air contaminants. Our vessels are subject to CAA vapor control and recovery standards for cleaning fuel tanks and conducting other operations in regulated port areas and emissions standards for so-called "Category 3" marine diesel engines operating in U.S. waters. The marine diesel engine emission standards are currently limited to new engines beginning with the 2004 model year. However, on April 30, 2010, EPA adopted more stringent standards for emissions of particulate matter, sulfur oxides, and nitrogen oxides and other related provisions for new Category 3 marine diesel engines installed on vessels registered or flagged in the U.S. We may incur costs to install control equipment on our vessels to comply with the new standards. Several states regulate emissions from vessel vapor control and recovery operations under federally-approved State Implementation Plans. The California Air Resources Board has adopted clean fuel regulations applicable to all vessels sailing within 24 miles of the California coast whose itineraries call for them to enter any California ports, terminal facilities or internal or estuarine waters. Only marine gas oil or marine diesel oil fuels with 0.1% sulfur will be allowed. If new or more stringent requirements relating to marine fuels or emissions from marine diesel engines or port operations by vessels are adopted by EPA or the states, compliance with these regulations could entail significant capital expenditures or otherwise increase the costs of our operations.

Environmental Regulation Other Environmental Initiatives

The EU has also adopted legislation that requires member states to impose criminal sanctions for certain pollution events, such as the unauthorized discharge of tank washings. The European Parliament recently endorsed a European Commission proposal to criminalize certain pollution discharges from ships. If the proposal becomes formal EU law, it will affect the operation of vessels and the liability of owners for oil and other pollutant discharges. It is difficult to predict what legislation, if any, may be promulgated by the European Union or any other country or authority.

The Paris Memorandum of Understanding on Port State Control (Paris MoU) to which 27 nations are party adopted the "New Inspection Regime" (NIR) to replace the existing Port State Control system, effective January 1, 2011. The NIR is a significant departure from the previous system, as it is a risk based targeting mechanism that will reward quality vessels with a smaller inspection burden and subject high-risk ships to more in-depth and frequent inspections. The inspection record of a vessel, its age and type, the Voluntary IMO Member State Audit Scheme, and the performance of the flag State and recognized organizations are used to develop the risk profile of a vessel.

The U.S. National Invasive Species Act, or NISA, was enacted in 1996 in response to growing reports of harmful organisms being released into U.S. ports through ballast water taken on by ships in foreign ports. Under NISA, the USCG adopted regulations in July 2004 imposing mandatory ballast water management practices for all vessels equipped with ballast water tanks entering U.S. waters. These requirements can be met by performing mid-ocean ballast exchange, by retaining ballast water on board the ship, or by using environmentally sound alternative ballast water management methods approved by the USCG. (However, mid-ocean ballast exchange is mandatory for ships heading to the Great Lakes or Hudson Bay, or vessels engaged in the foreign export of Alaskan North Slope crude oil.) Mid-ocean ballast exchange is the primary method for compliance with the USCG regulations, since holding ballast water can prevent ships from performing cargo operations upon arrival in the United States, and alternative methods are still under development. Vessels that are unable to conduct

Table of Contents

mid-ocean ballast exchange due to voyage or safety concerns may discharge minimum amounts of ballast water (in areas other than the Great Lakes and the Hudson River), provided that they comply with record keeping requirements and document the reasons they could not follow the required ballast water management requirements. On March 23, 2012 the USCG adopted ballast water discharge standards that set maximum acceptable discharge limits for living organisms and established standards for ballast water management systems. The regulations became effective on June 21, 2012 and were phased in between January 1, 2014 and January 1, 2016 for existing vessels, depending on the size of their ballast water tanks and their next drydocking date. Since no ballast water treatment systems have been approved by the USCG, the agency has, upon request, waived compliance for vessels subject to the yet-to-be established standards for ballast water management systems; we have requested and obtained such a waiver from the USCG for all our vessels, which are scheduled for dry-docking in 2016. Additionally, we have requested and not yet obtained such a waiver from the USCG for our vessels, which are scheduled for dry-docking in 2017. Although the USCG ballast water management requirements are consistent with the requirements in EPA's 2013 VGP, the USCG intends to review the practicability of implementing even more stringent ballast water discharge standards. In the past absence of federal standards, states enacted legislation or regulations to address invasive species through ballast water and hull cleaning management and permitting requirements. Michigan's ballast water management legislation was upheld by the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals and California enacted legislation extending its ballast water management program to regulate the management of "hull fouling" organisms attached to vessels and adopted regulations limiting the number of organisms in ballast water discharges. Other states may proceed with the enactment of requirements similar to those of California and Michigan or the adoption of requirements that are more stringent than the EPA and USCG requirements. We could incur additional costs to comply with additional USCG or state ballast water management requirements.

At the international level, the IMO adopted the BWM Convention in February 2004. The Convention's implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements, to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits. The BWM Convention will not enter into force until 12 months after it has been adopted by 30 states, the combined merchant fleets of which represent not less than 35% of the gross tonnage of the world's merchant shipping. The Convention has not yet entered into force because a sufficient number of states have failed to adopt it. However, in March 2010 MEPC passed a resolution urging the ratification of the Convention and calling upon those countries that have already ratified it to encourage the installation of ballast water management systems. Many of the implementation dates originally contained in the BWM Convention have already passed, so that once the convention enters into force, the period for installation of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements would be very short, with several thousand ships per year needing to install the systems. Consequently, the IMO Assembly passed a resolution in December 2013 revising the dates for implementation of the ballast water management requirements so that they are triggered by the entry into force date. In effect, this makes all vessels constructed before the entry into force date "existing" vessels, allowing for the installation of ballast water management systems on such vessels at the first renewal survey following entry into force of the BWM Convention.

If the mid-ocean ballast exchange is made mandatory throughout the United States or at the international level, or if ballast water treatment requirements or options are instituted, the cost of compliance could increase for ocean carriers. Although we do not believe that the costs of compliance with a mandatory mid-ocean ballast exchange would be material, it is difficult to predict the overall impact of such a requirement on our business.

The 2005 Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change required adopting countries to implement national programs to reduce emissions of certain greenhouse gases, but emissions from international shipping are not subject to the soon to expire Kyoto Protocol.

Table of Contents

The Paris Agreement adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in December 2015, contemplates commitments from each nation party thereto to take action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and limit increases in global temperatures but did not include any restrictions or other measures specific to shipping emissions. However, restrictions on shipping emissions are likely to continue to be considered and a new treaty may be adopted in the future that includes restrictions on shipping emissions. The IMO's MEPC adopted two new sets of mandatory requirements to address greenhouse gas emissions from vessels at its July 2011 meeting. The EEDI establishes a minimum energy efficiency level per capacity mile and will be applicable to new vessels. The Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan is applicable to currently operating vessels of 400 metric tons and above and we are in compliance. These requirements entered into force in January 2013 and could cause us to incur additional compliance costs in the future. The IMO is also considering the development of market based mechanisms to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from vessels, as well as sustainable development goals for marine transportation, but it is impossible to predict the likelihood that such measures might be adopted or their potential impacts on our operations at this time. In 2015, the EU adopted a regulation requiring large vessels (over 5,000 gross tons) calling at EU ports to monitor, report and verify their carbon dioxide emissions, beginning in January 2018. Negotiators from the European Parliament and the European Union Council provisionally adopted rules to implement this strategy in November 2014 and the European Parliament and Council of Ministers are expected to adopt the regulations. The U.S. EPA Administrator issued a finding that greenhouse gases threaten the public health and safety and has adopted regulations relating to the control of greenhouse gas emissions from certain mobile sources and proposed regulations that would restrict greenhouse gas emissions from certain large stationary sources. Although the EPA findings and regulations do not extend to vessels and vessel engines, the EPA is separately considering a petition from the California Attorney General and environmental groups to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ocean-going vessels under the CAA. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, the EU or individual countries in which we operate or any international treaty adopted to succeed the Kyoto Protocol could require us to make significant financial expenditures or otherwise limit our operations that we cannot predict with certainty at this time.

Vessel Security Regulations

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the U.S. Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 (MTSA) came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the U.S. Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to SOLAS created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new chapter went into effect in July 2004, and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the newly created International Ship and Port Facilities Security (ISPS) Code.

