

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP
Form 10-K
February 26, 2007
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 001-32260

Westlake Chemical Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

2801 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 600

Houston, Texas 77056

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(713) 960-9111

76-0346924
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange, Inc. |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2006, the end of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, based on a closing price on that date of \$29.80 on the New York Stock Exchange was approximately \$570 million.

There were 65,270,526 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of February 20, 2007.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Certain information required by Part II and Part III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A with respect to the registrant's 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 18, 2007.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I | |
| Item | |
| 1) <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| 1A) <u>Risk Factors</u> | 9 |
| 1B) <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 18 |
| 2) <u>Properties</u> | 18 |
| 3) <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 20 |
| 4) <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 20 20 |
| PART II | |
| 5) <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 22 |
| 6) <u>Selected Financial and Operational Data</u> | 23 |
| 7) <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 26 |
| 7A) <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 40 |
| 8) <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 41 |
| 9) <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 82 |
| 9A) <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 82 |
| 9B) <u>Other Information</u> | 83 |
| PART III | |
| 10) <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 84 |
| 11) <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 84 |
| 12) <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 84 |
| 13) <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, Director Independence</u> | 84 |
| 14) <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 84 |
| PART IV | |
| 15) <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 85 |

Table of Contents

INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA

Industry and market data used throughout this Form 10-K were obtained through internal company research, surveys and studies conducted by unrelated third parties and industry and general publications, including information from Chemical Market Associates, Inc., or CMAI, Chemical Data, Inc. and the Freedonia Group. We have not independently verified market and industry data from external sources. While we believe internal company estimates are reliable and market definitions are appropriate, neither such estimates nor these definitions have been verified by any independent sources.

PRODUCTION CAPACITY

Unless we state otherwise, annual production capacity estimates used throughout this Form 10-K represent rated capacity of the facilities at December 31, 2006. We calculated rated capacity by estimating the number of days in a typical year that a production unit of a plant is expected to operate, after allowing for downtime for regular maintenance, and multiplying that number by an amount equal to the unit's optimal daily output based on the design feedstock mix. Because the rated capacity of a production unit is an estimated amount, actual production volumes may be more or less than the rated capacity.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

The body of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States is commonly referred to as GAAP. For this purpose, a non-GAAP financial measure is generally defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as one that purports to measure historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows, but excludes or includes amounts that would not be so adjusted in the most comparable GAAP measures. In this report, we disclose so-called non-GAAP financial measures, primarily EBITDA. EBITDA is calculated as net income before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. The non-GAAP financial measures described in this Form 10-K are not substitutes for the GAAP measures of earnings and cash flow.

EBITDA is included in this Form 10-K because our management considers it an important supplemental measure of our performance and believes that it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry, some of which present EBITDA when reporting their results. We regularly evaluate our performance as compared to other companies in our industry that have different financing and capital structures and/or tax rates by using EBITDA. In addition, we utilize EBITDA in evaluating acquisition targets. Management also believes that EBITDA is a useful tool for measuring our ability to meet our future debt service, capital expenditures and working capital requirements, and EBITDA is commonly used by us and our investors to measure our ability to service indebtedness. EBITDA is not a substitute for the GAAP measures of earnings or of cash flow and is not necessarily a measure of our ability to fund our cash needs. In addition, it should be noted that companies calculate EBITDA differently and, therefore, EBITDA as presented for us may not be comparable to EBITDA reported by other companies. EBITDA has material limitations as a performance measure because it excludes interest expense, depreciation and amortization, and income taxes.

Table of Contents**PART I****Item 1. Business
General**

We are a vertically integrated manufacturer and marketer of basic chemicals, vinyls, polymers and fabricated products. Our products include some of the most widely used chemicals in the world, which are fundamental to many diverse consumer and industrial markets, including flexible and rigid packaging, automotive products, coatings, residential and commercial construction as well as other durable and non-durable goods. We operate in two principal business segments, Olefins and Vinyls, and we are one of the few North American integrated producers of vinyls with substantial downstream integration into polyvinyl chloride, or PVC, fabricated products.

We began operations in 1986 after our first polyethylene plant, an Olefins segment business, near Lake Charles, Louisiana was acquired from Occidental Petroleum Corporation. We began our vinyls operations in 1990 with the acquisition of a vinyl chloride monomer, or VCM, plant in Calvert City, Kentucky from the Goodrich Corporation. In 1992, we commenced our Vinyls segment fabricated products operations after acquiring three PVC pipe plants. Since 1986, we have grown rapidly into an integrated producer of petrochemicals, polymers and fabricated products. We achieved this by acquiring 23 plants, constructing six new plants (including our joint venture in China) and completing numerous capacity or production line expansions. On November 30, 2006, we acquired Eastman Chemical Company's (Eastman) polyethylene and Epolene® polymers business, related assets and a 200 mile, ten inch pipeline from Mont Belvieu, Texas to Longview, Texas, all of which are located in Longview, Texas (Longview facilities). The polyethylene business and associated operating facilities have a capacity of 1,125 million pounds per year of polyethylene.

We benefit from highly integrated production facilities that allow us to process raw materials into higher value-added chemicals and fabricated products. We have 10.9 billion pounds per year of active aggregate production capacity at 15 manufacturing sites in North America. We also have a 58% interest in a joint venture in China that operates a vinyls facility.

Olefins Business*Products*

Olefins are the basic building blocks used to create a wide variety of petrochemical products. We manufacture ethylene, polyethylene, styrene, and associated co-products at our manufacturing facilities in Lake Charles, Louisiana, and polyethylene and Epolene® at our Longview facilities. We have two ethylene plants, two polyethylene plants and one styrene monomer plant at our Lake Charles complex. We have three polyethylene plants and an Epolene® plant at our Longview facility. The following table illustrates our production capacities by principal product and the primary end uses of these materials:

| Product | Annual Capacity (Millions of pounds) | End Uses |
|---|---|--|
| Ethylene | 2,400 | Polyethylene, ethylene dichloride, or EDC, styrene, ethylene oxide/ethylene glycol |
| Low-Density Polyethylene, or LDPE | 1,500 | High clarity packaging, shrink films, laundry and dry cleaning bags, ice bags, frozen foods packaging, bakery bags, coated paper board, cup stock, paper folding cartons, lids, housewares, closures and general purpose molding |
| Linear Low-Density Polyethylene, or LLDPE, and High-Density Polyethylene, or HDPE | 980 | Heavy-duty films and bags, general purpose liners (LLDPE); thin-walled food tubs, housewares, pails, totes and crates (HDPE) |
| Styrene | 485 | |

Disposables, packaging material, appliances, paints
and coatings, resins and building materials

Table of Contents

Ethylene. Ethylene is the world's most widely used petrochemical in terms of volume. It is the key building block used to produce a large number of higher value-added chemicals including polyethylene, EDC, VCM and styrene. We have the capacity to produce 2.4 billion pounds of ethylene per year at our Lake Charles complex and the capability to consume all of our production internally to produce polyethylene and styrene monomer in our Olefins business and to produce VCM and EDC in our Vinyls business. We also produce ethylene in our Vinyls segment at our Calvert City, Kentucky facilities, all of which is used internally in the production of VCM. In addition, we produce ethylene co-products including chemical grade propylene, crude butadiene, pyrolysis gasoline and hydrogen. We sell our entire output of these co-products to external customers. We completed a major turnaround at one of our ethylene plants in Lake Charles in the fourth quarter of 2006.

Polyethylene. Polyethylene, the world's most widely consumed polymer, is used in the manufacture of a wide variety of packaging, film, coatings and molded product applications. Polyethylene is generally classified as either LDPE, LLDPE or HDPE. The density correlates to the relative stiffness of the products. The difference between LDPE and LLDPE is molecular, and products produced from LLDPE are stronger than products produced from LDPE. LDPE is used in end products such as bread bags, dry cleaning bags, food wraps and milk carton and snack package coatings. LLDPE is used for higher film strength applications such as stretch film and heavy duty sacks. HDPE is used to manufacture products such as grocery, merchandise and trash bags, plastic containers and plastic caps and closures.

We are the third largest producer of LDPE in North America based on capacity and, in 2006, our annual capacity of 1.5 billion pounds was available in numerous formulations to meet the needs of our diverse customer base. We also have the combined capacity to produce 980 million pounds of either LLDPE or HDPE per year in various different formulations. We produce the three primary types of polyethylene and sell them to external customers as a final product in pellet form. We produce LDPE at one plant in Lake Charles and two plants in Longview, and we produce LLDPE and HDPE in one plant at Lake Charles and one plant in Longview. This flexibility allows us to maximize production of either HDPE or LLDPE depending on prevailing market conditions.

Styrene. Styrene is used to produce synthetic rubber and other derivatives such as polystyrene, acrylonitrile butadiene styrene and unsaturated polyester. These derivatives are used in a number of applications including injection molding, disposables, food packaging, housewares, paints and coatings, resins, building materials, tires and toys. We produce styrene at our Lake Charles plant, where we have the capacity to produce 485 million pounds of styrene per year, all of which is sold to external customers.

Feedstocks

We are highly integrated along our olefins product chain. We produce most of the ethylene required to produce our polyethylene, VCM and styrene. Ethylene can be produced from either petroleum liquid feedstocks, such as naphtha, condensates and gas oils, or from natural gas liquid feedstocks, such as ethane, propane and butane. One of our ethylene plants uses ethane as its feedstock and the other can use ethane, ethane/propane mix, propane and butane, a heavier feedstock. We continue to seek ways to minimize our feedstock cost by increasing our ability to use alternative feedstocks. We receive ethane, propane and butane at our Lake Charles facilities through several pipelines from a variety of suppliers in Texas and Louisiana.

In addition to our internally supplied ethylene, we also acquire ethylene from Eastman pursuant to a three-year contract to supply a portion of our ethylene requirements in Longview. In addition, we acquire butene and hexene to manufacture polyethylene and benzene to manufacture styrene. We receive butene and hexene at both the Lake Charles complex and the Longview complex via rail car from several suppliers. We receive benzene via pipeline pursuant to short-term arrangements. The butene and hexene contracts expire over the next one to three years and some are renewable for an additional term subject to either party to the contract notifying the other party that it does not wish to renew the contract.

Marketing, Sales and Distribution

We use the majority of our Lake Charles ethylene production in our polyethylene, styrene and VCM operations. We sell the remainder to external customers. In addition, we sell our ethylene co-products to external

Table of Contents

customers. Our primary ethylene co-products are chemical grade propylene, crude butadiene, pyrolysis gasoline and hydrogen. The majority of sales in our Olefins business are made under long-term agreements. Contract volumes are established within a range. The terms of these contracts are fixed for a period, although earlier termination may occur if the parties fail to agree on price and deliveries are suspended for a period of several months. In most cases, these contracts also contemplate extension of the term unless terminated by one of the parties.

