## BRAVO FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP

Form SB-2/A October 13, 2006

> As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 13, 2006 An Exhibit List can be found on page II-11. Registration No. 333-130535

> > UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON D.C. 20549

> > > \_\_\_\_\_

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

\_\_\_\_\_

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. (Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware (State or other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

2020 (Primary Standard Industrial (I.R.S. Employer Classification Code Number) Identification No.)

62-1681831

11300 US Highway 1 North Palm Beach, Florida 33408 (561) 625-1411

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices and principal place of business)

> Roy G. Warren, Chief Executive Officer BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. 11300 US Highway 1 North Palm Beach, Florida 33408 (561) 625-1411

(Name, address and telephone number of agent for service)

Copies to: Marc Ross, Esq. Stephen Fleming, Esq. Sichenzia Ross Friedman Ference LLP 1065 Avenue of the Americas, 21st Flr. New York, New York 10018 (212) 930-9700 (212) 930-9725 (fax)

APPROXIMATE DATE OF PROPOSED SALE TO THE PUBLIC: From time to time after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest reinvestment plans, check the following box: |X|

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the

Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. \_\_\_\_\_

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. \_\_\_\_\_

ii

#### CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of each class of securities to be registered	Amount to be registered (1)	Proposed maximum offering price per share	Proposed maximum aggregate offering price	Amount of registration fee
Shares of common stock Shares of common stock issuable upon exercise	40,500,000	\$0.66(2)	\$26,730,000.00	\$3,146.12
of warrants	17,504,688	\$0.66(2)	\$11,533,094.08	\$1,359.80
Total	58,004,688			\$4,505.92*

# \* Previously paid

- (1) Includes shares of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, which may be offered pursuant to this registration statement, which shares are currently outstanding or issuable upon the exercise of warrants held by the selling stockholders. In addition to the shares set forth in the table, the amount to be registered includes an indeterminate number of shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants as such number may be adjusted as a result of stock splits, stock dividends and similar transactions in accordance with Rule 416. Should a decrease in the exercise price for our warrants as a result of an issuance or sale of shares below the then current market price, result in our having insufficient shares, we will not rely upon Rule 416, but will file a new registration statement to cover the resale of such additional shares should that become necessary.
- (2) Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, using the average of the high and low price as reported on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board on December 7, 2005, which was \$0.66 per share.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

iii

PRELIMINARY PROSPECTUS SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED OCTOBER \_\_\_, 2006

BRAVO! FOOD INTERNATIONAL CORP. 58,004,688 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

This prospectus relates to the resale by the selling stockholders up to 58,004,688 shares of our common stock, including the following:

- o 40,500,000 shares of our common stock;
- o up to 15,492,188 shares issuable upon the exercise of common stock purchase warrants at \$0.80.
- o up to 1,012,500 shares issuable upon the exercise of common stock purchase warrants at \$0.50; and
- o up to 1,000,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of common stock purchase warrants at \$0.05

The selling stockholders may sell common stock from time to time in the principal market on which the stock is traded at the prevailing market price or in negotiated transactions. The selling stockholders may be deemed underwriters of the shares of common stock, which they are offering. We will pay the expenses of registering these shares.

Our common stock is registered under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is listed on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol "BRVO". The last reported sales price per share of our common stock as reported by the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board on October 11, 2006, was \$0.51.

Investing in these securities involves significant risks. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 4.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this Prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is \_\_\_\_\_, 2006.

The information in this Prospectus is not complete and may be changed. This Prospectus is included in the Registration Statement that was filed by Bravo! Foods International Corp., with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The selling stockholders may not sell these securities until the registration statement becomes effective. This Prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the sale is not permitted.

1

#### PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary highlights selected information contained in this prospectus. This summary does not contain all the information you should consider before investing in the securities. Before making an investment decision, you should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the "risk factors" section, the financial statements and the notes to the financial statements.

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP.

We are involved in the development and marketing of our Slammers(R) trademarked brand, the obtaining of license rights from third party holders of intellectual property rights to other trademarked brands, logos and characters, and the granting of production and marketing rights to processor dairies to produce branded flavored milk and generating revenue through the sale of "kits" to these dairies outside of the United States and through wholesale sales within the United States. The price of the "kits" consists of an invoiced price for a fixed amount of flavor ingredients per kit used to produce the flavored milk and a fee charged to the dairies for the production, promotion and sales rights for the branded flavored milk. In the United States, we also generate revenue from the unit sales of finished branded flavored milks to retail consumer outlets.

Our new product introduction and growth expansion continue to be expensive and we reported a net loss of \$79,528,653 for the year ended December 31, 2005 and a net loss of \$11,517,620 for the year ended December 31, 2004. In addition, we had a net loss of \$15,203,188 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. We have suffered operating losses and negative cash flows from operations since inception and, at December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit, a capital deficit, are delinquent on certain debts and have negative working capital. These conditions give rise to substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our principal offices are located at 11300 US Highway 1, North Palm Beach, Florida 33408, and our telephone number is (561) 625-1411. We are a Delaware corporation.

The Offering

Common stock offered by selling stockholders

Up to 58,004,688 shares, which would represent 29.1% of our outstanding shares of common stock assuming the exercise of warrants being registered herewith including:

O 40,500,000 shares of common stock;

O up to 15,492,188 shares issuable upon the exercise of common stock purchase warrants at \$0.80;

O up to 1,012,500 shares issuable upon the exercise of common stock purchase warrants at \$0.50; and

O up to 1,000,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of common stock purchase warrants at

\$0.05.

Common stock to be outstanding Up to 212,522,689 shares after the offering

Use of Proceeds We will not receive any proceeds from the sale

of the common stock

Over-The-Counter Bulletin

Board Symbol

BRVO

The above information regarding common stock to be outstanding after the offering is based on 195,018,001 shares of common stock outstanding as of October 5, 2006 and assumes the subsequent exercise of warrants by our selling stockholders.

2

To obtain funding for our ongoing operations, we entered into the following financing transaction:

On November 28, 2005, we closed a funding transaction with 13 accredited institutional investors, for the issuance and sale of 40,500,000 shares of our common stock for a purchase price of \$20,250,000. We also issued five-year warrants for the purchase of an additional 15,187,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.80 per share to these investors. In connection with this financing, we issued a five-year common stock purchase warrant to purchase of 1,012,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 and 304,688 shares at an exercise price of \$0.80 to SG Cowen & Co., LLC, for its services as a Placement Agent in connection with this funding transaction. The securities are restricted and have been issued pursuant to an exemption to the registration requirements of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 for "transactions of the issuer not involving any public offering" provided in Section 4(2) of the Act and pursuant to a Regulation D offering.

The shares of common stock and the shares of common stock underlying the warrants carry registration rights that obligate us to file a registration statement within 45 days from closing and have the registration statement declared effective within 120 days from closing.

In connection with an intellectual property licenses, we entered into the following:

On June 20, 2005, we issued a one year warrant for the purchase of 1,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.05 per share to Marvel Enterprises, Inc., pursuant to an April 14, 2005 Services Agreement for marketing, promotional and creative services to be performed by Marvel Enterprises, Inc. in connection with an intellectual property license between our company and Marvel Enterprises, Inc.

This prospectus relates to the resale of the shares of common stock and the shares of common stock issued or to be issued upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants in connection with the November 28, 2005 private placement and the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants issued in connection with the April 14, 2005 Services Agreement.

#### RISK FACTORS

This investment has a high degree of risk. Before you invest you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and the other information in this prospectus. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, operating results and financial condition could be harmed and the value of our stock could go down. This means you could lose all or a part of your investment.

Risks Relating to Our Business:

We Have a History Of Losses Which May Continue, Requiring Us To Seek Additional Sources of Capital Which May Not Be Available, Requiring Us To Curtail Or Cease Operations.

Our new product introduction and growth expansion continue to be expensive, and we reported a net loss of \$79,528,653 for the year ended December 31, 2005 and a net loss of \$11,517,620 for the year ended December 31, 2004. In addition, we had a net loss of \$15,203,188 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. We cannot assure you that we can achieve or sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. If revenues grow more slowly than we anticipate, or if operating expenses exceed our expectations or cannot be adjusted accordingly, we will continue to incur losses. We will continue to incur losses until we are able to establish significant sales. Our possible success is dependent upon the successful development and marketing of our services and products, as to which there is no assurance. Any future success that we might enjoy will depend upon many factors, including factors out of our control or which cannot be predicted at this time. These factors may include changes in or increased levels of competition, including the entry of additional competitors and increased success by existing competitors, changes in general economic conditions, increases in operating costs, including costs of supplies, personnel, marketing and promotions, reduced margins caused by competitive pressures and other factors. These conditions may have a materially adverse effect upon us or may force us to reduce or curtail operations. In addition, we will require additional funds to sustain and expand our sales and marketing activities, particularly if a well-financed competitor emerges. While we closed on \$20,250,000 in new equity financing in November 2005, after the payment of approximately \$1.5 million in fees and expenses, we have allocated approximately \$1.7 million of the net proceeds for the payment of a finders fee in connection with our execution of a Master Distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. and approximately \$5.4 million for the redemption of approximately 30.3 million warrants, and have allocated approximately \$11.7 million for general working expenses. In addition, in August 2006, we completed a \$30.0 million convertible note financing that is expected to fulfill our liquidity requirements through the end of 2006. However, \$15.0 million of this financing is held in escrow, and there can be no assurance that the investors will release these amounts. We have entered into an Amendment Agreement with the holders of the convertible notes to amend the convertible notes in certain respects as consideration for the holders' release of our default resulting from our delay in the filing of the our quarterly report for the period ended June 30, 2006. There can be no assurance that these financings will be adequate for the development and marketing of our services and products at a level that provides sufficient profitability for sustained growth. If the present funds prove sufficient and we are unable to generate adequate funds from operations or external sources, we would be required to curtail or cease operations.

If We Are Unable to Achieve and Sustain Profitability, Our Business Operations Will be Harmed and If We Obtain Additional Financing Our Then Existing Shareholders May Suffer Substantial Dilution.

Additional capital may be required to effectively support the operations and to otherwise implement our overall business strategy. However, there can be no assurance that financing will be available when needed on terms that are acceptable to us. The inability to obtain additional capital will restrict our ability to grow and may reduce our ability to continue to conduct business operations. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, we will likely be required to curtail our marketing and development plans and possibly cease our operations. Any additional equity financing may involve substantial dilution to our then existing shareholders.

4

Our Independent Auditors Have Expressed Substantial Doubt About Our Ability to Continue As a Going Concern, Which May Hinder Our Ability to Obtain Future Financing.

In their report dated February 9, 2006, except for Note 13 as to which the date is September 8, 2006, our independent auditors stated that our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005 were prepared assuming that we would continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is an issue raised as a result of a net loss for the year ended December 31, 2005 in the amount of \$79,528,653 as well as a significant working capital deficiency as of that date. We continue to experience net operating losses. Our ability to continue as a going concern is subject to our ability to generate a profit and/or obtain necessary funding from outside sources, including obtaining additional funding from the sale of our securities, increasing sales or obtaining loans and grants from various financial institutions where possible. Our continued net operating losses increase the difficulty in meeting such goals, and there can be no assurances that such methods will prove successful.

Since we depend upon key personnel having significant business contacts in the US and internationally, the loss of one or more of our management team may have a negative effect on our business.

The unexpected loss of the services of any member of the management team could have a material adverse effect on our ability to conduct and grow both our US and international business. We are and will be dependent on our current management teams for the foreseeable future

- o to obtain needed additional financing
- o to develop and maintain critical business contacts for the production of our branded milk products
- o to develop and maintain third party licensor and brand development contacts for the formulation of new brand development and branded food products

We face intense competition in our US market that could negatively impact our results of operations

Since we are smaller than our competitors in the US market and since we have limited resources and sell our branded products at premium prices, we have had difficulty in developing and maintaining our market share in the consumer milk market. This difficulty could adversely affect our ability to achieve our business goals to develop and increase the awareness of our branded products in an effort to increase sales, while maintaining a premium price structure.

The ability of our competition to sell dairy and other food products at

prices below prices charged by us for our products may represent an obstacle to our ability to secure a market share at revenue levels sufficient to achieve profitability.

In our foreign business, we grant the rights to produce and sell branded milk products to processor dairies under production agreements. Our role in these agreements, in addition to granting the rights to produce the branded milks as part of the sale of flavor ingredient packages to dairies, is limited to marketing and promotion assistance and control over packaging and advertising design issues. Such processors dairies have significant control over sales and distribution of the branded milk products. A reduction in sales effort or discontinuance of sales of our products by our co-producers could lead to reduced sales.

Risks Relating to Convertible Preferred and Convertible Debenture Financing Arrangement:

There Are a Large Number of Shares Underlying Our Convertible Debentures and Warrants That May be Available for Future Sale and the Sale of These Shares May Depress the Market Price of Our Common Stock.

As of October 5, 2006, we had 195,018,001 shares of common stock issued and outstanding and convertible debentures outstanding that may be converted into an estimated 7,500,000 shares of common stock at below market prices, convertible preferred outstanding that may be converted into an estimated 31,400,000 shares of common stock at below market prices outstanding warrants to purchase an estimated 40,100,000 shares of common stock and options to purchase approximately 8,600,000 shares of common stock. All of the shares, including all of the shares issuable upon conversion of the debentures and upon exercise of our warrants, may be sold pursuant to a currently effective registration statement or pursuant to Rule 144. The sale of these shares may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

5

The Issuance of Shares Upon Conversion of the Convertible Debentures and Exercise of Outstanding Warrants May Cause Immediate and Substantial Dilution to Our Existing Stockholders.

The issuance of shares upon conversion of the convertible debentures and exercise of warrants may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other stockholders since the selling stockholders may ultimately convert and sell the full amount issuable on conversion. Although the debenture holders may not convert their convertible debentures and/or exercise their warrants if such conversion or exercise would cause them to own more than 9.99% of our outstanding common stock, this restriction does not prevent the selling stockholders from converting and/or exercising some of their holdings, selling these shares and then converting the rest of their holdings. In this way, the debenture holders could sell more than this limit while never holding more than this limit.

If We Are Required for any Reason to Repay Our Outstanding Convertible Debentures, We Would Be Required to Deplete Our Working Capital, If Available, Or Raise Additional Funds. Our Failure to Repay the Convertible Debentures, If Required, Could Result in Legal Action Against Us, Which Could Require the Sale of Substantial Assets.

In November 2003, April 2004, June 2004, October 2004, December 2004, January 2005, April 2005, and July 2006, we entered into financing arrangements

for the sale of \$35,750,000 principal amount of convertible debentures. Currently, the remaining unpaid principal of the issued notes is \$30,350,000, with approximately \$131,312 in accrued interest.

Any event of default could require the early repayment of the convertible debentures, including a default interest rate if the default is not cured with the specified grace period. We anticipate that the majority of the convertible debentures, together with accrued interest, will be converted into shares of our common stock, in accordance with the terms of the convertible debentures. If we are required to repay the convertible debentures, we would be required to use our working capital or raise additional funds. If we were unable to repay the debentures when required, the debenture holders could commence legal action against us to recover the amounts due. Any such action may require us to obtain additional financing or curtail operations.

Risks Relating to Our Common Stock:

If We Fail to Remain Current on Our Reporting Requirements, We Could be Removed From the OTC Bulletin Board Which Would Limit the Ability of Broker-Dealers to Sell Our Securities and the Ability of Stockholders to Sell Their Securities in the Secondary Market.

Companies trading on the OTC Bulletin Board, such as us, must be reporting issuers under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and must be current in their reports under Section 13, in order to maintain price quotation privileges on the OTC Bulletin Board. If we fail to remain current on our reporting requirements, we could be removed from the OTC Bulletin Board. As a result, the market liquidity for our securities could be severely adversely affected by limiting the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and the ability of stockholders to sell their securities in the secondary market.

Our Common Stock is Subject to the "Penny Stock" Rules of the SEC and the Trading Market in Our Securities is Limited, Which Makes Transactions in Our Stock Cumbersome and May Reduce the Value of an Investment in Our Stock.

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15g-9 which establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require:

- o that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and
- o the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

6

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must:

- o obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and
- o make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient

knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form:

- o sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and
- o that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.

Generally, brokers may be less willing to execute transactions in securities subject to the "penny stock" rules. This may make it more difficult for investors to dispose of our common stock and cause a decline in the market value of our stock.

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

7

### USE OF PROCEEDS

This prospectus relates to shares of our common stock that may be offered and sold from time to time by the selling stockholders. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of common stock in this offering. In the event that we receive proceeds from the exercise of the Class A, Class B Warrants and other warrants, we will use these funds for working capital.

MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol  $\ensuremath{\text{"BRVO"}}\xspace.$ 

For the periods indicated, the following table sets forth the high and low bid prices per share of common stock. These prices represent inter-dealer quotations without retail markup, markdown, or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	High	Low
2004		
First Quarter	.17	.06
Second Quarter	.34	.14
Third Quarter	.27	.13
Fourth Quarter	.22	.09
2005		
First Quarter	.18	.10
Second Quarter	1.21	.14
Third Quarter	1.43	.51
Fourth Quarter	.80	.47

2006

First Quarter .74 .54 Second Quarter .81 .50

#### HOLDERS

Equity holders at October 11, 2006

Common st	ock		195,018,001	shares	7	,200	holders	(approxim	nate)
Series B	preferred	stock	107,440	shares	1	holo	der		
Series H	preferred	stock	64,500	shares	1	holo	der		
Series J	preferred	stock	200,000	shares	1	holo	der		
Series K	preferred	stock	95,000	shares	1	holo	der		

8

#### Dividends

Total

We have not paid dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying dividends. Management intends to retain future earnings, if any, to finance working capital, to expand our operations and to pursue our acquisition strategy.

The holders of common stock are entitled to receive, pro rata, such dividends and other distributions as and when declared by our board of directors out of the assets and funds legally available therefor. The availability of funds is dependent upon dividends or distribution of profits from our subsidiaries and may be subject to regulatory control and approval by the appropriate government authorities on either a regional or national level.

We have dividends in arrearage for our convertible preferred stock in the amount of \$1,240,682 and \$928,379 as of the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

The equity compensation reported in this section has been and will be issued pursuant to individual compensation contracts and arrangements with employees, directors, consultants, advisors, vendors, suppliers, lenders and service providers. The equity is reported on an aggregate basis as of December 31, 2005. Our security holders have not approved the compensation contracts and arrangements underlying the equity reported.

10,358,459

Compensation Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of options, warrants and rights	Weighted average price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	for fut	securities rema cure issuance un compensation pl
Directors (former)	325,000	\$ 0.71	0	individual pla
Employees (former)	650,000	\$ 0.87	60,000	individual pla
Directors/Management &				2005 Stock Opt
Employees	8,872,745	\$0.245	1,475,000	Incentive Plan
Consultants	510,714	\$ 0.30	0	individual pla

\$ 0.77

1,535,000

On April 6, 2005, our Directors voted to adopt a Stock Option Incentive Plan for the grant of option to directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of up to 10,397,745 shares of our common stock. On May 12, 2005, the Board of Directors accepted and adopted the determination of the Compensation Committee to grant options to purchase 8,922,745 shares of common stock to our employees, directors and certain consultants. The ten-year options vest over a period of eighteen months and have exercise prices varying from \$0.20 per share to \$0.30 per share, with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.24 per share.

9

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Some of the information in this Form SB-2 contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate" and "continue," or similar words. You should read statements that contain these words carefully because they:

- o discuss our future expectations;
- o contain projections of our future results of operations or of our financial condition; and
- o state other "forward-looking" information.

We believe it is important to communicate our expectations. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to accurately predict or over which we have no control. Our actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth under "Risk Factors," "Business" and elsewhere in this prospectus. See "Risk Factors."

### OVERVIEW

Our business model includes the development and marketing of our Company owned Slammers(R) and Bravo!(TM) trademarked brands, the obtaining of license rights from third party holders of intellectual property rights to other trademarked brands, logos and characters and the production of our branded flavored milk drinks through third party processors. In the United States and the United Kingdom, we generate revenue from the unit sales of finished branded flavored milk drinks to retail consumer outlets. We generate revenue in our Middle East business through the sale of "kits" to these dairies. The price of the "kits" consists of an invoiced price for a fixed amount of flavor ingredients per kit used to produce the flavored milk and a fee charged to the dairy processors for the production, promotion and sales rights for the branded flavored milk.

Our business in the United Kingdom started at the end of the second quarter of 2005. Our UK business has not been profitable owing to the difficulties encountered in initial market penetration with new products introduced in the last half of 2005 through the first half of 2006. In the current period we had a negative gross margin for our UK operations. We are examining other distribution alternatives in the UK and, while we are making this determination, we have curtailed our production of inventory necessary to

maintain a normal supply pipeline.

Our new product introduction and growth expansion continues to be expensive, and we reported a loss from operations of \$9,563,041 for the period ended June 30, 2006. We had a net loss during this period of \$15,203,188, largely as a result of a penalty interest expense of \$4.6 million associated with our failure to have declared effective a registration statement for the common stock underlying our November 2005 financing in a timely manner. That registration statement currently is pending, and we are working to restate and file the necessary financial statements required to have that registration statement declared effective.

#### RESTATEMENT DISCLOSURE

We have restated our annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005 and our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006. We have also restated the quarterly and year-to-date results for June 30, 2005 in the accompanying financial presentations for comparative purposes. Notwithstanding these restatements, the SEC may have further comments on our financial information based on the restated financial results that we have filed. The possibility exists that we may be required to adjust and further modify our proposed restated financial results for the periods in question. Such adjustments and modifications, if any, may have a material effect on the financial results set forth in this report.

10

### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

### The Board of Directors

Our board has positions for seven directors that are elected as Class A or Class B directors at alternate annual meetings of our shareholders. Six of the seven current directors of our board are independent. Our chairman and chief executive officer are separate. The board meets regularly either in person or by telephonic conference at least four times a year, and all directors have access to the information necessary to enable them to discharge their duties. The board, as a whole, and the audit committee in particular, review our financial condition and performance on an estimated vs. actual basis and financial projections as a regular agenda item at scheduled periodic board meetings, based upon separate reports submitted by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer. Our shareholders elect directors after nomination by the board, or the board appoints directors when a vacancy arises prior to an election. This year we have adopted a nomination procedure based upon a rotating nomination committee made up of those members of the director Class not up for election. The board presently is examining whether this procedure, as well as the make up of the audit and compensation committees, should be the subject of an amendment to the by-laws.

# Audit Committee

Our audit committee is composed of three independent directors and functions to assist the board in overseeing our accounting and reporting practices. Our financial information is recorded in house by our Chief Accounting Officer's office, from which we prepare financial reports. Lazar Levine & Felix LLP, independent registered public accountants and auditors, audit or review these financial reports. Our Chief Accounting Officer reviews the preliminary financial and non-financial information prepared in house with

our securities counsel and the reports of the auditors. The committee reviews the preparation of our audited and unaudited periodic financial reporting and internal control reports prepared by our Chief Accounting Officer. The committee reviews significant changes in accounting policies and addresses issues and recommendations presented by our internal accountants as well as our auditors.

#### Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee is composed of three independent directors and reviews the compensation structure and policies concerning executive compensation. The committee develops proposals and recommendations for executive compensation and presents those recommendations to the full board for consideration. The committee periodically reviews the performance of our other members of management and the recommendations of the chief executive officer with respect to the compensation of those individuals. Given the size of our company, the board periodically reviews all such employment contracts. The board must approve all compensation packages that involve the issuance of our stock or stock options. Currently, there is one vacancy on the compensation committee.

#### Nominating Committee

The nominating committee was established in the second quarter 2002 and consists of those members of the director Class not up for election. The committee is charged with determining those individuals who will be presented to the shareholders for election at the next scheduled annual meeting. The full board fills any mid term vacancies by appointment.

11

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Estimates

This discussion and analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles for interim reports that are generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Among the more significant estimates included in our financial statements are the following:

- o Estimating future bad debts on accounts receivable that are carried at net realizable values.
- o Estimating our reserve for unsalable and obsolete inventories that are carried at lower of cost or market.
- o Estimating the fair value of our financial instruments that are required to be carried at fair value.
- o Estimating the recoverability of our long-lived assets.

We use all available information and appropriate techniques to develop our estimates. However, actual results could differ from our estimates.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable

Our revenues are derived from the sale of branded milk products to customers in the United States of America, Great Britain and the Middle East. Geographically, our revenues are dispersed 98% and 2% between the United States of America and internationally, respectively. We currently have one customer in the United States that provided 74% and 0% of our revenue during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Revenues are recognized pursuant to formal revenue arrangements with our customers, at contracted prices, when our product is delivered to their premises and collectibility is reasonably assured. We extend merchantability warranties to our customers on our products but otherwise do not afford our customers with rights of return. Warranty costs have historically been insignificant.

Our revenue arrangements often provide for industry-standard slotting fees where we make cash payments to the respective customer to obtain rights to place our products on their retail shelves for stipulated period of time. We also engage in other promotional discount programs in order to enhance our sales activities. We believe our participation in these arrangements is essential to ensuring continued volume and revenue growth in the competitive marketplace. These payments, discounts and allowances are recorded as reductions to our reported revenue. Unamortized slotting fees are recorded in prepaid expenses.

Our accounts receivable are exposed to credit risk. During the normal course of business, we extend unsecured credit to our customers with normal and traditional trade terms. Typically credit terms require payments to be made by the thirtieth day following the sale. We regularly evaluate and monitor the creditworthiness of each customer. We provide an allowance for doubtful accounts based on our continuing evaluation of our customers' credit risk and our overall collection history. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the allowance of doubtful accounts aggregated \$365,000 and \$350,000, respectively.

In addition, our accounts receivable are concentrated with one customer who represents 39% of our accounts receivable balances at June 30, 2006. Approximately, 6% of our accounts receivable at June 30, 2006 are due from international customers.

#### Inventories

Our inventories, which consists primarily of finished goods, are stated at the lower of cost on the first in, first-out method or market. Further, our inventories are perishable. Accordingly, we estimate and record lower-of-cost or market and unsalable-inventory reserves based upon a combination of our historical experience and on a specific identification basis.

# Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Our long-lived assets consist of furniture and equipment and intangible assets. We evaluate the carrying value and recoverability of our long-lived assets when circumstances warrant such evaluation by applying the provisions of Financial Accounting Standard No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets ("FAS 144"). FAS 144 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the assets. Whenever any such impairment exists, an impairment loss will be recognized for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value.

#### Financial Instruments

We generally do not use derivative financial instruments to hedge exposures to cash-flow, market or foreign-currency risks. However, we frequently enter into certain other financial instruments and contracts, such as debt financing arrangements, redeemable preferred stock arrangements, and freestanding warrants with features that are either (i) not afforded equity classification, (ii) embody risks not clearly and closely related to host contracts, or (iii) may be net-cash settled by the counterparty. As required by FAS 133, these instruments are required to be carried as derivative liabilities, at fair value, in our financial statements.

We estimate fair values of derivative financial instruments using various techniques (and combinations thereof) that are considered to be consistent with the objective measuring fair values. In selecting the appropriate technique, we consider, among other factors, the nature of the instrument, the market risks that it embodies and the expected means of settlement. For less complex derivative instruments, such as freestanding warrants, we generally use the Black Scholes option valuation technique because it embodies all of the requisite assumptions (including trading volatility, estimated terms and risk free rates) necessary to fair value these instruments. For complex derivative instruments, such as embedded conversion options, we generally use the Flexible Monte Carlo valuation technique because it embodies all of the requisite assumptions (including credit risk, interest-rate risk and exercise/conversion behaviors) that are necessary to fair value these more complex instruments. For forward contracts that contingently require net-cash settlement as the principal means of settlement, we project and discount future cash flows applying probability-weightage to multiple possible outcomes. Estimating fair values of derivative financial instruments requires the development of significant and subjective estimates that may, and are likely to, change over the duration of the instrument with related changes in internal and external market factors. In addition, option-based techniques are highly volatile and sensitive to changes in our trading market price which has a high-historical volatility. Since derivative financial instruments are initially and subsequently carried at fair values, our income will reflect the volatility in these estimate and assumption changes.

# RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

#### Consolidated Revenues

We had revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2006 of \$7,266,441, with product costs of \$6,200,097, and shipping costs of \$744,636, resulting in a gross margin of \$321,708. Our reported revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006 increased by \$3,920,053, or 117%, compared to revenues of \$3,346,388 for the comparable period in 2005. This increase is the result of an increase in market penetration and distribution, owing to the continued implementation of our Master Distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises in the first half of 2006. Revenues and gross margin are net of slotting fees and promotional discounts for the six months ended June 30, 2006 in the amount of \$294,332 compared to \$187,295 for the comparable period in the prior year.

Geographically, our revenues are dispersed 98% and 2% between the United States of America and internationally, respectively. We plan to take measures to increase our international revenues as a percentage of our total revenues. In addition, we currently have one customer in the United States that provided 74% and 0% of our revenue during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005,

respectively. The loss of this customer or curtailment in business with this customer could have a material adverse affect on our business.

13

#### Consolidated Product Costs

We incurred product costs and shipping costs of \$6,200,097 and \$744,636, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Product costs in this period increased by \$3,841,970, a 163% increase compared to \$2,358,127 for the same period in 2005. Shipping costs in this period increased \$313,800, a 73% increase compared to \$430,836 for the same period in 2005. The increase in product costs reflects an increase in revenues and the concomitant increase in reported product costs and shipping costs associated with that increase.

#### Consolidated Operating Expenses

We incurred selling expenses of \$6,210,909 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Our selling expenses for this period increased by \$4,690,329, a 308% increase compared to our selling expenses of \$1,520,580 for the same period in 2005. The increase in selling expenses in the current period was due to the hiring of additional sales staff and promotional charges associated with increased revenues and our development of four new product lines.

We incurred general and administrative expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 of \$3,396,521. Our general and administrative expense for this period increased by \$801,443, a 31% increase compared to \$2,595,078 for the same period in 2005. As a percentage of total revenue, our general and administrative expense decreased from 77.5% in the period ended June 30, 2005, to 47% for the current period in 2006. We anticipate a continued effort to reduce this expense as a percentage of revenues through revenue growth, cost cutting efforts and the refinement of business operations. The increase in general and administrative expense for the current period is the result of the hiring of additional staff and other costs associated with the management and implementation of our relationship with Coca-Cola Enterprises under the Master Distribution Agreement.

We incurred product development expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 of \$277,319 representing a 28.5% increase over product development expense for the comparable period of the prior year. This increase resulted from the reformulation of existing products and the development of new products under our license agreement with General Mills.

#### Interest Expense

We incurred interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 of \$431,261. Our interest expense decreased by \$1,060,629, a 71% decrease compared to \$1,491,890 for the same period in 2005. The decrease was due to conversions of debt to common stock in late 2005 that eliminated the accrual of interest associated with that debt.

# Legal Settlement

In June 2005, we issued Marvel Enterprises a warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of our common stock in connection with the grant of a trademark license by Marvel to the Company. The warrant contained an expiration date of June 16, 2006. In connection with the issuance of the warrant, we executed a registration rights agreement with Marvel that required us to use our reasonable best efforts to cause the effectiveness of a registration statement, under the Securities Act of 1933, for the resale by Marvel of the shares purchasable under the warrant.

In December 2005, the Company filed a registration statement under From SB-2 that included the common stock underlying the Marvel warrant. As of March 31, 2006, however, the Registration Statement had not been declared effective. In the second quarter of 2006, Marvel filed a complaint against the Company, alleging that Marvel had been damaged by our failure to cause a registration statement to become effective. On June 7, 2006, we settled the lawsuit, without the necessity of filing an answer to the complaint, by delivering to Marvel an amendment to the Warrant extending its term through June 16, 2007, and Marvel dismissed its complaint.

Gain (loss) on Debt Extinguishment

We reported a gain on debt extinguishments for the six months ended June 30, 2005 of \$7,164, resulting from the modification of the terms of certain notes.

Derivative Expense

Derivative expense arises from changes in the fair value of our derivative financial instruments and, in rare instances, day-one losses when the fair value of embedded and freestanding derivative financial instruments issued or included in financing transactions exceed the proceeds or other basis. Derivative financial instruments include freestanding warrants, compound embedded derivative features that have been bifurcated from debt and preferred stock financings. In addition, our derivative financial instruments arise from the reclassification of other non-financing derivative and other contracts from stockholders' equity because share settlement is not within our control while certain variable share price indexed financing instruments are outstanding.

14

Our derivative expense amounted to \$98,011 for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$75,839,650 for the corresponding period of the prior year.

Changes in the fair value of compound derivatives indexed to our common stock are significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of warrant derivatives is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. The fair value of derivative financial instruments that are settled solely with cash fluctuate with changes in management's weighted probability estimates following the financing inception and are generally attributable to the increasing probability of default events on debt and preferred stock financings. The fair value of the warrants declined principally due to the decline in our common stock trading price. Since these instruments are measured at fair value, future changes in assumptions, arising from both internal factors and general market conditions, may cause further variation in the fair value of these instruments. Future changes in these underlying internal and external market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative expense associated with our derivative financial instruments.

In addition, we entered into a \$30 million debt and warrant financing in July 2006 that will require the bifurcation of derivative financial instruments. We have not calculated the amounts of these derivatives, but their effects on our earnings, arising from fair value changes, will be consistent with the derivatives that we carry as of June 30, 2006.

Liquidated Damages

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we recorded liquidated damages expense of \$3,872,388 and \$4,558,275; none in the comparable periods of 2005. However, we recorded \$303,750 of liquidated damages during the fourth fiscal quarter of our year ended December 31, 2005. We have entered into registration rights agreements with certain investors that require us to file a registration statement covering underlying indexed shares, become effective on the registration statement, maintain effectiveness, and, in some instances, maintain the listing of the underlying shares. Certain of these registration rights agreements require our payment of cash penalties to the investors in the event we do not achieve the requirements. We record estimated liquidated damages penalties as liabilities and charges to our income when the cash penalties are probable and estimable. We will evaluate our estimate of liquidated damages in future periods and adjust our estimates for changes, if any, in the facts and circumstances underlying their classification.

Net Loss

We had a net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2006 of \$15,203,188 compared with a net loss of \$81,098,366 for the same period in 2005. The magnitude of both the 2006 and 2005 net loss is the result of our recording changes in derivative expense on the consolidated statement of operations.

Loss Applicable to Common Shareholders

Loss applicable to common shareholders represents net loss less preferred stock dividends and accretion of our redeemable preferred stock to redemption value using the effective method. Diluted loss per common share reflects the assumed conversion of all dilutive securities, such as convertible preferred stock, convertible debt, warrants, and employee stock options.

Loss per Common Share

Our basic loss per common share for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$(0.08), compared with a basic loss per common share for the same period in 2005 of \$(1.24). Because the Company experienced net losses for all periods presented, all potential common share conversions existing in our financial instruments would have an antidilutive impact on earnings per share; therefore, diluted loss per common share equals basic loss per common share for all periods presented.

15

The weighted average common shares outstanding increased from 66,035,224 for the six months ended June 30, 2005 to 186,843,409 for the same period in 2006. The increase is attributed primarily to conversions of our convertible debt and preferred instruments into common shares. Potential common stock conversions excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share amounted to 61,178,096 and 104,564,021 for the six month periods ending June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) differs from net income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 by \$21,439 and (\$5,327), respectively, which represents the effects of foreign currency translation on the financial statements of our subsidiaries denominated in foreign currencies. Our foreign operations are currently not significant. Increases in our foreign operations will likely increase the effects of foreign currency translation adjustments on our financial statements.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2005

#### Consolidated Revenues

The Company had revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 of \$3,705,226, with product costs of \$3,253,637 and shipping costs of \$351,185, resulting in a gross margin of \$100,404, or 2.7 % of sales. Our revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2006 increased by \$1,256,608, a 51% increase compared to revenues of \$2,448,618 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in revenue in the United States for the three months ended June 30, 2006 is the result of the increased distribution of our product through Coca-Cola Enterprises.

#### Consolidated Product Costs

The Company incurred product costs of \$3,253,637 and shipping costs of \$351,185 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Product costs for this period increased by \$1,573,173, a 93.6% increase compared to \$1,680,464 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in product costs and shipping costs in the United States for the three months ended June 30, 2006 is the result of increased revenues.

#### Consolidated Operating Expenses

The Company incurred selling expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2006 of 3,367,811. Selling expenses increased for the three months ended June 30, 2006 by 2,332,262, a 225% increase compared to the selling expenses of 1,035,549 for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in selling expenses is the result of increased sales.

The Company incurred general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2006 of \$1,628,317. General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2006 decreased by \$208,507, an 11.3% decrease compared to \$1,836,824 for the same period in 2005. The decrease in general and administrative expenses for the current period is the result of the recognition of warrant costs recognized in 2005 for warrants granted to an investor relations firm.

### Interest Expense

The Company incurred interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 of \$397,254. Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 decreased by \$200,475, a 33.5% decrease compared to \$597,729, for the same period in 2005. This decrease was the result of conversions of debt to common stock in late 2005 that eliminated the accrual of interest associated with that debt.

16

#### Liquidated Damages

During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we recorded liquidated damages expense of \$3,872,388; none in the comparable period of 2005. However, we recorded \$303,750 of liquidated damages during the fourth fiscal quarter of our year ended December 31, 2005. We have entered into registration rights agreements with certain investors that require us to file a registration statement covering underlying indexed shares, become effective on the

registration statement, maintain effectiveness, and, in some instances, maintain the listing of the underlying shares. Certain of these registration rights agreements require our payment of cash penalties to the investors in the event we do not achieve the requirements. We record estimated liquidated damages penalties as liabilities and charges to our income when the cash penalties are probable and estimable. We will evaluate our estimate of liquidated damages in future periods and adjust our estimates for changes, if any, in the facts and circumstances underlying their classification.

#### Net Loss

We had had a net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2005 of \$14,926,521, compared with a net loss of \$80,445,296 for the same period in 2005. The magnitude of the 2006 and 2005 loss is the result of our recording changes in the fair value in our derivatives.

Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004

#### Consolidated Revenues

We reported revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$11,948,921, an increase of \$8,604,222, or 257%, compared to revenues of \$3,344,699 in 2004. This increase is the result of the acquisition of a significant new customer ("Coca Cola Enterprises" or "CCE") during the third fiscal quarter with sales generation commencing in the fourth fiscal quarter. Our revenues to CCE for the year ended December 31, 2005 comprise 34% of our total revenue. CCE will continue to be a significant customer in the foreseeable future. Since we commenced revenue generation with CCE in the fourth fiscal quarter, in future periods we expect that our revenues from sales to CCE will increase as a percentage of our total revenues.

Our revenues and gross margin are net of industry-standard slotting fees and promotional discounts for the year ended December 31, 2005 in the amount of \$487,221 compared to \$204,755 in 2004. We record these programs as reductions in our revenues and we may enter into similar programs in future periods to increase our market penetration.

Geographically, during the 2005 fiscal year, our revenues are dispersed 98% and 2% between the United States of America and internationally, respectively. While our current international revenues have not been significant, we are taking measures to further penetrate international markets and increase our international revenues as a percentage of our total revenues.

# Consolidated Product and Shipping Costs

We incurred product costs and shipping costs of \$8,938,692 and \$1,505,035, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2005. Product costs in 2005 increased by \$6,563,887, a 276% increase compared to \$2,374,805 in 2004. Shipping costs in 2005 increased \$1,006,722, or 202%, compared to \$498,313 in 2004. The increase in product costs reflects an increase in revenues and the concomitant increase in reported product and shipping costs associated with that increase. Our overall gross margin for 2005 of 12.6% decreased slightly from our 14.0% gross margin in 2004 due to slightly higher production costs that were not offset with reciprocal pricing increases due to competitive constraint.

## Selling Expense:

We incurred selling expense of \$7,464,876 during the year ended December 31, 2005. We expense these costs, consisting largely of advertising and promotion, as they are incurred. Our selling expense for this period increased by \$6,164,203, a 474% increase compared to our selling expenses of \$1,300,673 for the same period in 2004. The increase in selling expense in the current period was due to higher advertising expenses as we penetrate new markets and, to a lesser degree, the hiring of additional sales staff. In addition, in connection with the acquisition of our new customer, CCE during 2005, we entered into a commitment to spend an aggregate of \$5,000,000 for advertising and promotion of our products during the years ended 2005 and 2006. Thereafter, we have agreed to spend an aggregate annual amount of 3% of our total CCE revenue for advertising programs. Generally, since our revenue producing activities with CCE did not commence until November of 2005, we did not incur a significant amount of expense under this commitment. Accordingly, our selling expenses can be expected to increase during 2006 and 2007 as we fulfill our obligations under these important arrangements and we continue to address additional markets.

## Product Development Expense:

We incurred product development expense for the years ended December 31, 2005 of \$636,342, representing a 209% increase over product development expense in 2004. Additional expenditures were incurred in 2005 due to the launching of our Slammers Starburst line of Fruit & Cream Smoothies, and to package redesign costs associated with several of our product lines including Slim Slammers, Pro Slammers and Breakfast Blenders.

#### General and Administrative Expense:

Our general and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$7,263,284, an increase of \$4,586,223 compared to \$2,677,061 in 2004. The increase is the result of additional payroll, increased occupancy costs, office overhead costs and travel necessary to support the increase in revenues. As a percentage of total revenue, our general and administrative expense decreased from 80% in 2004, to 61% for 2005. We anticipate a continued effort to reduce these expenses as a percentage of sales through revenue growth, certain cost cutting efforts and the refinement of business operations. Non-Recurring Finders' Fee:

We recorded a \$3,000,000 one time, non-recurring finder's fee in connection with our execution of the Master Distribution Agreement with CCE in 2005. We do not currently anticipate incurring similar costs during 2006 or in the foreseeable future since our business opportunity with CCE is expected to be further developed over that period.

Consolidated Other Income (Expense)

#### Derivative Expense

Our derivative expense amounted to \$60,823,574 for the year ended December 31 2005, compared to \$6,309,933 in 2004. Derivative expense (and in some instances income) arises from changes in the fair value of our derivative financial instruments and, in rare instances, day-one losses when the fair value of embedded and freestanding derivative financial instruments issued or included in financing transactions exceed the proceeds or other basis. Derivative financial instruments include freestanding warrants, compound embedded derivative features that have been bifurcated from debt and preferred stock financings. In addition, our derivative financial instruments arise from the reclassification of other non-financing derivative and other contracts from stockholders' equity because share settlement is not within our control while certain variable share price indexed financing instruments are outstanding.

Our derivative loss during each of the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 is significant to our consolidated financial statements. The magnitude of the derivative loss during the year ended December 31, 2005 when compared with the loss for the year ended December 31, 2004 reflects the following:

(a) During the year ended December 31, 2005, and specifically commencing in the second quarter, the trading price of our common stock reached significantly high levels relative to its trend. The trading price of our common stock significantly affects the fair value of our derivative financial instruments. To illustrate, our trading stock price at the end of the first quarter of 2005 was \$0.15 and then increased to \$0.93 by the end of the second quarter. Our trading stock price then declined to \$0.61 and \$0.59 at the end of the third and fourth quarters, respectively. However, the higher stock price had the effect of significantly increasing the fair value of our derivative liabilities and, accordingly, we were required to adjust the derivatives to these higher values with charges to our income. Also, due to the higher stock price commencing in the second quarter, we experienced significant exercise and conversion activity related to our derivative warrants and, to a lesser degree, with respect to the embedded conversion options. Accordingly, our year end derivative liability balances reflect, among other elements of our valuation assumptions, the higher intrinsic values of the arrangements caused by the significant changes in our stock price, which are offset by a smaller number of common shares indexed to outstanding warrants due to the extraordinary level of exercise activity.

18

(b) During the year ended December 31, 2005, we entered into a \$2,300,000 debt and warrant financing arrangement, more fully discussed in Note 6(b). In connection with our accounting for this financing we encountered the unusual circumstance of a day-one loss related to the recognition of derivative instruments arising from the arrangement. That means that the fair value of the bifurcated compound derivative and warrants exceeded the proceeds that we received from the arrangement and we were required to record a loss to record the derivative financial instruments at fair value. The loss that we recorded amounted to \$8,663,869. We did not enter into any other financing arrangements during the periods reported that reflected day-one losses.

Because our derivative financial instruments are carried at, and periodically adjusted to, fair value, our income is likely to experience continuing volatility as assumptions underlying our fair value techniques (including internal factors and external market indicators) change. However, we are currently evaluating contracts underlying the origination of these derivative financial instruments to determine whether they may be modified with the investor. There can be no assurance that we can reach an agreement to modify these arrangements and, if we are able to execute such modifications, we would be required to consider whether such modification(s) is significant. In instances where modifications are considered significant, we may be required to extinguish the original financial instrument and reestablish it at fair value. These extinguishments, if any, would likely be accompanied with extinguishment gains or losses that we would be required to reflect in our income.

Finally, we entered into a \$30.0 million debt and warrant financing in July 2006 (see "Material Events" below) that will likely require the bifurcation of additional derivative financial instruments. We have not yet calculated the amounts of these derivatives, but their effects on our income, arising from fair value changes, will be afforded the same accounting treatment as those that we currently carry.

## Liquidated Damages

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded liquidated damages expense of \$303,750 (none in 2004). We have entered into registration rights agreements with certain investors that require us to file a registration statement covering underlying indexed shares, become effective on the registration statement, maintain effectiveness and, in some instances, maintain the listing of the underlying shares. Certain of these registration rights agreements require our payment of cash penalties to the investors in the event we do not achieve the requirements. We record estimated liquidated damages as liabilities and charges to our income when the cash penalties are probable and estimable. We will evaluate our estimate of liquidated damages in future periods and adjust our estimates for changes, if any, in the facts and circumstances underlying their calculation, pursuant to Financial Accounting Standard No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.

#### Interest Expense

We incurred interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 of \$1,667,294. Our interest expense increased by \$231,889, a 16% increase compared to \$1,435,405 in 2004. The increase was due to the effects of application of the effective interest method where an effective interest amount, as calculated at the inception of the debt is applied to the carrying value at the end of each period. Under this method, periodic interest charges increases over the debt term as the debt carrying value increases.

## Other expense

Other expense consists of debt extinguishment losses and (gains). These amounts arose from certain modifications that we made to our debt arrangements that required our re-measurement of the carrying value to fair value because the modification was significant. We may modify other debt arrangements as discussed under the discussion related to our derivative financial instruments. Each modification will require a determination whether an extinguishment occurred and, if so, an extinguishment gain or loss may require recognition.

19

Subsequent to our year ended December 31, 2005, we began to incur penalties related to a financing arrangement that required us to, among other things, become effective on a registration statement. We have not become effective on the registration statement. As of June 30, 2006, we incurred in excess of \$2.1 million of penalties under this arrangement, and we paid these amounts beginning in the third fiscal quarter of our year ending December 31, 2006. Our other expense in future periods will reflect charges related to these penalties, and such penalties will continue until the events that give rise to the penalties are cured. In the event that we cure the default events that give rise to the penalties, certain default provisions will continue, such as maintaining effectiveness, that could give rise to additional penalties.

# Consolidated Net Loss

We had a net loss for the year ended December 31 2005 of \$79,528,653 compared with a net loss of \$11,517,620 in 2004. There were a number of factors that gave rise to our losses in 2005 and 2004. First, we are currently expending funds in developing our administrative and operating infrastructure and our sales channels and, as a result, our current revenue volume has not been sufficient to offset our operating expenses resulting in an operating loss during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. We anticipate that our operating expenses as a

percentage of our sales will decrease in future periods as our revenues increase and our costs level. In addition, we incurred a one-time \$3,000,000 fee during the year ended December 31, 2005 related to the acquisition of our customer, CCE. We do not currently anticipate incurring similar costs in the foreseeable future. Finally, the overall magnitude of both the 2005 and 2004 net loss can be attributable largely to the fair value adjustments related to our derivative financial instruments of \$60,823,574 and \$6,309,933 in 2005 and 2004, respectively. See the discussion above, about our derivative income (expense) for additional information. Our earnings will continue to be affected by the fair value adjustments of our derivative financial instruments until they are disposed of through contractual modifications, conversions and exercises of our share indexed instruments, or expiration.

Consolidated Loss Applicable to Common Shareholders

Loss applicable to common shareholders represents net loss as adjusted for preferred stock dividends and accretion of our redeemable preferred stock and our equity classified preferred stock to redemption values using the effective method. Many of our preferred stock series have cumulative dividend features and we will continue to reflect preferred stock dividends in our loss applicable to common shareholders until the preferred stock is converted, if ever. In addition, many of our redeemable preferred stock series were initially discounted due to the allocation of financing proceeds to detachable warrants and embedded derivative financial instruments. We use the effective method to amortize these discounts. The use of the effective method to accrete our discounted redeemable preferred stock to redemption values causes accretion to increase over the redemption period as the carrying values increase. Accordingly, accretions will increase in future periods until the preferred is fully accreted to redemption values or converted.

Consolidated Loss per Common Share

The Company's basic loss per common share for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$(0.60) compared with a basic loss per common share for the same period in 2004 of \$(0.31). Because the Company experienced net losses in 2005 and 2004, all potential common share conversions existing in our financial instruments would have an antidilutive impact on earnings per share; therefore, diluted loss per common share equals basic loss per common share for both years.

The weighted average common shares outstanding increased from 40,229,738 for the year ended December 31, 2004 to 135,032,836 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase is attributed primarily to conversions of our convertible debt and preferred instruments into common shares. Potential common stock conversions excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share amounted to 108,059,082 and 126,767,057 for the years ending December 31 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Consolidated Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss differs from net loss for the year ended December 2005 and 2004 by (\$30,759) and (\$689), respectively, which represents the effects of foreign currency translation on the financial statements of our subsidiaries denominated in foreign currencies. Our foreign operations are currently not significant. Increases in our foreign operations will likely increase the effects of foreign currency translation adjustments on our financial statements.

Management's Plans:

As reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, we have incurred operating losses and negative cash flow from operations and have negative working capital of \$51,012,013 as of June 30, 2006. This negative figure is largely the effect of our recording of \$36,425,561 for derivative liabilities. In addition, we are delinquent on certain of our debt agreements at June 30, 2006, and, we have experienced delays in filing our financial statements and registration statements due to errors in our historical accounting that currently are being corrected. Our inability to make these filings is resulting in our recognition of penalties payable to the investors. These penalties will continue until we can complete our filings and register the common shares into which the investors' financial instruments are convertible. Finally, our revenues are significantly concentrated with one major customer. The loss of this customer or curtailment in business with this customer could have a material adverse affect on our business. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have been dependent upon third party financings as we execute on our business model and plans. While our liquid reserves have been substantially depleted as of June 30, 2006, we completed a \$30.0 million convertible note financing in July 2006 that is expected to fulfill our liquidity requirements through the end of 2006. However, \$15.0 million of this financing is held in escrow, and we are in default on this instrument due to the delay in filing our quarterly financial report for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006. We have entered into an Amendment Agreement with the holders of the Notes to amend the Notes in certain respects as consideration for the holders' release of the Company's default resulting from its delay in the filing of this quarterly report.

We plan to increase our revenues, improve our gross margins, augment our international business and, if necessary, obtain additional financing. Ultimately, our ability to continue is dependent upon the achievement of profitable operations. There is no assurance that further funding will be available at acceptable terms, if at all, or that we will be able to achieve profitability.

The accompanying financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Information about our cash flows

As of June 30, 2006, we reported that net cash used in operating activities was \$7,385,239, net cash provided by financing activities was \$3,211,672 and net cash used in investing activities was \$736,514 during the six months ended June 30, 2006. We had a negative working capital of \$51,012,013 as of June 30, 2006. This negative figure is largely the effect of our recording of \$36,425,561 for derivative liabilities.

Compared to \$2,502,512 of net cash used in operating activities in the six months ended June 30, 2005, our current period net cash used in operating activities increased by \$4,882,727 to \$7,385,239. This increase was the result of our utilization of cash rather than equity to pay service providers in this current period.