The ISPS Code is designed to protect ports and international shipping against terrorism. To trade internationally a vessel must obtain an International Ship Security Certificate, or ISSC, from a recognized security organization approved by the vessel's flag state. To obtain an ISSC a vessel must meet certain requirements, including:

- on-board installation of automatic identification systems to enhance vessel-to-vessel and vessel-to-shore communications;
- on-board installation of ship security alert systems that do not sound on the vessel but alert the authorities on shore;
- the development of vessel security plans;

Table of Contents

identification numbers to be permanently marked on a vessel's hull;

a continuous synopsis record to be maintained on board showing the vessel's history, including the vessel ownership, flag state registration, and port registrations; and

compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

In addition, as of January 1, 2009, every company and/or registered owner is required to have an identification number which conforms to the IMO Unique Company and Registered Owner Identification Number Scheme. Our Manager has also complied with this amendment to SOLAS XI-1/3-1.

The U.S. Coast Guard regulations are intended to align with international maritime security standards and exempt non-U.S. vessels that have a valid ISSC attesting to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code from the requirement to have a U.S. Coast Guard approved vessel security plan. We have implemented the various security measures addressed by the MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code and have ensured that our vessels are compliant with all applicable security requirements. Our fleet, as part of our continuous improvement cycle, is reviewing vessels SSPs and is maintaining best Management practices during passage through security risk areas.

Seasonality

Our containerships primarily operate under multi-year charters and therefore are not subject to the effect of seasonal variations in demand.

Properties

We have no freehold or leasehold interest in any real property. We occupy space at 14 Akti Kondyli, 185-45 Piraeus, Greece that is owned by our manager, Danaos Shipping, and which is provided to us as part of the services we receive under our management agreement.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes to those statements included elsewhere in this annual report. This discussion includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth under "Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this annual report, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

Overview

Our business is to provide international seaborne transportation services by operating vessels in the containership sector of the shipping industry. As of February 29, 2016, we had a fleet of 55 containerships aggregating 329,588 TEU, making us among the largest containership charter owners in the world, based on total TEU capacity. Gemini, in which we hold a 49% minority equity interest, owned four additional containerships aggregating 23,998 TEU in capacity, as of February 29, 2016. We do not consolidate Gemini's results of operations and account for our minority equity interest under the equity method of accounting, which is recorded under "Equity loss on investment" in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

We primarily deploy our containerships on multi-year, fixed-rate charters to take advantage of the stable cash flows and high utilization rates typically associated with multi-year charters. As of February 29, 2016, 53 containerships in our fleet were employed on time charters and two containerships were employed on bareboat charters. Gemini has employed two of its containerships on time charters, while two of its containerships are currently not employed. Our containerships are generally employed on multi-year charters to large liner companies that charter-in vessels on a multi-year basis as part of their business strategies. As of February 29, 2016, our diverse group of customers in the containership sector included China Shipping, CMA-CGM, Hanjin, Hyundai, MSC, Niledutch, Yang Ming, ZIM Israel Integrated Shipping Services, NYK, Hapag Lloyd and Maersk Line and, for Gemini, NYK.

The average number of containerships in our fleet for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was 56.0, 55.9 and 61.0, respectively.

Purchase Options

The charters with respect to the *CMA CGM Moliere*, the *CMA CGM Musset*, the *CMA CGM Nerval*, the *CMA CGM Rabelais* and the *CMA CGM Racine* include an option for the charterer, CMA-CGM, to purchase the vessels eight years after the commencement of the respective charters, which will fall in September 2017, March 2018, May 2018, July 2018 and August 2018, respectively, each for \$78.0 million. In each case, the option to purchase the vessel must be exercised 15 months prior to the acquisition dates described in the preceding sentence. The \$78.0 million option prices reflect an estimate, made at the time of entry into the applicable charter, of the fair market value of the vessels at the time we would be required to sell the vessels upon exercise of the options. If CMA-CGM were to exercise these options with respect to any or all of these vessels, the expected size of our containership fleet would be reduced, and as a result our anticipated level of revenues would be reduced.

Our Manager

Our operations are managed by Danaos Shipping, our manager, under the supervision of our officers and our board of directors. We believe our manager has built a strong reputation in the shipping community by providing customized, high-quality operational services in an efficient manner for both new and older vessels. We have a management agreement pursuant to which our manager and its affiliates provide us and our subsidiaries with technical, administrative and certain commercial services. The initial term of this agreement expired on December 31, 2008, and the agreement now renews each year for a one-year term for the next 12 years thereafter unless we give a one-year notice of non-renewal (subject to certain termination rights described in "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions"). Our manager is ultimately owned by Danaos Investments Limited as Trustee of the 883 Trust, which we refer to as the Coustas Family Trust. Danaos Investments Limited is the trustee of the Coustas Family Trust, of which Dr. Coustas and other members of the Coustas family are beneficiaries. The Coustas Family Trust is also our largest stockholder.

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

Our financial results are largely driven by the following factors:

Number of Vessels in Our Fleet. The number of vessels in our fleet, and their TEU capacity, is the primary factor in determining the level of our revenues. Aggregate expenses also increase as the size of our fleet increases. Vessel acquisitions and dispositions will have a direct impact on the number of vessels in our fleet. From time to time we have sold, generally older, vessels in our fleet. For example, in January 2016 we sold one of our older vessels, the *Federal*, and in 2014 we entered into an agreement with the lenders under the HSH Nordbank AG-Aegean

Table of Contents

Baltic Bank-Piraeus Bank credit facility, under which we sold five of our older vessels, the *Marathonas*, the *Messologi*, the *Mytilini*, the *Commodore* and the *Duka* and we acquired two secondhand 6,402 TEU containerships built in 2002, the *Priority* and the *Performance*. Five of our vessels, which have an aggregate capacity of 32,500 TEUs, are subject to arrangements pursuant to which the charterer has options to purchase the vessels at stipulated prices on the eighth anniversaries of the charters which fall in September 2017, March 2018, May 2018, July 2018 and August 2018, respectively. If any of these purchase options were to be exercised, the expected size of our containership fleet would be reduced, and as a result our anticipated level of revenues would be reduced.

Charter Rates. Aside from the number of vessels in our fleet, the charter rates we obtain for these vessels are the principal drivers of our revenues. Charter rates are based primarily on demand for capacity as well as the available supply of containership capacity at the time we enter into the charters for our vessels. As a result of macroeconomic conditions affecting trade flow between ports served by liner companies and economic conditions in the industries which use liner shipping services, charter rates can fluctuate significantly. Although the multi-year charters on which we deploy our containerships make us less susceptible to cyclical containership charter rates than vessels operated on shorter-term charters, we are exposed to varying charter rate environments when our chartering arrangements expire and we seek to deploy our containerships under new charters. The staggered maturities of our containership charters also reduce our exposure to any stage in the shipping cycle. As of February 29, 2016, two vessels owned by Gemini were not employed and the charters for nine of our existing vessels are scheduled to expire between March 2016 and October 2016. With the prevailing low charter rate levels, we expect that we will have to re-charter some of these vessels at the existing low spot charter rates.

Utilization of Our Fleet. Due to the multi-year charters under which they are operated, our containerships have consistently been deployed at or near full utilization. During 2015, our fleet utilization increased to 99.0% compared to 97.5% in 2014. In addition, the amount of time our vessels spend in drydock undergoing repairs or undergoing maintenance and upgrade work affects our results of operations. Historically, our fleet has had a limited number of off-hire days. For example, there were 153 total off-hire days for our entire fleet during 2015 other than for scheduled drydockings and special surveys and excluding laid up vessels compared to 181 total off-hire days for our entire fleet during 2014 other than for scheduled drydockings and special surveys and excluding laid up vessels. However, an increase in annual off-hire days could reduce our utilization. The efficiency with which suitable employment is secured, the ability to minimize off-hire days and the amount of time spent positioning vessels also affects our results of operations. If the utilization patterns of our containership fleet changes our financial results would be affected.

Expenses. Our ability to control our fixed and variable expenses, including those for commission expenses, crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses for repairs and maintenance, the cost of spares and consumable stores, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses also affects our financial results. In addition, factors beyond our control, such as developments relating to market premiums for insurance and the value of the U.S. dollar compared to currencies in which certain of our expenses, primarily crew wages, are denominated can cause our vessel operating expenses to increase.

In addition to those factors described above affecting our operating results, our net income is significantly affected by our financing arrangements, including our interest rate swap arrangements, and, accordingly, prevailing interest rates and the interest rates and other financing terms we may obtain in the future.