We typically ship our ethylene and propylene via a pipeline system that connects our plants to numerous customers. Our hydrogen is sold via pipeline to a single customer. We also have storage agreements and exchange agreements that allow us access to customers who are not directly connected to the pipeline system. We transport our polyethylene, styrene, crude butadiene and pyrolysis gasoline by rail or truck. Additionally, our pyrolysis gasoline and styrene can be transported by barge.

We have an internal sales force that sells directly to our customers. Our polyethylene customers are some of the nation's largest purchasers of film and flexible packaging. In 2006, one contract customer in our Olefins segment accounted for 11% of segment net sales.

Competition

The markets in which our Olefins business operates are highly competitive. We compete on the basis of price, customer service, product deliverability, quality, consistency and performance. Our competitors in the ethylene, polyethylene and styrene markets are typically some of the world's largest chemical companies, including INEOS (successor to BP Chemicals Ltd.), The Dow Chemical Company, ExxonMobil Chemical Company, Lyondell Chemical Company, Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LP and NOVA Chemicals Corporation.

Vinyls Business

Products

Principal products in our integrated Vinyls segment include PVC, VCM, EDC, chlorine, caustic soda and ethylene. We also manufacture and sell products fabricated from the PVC we produce, including pipe, fence and deck, and window and patio door components. We manage our integrated Vinyls production chain, from the basic chemicals to finished fabricated products, to maximize product margins, pricing and capacity utilization. Our primary manufacturing facilities are located in our Calvert City, Kentucky and Geismar, Louisiana, complexes. Our Calvert City facilities include an ethylene plant, a chlor-alkali plant, a VCM plant and a PVC plant. Our Geismar facilities include an EDC plant, a VCM plant and a PVC plant. We also own 11 PVC fabricated product facilities and a 58% interest in a joint venture in China that produces PVC resin and film. The following table illustrates our production capacities by principal product and the end uses of these products:

| Product(1) | Annual Capacity(2) (Millions of pounds) | End Uses |
|---------------------|--|---|
| PVC | 1,400 | Construction materials including pipe, siding, profiles for windows and doors, film for packaging and other consumer applications |
| VCM | 1,900 | PVC |
| Chlorine | 410 | VCM, organic/inorganic chemicals, bleach |
| Caustic Soda | 450 | Pulp and paper, organic/inorganic chemicals, neutralization, alumina |
| Ethylene | 450 | VCM |
| Fabricated Products | 915 | Pipe: water and sewer, plumbing, irrigation, conduit; window and door components; fence and deck components |

(1) EDC, a VCM intermediate product, is not included in the table.

(2) Annual capacity excludes total capacity of 130 million pounds of PVC film and 286 million pounds of PVC resin from the joint venture in China (in which we have a 58% interest).

Table of Contents

PVC. PVC, the world's third most widely used plastic, is an attractive alternative to traditional materials such as glass, metal, wood, concrete and other plastic materials because of its versatility, durability and cost-competitiveness. PVC is produced from VCM, which is, in turn, made from chlorine and ethylene. PVC compounds are made by combining PVC resin with various additives in order to make either rigid and impact-resistant or soft and flexible compounds. The various compounds are then fabricated into end-products through extrusion, calendaring, injection-molding or blow-molding. Flexible PVC compounds are used for wire and cable insulation, automotive interior and exterior trims and packaging. Rigid extrusion PVC compounds are commonly used in window frames, vertical blinds and construction products, including pipes. Injection-molding PVC compounds are used in specialty products such as computer housings and keyboards, appliance parts and bottles. We have the capacity to produce 800 million pounds of PVC per year at our Calvert City facilities and 600 million pounds per year at our Geismar facilities. We use a majority of our PVC internally in the production of our fabricated products. The remainder of our PVC is sold to downstream fabricators.

VCM. VCM is used to produce PVC, solvents and PVC-related products. We use ethylene and chlorine to produce VCM. We have the capacity to produce 1.3 billion pounds of VCM per year at our Calvert City facilities and 600 million pounds per year at our Geismar facilities. The majority of our VCM is used internally in our PVC operations. Most of the remainder of our VCM production is sold under long-term contracts with external customers.

Chlorine and Caustic Soda. We combine salt and electricity to produce chlorine and caustic soda, co-products commonly referred to as chlor-alkali, at our Calvert City facilities. We use our chlorine production in our VCM plants. We have the capacity to supply approximately 37% of our internal chlorine requirements. We purchase the remaining amount at market prices. Our caustic soda is sold to external customers who use it for, among other things, the production of pulp and paper, organic and inorganic chemicals and alumina.

Ethylene. We use all of the ethylene produced at Calvert City internally to produce VCM and, in 2006, we produced approximately 54% of the ethylene required for our VCM production. We obtain the remainder of the ethylene we need for our Vinyls business from our Lake Charles ethylene production. We completed a major turnaround at our ethylene plant in Calvert City in the second quarter of 2006.

Fabricated Products. Products made from PVC are used in construction materials ranging from water and sewer systems to home and commercial applications for fence, deck, window and patio door systems. We manufacture and market water, sewer, irrigation and conduit pipe products under the North American Pipe brand. We also manufacture and market PVC fence, decking, windows and patio door profiles under the Westech Building Products brand. All of our fabricated products production is sold to external customers.

China Joint Venture. We own a 58% interest in Suzhou Huasu Plastics Co. Ltd., a joint venture based near Shanghai, China. Our joint venture partners are Norway's Norsk Hydro ASA and two local Chinese chemical companies. In 1995, this joint venture constructed and began operating a PVC film plant that has a current annual capacity of 130 million pounds of PVC film. In 1999, the joint venture constructed and began operating a PVC resin plant that has an annual capacity of 286 million pounds of PVC resin. In 2006, we increased our ownership interest in this joint venture from 43% to 58%.

Feedstocks

We are highly integrated along our vinyls production chain. We produce most of the ethylene and all of the VCM and PVC used in our Vinyls business, and approximately 37% of our chlorine requirements. The remainder of our chlorine requirements are purchased at market prices. Ethylene produced at our Calvert City facility

Table of Contents

utilizes propane feedstock. We purchase the salt required for our chlor-alkali plant pursuant to a long-term contract. We purchase electricity for our chlor-alkali production from the Tennessee Valley Authority under a long-term contract.

We are one of the few North American integrated producers of vinyls with substantial downstream integration into PVC fabricated products. Our Calvert City and Geismar facilities supply all the PVC required for our fabricated products plants. The remaining feedstocks for fabricated products include pigments, fillers and stabilizers, which we purchase under short-term contracts based on prevailing market prices.

Marketing, Sales and Distribution

We are a leading manufacturer of PVC fabricated products in the geographic regions where we operate. We sell a majority of our PVC pipe through a combination of manufacturer's representatives and our internal sales force to distributors who serve the wholesale PVC pipe market. We use a regional sales approach that allows us to provide focused customer service and to meet the specified needs of individual customers. We use an internal salaried sales force to market and sell our fence, window and patio door profiles.

We sell substantially all of our caustic soda production to external customers, concentrating on customers who can receive the product by barge over the Mississippi, Tennessee and Ohio Rivers to minimize transportation costs. In 2006, two customers in our Vinyls segment accounted for 26% of segment net sales, each accounting for 13%.

Competition

Competition in the vinyls market is based on price, product availability, product performance and customer service. We compete in the vinyls market with other large and medium-sized producers including Oxy Vinyls, LP, Shintech, Inc., Georgia Gulf Corporation and Formosa Plastics Corporation.

Competition in the fabricated products market is based on price, on-time delivery, product quality, customer service and product consistency. We compete in the fabricated products market with other medium and large-sized producers and fabricators including J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., Diamond Plastics Corporation, National Pipe & Plastics, Inc. and PW Eagle, Inc. J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc. and PW Eagle, Inc. have recently announced a merger transaction. We are a leading manufacturer of PVC pipe by volume in the geographic areas served by our North American Pipe Corporation subsidiary. We believe that we are the second largest manufacturer of PVC fence and deck components by volume in the United States.

Environmental and Other Regulation

As is common in our industry, obtaining, producing and distributing many of our products involves the use, storage, transportation and disposal of large quantities of toxic and hazardous materials, and our manufacturing operations require the generation and disposal of large quantities of hazardous wastes. We are subject to extensive, evolving and increasingly stringent federal and local environmental laws and regulations, which address, among other things, the following:

emissions to the air;

discharges to land or to surface and subsurface waters;

other releases into the environment;

remediation of contaminated sites;

generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of waste materials; and

maintenance of safe conditions in the workplace.

Table of Contents

We are subject to environmental laws and regulations that can impose civil and criminal sanctions and that may require us to mitigate the effects of contamination caused by the release or disposal of hazardous substances into the environment. Under one law, an owner or operator of property may be held strictly liable for remediating contamination without regard to whether that person caused the contamination, and without regard to whether the practices that resulted in the contamination were legal at the time they occurred. Because several of our production sites have a history of industrial use, it is impossible to predict precisely what effect these requirements will have on us.

Contract Litigation with Goodrich and PolyOne. In connection with the 1990 and 1997 acquisitions of the Goodrich Corporation chemical manufacturing complex in Calvert City, Kentucky, Goodrich agreed to indemnify us for any liabilities related to preexisting contamination at the complex. For our part, we agreed to indemnify Goodrich for post-closing contamination caused by our operations. The soil and groundwater at the complex, which does not include our nearby PVC facility, had been extensively contaminated by Goodrich's operations. In 1993, Goodrich spun off the predecessor of PolyOne, and that predecessor assumed Goodrich's indemnification obligations relating to preexisting contamination. PolyOne is now coordinating the investigation and remediation of contamination at the complex. In mid-1997 we began operating (pursuant to contract) a certain piece of groundwater remediation equipment at the complex owned by Goodrich.

For a number of years, PolyOne has asserted that our operations after the 1990 and 1997 acquisitions have contributed to the contamination. In May 2003, Goodrich asserted that we are responsible for a portion of the costs of treating the complex's contaminated groundwater. Goodrich then began withholding payment of 45% of the monthly costs incurred by us to operate certain remediation equipment.

In October 2003, we sued Goodrich in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky for breach of contract to recover our unpaid invoices for providing these services. Goodrich filed a counterclaim against us and a third-party complaint against PolyOne. PolyOne in turn filed motions to dismiss, counterclaims against Goodrich, and cross-claims against us, in which it alleged, among other things, that Goodrich and we had conspired to defraud PolyOne.