Changes in accounts receivable during the six months ended June 30, 2006 resulted in a cash increase of \$1,941,060, compared to a cash increase in receivables of \$26,778 for the same period in 2005, having a net result of an increase of \$1,914,282. Cash utilized for inventory increased during this period by \$2,490,676, compared to cash used of \$54,981 for the same period in 2005. This increase was the result of our building inventory in connection with the

continued implementation of our Master Distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises. The changes in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the six months ended June 30, 2006 contributed to a cash increase of \$5,590,386, whereas the changes in accounts payable and accrued liabilities for the period ended June 30, 2005 amounted to an increase of \$893,461. Cash flows generated through our operating activities was inadequate to cover all of our cash disbursement needs in the period ended June 30, and we had to rely on prior equity and new convertible debt financing to cover operating expenses.

21

Cash used in the period ended June 30, 2006 in our investing activities was \$736,514 for license and trademark costs, furniture, computer equipment and our purchase of eight vans in the U.S., compared to \$128,135 for the same period in 2005.

Net cash provided by our financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$3,211,672. Net cash provided by financing activities for the same period in 2005 was \$2,900,870, for a net increase of \$310,802.

Going forward, our primary requirements for cash consist of the following:

- o the continued development of our business model in the United States and on an international basis;
- o promotional and logistic production support for the capacity demands presented by our Master Distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises;
- o general overhead expenses for personnel to support the new business activities;
- o development, launch and marketing costs for our line of new branded flavored milk products; and
- o the payment of guaranteed license royalties.

We estimate that our need for financing to meet cash requirements for operations will continue through the third or fourth quarter of 2006, when we expect that cash supplied by operating activities will approach the anticipated cash requirements for operating expenses. We anticipated the need for additional financing in 2006 to reduce our liabilities, assist in marketing and to improve stockholders' equity status, and we secured \$30 million in senior convertible note financing in July 2006. We have received half of the proceeds from this financing in the third quarter, with the balance held in escrow pending a shareholder vote to increase our authorized shares to cover the escrowed balance. No assurances can be given that we will be able to obtain the approval of our shareholders to increase our authorized shares, or that operating cash flows will be sufficient to fund our operations.

We currently have monthly working capital needs of approximately \$550,000. We will continue to incur significant selling and other expenses in 2006 in order to derive more revenue in retail markets, through the introduction and ongoing support of our new products and the implementation of the Master Distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises. Certain of these expenses, such as slotting fees and freight charges, will be reduced as a function of unit sales costs as we expand our sales markets and increase our revenues within established markets. Freight charges will be reduced as we are able to ship more full truckloads of product given the reduced per unit cost associated with full

truckloads versus less than full truckloads. Similarly, slotting fees, which are paid to warehouses or chain stores as initial set up or shelf space fees, are essentially one-time charges per new customer. We believe that along with the increase in our unit sales volume, the average unit selling expenses and associated costs will decrease, resulting in gross margins sufficient to mitigate cash needs. In addition, we are actively seeking additional financing to support our operational needs and to develop an expanded promotional program for our products.

External Sources of Liquidity

On May 12, 2006, we obtained financing in the amount \$2,500,000 and issued promissory notes in that aggregate principal amount to two accredited investors. One of these investors has exercised rights of participation and has reinvested \$1,000,000 of this note in the July 27, 2006 financing described below. The remaining \$1,500,000 principal of the notes has been paid in full with the part of the July 27, 2006 financing proceeds.

22

On July 27, 2006, we entered into definitive agreements to sell \$30 million senior convertible notes (the "Notes")that are due in 2010 to several institutional and accredited investors in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933. The notes initially carry a 9% coupon, payable quarterly, and are convertible into shares of common stock at \$0.70 per share. In 2007, the coupon may decline to LIBOR upon the Company achieving certain financial milestones. The Notes will begin to amortize in equal, bi-monthly payments beginning in mid-2007. We issued warrants to purchase 12,857,143 shares of common stock at \$0.73 per share that expire in July 2011 to the investors in the private placement. Under the terms of the financing, we sold \$30 million notes, of which \$15.0 million of the notes are being held in escrow. The release of the funds will be subject to stockholder approval of the increase of our authorized shares from 300,000,000 to 500,000,000 and the effectiveness of a registration statement converting the common stock underlying the Notes, Additional Notes and associated warrants. We will utilize this financing for, among other things, our working capital needs. We have filed a proxy statement seeking such shareholder approval at a Special Meeting of Shareholders.

As a result of our failure to file our June 30, 2006 Form 10QSB timely, an event of default has occurred under the terms of the Notes and the interest rate on the Notes, payable quarterly, was increased from 9% to 14% per annum. Pursuant to the terms of the Notes, upon the occurrence of an event of default, holders of the Notes may, upon written notice to the Company, each require the Company to redeem all or any portion of their Notes, at a default redemption price calculated pursuant to the terms of the Notes. We have entered into an Amendment Agreement with the holders of the Notes to amend the Notes in certain respects as consideration for the holders' release of the Company's default resulting from its delay in the filing of this quarterly report. See Item 3 of Part II of this report, entitled "Default on Senior Securities", for a description of the terms of the Amendment Agreement.

# EFFECTS OF INFLATION

We believe that inflation has not had any material effect on our net sales and results of operations.

Information about our cash flows

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we reported that net cash used in operating activities was \$9,301,078, net cash provided by financing activities was \$18,209,600 and net cash used in investing activities was \$4,043,665. We had negative working capital of \$39,287,983 as of December 31, 2005.

Compared to \$3,629,863 of net cash used in operating activities in the year ended December 31, 2004, our current year net cash used in operating activities increased by \$5,671,215 to \$9,301,078. Changes in accounts receivable contributed to an increase in cash used by operating activities of \$3,356,477, as compared to contributing to an increase of \$77,217 for 2004 for a difference of \$3,279,260. Cash used by operating activities increased as a result of changes in inventory during 2005 by \$379,489, compared to cash provided of \$43,339 for the same period in 2004. This was the result of our building inventory during 2005 in connection with the continued implementation of our Master Distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises. The changes in accounts payable and accrued liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2005 contributed to a reduction in cash used by operating activities of \$7,294,548, whereas such changes in 2004 contributed to a decrease in cash used by operating activities of \$542,282. Cash flows generated by our operating activities were inadequate to cover our cash disbursement needs for the year ended December 31, 2005, and we had to rely on private placement financing, prior equity and new convertible debt financing to cover operating expenses.

Cash used in the year ended December 31, 2005 in our invest6ing activities was \$4,043,665 for license and trademark costs and equipment purchases, compared to \$531,263 for the same period in 2004.

Net cash provided by our financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 418,209,600. Net cash provided by financing activities for the same period in 2004 was \$4,216,844, for a net increase of \$13,992,756. The increase is attributed to private placement financings amounting to \$20,690,000.

23

#### BUSINESS

Our company

Our company is a Delaware corporation, which was formed on April 27, 1996. We formerly owned the majority interest in two Sino-American joint ventures in China, known as Green Food Peregrine Children's Food Co. Ltd. and Hangzhou Meilijian Dairy Products Co., Ltd. These two joint ventures processed milk products for local consumption in the areas of Shanghai and Hangzhou, China, respectively. We closed Green Food Peregrine in December 1999 and sold our interest in Hangzhou Meilijian Dairy in December 2000.

In December 1999, we obtained Chinese government approval for the registration of a new wholly owned subsidiary in the Wai Gao Qiao "free trade zone" in Shanghai, China. We formed this import-export company to import, export and distribute food products on a wholesale level in China. In addition, China Premium (Shanghai) was our legal presence in China with respect to contractual arrangements for the development, marketing and distribution of branded food products. We ceased all activities of this Chinese subsidiary in April 2004, owing to low sales volume and insufficient financial or logistic resources to market our products profitably in mainland China.

In December 1999, we formed Bravo! Foods, Inc., a wholly owned Delaware subsidiary, which we utilized to advance the promotion and distribution of

branded Looney Tunes(TM) products in the United States, through production agreements with local dairy processors. At the end of 2001, we assumed this business, and our U.S. subsidiary ceased functioning as an operating company at that time.

On February 1, 2000, we changed our name from China Peregrine Food Corporation to China Premium Food Corporation, and on March 16, 2001 we changed our name to Bravo! Foods International Corp.

In January 2005, we formed Bravo! Brands (UK) Ltd., a United Kingdom registered company that is wholly owned by Bravo! Brands International Ltd. We will utilize Bravo! Brands (UK) Ltd. to advance the production, promotion and distribution of licensed branded products in the United Kingdom through production and sales agent agreements with local entities. Currently, we are evaluating our distribution and product mix in the UK in order to develop and implement a more effective business plan going forward. During this period of re-evaluation, we have ceased production of our products in the United Kingdom.

In March 2005, we formed Bravo! Brands International Ltd., a Delaware subsidiary that may hold license rights for our branded products on an international basis. We may utilize Bravo! Brands International Ltd. to hold and exploit certain license rights for branded products developed by us in international markets through local second-tier subsidiaries such as Bravo! Brands (UK) Ltd.

#### The Business

Our business involves the development and marketing of our own Slammers(R) and Bravo!(TM) trademarked brands, the obtaining of license rights from third party holders of intellectual property rights to other trademarked brands, logos and characters and, in certain international markets, the granting of production and marketing rights to processor dairies to produce branded flavored milk utilizing our intellectual property. In addition, we anticipate the commencement of exporting our products to Mexico and Canada in the fourth quarter 2006 and first quarter 2007, respectively.

In the United States, we generate revenue from the sales of finished branded flavored milks to retail consumer outlets or distributors for resale to retail consumer outlets. Currently, we use a single third-party processor in the United Sates to produce all of our single serve milk based beverages. We anticipate the expanded production of our products with the addition of HP Hood, LLC as a second processor, with production commencing in the fourth quarter 2006. We recognize revenue in the United States at the gross amount of our invoices for the sale of finished product to wholesale buyers or distributors. We take title to our branded flavored milks when they are shipped by our third party processors and recognize as revenue the gross wholesale price charged to our wholesale customers or distributors. Our gross margin is determined by the reported wholesale price less (i) the cost charged by our third party processor, to produce our branded milk products and (ii) shipping costs.

24

Internationally, we generate revenue primarily through our sale to processors of flavor ingredients utilized for our products, which are developed and refined by us, and the grant of production rights to processors to produce our flavored milks. The consideration paid to us under these production contracts consists of fees charged for our grant of production rights for our branded flavored milks plus a charge for flavor ingredients.

All of our third party licensing agreements recognize that we will use third party production agreements for the processing of flavored milk products and that the milk products will be produced and may be sold directly by those processors. Our responsibilities under our third party production agreements are to design and provide approved packaging artwork, to help determine the best tasting flavors for the particular market and to assist in the administration, promotion and expansion of the respective branded milk programs. Ingredients for the flavored milks are formulated to our specifications and supplied on an exclusive basis by either Givaudan Flavors Group or Mastertaste, both of which are flavor development and production companies. In the United States, we are the vendor of record for our direct wholesale business and assume the responsibility for sales and marketing of our flavored milks.

Master Distribution Agreement - Coca-Cola Enterprises

On August 31, 2005, we entered into a ten-year Master Distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc that we believe will significantly expand the distribution and sales of our products. The agreement provides for the distribution of our products in Coca-Cola Enterprises in the United States, all U.S. possessions, Canada, Belgium, continental France, Great Britain, Luxembourg, Monaco and the Netherlands, as well as any other geographic territory to which, during the term of the agreement, Coca-Cola Enterprises obtains the license to distribute beverages of The Coca-Cola Company. The appointment of Coca-Cola Enterprises as the exclusive distributor for our products was effective August 30, 2005, has an effective distribution date of October 31, 2005 and an expiration date of August 15, 2015. Coca-Cola Enterprises has the option to renew the Master Agreement for two subsequent periods of ten additional years. Attendant to the execution of the agreements we issued three-year warrants to Coca-Cola Enterprises for the right to purchase 30 million shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.36 per share.

Under the terms of the agreement, Coca-Cola Enterprises is obligated to use all commercially reasonable efforts to solicit, procure and obtain orders for our products and merchandise and actively promote the sale of such products in the Territory, as defined in the agreement. The agreement establishes a comprehensive process for the phased transition from our existing system of distributors to Coca-Cola Enterprises, dependent upon distribution territory, product and sales channels. Under the agreement, Coca-Cola Enterprises implemented its distribution on a ramp-up basis, commencing, October 31, 2005. Coca-Cola Enterprises' distribution in other Territory areas will be dependent upon, among other things, third-party licensing considerations and compliance with the regulatory requirements for the products in foreign countries.

We have agreed to provide the following:

- o strategic direction of our products;
- o maintain sales force education and support;
- o actively market and advertise our products and design and develop point of sale materials and advertising. We are also responsible for handling:
- o consumer inquiries;
- o product development; and
- o the manufacture and adequate supply of our products for distribution by Coca-Cola Enterprises.

The terms of the agreement require our company to maintain the intellectual property rights necessary for our company to produce, market and/or

distribute and for Coca-Cola Enterprises to sell our products in the Territory. We are obligated to spend a fixed dollar amount through 2006 on national and local advertising, including actively marketing the Slammers trademark, based on a plan as mutually agreed each year. Beginning in 2007, the Company shall allocate an amount per year for such activities in each country in the defined Territory equal or greater than an agreed upon percentage of our total revenue in such country.

25

Under the agreement, Coca-Cola Enterprises has the right of first refusal to distribute any new products developed by our company, and the agreement establishes a process for the potential expansion of Coca-Cola Enterprises' distribution of the Company's products to new territories. Either party may terminate the agreement for a material breach, insolvency or bankruptcy. Coca-Cola Enterprises may terminate (i) for change of control by our company, (ii) upon a material governmental regulatory enforcement action or threatened governmental action having a material adverse consumer or sales impact on our products and (iii) upon twelve months notice after August 15, 2006.

Third Party Intellectual Property Licenses

Marvel Enterprises, Inc. (Super Heroes(R) and Marvel Heroes(R))

On February 4, 2005, we entered into a two-year license agreement for the utilization of Marvel Heroes characters on our flavored milks in the United Kingdom and Ireland. We agreed to a royalty rate of 4% of net wholesale sales in the territory against the prepayment of a guaranteed minimum royalty amount. We have adopted the unit sales model currently used in the United States. We have outsourced the infrastructure required for the production, promotion, marketing, distribution and sale of our products through a production agreement with Waterfront Corporation in the UK and through an exclusive sales agency agreement with Drinks Brokers, Ltd. a UK registered company responsible for the launch and growth of several major beverage brands in the licensed territory. Currently, we are evaluating our distribution and product mix in the UK in order to develop and implement a more effective business plan going forward. During this period of re-evaluation, we have ceased production of our Marvel co-branded products in the United Kingdom.

In March 2005, we entered into a new one-year license agreement with Marvel Enterprises, Inc. to use its Super Heroes(R) properties to promote our branded milk products in the United States, Canada and Mexico. Under the terms of the license agreement, we agreed to a royalty rate of 5% of net wholesale sales in the United States, 4% for school lunch channels and 2.5% for school hot lunch programs. We also agreed to a 11% royalty on the amount invoiced to dairy processors for production in Canada and Mexico. We have not renewed this license agreement owing to the failure of our Marvel co-branded products to achieve expected market penetration.

On February 4, 2005, we entered into an eighteen month license agreement for the utilization of Marvel Heroes characters on our flavored milks in nine Middle East Countries. We agreed to a 11% royalty on the amount invoiced to third party dairy processors for "kits" in the territory against the prepayment of a guaranteed minimum royalty amount. We have not renewed this license agreement owing to the failure of our Marvel co-branded products to achieve expected market penetration.

Chattanooga Bakery, Inc. ( Moon Pie(R) )

In October 2003, we commenced a two-year license agreement with MD Enterprises, Inc. on behalf of Chattanooga Bakery. Under the terms of the license agreement, we have the exclusive right to manufacture, distribute, market and sell Moon Pie(R) flavored milk products in the United States. We agreed to a variable royalty rate of 3% to 2% of net wholesale sales, depending upon volume. This license has been extended verbally.

Masterfoods USA (Starburst(R), Milky Way(R), 3 Musketeers(R))

On September 21, 2004, we entered into a licensing agreement with Masterfoods USA, a division of Mars, Incorporated, for the use of Masterfood's Milky Way(R), Starburst(R) and 3 Musketeers(R) trademarks in connection with the manufacture, marketing and sale of single serve flavored milk drinks in the United States, its Possessions and Territories, and US Military installations worldwide. The license limits the relationship of the parties to separate independent entities. The initial term of the license agreement expires December 31, 2007. We have agreed to pay a royalty based upon the total net sales value of the licensed products sold and advance payments of certain agreed upon guaranteed royalties. Ownership of the licensed marks and the specific milk flavors to be utilized with the marks remains with Masterfoods. We have a right of first refusal for other milk beverage products utilizing the Masterfoods marks within the licensed territory. This license has amended to include additional Masterfoods brands and to extend the term to December 31,2012.

26

In March 2006, we signed two new seven year licensing agreements for Canada and Mexico with Masterfoods, effective January 1, 2006. The licensing agreement for Canada covers single servings of the Mars(R) Brand flavored milk drink, Starburst(R) brand flavored milk drink and the 3 Musketeers(R) brand flavored milk. In Mexico the licensing agreement is for single serve Milky Way(R) brand flavored milk, Starburst(R) brand flavored milk Drink and the 3 Musketeers(R) Brand Flavored Milk. These licensing agreements cover most trade channels including grocery, food service, Club Stores as well as schools with children over the age of 13, colleges and universities, vending machines, amusement parks and movie theaters.

### Diabetes Research Institute

In June 2005, we extended our licensing agreement with Diabetes Research Institute to June 30, 2007. We agreed to a variable royalty rate of 0.25% of net sales. We use this intellectual property, which consists of a logo plus design on the labels of our Slim Slammers(TM) product line.

### In House Intellectual Property

In addition to our third-party licenses, we have developed and sell flavored milks bearing trademarks developed by us, including "Slammers (R)" "Pro Slammers (TM)", "Slim Slammers (R)" and "Breakfast Blenders (TM)"..

# Production Contracts/Administration

Our operations in the United States, the Middle East, Mexico and Canada are run directly by Bravo! Foods International Corp. Our United Kingdom business is managed through our wholly owned subsidiary Bravo! Brands International Ltd., which is a UK registered company.

United States

Since 2003, our milk products have been produced by Jasper Products, located in Joplin, Missouri. In addition to the production of our products, Jasper has provided the infra-structure necessary for our invoicing, shipping and collection activities. We anticipate that we will assume direct responsibility for these activities in house in the fourth quarter 2006. We will expand production of our products with the addition of HP Hood, LLC as a second processor, with production commencing in the fourth quarter 2006

#### United Kingdom

In February 2005, we executed an exclusive sales agency agreement with Drinks Brokers, Ltd., a division of Tactical Sales Resources Limited for sales of our product lines in the United Kingdom. Pursuant to terms of the agreement, Bravo! appointed Drinks Brokers as its Sales Agent in the United Kingdom for the marketing, promotion, distribution and sale of Bravo!'s Slammers(R) Marvel Heroes line of flavored milk, as well as other product lines that Bravo! may introduce to the UK in the near future.

Drinks Brokers utilizes its established networks to manage all matters relating to the sale and effective distribution of Bravo!'s products within the United Kingdom, including the solicitation of sales from customers in applicable market segments, marketing, advertising and promotion of Bravo!'s products, distribution, and merchandising.

Our products are processed in the United Kingdom by Waterfront Corporation Limited, on a third party co-pack basis. We generate revenue in the United Kingdom from the unit sales of finished branded flavored milks to retail consumer outlets. Currently, we use a single third-party processor in the United Kingdom to produce all of our single serve milk based beverages. We recognize revenue in the United Kingdom at the gross amount of our invoices for the sale of finished product to wholesale buyers. We take title to our branded flavored milks when they are shipped by our third party processor and recognize as revenue the gross wholesale price charged to our wholesale customers. Our gross margin is determined by the reported wholesale price less the cost charged by Waterfront Corporation Limited.

27

# Middle East

In September 2005, we entered into a third party production agreement with Oman National Dairy Products Co. Ltd., a Middle East dairy processor, headquartered in Ruwi, Oman. Oman Dairy will process our Slammers (R) branded flavored milks, including the Marvel line, for distribution in nine Middle East countries. We generate revenue in the Middle East by the sale of flavor ingredients and production rights for our branded products. We are not responsible for production, marketing, promotion or distribution of the product in the Middle East.

#### Products

In September of 2000, we commenced our United States business using third party dairy processors for the production and sale of fresh branded flavored milk in single serve plastic bottles. Our flavored milk products had a limited shelf life of, generally, 21 days.

In early 2002, we developed branded extended shelf life and aseptic, bacteria free, long life flavored milk products. The extended shelf life product was sold in 11.5oz single serve plastic bottles and had to be refrigerated. The

shelf life of this product is 90 days. In addition, we developed a line of aseptic packaged milks that do not require refrigeration and have a shelf life of 8 months. This product was packaged in an 11.2oz Tetra Pak Prisma(TM) sterile paper container. Both of these products were introduced to the public in the second and third quarters of 2002.

Commencing in May 2002, we developed a new branded fortified flavored milk product under the "Slammers(R) Fortified Reduced Fat Milk" brand name. We use our Slammers(R) brand in conjunction with our licensed third party trademarks. Slammers(R) is made from reduced fat milk and is fortified with essential vitamins. The introduction of this new product and the phase out of our "regular" branded milks occurred in the fourth quarter of 2002. Our Slammers(R) flavored milks were sold in the United States in single serve extended shelf life plastic bottles, as well as the long life aseptic Tetra Pak Prisma(TM) package.

In November 2002, we introduced Slim Slammers(R) Fortified Milk, a low calorie version of our Slammers (R)Fortified Reduced Fat Milk. Slim Slammers(R) Fortified Milk has no added sugar and is sweetened with sucralose, a natural sweetener made from sugar. Slim Slammers(R) Fortified Milk is made from 1 percent fat milk, is fortified with 11 essential vitamins and is available in the same flavors as our Slammers(R) brand. We reintroduced this product in the United States with a new package and formulation during 2004.

In 2004, we announced our product development and brand strategy for seven new, separate and distinct single serve product lines: Ultimate Slammers(TM), Slim Slammers(R), Moon Pie Slammers(R), Pro-Slammers(TM), Starburst(R) Slammers(R), 3 Musketeers(R) Slammers(R) and Milky Way(R) Slammers(R). These product lines are all fortified and positioned to appeal directly to profiled demographic segments, including teens and pre teens for Ultimate Slammers(TM), Starburst(R) Slammers(R) and Milky Way(R) Slammers(R), teens and sports enthusiasts for Pro-Slammers(TM), young to old for Moon Pie(R) Slammers(R) and health conscious adults for Slim Slammers(R) and 3 Musketeers(R) Slammers(R).

We launched four brands in 2004, beginning with Ultimate Slammers(R) in April and achieved national distribution of Ultimate Slammers(R) through both retail grocers and convenience stores by mid-summer. Roughly 10,000 retail supermarket stores carried this brand nationwide in 2004. This was followed by our June launch of Slim Slammers(R) and Moon Pie (R)Slammers(R) and the July release of our Pro-Slammers(TM) line.

In January 2005, we launched our Slammers(R) Starburst line of Fruit & Cream Smoothies utilizing a "shelf stable" re-sealable plastic bottle for milk products that does not require refrigeration. Until that launch, all single serve flavored milk in plastic bottles required refrigeration for storage, distribution and shelf placement. The tactical advantage of distributing milk products ambient enables us to side-step a major entry barrier in our immediate consumption strategy. Refrigerated milk is relegated to dairy direct-store-delivery systems that are controlled by either regional dairy processors or larger national dairy holding companies. Shelf stable re-sealable plastic bottles allow us to use a more traditional distribution network that accommodates the non-refrigerated beverages. Also, milk products packaged in shelf stable re-sealable plastic bottles have significantly longer shelf life for storage, allowing us to ship in full truckloads resulting in decreased freight costs. We currently are converting all of our products to "shelf stable" re-sealable plastic bottles.

In the first quarter 2005, we launched our Slammers(R) MilkyWay and 3 Musketeers lines utilizing a "shelf stable" re-sealable plastic bottle for milk products that does not require refrigeration, under the Masterfoods License. During this period, we also introduced Breakfasts Blenders(TM), which is a meal replacement milk beverage developed for the "on the go" consumer.

#### Industry Trends

The flavored milk industry has grown from approximately \$750 million in 1995 to \$2.5 billion in 2004. The single serve portion of this category is difficult to measure, since approximately 2/3 of the sales in the single serve milk industry are sold in immediate consumption channels or other channels that do not report scan-data. For example, Wal-Mart has become the largest retailer in the USA for milk, selling an estimated 15% of total milk sales. Wal-Mart does not report sales for the industry data resources embodied in A.C. Neilson or IRI analyses. Similarly, most convenience stores and "up-and-down-the-street" retailers in the immediate consumption sales channels do not report either, and neither do vending and schools.

We have analyzed the industry using reports available from milk and beverage industry sources. These include the total, segmented and rate of growth sales that are reported, the immediate consumption sales rates for all consumables compared to retail grocery buying patterns and opinions of experts in the milk industry as to the relative size of reported versus non-reported sales. Based upon these reports and analysis, we believe the current size of the single serve flavored milk industry (packaging 16 oz. or smaller) is approximately \$1.5 billion domestically. The industry grew at annual rates of between 5 and 15 percent during the last five years but was virtually flat in the last two years while it digested the remarkable 10-year growth rates. We believe that this space is positioned for growth now and will continue to be in the immediate consumption channels such as vending, convenience stores and food service market segments.

## Market Analysis

The flavored milk business is a relatively new category in the dairy field. The flavored "refreshment" segment is both the fastest growing and most profitable category in the industry and is receiving the most attention in the industry today. Pioneered by Nestle with the NesQuik line and Dean Foods with its Chug brand, this "good for you" segment is in demand both in the U.S. and internationally.

The International Dairy Foods Association reports that, although flavored milk currently amounts to only 5 to 6 percent of milk sales, it represents over 59% of the growth in milk sales. With the total milk category exceeding \$9.3 billion in 2004, the flavored milk segment was approximately \$2.5 billion in 2004, with single serve flavored milk growing to approximately \$1.5 billion for the same period. Statistically, as the flavored segment grows, the entire category grows as well. In the past ten years, selling more flavored milks has resulted in more sales of white milk as well.