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

The following table presents the contracted utilization of our operating fleet as of December 31, 2015.

| | 2016 | 2017 - 2018 | 2019 - 2020 | 2021 - 2025 | 2026 - 2028 | Total |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Contracted revenue (in millions)(1) | \$ 524.7 | \$ 936.5 | \$ 785.8 | \$ 870.6 | \$ 42.5 | \$ 3,160.1 |
| Number of vessels whose charters are set to expire in the respective period(2) | 9 | 11 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 55 |
| TEUs on expiring charters in the respective period | 46,652 | 39,362 | 47,718 | 182,856 | 13,000 | 329,588 |
| Contracted Operating(3) days | 17,646 | 28,763 | 22,060 | 19,234 | 1,583 | 89,286 |
| Total Operating(3) days | 19,920 | 39,670 | 39,694 | 98,824 | 55,380 | 253,488 |
| Contracted Operating days/Total Operating days | 88.6% | 72.5% | 55.6% | 19.5% | 2.9% | 35.2% |

- (1) Annual revenue calculations are based on an assumed 364 revenue days per annum, based on contracted charter rates from our current charter agreements. Additionally, the revenues above reflect an estimate of off-hire days to perform periodic maintenance. If actual off-hire days are greater than estimated, these would decrease the level of revenues above. Although these revenues are based on contractual charter rates, any contract is subject to performance by our counterparties and us. See " Operating Revenues," including the contracted revenue table presented therein, for more information regarding our contracted revenues.
- (2) Refers to the incremental number of vessels with charters expiring within the respective period.
- (3) Operating days calculations are based on an assumed 364 operating days per annum. Additionally, the operating days above reflect an estimate of off-hire days to perform periodic maintenance. If actual off-hire days are greater than estimated, these would decrease the amount of operating days above.

Operating Revenues

Our operating revenues are driven primarily by the number of vessels in our fleet, the number of operating days during which our vessels generate revenues and the amount of daily charter hire that our vessels earn under time charters which, in turn, are affected by a number of factors, including our decisions relating to vessel acquisitions and dispositions, the amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels, the amount of time that our vessels spend in drydock undergoing repairs, maintenance and upgrade work, the age, condition and specifications of our vessels and the levels of supply and demand in the containership charter market. Vessels operating in the spot market generate revenues that are less predictable but can allow increased profit margins to be captured during periods of improving charter rates.

Revenues from multi-year period charters comprised substantially all of our revenues for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013. The revenues relating to our multi-year charters will be affected by any additional vessels subject to multi-year charters we may acquire in the future, as well as by the disposition of any such vessel in our fleet. Our revenues will also be affected if any of our charterers cancel a multi-year charter or fail to perform at existing contracted rates. Our multi-year charter agreements have been contracted in varying rate environments and expire at different times. Generally, we do not employ our vessels under voyage charters under which a shipowner, in return for a fixed sum, agrees to transport cargo from one or more loading ports to one or more destinations and assumes all vessel operating costs and voyage expenses.

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Our expected revenues as of December 31, 2015, based on contracted charter rates, from our charter arrangements for our containerships is shown in the table below. Although these expected revenues are based on contracted charter rates, any contract is subject to performance by the counterparties. If the charterers, some of which are currently facing substantial financial pressure, are unable or unwilling to make charter payments to us, our results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected. See "Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors We are dependent on the ability and willingness of our charterers to honor their commitments to us for all of our revenues and the failure of our counterparties to meet their obligations under our charter agreements could cause us to suffer losses or otherwise adversely affect our business."

Contracted Revenue from Multi-Year Charters as of December 31, 2015(1) (Amounts in millions of U.S. dollars)

| Number of Vessels(2) | 2016 | 2017 - 2018 | 2019 - 2020 | 2021 - 2025 | 2026 - 2028 | Total |
|----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 55 | \$ 524.7 | \$ 936.5 | \$ 785.8 | \$ 870.6(3) | \$ 42.5 | \$ 3,160.1 |

- (1) Annual revenue calculations are based on an assumed 364 revenue days per annum representing contracted revenues, based on contracted charter rates from our current charter agreements. Although these revenues are based on contractual charter rates, any contract is subject to performance by the counter parties and us. Additionally, the revenues above reflect an estimate of off-hire days to perform periodic maintenance. If actual off-hire days are greater than estimated, these would decrease the level of revenues above.
- (2) Includes the *CMA CGM Moliere* delivered to us in 2009 and the *CMA CGM Musset*, the *CMA CGM Nerval*, the *CMA CGM Rabelais* and the *CMA CGM Racine*, delivered to us in 2010, which are each subject to options for the charterer to purchase the vessels eight years after the commencement of the respective charters, which fall in September 2017, March 2018, May 2018, July 2018 and August 2018, respectively, each for \$78.0 million. The \$78.0 million option prices reflected, at the time we entered into the applicable charter, an estimate of the fair market value of the vessels at the time we would be required to sell the vessels upon exercise of the options.
- (3) An aggregate of \$242.5 million (\$48.5 million with respect to each vessel) of revenue with respect to the *CMA CGM Moliere*, the *CMA CGM Musset*, the *CMA CGM Nerval*, the *CMA CGM Rabelais* and the *CMA CGM Racine*, following September 2017, March 2018, May 2018, July 2018 and August 2018, respectively, is included in the table because we cannot predict the likelihood of these options being exercised.

We generally do not charter our containerships in the spot market. Vessels operating in the spot market generate revenues that are less predictable than vessels on period charters, although this chartering strategy can enable vessel owners to capture increased profit margins during periods of improvements in charter rates. Deployment of vessels in the spot market creates exposure, however, to the risk of declining charter rates, as spot rates may be higher or lower than those rates at which a vessel could have been time chartered for a longer period.

Voyage Expenses

Voyage expenses include port and canal charges, bunker (fuel) expenses (bunker costs are normally covered by our charterers, except in certain cases such as vessel re-positioning), address commissions and brokerage commissions. Under multi-year time charters and bareboat charters, such as those on which we charter our containerships and under short-term time charters, the charterers bear the voyage expenses other than brokerage and address commissions and fees. As such, voyage expenses represent a relatively small portion of our vessels' overall expenses.

Table of Contents

From time to time, in accordance with industry practice and in respect of the charters for our containerships we pay brokerage commissions of approximately 0.75% to 2.5% of the total daily charter hire rate under the charters to unaffiliated ship brokers associated with the charterers, depending on the number of brokers involved with arranging the charter. We also pay address commissions of 1.25% up to 3.5% to a limited number of our charterers. Our manager will also receive a fee of 0.5% based on the contract price of any vessel bought or sold by it on our behalf, excluding newbuilding contracts. In 2015 and 2014 we paid a fee to our manager of 1.25% on all freight, charter hire, ballast bonus and demurrage for each vessel. In 2013, this fee was 1.00%. In 2016, this fee will remain at 1.25%.

Vessel Operating Expenses

Vessel operating expenses include crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses for repairs and maintenance, the cost of spares and consumable stores, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses. Aggregate expenses increase as the size of our fleet increases. Factors beyond our control, some of which may affect the shipping industry in general, including, for instance, developments relating to market premiums for insurance, may also cause these expenses to increase. In addition, a substantial portion of our vessel operating expenses, primarily crew wages, are in currencies other than the U.S. dollar and any gain or loss we incur as a result of the U.S. dollar fluctuating in value against these currencies is included in vessel operating expenses. We fund our manager monthly in advance with amounts it will need to pay our fleet's vessel operating expenses.

Under time charters, such as those on which we charter all but two of the containerships in our fleet as of February 29, 2016, we pay for vessel operating expenses. Under bareboat charters, such as those on which we chartered the remaining two containerships in our fleet, our charterers bear substantially all vessel operating expenses, including the costs of crewing, insurance, surveys, drydockings, maintenance and repairs.

Amortization of Deferred Drydocking and Special Survey Costs

We follow the deferral method of accounting for special survey and drydocking costs, whereby actual costs incurred are deferred and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the period until the next scheduled survey and drydocking, which is two and a half years. If special survey or drydocking is performed prior to the scheduled date, the remaining unamortized balances are immediately written off. The amortization periods reflect the estimated useful economic life of the deferred charge, which is the period between each special survey and drydocking.

Major overhaul performed during drydocking is differentiated from normal operating repairs and maintenance. The related costs for inspections that are required for the vessel's certification under the requirement of the classification society are categorized as drydock costs. A vessel at drydock performs certain assessments, inspections, refurbishments, replacements and alterations within a safe non-operational environment that allows for complete shutdown of certain machinery and equipment, navigational, ballast (keep the vessel upright) and safety systems, access to major underwater components of vessel (rudder, propeller, thrusters and anti-corrosion systems), which are not accessible during vessel operations, as well as hull treatment and paints. In addition, specialized equipment is required to access and maneuver vessel components, which are not available at regular ports.