In March 2005, the court dismissed PolyOne's claims against us and granted our motion for summary judgment on our breach of contract claim against Goodrich. In July 2005, Goodrich agreed to pay us all past due amounts, including interest, in the amount of \$3.1 million. This reimbursement is reflected in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005, resulting in a \$2.6 million reduction of selling, general and administrative expenses and \$0.5 million of interest income. Goodrich further agreed to timely and fully pay us for all future services. Goodrich reserved the right to seek reconsideration of the court's order, which, if granted, could require us to reimburse Goodrich for its payments to us under the July 2005 agreement. The case is continuing with respect to Goodrich's counterclaim against us and the claims between Goodrich and PolyOne. A court-ordered mediation is expected to occur in early 2007 and trial is set for October 2007.

The current groundwater remediation activities at the Calvert City complex do not have a specified termination date but are expected to last for the foreseeable future. Since we acquired in mid-1997 the relevant portion of the complex where certain groundwater remediation equipment is located, we have spent approximately \$23.3 million through December 31, 2006 in operating this equipment, all of which has been reimbursed to us by Goodrich. Goodrich is continuing to reimburse us on a monthly basis as ongoing expenses for these services are incurred. The costs incurred to operate the groundwater remediation equipment were \$3.4 million in 2006.

Administrative Proceedings. There are several administrative proceedings in Kentucky involving us, Goodrich and PolyOne and the same manufacturing complex in Calvert City. In 2003, the Kentucky Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet (Cabinet) re-issued Goodrich's Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, or RCRA, permit which requires Goodrich to remediate contamination at the Calvert City manufacturing complex. Both Goodrich and PolyOne challenged various terms of the permit in an attempt to shift Goodrich's clean-up obligations under the permit to us.

Table of Contents

In January 2004, the Cabinet notified us that our ownership of a closed landfill (known as former Pond 4) requires us to submit an application for our own permit under RCRA. This could require us to bear the cost of performing remediation work at former Pond 4 and adjacent areas at the complex. We challenged the Cabinet's January 2004 order and have obtained several extensions to submit the required permit application, which is now due in March 2007. In October 2006, the Cabinet notified Goodrich and us that both were operators of former Pond 4 under RCRA, and ordered us to jointly submit an application for a RCRA permit no later than April 2007. Goodrich and Westlake have both challenged the Cabinet's October 2006 order.

All of these administrative proceedings have been consolidated. At a hearing on February 9, 2007, the administrative law judge vacated the hearing date and set a status conference for May 18, 2007 based on the fact that the parties are engaged in settlement discussions.

Litigation Related to the Administrative Proceedings. We have the contractual right to reconvey title to former Pond 4 back to Goodrich, and we have tendered former Pond 4 back to Goodrich under this provision. In March 2005, we sued Goodrich in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky to require Goodrich to accept the tendered reconveyance and to indemnify us for costs we incurred in connection with former Pond 4. Goodrich subsequently filed a third-party complaint against PolyOne, seeking to hold PolyOne responsible for any of Goodrich's former Pond 4 liabilities to us. Goodrich moved to dismiss our suit against it, we filed a motion for partial summary judgment against Goodrich, and PolyOne moved to dismiss Goodrich's third-party complaint against it. All three motions are pending.

PolyOne filed a separate lawsuit against us in March 2005 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky seeking to require us to apply for our own RCRA permit. Purportedly brought under the citizen suit provisions of RCRA, PolyOne's suit involves the same issues raised in the Goodrich and PolyOne challenges to the RCRA permit discussed above. We filed a motion to dismiss PolyOne's suit, which is pending.

Monetary Relief. Neither the court nor the Cabinet has established any allocation of the costs of remediation among the various parties that are involved in the judicial and administrative proceedings discussed above. Any monetary liabilities that we might incur with respect to the remediation of contamination at the complex would likely be spread out over an extended period. As a result, we believe it is unlikely that any remediation costs allocable to us will be material. However, we are not in a position at this time to state what effect, if any, the resolution of these proceedings could have on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Environmental Investigations. In 2002, the EPA's National Enforcement Investigations Center, or NEIC, investigated our manufacturing complex in Calvert City. In early 2004, the NEIC investigated our nearby PVC plant. The EPA subsequently submitted information requests to us under the Clean Air Act and RCRA. We met with the EPA in June 2004 to attempt to voluntarily resolve the notices of violation that were issued to us for the 2002 investigation and to voluntarily resolve any issues raised at the PVC plant in the 2004 investigation. Since then, parties have continued to engage in settlement discussions. The EPA has indicated that it will impose monetary penalties and require plant modifications that will involve capital expenditures. We expect that, based on the EPA's past practices, the amount of any monetary penalties would be reduced by a portion of the expenditures that we would agree to make for certain supplemental environmental projects. We have recorded an accrual for a probable loss related to monetary penalties and other items to be expensed; however, based on correspondence from the EPA, we reduced our loss accrual by \$1.5 million during the fourth quarter of 2006. This benefit is classified in cost of sales. Although the ultimate amount of liability is not ascertainable, based on correspondence with the EPA, we believe that the accrual is adequate and the ultimate resolution of such matters will not be material.

General. It is our policy to comply with all environmental, health and safety requirements and to provide safe and environmentally sound workplaces for our employees. In some cases, compliance can be achieved only by incurring capital expenditures, and we are faced with instances of noncompliance from time to time.

Table of Contents

In 2006, we made capital expenditures of \$4.6 million related to environmental compliance. We estimate that we will make capital expenditures of \$17.1 million in 2007 and \$17.6 million in 2008, respectively. A significant percentage of the 2007 and 2008 estimated amounts are related to equipment replacement and upgrades to maintain environmental compliance. We anticipate that stringent environmental regulations will continue to be imposed on us and the industry in general. Although we cannot predict with certainty future expenditures, management believes that our current spending trends will continue.

It is difficult to estimate the future costs of environmental protection and remediation because of many uncertainties, including uncertainties about the status of laws, regulations and information related to individual locations and sites and our ability to rely on third parties to carry out such remediation. Subject to the foregoing, but taking into consideration our experience regarding environmental matters of a similar nature and facts currently known, and except for the outcome of pending litigation and regulatory proceedings, which we cannot predict, but which could have a material adverse effect on us, we believe that capital expenditures and remedial actions to comply with existing laws governing environmental protection will not have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Employees

As of December 31, 2006, we had 2,056 employees, 893 contractors and 4 consultants in the following areas:

| Category | Number |
|-----------------|--------|
| Olefins segment | 1,302 |
| Vinyls segment | 1,549 |
| Headquarters | 102 |

Approximately 12% of our employees are represented by labor unions and all of these employees are working under collective bargaining agreements. All of the collective bargaining agreements expire in 2009. There have been no strikes or lockouts and we have not experienced any work stoppages throughout our history. We believe that our relationship with the local union officials and bargaining committees is open and positive.

Technology

Historically, our technology strategy has been to selectively acquire and license third-party proprietary technology. Our selection process incorporates many factors, including the cost of the technology, our customers' requirements, raw material and energy consumption rates, product quality, capital costs, maintenance requirements and reliability. As part of the acquisition of the Longview facilities, we obtained ownership of a patent portfolio that contains intellectual property related to the polyethylene and Epolene® businesses, as well as the research and development group that developed this intellectual property. This group is expected to continue to help us develop our polyethylene and Epolene® assets. The acquisition of this group does not reduce our need to evaluate and access other third party technology for our Olefins businesses. After acquiring a technology, we devote considerable efforts to further develop and effectively apply the technology with a view to continuously improve our competitive position.

We license technology from a number of third-party providers. In 1988, we selected the MW Kellogg technology for our first ethylene plant at our Lake Charles complex. In 1995, we selected the ABB Lummus Crest technology for the second ethylene plant at Lake Charles. In 1990, we selected Mobil/Badger technology for our styrene monomer plant at Lake Charles and in 1996 we selected BP technology for our second Lake Charles polyethylene plant. In 1997, we entered into a corporate-wide technology agreement with Aspen Technology. The Aspen Technology Plantelligence includes an advanced process control software system which improves process control and economic optimization. In 1998, we licensed Asahi Chemical membrane technology for our chlor-alkali plant. In 2005, we licensed Badger EBMax technology for our plant in

Table of Contents

Lake Charles. Also in 2005, we entered into a license with Nova Chemicals Corporation to use the Novacat-T Catalyst System in connection with the production of polyethylene at our plant in Lake Charles. We have a license with INEOS (successor to BP Chemicals Ltd.) for technology used to produce LLDPE and HDPE that requires us to make annual payments of \$3.1 million through 2007. At Longview, we have a paid up license from INEOS for gas phase technology for the production of LLDPE and HDPE, and we license out our patented Energex[®] technology for LLDPE production on a limited basis.

Segment and Geographic Information

Information regarding sales, income (loss) from operations and assets attributable to each of our industry segments, Olefins and Vinyls, and geographical information is presented in Note 17 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Available Information

Our Web site address is www.westlakechemical.com. We make our Web site content available for information purposes only. It should not be relied upon for investment purposes, nor is it incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K. We make available on this Web site under Investor Relations/SEC Filings, free of charge, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file those materials with, or furnish those materials to, the SEC. The SEC also maintains a Web site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding SEC registrants, including us.

We intend to satisfy the requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K to disclose any amendments to our Code of Ethics and any waiver from a provision of our Code of Ethics by posting such information on our Web site at www.westlakechemical.com at Investor Relations/Corporate Governance.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Cyclicality in the petrochemical industry has in the past, and may in the future, result in reduced operating margins or operating losses.

Our historical operating results reflect the cyclical and volatile nature of the petrochemical industry. The industry is mature and capital intensive. Margins in this industry are sensitive to supply and demand balances both domestically and internationally, which historically have been cyclical. The cycles are generally characterized by periods of tight supply, leading to high operating rates and margins, followed by periods of oversupply primarily resulting from significant capacity additions, leading to reduced operating rates and lower margins.

Moreover, profitability in the petrochemical industry is affected by the worldwide level of demand along with vigorous price competition which may intensify due to, among other things, new domestic and foreign industry capacity. In general, weak economic conditions either in the United States or in the world tend to reduce demand and put pressure on margins. It is not possible to predict accurately the supply and demand balances, market conditions and other factors that will affect industry operating margins in the future.