In addition, the International Dairy Foods Association and Dairy Management Inc. have reported on studies suggesting that dairy products may help in weight loss efforts when coupled with a reduced calorie diet, based on data associating adequate calcium intake with lower body weight and reduced body fat. We continue to develop a niche in the single serve flavored milk business by utilizing strong, national branding as part of the promotion of our Slammers(R), Pro Slammers(TM) and Slim Slammers(R) products. This niche has as its focus the increased demand for single serve, healthy and refreshing drinks.

Market Segment Strategy

The Bravo! product model addresses a very clear and concise target market. We know from experience that the largest retailers of milk products are demanding new and more diverse refreshment drinks, specifically in the dairy area, in response to consumer interest and demand. To that end, we have and will continue to differentiate our products from those of our competitors through innovative product formulations and packaging designs, such as those implemented in our Slammers(R) and Pro Slammers(TM) fortified milk product lines and our Slim Slammers(R) low calorie, no sugar added products.

29

Our Slammers(R) milk products have had promising results penetrating this arena as consumers continue to look for healthy alternatives to carbonated beverages. The positioning of our products as a healthy, fun and great tasting alternative refreshment drink at competitive prices to more traditional beverages creates value for the producer and the retailer alike. This "profit orientation" for the trade puts old-fashioned milk products in a whole new light. The consumer is happy, the retailer is happy and the producer is able to take advantage of the value added by the brand and the resulting overall increase in milk sales.

We currently are implementing a very important "first-to-market" strategy that we feel will dramatically reposition our brands and company. Until now, all single served flavored milk in plastic bottles required refrigeration for storage, distribution, and shelf placement. Our strategic partner, Jasper Products, became America's first processor with FDA approval to offer a "shelf stable" re-sealable plastic bottle for ambient milk products that do not require refrigeration.

The tactical advantage of distributing our milk products at ambient temperatures enables us to side-step a major entry barrier in our immediate consumption strategy. Most beverages are distributed ambient either through beverage distribution channels or warehouse "candy and tobacco" distributors. Refrigerated milk was relegated to dairy direct-store-delivery systems that are controlled by either regional dairy processors or larger national dairy holding companies such as Dean Foods or H.P. Hood. We avoid the roadblock of being reliant upon our competition for chilled distribution since we are now in the unique position to use the more traditional distribution network that accommodates non-refrigerated beverages. We currently are converting all of our products into ambient "shelf stable" re-sealable plastic bottles.

We have been and continue to pursue a strategic goal of placing Slammers(R) milks in elementary, middle and high schools through ala carte lunch programs and vending facilities in school cafeterias, and we are promoting our Slim Slammers(R) milks as low calorie, non-sugar added alternatives to traditional soft drinks. Penetration of this market segment has been limited by logistic and economic concerns of school administrators in the push to remove traditional carbonated soft drinks from schools in favor of milk and milk based products.

### Competition

Nestle pioneered the single serve plastic re-sealable bottle which has become the standard for this industry, and they currently enjoy a dominant market share. Dean Foods owns a number of regional single serve brands that are sold in this format, and they also have an exclusive license to produce Hershey brand flavored milk nationwide. Our analysis indicates that the Nestle's Nesquik brand accounts for approximately 30-35 percent of the U.S. single serve milk category, while Hershey's market share is approximately half that, at around

15%. The other competition comes from private label and regional dairy brands. Our Slammers(R) milks are the only other single serve brand distributed nationally in America in plastic re-sealable containers.

Our resources for promotions have been limited, and we run significantly less promotional activities in comparison to our competitors. Where we are in direct competition with Nestle and Hershey, however, we have been able to maintain competitive sales levels.

#### Employees

We have thirty three full time employees, twenty one of which work at our North Palm Beach corporate offices.

30

#### Description of Property

Neither our company nor our subsidiaries currently own any real property. As of February 1, 1999, we moved our corporate offices from West Palm Beach to 11300 US Highway 1, Suite 202, North Palm Beach, Florida, pursuant to a lease with HCF Realty, Inc., having an initial term of five years. The current aggregate monthly rent amounts to approximately \$7,468, which includes an expansion of our office space from 2,485 square feet to 3,490 square feet. The term of this lease has been extended for six years to October 30, 2010.

We have executed a lease for an expansion of our office space in North Palm Beach, Florida to include an additional 2,190 square feet at \$18.50 per square foot.

31

#### MANAGEMENT

### DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The directors, executive officers and significant employees/advisors are as of October 11, 2006, as follows. Our directors serve for staggered terms of two years or until their successors are elected. On March 6, 2003, the Board voted to reduce the board positions by one to nine.

Name of Officer and Age	Position with the Company	Year Appointed
Bravo! Foods International	Corp.	
Stanley A. Hirschman 59	Chairman and Director	2000
Roy G. Warren 50	Director, Chief Executive Officer	1997/1999
Jeffrey J. Kaplan 57	Chief Financial Officer	2005
Tommy E. Kee 57	Chief Accounting Officer	2003
Roy D. Toulan, Jr. 60	Vice President, Corporate Secretary,	
	General Counsel	2003
Michael Edwards 46	Chief Revenue Officer	2000
Benjamin Patipa 49	Chief Operating Officer	2002
Arthur W. Blanding 77	Director	1999
Robert Cummings 63	B Director	1997

John McCormack47Director1997Phillip Pearce78Director1997

The experience and background of the Company's executive officers follow:

Mr. Stanley A. Hirschman - Chairman and Director since September 2000

Mr. Hirschman is president of CPointe Associates, Inc., an executive management and consulting firm specializing in solutions for emerging companies with technology-based products. CPointe was formed in 1996. In addition, he is a director of Energy & Engine Technology, 5 G Wireless Communications, Bronco Energy Fund and GoldSpring, Inc. Prior to establishing CPointe Associates, Mr. Hirschman was vice president of operations of Software, Etc., Inc., a retail software chain, from 1989 until 1996. Mr. Hirschman has also held senior management positions with retailers T.J. Maxx, Gap Stores and Banana Republic. Mr. Hirschman currently serves on the Audit Committee of the Company's board of directors.

Mr. Roy G. Warren - Chief Executive Officer since May 1999; Director since 1997

Mr. Warren serves as our Chief Executive Officer and as a director. As Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Warren continues to develop strategy for our growth and external financial matters.

For 15 years from 1981 through 1996, Mr. Warren was in the securities brokerage industry. During those years, Mr. Warren acted as executive officer, principal, securities broker and partner with brokerage firms in Florida, most notably Kemper Financial Companies, Alex Brown & Sons and Laffer Warren & Company. Mr. Warren currently serves on the Executive Committee of the Company's board of directors.

Mr. Warren also serves as a director of our wholly owned U.K. subsidiary, Bravo! Brands (UK) Ltd.

Mr. Tommy E. Kee -Chief Financial Officer 2003 - 2005; Chief Accounting Officer since 2005

Tommy Kee joined our company in March 2003 as Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Kee currently serves as our Chief Accounting Officer for our company. He graduated with an MBA from the University of Memphis and a BS degree in accounting from the University of Tennessee. Before joining us, he served for several years as CFO for Allied Interstate, Inc. in the West Palm Beach area. Prior to that, Mr. Kee served as CFO and Treasurer for Hearx Ltd. a West Palm Beach, Florida public company. He also served 18 years as International Controller and Financial Director with the Holiday Inns Inc. organization in Memphis and Orlando. Mr. Kee handles all financial management and reporting for our company and works closely with our external auditors and general counsel for financial reporting and SEC compliance.

32

Mr. Jeffrey Kaplan - Chief Financial Officer since 2005

Mr. Kaplan joined Bravo! in October 2005 as Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Kaplan served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of BIB Holdings, Ltd. and then its private company spin-off, Elk Canyon Ltd., designers of jeanswear and loungewear, from October 2003 to September 2005. He served as Executive Vice President of Business Affairs of Viewpoint Corporation, a graphics software company, from November 2001 to September 2003 and its

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from February 2001 to October 2001. Mr. Kaplan served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Rare Medium Group Inc., an IT professional services company, from October 1999 to February 2001. Mr. Kaplan received his Bachelor of Arts degree in political science from Brown University in 1970 and his Masters of Business Administration in finance from New York University in 1972.

Mr. Roy D. Toulan, Jr. - Vice President, Corporate Secretary, General Counsel since 2003

Roy Toulan began with the original founders as outside corporate counsel in 1997 and has been responsible for all of our corporate and business legal work, including securities matters. Mr. Toulan became Corporate Counsel in October 2002, when he left his private legal practice in Boston, and Vice President in January 2003. He received his law degree from Catholic University in Washington D.C., and for the first 15 years of his career practiced corporate and securities litigation with large law firms in New York and Boston. Before joining our company full time, he spent the last 18 years of his private practice in Boston, Massachusetts, engaged in general corporate and securities law helping companies with corporate structure and funding, both domestically and internationally. Mr. Toulan also serves as a director of our wholly owned U.K. subsidiary, Bravo! Brands (UK) Ltd.

Mr. Michael Edwards - Vice President Sales since 2003

Mr. Edwards has been with our company in a sales and marketing capacity since 2000. Prior to that time, he worked for 5 years in beverage marketing research for Message Factors, Inc., a Memphis, Tennessee marketing research firm. Mr. Edwards has a BS degree from Florida State University in Management and Marketing and spent 13 years in the banking industry, leaving CitiBank to join Message Factors in 1995.

Dr. Benjamin Patipa - Director of School/Vending 2002 - 2004; Vice President, Chief Operating Officer since 2004

Dr. Patipa is a pediatrician with over fifteen years of experience in directing operations, marketing, sales and facilitating growth in both public and private companies. In 1987, Dr. Patipa founded and served as the chairman and CEO of Weight For Me, Inc., a company that developed a proprietary program which pioneered the delivery of weight control and nutrition services to the over 12 million obese children and adolescents in America. Weight For Me earned national and international recognition as the premier program for the control of obesity in children and adolescents. Dr. Patipa also served at HEARx Ltd. as a member of the Executive Operating Committee and Sonus USA, Inc., where he lead the company's franchise licensing and buying group business in the Southeast United States. Most recently, Dr. Patipa served as Senior Vice President and Operational Head of eHDL/HealthNet Data Link, Inc., a national electronic healthcare information company.

Mr. Arthur W. Blanding - Director Since November 1999

Mr. Blanding is president of The Omega Company, an international dairy industry consulting company. Mr. Blanding has over 50 years experience in management of dairy processing, sales and strategic planning consulting. He graduated from Michigan State University in 1956, with a degree in food science, and in 1964 from Oregon State University with a degree in Food Microbiology and attended Harvard Business School.

As President of The Omega Company for the past 20 years, Mr. Blanding has completed over 200 projects successfully, both in the U.S. and abroad. Clients of The Omega Company include Abbott International, Cumberland Farms, Dairy Gold, Farm Fresh, Inc., Haagen Dazs, Labatt, Ross Laboratories and Stop & Shop Company, among others. Mr. Blanding was a consultant for the design and construction of the dairy processing facility built in Shanghai by Green Food Peregrine. The Omega Company is a party to a consulting contract with the Company concerning technical and production issues.

#### Mr. Robert J. Cummings - Director Since 1997

Mr. Cummings' work experience includes ten years in purchasing at Ford Motor Company. In 1975, he founded and currently operates J & J Production Service, Inc., a manufacturing representative business, which is currently responsible for over \$300 million in annual sales.

#### Mr. Phillip Pearce - Director Since 1997

Mr. Pearce is a "retired" member of the securities industry. Mr. Pearce served as Chairman of the NASD during which time he was instrumental in the founding of NASDAQ. Additionally, Mr. Pearce was a former Director of E.F. Hutton and has served as Governor of the New York Stock Exchange. Since his retirement in 1988, Mr. Pearce has remained active in the securities industry as a corporate financial consultant. Mr. Pearce serves on the compensation committee of our board of directors. Mr. Pearce also serves on our audit committee.

Based upon a review of the appropriate Forms 3, 4 and 5 and any amendments to such forms filed pursuant to Section 16(a), we report the following: during 2005, our directors and executive officers did not file Form 4s for options that were authorized pursuant to an incentive stock option compensation plan until issued.

34

#### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### Compensation of directors

We compensated Directors for their travel expenses to and from board of directors' meetings in 2002, 2003, 2004 and, in 2005, an additional \$1,000 per personal attendance and \$500 for a telephonic attendance. In 2004, there were three in person meetings and four telephonic board meetings. In 2005, there were three in person meetings and four telephonic board meetings. Directors received options for 35,000 shares of common stock for each year as a director through 2001. Each member of the executive committee has received options for an additional 40,000 shares of common stock for their services from 1998 through 2001. Directors received additional options for 25,000 shares for 2002 and 2003. Mr. Hirschman, our Chairman, received total compensation of \$48,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005 for his services as Chairman of both our Board of Directors and Audit Committee. On January 13, 2004, the Directors unanimously voted to convert the options to common stock on a one for one basis. The common shares so converted were issued pursuant to a Form S-8 registration statement filed December 23, 2004.

On January 13, 2004, the Board of Directors adopted a plan to convert on a one for one basis the options granted to our present employees and the directors currently serving on the Board into a like number of our restricted shares of

common stock at the discounted value of \$0.05 per share. The conversion of the options to common stock for any individual director or employee was conditioned upon a "lockup" agreement by such director or employee, pursuant to which the recipients of such common stock could not sell, transfer, pledge or hypothecate such common stock for a six-month period.

On April 6, 2005, our Directors voted to adopt a Stock Option Incentive Plan for the grant of options to directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of up to 10,397,745 shares of our common stock. On May  $12,\ 2005$ , the Board of Directors accepted and adopted the determination of the Compensation Committee to grant 3,572,744 of the authorized options to our directors.

35

#### Compensation of executive officers

The following table sets forth the compensation paid during the last three fiscal years to our Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers of our company:

#### Summary Compensation

			7		Long-Term (	Compen
Name & Position	Year	_	Annual Compensation Bonus	Other	Restricted Stock Awards	Comp
Roy G. Warren President & CEO	2003	\$220,000			2005 Ten Year Options for	\$300 \$16,
Director	2004	220,000	\$137,750 (1) \$8,462 bonus (3)		2,500,000 common valued	(ins
	2005	240,000		\$42,000 (5)		
Roy D. Toulan, Jr. Vice President	2003	\$180,000	\$28,000 (2)	\$5,841 Life &		\$190 \$10,
	2004	180,000	15,000(1) 6,923 (bonus (3)	disability	600,000 common	(ins
00	2005	182,231	7,308 bonus (3)	\$38,552 (5)		
Michael Edwards Chief Revenue Officer	2005	\$162,923	\$6,923 bonus (3)	\$34,161 (5)	2005 Ten Year Options for 600,000 common valued at \$72,000; vested over 18 months	\$180 \$13, (ins
Benjamin Patipa Chief Operating Officer	2005	\$142,615	\$6,923 bonus (3) 11,000 bonus (4)	\$32,107 (3)	2005 Ten Year Options for 600,000 common valued at \$72,000; vested over 18 months	\$180 \$13, (ins

Tommy E. Kee	2003	\$120,000			2005 Ten Year	\$160
Chief Accounting;					Options for	\$14,
Officer	2004	120,000	\$15,000(1)		600,000 common	(ins
			4,615 bonus (3)		valued at	
	2005	140,923	6,154 bonus (3)	\$31,415 (3)	\$72,000; vested	
			10,000 bonus (4)		over 18 months	

- (1) The reported values of options converted in 2004 are pursuant to a January 13, 2004 vote of Directors authorizing conversion, and are valued \$0.05 per share.
- (2) 100,000 shares of common stock in 2003 as a signing bonus, valued at \$28,000.
- (3) Year end bonus
- (4) Special performance based bonus
- (5) SEP/IRA Bonus, 2005
- (6) Amount paid for termination of employment owing to change of control, based on base salary for 2006

#### Option Grants

On April 6, 2005, our Directors voted to adopt a Stock Option Incentive Plan for the grant of options to directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of up to 10,397,745 shares of our common stock. On May 12, 2005, the Board of Directors accepted and adopted the determination of the Compensation Committee to grant 3,572,744 of the authorized options to our directors and 4,900,000 to our senior management.

36

Aggregated Options Exercised in Last Fiscal Year
And Fiscal Year-End Option Values

None.

Compensation Plans

#### Senior Management

On April 6, 2005, our Directors voted to adopt a Stock Option Incentive Plan for the grant of options to directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of up to 10,397,745 shares of our common stock. On May 12, 2005, the Board of Directors accepted and adopted the determination of the Compensation Committee to grant 4,900,000 of the authorized option to our senior management.

Employment contracts

Roy G. Warren Chief Executive Officer

On September 14, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors recommended a new compensation package for Mr. Warren in recognition of his work to expand our business and in light of the then recent execution of a ten-year Master distribution Agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. The

basic compensation package adopted by the Company for Mr. Warren provides, as follows:

- o Annual base salary of \$300,000 paid in accordance with established Company payment procedures.
- o Quarterly bonus of one-quarter of one percent (.0025) of top-line net sales revenue.
- o Company benefits as customarily awarded to executive members of management.
- o Participation in Employee and Director Stock Option programs.
- A "Special Circumstances" bonus of \$500,000 to be awarded upon the signing of a Master Distribution Agreement with CCE. Bonus to be paid in the following manner:

One-half paid as a cash award or, at the awardees option, in Company stock. One-half paid in Company stock, with the issuance of such being in the form of S-8 or other method as determined by counsel.

Roy D. Toulan, Jr., Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary  $\,$ 

A new compensation package became effective for Mr. Toulan on January 1, 2006. The basic compensation package adopted by the Company for Mr. Toulan provides, as follows:

- o Annual base salary of \$190,000 paid in accordance with established Company payment procedures.
- o Quarterly bonus at discretion of management based upon meeting performance goals.
- o Participation in Employee and Director Stock Option programs.
- o  $\;\;$  Effective January 1, 2006, and for a period of not less than 24 months

Jeffrey J. Kaplan, Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Kaplan's employment contract provides, as follows:

- o Annual base salary of \$180,000 in year one paid in accordance with established Company payment procedures; \$200,000 in year two and \$220,000 in year three.
- o  $\;\;$  Quarterly bonus at discretion of management based upon meeting performance goals.
- Company benefits as customarily awarded to executive members of management.
- o Participation in Employee and Director Stock Option programs.

- o Options for 200,000 common shares at market on November 1, 2005 as signing bonus
- o Effective November 1, 2005, and for a period of not less than 36 months

37

Benjamin Patipa, Chief Operating Officer

A new compensation package became effective for Dr. Patipa on January 1, 2006. The basic compensation package adopted by the Company for Dr. Patipa provides, as follows:

- o Annual base salary of \$180,000 paid in accordance with established Company payment procedures.
- o Quarterly bonus at discretion of management based upon meeting performance goals.
- o Company benefits as customarily awarded to executive members of management.
- o Participation in Employee and Director Stock Option programs.
- o Effective January 1, 2006, and for a period of not less than 24 months

Michael Edwards, Chief Revenue Officer

A new compensation package became effective for Mr. Edwards on January 1, 2006. The basic compensation package adopted by the Company for Mr. Edwards provides, as follows:

- o Annual base salary of \$180,000 paid in accordance with established Company payment procedures.
- O Quarterly bonus at discretion of management based upon meeting performance goals.
- o Company benefits as customarily awarded to executive members of management.
- o Participation in Employee and Director Stock Option programs.
- o  $\;\;$  Effective January 1, 2006, and for a period of not less than 24 months

Tommy E. Kee, Chief Accounting Officer

A new compensation package became effective for Mr. Kee on January 1, 2006. The basic compensation package adopted by the Company for Mr. Kee provides, as follows:

- o Annual base salary of \$160,000 paid in accordance with established Company payment procedures.
- o Quarterly bonus at discretion of management based upon meeting

performance goals.

- o Company benefits as customarily awarded to executive members of management.
- o Participation in Employee and Director Stock Option programs.
- o  $\,$  Effective January 1, 2006, and for a period of not less than 24 months

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

The equity compensation reported in this section has been a issued pursuant to individual compensation contracts and arrangements with employees, directors, consultants, advisors, vendors, suppliers, lenders and service providers. The equity is reported on an aggregate basis as of December 31, 2005. Our security holders have not approved the compensation contracts and arrangements underlying the equity reported.

Compensation Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of options, warrants and rights	Weighted average price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	for fut	securities rema cure issuance un compensation pl
Directors (former)	325,000	\$ 0.71	0	individual pla
Employees (former)	650,000	\$ 0.87	60,000	individual pla
Directors/Management &				2005 Stock Opt
Employees	8,872,745	\$0.245	1,475,000	Incentive Plan
Consultants	510,714	\$ 0.30	0	individual pla
Total	10,358,459	\$ 0.77	1,535,000	
	========	=====	=======	

38

On April 6, 2005, our Directors voted to adopt a Stock Option Incentive Plan for the grant of option to directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of up to 10,397,745 shares of our common stock. On May 12, 2005, the Board of Directors accepted and adopted the determination of the Compensation Committee to grant 8,922,745 of the authorized option to our employees, directors and certain consultants. The ten-year options vest over a period of eighteen months and have exercise prices varying from \$0.20 per share to \$0.30 per share, with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.24 per share.

### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our company's common stock as of December 31, 2005, as to

- each person known to beneficially own more than 5% of the Company's common stock
- o each of our directors
- o each executive officer

o all directors and officers as a group

The following conditions apply to all of the following tables:

- o except as otherwise noted, the named beneficial owners have direct ownership of the stock and have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown
- o the class listed as "common" includes the shares of common stock underlying the Company's issued convertible preferred stock, options and warrants

#### Beneficial Owners

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	
Common	Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. 2500 Windy Ridge Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339	30,000,000	16.28%
Common	Mid-Am Capital, L.L.C. (3) Northpointe Tower 10220 North Ambassador Drive Kansas City, MO 64190	19,970,723	9.99%
Common	Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie (4) Rue de la Corraterie 11 1204 Geneva	16,500,000	8.95%
Common	Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd (4) 1603 Orrington Avenue 13th Floor Evanston, IL 60201	13,750,000	7.46%

(1) Beneficial Ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants currently exercisable or convertible, or exercisable or convertible within 60 days of December 31, 2005 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such option or warrant but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.

39

- (2) Percentage calculated from base of 184,253,753 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.
- (3) This owner is contractually limited to a beneficial ownership of our equity not to exceed 9.99%. Equity listed consists of convertible preferred, convertible debentures and/or warrants.
- (4) Equity listed consists of common stock and warrants to purchase common stock

Management Owners

Title of Name and Address of Amount and Nature

Class	Management Owner	of Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (2)
Common	Roy G. Warren 11300 US Highway No.1 N. Palm Beach, FL	5,781,765(3)	3.13%
Common	Robert Cummings 2829 N.E. 44th Street Lighthouse Point, FL	1,130,038(4)	Less than 1%
Common	John McCormack 8750 South Grant Burridge, IL 60521	1,312,538(4)	Less than 1%
Common	Mr. Arthur W. Blanding Janesville, WI 53545	947,297(5)	Less than 1%
Common	Phillip Pearce 6624 Glenleaf Court Charlotte, NC 28270	962,297(6)	Less than 1%
Common	Stanley Hirschman 2600 Rutgers Court Plano, Texas 75093	1,040,652(7)	Less than 1%
Common	Roy D. Toulan, Jr. VP, General Counsel 6 Wheelers Pt. Rd Gloucester, MA 01930	1,615,121(8)	Less than 1%
Common	Tommy Kee Chief Accounting Officer 11300 US Highway 1 N. Palm Beach, FL 33408	1,042,385(8)	Less than 1%
Common	Benjamin Patipa Chief Operating Officer 6139 Indian Forest Circle Lake Worth, FL 33463	1,358,700(8)	Less than 1%
Common	Michael Edwards Vice President Sales 4140 S.E. Old St. Lucie Blvd. Stuart, FL 34996	2,000,000(8)	1.08%
Common	Executive officers and directors as a group	17,190,793	9.32%

40

- (1) Beneficial Ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock subject to options or warrants currently exercisable or convertible, or exercisable or convertible within 60 days of December 31, 2005 are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such option or warrant but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person.
- (2) Percentage calculated from base of 184,253,753 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.
- (3) Includes options to purchase 2,500,00 shares of our common stock pursuant to a 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan adopted by the Board of Directors on May 12, 2005.
- (4) Includes options to purchase 565,038 shares of our common stock pursuant to a 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan adopted by the Board of Directors on

May 12, 2005.

- (5) Includes options to purchase 494,408 shares of our common stock pursuant to a 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan adopted by the Board of Directors on May 12, 2005.
- (6) Includes options to purchase 706,297 shares of our common stock pursuant to a 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan adopted by the Board of Directors on May 12, 2005.
- (7) Includes options to purchase 670,982 shares of our common stock pursuant to a 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan adopted by the Board of Directors on May 12, 2005.
- (8) Includes options to purchase 600,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to a 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan adopted by the Board of Directors on May 12, 2005.

There currently are no arrangements that may result in a change of ownership or control.

#### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

John Mc McCormack has been a director of the Company since 1997 and was our Chief Operating Officer from December 200 to March 2003. Since December 2005, Mr. McCormack has been employed by Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. as a Regional Sales Manager for the supermarket channel, in Wisconsin, Minnesota and Northern Illinois.

#### DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES TO BE REGISTERED

#### COMMON STOCK

We are authorized to issue up to 300,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.001. As of October 5, 2006, there were195,018,001 shares of common stock outstanding. Holders of the common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by the stockholders. Holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends, if any, as may be declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor. Upon the liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, the holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in all of our assets which are legally available for distribution after payment of all debts and other liabilities and liquidation preference of any outstanding common stock. Holders of common stock have no preemptive, subscription, redemption or conversion rights. The outstanding shares of common stock are validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable.

41

We have engaged American Stock Transfer & Trust Company located at 59 Maiden Lane, Plaza Level, New York, NY 10038, as independent transfer agent or registrar.