Repairs and maintenance normally performed during operation either at port or at sea have the purpose of minimizing wear and tear to the vessel caused by a particular incident or normal wear and tear. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

Depreciation

We depreciate our containerships on a straight-line basis over their estimated remaining useful economic lives. We estimated the useful lives of our containerships to be 30 years from the year built. Depreciation is based on cost, less the estimated scrap value of \$300 per ton for all vessels.

General and Administrative Expenses

We paid our manager the following fees for 2015: (i) a fee of \$850 per day, (ii) a fee of \$425 per vessel per day for vessels on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iii) a fee of \$850 per vessel per day for vessels other than those on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iv) a flat fee of \$725,000 per newbuilding vessel, if any, which we capitalize, for the on premises supervision of newbuilding contracts by selected engineers and others of its staff and a fee of €0.51 million (\$0.56 million) for the services of our executive officers for the period from January 1, 2015 to April 30, 2015, after which date we have directly employed our executive officers. Our executive officers received an aggregate of €1.02 million (\$1.14 million) in compensation for the period from May 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we paid our manager: (i) a fee of \$800 per day, (ii) a fee of \$400 per vessel per day for vessels on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iii) a fee of \$800 per vessel per day for vessels other than those on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iv) a flat fee of \$725,000 per newbuilding vessel, if any, which we capitalize, for the on premises supervision of newbuilding contracts by selected engineers and others of its staff and an annual fee of €1.47 million (\$1.92 million) for the services of our executive officers. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we paid to our manager: (i) a fee of \$675 per day, (ii) a fee of \$340 per vessel per day for vessels on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iii) a fee of \$675 per vessel per day for vessels other than those on bareboat charter, pro rated for the number of calendar days we own each vessel, (iv) a flat fee of \$725,000 per newbuilding vessel, if any, which we capitalize, for the on premises supervision of newbuilding contracts by selected engineers and others of its staff and an annual fee of €1.4 million (\$1.86 million) for the services of our executive officers.

For 2016, we will pay \$850 per day, a fee of \$425 per vessel per day for vessels on bareboat charter and \$850 per vessel per day for vessels on time charter.

Furthermore, general and administrative expenses include audit fees, legal fees, board remuneration, executive officers compensation, directors & officers insurance, stock exchange fees and other general and administrative expenses.

Other Income/(Expenses), Net

In 2015, we recorded income of \$0.1 million for various non-operating items. In 2014 and 2013, we recorded income of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million respectively for various non-operating items.

Interest Expense, Interest Income and Other finance expenses

We incur interest expense on outstanding indebtedness under our credit facilities which we include in interest expense. We also incurred financing costs in connection with establishing those facilities, which is included in our finance expenses. Further, we earn interest on cash deposits in interest bearing accounts and on interest bearing securities, which we include in interest income. We will incur additional interest expense in the future on our outstanding borrowings and under future borrowings.

Table of Contents

Unrealized and Realized Loss on Derivatives

The interest rate swap arrangements we entered into were generally based on the forecasted delivery of vessels we contracted for and our debt financing needs associated therewith. All changes in the fair value of our cash flow interest rate swap agreements are recorded in earnings under "Unrealized and Realized Losses on Derivatives". Recognition of non-cash fair value movements of our interest rate swaps directly in our earnings creates potential volatility in our reported earnings. We recorded in our earnings gross unrealized gains from changes in the fair value of the cash flow interest rate swaps of \$48.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

We evaluated whether it is probable that the previously hedged forecasted interest payments prior to June 30, 2012 are probable to not occur in the originally specified time period. We have concluded that the previously hedged forecasted interest payments are probable of occurring. Therefore, unrealized gains or losses in accumulated other comprehensive loss associated with the previously designated cash flow interest rate swaps will remain frozen in accumulated other comprehensive loss and recognized in earnings when the interest payments will be recognized. If such interest payments were to be identified as being probable of not occurring, the accumulated other comprehensive loss balance pertaining to these amounts would be reversed through earnings immediately. We reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss to our earnings unrealized losses of \$32.6 million, resulting in net unrealized gains of \$16.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

As of December 31, 2015, the total notional amount of our cash flow interest rate swap arrangements was \$775 million and are all scheduled to terminate in 2016.

Results of Operations

Year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we had an average of 56.0 containerships compared to 55.9 containerships for the year ended December 31, 2014. Our fleet utilization increased to 99.0% in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 97.5% in the year ended December 31, 2014. We did not sell or acquire any vessels in 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we sold five of our older vessels, the *Marathonas*, the *Commodore*, the *Mytilini*, the *Duka* and the *Messologi*, and we acquired two 6,402 TEU secondhand containerships built in 2002, the *Performance* and the *Priority*.

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues increased by 2.9%, or \$15.8 million, to \$567.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$552.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2014.

Operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 reflect:

\$10.5 million of additional revenues in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, related to the *Priority* and the *Performance*, which were added to our fleet on November 5, 2014.

\$4.6 million increase in revenues in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, related to revenue recognition accounting of the Zim restructuring that became effective on July 16, 2014.

\$2.3 million increase in revenues in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 due to improved re-chartering of some of our vessels at higher rates.

\$2.1 million decrease in revenues in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, related to the *Commodore*, the *Marathonas*, the *Duka*, the *Messologi*

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

and the *Mytilini*, which were generating revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014 and were sold within 2014.

\$0.5 million of additional revenues due to improved fleet utilization in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Voyage Expenses

Voyage expenses decreased by \$0.7 million, to \$12.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$13.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014.

Vessel Operating Expenses

Vessel operating expenses decreased by 1.0%, or \$1.1 million, to \$112.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$113.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. The reduction is attributable to a 2% improvement in the average daily operating cost per vessel between the two periods, which decreased to \$5,720 per day for the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$5,838 per day for the year ended December 31, 2014. We believe that our daily operating cost ranks as one of the most competitive in the industry.

Depreciation

Depreciation expense decreased by 3.9%, or \$5.3 million, to \$131.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$137.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, mainly due to the lower depreciation expense on the eight 2,200 TEU vessels with respect to which we recorded an impairment charge on December 31, 2014.

Amortization of Deferred Drydocking and Special Survey Costs

Amortization of deferred dry-docking and special survey costs decreased by \$0.6 million, to \$3.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$4.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease is mainly due to the expiration of the amortization periods related to certain vessels during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$0.4 million, to \$21.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$21.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. Effective January 1, 2015, our management fees were adjusted to a fee of \$850 per day, a fee of \$425 per vessel per day for vessels on bareboat charter and a fee of \$850 per vessel per day for vessels on time charter.

Gain on Sale of Vessels

There were no vessel sales in the year ended December 31, 2015. Gain on sale of vessels amounted to \$5.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 as a result of sale of the *Marathonas*, the *Commodore*, the *Mytilini*, the *Duka* and the *Messologi* (on February 26, 2014, April 25, 2014, May 15, 2014, May 15, 2014 and May 20, 2014, respectively).

Impairment Loss

As of December 31, 2015, we recognized an impairment loss of \$39.0 million in relation to twelve of our older vessels held and used and \$2.1 million in relation to the *Federal*, which was held for sale as of December 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2014, we recognized an impairment loss of \$75.8 million in relation to eight 2,200 TEU vessels. See "Critical Accounting Policies Impairment of Long-lived Assets."

Table of Contents

Interest Expense, Interest Income, and Other Finance Expenses

Interest expense decreased by 12.0%, or \$9.6 million, to \$70.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$80.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. The change in interest expense was mainly due to the decrease in our average debt by \$221.8 million, to \$2,894.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$3,116.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, as well as the decrease in the cost of debt servicing in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, mainly driven by the accelerated amortization of our fixed rate debt, which bears a higher cost compared to our floating rate debt.

As of December 31, 2015, the debt outstanding was \$2,775.4 million compared to \$3,015.5 million as of December 31, 2014.

Interest income amounted to \$3.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$1.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase is attributed to the interest income related to the ZIM securities we received in the ZIM restructuring that became effective on July 16, 2014.

Other finance costs, net, decreased by \$1.1 million, to \$18.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$19.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. This decrease was mainly due to the \$1.0 million decrease in amortization of finance fees (which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the respective credit facilities) in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Equity loss on investments

Equity loss on investments of \$1.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 relates to the investment in Gemini where the Company has a 49% shareholding interest. This loss reflects operating losses of two out of the four vessels that have been acquired by Gemini that have not yet entered into long-term charter arrangements. We did not have any equity investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting in the year ended December 31, 2014.