We face increasing competition and pricing pressures in the Olefins segment. Over the next five years some industry forecasts show a 5% per year increase in worldwide ethylene capacity with the largest increase occurring in the Middle East and Asia. Demand is expected to grow 4% per year during this period. As a result, operating rates in the U.S. are expected to peak in 2008 and decline gradually the remainder of the decade primarily due to reduced exports.

Table of Contents

Industry forecasts show that North American PVC capacity is projected to increase by 6% in 2008 and 6% in 2009, while it is projected that demand for PVC is expected to increase by 2% per year over the next five years. As a result, operating rates could decline further from peak levels achieved in early 2006.

We sell commodity products in highly competitive markets and face significant competition and price pressure.

We sell our products in highly competitive markets. Due to the commodity nature of many of our products, competition in these markets is based primarily on price and to a lesser extent on performance, product quality, product deliverability and customer service. As a result, we generally are not able to protect our market position for these products by product differentiation and may not be able to pass on cost increases to our customers. Accordingly, increases in raw material and other costs may not necessarily correlate with changes in prices for these products, either in the direction of the price change or in magnitude. Specifically, timing differences in pricing between raw material prices, which may change daily, and contract product prices, which in many cases are negotiated only monthly or less often, sometimes with an additional lag in effective dates for increases, have had and may continue to have a negative effect on profitability. Significant volatility in raw material costs tends to place pressure on product margins, as sales price increases generally tend to lag behind raw material cost increases. Conversely, when raw material costs decrease, customers seek relief in the form of lower sales prices.

High costs of raw materials and energy may result in increased operating expenses and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow.

Significant variations in the costs and availability of raw materials and energy may negatively affect our results of operations. These costs have risen significantly over the past several years due primarily to oil and natural gas cost increases. We purchase significant amounts of ethane and propane feedstock, natural gas, chlorine and salt to produce several basic chemicals. We also purchase significant amounts of electricity to supply the energy required in our production processes. The cost of these raw materials and energy, in the aggregate, represents a substantial portion of our operating expenses. The prices of raw materials and energy generally follow price trends of, and vary with market conditions for, crude oil and natural gas, which are highly volatile and cyclical. Our results of operations have been and could in the future be significantly affected by increases in these costs. Price increases increase our working capital needs and, accordingly, can adversely affect our liquidity and cash flow. We typically do not enter into significant hedging arrangements with respect to prices of raw materials. However, we have occasionally entered into short-term contracts in order to hedge our costs for ethane and natural gas. In the future, we may decide not to hedge any of our raw material costs or any hedges we enter into may not have successful results.

In addition, higher natural gas prices adversely affect the ability of many domestic chemical producers to compete internationally since U.S. producers are disproportionately reliant on natural gas and natural gas liquids as an energy source and as a raw material. In addition to the impact that this has on our exports, reduced competitiveness of U.S. producers also has in the past increased the availability of chemicals in North America, as U.S. production that would otherwise have been sold overseas was instead offered for sale domestically, resulting in excess supply and lower prices in North America. We could also face the threat of imported products from countries that have a cost advantage.

External factors beyond our control can cause fluctuations in demand for our products and in our prices and margins, which may negatively affect our results of operations and cash flow.

External factors beyond our control can cause volatility in raw material prices, demand for our products, product prices and volumes and deterioration in operating margins. These factors can also magnify the impact of economic cycles on our business and results of operations. Examples of external factors include:

general economic conditions;

the level of business activity in the industries that use our products;

Table of Contents

competitor action;

technological innovations;

currency fluctuations;

international events and circumstances;

governmental regulation in the United States and abroad; and

severe weather and natural disasters.

We believe that events in the Middle East have had a particular influence over the past several years and may continue to do so until the situations normalize. In addition, a number of our products are highly dependent on durable goods markets, such as housing and construction, which are themselves particularly cyclical. The U.S. residential housing market has weakened significantly during 2006. If the global economy worsens in general, or the U.S. residential housing market in particular, demand for our products and our income and cash flow will be adversely affected.

We may reduce production at or idle a facility for an extended period of time or exit a business because of high raw material prices, an oversupply of a particular product and/or a lack of demand for that particular product, which makes production uneconomical. Temporary outages sometimes last for several quarters or, in certain cases, longer and cause us to incur costs, including the expenses of maintaining and restarting these facilities. Factors such as increases in raw material costs or lower demand in the future may cause us to further reduce operating rates, idle facilities or exit uncompetitive businesses.

Continued hostilities in the Middle East and/or the occurrence or threat of occurrence of terrorist attacks such as those against the United States on September 11, 2001 could adversely affect the economies of the United States and other developed countries. A lower level of economic activity could result in a decline in demand for our products, which could adversely affect our net sales and margins and limit our future growth prospects. In addition, these risks have increased, and may continue to increase, volatility in prices for crude oil and natural gas and could result in increased feedstock costs. In addition, these risks could cause increased instability in the financial and insurance markets and could adversely affect our ability to access capital and to obtain insurance coverage that we consider adequate or are otherwise required by our contracts with third parties.

Our inability to compete successfully may reduce our operating profits.

The petrochemical industry is highly competitive. In the last several years, there have been a number of mergers, acquisitions, spin-offs and joint ventures in the industry. This restructuring activity has resulted in fewer but more competitive producers, many of which are larger than we are and have greater financial resources than we do. Among our competitors are some of the world's largest chemical companies and chemical industry joint ventures. Competition within the petrochemical industry and in the manufacturing of fabricated products is affected by a variety of factors, including:

product price;

technical support and customer service;

quality;

reliability of supply;

availability of potential substitute materials; and

product performance.

Table of Contents

Changes in the competitive environment could have a material adverse effect on our business and our operations. These changes could include:

the emergence of new domestic and international competitors;

the rate of capacity additions by competitors;

change in customer base due to mergers;

the intensification of price competition in our markets;

the introduction of new or substitute products by competitors;

the technological innovations of competitors; and

the adoption of new environmental laws and regulatory requirements.

Our production facilities process some volatile and hazardous materials that subject us to operating risks that could adversely affect our operating results.

We have four major manufacturing facilities: our olefins complex in Lake Charles, Louisiana, our polyethylene and Epolene® complex in Longview, Texas, our vinyls complex in Calvert City, Kentucky and our vinyls facility in Geismar, Louisiana. Our operations are subject to the usual hazards associated with commodity chemical and plastics manufacturing and the related use, storage, transportation and disposal of feedstocks, products and wastes, including:

pipeline leaks and ruptures;

explosions;

fires;

severe weather and natural disasters;

mechanical failure;

unscheduled downtime;

labor difficulties;

transportation interruptions;

chemical spills;

discharges or releases of toxic or hazardous substances or gases;

storage tank leaks;

other environmental risks; and

terrorist attacks.

These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, catastrophic damage to or destruction of property and equipment and environmental damage, and may result in a suspension of operations and the imposition of civil or criminal penalties. We could become subject to environmental claims brought by governmental entities or third parties. A loss or shutdown over an extended period of operations at any one of our four major operating facilities would have a material adverse effect on us. We maintain property, business interruption and casualty insurance that we believe is in accordance with customary industry practices, but we cannot be fully insured against all potential hazards incident to our business, including losses resulting from war risks or terrorist acts. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain insurance policies can increase substantially and, in some instances, certain insurance may become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. If we were to incur a significant liability for which we were not fully insured, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

Table of Contents

New regulations concerning the transportation of hazardous chemicals and the security of chemical manufacturing facilities could result in higher operating costs.

Targets such as chemical manufacturing facilities may be at greater risk of terrorist attacks than other targets in the United States. As a result, the chemical industry responded to the issues surrounding the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 by starting initiatives relating to the security of chemicals industry facilities and the transportation of hazardous chemicals in the United States. Simultaneously, local, state and federal governments have begun a regulatory process that could lead to new regulations impacting the security of chemical plant locations and the transportation of hazardous chemicals. Our business or our customers' businesses could be adversely affected because of the cost of complying with new regulations.

Our operations and assets are subject to extensive environmental, health and safety laws and regulations.

We use large quantities of hazardous substances and generate large quantities of hazardous wastes in our manufacturing operations. Due to the large quantities of hazardous substances and wastes, our industry is highly regulated and monitored by various environmental regulatory authorities. As such, we are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations pertaining to pollution and protection of the environment, health and safety, which govern, among other things, emissions to the air, discharges onto land or waters, the maintenance of safe conditions in the workplace, the remediation of contaminated sites, and the generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of waste materials. Some of these laws and regulations are subject to varying and conflicting interpretations. Many of these laws and regulations provide for substantial fines and potential criminal sanctions for violations and require the installation of costly pollution control equipment or operational changes to limit pollution emissions and/or reduce the likelihood or impact of hazardous substance releases, whether permitted or not. For example, all four of our petrochemical facilities, in Lake Charles, Longview, Calvert City and Geismar, may require improvements to comply with the anticipated wastewater regulations of the synthetic organic chemical manufacturing industries.

In addition, we cannot accurately predict future developments, such as increasingly strict environmental laws or regulations, and inspection and enforcement policies, as well as resulting higher compliance costs, which might affect the handling, manufacture, use, emission, disposal or remediation of products, other materials or hazardous and non-hazardous waste, and we cannot predict with certainty the extent of our future liabilities and costs under environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. These liabilities and costs may be material.

We also may face liability for alleged personal injury or property damage due to exposure to chemicals or other hazardous substances at our facilities or to chemicals that we otherwise manufacture, handle or own. Although these types of claims have not historically had a material impact on our operations, a significant increase in the success of these types of claims could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flow.

Environmental laws may have a significant effect on the nature and scope of, and responsibility for, cleanup of contamination at our current and former operating facilities, the costs of transportation and storage of raw materials and finished products, the costs of reducing emissions and the costs of the storage and disposal of wastewater. In addition, the federal CERCLA and similar state laws impose joint and several liability for the costs of remedial investigations and actions on the entities that generated waste, arranged for disposal of the wastes, transported to or selected the disposal sites and the past and present owners and operators of such sites. All such potentially responsible parties (or any one of them, including us) may be required to bear all of such costs regardless of fault, legality of the original disposal or ownership of the disposal site. In addition, CERCLA and similar state laws could impose liability for damages to natural resources caused by contamination.

Although we seek to take preventive action, our operations are inherently subject to accidental spills, discharges or other releases of hazardous substances that may make us liable to governmental entities or private parties. This may involve contamination associated with our current and former facilities, facilities to which

we sent wastes or by-products for treatment or disposal and other contamination. Accidental discharges may

Table of Contents

occur in the future, future action may be taken in connection with past discharges, governmental agencies may assess damages or penalties against us in connection with any past or future contamination, or third parties may assert claims against us for damages allegedly arising out of any past or future contamination. In addition, we may be liable for existing contamination related to certain of our facilities for which, in some cases, we believe third parties are liable in the event such third parties fail to perform their obligations. For further discussion of such existing contamination, see Item 1, Business Environmental and Other Regulation.