#### INDEMNIFICATION FOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES

Our Articles of Incorporation, as amended, provide to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law, our directors or officers shall not be personally liable to us or our shareholders for damages for breach of such director's or officer's fiduciary duty. The effect of this provision of our Articles of

Incorporation, as amended, is to eliminate our rights and our shareholders (through shareholders' derivative suits on behalf of our company) to recover damages against a director or officer for breach of the fiduciary duty of care as a director or officer (including breaches resulting from negligent or grossly negligent behavior), except under certain situations defined by statute. We believe that the indemnification provisions in our Articles of Incorporation, as amended, are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and officers.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Act" or "Securities Act") may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling us pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

#### PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling stockholders may, from time to time, sell any or all of their shares of common stock on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. If the shares of common stock are sold through underwriters or broker-dealers, the selling stockholders will be responsible for underwriting discounts or commissions or a gent's commissions. These sales may be at fixed prices, at prevailing market prices at the time of the sale, at varying prices determined at the time of sale, or negotiated prices. The selling stockholders may use any one or more of the following methods when selling shares:

- o on any national securities exchange or quotation service on which the securities may be listed or quoted at the time of sale;
- o ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;
- o block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
- o purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
- o in transactions otherwise than on these exchanges or systems or in the over-the-counter market;
- o through the writing of options, whether such options are listed on an options exchange or otherwise;
- o an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
- o privately negotiated transactions;
- o purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
- o short sales (subsequent to the effectiveness of this prospectus);

- o broker-dealers may agree with the selling stockholders to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated price per share;
- o a combination of any such methods of sale; and
- o any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

The selling stockholders may also sell shares under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, if available, rather than under this prospectus.

The selling stockholders may also engage in short sales against the box, puts and calls and other transactions in our securities or derivatives of our securities and may sell or deliver shares in connection with these trades.

Broker-dealers engaged by the selling stockholders may arrange for other brokers-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the selling stockholders (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated. The selling stockholders do not expect these commissions and discounts to exceed what is customary in the types of transactions involved. Any profits on the resale of shares of common stock by a broker-dealer acting as principal might be deemed to be underwriting discounts or commissions under the Securities Act. Discounts, concessions, commissions and similar selling expenses, if any, attributable to the sale of shares will be borne by a selling stockholder. The selling stockholders may agree to indemnify any agent, dealer or broker-dealer that participates in transactions involving sales of the shares if liabilities are imposed on that person under the Securities Act.

In connection with sales of the shares of common stock or otherwise, the selling stockholders may enter into hedging transactions with broker-dealers, which may in turn engage in short sales of the shares of common stock in the course of hedging in positions they assume. The selling stockholders may also sell shares of common stock short and deliver shares of common stock covered by this prospectus to close out short positions and to return borrowed shares in connection with such short sales. The selling stockholders may also loan or pledge shares of common stock to broker-dealers that in turn may sell such shares.

The selling stockholders may from time to time pledge or grant a security interest in some or all of the shares of common stock owned by them and, if they default in the performance of their secured obligations, the pledgees or secured parties may offer and sell the shares of common stock from time to time under this prospectus after we have filed an amendment to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) or other applicable provision of the Securities Act of 1933 amending the list of selling stockholders to include the pledgee, transferee or other successors in interest as selling stockholders under this prospectus.

The selling stockholders also may transfer the shares of common stock in other circumstances, in which case the transferees, pledgees or other successors in interest will be the selling beneficial owners for purposes of this prospectus and may sell the shares of common stock from time to time under this prospectus after we have filed an amendment to this prospectus under Rule 424(b)(3) or other applicable provision of the Securities Act of 1933 amending the list of selling stockholders to include the pledgee, transferee or other successors in interest as selling stockholders under this prospectus. The selling stockholders also may transfer and donate the shares of common stock in other circumstances in which case the transferees, donees, pledgees or other successors in interest will be the selling beneficial owners for purposes of this prospectus.

The selling stockholders and any broker-dealers or agents that are involved in selling the shares of common stock may be deemed to be

"underwriters" within the meaning of the Securities Act in connection with such sales. In such event, any commission paid, or any discounts or concessions allowed to, such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares of common stock purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act. At the time a particular offering of the shares of common stock is made, a prospectus supplement, if required, will be distributed which will set forth the aggregate amount of shares of common stock being offered and the terms of the offering, including the name or names of any broker-dealers or agents, any discounts, commissions and other terms constituting compensation from the selling stockholders and any discounts, commissions or concessions allowed or reallowed or paid to broker-dealers.

43

Under the securities laws of some states, the shares of common stock may be sold in such states only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers. In addition, in some states the shares of common stock may not be sold unless such shares have been registered or qualified for sale in such state or an exemption from registration or qualification is available and is complied with. There can be no assurance that any selling stockholder will sell any or all of the shares of common stock registered pursuant to the shelf registration statement, of which this prospectus forms a part.

We are required to pay all fees and expenses incident to the registration of the shares of common stock, including \$10,000 of fees and disbursements of counsel to the selling stockholders. We have agreed to indemnify the selling stockholders against certain losses, claims, damages and liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act.

If we are notified by any selling stockholder that any material arrangement has been entered into with a broker-dealer for the sale of shares of common stock, if required, we will file a supplement to this prospectus. If the selling stockholders use this prospectus for any sale of the shares of common stock, they will be subject to the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act.

The anti-manipulation rules of Regulation M under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 may apply to sales of our common stock and activities of the selling stockholders, which may limit the timing of purchases and sales of any of the shares of common stock by the selling stockholders and any other participating person. Regulation M may also restrict the ability of any person engaged in the distribution of the shares of common stock to engage in market-making activities with respect to the shares of common stock. All of the foregoing may affect the marketability of the shares of common stock and the ability of any person or entity to engage in market-making activities with respect to the shares of common stock.

Once sold under the registration statement, of which this prospectus forms a part, the shares of common stock will be freely tradable in the hands of persons other than our affiliates.

The anti-manipulation rules of Regulation M under the Securities Exchange  $Act\ of\ 1934\ may\ apply\ to\ sales\ of\ our\ common\ stock\ and\ activities\ of\ the\ selling\ stockholders.$ 

PENNY STOCK

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15g-9 which

establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require:

- o that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and
- o the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must

- o obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and
- o make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form:

- o sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and
- that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.

44

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

45

#### SELLING STOCKHOLDERS

The table below sets forth information concerning the resale of the shares of common stock by the selling stockholders. We will not receive any proceeds from the resale of the common stock by the selling stockholders. We will receive proceeds from the exercise of the warrants. Assuming the selling stockholders sell all the shares registered below, none of the selling stockholders will continue to own any shares of our common stock.

The following table also sets forth the name of each person who is

offering the resale of shares of common stock by this prospectus, the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each person, the number of shares of common stock that may be sold in this offering and the number of shares of common stock each person will own after the offering, assuming they sell all of the shares offered.

Name(2)	Total Shares of Common Stock outstanding and issuable Upon exercise of Warrants	Total Percentage of Common Stock, Assuming Full Conversion*	Shares of Common Stock Included in Prospectus (1)	Beneficial Ownership Included in Prospectus **	Percentage of Common Stock Owned Before Offering
Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie (4)	16,500,000	8.27%	Up to 16,500,000 shares of common stock	16,500,000	8.27%
Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd (5)	13,750,000	6.92%	Up to 13,750,000 shares of common stock	13,750,000	6.92%
Kings Road Investments Ltd. (6)	7,562,500	3.84%	Up to 7,562,500 shares of common stock	7,562,500	3.84%
Radcliffe SPC, Ltd for and on behalf of the Class A Convertible Crossover Segregated Portfolio (7)	7,562,500	3.84%	Up to 7,562,500 shares of common stock	7,562,500	3.84%
Lagunitas Partners	1,727,435	***	Up to 1,727,435 shares of common stock	1,727,435	***
Gruber & McBaine International (9)	472,566	***	Up to 472,566 shares of common stock	472,566	***
Jon D and Linda W Gruber Trust (10)	550,000	***	Up to 550,000 shares of common stock	550,000	***
JMG Triton Offshore Fund, Ltd. (11)	1,100,000	***	Up to 1,100,000 shares of common stock	1,100,000	***
JMG Capital Partners, LP (12)	1,100,000		Up to 1,100,000 shares of common stock	1,100,000	***
Capital Ventures International (13)	1,650,000		Up to 1,650,000 shares of common stock	1,650,000	***

UBS O'Connor LLC FBO O'Connor PIPES Corporate Strategies Master Limited (14)	1,650,000	***	Up to 1,650,000 shares of common stock	1,650,000	***
Whalehaven Capital Fund Limited(15)	1,375,000	***	Up to 1,375,000 shares of common stock	1,375,000	***
Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft (16)	687 <b>,</b> 500	***	Up to 687,500 shares of common stock	687,500	***
Marvel Enterprises, Inc.(17)	1,000,000	***	Up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock	1,000,000	***
SG Cowen & Co., LLC (18)	1,317,188	***	Up to 1,317,188 shares of common stock	1,317,188	***

<sup>\*</sup> Based upon 195,018,001 shares of common stock issued and outstanding on October 5, 2006

46

- \*\* The number and percentage of shares beneficially owned is determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under such rule, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the selling stockholders has sole or shared voting power or investment power and also any shares, which the selling stockholders has the right to acquire within 60 days.
- \*\*\* Less than one percent.
- (1) The actual number of shares of common stock offered in this prospectus, and included in the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, includes such additional number of shares of common stock as may be issued or issuable upon the exercise of the related warrants by reason of any stock split, stock dividend or similar transaction involving the common stock, in accordance with Rule 416 under the Securities Act of 1933.
- (2) Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch Fund Manager, by the joint action of Messrs. Hanspeter Blaser, Assistant Vice President, and Janik Bard Assistant Manager, has the voting and dispositive power over the securities herein held for resale by Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie.

Magnetar Financial LLC is the investment advisor of Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd ("Magnetar Master Fund") and consequently has voting control and investment discretion over securities held by Magnetar Master Fund. Magnetar Financial LLC disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities held by Magnetar Master Fund. Alec Litowitz is the manager of Magnetar Capital Partners LLC, which is the sole member of Magnetar Financial LLC. As a result, Mr. Litowitz may be considered the beneficial owner of any shares deemed to be beneficially owned by Magnetar Financial LLC. Mr. Litowitz disclaims beneficial ownership of

these shares.

Kings Road Investments Ltd. ("Kings Road") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Polygon Global Opportunities Master Fund ("Master Fund"). Polygon Investment Partners LLP and Polygon Investment Partners LP (the "Investment Managers"), Polygon Investments Ltd. (the "Manager"), the Master Fund, Alexander Jackson, Reade Griffith and Paddy Dear share voting and dispositive power of the securities held by Kings Road. The Investment Managers, the Manager, Alexander Jackson, Reade Griffith and Paddy Dear disclaim beneficial ownership of the securities held by Kings Road.

47

Radcliffe SPC, Ltd. for and on behalf of the Class A Convertible Crossover Segregated Portfolio. Pursuant to an investment management agreement, RG Capital Management, L.P. ("RG Capital") serves as the investment manager of Radcliffe SPC. Ltd's Class A Convertible Crossover Segregated Portfolio. RGC Management Company, LLC ("Management") is the general partner of RG Capital. Steve Katznelson and Gerald Stahlecker serve as the managing members of Management. Each of RG Capital. Management and Messrs. Katznelson and Stahlecker disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities owned by Radcliffe SPC, Ltd. for and on behalf of the Class A Convertible Crossover Segregated Portfolio

Lagunitas Partners. Gruber & McBaine Cap Mgmt is the general partner for Lagunitas Partners LP and the Investment advisor for Gruber & MCBaine International having full power to vote and invest on their behalf. Gruber & McBaine Cap Mgmt mangers Jon D Gruber & J Patterson McBaine oversee the voting activity

Gruber & McBaine International. Gruber & McBaine Cap Mgmt is the general partner for Lagunitas Partners LP and the Investment advisor for Gruber & McBaine International having full power to vote and invest on their behalf. Gruber & McBaine Cap Mgmt mangers Jon D Gruber & J Patterson McBaine oversee the voting activity.

Jon D and Linda W Gruber Trust. The Jon D and Linda W Gruber Trust is a private investment trust. Jon Gruber and Linda Gruber are the Trustees and have full power to vote and invest on behalf of the Trust

JMG Triton Offshore Fund, Ltd. (the "Fund") is an international business company organized under the laws of the British Virgin Islands. The Fund's investment manager is Pacific Assets Management LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Manager") that has voting and dispositive power over the Fund's investments, including the Registrable Securities. The equity interests of the Manager are owned by Pacific Capital Management, Inc., a California corporation ("Pacific") and Asset Alliance Holding Corp., a Delaware corporation. The equity interests of Pacific are owned by Messrs. Roger Richter, Jonathan M. Glaser and Daniel A. David. Messrs. Glaser and Richter have sole investment discretion over the Fund's portfolio holdings.

JMG Capital Partners, L.P. ("JMG Partners") is a California limited partnership. Its general partner is JMG Capital Management, LLC (the "Manager"), a Delaware limited liability company and an investment adviser that has voting and dispositive power over JMG Partners' investments, including the Registrable Securities. The equity interests of the Manager are owned by JMG Capital Management, Inc., ("JMG Capital") a California corporation, and Asset Alliance Holding Corp., a Delaware corporation. Jonathan M. Glaser is the Executive Officer and Director of JMG Capital and has sole investment discretion over JMG Partners' portfolio holdings.

Capital Ventures International. Heights Capital Management, Inc., the authorized agent of Capital Ventures International ("CVI"), has discretionary authority to vote and dispose of the shares held by CVI and may be deemed to be the beneficial owners of these shares. CVI is affiliated with one or more registered broker-dealers. CVI purchased the shares being registered hereunder in the ordinary course of business and at the time of purchase, had no agreements or understandings, directly or indirectly, with any other person to distribute such shares.

The selling security holder (O'Connor PIPES Corporate Strategies Master Limited) of this security is a fund which cedes investment control to UBS O'Connor LLC (the Investment Manager). The Investment Manager makes all of the investment / voting decisions. UBS O'Connor LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of UBS AG which is listed on the NYSE.

UBS O'Connor LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of UBS AG which is listed and traded on the NYSE. The Investment Manager (UBS O'Connor LLC) makes all of the investment / voting decisions

48

Alpha Capital Aktiengesellschaft is a private investment fund that is owned by all its investors and managed by Mr. Konrad Ackerman. Mr. Konrad Ackerman may be deemed the control person of the shares owned by such entity, with final voting power and investment control over such shares.

Whalehaven Funds Limited is a professional hedge fund incorporated in Bermuda. The control persons are Evan Schemenauer, Arthur Jones, and Jennifer Kelly, directors.

Marvel Entertainment, Inc. (formerly known as Marvel Enterprises, Inc.) is a publicly-traded, NYSE-listed corporation and is owned by its stockholders. Day-to-day investment decisions are made by Marvel Entertainment's senior management

SG Cowen & Co., LLC. William Buchanan is the Head of Equity Capital Markets and a Managing Director at SG Cowen & Co., LLC and is responsible for investment decisions at SG Cowen. SG Cowen is a registered broker-dealer. SG Cowen received these shares in consideration for providing investment banking services provided to our company.

- (3) Assumes that all securities registered will be sold.
- (4) Total shares being registered includes 12,000,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 4,500,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (5) Total shares being registered includes 10,000,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 3,750,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (6) Total shares being registered includes 5,500,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 2,062,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (7) Total shares being registered includes 5,500,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 2,062,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.

- (8) Total shares being registered includes 1,253,316 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 471,119 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (9) Total shares being registered includes 343,684 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 128,882 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (10) Total shares being registered includes 400,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 150,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (11) Total shares being registered includes 800,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 300,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (12) Total shares being registered includes 800,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 300,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (13) Total shares being registered includes 1,200,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 450,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (14) Total shares being registered includes 1,200,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 450,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (15) Total shares being registered includes 1,000,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 375,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.
- (16) Total shares being registered includes 500,000 shares of common stock currently outstanding and 187,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.

49

- (17) Total shares being registered includes 1,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.05 per share.
- (18) Total shares being registered includes 1,012,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.50 per share and 304,688 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of common stock purchase warrants exercisable at \$0.80 per share.

Terms of Financing

To obtain funding for our ongoing operations, we entered into the following financing transaction:

On November 28, 2005, we closed a funding transaction with 13 accredited institutional investors, for the issuance and sale of 40,500,000 shares of our common stock for a purchase price of \$20,250,000. In addition, we also issued five-year warrants for the purchase of an additional 15,187,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.80 per share. The securities are restricted and have been issued pursuant to an exemption to the registration requirements of

Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 for "transactions of the issuer not involving any public offering" provided in Section 4(2) of the Act and pursuant to a Regulation D offering. In connection with this financing, we issued common stock purchase warrants to purchase 1,012,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.50 per share and 304,688 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.80 per share to SG Cowen & Co., LLC, who acted as placement agent for this financing.

The shares of common stock and the shares of common stock underlying the warrants carry registration rights that obligate us to file a registration statement within 45 days from closing and have the registration statement declared effective within 120 days from closing.

Terms of Services Agreement

In June 2005, we entered into a Services Agreement with Marvel Enterprises, Inc. pursuant to which Marvel Enterprises, Inc. agreed to provide introductions to retailers and advise on creative design in consideration for a common stock purchase warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of common stock at \$0.05 per share.

This prospectus relates to the resale of the shares of common stock and the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants in connection with this private placement and the shares of common stock issuable to Marvel Enterprises, Inc. upon exercise of its common stock purchase warrants.

This prospectus relates to the resale of the shares of common stock issued in connection with this finaincing and the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the common stock purchase warrants.

#### LEGAL MATTERS

Sichenzia Ross Friedman Ference LLP, New York, New York will issue an opinion with respect to the validity of the shares of common stock being offered hereby.

#### EXPERTS

Lazar Levine & Felix LLP , Certified Public Accountants, have audited, as set forth in their report thereon appearing elsewhere herein, our financial statements at December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the year then ended that appears in the prospectus.

#### AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We have filed a registration statement on Form SB-2 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, relating to the shares of common stock being offered by this prospectus, and reference is made to such registration statement. This prospectus constitutes the prospectus of Bravo! Foods International Corp., filed as part of the registration statement, and it does not contain all information in the registration statement, as certain portions have been omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

50

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which requires us to file reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such reports,

proxy statements and other information may be inspected at public reference facilities of the SEC at 100 F Street N.E., Washington D.C. 20549. Copies of such material can be obtained from the Public Reference Section of the SEC at 100 F. Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 at prescribed rates. Because we file documents electronically with the SEC, you may also obtain this information by visiting the SEC's Internet website at http://www.sec.gov.

51

#### INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006

Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-1
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow	F-4
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-5
For the Years Ended December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-43
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F - 44
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss	F-45
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow	F-47
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Capital Deficit	F-49
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-50

52

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2006	Decemb 20
Assets	(Unaudited) (Restated)	
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 59,344	\$ 4,9
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts	•	
of \$365,000 and \$350,000 at 2006 and 2005, respectively	1,192,840	3,1
Inventories	2,881,821	3
Prepaid expenses	1,216,870	9
Total current assets	5,350,875	9,4
Furniture and equipment, net	521 <b>,</b> 123	2

Intangible assets, net Other assets	17,234,423 217,999	18 <b>,</b> 5
Total assets	\$23,324,420	\$28 <b>,</b> 3
Liabilities, Redeemable Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) Current liabilities:	=====	==
Accounts payable	\$ 7,784,012	\$ 5,9
Accrued liabilities	8,479,906	4,8
Current maturities of notes payable	2,700,195	9
Convertible debt	973,214	1,0
Derivative liabilities	36,425,561	35 <b>,</b> 9
Total current liabilities	56,362,888	48,7
Notes payable, less current maturities	95 <b>,</b> 783	
Total liabilities	56,458,671	48,7

F-1

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2006
	(Unaudited) (Restated)
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9) Redeemable preferred stock:	
Series F convertible, par value \$0.001 per share, 200,000 shares designated, Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 5,248 shares issued and outstanding	52,480
Series H convertible, par value \$0.001 per share, 350,000 shares designated, 7% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 63,500 and 64,500 shares issued and outstanding Series J, par value \$0.001 per share, 500,000 shares designated, 8% Cumulative	457 <b>,</b> 867
Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 200,000 shares issued and outstanding  Series K, par value \$0.001 per share, 500,000 shares designated, 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 95,000 shares	1,166,325
issued and outstanding	814,873
Total redeemable preferred stock	2,491,545
Stockholders' equity (deficit): Preferred stock, 5,000,000 shares authorized Series B Preferred, par value \$0.001 per share, 1,260,000 shares designated, 9% Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$1.00 per share, 107,440 shares	
issued and outstanding Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, 300,000,000 shares authorized,	107,440
191,253,248 and 184,253,753 shares issued and outstanding	191,253

Additional paid-in capital Common stock subscription receivable Accumulated deficit Cumulative translation adjustment

(10,000) (134,877,246) (9,320)

98,972,077

Total stockholders' equity (deficit)

(35,625,796)

Total liabilities, Redeemable Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

\$ 23,324,420 =======

See accompanying notes.

F-2

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

	Three M	onths	Ende	d June 30,	Six M	onths E	nded Jun										
	2006	2006		2005		2005 2		2005 2006		2005 2006		2005 2006		2005 2006		06	20
	(Unaudi (Restate	,	 (U	naudited)	(Unau (Rest	•	(Unau										
Revenues				2,448,618			\$ 3 <b>,</b> 3										
Product costs	(3,253	637)		(1,680,464)	(6,2	00,097)	(2,3										
Shipping costs	(351	185)		(292,386)	(7	44,636)	(4										
Gross margin	100	404		475 <b>,</b> 768			 5										
Operating expenses:																	
Selling expense	3,367	811		1,035,549	6,2	10,909	1,5										
General and administrative expense	1,628	317		1,836,824	3,3	96,521	2,5										
Product development	161			146,733		77,319	2										
Loss from operations				(2,543,338)			(3,7										
Other income (expense)	/F 047	100)	,	77 211 2021	,	98,011)	(75 <b>,</b> 8										
Derivative expense, net Interest income (expense), net	(3,047		(	77,311,393)	( / /	30,011)	(1,4										
Liquidated damages	(3,872			(597 <b>,</b> 729)	(4.5	58.275)	(1,4										
Legal settlement	(552				(1)	52,600)											
Other income (expense)	(552)			7,164	(0												
Income (loss) before income taxes Provision for income taxes	(14,926		(8	0,445,296)	(15,2	03,188)	(81,0										
Net loss Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(14,926 (282	521)		0,445,296) (593,595)	(5	41,260)	(81,0 (8										
Loss applicable to common stockholders		998)	\$ (	81,038,891)	\$(15,7		\$(81,9										
Loss per common share: Basic loss per common share	\$ (	0.08)	\$	(1.12)	\$	(0.08)	\$										
Diluted loss per common share	\$ (			(1.12)		(0.08)											

	========	=========	=========	=====
Weighted average common shares outstanding	189,388,123	72,381,911	186,843,409	66,0
	========	=========	========	
Comprehensive income (loss):				
Net income (loss)	\$(14,926,521)	\$ (80,445,296)	\$(15,203,188)	\$(81,0
Foreign currency translation	22,129	2,696	21,439	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(14,904,392)	\$ (80,442,600)	\$ (15, 181, 749)	\$ (81,1
-				

See accompanying notes.

F-3

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Six Months Ended June 30		
	2006	2005	
		(Unaudited)	
Cash Flow from Operating Activities:			
Net loss Adjustments to net loss	\$(15,203,188)	\$(81,098,366)	
Depreciation and amortization	1,638,941	188,185	
Stock issuance for due diligence and finders' fees		123,450	
Allowance for doubtful accounts	14,941		
Legal settlement for Marvel warrants	552,600		
Stock issuance for consulting expense	347,566	352 <b>,</b> 954	
Derivative expense, net	98,011	75,839,650	
Amortization of debt discount	149,454		
Stock compensation expense	222,957	551,810	
Gain/Loss on disposal of fixed assets	1,998		
Changes in operating assets & liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	1,941,060	26,778	
Inventories	(2,490,676)	(54,981)	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(249,289)	(587 <b>,</b> 267)	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,590,386	893,461	
Net cash used in operating activities	(7,385,239)	(2,502,512)	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities			
Licenses and trademark costs		(84,166)	
Purchases of equipment	(286,338)		
Net cash used in investing activities		(128,135)	
Cash Flows provided by financing activities:	· <u></u>		
Proceeds from exercise of warrants	500,000	1,038,509	
Proceeds from convertible notes payable	2,669,323		
Proceeds from sale of stock and warrants	100,000		
Payments for redemption of warrants		(25,000)	

Payment of dividends Payment of notes payable Registration costs for financing	(22,514) (17,994) (17,143)	 (62,639)
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,211,672	2,900,870
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash	21,439	(6,406)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalent, beginning of period	(4,888,642) 4,947,986	263,817 113,888
Cash and cash equivalent, ending of period	\$ 59,344 =======	\$ 377,705 =======

See accompanying notes.

F-4

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1 -Nature of Business, Basis of Presentation and Liquidity and Management's Plans

Nature of Business:

We are engaged in the sale of flavored milk products and flavor ingredients in the United States, the United Kingdom and the Middle East, and we are establishing an infrastructure to conduct business in Canada.

#### Basis of Presentation:

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10QSB, Item 310(b) of Regulation S-B and Article 10 (01)(c) of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the accompanying financial statements. Operating results for the six-month period ending June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2006.

As more fully described in Note 10, we have restated our balance sheet as of June 30, 2006 and our statements of operations and cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 for errors related to the accounting for liquidated damages arising from certain of our financing transactions and certain other matters more fully described in Notes 3 and 10.