Unrealized and Realized Loss on Derivatives

Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps amounted to \$16.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to a gain of \$24.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. The unrealized gains were attributable to mark to market valuation of our swaps, as well as reclassification of unrealized losses from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss to our earnings due to the discontinuation of hedge accounting since July 1, 2012.

Realized loss on interest rate swaps decreased by \$67.4 million, to \$56.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$123.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. This decrease is attributable to a \$1,402.9 million lower average notional amount of swaps during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 as a result of swap expirations.

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

The table below provides an analysis of the items discussed above, and which were recorded in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| | Year ended December 31, 2015 | Year ended December 31, 2014 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| (in millions) | | | |
| <i>Cash flow interest rate swaps</i> | | | |
| Realized losses expensed in consolidated Statements of Operations | \$ (52.7) | \$ (120.6) | |
| Unrealized gains | 16.2 | 25.2 | |
| Amortization of deferred realized losses | (4.0) | (4.0) | |
| Unrealized and realized losses on cash flow interest rate swaps | \$ (40.5) | \$ (99.4) | |
| | | | |
| <i>Fair value interest rate swaps</i> | | | |
| Unrealized losses on swap asset | \$ (0.5) | \$ (0.9) | |
| Reclassification of fair value hedged debt to earnings | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Realized gains | 0.5 | 1.0 | |
| Unrealized and realized gains on fair value interest rate swaps | \$ 0.6 | \$ 0.7 | |
| | | | |
| Unrealized and realized losses on derivatives | \$ (39.9) | \$ (98.7) | |

Year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we had an average of 55.9 containerships compared to 61.0 containerships for the year ended December 31, 2013. Our fleet utilization increased to 97.5% in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 93.4% in the year ended December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we sold five of our older vessels, the *Marathonas*, the *Commodore*, the *Mytilini*, the *Duka* and the *Messologi*, and we acquired two 6,402 TEU secondhand containerships built in 2002, the *Performance* and the *Priority*. During the year ended December 31, 2014, our fleet utilization for the fleet under employment was 98.5% (which excludes the laid up vessels).

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues decreased by 6.1%, or \$36.0 million, to \$552.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$588.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2013.

Operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 reflect:

\$9.1 million of additional revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, \$0.9 million of which related to the *Performance* and *Priority* which were added to our fleet on November 5, 2014 and \$8.2 million related to *Amalia C*, *MSC Zebra*, *Danae C (ex Niledutch Palanca)* and the *Dimitris C* which were added to our fleet on May 14, 2013, June 25, 2013, November 13, 2013 and November 21, 2013 respectively.

\$20.2 million decrease in revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, related to the agreement we entered into with ZIM for a reduction in the charter rates payable by ZIM under the time charters

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

for six of our vessels.

\$12.6 million decrease in revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, related to the *Hope*, the *Kalamata*, the *Elbe*, the *Komodo*, the *Lotus*, the *Commodore*, the *Messologi* and the *Mytilini*, which were generating revenues in the year ended December 31, 2013, but were sold within 2013 and 2014.

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

\$12.3 million decrease in revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, which was mainly attributable to the re-chartering of certain vessels at lower rates than what they had previously been earning as a result of the soft charter market.

Voyage Expenses

Voyage expenses increased by \$1.2 million, to \$13.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$11.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, mainly attributed to the increase of the fee on gross freight, charter hire, ballast bonus and demurrage payable to our manager with respect to each vessel in the fleet from 1.00% to 1.25% effective January 1, 2014.

Vessel Operating Expenses

Vessel operating expenses decreased by 6.8%, or \$8.3 million, to \$113.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$122.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The reduction is mainly attributable to the decrease in the average number of vessels in our fleet during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

The average daily operating cost per vessel decreased to \$5,838 per day for the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$5,987 per day for the year ended December 31, 2013 mainly as a result of the sale of the older vessels in our fleet whose contribution in daily operating expenses was higher than the fleet average. We believe our daily operating cost ranks as one of the most competitive in the industry.

Depreciation

Depreciation expense decreased by 0.2%, or \$0.3 million, to \$137.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$137.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in depreciation expense was due to the lower average number of vessels in our fleet during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Amortization of Deferred Drydocking and Special Survey Costs

Amortization of deferred dry-docking and special survey costs decreased by 20.0%, or \$1.1 million, to \$4.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$5.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease reflects reduced dry-docking costs amortized during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by 9.7%, or \$1.9 million, to \$21.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$19.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was mainly due to increased fees of \$1.3 million paid to our Manager in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, due to an increase in the per day fee payable to our Manager since January 1, 2014, together with an increase of \$0.6 million in stock compensation.

Gain/(loss) on sale of vessels

Gain on sale of vessels, was \$5.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to a loss of \$0.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we sold the *Marathonas*, the *Commodore*, the *Mytilini*, the *Duka* and the *Messologi* (on February 26, 2014, April 25, 2014, May 15, 2014, May 15, 2014 and May 20, 2014, respectively) and we realized a net gain on these sales of \$5.7 million in aggregate. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we sold the *Independence*, the *Henry*, the *Pride*, the *Honour*, the *Elbe*, the *Hope*, the *Kalamata*, the *Lotus* and the

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Komodo (on February 13, 2013, February 28, 2013, March 25, 2013, May 14, 2013, June 13, 2013, October 3, 2013, October 22, 2013, October 25, 2013 and November 12, 2013, respectively) and we realized a net loss on these sales of \$0.4 million in aggregate.

Impairment Loss

As of December 31, 2014 we recorded an impairment loss of \$75.8 million in relation to eight 2,200 TEU vessels built in 1997 and 1998. See "Critical Accounting Policies Impairment of Long-lived Assets." In July 2014, ZIM and its creditors entered into definitive documentation effecting ZIM's restructuring with its creditors on substantially the same terms, as described below under "Liquidity and Capital Resources," as the agreement in principle previously announced by ZIM in January 2014. Based on these anticipated terms, we had written down our long-term receivables from ZIM of \$44.8 million as of December 31, 2013 and recognized a \$19.0 million impairment charge with respect thereto in 2013. There was no impairment loss on vessels for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest Expense, Interest Income, and Other Finance Expenses

Interest expense decreased by 12.3%, or \$11.2 million, to \$80.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$91.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The change in interest expense was mainly due to the decrease in our average debt by \$205.4 million, to \$3,116.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$3,321.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, as well as the decrease in the cost of debt servicing in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, mainly driven by the accelerated amortization of our fixed rate debt, which bears a higher cost compared to our floating rate debt.

Interest income was \$1.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$2.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2013.

Other finance costs, net, decreased by \$0.3 million, to \$19.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$20.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease was due to the \$0.3 million decrease in amortizing finance fees (which were deferred and are amortized over the term of the respective credit facilities) in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Unrealized and Realized Loss on Derivatives

Unrealized gain/(loss) on interest rate swap hedges was a gain of \$24.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to a gain of \$22.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The unrealized gains were attributable to mark to market valuation of our swaps, as well as reclassification of unrealized losses from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss to our earnings due to the discontinuation of hedge accounting since July 1, 2012.

Realized loss on interest rate swap hedges, decreased by \$24.7 million, to \$123.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$148.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease is mainly attributable to the \$558.8 million lower average notional amount of swaps during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

With all our newbuildings having been delivered no realized losses on cash flow hedges were deferred during the year ended December 31, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

The table below provides an analysis of the items discussed above, and which were recorded in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

| | Year ended December 31, 2014 | Year ended December 31, 2013 | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| (in millions) | | | |
| <i>Cash flow interest rate swaps</i> | | | |
| Realized losses expensed in consolidated Statements of Operations | \$ (120.6) | \$ (145.6) | |
| Unrealized gains | 25.2 | 22.8 | |
| Amortization of deferred realized losses | (4.0) | (4.0) | |
| Unrealized and realized losses on cash flow interest rate swaps | \$ (99.4) | \$ (126.8) | |
| | | | |
| <i>Fair value interest rate swaps</i> | | | |
| Unrealized losses on swap asset | \$ (0.9) | \$ (1.3) | |
| Reclassification of fair value hedged debt to earnings | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Realized gains | 1.0 | 1.4 | |
| Unrealized and realized gains on fair value interest rate swaps | \$ 0.7 | \$ 0.7 | |
| | | | |
| Unrealized and realized losses on derivatives | \$ (98.7) | \$ (126.1) | |

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal source of funds has been equity provided by our stockholders from our initial public offering in October 2006 and common stock sale in August 2010, operating cash flows, vessel sales, and long-term bank borrowings. Our principal uses of funds have been capital expenditures to establish, grow and maintain our fleet, comply with international shipping standards, environmental laws and regulations and to fund working capital requirements.