Our property insurance has only partial coverage for acts of terrorism and, in the event of terrorist attack, we could lose net sales and our facilities.

As a result of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 and other events, our insurance carriers created certain exclusions for losses from terrorism from our property insurance policies. While separate terrorism insurance coverage is available, premiums for full coverage are very expensive, especially for chemical facilities, and the policies are subject to high deductibles. Available terrorism coverage typically excludes coverage for losses from acts of war and from acts of foreign governments as well as nuclear, biological and chemical attacks. We have determined that it is not economically prudent to obtain full terrorism insurance, especially given the significant risks that are not covered by such insurance. Where feasible we have secured some limited terrorism insurance coverage on our property where insurers have included it in their overall programs. In the event of a terrorist attack impacting one or more of our facilities, we could lose the net sales from the facilities and the facilities themselves, and could become liable for any contamination or for personal or property damage due to exposure to hazardous materials caused by any catastrophic release that may result from a terrorist attack.

We have significant debt, which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business.

As of December 31, 2006, we had total outstanding debt of \$260.2 million, which represented approximately 18% of our total capitalization. Our annual interest expense for 2006 was \$16.5 million. Our level of debt and the limitations imposed on us by our existing or future debt agreements could have significant consequences on our business and future prospects, including the following:

a significant portion of our cash flow from operations will be dedicated to the payment of interest and principal on our debt and will not be available for other purposes, including the payment of dividends;

we may not be able to obtain necessary financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt service requirements or other purposes;

our less leveraged competitors could have a competitive advantage because they have greater flexibility to utilize their cash flow to improve their operations;

we may be exposed to risks inherent in interest rate fluctuations because some of our borrowings are at variable rates of interest, which would result in higher interest expense in the event of increases in interest rates; and

we could be more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business that would leave us less able to take advantage of significant business opportunities and to react to changes in our business and in market or industry conditions.

To service our indebtedness, we will require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures and pay cash dividends will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations, currently anticipated cost savings and operating improvements may not be realized on schedule and future borrowings may not be available to us under

Table of Contents

our credit facility in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs. We may need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity. In addition, we may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including our credit facility and our senior notes, on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Our credit facility and the indenture governing our senior notes impose significant operating and financial restrictions, which may prevent us from capitalizing on business opportunities and taking some actions.

Our credit facility and the indenture governing our senior notes impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions limit our ability to:

pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase our capital stock;

make investments and other restricted payments;

incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock;

create liens;

permit dividend or other payment restrictions on our restricted subsidiaries;

sell all or substantially all of our assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies;

engage in transactions with affiliates; and

engage in sale-leaseback transactions.

These limitations are subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions. Our credit facility also requires us to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio if the amount available to be borrowed falls below a specified level. These covenants may adversely affect our ability to finance our future operations and capital needs and to pursue available business opportunities. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default in respect of the related debt. If a default occurred, the relevant lenders could elect to declare the debt, together with accrued interest and other fees, to be immediately due and payable and proceed against any collateral securing that debt. In addition, any acceleration of debt under our credit facility will constitute a default under some of our other debt, including the indenture governing our senior notes.

We may pursue acquisitions, dispositions and joint ventures and other transactions that may impact our results of operations and financial condition.

We seek opportunities to maximize efficiency and create stockholder value through various transactions. These transactions may include various domestic and international business combinations, purchases or sales of assets or contractual arrangements or joint ventures that are intended to result in the realization of synergies, the creation of efficiencies or the generation of cash to reduce debt. To the extent permitted under our credit facility and other debt agreements, some of these transactions may be financed by additional borrowings by us. Although these transactions are expected to yield longer-term benefits if the expected efficiencies and synergies of the transactions are realized, they could adversely affect our results of operations in the short term because of the costs associated with such transactions. Other transactions may advance future cash flows from some of our businesses, thereby yielding increased short-term liquidity, but consequently resulting in lower cash flows from these operations over the longer term.

We may have difficulties integrating the operations of acquired businesses.

If we are unable to integrate or to successfully manage the Longview facilities we acquired from Eastman or other businesses that we have acquired or that we may acquire in the future, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. We may not be able to realize the operating efficiencies, synergies, cost savings or other benefits expected from the acquisitions for a number of reasons, including the following:

we may fail to integrate the businesses we acquire into a cohesive, efficient enterprise;

Table of Contents

our resources, including management resources, are limited and may be strained if we engage in a significant number of acquisitions, and acquisitions may divert our management's attention from initiating or carrying out programs to save costs or enhance revenues; and

our failure to retain key employees and contracts of the businesses we acquire.

We will be controlled by our principal stockholder and its affiliates as long as they own a majority of our common stock, and our other stockholders will be unable to affect the outcome of stockholder voting during that time. Our interests may conflict with those of the principal stockholder and its affiliates, and we may not be able to resolve these conflicts on terms possible in arms-length transactions.

As long as TTWF LP (the principal stockholder) and its affiliates (the principal stockholder affiliates) own a majority of our outstanding common stock, they will be able to exert significant control over us, and our other stockholders, by themselves, will not be able to affect the outcome of any stockholder vote. As a result, the principal stockholder, subject to any fiduciary duty owed to our minority stockholders under Delaware law, will be able to control all matters affecting us (some of which may present conflicts of interest), including:

the composition of our board of directors and, through the board, any determination with respect to our business direction and policies, including the appointment and removal of officers and the determination of compensation;

any determinations with respect to mergers or other business combinations or the acquisition or disposition of assets;

our financing decisions, capital raising activities and the payment of dividends; and

amendments to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or amended and restated bylaws.

The principal stockholder will be permitted to transfer a controlling interest in us without being required to offer our other stockholders the ability to participate or realize a premium for their shares of common stock. A sale of a controlling interest to a third party may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and our business and results of operations because the change in control may result in a change of management decisions and business policy. Because we have elected not to be subject to Section 203 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, the principal stockholder may find it easier to sell its controlling interest to a third party than if we had not so elected.

In addition to any conflicts of interest that arise in the foregoing areas, our interests may conflict with those of the principal stockholder affiliates in a number of other areas, including:

business opportunities that may be presented to the principal stockholder affiliates and to our officers and directors associated with the principal stockholder affiliates, and competition between the principal stockholder affiliates and us within the same lines of business;

the solicitation and hiring of employees from each other; and

agreements with the principal stockholder affiliates relating to corporate services that may be material to our business.

We may not be able to resolve any potential conflicts with the principal stockholder affiliates, and even if we do, the resolution may be less favorable than if we were dealing with an unaffiliated party, particularly if the conflicts are resolved while we are controlled by the principal stockholder affiliates. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that the principal stockholder affiliates have no duty to refrain from engaging in activities or lines of business similar to ours and that the principal stockholder affiliates will not be liable to us or our stockholders for failing to present specified corporate opportunities to us.

Table of Contents

Cautionary Statements about Forward Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides safe harbor provisions for forward-looking information. Certain of the statements contained in this Form 10-K are forward-looking statements. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K that address activities, events or developments that we expect, project, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as believes, intends, may, should, could, anticipates, or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategies or trends. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot give any assurances that these expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements relate to matters such as:

future operating rates, margins, cash flow and demand for our products;

production capacities;

our ability to borrow additional funds under our credit facility;

our ability to meet our liquidity needs;

our intended quarterly dividends;

future capacity additions and expansions in the industry;

timing, size, scope, cost and other matters related to the project in the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago;

timing of the planned maintenance turnarounds at our Lake Charles facility;

compliance with present and future environmental regulations and costs associated with environmentally related penalties, capital expenditures, remedial actions and proceedings;

effects of pending legal proceedings; and

timing of and amount of capital expenditures.

We have based these statements on assumptions and analyses in light of our experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe were appropriate in the circumstances when the statements were made. Forward-looking statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly impact expected results, and actual future results could differ materially from those described in such statements. While it is not possible to identify all factors, we continue to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause actual future results to differ materially are the risks and uncertainties discussed under Risk Factors and those described from time to time in our other filings with the SEC including, but not limited to, the following:

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

general economic and business conditions;

the cyclical nature of the chemical industry;

the availability, cost and volatility of raw materials and energy;

uncertainties associated with the United States and worldwide economies, including those due to political tensions in the Middle East and elsewhere;

current and potential governmental regulatory actions in the United States and regulatory actions and political unrest in other countries;

industry production capacity and operating rates;

the supply/demand balance for our products;

competitive products and pricing pressures;

Table of Contents

access to capital markets;

terrorist acts;

operating interruptions (including leaks, explosions, fires, weather-related incidents, mechanical failure, unscheduled downtime, labor difficulties, transportation interruptions, spills and releases and other environmental risks);

changes in laws or regulations;

technological developments;

our ability to implement our business strategies; and

creditworthiness of our customers.

Many of such factors are beyond our ability to control or predict. Any of the factors, or a combination of these factors, could materially affect our future results of operations and the ultimate accuracy of the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of our future performance, and our actual results and future developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Management cautions against putting undue reliance on forward-looking statements or projecting any future results based on such statements or present or prior earnings levels. Every forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our manufacturing facilities and principal products are set forth below. Except as noted, we own each of these facilities.

Location

Lake Charles, Louisiana
 Longview, Texas(1)
 Calvert City, Kentucky(2)
 Geismar, Louisiana
 Booneville, Mississippi
 Springfield, Kentucky
 Litchfield, Illinois
 Wichita Falls, Texas
 Van Buren, Arkansas
 Bristol, Indiana
 Leola, Pennsylvania
 Greensboro, Georgia
 Evansville, Indiana
 Calgary, Alberta, Canada(3)

Principal Products

Ethylene, polyethylene, styrene
 Polyethylene, Epolene®
 PVC, VCM, chlorine, caustic soda, ethylene
 PVC, VCM and EDC
 PVC pipe
 PVC pipe
 PVC pipe
 PVC pipe
 PVC pipe
 PVC pipe
 PVC pipe
 PVC pipe
 Fence and deck components
 Window, patio door and fence components

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

Pawling, New York

Window, patio door and fence components

- (1) We lease the land on which our Longview facilities are located.
- (2) We lease a portion of our Calvert City facilities.
- (3) We lease our Calgary facility.