#### Liquidity and Management's Plans:

As reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, we have incurred operating losses and negative cash flow from operations and have working capital deficiency of \$51,012,013 as of June 30, 2006. In addition, we are delinquent on certain of our debt agreements at June 30, 2006, and we have

experienced delays in filing our financial statements and registration statements due to errors in our historical accounting that have been corrected (See Note 10). Our inability to make these filings is resulting in our recognition of penalties to the investors, and these penalties will continue until we can complete our filings and register the common shares into which the investors' financial instruments are convertible. Finally, our revenues are significantly concentrated with one major customer. The loss of this customer or curtailment in business with this customer could have a material adverse affect on our business. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have been dependent upon third party financings as we execute our business model and plans. While our liquid reserves have been substantially depleted as of June 30, 2006, we completed a \$30.0 million convertible note financing in July 2006 that is expected to fulfill our liquidity requirements through the end of 2006. However, \$15.0 million of this financing is held in escrow, and we are in default on this instrument due to the delay in filing our quarterly financial report for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006. As a result, an event of default has occurred under the terms of the Notes, and the interest rate on the Notes, payable quarterly, was increased from 9% to 14% per annum. Pursuant to the terms of the Notes, upon the occurrence of an event of default, holders of the Notes may, upon written notice to the Company, each require the Company to redeem all or any portion of their Notes at a default redemption price calculated pursuant to the terms of the Notes. Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we have entered into an Amendment Agreement with the holders of the Notes to amend the Notes in certain respects as consideration for the holders' release of the Company's default resulting from its delay in the filing of this quarterly report. See Item 3 of Part II of this report, entitled "Default on Senior Securities", for a description of the terms of the Amendment Agreement.

F-5

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We plan to increase our sales, improve our gross profit margins, augment our international business and, if necessary, obtain additional financing. Ultimately, our ability to continue is dependent upon the achievement of profitable operations. There is no assurance that further funding will be available at acceptable terms, if at all, or that we will be able to achieve profitability.

The accompanying financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Note 2. - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Among the more significant estimates included in our financial statements are the following:

- Estimating future bad debts on accounts receivable that are carried at net realizable values.
- o Estimating our reserve for unsalable and obsolete inventories that are carried at lower of cost or market.
- o Estimating the fair value of our financial instruments that are required to be carried at fair value.
- o Estimating the recoverability of our long-lived assets.

We use all available information and appropriate techniques to develop our estimates. However, actual results could differ from our estimates.

Business Segment and Geographic Information

We operate in one dominant industry segment that we have defined as the single serve flavored milk industry. While our international business is expected to grow in the future, it currently contributes less than 10% of our revenues, and we have no physical assets outside of the United States.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenues are derived from the sale of branded milk products to customers in the United States of America, Great Britain and the Middle East. Geographically, our revenues are dispersed 98% and 2% between the United States of America and internationally, respectively. We currently have one customer in the United States that provided 74% and 0% of our revenue during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

F-6

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Revenues are recognized pursuant to formal revenue arrangements with our customers, at contracted prices, when our product is delivered to their premises and collectibility is reasonably assured. We extend merchantability warranties to our customers on our products, but otherwise do not afford our customers with rights of return. Warranty costs have historically been insignificant.

Our revenue arrangements often provide for industry-standard slotting fees where we make cash payments to the respective customer to obtain rights to place our products on their retail shelves for a stipulated period of time. We also engage in other promotional discount programs in order to enhance our sales activities. We believe our participation in these arrangements is essential to ensuring continued volume and revenue growth in the competitive marketplace. These payments, discounts and allowances are recorded as reductions to our reported revenue. Unamortized slotting fees are recorded in prepaid expenses.

### Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Bravo! Foods International Corp. (the "Company"), and its wholly-owned subsidiary Bravo! Brands (UK) Ltd. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs incurred to deliver products to our customers are included as a component of cost of sales. These costs amounted to approximately \$351,000 and \$292,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively; \$745,000 and \$431,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments purchased with a remaining maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Our accounts receivable are exposed to credit risk. During the normal course of business, we extend unsecured credit to our customers with normal and traditional trade terms. Typically credit terms require payments to be made by the thirtieth day following the sale. We regularly evaluate and monitor the creditworthiness of each customer. We provide an allowance for doubtful accounts based on our continuing evaluation of our customers' credit risk and our overall collection history. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the allowance of doubtful accounts aggregated approximately \$365,000 and \$350,000, respectively.

In addition, our accounts receivable are concentrated with one customer who represents 39% and 0% of our gross accounts receivable balances at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Approximately, 6% of our gross accounts receivable at June 30, 2006 are due from international customers.

F-7

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### Inventories

Inventories, which consist primarily of finished goods, is stated at the lower of cost on the first in, first-out method or market. Our inventories at June 30, 2006 have substantially increased from levels at December 31, 2006 because we are building inventories to support our contractual arrangement with a significant customer. Further, our inventories are perishable. Accordingly, we estimate and record lower-of-cost or market and unsalable-inventory reserves based upon a combination of our historical experience and on a specific identification basis. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we did not provide for unsaleable inventories.

In November 2004, the FASB issued Financial Accounting Standard No. 151, Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43 Chapter 4 (FAS 151), which clarifies that inventory costs that are "abnormal" are required to be charged to expense as incurred as opposed to being capitalized into inventory as a product cost. FAS 151 provides examples of "abnormal" costs to include costs of idle facilities, excess freight and handling costs and spoilage. FAS 151 became effective for our fiscal year beginning January 1, 2006. The adoption of FAS No. 151 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Furniture and Equipment

Furniture and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over a period of seven years for furniture and five years for equipment. Maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are charged directly to expenses as incurred. Additions and betterments to property and equipment are capitalized. When assets are disposed of, the related cost and accumulated depreciation thereon are removed from the accounts, and any resulting gain or loss is included in the statement of operations.

#### Intangible Assets

Our intangible assets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 consist of our distribution agreement with Coca-Cola Enterprises ("CCE"), our manufacturing agreement with Jasper Products, Inc. and licenses and trademark costs, with estimated lives of ten years, five years and one-to-five years, respectively. The following table illustrates information about our intangible assets:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Distribution agreement	\$15,960,531	\$15,960,531
Manufacturing agreement	2,700,000	2,700,000
Licenses and trademarks	448,096	1,325,958
Less accumulated amortization	(1,874,204)	(1,382,929)
	\$17,234,423	\$18,593,560
	========	========

Amortization expense amounted to \$848,501 and \$1,549,289 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and \$62,362 and \$326,963 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

Estimated future amortization of our intangible assets is as follows as of June 30, 2006:

Six months	end	ed Decembe	r 31,	2006	\$1,136,382
Year ended	:				
December	31,	2007			\$2,367,947
December	31,	2008			\$2,356,342
December	31,	2009			\$2,355,844
December	31,	2010			\$2,203,289
December	31,	2011			\$1,767,591

F-8

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate the carrying value and recoverability of our long-lived assets when circumstances warrant such evaluation by applying the provisions of Financial Accounting Standard No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of

Long-Lived Assets ("FAS 144"). FAS 144 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the assets. Whenever any such impairment exists, an impairment loss will be recognized for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value.

#### Financial Instruments

Financial instruments, as defined in Financial Accounting Standard No. 107 Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (FAS 107), consist of cash, evidence of ownership in an entity and contracts that both (i) impose on one entity a contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial instrument to a second entity, or to exchange other financial instruments on potentially unfavorable terms with the second entity, and (ii) conveys to that second entity a contractual right (a) to receive cash or another financial instrument from the first entity, or (b) to exchange other financial instruments on potentially favorable terms with the first entity. Accordingly, our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, notes payable, derivative financial instruments, convertible debt and redeemable preferred stock that we have concluded is more akin to debt than equity.

We carry cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities at historical costs; their respective estimated fair values approximate carrying values due to their current nature. We also carry notes payable, convertible debt and redeemable preferred stock at historical cost; however, fair values of debt instruments and redeemable preferred stock are estimated for disclosure purposes (below) based upon the present value of the estimated cash flows at market interest rates applicable to similar instruments.

As of June 30, 2006, estimated fair values and respective carrying values of our notes payable, convertible debt and redeemable preferred stock are as follows:

Instrument	Fair Value	Carrying Value
\$2,500,000 Note Payable	\$2,458,000	\$1,640,906
\$200,000 Convertible Note Payable	202,000	200,000
\$15,000 Convertible Note Payable	14,200	5,214
\$600,000 Convertible Notes Payable	668,000	600,000
\$168,000 Convertible Notes Payable	168,000	168,000
Series F Preferred Stock	49,000	52 <b>,</b> 480
Series H Preferred Stock	557 <b>,</b> 000	457 <b>,</b> 867
Series J Preferred Stock	1,781,000	1,166,325
Series K Preferred Stock	927,000	814 <b>,</b> 873
		========

F-9

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

As of December 31, 2005, estimated fair values and respective carrying values of our notes payable, convertible debt and redeemable preferred stock were as follows:

Fair Value	Carrying Value
\$ 190,000	\$187 <b>,</b> 934
13,300	1,620
668,000	600,000
6,375	5,188
25,500	30,278
187,760	187 <b>,</b> 760
46,000	52 <b>,</b> 480
525 <b>,</b> 000	388,305
1,731,000	871,043
881,000	====== 792,672 ======
	13,300 668,000 6,375 25,500 187,760 46,000 525,000 1,731,000 881,000

Derivative financial instruments, as defined in Financial Accounting Standard No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 133), consist of financial instruments or other contracts that contain a notional amount and one or more underlying (e.g. interest rate, security price or other variable), require no initial net investment and permit net settlement. Derivative financial instruments may be free-standing or embedded in other financial instruments. Further, derivative financial instruments are initially, and subsequently, measured at fair value and recorded as liabilities or, in rare instances, assets.

We generally do not use derivative financial instruments to hedge exposures to cash-flow, market or foreign-currency risks. However, we have entered into certain other financial instruments and contracts, such as debt financing arrangements, redeemable preferred stock arrangements, and freestanding warrants with features that are either (i) not afforded equity classification, (ii) embody risks not clearly and closely related to host contracts, or (iii) may be net-cash settled by the counterparty. As required by FAS 133, these instruments are required to be carried as derivative liabilities, at fair value, in our financial statements.

The following table summarizes the components of derivative liabilities as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	Note	2006	2005
Compound derivative financial instruments that have been			
bifurcated from the following financing arrangements:			
\$2,500,000 Note Financing	4(a)	\$ (303,881)	\$ -

\$400,000 Convertible Note Financing	5(a)	(1,666,200)	(1,311,00
\$2,300,000 Convertible Note Financing	5(b)	(4,810)	(4,86
\$600,000 Convertible Note Financing	5(c)	(625 <b>,</b> 400)	(153 <b>,</b> 70
\$693,000 Convertible Note Financing	5(e)		(42,87
\$660,000 Convertible Note Financing	5(f)		(159 <b>,</b> 25
\$1,080,000 Convertible Note Financing	5 (g)	(634,410)	(564 <b>,</b> 73
Series H Preferred Stock Financing	6(a)	(502,451)	(381 <b>,</b> 37
Series J Preferred Stock Financing	6(b)	(6,104,000)	(5,628,00
Series K Preferred Stock Financing	6(c)	(272 <b>,</b> 250)	(206,20
Series F Preferred Stock Financing	6(d)	(31,819)	(25,63
Freestanding derivative contracts arising from financing and other business arrangements:			
Warrants issued with \$2,500,000 Note Financing	4(a)	(739,230)	_
Warrants issued with \$693,000 Convertible Notes			(024 12
•	5 (e)		(321/12
Warrants issued with Series H Preferred Stock Warrants issued with Series F Preferred Stock		(840,269) (21,138)	
Warrants issued with Series D Preferred Stock		(406,419)	
Other warrants	8 (b)	(24,273,284)	(24,310,05
Total derivative liabilities		\$ (36, 425, 561)	\$ (35, 939, 23
		========	

F-10

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

See the notes referenced in the table for details of the origination and accounting for these derivative financial instruments. We estimate fair values of derivative financial instruments using various techniques (and combinations thereof) that are considered to be consistent with the objective measuring fair values. In selecting the appropriate technique, we consider, among other factors, the nature of the instrument, the market risks that it embodies and the expected means of settlement. For less complex derivative instruments, such as free-standing warrants, we generally use the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation technique because it embodies all of the requisite assumptions (including trading volatility, estimated terms and risk free rates) necessary to fair value these instruments. For complex derivative instruments, such as embedded conversion options, we generally use the Flexible Monte Carlo valuation technique because it embodies all of the requisite assumptions (including credit risk, interest-rate risk and exercise/conversion behaviors) that are necessary to fair value these more complex instruments. For forward contracts that contingently require net-cash settlement as the principal means of settlement, we project and discount future cash flows applying probability-weightage to multiple possible outcomes. Estimating fair values of derivative financial instruments requires the development of significant and subjective estimates that may, and are likely to, change over the duration of the instrument with related changes in internal and external market factors. In addition, option-based techniques are highly volatile and sensitive to changes in our trading market price which has a high-historical volatility. Since derivative financial instruments are initially and subsequently carried at fair values, our income will reflect the volatility in these estimate and assumption changes.

The following table summarizes the effects on our income (loss) associated with changes in the fair values of our derivative financial instruments by type of

financing for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months ended June 30, 2006
Derivative income (expense):			
Convertible note and warrant financings	\$ (988,406)	\$(52,662,081)	\$(1,039,310)
Preferred stock and warrant financings	(1,429,847)	(20,981,136)	(132,898)
Other warrants and derivative contracts	(2,628,946)	(3,668,176)	1,074,197
	\$(5,047,199)	\$(77,311,393)	\$ (98,011)
	=========	=========	=========

Additional information related to individual financings can be found in notes 5, 6 and 8.

F-11

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Our derivative liabilities as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, and our derivative losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is significant to our consolidated financial statements. The magnitude of the derivative loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 when compared with the losses for the same periods ended June 30, 2006 reflects the following:

- (a) During the six months ended June 30, 2005, and specifically commencing in the second quarter, the trading price of our common stock reached significantly high levels relative to its trend. The trading price of our common stock significantly affects the fair value of our derivative financial instruments. To illustrate, our trading stock price at the end of the first quarter of 2005 was \$0.15 and then increased to \$0.93 by the end of the second quarter. Our trading stock price then declined to \$0.61 and \$0.59 at the end of the third and fourth quarters, respectively. However, the higher stock price had the effect of significantly increasing the fair value of our derivative liabilities and, accordingly, we were required to adjust the derivatives to these higher values with charges to our income. Also, due to the higher stock price commencing in the second quarter, we experienced significant exercise and conversion activity related to our derivative warrants and, to a lesser degree, with respect to the embedded conversion options. Accordingly, our year end derivative liability balances reflect, among other elements of our valuation assumptions, the higher intrinsic values of the arrangements caused by the significant changes in our stock price, which are offset by a smaller number of common shares indexed to outstanding warrants due to the extraordinary level of exercise activity.
- (b) During the year ended December 31, 2005, we entered into a \$2,300,000 debt and warrant financing arrangement, more fully discussed in Note 5(b). In connection with our accounting for this financing we encountered the unusual circumstance of a day-one loss related to the recognition of derivative instruments arising from the arrangement. That means that the fair value of the bifurcated compound derivative and warrants exceeded the proceeds that we

received from the arrangement and we were required to record a loss to record the derivative financial instruments at fair value. The loss that we recorded amounted to \$8,663,869. We did not enter into any other financing arrangements during the periods reported that reflected day-one losses.

The following table summarizes the number of common shares indexed to the derivative financial instruments as of June 30, 2006:

	Conversion Features	Warrants	Total
Financing or other contractual arrangement:			
\$2,500,000 Note Financing		1,500,000	1,500,000
\$400,000 Convertible Note Financing	4,000,000		4,000,000
\$2,300,000 Convertible Note Financing	120,000		120,000
\$600,000 Convertible Note Financing	4,000,000		4,000,000
\$1,080,000 Convertible Note Financing	1,680,000		1,680,000
Series D Convertible Preferred Stock		611,250	611,250
Series F Convertible Preferred Stock	220,969	38 <b>,</b> 259	259,228
Series H Convertible Preferred Stock (a)		4,387,500	4,387,500
Series J Convertible Preferred Stock	20,000,000		20,000,000
Series K Convertible Preferred Stock (a)			
Other warrants and contracts (Note 8(b)		50,704,688	50,704,688
	30,020,969	57,241,697	87,262,666

(a) As more fully described in Notes 6(a) and 6(c) these instruments were afforded the conventional convertible exemption, which means we did not have to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature. However, we were required to bifurcate certain other embedded derivatives as discussed in the notes. Although the conversion features did not require derivative accounting, we are required to also consider the 1,256,127 and 8,000,000 common shares, respectively, into which these instruments are convertible in determining whether we have sufficient authorized and unissued common shares for all of our share-settled obligations.

F-12

### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We have entered into registration rights agreements with certain investors that require us to file a registration statement covering shares underlying a financing arrangement, become effective on the registration statement, maintain effectiveness and, in some instances, maintain the listing of the underlying shares. Certain of these registration rights agreements require our payment of liquidating damages to the investors in the event we do not achieve the requirements. We record estimated liquidated damages as liabilities and charges to our income when the liquidated damages are probable and estimable under Financial Accounting Standard No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we recorded liquidated damages expense of \$3,872,388 and \$4,558,275.

Advertising and Promotion Costs

Advertising and promotion costs, which are included in selling expenses, are expensed as incurred and aggregated \$934,057 and \$2,187,133 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively; \$351,441 and \$511,554 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### Share-based payments

Effective January 1, 2005, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based compensation. Effective January 1, 2006 we adopted Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payments (FAS123R). Under the fair value method, we recognize compensation expense for all share-based payments granted after January 1, 2005, as well as all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of January 1, 2005, in accordance with SFAS No. 123. Under the fair value recognition provisions of FAS 123(R), we recognize share-based compensation expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, over the requisite service period of the award. Prior to the adoption of FAS 123 and FAS 123(R), the Company accounted for share-based payments under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123. For further information regarding the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), see Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements.

#### Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the liability method, which requires an entity to recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets. Deferred income taxes are recognized based on the differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years. Further, the effects of enacted tax laws or rate changes are included as part of deferred tax expense or benefit in the period that covers the enactment date. A valuation allowance is recognized if it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

#### Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Our basic income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing income (loss) applicable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common share outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted income (loss) per common share is computed similar to basic income (loss) per common share except that diluted income (loss) per common share includes dilutive common stock equivalents, using the treasury stock method, and assumes that the convertible debt instruments were converted into common stock upon issuance, if dilutive. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 potential common shares arising from our stock options, stock warrants, convertible debt and convertible preferred stock amounting to 62,272,513 and 61,178,096 shares, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their effect was antidilutive. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 potential common shares arising from our stock options, stock warrants, convertible debt and convertible preferred stock amounting to 104,769,803 and 104,564,021 shares, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their effect was antidilutive.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### Note 3. Accrued liabilities:

Accrued liabilities consist of the following as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	2006	2005
Liquidated damages due to late registration (a)	\$4,862,026	\$ 303,750
Investor relations liability	1,402,000	1,545,565
Production processor liability	681 <b>,</b> 275	182,814
Accrued payroll and related	600,612	636 <b>,</b> 757
Accrued interest	447,235	376 <b>,</b> 198
Discontinued products (b)		1,710,734
Other	486,758	116,459
	\$8,479,906	\$4,872,277

- (a) Certain of our financing arrangements provide for penalties in the event of non-registration of securities underlying the financial instruments. Generally, these penalties are calculated as a percentage of the financing proceeds, usually between 1.0% and 3.0% each month. We record these liquidated damages when they are probable and estimable pursuant to FAS 5.
- (b) During our year ended December 31, 2005, we discontinued certain product lines and, as a result, incurred certain penalties under purchase commitments with our manufacturing vendors. We accrued these penalties upon our decision to discontinue the products. These amounts were paid to the vendors prior to June 30, 2006.

#### Note 4. Notes Payable

Notes payable consist of the following as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	2006	2005
\$2,500,000 face value note payable, due November 12, 2006 (a) \$750,000 face value note payable, due September 3, 2004 (b) \$187,743 face value note payable, due December 31, 2005 (c) Other notes payable	\$1,640,906 750,000 187,743 217,329	\$ 750,000 187,743
Total notes payable Less current maturities	2,795,978 2,700,195	937,743 937,743
Long-term notes payable	\$ 95,783	\$ ======

#### (a) \$2,500,000 Note Payable, due November 12, 2006:

On May 12, 2006, we issued \$2,500,000, six-month-term, 10% notes payable plus detachable warrants to purchase 1,500,000 shares of our common stock with a strike price of \$0.80 for a period of five-years. Net proceeds from this financing transaction amounted to \$2,235,000. The holder has the option to

redeem the notes for cash in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). We evaluated the terms and conditions of the notes and warrants and determined that (i) the Default Put required bifurcation because it did not meet the "clearly and closely related" criteria of FAS 133 and (ii) the warrants did not meet all of the requisite conditions for equity classification under FAS 133. As a result, the net proceeds from the arrangement were first allocated to the Default Put (\$87,146) and the warrants (\$901,665) based upon their fair values, because these instruments are required to be initially and subsequently carried at fair values. These instruments are carried in our balance sheet under the classification, Derivative Liabilities.

F - 14

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$2,500,000 Note Payable, due November 12, 2006.

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Derivative income (expense):				
Default Put	(\$216 <b>,</b> 735)		(\$216 <b>,</b> 735)	
	========	===	=======	===
Warrant derivative	\$ 162,435		\$ 162,435	
	========	===		===

We estimated the fair value of the put on the inception date using a cash flow technique that involves probability weighting multiple outcomes. We estimated the warrant value using the Black Scholes-Merton technique. Significant assumptions included in our valuation models are as follows:

	Inception	June 30, 2006
Trading value of common stock	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.61
Warrant strike price	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.80
Volatility	133.00%	133.00%
Risk free rate	5.08%	5.04%
Expected term	Stated term	Remaining term
Discount rate used for cash flows	13.75%	14.00%

The fair value of the Default Put increased, resulting in a charge to income, due to changes in management's weighted probability estimates following the financing inception and which generally are attributable to the increasing probability of default events. The fair value of the warrants declined principally due to the decline in our common stock trading price. Since these

instruments are measured at fair value, future changes in assumptions, arising from both internal factors and general market conditions, may cause further variation in the fair value of these instruments. Changes in fair values of derivative financial instruments are reflected as charges and credits to income.

The above allocations resulted in a discount to the carrying value of the notes amounting to approximately \$1,254,000. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, are being amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 amounted to approximately \$165,000. Interest expense during the third and fourth quarters of 2006 are currently estimated to be \$637,000 and \$577,000 respectively.

F-15

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

- (b) On May 9, 2004 we received the proceeds of a \$750,000 loan from Mid-Am Capital, payable September 3, 2004, with an interest rate of 8%. This loan is secured by a general security interest in all of our assets. Mid-Am has agreed to extend the note on a demand basis.
- (c) In 1999, we issued a promissory note to assume existing debt owed by our then Chinese joint venture subsidiary to a supplier, International Paper. The face value of that unsecured note was \$282,637 at an annual interest rate of 10.5%. The note originally required 23 monthly payments of \$7,250 and a balloon payment of \$159,862 due on July 15, 2000. During 2000, we negotiated an extension of this note to July 1, 2001. International Paper imposed a charge of \$57,000 to renegotiate the note, which amount represents interest due through the extension date. The balance due on this note is \$187,743 at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, all of which is delinquent. Although International Paper has not pursued collection of the note, it is possible that they could do so in the future and, if they do, such collection effort may have a significant adverse impact on the liquidity of the Company.

#### Note 5. Convertible Debt

Convertible debt carrying values consist of the following as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	2006	2005
\$200,000 Convertible Note Payable, due November 2006 (a)	\$200,000	\$ 187,934
\$15,000 Convertible Note Payable, due May 2007 (b)	5,214	1,620
\$600,000 Convertible Note Payable, due December 2005 (c)	600,000	600,000
\$6,250 Convertible Note Payable, due April 30, 2006 (e)		5,188
\$25,000 Convertible Note Payable, due October 1, 2006 (f)		30,278
\$168,000 Convertible Note Payable, due December 1, 2005 (g)	168,000	187,760
	\$973 <b>,</b> 214	\$1,012,780

#### (a) \$400,000 Convertible Note Financing

On November 20, 2003, we issued \$400,000 of 8.0% convertible notes payable, due November 20, 2005 plus warrants to purchase 14,000,000 shares of our common stock with a strike prices ranging from \$0.05 to \$1.00 for a period of three years. \$200,000 face value of the convertible notes were outstanding on June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 following the modification of the underlying note agreement, extending the maturity date of the remaining balance to November 20, 2006. The convertible notes are convertible into a variable number of our common shares based upon a variable conversion price of the lower of \$0.05 or 75% of the closing market price near the conversion date. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 130% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). In addition, we extended registration rights to the holder that required registration and continuing effectiveness thereof; we would be required to pay monthly liquidating damages of 2.0% for defaults under this provision.

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to variable conversion feature; and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. We also determined that the warrants did not meet the conditions for equity classification because, as noted above, share settlement and maintenance of an effective registration statement are not within our control. Therefore, the warrants are also required to be carried as a derivative liability, at fair value.

F-16

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. As a result of these estimates, our valuation model resulted in compound derivative balances associated with this financing arrangement of \$1,666,200 and \$1,311,000 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet. Warrants related to the financing were fully converted prior to December 31, 2005.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$400,000 convertible note financing.

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	(\$408,000)	(\$2,980,800)	(\$355,200)	(\$2,942,400)
Warrant derivative	\$	(\$6,016,700)	\$	(\$5 <b>,</b> 733 <b>,</b> 700)

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with the remaining compound derivatives.

The aforementioned allocations to the compound and warrant derivatives resulted in the discount in the carrying value of the notes to zero. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, are amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to approximately \$15,000 and \$53,000, respectively.

As noted in the introductory paragraph of this section, the holders extended the notes one additional year to November 2006. This modification was accounted for as an extinguishment because the present value of the amended debt was significantly different than the present value immediately preceding the modification. As a result of the extinguishment, the existing debt carrying value was adjusted to fair value using projected cash flows at market rates for similar instruments. This extinguishment resulted in our recognition of a gain on extinguishment of \$22,733 in the fourth fiscal quarter of our year ended December 31, 2005.

F-17

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

(b) \$2,300,000 Convertible Note Financing:

On January 28, 2005, May 23, 2005 and August 18, 2005, we issued \$1,150,000, \$500,000 and \$650,000, respectively of 8.0% convertible notes payable, due January 28, 2007 plus warrants to purchase 9,200,000, 4,000,000 and 5,200,000, respectively, shares of our common stock with a strike price of \$0.129 for a period of five years. \$15,000 face value of the convertible notes was outstanding on June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 resulting from conversions to common stock. The convertible notes are convertible into a fixed number of our common shares based upon a conversion price of \$0.125 with anti-dilution

protection for sales of securities below the fixed conversion price. We have the option to redeem the convertible notes for cash at 120% of the face value. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 120% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put").