Our short-term liquidity needs primarily relate to the funding of our vessel operating expenses, debt interest payments and servicing the current portion of our debt obligations and cash flow interest rate swaps liabilities. Our long-term liquidity needs primarily relate to debt repayment and capital expenditures related to any further growth of our fleet.

We anticipate that our primary sources of funds will be cash from operations and equity or capital markets debt financings, subject to restrictions on uses of such funds contained in our Bank Agreement.

As of December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with the financial and collateral coverage covenants under our debt arrangements. We believe that continued future compliance with the terms of these agreements will allow us to satisfy our liquidity needs. We anticipate that our primary sources of funds described above, including future equity or debt financings in the case of any further growth of our fleet beyond our currently contracted vessels to the extent permitted under our credit facilities, will be sufficient to satisfy all of the short-term and long-term liquidity needs described above, up to the 2018 maturity of the credit facilities under our Bank Agreement, which we expect to refinance at such time. See "Credit Facilities" below.

Under our existing multi-year charters as of December 31, 2015, we had contracted revenues of \$524.7 million for 2016, \$491.0 million for 2017 and, thereafter, approximately \$2.14 billion. Although these contracted revenues are based on contracted charter rates, we are dependent on the ability and willingness of our charterers, some of which are facing substantial financial pressure, to meet their obligations under these charters. See "Risk Factors."

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2015, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$72.3 million and restricted cash of \$2.8 million. As of December 31, 2015, we had no remaining borrowing availability under our credit facilities. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$2,775.4 million of outstanding indebtedness, of which \$270.0 million is payable within the next twelve months. Furthermore, cash flow interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$775.0 million outstanding as of December 31, 2015, are scheduled to terminate in 2016. See "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

Under the Bank Agreement, from May 15, 2013, we are required to apply a substantial portion of our cash from operations to the repayment of principal under our financing arrangements. We currently expect that the remaining portion of our cash from operations will be sufficient to fund all of our other obligations. The Bank Agreement also contains requirements for the application of proceeds from any future vessel sales or financings, as well as other transactions. See " Bank Agreement" and " Credit Facilities" below.

Our board of directors determined in 2009 to suspend the payment of further cash dividends as a result of market conditions in the international shipping industry and in order to conserve cash to be applied toward the financing of our extensive new building program. In addition, under the Bank Agreement relating to our existing credit facilities and various new financing arrangements and the Sinasure-CEXIM credit facility, we are not permitted to pay cash dividends or repurchase shares of our capital stock unless (i) our consolidated net leverage is below 6:1 for four consecutive quarters and (ii) the ratio of the aggregate market value of our vessels to our outstanding indebtedness exceeds 125% for four consecutive quarters and provided that an event of default has not occurred and we are not, and after giving effect to the payment of the dividend, in breach of any covenant.

We will not receive any cash upon any exercise of the 15 million warrants to purchase shares of our common stock issued to our lenders participating in our comprehensive financing plan contemplated by our Bank Agreement described herein, as such warrants are only exercisable on a cashless basis.

In July 2014, ZIM and its creditors entered into definitive documentation effecting ZIM's restructuring with its creditors on substantially the same terms as the agreement in principle previously announced by ZIM in January 2014. The terms of the restructuring include a reduction in the charter rates payable by ZIM under its time charters, expiring in 2020 or 2021, for six of our vessels. The terms also include our receipt of approximately \$49.9 million aggregate principal amount of unsecured, interest bearing ZIM notes maturing in 2023 (consisting of \$8.8 million of 3% Series 1 Notes due 2023 amortizing subject to available cash flow in accordance with a corporate cash sweep mechanism, and \$41.1 million of 5% Series 2 Notes due 2023 non-amortizing (of the 5% interest rate, 3% is payable quarterly in cash and 2% is payable in kind, accrued quarterly with deferred cash payment on maturity)) and ZIM shares representing approximately 7.4% of the outstanding ZIM shares immediately after the restructuring, in exchange for such charter rate reductions and cancellation of ZIM's other obligations to us, which relate to the outstanding long term receivable as of December 31, 2013. ZIM's charter-owner creditors designated two of the nine members of ZIM's initial Board of Directors following the restructuring, including one director nominated by us, Dimitris Chatzis, the father of our Chief Financial Officer.

Cash Flows

| | Year ended December 31, 2015 | Year ended December 31, 2014 | Year ended December 31, 2013 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| | | (In thousands) | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 271,676 | \$ 192,181 | \$ 189,025 |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities | (13,292) | 11,437 | 6,087 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (243,861) | (214,041) | (182,587) |

Table of Contents***Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities***

Net cash flows provided by operating activities increased by 41.4%, or \$79.5 million, to \$271.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$192.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was primarily the result of a reduction in realized losses from derivatives of \$67.4 million, reduced interest expenses by \$9.6 million, and lower payments for drydocking and special survey costs by \$4.6 million, in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities increased by 1.7%, or \$3.2 million, to \$192.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$189.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily the result of lower net financing expenses of \$35.4 million that offset increased payments for drydocking of \$6.6 million and a \$25.6 million decrease in cash from operations in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. Lower cash from operations is attributed to a \$36 million reduction in operating revenues, partially offset by \$10.4 million improvement in net operating costs and working capital position between the two periods.

Net Cash (Used in)/Provided by Investing Activities

Net cash flows (used in)/provided by investing activities decreased by \$24.7 million, to \$13.3 million used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$11.4 million provided by investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2014. The difference is attributed to a \$49.5 million decrease in net proceeds from sale of vessels, cash used for investments in affiliates of \$13.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to no investments in the year ended December 31, 2014, which were partially offset by a \$38.0 million decrease in amounts used in vessel purchases and other related capital expenditures in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Net cash flows provided by investing activities increased by \$5.3 million, to \$11.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$6.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The difference is attributed to vessel purchases and other related capital expenditures of \$39.2 million in 2014 mainly in relation to the acquisition of *Performance* and *Priority*, as opposed to \$46.8 million of vessel purchases and other related capital expenditures during 2013, mainly in relation to the acquisition of *Dimitris C*, *MSC Zebra*, *Amalia C* and *Danae C*. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2014 we received net proceeds from the sales of the *Messologi*, the *Marathonas*, the *Mytilini*, the *Commodore* and the *Duka* of \$50.6 million, whereas during the year ended December 31, 2013 we received net proceeds from the sales of the *Henry*, the *Pride*, the *Independence*, the *Honour*, the *Elbe*, the *Hope*, the *Lotus*, the *Kalamata* and the *Komodo* of \$52.9 million.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

Net cash flows used in financing activities increased by \$29.9 million, to \$243.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$214.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, as a result of a \$21.7 million increase in repayments of long-term debt, which amounted to \$243.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$221.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. Additionally, the decrease is due to a \$11.9 million movement in restricted cash, which was offset partially by a \$3.7 million decrease in deferred finance costs.

Net cash flows used in financing activities increased by \$31.4 million, to \$214.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$182.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, as a result of \$50.5 million of higher repayments of long-term debt, which amounted to \$221.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$171.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, partially offset by a \$23.4 million positive movement in restricted cash related to release of restricted funds derived from vessel sales that had been earmarked to reduced indebtedness. In addition, during 2014

Table of Contents

we paid \$4.4 million in full and final settlement of the amendment fee related to the 2011 restructuring that had been deferred and was payable on December 31, 2014, compared to \$0.1 million in finance expenses paid during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We report our financial results in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Management believes, however, that certain non-GAAP financial measures used in managing the business may provide users of this financial information additional meaningful comparisons between current results and results in prior operating periods. Management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures can provide additional meaningful reflection of underlying trends of the business because they provide a comparison of historical information that excludes certain items that impact the overall comparability. Management also uses these non-GAAP financial measures in making financial, operating and planning decisions and in evaluating our performance. See the table below for supplemental financial data and corresponding reconciliation to GAAP financial measures. Non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, our reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA

EBITDA represents net income before interest income and expense, taxes, depreciation, as well as amortization of deferred drydocking & special survey costs, amortization of deferred realized losses of cash flow interest rate swaps, amortization of finance costs and finance costs accrued. Adjusted EBITDA represents net income before interest income and expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization of deferred drydocking & special survey costs, amortization of deferred realized losses of cash flow interest rate swaps, amortization of finance costs and finance costs accrued, impairment loss, stock based compensation, (gain)/loss on sale of vessels, unrealized (gain)/loss on derivatives, realized loss on derivatives. We believe that EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA assist investors and analysts in comparing our performance across reporting periods on a consistent basis by excluding items that we do not believe are indicative of our core operating performance. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are also used: (i) by prospective and current customers as well as potential lenders to evaluate potential transactions; and (ii) to evaluate and price potential acquisition candidates. Our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to that reported by other companies due to differences in methods of calculation.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under U.S. GAAP. Some of these limitations are: (i) EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, working capital needs; and (ii) although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized may have to be replaced in the future, and EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect any cash requirements for such capital expenditures. In evaluating Adjusted EBITDA, you should be aware that in the future we may incur expenses that are the same as or similar to some of the adjustments in this presentation. Our presentation of Adjusted EBITDA should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items. Because of these limitations, EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as principal indicators of our performance.