Table of Contents

Olefins

Our Lake Charles complex consists of three tracts on over 1,300 acres in Lake Charles, Louisiana, each within two miles of one another. The complex includes two ethylene plants, two polyethylene plants and a styrene monomer plant. The combined capacity of our two ethylene plants is approximately 2.4 billion pounds per year. The capacity of our two polyethylene plants is approximately 1.4 billion pounds per year and the capacity of our styrene plant is approximately 485 million pounds per year. Our newest polyethylene plant has two production units that use gas phase technology to manufacture both LLDPE and HDPE. Our styrene monomer plant is being modernized with state-of-the-art technology. We are planning to implement modifications to the styrene monomer plant in 2007 designed to save energy and reduce raw material consumption.

Our Lake Charles complex includes a marine terminal that provides for worldwide shipping capabilities. The complex also is located near rail transportation facilities, which allows for efficient delivery of raw materials and prompt shipment of our products to customers. In addition, the complex is connected by pipeline systems to our ethylene feedstock sources in both Texas and Louisiana. Within the complex, our ethylene plants are connected by pipeline systems to our polyethylene and styrene plants.

Our Longview, Texas facility consists of three polyethylene plants, an Epolene[®] polymers plant, and a 200 mile, ten inch ethylene pipeline that runs from Mt. Belvieu, Texas to Longview. The plants are located inside a large Eastman facility where Eastman produces a number of other chemical products. We can access ethylene to support our polyethylene operations either by purchasing ethylene from Eastman at the site or by transporting ethylene from our Lake Charles plant into the Gulf Coast grid and by transporting ethylene through our ethylene pipeline into our Longview facilities. The technologies we use to produce LDPE, LLDPE and HDPE at Longview are the same technologies that we employ at Lake Charles (autoclave LDPE and gas phase LLDPE and HDPE). The Longview facilities have a total capacity of 1.1 billion pounds per year.

Vinyls

Our Calvert City complex is situated on 550 acres on the Tennessee River in Kentucky and includes an ethylene plant, a chlor-alkali plant, a VCM plant and a PVC plant. The capacity of our Calvert City ethylene plant is 450 million pounds per year and the capacity of our chlor-alkali plant is 410 million pounds of chlorine and 450 million pounds of caustic soda per year. Our chlorine plant utilizes efficient, state-of-the-art membrane technology. Our VCM plant has a capacity of 1.3 billion pounds per year and our Calvert City PVC plant has a capacity of 800 million pounds per year.

In 2002, we acquired a vinyls facility in Geismar, Louisiana which is situated on 184 acres on the Mississippi River. The site includes a PVC plant with a capacity of 600 million pounds per year and a VCM plant with a capacity of 600 million pounds per year with related EDC capacity.

We currently operate eleven fabricated products plants, consisting of eight PVC pipe plants, and three profiles plants producing PVC fence, decking, windows and patio door profiles. The majority of our plants are strategically located near our Calvert City complex and serve customers throughout the middle United States. The combined capacity of our fabricated product plants is 915 million pounds per year.

We believe our current facilities are adequate to meet the requirements of our present and foreseeable future operations.

Headquarters

Our principal executive offices are located in Houston, Texas. Our office space is leased, at market rates, from an affiliate under a lease that expires on December 31, 2009. See Note 13 to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in our proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of December 31, 2006 pursuant to Regulation 14A with respect to our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders (the "Proxy Statement").

Table of Contents

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In October 2003, we filed suit against CITGO Petroleum Corporation in state court in Lake Charles, Louisiana, asserting that CITGO had failed to take sufficient hydrogen under two successive contracts pursuant to which we supplied and we supply to CITGO hydrogen that we generate as a co-product in our ethylene plants in Lake Charles. In December 2003, CITGO responded with an answer and a counterclaim against us, asserting that CITGO had overpaid us for hydrogen due to our allegedly faulty sales meter and that we are obligated to reimburse CITGO for the overpayments. In January 2004, we filed a motion to compel arbitration of CITGO's counterclaim and to stay all court proceedings relating to the counterclaim. In May 2004, the parties filed a joint motion with the court to provide for CITGO's counterclaim to be resolved by arbitration. Our claim against CITGO was approximately \$8.1 million plus interest at the prime rate plus two percentage points and attorneys' fees. CITGO's claim against us was approximately \$7.8 million plus interest at the prime rate plus two percentage points and attorneys' fees. Effective November 21, 2006, the parties agreed to settle the litigation and dismiss their claims. As a result of this settlement, we recorded a benefit of \$2.6 million in cost of sales due to a reduction in contingency reserves.

In addition to the matters described above and under Item 1, Business Environmental and Other Regulation, we are involved in various routine legal proceedings incidental to the conduct of our business. We do not believe that any of these routine legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Albert Chao (age 57). Mr. Chao has been our President since May 1996 and a director since June 2003. Mr. Chao has over 30 years of international experience in the chemical industry. In 1985, Mr. Chao assisted his father T.T. Chao and his brother James Chao in founding us, where he served as Executive Vice President until he succeeded James as President. He has held positions in the Controller's Group of Mobil Oil Corporation, in the Technical Department of Hercules Incorporated, in the Plastics Group of Gulf Oil Corporation and has served as Assistant to the Chairman of China General Plastics Group and Deputy Managing Director of a plastics fabrication business in Singapore. He is also a director of Titan Chemicals Corp. Bhd. Mr. Chao received a bachelor's degree from Brandeis University and an M.B.A. from Columbia University. Mr. Chao is a trustee of Rice University.

James Chao (age 59). Mr. Chao has been our Chairman of the Board since July 2004 and became a director in June 2003. He previously served as our Vice Chairman of the Board since May 1996. Mr. Chao also has responsibility for the oversight of our Vinyls business. Mr. Chao has over 30 years of international experience in the chemical industry. In June 2003, he was named Chairman of Titan Chemicals Corp. Bhd. and previously served as the Managing Director. He has served as a Special Assistant to the Chairman of China General Plastics Group and worked in various financial, managerial and technical positions at Mattel Incorporated, Developmental Bank of Singapore, Singapore Gulf Plastics Pte. Ltd. and Gulf Oil Corporation. Mr. Chao, along with his brother Albert Chao, assisted their father T.T. Chao in founding us and served as our first president from 1985 to 1996. Mr. Chao received his Bachelor of Science degree from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from Columbia University.

David R. Hansen (age 56). Mr. Hansen has been our Senior Vice President, Administration, since September 1999 and served as Vice President, Human Resources from 1993 to 1999. From August 2003 until July 2004 he was also our Secretary. Prior to joining us in 1990, Mr. Hansen served as Director of Human Resources & Administration for Agrico Chemical Company and held various human resources and administrative management positions within the Williams Companies. He has 30 years of administrative management experience in the oil, gas, energy, chemicals, pipeline, plastics and computer industries. He received

Table of Contents

his Bachelor of Science degree in Social Science from the University of Utah and has completed extensive graduate work toward an M.S. in Human Resources Management.

Wayne D. Morse (age 63). Mr. Morse has been a Senior Vice President since 1994 and was named Senior Vice President, Vinyls and Manufacturing in January 2003. In July 2004, he was named Senior Vice President, Vinyls. Mr. Morse joined us in 1990 after 23 years of service with Goodrich Corporation. He held the position of Vice President and General Manager of BFG Intermediates Division, which had ethylene, chlor-alkali and EDC/VCM operations. Since joining us, Mr. Morse has had broad executive responsibility for all chemical operations and is the senior manufacturing executive of our company. Mr. Morse earned a B.S. degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Louisville.

M. Steven Bender (age 50). Mr. Bender has been our Vice President and Treasurer since June 2005. On February 23, 2007, Mr. Bender was elected to the additional position of Chief Financial Officer. From June 2002 until June 2005, Mr. Bender served as Vice President and Treasurer of Kellogg Brown and Root, Inc., a subsidiary of Halliburton Company, and from 1996 to 2002 he held the position of Assistant Treasurer for Halliburton. Prior to that, he held various financial positions within that company. Additionally, he was employed by Texas Eastern Corporation for over a decade in a variety of increasingly responsible audit, finance and treasury positions. Mr. Bender received a Bachelor of Business Administration from Texas A&M University and an M.B.A. from Southern Methodist University. Mr. Bender is also a Certified Public Accountant.

George J. Mangieri (age 56). Mr. Mangieri has been our Vice President and Controller since joining us in April 2000. Prior to joining us, Mr. Mangieri served as Vice President and Controller of Zurn Industries, Inc. from 1998 to 2000. He previously was employed as Vice President and Controller for Imo Industries, Inc. in New Jersey, and spent over 10 years in public accounting with Ernst & Young LLP, where he served as Senior Manager. He received his Bachelor of Science degree from Monmouth College and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Jeffrey L. Taylor (age 53). Mr. Taylor has been our Vice President, Polyethylene, since January 2003. Mr. Taylor joined us in March 2002 as Manager, PE Marketing. Mr. Taylor joined us after a 25-year career with Chevron Phillips Chemical Company where he served as the Vice President, Polyethylene, Americas from 2000 to 2001 and Marketing Manager Polyethylene from 1999 to 2000. During his career, he has held a variety of sales, marketing, operations and general management assignments. He is a graduate of the University of Delaware with a B.S. in Business Administration and a B.A. in Mathematics.

Stephen Wallace (age 60). Mr. Wallace joined us in December 2003 as our Vice President and General Counsel and was elected Secretary in July 2004. He began his legal career over 20 years ago at the law firm of Baker Botts L.L.P., which he left as a partner in 1993. He subsequently held senior corporate legal positions with Transworld Oil U.S.A., Inc. (1993-1996; 2002-2003), Oman Oil Company Ltd. (1996-1997), and Enron Global Exploration & Production Inc. and its affiliates (1997-2002). Mr. Wallace holds a B.A. from Rice University and a Ph.D. from Cornell University in linguistics, and received his J.D. from the University of Houston.