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to the anti-dilution protection; and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. We also determined that the warrants did not meet the conditions for equity classification because these instruments did not meet all of the criteria necessary for equity classification. Therefore, the warrants are also required to be carried as a derivative liability, at fair value.

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. As a result of these estimates, our valuation model resulted in compound derivative balances associated with this financing arrangement of \$4,810 and \$4,867 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Further, our valuation model resulted in warrant derivative balances associated arising from the convertible note financing of \$10,406,200 and \$10,164,188 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$2,300,000 convertible note financing:

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	(\$590)	(\$8,284,283)	\$58	(\$8,160,456)
	=====	========	===	========
Warrant derivative	\$	(\$8,189,280)	\$	(\$8,360,400)
	=====	========	===	

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with these instruments.

The aforementioned allocations to the compound and warrant derivatives resulted in the discount in the carrying value of the notes. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, are amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to approximately \$33,183 and \$97,319, respectively.

#### (c) \$600,000 Convertible Note Financing:

On June 29, 2004, we issued \$600,000 of 10.0% convertible notes payable, due December 31, 2005, plus warrants to purchase 2,000,000 and 5,000,000 shares of our common stock with strike prices of \$0.25 and \$1.00, respectively, for a periods of five and two years, respectively. Net proceeds from this financing arrangement amounted to \$500,000. As of June 30, 2006, this debt is past due and, accordingly, the outstanding carrying value of \$600,000 includes \$68,000 of capitalized interest following the maturity date. The convertible notes are convertible into a fixed number of our common shares based upon a conversion price of \$0.15 with anti-dilution protection for sales of securities below the fixed conversion price. We have the option to redeem the convertible notes for cash at 120% of the face value. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 130% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). In addition, we extended registration rights to the holder that required registration and continuing effectiveness thereof; we are required to pay monthly liquidating damages of 2.0% for defaults under this provision.

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to the anti-dilution protection; and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. We also determined that the warrants did not meet the conditions for equity classification because these instruments did not meet all of the criteria necessary for equity classification. Therefore, the warrants are also required to be carried as a derivative liability, at fair value.

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that

technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. As a result of these estimates, our valuation model resulted in compound derivative balances associated with this financing arrangement of \$625,400 and \$153,700 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet.

F-19

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

As of December 31, 2005, all warrants related to the financing had been converted.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$600,000 convertible note financing:

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	(\$339 <b>,</b> 200)	(\$1,582,667)	(\$471,700)	(\$1,582,167)
Warrant derivative	\$ 	(\$5,631,800)	\$ 	(\$5,478,300)

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with these instruments.

The aforementioned allocations to the compound and warrant derivatives resulted in the discount in the carrying value of the notes. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, are amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to approximately \$-0-\$ and \$233,407,\$ respectively.

#### (d) \$240,000 Convertible Note Financing:

On December 22, 2004, we issued \$240,000 of 10.0% convertible notes payable, due April 30, 2006, plus warrants to purchase 800,000 at \$0.15 for five years. Net proceeds from this financing arrangement amounted to \$196,500 As of December 31,

2005, this debt had been fully converted. The convertible notes were convertible into a fixed number of our common shares based upon a conversion price of \$0.10 with anti-dilution protection for sales of securities below the fixed conversion price. We had the option to redeem the convertible notes for cash at 120% of the face value. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 130% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). In addition, we extended registration rights to the holder that required registration and continuing effectiveness thereof; we are required to pay monthly liquidating damages of 2.0% for defaults under this provision.

F-20

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to the anti-dilution protection and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. We also determined that the warrants did not meet the conditions for equity classification because these instruments did not meet all of the criteria necessary for equity classification. Therefore, the warrants are also required to be carried as a derivative liability, at fair value.

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. As of December 31, 2005 all warrant liabilities related to the financing had been fully converted.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$240,000 convertible note financing:

Three months Three months Six months Six months ended ended Ended ended

Derivative income (expense) June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005

	===	=======	===	=======
Warrant derivative		(\$226,440)	\$	(\$210,200)
	===	=======	===	=======
Compound derivative				

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with the remaining compound derivative.

The aforementioned allocations to the compound and warrant derivatives resulted in the discount in the carrying value of the notes. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, were amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to approximately \$-0- and \$90,306, respectively.

#### (e) \$693,000 Convertible Note Financing:

On October 29, 2004, we issued \$693,000 of 10.0% convertible notes payable, due April 30, 2006, plus warrants to purchase 2,200,000 at \$0.15 for five years. Net proceeds from this financing arrangement amounted to \$550,000. As of December 31, 2005, this debt had face value \$6,250 outstanding which amount had been fully converted by June 30, 2006. The convertible notes were convertible into a fixed number of our common shares based upon a conversion price of \$0.10 with anti-dilution protection for sales of securities below the fixed conversion price. We had the option to redeem the convertible notes for cash at 120% of the face value. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 130% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). In addition, we extended registration rights to the holder that required registration and continuing effectiveness thereof; we are required to pay monthly liquidating damages of 2.0% for defaults under this provision.

F-21

### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to the anti-dilution protection; and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required

bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. We also determined that the warrants did not meet the conditions for equity classification because these instruments did not meet all of the criteria necessary for equity classification. Therefore, the warrants are also required to be carried as a derivative liability, at fair value.

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. As a result of these estimates, our valuation model resulted in compound derivative balances of \$-0- and \$42,878 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Our value model resulted in warrant derivative balances associated arising from the convertible note financing of \$0 and \$924,120 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$693,000 convertible note financing:

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	(\$6,365)	(\$2,336,319)	(\$6,143)	(\$2,213,579)
Warrant derivative	\$	(\$1,280,610)	\$	(\$1,246,000)

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with the remaining compound instruments.

F-22

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The aforementioned allocations to the compound and warrant derivatives resulted in the discount in the carrying value of the notes. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, were amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to

approximately \$3,711 and \$241,052, respectively.

(f) \$660,000 Convertible Note Financing:

On April 2, 2004, we issued \$660,000 of 10.0% convertible notes payable, due October 1, 2005, plus warrants to purchase 3,000,000 at \$0.15 for five years. Net proceeds from this financing arrangement amounted to \$493,000. As of December 31, 2005, this debt had face value \$25,000 outstanding which amount had been fully converted by June 30, 2006. The convertible notes were convertible into a fixed number of our common shares based upon a conversion price of \$0.10 with anti-dilution protection for sales of securities below the fixed conversion price. We had the option to redeem the convertible notes for cash at 120% of the face value. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 130% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). In addition, we extended registration rights to the holder that required registration and continuing effectiveness thereof; we are required to pay monthly liquidating damages of 2.0% for defaults under this provision.

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to the anti-dilution protection, and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. We also determined that the warrants did not meet the conditions for equity classification because these instruments did not meet all of the criteria necessary for equity classification. Therefore, the warrants are also required to be carried as a derivative liability, at fair value.

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. As a result of these estimates, our valuation model resulted in compound derivative balances of \$-0-and \$159,250 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet. As of June 30, 2005, all warrants related to the financing had been fully converted.

F-23

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$660,000 convertible note financing:

Derivative income (expense)	Three month ended June 30, 200	ended	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	(\$22,750)	(\$3,139,950)	(\$9 <b>,</b> 750)	(\$3,002,235)
Warrant derivative	\$	\$	\$	\$ 61,800

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with the remaining compound instruments.

The aforementioned allocations to the compound and warrant derivatives resulted in the discount in the carrying value of the notes. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, were amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to approximately \$-0- and \$78,776, respectively.

#### (g) \$1,008,000 Convertible Note Financing:

On June 29, 2004, we issued \$1,008,000 of 10.0% convertible notes payable, due April 30, 2006, plus warrants to purchase 3,200,000 and 8,000,000 shares of our common stock at \$0.25 and \$2.00, respectively, for a periods of five years. Net proceeds from this financing arrangement amounted to \$679,000. We had an outstanding balance of \$168,000 and \$187,760 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively on this note. The convertible notes were convertible into a fixed number of our common shares based upon a conversion price of \$0.15 with anti-dilution protection for sales of securities below the fixed conversion price. We had the option to redeem the convertible notes for cash at 120% of the face value. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 130% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). In addition, we extended registration rights to the holder that required registration and continuing effectiveness thereof; we are required to pay monthly liquidating damages of 2.0% for defaults under this provision.

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to the anti-dilution protection; and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not

associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. We also determined that the warrants did not meet the conditions for equity classification because these instruments did not meet all of the criteria necessary for equity classification. Therefore, the warrants are also required to be carried as a derivative liability, at fair value.

F-24

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet. As of December 31, 2005, all warrants related to the financing had been fully converted.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the \$1,008,000 convertible note financing:

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	(\$84,602)	(\$2,832,614)	(\$69 <b>,</b> 675)	(\$2,810,435)
Warrant derivative		(7,341,300)		(7,140,800)

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with these instruments.

The aforementioned allocations to the compound and warrant derivatives resulted in the discount in the carrying value of the notes. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, were amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 amounted to approximately \$0 and \$432,759, respectively.

(h) \$360,000 Convertible Note Financing:

On April 21, 2005, we issued \$360,000, six-month-term, 10% convertible notes payable, due October 31, 2005. Net proceeds for this financing transaction amounted to \$277,488. The notes were convertible into shares of common stock at a fixed conversion rate of \$0.20, with anti-dilution protection for sales of securities below the fixed conversion price. The holder converted the notes on September 30, 2005. We had the option to redeem the notes payable for cash at 120% of the face value. The holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 130% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put").

In our evaluation of this instrument, we concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to the anti-dilution protection afforded the holder and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Therefore, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that was carried as a component of derivative liabilities through the date of conversion.

F-25

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We allocated the initial proceeds from the financing first to the compound derivative instrument in the amount of \$113,925 and the balance to the debt host instrument. We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the compound derivative arising from the \$360,000 convertible notes payable.

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	 ===	(\$1,464,750)	 ===	(\$1,464,750)

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our

financial instruments. Since the instrument was converted on September 30, 2005, there will be no future charges or credits to derivative income (expense) associated with this instrument.

The above allocations resulted in a discount to the carrying value of the notes amounting to approximately \$173,925. This discount, along with related deferred finance costs and future interest payments, are being amortized through periodic charges to interest expense using the effective method. Interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2005 amounted to approximately \$52,000.

Derivative warrant fair values are calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique. Significant assumptions as of June 30, 2006, corresponding to each of the above financings (by paragraph reference) are as follows:

	5(a)	5(b)	5(c)	5(d)	5(e)	5(f)
Trading market price	\$ 0.61	\$0.61	\$0.61	\$0.61	\$0.61	\$0.61
Strike price	\$.05\$1.00	\$.129	\$ .10	\$ .15	\$ .15	\$ .15
Volatility	1489	132%	136%	136%	136%	142%
Risk-free rate	3.259	3.83%	3.30%	3.57%	3.39%	3.45%
Remaining term/life (years)	.42	4.13	3.0	3.5	3.33	2.75

Our stock prices have been highly volatile. Future fair value changes are significantly influenced by our trading common stock prices. As previously discussed herein, changes in fair value of derivative financial instruments are reflected in earnings.

F-26

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 6. Preferred Stock

Our articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. We have designated this authorized preferred stock, as follows:

#### (a) Series H Preferred Stock:

We have designated 350,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series H Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and liquidation value of \$10.00 per share. Series H Preferred Stock has cumulative dividend rights at 7.0% of the stated amount, ranks senior to common stock and is non-voting. It is also convertible into our common stock at a fixed conversion price of \$0.40 per common share. The Series H Preferred Stock is mandatorily redeemable for common stock on the fifth anniversary of its issuance. We have the option to redeem the Series H Preferred Stock for cash at 135% of the stated value. The holder has the option to redeem the Series H Preferred Stock for cash at 140% of the stated value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, listing of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put").

Based upon our evaluation of the terms and conditions of the Series H Preferred Stock, we concluded that it was more akin to a debt instrument than an equity instrument, which means that our accounting conclusions are based upon those related to a traditional debt security, and that it should afforded the conventional convertible exemption regarding the embedded conversion feature because the conversion price is fixed. Therefore, we are not required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a liability. However, we concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt-type instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated with debt-type instruments. In addition, due to the default and contingent redemption features of the Series H Preferred Stock, we classified this instrument as redeemable preferred stock, outside of stockholders' equity.

Between December 2001 and March 2002, we issued 175,500 shares of Series H Preferred Stock for cash of \$1,755,000, plus warrants to purchase an aggregate of 4,387,500 shares of common stock at \$0.50 for five years. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, 63,500 and 64,500 shares of preferred stock remain outstanding; all of the warrants remain outstanding. We allocated \$1,596,228 of the proceeds from the Series H Preferred financings to the warrants at their fair values because the warrants did not meet all of the conditions necessary for equity classification and, accordingly, are carried as derivative liabilities, at fair value. We also allocated \$134,228 to the Default Puts which, as described above are carried as derivative liabilities, at fair value. Finally, we recorded derivative expense of \$9,666 because one of the financings did not result in sufficient proceeds to record the derivative financial instruments at fair values on the inception date.

We estimated the fair value of the derivative warrants on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation technique. As a result of applying this technique, our valuation of the derivative warrants amounted to \$840,269 and \$1,264,109 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. We estimated the fair value of the Default Puts on the inception dates, and subsequently, using a cash flow technique that involves probability-weighting multiple outcomes at net present values. Significant assumptions underlying the probability-weighted outcomes included both our history of similar default events, all available information about our business plans that could give rise to or risk defaults and the imminence of impending or current defaults. As a result of these subjective estimates, our valuation model resulted in Default Put balances associated with the Series H Preferred Stock of \$502,451 and \$381,377 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet. The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the Default Puts on the Series H Preferred Stock.

F - 27

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Three months Three months Six months Six months ended ended Ended ended

Derivative income (expense) June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005

	=======	====	======	========	====	======
Derivative Warrants	\$ 95,936	(\$2,	775,061)	\$423 <b>,</b> 839	(\$2,	708,401)
Default Put	(\$106 <b>,</b> 089)	(\$	2,064)	(\$121 <b>,</b> 074)	(\$	4,127)

Derivative income (expense) related to the Default Put includes changes to the fair value arising from changes in our estimates about the probability of default events and amortization of the time-value element embedded in our calculations. Higher derivative expense in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, when compared to the same periods of 2005, reflected the increased probability that the Default Put would become exercisable because we would not timely file certain reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In fact, we ultimately did not file our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB. While the Default Put became exercisable at that time, the holders of the Series H Preferred Stock did not exercise their right prior to curing the event. There can be no assurances that the holders of the Series H Preferred Stock would not exercise their rights should further defaults arise.

The discounts to the Series H Preferred Stock that resulted from the aforementioned allocations are being accreted through periodic charges to paid-in capital using the effective method. The following table illustrates the components of preferred stock dividends and accretions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Cumulative dividends at 7% Accretions	\$11,115 40,388	\$ 28,088 413,269	\$ 22,225 79,562	\$ 56,175 502,617
	\$51,503 ======	\$441,357 ======	\$101,787 ======	\$558 <b>,</b> 792

As of June 30, 2006, \$386,100 of cumulative dividends are in arrears on Series H Preferred Stock.

#### (b) Series J Preferred Stock:

We have designated 500,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series J Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and liquidation value of \$10.00 per share. Series J Preferred Stock has cumulative dividend rights at 8.0% of the stated amount, ranks senior to common stock and is non-voting. It is also convertible into our common stock at a conversion price of \$0.20 per common share. The Series J Preferred Stock is mandatorily redeemable for common stock on the fifth anniversary of its issuance. We have the option to redeem the Series J Preferred Stock for cash at 135% of the stated value. The holder has the option to redeem the Series J Preferred Stock for cash at 140% of the stated value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put").

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Based upon our evaluation of the terms and conditions of the Series J Preferred Stock, we concluded that its features were more akin to a debt instrument than an equity instrument, which means that our accounting conclusions are generally based upon standards related to a traditional debt security. Our evaluation concluded that the embedded conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to certain variability in the conversion price, and it further did not meet the conditions for equity classification. Therefore, we are required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a liability. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt-type instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt-type instruments. We combined all embedded features that required bifurcation into one compound instrument that is carried as a component of derivative liabilities. In addition, due to the default and contingent redemption features of the Series J Preferred Stock, we classified this instrument as redeemable preferred stock, outside of stockholders' equity.

In September 2002, February 2003 and May 2003 we issued 100,000 shares, 50,000 shares and 50,000 shares, respectively, of Series J Preferred Stock for cash of \$2,000,000. We also issued warrants for an aggregate of 14,000,000 shares of our common stock in connection with the financing arrangement. The warrants have terms of five years and an exercise price of \$0.25. We initially allocated proceeds of \$658,000 and \$1,190,867 from the financing arrangements to the compound derivative discussed above and to the warrants, respectively. Since these instruments did not meet the criteria for classification, they are required to be carried as derivative liabilities, at fair value.

We estimated the fair value of the compound derivative on the inception dates, and subsequently, using the Monte Carlo Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including credit risk, interest risk, stock price volatility and conversion estimates) that are necessary to fair value complex derivative instruments. We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the inceptions dates, and subsequently, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Valuation technique, because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants. As a result of these estimates, our valuation model resulted in compound derivative balances associated with the Series J Preferred Stock of \$6,014,000 and \$5,628,000 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet.

The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the derivative financial instruments associated with the Series J  $Preferred\ Stock$ .

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Compound derivative	(\$1,400,000)	(\$8,260,000)	(\$476 <b>,</b> 000)	(\$8,036,000)

Warrant derivative

(\$ --)

--) (\$5,819,200)

\$ --======= (\$5,651,200)

Changes in the fair value of the compound derivative and, therefore, derivative income (expense) related to the compound derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock price and the credit risk associated with our financial instruments. The fair value of the warrant derivative is significantly affected by changes in our trading stock prices. Future changes in these underlying market conditions will have a continuing effect on derivative income (expense) associated with these instruments.

F-29

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The discounts to the Series J Preferred Stock that resulted from the aforementioned allocations are being accreted through periodic charges to paid-in capital using the effective method. The following table illustrates the components of preferred stock dividends and accretions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Cumulative dividends at 8% Accretions	\$ 40,000 158,397	\$ 40,000 88,346	\$ 80,000 295,281	\$ 80,000 164,694
	\$198,397	\$128,346 ======	\$375 <b>,</b> 281	\$244 <b>,</b> 694

As of June 30, 2006, \$560,000 of cumulative dividends are in arrears on Series J Preferred Stock.

#### (c) Series K Preferred Stock:

We have designated 500,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series K Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and liquidation value of \$10.00 per share. Series K Preferred Stock has cumulative dividend rights at 8.0% of the stated amount, ranks senior to common stock and is non-voting. It is also convertible into our common stock at a fixed conversion price of \$0.10 per common share. The Series K Preferred Stock is mandatorily redeemable for common stock on the fifth anniversary of its issuance. We have the option to redeem the Series K Preferred Stock for cash at 120% of the stated value. The holder has the option to redeem the Series K Preferred Stock for cash at 140% of the stated value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, listing of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put").

Based upon our evaluation of the terms and conditions of the Series K Preferred

Stock, we concluded that it was more akin to a debt instrument than an equity instrument, which means that our accounting conclusions are based upon those related to a traditional debt security, and that it should afforded the conventional convertible exemption regarding the embedded conversion feature because the conversion price is fixed. Therefore, we are not required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a liability. However, we concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt-type instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt-type instruments. In addition, due to the default and contingent redemption features of the Series K Preferred Stock, we classified this instrument as redeemable preferred stock, outside of stockholders' equity.

In March 2004, we issued 80,000 shares of Series K Preferred Stock for cash of \$800,000. In April 2004, we issued 15,000 shares of Series K Preferred Stock to extinguish debt with a carrying value of \$150,000. At the time of these issuances, the trading market price of our common stock exceeded the fixed conversion price and, as a result, we allocated \$160,000 and \$60,000 from the March and April issuances, respectively, to stockholders' equity which amount represented a beneficial conversion feature. In addition, we recorded a debt extinguishment loss of \$60,000 in connection with the April exchange of Series K Preferred Stock for debt because we estimated that it had a fair value that exceeded the carrying value of the extinguished debt by that amount. Finally, we allocated approximately \$59,000 and \$11,000 to the Default Puts, representing fair values, in connection with the March and April issuances, respectively.

F-30

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We estimated the fair value of the Default Puts on the inception dates, and subsequently, using a cash flow technique that involves probability-weighting multiple outcomes at net present values. Significant assumptions underlying the probability-weighted outcomes included both our history of similar default events, all available information about our business plans that could give rise to or risk defaults, and the imminence of impending or current defaults. As a result of these subjective estimates, our valuation model resulted in Default Put balances associated with the Series K Preferred Stock of \$272,250 and \$206,200 as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in Derivative Liabilities on our balance sheet. The following table illustrates fair value adjustments that we have recorded related to the Default Puts on the Series K Preferred Stock.

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Derivative income (expense)	(\$62,912)	(\$1,256)	(\$66,050)	(\$2,513)
	=====	=====	=====	======

Derivative income (expense) related to the Default Put includes changes to the fair value arising from changes in our estimates about the probability of

default events and amortization of the time-value element embedded in our calculations. Higher derivative expense in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, when compared to the same periods of 2005, reflected the increased probability that the Default Put would become exercisable because we would not timely file certain reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In fact, we ultimately did not file our Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB. While the Default Put became exercisable at that time, the holders of the Series K Preferred Stock did not exercise their right prior to curing the event. There can be no assurances that the holders of the Series K Preferred Stock would not exercise their rights should further defaults arise.

The discounts to the Series K Preferred Stock that resulted from the aforementioned allocations are being accreted through periodic charges to paid-in capital using the effective method. The following table illustrates the components of preferred stock dividends and accretions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Cumulative dividends at 8% Accretions	\$19,000 11,186	\$19,000 10,519	\$38,000 22,201	\$38,000 20,878
	\$30,186 =====	\$29 <b>,</b> 519	\$60,201 =====	\$58,878 ======

As of June 30, 2006, \$171,000 of cumulative dividends are in arrears on Series K Preferred Stock.

(d) Other Preferred Stock Designations and Financings:

Series A Preferred: We have designated 500,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. There were no Series A Preferred Stock outstanding during the periods presented.

Series B Preferred: We have designated 1,260,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series B Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and liquidation value of \$1.00 per share. Series B Preferred has cumulative dividend rights of 9.0%, ranks senior to common stock and has voting rights equal to the number of common shares into which it may be converted. Series B Preferred is convertible into common on a share for share basis. Based upon our evaluation of the terms and conditions of the Series B Preferred Stock, we have concluded that it meets all of the requirements for equity classification. We have 107,440 shares of Series B Preferred outstanding as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

F-31

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Series D Preferred: We have designated 165,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series D Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and liquidation

value of \$10 per share. Series D Preferred has cumulative dividend rights of 6.0%, ranks senior to common stock and is non-voting. There are no shares of Series D Preferred Stock outstanding during any of the periods reported in this quarterly report. However, we continue to have 611,250 warrants outstanding that were issued in connection with the original Series D Preferred Stock Financing arrangement.

Series F Preferred: We have designated 200,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series F Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and liquidation value of \$10 per share. There were 5,248 shares of Series F Preferred Stock outstanding as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. Series F Preferred is non-voting and convertible into common stock at a variable conversion price equal to the lower of \$0.60 or 75% of the trading prices near the conversion date. In addition, the holder has the option to redeem the convertible notes payable for cash at 125% of the face value in the event of defaults and certain other contingent events, including events related to the common stock into which the instrument is convertible, registration and listing (and maintenance thereof) of our common stock and filing of reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Default Put"). We concluded that the conversion feature was not afforded the exemption as a conventional convertible instrument due to variable conversion feature and it did not otherwise meet the conditions for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, we were required to bifurcate the embedded conversion feature and carry it as a derivative liability, at fair value. We also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt-type instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events, noted above, that are not associated debt-type instruments. These two derivative features were combined into one compound derivative instrument. In addition, due to the default and contingent redemption features of the Series F Preferred Stock, we classified this instrument as redeemable preferred stock, outside of stockholders' equity.

Series I Preferred: We have designated 200,000 shares of our preferred stock as Series I Convertible Preferred Stock with a stated and liquidation value of \$10.00 per share. Series I Preferred has cumulative dividend rights at 8.0% of the stated value, ranks senior to common stock and is non-voting. Series I Preferred is convertible into a variable number of common shares at the lower conversion price of \$0.40 or 75% of the trading market price. There were no Series I Preferred Stock outstanding as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. However, we had 30,000 shares outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2005. We accounted for Series I Preferred Stock while it was outstanding as an instrument that was more akin to a debt instrument. We also bifurcated the embedded conversion feature and freestanding warrants issued with the financing and carried these amounts as derivative liabilities, at fair value. The table below reflects derivative income and (expense) associated with changes in the fair value of this derivative financial instrument.

The following table summarized derivative income (expense) related to compound derivatives and freestanding warrant derivatives that arose in connection with the preferred stock transactions discussed above.

Derivative income (expense)	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Three months ended June 30, 2005	Six months Ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2005
Series D Preferred: Warrant derivative	(\$40 <b>,</b> 272)	(\$1,009,480)	(\$6,205)	(\$977 <b>,</b> 522)
Series F Preferred:	, , ,	, , , ,	, , ,	, ,
Compound derivative	(11,490)	(279 <b>,</b> 306)	(6 <b>,</b> 187)	(122,124)

Warrant derivative	54,708	(3,461,437)	112,573	(3,351,857)
Series I Preferred:				
Compound derivative		(73,520)		(69,620)
Warrant derivative		(309,292)		(250,482)
	\$2,946	(\$5,133,035)	\$100,181	(\$4,771,605)
	=======	========	=======	========

F-32

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### Note 7. - Share Based Payments

We have adopted certain incentive share-based plans that provide for the grant of up to 10,397,745 stock options to our directors, officers and key employees. As of June 30, 2006, there were 660,655 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our stock plans. Options granted under plans prior to May, 2005 are fully vested. Subsequent options granted are under plans which become exercisable over two years in equal annual installments with the first third exercisable on grant date, provided that the individual is continuously employed by us. We did not grant options during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Financial Accounting Standard 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payments ("FAS 123(R)") which is a revision of FAS No. 123, using the modified prospective method. Under this method, compensation cost recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2006 includes compensation cost for all share-based payments modified or granted prior to but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of FAS No. 123. Compensation cost is being recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R.