Table of Contents***Net Income/(loss) Reconciliation to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA***

| | Year ended December 31, 2015 | Year ended December 31, 2014 | Year ended December 31, 2013 |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 117,016 | \$ (3,920) | \$ 37,523 |
| Depreciation | 131,783 | 137,061 | 137,414 |
| Amortization of deferred drydocking & special survey costs | 3,845 | 4,387 | 5,482 |
| Amortization of deferred realized losses of cash flow interest rate swaps | 4,017 | 4,016 | 4,017 |
| Amortization of finance costs | 14,038 | 15,070 | 15,431 |
| Finance costs accrued (Exit Fees under our Bank Agreement) | 3,639 | 3,745 | 3,763 |
| Interest income | (3,419) | (1,703) | (2,210) |
| Interest expense | 70,397 | 79,980 | 91,185 |
| EBITDA | \$ 341,316 | \$ 238,636 | \$ 292,605 |
| (Gain)/loss on sale of vessel | | (5,709) | 449 |
| Impairment loss | 41,080 | 75,776 | 19,004 |
| Stock based compensation | 88 | 638 | 75 |
| Realized loss on derivatives | 52,125 | 119,612 | 144,254 |
| Unrealized gain on derivatives | (16,285) | (24,915) | (22,121) |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 418,324 | \$ 404,038 | \$ 434,266 |

EBITDA increased by \$102.7 million, to \$341.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$238.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was mainly attributable to a \$58.9 million decrease in unrealized and realized losses on derivatives, a decreased impairment loss by \$34.7 million, a \$15.8 million increase in operating revenues, in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was partially offset by a \$1.9 million loss on equity investments incurred in the year ended December 31, 2015 and a \$5.7 million gain on sale of vessels incurred in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to a nil gain in the year ended December 31, 2015.

Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$14.3 million, to \$418.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2015, from \$404.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was attributed to a \$15.8 million increase in operating revenues, an improvement of 0.4 million in operating costs in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, which was offset by a \$1.9 million loss on equity investments incurred in the year ended December 31, 2015.

EBITDA decreased by \$54.0 million, to \$238.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$292.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease was mainly attributable to an impairment loss of \$75.8 million recorded in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to an impairment loss of \$19.0 million recorded in the year ended December 31, 2013, a \$36.0 million decrease in operating revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 and a \$2.0 million increase in general and administrative expenses in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, which were partially offset by a \$27.4 million improvement in unrealized and realized losses on derivatives in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, a \$7.1 million improvement in total operating expenses in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 and a \$5.7 million gain on sale of vessels recorded in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$0.4 million loss on sale of vessel recorded in the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$30.3 million, to \$404.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from \$434.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease was mainly attributable to a \$36.0 million decrease in operating revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, which were partially offset by a \$7.2 million improvement in total operating expenses in the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Bank Agreement

As noted above, on January 24, 2011, we entered into an agreement, which is referred to as the Bank Agreement, that, upon its effectiveness on March 4, 2011, superseded, amended and supplemented the terms of each of our then-existing credit facilities ("Pre-existing Credit Facilities") (other than our credit facilities with KEXIM and KEXIM-ABN Amro which are not covered thereby), and provides for, among other things, revised amortization schedules, maturities, interest rates, financial covenants, events of defaults, guarantee and security packages. As of December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with the financial covenants of the Bank Agreement. For additional details, please see Note 12, Long-term Debt, to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein.

Interest

Under the terms of the Bank Agreement, borrowings under each of our pre-existing Credit Facilities, which excludes the KEXIM and KEXIM-ABN Amro credit facilities which were not covered by the Bank Agreement, bear interest at an annual interest rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 1.85%.

Principal Payments

Under the terms of the Bank Agreement we are required to make quarterly principal payments in fixed amounts, in relation to our total debt commitments from our lenders under the Bank Agreement and the January 2011 Credit Facilities (see "January 2011 Credit Facilities" below), as specified in the table below (in thousands):

| | February 15, | May 15, | August 15, | November 15, | December 31, | Total |
|-------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 2016 | 30,973 | 36,278 | 32,276 | 43,852 | | 143,379 |
| 2017 | 44,939 | 36,691 | 35,338 | 31,872 | | 148,840 |
| 2018 | 34,152 | 37,585 | 44,399 | 45,334 | 65,969 | 227,439 |
| Total | | | | | | 519,658 |

*

The Company may elect to make the scheduled payments shown in the above table three months earlier.

Furthermore, an additional variable payment in such amount that, together with the fixed principal payment (as disclosed above), equals 92.5% of Actual Free Cash Flow for such quarter until the earlier of (x) the date on which our consolidated net leverage is below 6:1 and (y) May 15, 2015; and thereafter through maturity, which will be December 31, 2018 for each covered credit facility, we will be required to make quarterly principal payments in fixed amounts as specified in the Bank Agreement and described above plus an additional payment in such amount that, together with the fixed principal payment, equals 89.5% of Actual Free Cash Flow for such quarter. In addition, any additional amounts of cash and cash equivalents from January 1, 2015 until maturity in excess of the greater of (1) \$50 million of accumulated unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and (2) 2% of our consolidated debt, would be applied first to the prepayment of the January 2011 Credit Facilities and after the January 2011 Credit Facilities are repaid, to the Pre-existing Credit Facilities. Under the Bank Agreement, "Actual Free Cash Flow" with respect to each credit facility covered thereby would be equal to revenue from the vessels collateralizing such facility, less the sum of (a) interest expense under such credit facility, (b) pro-rata portion of

Table of Contents

payments under our interest rate swap arrangements, (c) interest expense and scheduled amortization under the Hyundai Samho Vendor Financing and (d) per vessel operating expenses and pro-rata per vessel allocation of general and administrative expenses (which are not permitted to exceed the relevant budget by more than 20%), plus (e) the pro-rata share of operating cash flow of any Applicable Second Lien Vessel (which will mean, with respect to a Pre-existing Credit Facility, a vessel with respect to which the participating lenders under such credit facility have a second lien security interest and the first lien credit facility has been repaid in full). The last payment due on December 31, 2018, will also include the unamortized remaining principal debt balances, as such amounts will be determinable following the fixed and variable amortization.

Under the terms of the Bank Agreement, we will continue to be required to make any mandatory prepayments provided for under the terms of our existing credit facilities and will be required to make additional prepayments as follows:

50% of the first \$300 million of net equity proceeds, including convertible debt and hybrid instruments (excluding the \$200 million of net equity proceeds which were a condition to the Bank Agreement and which were received in August 2010), after entering into the Bank Agreement and 25% of any additional net equity proceeds thereafter until December 31, 2018; and

any debt proceeds (after repayment of any underlying secured debt covered by vessels collateralizing the new borrowings) (excluding the January 2011 Credit Facilities, the Sinasure-CEXIM Credit Facility and the Hyundai Samho Vendor Financing), which amounts would first be applied to repayment of amounts outstanding under the January 2011 Credit Facilities and then to the Pre-existing Credit Facilities.