Warren W. Wilder (age 49). Mr. Wilder has been our Senior Vice President, Olefins and Styrene, since May 2006. From January 2003 to May 2006, he was our Vice President, Olefins and Styrene. Mr. Wilder joined us in January 2000 as Vice President, Planning and Business Development, and in February 2001, he was appointed Vice President, Polyethylene. Prior to joining us, he was an executive with Koch Industries, Inc. for over 10 years where he held positions in planning and business development, finance, operations and general management, including Vice President, Koch Hydrocarbons from 1996 to 1999. Mr. Wilder holds a B.S. in Chemical Engineering from the University of Washington and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**
Price Range of Common Stock

As of February 20, 2007, there were 71 holders of record of our common stock. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol WLK. Set forth below are the high and low closing prices for our common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape for the periods indicated and the cash dividends declared in these periods.

| | High | Low | Cash Dividends Declared |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2006 | | | |
| 4th Quarter | \$ 35.29 | \$ 31.13 | \$ 0.04000 |
| 3rd Quarter | 33.29 | 26.38 | 0.04000 |
| 2nd Quarter | 34.77 | 26.58 | 0.02750 |
| 1st Quarter | 36.75 | 29.03 | 0.02750 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | | |
| 4th Quarter | \$ 35.50 | \$ 26.16 | \$ 0.02750 |
| 3rd Quarter | 32.97 | 24.55 | 0.02750 |
| 2nd Quarter | 33.26 | 22.29 | 0.02125 |
| 1st Quarter | 37.03 | 30.63 | 0.02125 |

Our credit facility and the indenture governing our 6⁵/₈% notes due 2016 restrict our ability to pay dividends or other distributions on our equity securities. We do not currently expect these restrictions to materially limit our ability to pay regular quarterly dividends. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Debt for a discussion of the restrictions.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans are as follows:

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a)) |
|--|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 356,284 | \$ 20.57 | 5,547,760 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total | 356,284 | \$ 20.57 | 5,547,760 |

Other information regarding our equity compensation plans is set forth in the section entitled Executive Compensation in our Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial and Operational Data(1)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| | (dollars in thousands, except per share and volume data) | | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 2,484,366 | \$ 2,441,105 | \$ 1,985,353 | \$ 1,423,034 | \$ 1,072,627 |
| Gross profit | 396,483 | 443,631 | 303,185 | 121,952 | 80,569 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 83,232 | 76,598 | 60,238 | 57,014 | 64,258 |
| Gain on sale of assets | | | (2,049) | | |
| Gain on legal settlement | | | | (3,162) | |
| Impairment of long-lived assets(2) | | | 1,830 | 2,285 | 2,239 |
| Income from operations | 313,251 | 367,033 | 243,166 | 65,815 | 14,072 |
| Interest expense | (16,519) | (23,717) | (39,350) | (38,589) | (35,044) |
| Debt retirement cost | (25,853) | (646) | (15,791) | (11,343) | |
| Other income, net(3) | 11,670 | 2,658 | 2,637 | 7,620 | 6,769 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 282,549 | 345,328 | 190,662 | 23,503 | (14,203) |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 87,990 | 118,511 | 69,940 | 8,747 | (7,141) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 194,559 | \$ 226,817 | \$ 120,722 | \$ 14,756 | \$ (7,062) |
| Earnings per share information(4): | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.99 | \$ 3.49 | \$ 2.19 | \$ 0.30 | \$ (0.14) |
| Diluted | \$ 2.98 | \$ 3.48 | \$ 2.18 | \$ 0.30 | \$ (0.14) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 65,133,628 | 65,008,253 | 55,230,786 | 49,499,395 | 49,499,395 |
| Diluted | 65,254,654 | 65,251,109 | 55,355,442 | 49,499,395 | 49,499,395 |
| Balance Sheet Data (end of period): | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 52,646 | \$ 237,895 | \$ 43,396 | \$ 37,381 | \$ 11,123 |
| Working capital(5) | 527,875 | 597,014 | 421,723 | 197,715 | 158,993 |
| Total assets | 2,082,098 | 1,827,189 | 1,592,453 | 1,370,113 | 1,309,245 |
| Total debt | 260,156 | 266,889 | 298,089 | 537,289 | 533,350 |
| Minority interest | | | | 22,100 | 22,100 |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,173,541 | 994,106 | 769,397 | 445,603 | 428,519 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.1350 | \$ 0.0975 | \$ 0.02125 | \$ | \$ |
| Other Operating Data: | | | | | |
| Cash flow from: | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 237,184 | \$ 318,447 | \$ 150,781 | \$ 78,087 | \$ (21,326) |
| Investing activities | (404,336) | (87,590) | (79,963) | (41,581) | (38,686) |
| Financing activities | (18,097) | (36,358) | (64,803) | (10,248) | (7,690) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 86,262 | 81,241 | 81,075 | 87,293 | 88,018 |
| Capital expenditures | 136,258 | 85,760 | 52,710 | 44,931 | 43,587 |
| EBITDA(6) | 385,330 | 450,286 | 311,087 | 149,385 | 108,859 |
| External Sales Volume (millions of pounds): | | | | | |
| Olefins Segment | | | | | |
| Polyethylene | 1,318 | 1,237 | 1,330 | 1,280 | 1,199 |
| Ethylene, styrene and other | 858 | 979 | 1,138 | 861 | 767 |
| Vinyls Segment | | | | | |
| Fabricated finished products | 758 | 854 | 660 | 517 | 543 |
| VCM, PVC, and other | 1,289 | 1,223 | 1,097 | 1,120 | 1,184 |

Table of Contents

- (1) The historical selected financial and operational data should be read together with item 7, Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data included in this annual report on Form 10-K.

- (2) The 2004 impairments related to a PVC plant not in service and Olefins segment assets written down to fair market value. The 2003 impairments related primarily to idled styrene assets and other miscellaneous assets written down to fair market value. The 2002 impairment related to a ceased product business.

- (3) Other income, net is composed of interest income, insurance proceeds, equity income, management fee income and other gains and losses.

- (4) Does not reflect the issuance of common stock in exchange for preferred stock as part of the internal reorganizations immediately prior to our initial public offering.

- (5) Working capital equals current assets less current liabilities.

- (6) EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure) is calculated as net income before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. The body of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States is commonly referred to as GAAP. For this purpose a non-GAAP financial measure is generally defined by the SEC as one that purports to measure historical and future financial performance, financial position or cash flows, but excludes or includes amounts that would not be so adjusted in the most comparable GAAP measures. We have included EBITDA in this Form 10-K because our management considers it an important supplemental measure of our performance and believes that it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry, some of which present EBITDA when reporting their results. We regularly evaluate our performance as compared to other companies in our industry that have different financing and capital structures and/or tax rates by using EBITDA. EBITDA allows for meaningful company-to-company performance comparisons by adjusting for factors such as interest expense, depreciation and amortization and taxes, which often vary from company to company. In addition, we utilize EBITDA in evaluating acquisition targets. Management also believes that EBITDA is a useful tool for measuring our ability to meet our future debt service, capital expenditures and working capital requirements, and EBITDA is commonly used by us and our investors to measure our ability to service indebtedness. EBITDA is not a substitute for the GAAP measures of earnings or of cash flow and is not necessarily a measure of our ability to fund our cash needs. In addition, it should be noted that companies calculate EBITDA differently and, therefore, EBITDA as presented in this Form 10-K may not be comparable to EBITDA reported by other companies. EBITDA has material limitations as a performance measure because it excludes (1) interest expense, which is a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate revenues because we have borrowed money to finance our operations, (2) depreciation, which is a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate revenues because we use capital assets and (3) income taxes, which is a necessary element of our operations. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using EBITDA only supplementally. The following table reconciles EBITDA to net income (loss) and to cash flow from operating activities.

Table of Contents

**Reconciliation of EBITDA to Net Income (Loss) and
to Cash Flow from Operating Activities**

| | 2006 | Year Ended December 31, | | | 2002 |
|---|------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | |
| | | (dollars in thousands) | | | |
| EBITDA | \$ 385,330 | \$ 450,286 | \$ 311,087 | \$ 149,385 | \$ 108,859 |
| Less: | | | | | |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | (87,990) | (118,511) | (69,940) | (8,747) | 7,141 |
| Interest expense | (16,519) | (23,717) | (39,350) | (38,589) | (35,044) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (86,262) | (81,241) | (81,075) | (87,293) | (88,018) |
| Net income (loss) | 194,559 | 226,817 | 120,722 | 14,756 | (7,062) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | 21,931 | 41,438 | (41,156) | 48,245 | (19,137) |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated subsidiary | (1,766) | (94) | (1,379) | (1,510) | (770) |
| Deferred income taxes | 13,852 | 45,745 | 65,188 | 7,112 | (4,716) |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | | 1,830 | 2,285 | 2,239 |
| Write-off of debt issuance cost | 3,623 | 646 | 4,153 | 7,343 | |
| Loss (gain) from disposition of fixed assets | 2,848 | 4,746 | (218) | (2,903) | (2,259) |
| Amortization of debt issue costs | 850 | 1,456 | 2,097 | 887 | |
| Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts | 1,287 | (2,307) | (456) | 1,872 | 10,379 |
| Cash flow from operating activities | \$ 237,184 | \$ 318,447 | \$ 150,781 | \$ 78,087 | \$ (21,326) |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Overview

We are a vertically integrated manufacturer and marketer of petrochemicals, polymers and fabricated products. Our two principal business segments are olefins and vinyls. We use the majority of our internally-produced basic chemicals to produce higher value-added chemicals and fabricated products.

Consumption of the basic chemicals that we manufacture in the commodity portions of our olefins and vinyls processes has increased significantly over the past 30 years. Our olefins and vinyls products are some of the most widely used chemicals in the world and are upgraded into a wide variety of higher value-added chemical products used in many end-markets. Petrochemicals are typically manufactured in large volume by a number of different producers using widely available technologies. The petrochemical industry exhibits cyclical commodity characteristics, and margins are influenced by changes in the balance between supply and demand and the resulting operating rates, the level of general economic activity and the price of raw materials. The cycle is generally characterized by periods of tight supply, leading to high operating rates and margins, followed by a decline in operating rates and margins primarily as a result of significant capacity additions. Due to the significant size of new plants, capacity additions are built in large increments and typically require several years of demand growth to be absorbed. In 2003 and 2004 the olefins and vinyls markets began a cyclical recovery and operating rates and margins began to increase as economic growth improved and excess capacity was absorbed. These factors resulted in increased industry product margins in 2004, 2005 and 2006.

In our Vinyls segment, industry forecasts estimate that PVC industry operating rates dropped to 75% for the fourth quarter of 2006 from 94% in the third quarter of 2006. This downturn was primarily due to weakness in the residential housing market and a reduction of inventory by PVC converters due to falling prices. The decline started in September 2006 and continued through December 2006. Looking forward, North American PVC capacity is projected to increase by 6% in 2008 and 6% in 2009. Demand for PVC is expected to increase by 2% per year over the next five years. As a result, operating rates could decline from peak levels achieved in early 2006.

In our Olefins segment, industry ethylene operating rates reached a peak of 90% in the second quarter of 2006 and trended down to 87% in the fourth quarter of 2006. Over the next five years some industry forecasts show a 5% per year increase in worldwide ethylene capacity with the largest increase in the Middle East and Asia. Demand is expected to grow 4% per year during this period. Operating rates in the U.S. are expected to peak in 2008 and decline gradually during the remainder of the decade primarily due to reduced exports.