As we had previously adopted the fair-value provisions of FAS No. 123, effective January 1, 2005, the adoption of FAS 123(R) had a negligible impact on our earnings. We recorded compensation costs of \$111,365 and \$222,957 for the second quarter and first half of 2006, respectively, and \$551,810 for the second quarter and first half of 2005. We recognized no tax benefit for share-based compensation arrangements due to the fact that we are in a cumulative loss position and recognize no tax benefits in our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

As required by FAS 123(R), we estimate forfeitures of employee stock options and recognize compensation cost only for those awards expected to vest. Forfeiture rates are determined for two groups of employees – directors / officers and key employees based on historical experience. We adjust estimated forfeitures to actual forfeiture experience as needed. The cumulative effect of adopting FAS 123(R) of \$17,000, which represents estimated forfeitures for options outstanding at the date of adoption, was not material and therefore has been recorded as a reduction of our stock-based compensation costs in Selling and General and Administrative expenses expense rather than displayed separately as a cumulative change in accounting principle in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) had no effect on cash flow from operating activities or cash flow from financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

F-33

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We estimate the fair value of each stock option on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM) option-pricing formula, applying the following assumptions and amortize that value to expense over the option's vesting period using the straight-line attribution approach:

	Second Quarter 2006 *	Six Months ended 2006 *	Second Quarter 2005	Six Months ended 2005
Expected Term (in years)	n/a	n/a	6	6
Risk-free rate	n/a	n/a	5.01%	5.01%
Expected volatility	n/a	n/a	141%	141%
Expected dividends	n/a	n/a	0%	0%

<sup>\*</sup> No options were granted for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Expected Term: The expected term represents the period over which the share-based awards are expected to be outstanding. It has been determined as the midpoint between the vesting date and the end of the contractual term.

Risk-Free Interest Rate: We based the risk-free interest rate used in our assumptions on the implied yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equivalent to the stock option award's expected term.

Expected Volatility: The volatility factor used in our assumptions is based on the historical price of our stock over the most recent period commensurate with the expected term of the stock option award.

Expected Dividend Yield: We do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, we use a dividend yield of zero in our assumptions.

A summary of option activity under the stock incentive plans for the six months ended June 30, 2006 is presented below:

Exercised

Options	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2005 Granted	10,161,138 	\$0.30 \$0.00		

\$0.00

Forfeited	(33 <b>,</b> 333)	\$0.30		
Expired	(390,715)	\$0.29		
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	9,737,090	\$0.30	8.51	\$ 3,088,060
	=======	=====	====	========
Vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2006	9,355,365	\$0.30	8.50	\$ 2,993,924
	=======	=====	====	========
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	6,769,838	\$0.31	8.37	\$ 2,130,133

No options were granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006. The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the second quarter of 2005 was \$0.15. There were no exercises of options during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and the same period in 2005.

F - 34

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

At June 30, 2006, the Company had \$422,826 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of one year.

In May 2005, we extended the contractual life of 770,000 fully vested options held by two directors. As a result of that modification, we have recognized, on a restated basis, additional compensation expense of \$104,000 for the second quarter of 2005

Note 8. - Other Stockholders' Equity

#### (a) Issuances of Common Stock

During the period ended June 30, 2006, we issued 995,725 shares of common stock upon the conversion of certain of our convertible notes. These shares were issued pursuant to registration statements declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission in 2004 and 2005.

During the period ended June 30, 2006, we issued 3,500,000 shares of common stock upon the exercise of warrants associated with certain of our convertible notes. These shares were issued pursuant to registration statements declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission in 2004 and 2005.

During the period ended June 30, 2006, we issued 807,692 shares of common stock upon the cashless exercise of warrants associated with certain of our convertible preferred stock. These shares were issued to an accredited investor pursuant to Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933

During the period ended June 30, 2006, we issued 196,078 shares of our common stock in a private placement to an accredited investor, pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On May 12, 2006, we obtained financing in the amount \$2,500,000 and issued a promissory note in that principal amount to two accredited investors. We also issued five year warrants for 1,500,000 of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.80 per share in connection with this financing. The warrants and underlying common stock were issued pursuant to Regulation D

F - 3.5

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### (b) Outstanding Warrants

As of June 30, 2006, we had the following outstanding warrants:

			Warrants/	
		Expiration	Options	Exercise
Warrants	Grant date	date	Granted	Price
Series D Preferred Stock Financing	3/9/1999	11/17/2008	17 <b>,</b> 500	0.100
Series D Preferred Stock Financing	4/23/1999	11/17/2008	8 <b>,</b> 750	0.100
Series D Preferred Stock Financing	2/1/2000	11/17/2008	130,000	0.100
Series D Preferred Stock Financing	2/1/2000	11/17/2008	455,000	0.100
Series F Preferred Stock Financing	10/13/2000	11/17/2008	38 <b>,</b> 259	0.100
Series H Preferred Stock Financing	12/5/2001	12/4/2006	2,637,500	0.500
Series H Preferred Stock Financing	1/30/2002	1/30/2007	375 <b>,</b> 000	0.500
Series H Preferred Stock Financing	2/15/2002	2/14/2007	125,000	0.500
Series H Preferred Stock Financing	3/18/2002	3/17/2007	1,250,000	0.500
January 2005 Convertible Debt Financing	11/20/2003	11/20/2008	2,000,000	0.050
Warrant to Licensor (also see (c), below)	6/20/2005	6/19/2007	1,000,000	0.050
Warrant to Consultant	4/8/2005	4/7/2007	1,000,000	0.250
Warrant to Distributor	8/30/2005	8/29/2008	30,000,000	0.360
November 2005 Common Stock Financing	11/28/2005	11/27/2010	15,667,188	0.800
November 2005 Common Stock Financing	11/28/2005	11/27/2010	1,012,500	0.500
May 2006 Debt Financing	5/12/2006	5/11/2011	1,500,000	0.800
Other Financings	12/27/2001	2/28/2007	25,000	0.400
Tabal Manuarta				
Total Warrants			57,241,697 ======	

Certain conversion features in our debt and preferred stock are indexed to a variable number of common shares based upon our trading stock price. Accordingly, in the event of stock price declines, we may have insufficient shares to share-settle all of our contracts that are convertible into or exercisable for common stock. As a result, current accounting standards require us to assume that we would not have sufficient authorized shares to settle these other warrants and, therefore, reclassify other warrants and contracts that were otherwise carried in stockholders' equity to derivative liabilities. Such warrants and contracts that required reclassification were indexed to 48,679,688 and 47,679,688 shares of our common stock as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, We are not required to reclassify certain exempt contracts and employee stock options, so those items are not included in this caption. Derivative income (expense) associated with these other warrants are summarized in the following table.

Three months Three months Six months Six months

	ended	ended	Ended	ended
Derivative income (expense)	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005
Warrant derivative	(\$2,628,946)	(\$3,668,176)	\$1,074,197	(\$3,563,901)

(c) Warrants issued in Settlement: During the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006 we settled a legal dispute with a licensor that resulted in the extension of the term by one year on 1,000,000 warrants previously issued to the licensor. We accounted for this extension as a reissuance and remeasurement of the warrants, which resulted in a charge to our income of \$552,600. We revalued the warrants using the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model.

F-36

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 9- Commitments and Contingencies

Lease of Office

We lease office space, used for our corporate offices in Florida, under an operating lease that expires October 31, 2015. Future non-cancelable minimum rental payments required under the operating lease as of June 30, 2006 are as follows:

	Amount
Six months ending December 31, 2006	\$46,433
Years ending December 31,	
2007	92 <b>,</b> 868
2008	92 <b>,</b> 868
2009	92 <b>,</b> 868
2010	92,868

Rent expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 amounted to \$31,855 and \$69,761; and, rent expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 amounted to \$22,404 and \$44,704.

#### Royalties:

We license trademarks and trade dress from certain Licensors for use on our products. Royalty advances are payable against earned royalties on a negotiated basis for these licensed intellectual property rights. The table below identifies each Licensor to which our licenses require advance payments and, in addition, reflects the term of the respective licenses as well as the advance royalties remaining to be paid on such negotiated advance royalty payments, as of June 30, 2006. We currently are in default of our guaranteed royalty payments to Marvel Enterprises on our license for the United Kingdom by the aggregate advance remaining listed below for Marvel (UK)

		Aggregate Advance
Licensor:	Term	Remaining
Marvel (UK)	Two years	\$ 120,960

Masterfoods Six years 2,430,000 Diabetes Research Institute One year 2,500

Employment Contacts

Our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Warren, has a two-year employment contract, expiring October 2007, that provides a base salary of \$300,000, plus a bonus of one quarter percent (0.25%) of net revenue and normal corporate benefits. This contract has a minimum two-year term plus a severance package upon change of control based on base salary.

Officers Toulan, Patipa, Edwards and Kee have employment contracts with base salaries aggregating \$710,000 annually, plus discretionary bonuses and normal corporate benefits. These contracts have minimum two-year terms plus severance packages upon change of control based on base salary.

F-37

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Kaplan, has an employment contract, expiring November 2008, that provides a base salary of \$180,000 for year one, \$200,000 for year two and \$220,000 for year three, plus discretionary bonuses and normal corporate benefits. This contract has a minimum three-year term plus a severance package upon change of control based on base salary

#### Marketing Commitments

Coca-Cola Enterprises ("CCE"). In August 2005, we executed a Master Distribution Agreement with CCE. Pursuant to this agreement, we are contractually obligated to spend an aggregate of \$5,000,000 on marketing activities in 2005 and 2006 for our products that are distributed by CCE. Beginning in 2007, we are further obligated to spend an amount annually in each country within a defined territory equal or greater than 3% of our total CCE revenues in such territory (on a country by country basis). Such national and local advertising for our products includes actively marketing the Slammers mark, based on a plan to be mutually agreed each year. We are required to maintain our intellectual property rights necessary for the production, marketing and distribution of our products by CCE.

During the period commencing at the inception of the CCE agreement through the period ended June 30, 2006, we have spent \$1.6\$ million on marketing activities pursuant to our agreement with CCE.

### Note 10. Restatement

Our statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, our statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and our balance sheet as of June 30, 2006 have been restated to reflect our estimation of liquidating damages related to certain registration rights agreements entered into in connection with certain of our financing transactions applying Financial Accounting Standard No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. We previously reported our liquidated damages expenses as they had been incurred.

The following tables reflect the significant elements of statements of operations that were restated:

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	
Net income (loss), as reported Liquidated damages expense	(\$13,104,020) (1,822,501)	(\$12,773,188) (2,430,000)
Net income (loss), as restated	(\$14,926,521) =======	
	Three months ended June 30, 2006	June 30, 2006
Loss applicable to common shareholders, as reported Liquidated damages expense	(\$13,386,497) (1,822,501)	(2,430,000)
Loss applicable to common shareholders, as restated	(\$15,208,998) =======	(\$15,744,448) =======
	Three months ended June 30, 2006	June 30, 2006
<pre>Income (loss) per common share, basic and   diluted as reported   Liquidated damages expense</pre>	(\$0.07) (0.01)	(\$0.07) (0.01)
<pre>Income (loss) per common share, as restated</pre>	(\$0.08)	(\$0.08) =====

F-38

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2006
Comprehensive income (loss), as reported	(\$13,081,891)	(\$12,751,749)
Comprehensive income (loss), as restated	(\$14,904,392)	(\$15,181,749) =======

The following table reflects the significant elements of our balance sheet at June 30, 2006 that were restated:

	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Redeemable Preferred Stock	Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
As reported Adjustments:	\$23,324,420	\$(53,724,920)	\$(2,491,545)	\$(32,892,045)
Accrued liabilities		(2,733,751)		(2,733,751)
As restated	\$23,324,420	\$ (56, 458, 671)	\$(2,491,545)	\$ (35,625,796)

#### Note 11- Subsequent Events

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we issued 2,000,000 shares of common stock pursuant to an exercise of a warrant associated with our November 2003 convertible note financing. The common stock underlying these notes was registered pursuant to a registration statement declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission in 2004.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we issued 1,444,453 shares of common stock upon the cashless exercise of warrants associated with certain of our convertible preferred stock. These shares were issued to accredited investors pursuant to Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we issued 168,937 shares of common stock pursuant to a conversion of a convertible note. The shares of common stock underlying the preferred were issued pursuant to a registration statement declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission in 2004.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we issued 250,000 shares of common stock pursuant to a conversion of our Series H preferred stock. The shares of common stock underlying the preferred were issued pursuant to Regulation D.

Subsequent to June 30, 2006, we issued 83,121 shares of our common stock in a private placement, pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, which is an accredited investor.

F-39

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

On July 27, 2006, we entered into definitive agreements to sell \$30 million senior convertible notes that are due in 2010 to several institutional and accredited investors in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933. The notes initially carry a 9% coupon, payable quarterly and are convertible into shares of common stock at \$0.70 per share. In 2007, the coupon may decline to LIBOR upon the Company achieving certain financial milestones. The notes will begin to amortize in equal, bi-monthly payments beginning in mid-2007. We concurrently issued warrants to purchase 12,857,143 shares of common stock at \$0.73 per share that expire in July 2011 to the

investors in the private placement. Under the terms of the financing, we will sell \$30 million notes, of which \$15.0 million of the notes will be held in escrow. The release of the escrowed funds will be subject to stockholder approval. We intend to file a proxy statement seeking such shareholder approval as soon as practical. As a result of our failure to file our June 30, 2006 Form 10QSB timely, an event of default has occurred under the terms of the Notes, and the interest rate on the Notes, payable quarterly, was increased from 9% to 14% per annum. Pursuant to the terms of the Notes, upon the occurrence of an event of default, holders of the Notes may, upon written notice to the Company, each require the Company to redeem all or any portion of their Notes, at a default redemption price calculated pursuant to the terms of the Notes. We have entered into an Amendment Agreement with the holders of the Notes to amend the Notes in certain respects as consideration for the holders' release of the Company's default resulting from its delay in the filing of this quarterly report. See Item 3 of Part II of this report, entitled "Default on Senior Securities", for a description of the terms of the Amendment Agreement.

F - 40

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-42
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F - 43
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss	F - 45
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-46
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit	F-48
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-40

F - 41

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders Bravo! Foods International Corp.
North Palm Beach, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Bravo! Foods International Corp. as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining,

on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bravo! Foods International Corp. as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As shown in the financial statements, the Company incurred a net loss of \$79,528,653 for the year ended December 31, 2005 and as of that date had a working capital deficiency of \$39,287,983. The Company is also delinquent in payment of certain debts. These conditions raise substantial doubt about their ability to continue as a going concern. Management's actions in regard to these matters are more fully described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets, or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary in the event the Company cannot continue in existence.

As more fully described in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the years then ended, have been restated to reflect the proper accounting for certain transactions.

/s/ Lazar Levine & Felix LLP

New York, New York
February 9, 2006, except for Note 13
as to which the date is September 8, 2006

F - 42

#### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,	
	2005 (Restated)	2004 (Restated)
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,947,986	\$ 113,888
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$350,000 and \$90,396 for 2005 and		
2004, respectively	3,148,841	51,968
Inventories	391 <b>,</b> 145	11,656
Prepaid expenses	973,299	387,866
Total current assets	9,461,271	,
Fixed assets	288,058	111,206

	=========	========
Total assets	\$28,358,120	\$1,095,808
Other assets	15,231	342,186
Intangible assets, net	18,593,560	77,038

See accompanying notes

F-43

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Liabilities, Redeemable Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Deficit

Current liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued liabilities Notes payable Convertible notes payable Derivative liabilities	\$ 5,987,219 4,872,277 937,743 1,012,780 35,939,235	\$
Current and total liabilities	 48,749,254	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Redeemable preferred stock:  Series F convertible, par value \$0.001 per share, 200,000 shares designated Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 5,248 and 55,515 shares issued and outstanding Series H convertible, par value \$0.001 per share, 350,000 shares	52 <b>,</b> 480	
designated, 7% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 64,500 and 165,500 shares issued and outstanding Series I convertible, par value \$0.001 per share, 200,000 shares designated, 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 0 and 30.000 shares issued and outstanding.	388,305	
\$10.00 per share, 0 and 30,000 shares issued and outstanding Series J, par value \$0.001 per share, 500,000 shares designated, 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share, 200,000 shares issued and outstanding Series K, par value \$0.001 per share, 500,000 shares designated, 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$10.00 per share,	871 <b>,</b> 043	
95,000 shares issued and outstanding	 792 <b>,</b> 672	
Total redeemable preferred stock	 2,104,500	
Stockholders' Deficit: Preferred stock, 5,000,000 shares authorized Series B convertible, par value \$0.001 per share, 1,260,000 shares designated, 9% Convertible Preferred Stock, stated value \$1.00 per share, 107,440 shares issued and outstanding Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, 300,000,000 shares authorized, 184,253,753 and 57,793,501 shares issued and outstanding Additional paid-in capital Common stock subscription receivable	107,440 184,254,501 96,507,932 (10,000)	

21

1

Accumulated deficit Translation adjustment	(119,254,501) (30,759)	(38
Total stockholders' deficit	(22,495,634)	(17
Total liabilities, redeemable preferred stock and stockholders' deficit	\$ 28,358,120 ========	\$ 1 ====

See accompanying notes

F - 44

### BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

	Years ended December 31,			
			2005 20	
		ated)		
Revenues	\$ 11,9	48,921	\$ 3	3,344,699
Product costs	(8 <b>,</b> 9	38,692)	(2	2,374,805)
Shipping costs	(1,5	05,035)		(498,313)
Gross margin				471,581
Operating expenses:				
Selling				1,300,673
General and administrative				2,677,061
Product development				206,129
Non-recurring finder's fee		000,000		
Loss from operations Other income (expenses), net:				3,712,282)
Derivative income (expense)	(60.8	23 5741	( )	6,309,933)
Interest	(00,0	67 2011	( )	1 435 405)
Other	(1,0	25 273	( -	1,435,405)
Liquidated damages				(60 <b>,</b> 000)
Loss before income taxes				1,517,620)
Provision for income taxes				
Net loss				1,517,620)
Adjustments to net loss to arrive at loss applicable to common stockholders:	(13)	,20 <b>,</b> 000,	( -	1,017,020,
Preferred stock dividends	(3	36,300)		(388,632)
Accretion of preferred stock	(9	85,717)		(599,388)
Loss applicable to common stockholders	\$ (80,8	50,670)	\$(12	2,505,640) ======
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$	(0.60)	\$	(0.31)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding				0,229,738

Comprehensive loss and its components consist of the following:		
Net loss Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ (79,528,653) (30,759)	
Comprehensive loss	\$ (79,559,412)	\$ (11,518,309)

See accompanying notes

F-45

# BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years ended December 31,		
	2005 (Restated)	2004	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (79,528,653)	\$(11,517,620)	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	2,251,646	239,853	
Stock issuances for compensation		675 <b>,</b> 632	
Equity instruments to be issued for consulting expenses	1,472,261 798,869		
Options issued for compensation	798 <b>,</b> 869	153,631	
Bad debt expense	259 <b>,</b> 604	51,1/0	
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment		60,000	
Derivative expense	60,823,574	6,309,933	
Amortization of debt discount	1,428,638	1,175,245	
Loss on disposal of fixed assets		6,216	
Increase (decrease) in cash from changes in:			
Accounts receivable	(3,356,477)	(77,217)	
Other receivable		0,00=	
Inventories		43,339	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(586 <b>,</b> 764)	(214,094)	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	7,294,548	(542 <b>,</b> 282)	
Net cash used in operating activities	(9,301,078)	(3,629,863)	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Licenses and trademark costs	(3,823,521)	(452,311)	
Purchase of equipment	(220,144)		
Net cash used in investing activities		(531,263)	
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of preferred stock		950 <b>,</b> 000	
Exercise of warrants	3,208,509		
Proceeds from convertible notes payable		3,427,500	
Proceeds from sale of common stock and warrants		30,000	
Payments for redemption of warrants	(5,900,000)		

Payment of note payable Registration costs of financing	(500,000) (2,138,909)	, , ,
Net cash provided by financing activities	18,209,600	4,216,844
Effect of changes in exchange rate on cash	(30,759)	(689)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4,834,098 113,888	55,029 58,859
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 4,947,986 ========	\$ 113,888

See accompanying notes

F-46

# BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Supplemental Cash Flow Information	(R	2005 estated) 		
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$	10,741	\$ 51	,301
Cash paid for taxes	\$		==== \$	
Non-cash investing and financing activities: Purchase of intangible assets with derivative warrants	\$15	,960,531		<b>,</b> 538
Conversion of notes payable and accrued interest	\$20	,343,934	\$531	<b>,</b> 494
Conversion of redeemable preferred stock and related dividends	\$ 2	,644,326		,146
Exercise of derivative warrants	\$35	,230,018	\$	
Beneficial Conversion Feature	\$	  	\$220 ====	<b>,</b> 000

See accompanying notes

F - 47

BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

	Preferr	red Stock Common Stock		Common Stock		Acc
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid In Capital	De
Balance, January 1, 2004 (Restated) Issuance of common	107,440	\$107,44	0 28,047,542	\$ 28,045	\$18,430,875	\$ (2
stock for services Conversion redeemable preferred		_	9,332,300	9,332	666,300	
stock and dividends		-	- 15 <b>,</b> 897 <b>,</b> 701	15 <b>,</b> 898	1,553,713	
Conversion notes payable Private Placement		-	- 4,265,958	4,266	527 <b>,</b> 228	
financing Beneficial conversion		_	- 250 <b>,</b> 000	250	29,750	
feature		-			220,000	
Financing Costs		-			(40,656)	
Net loss for 2004		-				(1
Translation adjustment			 			
Balance, December 31, 2004 (Restated)	107,440	107,44	0 57,793,501	57 <b>,</b> 791	21,387,210	(3
Conversion redeemable preferred stock and dividends			- 9 <b>,</b> 245 <b>,</b> 352	9,247	2,659,079	
Exercise of warrants		_	32,474,792		38,406,052	
Conversion notes payable Private placement		-		41,249	20,302,685	
financing Common stock subscribed		-	- 40,950,000	40,950	20,649,050	
but not paid Stock issued for		-				
compensation		_	- 2,541,250	2,542	343,896	
Financing costs		_			(2,138,909)	
Stock option expense		_			798,869	
Redemption of warrants Accretion of preferred		-			(5,900,000)	
stock		_				
Net loss for 2005		_				(7
Translation adjustment		-	 			
Balance, December 31, 2005 (Restated)	107,440	\$107,44 =====	0 184,253,753			
	Common Subscri Receiv	Stock ption C	Accumulated Other omprehensive Loss	Total		
					_	
Balance, January 1, 2004 (Restated) Issuance of common	\$		689	(7,988,99	7)	
stock for services Conversion redeemable preferred				675,63	2	
stock and dividends				927,14	6	
Conversion notes payable Private Placement				531,49		
financing Beneficial conversion				30,00	0	
feature				220 - 00	n	

feature

220,000

Financing Costs			(40,656)
Net loss for 2004			(11,517,620)
Translation adjustment		(689)	(689)
Balance, December 31, 2004 (Restated)			(17,163,690)
Conversion redeemable			
preferred stock and dividends			2,644,326
Exercise of warrants			38,438,527
Conversion notes payable			20,343,934
Private placement			
financing			20,690,000
Common stock subscribed			
but not paid	(10,000)		(10,000)
Stock issued for			
compensation			346,438
Financing costs			(2,138,909)
Stock option expense			798 <b>,</b> 869
Redemption of warrants			(5,900,000)
Accretion of preferred			
stock			(985 <b>,</b> 717)
Net loss for 2005			(79,528,653)
Translation adjustment		(30,759)	
Balance, December 31, 2005 (Restated)	\$(10,000)	\$ (30,759)	\$ (22,495,634)
	=======	=======	=========

See accompanying notes

F - 48

## BRAVO! FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 -Nature of Business, Liquidity and Management's Plans and Significant Accounting Policies

#### Nature of Business:

We are engaged in the sale of flavored milk products and flavor ingredients in the United States, the United Kingdom, Central America and the Middle East, and we are establishing an infrastructure to conduct business in Canada.

#### Liquidity and Management's Plans:

As reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, we have incurred operating losses and negative cash flows from operations and have a working capital deficiency of \$39,287,983 as of December 31, 2005. In addition, we are delinquent on certain of our debt agreements at December 31, 2005, and we have experienced delays in filing our financial statements and registration statements due to errors in our historical accounting that have been corrected (See Note 13). Our inability to make these filings is resulting in our recognition of penalties to the investors, and these penalties will continue until we can complete our filings and register the common shares into which the investors' financial instruments are convertible. Finally, our revenues are significantly concentrated with one major customer. The loss of this customer or curtailment in business with this customer could have a material adverse affect on our business. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have been dependent upon third party financings as we execute our business model and plans. We completed a \$30.0 million convertible note financing in August 2006 that is expected to fulfill our liquidity requirements through the end of 2006. However, \$15.0 million of this financing is held in escrow, pending approval by our shareholders of an increase in our authorized shares of common stock. We were in default on this instrument due to the delay in filing our quarterly financial report for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006. As a result, an event of default has occurred under the terms of the Notes and the interest rate on the Notes, payable quarterly, was increased from 9% to 14% per annum. Pursuant to the terms of the Notes, upon the occurrence of an event of default, holders of the Notes may, upon written notice to the Company, each require the Company to redeem all or any portion of their Notes, at a default redemption price calculated pursuant to the terms of the Notes. During September 2006, we entered into an Amendment Agreement with the holders of the Notes to amend the Notes in certain respects as consideration for the holders' release of the Company's default resulting from its delay in the filing of our Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

We plan to increase our sales, improve our gross profit margins, augment our international business and, if necessary, obtain additional financing. Ultimately, our ability to continue is dependent upon the achievement of profitable operations. There is no assurance that further funding will be available at acceptable terms, if at all, or that we will be able to achieve profitability.

The accompanying