Any equity proceeds retained by us and not used within 12 months for certain specified purposes would be applied for prepayment of the January 2011 Credit Facilities and then to the Pre-existing Credit Facilities. We would also be required to prepay the portion of a credit facility attributable to a particular vessel upon the sale or total loss of such vessel; the termination or loss of an existing charter for a vessel, unless replaced within a specified period by a similar charter acceptable to the lenders; or the termination of a newbuilding contract. Our respective lenders under our Pre-existing Credit Facilities covered by the Bank Agreement and the January 2011 Credit Facilities may, at their option, require us to repay in full amounts outstanding under such respective credit facilities, upon a "Change of Control" of the Company, which for these purposes is defined as (i) Dr. Coustas ceasing to be our Chief Executive Officer, (ii) our common stock ceasing to be listed on the NYSE (or other recognized stock exchange), (iii) a change in the ultimate beneficial ownership of the capital stock of any of our subsidiaries or ultimate control of the voting rights of those shares, (iv) Dr. Coustas and members of his family ceasing to collectively own over one-third of the voting interest in our outstanding capital stock or (v) any other person or group controlling more than 20% of the voting power of our outstanding capital stock.

Covenants and Events of Defaults

Under the Bank Agreement, the financial covenants under each of our credit facilities (other than under the KEXIM-ABN Amro credit facility which is not covered thereby, but which, respectively, has been aligned with those covenants below through November 20, 2018 (the maturity of the respective credit facility) under the supplemental letter signed on September 12, 2013, amendment thereto and the KEXIM credit facility, which contains only a collateral coverage covenant of 130%), have been reset to require us to:

maintain a ratio of (i) the market value of all of the vessels in our fleet, on a charter-inclusive basis, plus the net realizable value of any additional collateral, to (ii) our consolidated total debt above specified minimum levels gradually increasing from 90% through December 31, 2011 to 130% from September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2018;

Edgar Filing: Danaos Corp - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

maintain a minimum ratio of (i) the market value of the nine vessels (*Hyundai Smart, Hyundai Speed, Hyundai Ambition, Hyundai Together, Hyundai Tenacity, Hanjin Greece, Hanjin Italy, Hanjin Germany* and the *CMA CGM Rabelais*) collateralizing the 2011 January Credit Facilities, calculated on a charter-free basis, plus the net realizable value of any additional collateral, to (ii) our aggregate debt outstanding under the January 2011 Credit Facilities of 100% from September 30, 2012 through September 30, 2018;

maintain minimum free consolidated unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, less the amount of the aggregate variable principal amortization amounts, described above, of \$30.0 million at the end of each calendar quarter;

ensure that our (i) consolidated total debt less unrestricted cash and cash equivalents to (ii) consolidated EBITDA (defined as net income before interest, gains or losses under any hedging arrangements, tax, depreciation, amortization and any other non-cash item, capital gains or losses realized from the sale of any vessel, finance charges and capital losses on vessel cancellations and before any non-recurring items and excluding any accrued interest due to us but not received on or before the end of the relevant period; provided that non-recurring items excluded from this calculation shall not exceed 5% of EBITDA calculated in this manner) for the last twelve months does not exceed a maximum ratio gradually decreasing from 12:1 on December 31, 2010 to 4.75:1 on September 30, 2018;

ensure that the ratio of our (i) consolidated EBITDA for the last twelve months to (ii) net interest expense (defined as interest expense (excluding capitalized interest), less interest income, less realized gains on interest rate swaps (excluding capitalized gains) and plus realized losses on interest rate swaps (excluding capitalized losses)) exceeds a minimum level of 1.50:1 through September 30, 2013 and thereafter gradually increasing to 2.80:1 by September 30, 2018; and

maintain a consolidated market value adjusted net worth (defined as the amount by which our total consolidated assets adjusted for the market value of our vessels in the water less cash and cash equivalents in excess of our debt service requirements exceeds our total consolidated liabilities after excluding the net asset or liability relating to the fair value of derivatives as reflected in our financial statements for the relevant period) of at least \$400 million.

We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2015.

For the purpose of these covenants, the market value of our vessels will be calculated, except as otherwise indicated above, on a charter-inclusive basis (using the present value of the "bareboat-equivalent" time charter income from such charter) so long as a vessel's charter has a remaining duration at the time of valuation of more than 12 months plus the present value of the residual value of the relevant vessel (generally equivalent to the charter free value of an equivalent vessel today at the age such vessel would be at the expiration of the existing time charter). The market value of any newbuilding vessels would equal the lesser of such amount and the newbuilding vessel's book value.

Under the terms of the Bank Agreement, the covered credit facilities also contain customary events of default, including those relating to cross-defaults to other indebtedness, defaults under our swap agreements, non-compliance with security documents, material adverse changes to our business, a Change of Control as described above, a change in our Chief Executive Officer, our common stock ceasing to be listed on the NYSE (or another recognized stock exchange), a change in any material respect, or breach of the management agreement by, the manager for the vessels securing the respective credit facilities and cancellation or amendment of the time charters (unless replaced with a similar time charter with a charterer acceptable to the lenders) for the vessels securing the respective credit facilities.

Table of Contents

Under the terms of the Bank Agreement, we generally will not be permitted to incur any further financial indebtedness or provide any new liens or security interests, unless such security is provided for the equal and ratable benefit of each of the lenders party to the intercreditor agreement we entered into with each of the lenders participating under the Bank Agreement, other than security arising by operation of law or in connection with the refinancing of outstanding indebtedness, with the consent, not to be unreasonably withheld, of all lenders with a lien on the security pledged against such outstanding indebtedness. In addition, we would not be permitted to pay cash dividends or repurchase shares of our capital stock unless (i) our consolidated net leverage is below 6:1 for four consecutive quarters and (ii) the ratio of the aggregate market value of our vessels to our outstanding indebtedness exceeds 125% for four consecutive quarters and provided that an event of default has not occurred and we are not, and after giving effect to the payment of the dividend, in breach of any covenant.

Collateral and Guarantees

Each of our Pre-existing Credit Facilities and swap arrangements, to the extent applicable, covered by the Bank Agreement continued to be secured by their previous collateral on the same basis, and received, to the extent not previously provided, pledges of the shares of our subsidiaries owning the vessels collateralizing the applicable facilities, cross-guarantees from each subsidiary owning the vessels collateralizing such facilities, assignment of the refund guarantees in relation to any newbuildings funded by such facilities and other customary shipping industry collateral.

January 2011 Credit Facilities (Aegean Baltic Bank HSH Nordbank Piraeus Bank, RBS, ABN Amro Club facility, Club Facility and Citi-Eurobank)

On January 24, 2011, as contemplated by the Bank Agreement, we entered into agreements for the following new term loan credit facilities ("January 2011 Credit Facilities") to finance newbuildings which were delivered in 2011 and 2012:

- (i) a \$123.8 million credit facility provided by HSH, which is secured by the Hyundai Speed, the Hanjin Italy and the CMA CGM Rabelais and customary shipping industry collateral related thereto;
- (ii) a \$100.0 million credit facility provided by RBS, which is secured by the Hyundai Smart and the Hanjin Greece and customary shipping industry collateral related thereto;
- (iii) a \$37.1 million credit facility with ABN Amro and lenders participating under the Bank Agreement which is secured by Hanjin Germany and customary shipping industry collateral related thereto;
- (iv) a \$83.9 million new club credit facility provided, on a pro- rata basis, by the other existing lenders participating under the Bank Agreement, which is secured by *Hyundai Together* and *Hyundai Tenacity* and customary shipping industry collateral related thereto; and
- (v) a \$80.0 million credit facility with Citibank and Eurobank, which is secured by the Hyundai Ambition and customary shipping industry collateral related thereto ((i)-(v), collectively, the "New Credit Facilities").

As of December 31, 2015, \$274.3 million was outstanding under the above January 2011 Credit Facilities and there was no remaining borrowing availability under the respective credit facilities.

Interest

Borrowings under each of the January 2011 Credit Facilities bear interest at an annual interest rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 1.85%, subject, on and after January 1, 2013, to increases in the applicable margin to: (i) 2.50% if the outstanding indebtedness thereunder exceeds \$276 million, (ii) 3.00% if the

Table of Contents

outstanding indebtedness thereunder exceeds \$326 million and (iii) 3.50% if the outstanding indebtedness thereunder exceeds \$376 million.

Principal Payments

Under the Bank Agreement, we were not required to repay any outstanding principal amounts under our January 2011 Credit Facilities until May 15, 2013 and thereafter we are required to make quarterly principal payments in fixed amounts as specified in the Bank Agreement plus an additional quarterly variable amortization payment, all as described above under " Bank Agreement Principal Payments."

Covenants, Events of Default and Other Terms

The January 2011 Credit Facilities contain substantially the same financial and operating covenants, events of default, dividend restrictions and other terms and conditions as applicable to our Pre-existing Credit Facilities as revised under the Bank Agreement described above.