We purchase significant amounts of ethane and propane feedstock, natural gas, chlorine and salt from external suppliers for use in production of basic chemicals in the olefins and vinyls chains. We also purchase significant amounts of electricity to supply the energy required in our production processes. While we have agreements providing for the supply of ethane and propane feedstocks, natural gas, chlorine, salt and electricity, the contractual prices for these raw materials and energy vary with market conditions and may be highly volatile. Factors which have caused volatility in our raw material prices in the past and which may do so in the future, include:

shortages of raw materials due to increasing demand;

capacity constraints due to construction delays, strike action or involuntary shutdowns;

the general level of business and economic activity; and

the direct or indirect effect of governmental regulation.

Significant volatility in raw material costs tends to put pressure on product margins, as sales price increases generally tend to lag behind raw material cost increases. Conversely, when raw material costs decrease, customers seek relief in the form of lower sales prices. We currently use derivative instruments to reduce price

Table of Contents

volatility risk on feedstock commodities and lower overall costs. Normally, there is a pricing relationship between a commodity that we process and the feedstock from which it is derived. When this pricing relationship deviates from historical norms, we have from time to time entered into derivative instruments and physical positions in an attempt to take advantage of this relationship.

Our historical results have been significantly affected by our plant production capacity, our efficient use of the capacity and our ability to increase our capacity. Since our inception, we have followed a disciplined growth strategy that focuses on plant acquisitions, new plant construction and internal expansion. We evaluate each expansion project on the basis of its ability to produce sustained returns in excess of our cost of capital and its ability to improve efficiency or reduce operating costs.

On November 30, 2006, we closed the acquisition of Eastman Chemical Company's polyethylene and Epolen® polymers businesses, related assets and a 200 mile, 10 inch pipeline from Mont Belvieu, Texas to Longview, Texas, all of which are headquartered in Longview, Texas. The purchase price was \$235.0 million in cash, which included working capital, and is subject to working capital adjustments. The polyethylene business and associated operating facilities have a capacity of 1,125 million pounds per year of polyethylene. This capacity is comprised of 700 million pounds per year of low density polyethylene (LDPE) and 425 million pounds of linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) and high density polyethylene (HDPE). With this acquisition, our total polyethylene capacity is now in excess of 2.5 billion pounds per year. We also acquired technology for the production of specialty polyolefin polymers including: acrylate co-polymers; Epolene® polymers for the adhesives, coatings and other consumer products markets; and Energx® technology for LLDPE and HDPE, which is designed to provide enhanced strength and performance properties. We believe that the acquisition of these assets is an excellent strategic fit, will further strengthen our position in the North American polyethylene market and will increase our ability to provide an improved overall product mix and new technology.

In addition, during 2006 we purchased additional interests in Suzhou Huasu Plastics Co. Ltd., our joint venture in China. All governmental approvals were obtained and the transaction closed in the second quarter of 2006. We increased our ownership percentage from approximately 43% to approximately 58% at a cost of \$6.4 million (\$1.8 million was paid in the fourth quarter of 2005 and \$4.6 million was paid in the second quarter of 2006). We will continue to account for this investment using the equity method of accounting because the entity does not meet the definition of a variable interest entity under FIN 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2003) an interpretation of ARB No. 51, and because contractual arrangements allowing certain substantive participatory rights to minority shareholders prevent us from exercising a controlling financial interest over this entity.

On April 18, 2006, we announced that we had entered into a Memorandum of Understanding to develop an ethane-based ethylene, polyethylene and other derivatives project in the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago. The Government of The Republic of Trinidad and Tobago has expressed an interest in becoming a minority equity partner in the project. As currently envisioned, the project would use 37,500 barrels per day of ethane to produce 570,000 metric tons (1.25 billion pounds) per year of ethylene, which would in turn be used to produce polyethylene and other derivative products. The project could be expanded in the future as more ethane becomes available. The capital cost is initially estimated to be approximately \$1.5 billion. The size, scope, and cost of the project are subject to further definition in connection with a detailed feasibility study that we are currently performing. It is expected that the project will be financed through a project financing arrangement. The preliminary project schedule contemplates that construction would start in late 2007 and that the project would start operations in late 2010.

In the second quarter of 2006, we completed a major maintenance turnaround in Calvert City. The ethylene and VCM units at Calvert City were down for 16 days while the chlor-alkali and PVC units were down for a shorter period. Sales continued during the turnaround from inventory on hand. In early September 2006, we encountered mechanical problems with a compressor and related equipment at one of our ethylene units in Lake Charles, Louisiana, resulting in an unscheduled shutdown of that unit. While that unit was down, we completed a

Table of Contents

maintenance turnaround of that unit that was scheduled for early 2007. During the unit's shut-down, we also completed portions of our previously announced project to upgrade the feedstock flexibility at our ethylene plant, which is expected to reduce energy costs and provide for additional ethylene capacity. The unit was successfully restarted in late October 2006 and resumed full production. As a result of the Lake Charles outage, we incurred approximately \$3.1 million in maintenance expense and \$27.4 million in turnaround costs which have been capitalized. We are also planning additional major turnarounds during 2007 at our Lake Charles facility. Early in the second quarter of 2007, we expect to shut down one of our ethylene units for approximately 45 days to tie in the project designed to upgrade the feedstock flexibility. In the fourth quarter of 2007, we are planning to complete a major maintenance turnaround at our Lake Charles styrene facility, which is expected to take approximately 35 days.

Results of Operations*Segment Data*

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net External Sales | | | |
| Olefins | | | |
| Polyethylene | \$ 783,968 | \$ 697,662 | \$ 601,269 |
| Ethylene, styrene and other | 585,612 | 652,380 | 649,985 |
| Total olefins | 1,369,580 | 1,350,042 | 1,251,254 |
| Vinyls | | | |
| Fabricated finished products | 596,461 | 587,547 | 394,513 |
| VCM, PVC, and other | 518,325 | 503,516 | 339,586 |
| Total vinyls | 1,114,786 | 1,091,063 | 734,099 |
| Total | \$ 2,484,366 | \$ 2,441,105 | \$ 1,985,353 |
| Intersegment Sales | | | |
| Olefins | \$ 131,277 | \$ 116,822 | \$ 53,668 |
| Vinyls | 1,077 | 1,173 | 553 |
| Total | \$ 132,354 | \$ 117,995 | \$ 54,221 |
| Income (Loss) from Operations: | | | |
| Olefins | \$ 160,875 | \$ 195,670 | \$ 179,587 |
| Vinyls | 157,918 | 179,407 | 69,723 |
| Corporate and other | (5,542) | (8,044) | (6,144) |
| Total | \$ 313,251 | \$ 367,033 | \$ 243,166 |
| Depreciation and Amortization: | | | |
| Olefins | \$ 51,741 | \$ 46,844 | \$ 49,213 |
| Vinyls | 34,391 | 34,343 | 31,671 |
| Corporate and other | 130 | 54 | 191 |
| Total | \$ 86,262 | \$ 81,241 | \$ 81,075 |
| Other Income (Expense), Net: | | | |
| Olefins | \$ (12) | \$ (1,933) | \$ (981) |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Vinyls | 216 | 301 | 121 |
| Corporate and other(1) | (14,387) | 3,644 | (12,294) |
| Total | \$ (14,183) | \$ 2,012 | \$ (13,154) |

(1) Debt retirement costs of \$25,853, \$646 and \$15,791 are included in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Table of Contents

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | Average Sales Price | Volume | Average Sales Price | Volume |
| Key product sales price and volume percentage change from prior year period | | | | |
| Olefins(1) | +7.8% | -1.9% | +20.7% | -8.8% |
| Vinyls(2) | +4.5% | -2.2% | +24.6% | +19.3% |
| Company average | +6.3% | -2.0% | +21.9% | +2.6% |

(1) Includes: Ethylene and co-products, polyethylene, and styrene.

(2) Includes: Ethylene co-products, caustic, VCM, PVC resin, PVC pipe, and other fabrication products.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Average industry prices(1) | | | |
| Ethane (cents/lb) | 22.1 | 21.0 | 16.9 |
| Propane (cents/lb) | 23.9 | 21.6 | 17.5 |
| Ethylene (cents/lb)(2) | 48.1 | 44.2 | 33.8 |
| Polyethylene (cents/lb)(3) | 74.4 | 70.3 | 58.2 |
| Styrene (cents/lb)(4) | 64.8 | 62.5 | 58.5 |
| Caustic (\$/short ton)(5) | 379.2 | 393.8 | 175.2 |
| Chlorine (\$/short ton)(6) | 330.0 | 346.9 | 268.1 |
| VCM (cents/lb)(7) | 42.5 | 40.7 | 32.4 |
| PVC (cents/lb)(8) | 60.3 | 56.8 | 45.8 |

Source: CMAI

(1) Industry pricing data was obtained through the Chemical Market Associates, Inc., or CMAI. We have not independently verified the data.

(2) Represents average North American spot prices of ethylene over the period as reported by CMAI.

(3) Represents average North American contract prices of polyethylene film over the period as reported by CMAI.

(4) Represents average North American spot prices of styrene over the period as reported by CMAI.

(5) Represents average North American spot prices of caustic soda (diaphragm grade) over the period as reported by CMAI.

(6) Represents average North American contract prices of chlorine (into chemicals) over the period as reported by CMAI.

(7) Represents North American contract prices of VCM over the period as reported by CMAI.

(8) Represents North American contract prices of PVC over the period as reported by CMAI.

Summary

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

For the year ended December 31, 2006, net income was \$194.6 million, or \$2.98 per diluted share, on net sales of \$2,484.4 million. This compares to the year ended December 31, 2005 net income of \$226.8 million, or \$3.48 per diluted share, on net sales of \$2,441.1 million. Results for 2006 included an after-tax charge which reduced net income by \$16.3 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share, related to the early retirement of debt and a tax benefit which increased net income by \$10.2 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share. The tax benefit was related to the reversal of various tax accruals due to the resolution of certain tax matters, the refinement of the estimate of deferred income taxes and the extra-territorial exclusion income benefit. Income from operations was \$313.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$367.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. For the first nine months of 2006, net income and income from operations were greater than the first nine months of 2005. However, the residential housing market slow down, inventory reduction

Table of Contents

(partly due to falling prices and partly due to the lack of hurricane activity on the U.S. gulf coast) and normal seasonal weakness resulted in a very weak fourth quarter of 2006. By comparison, the fourth quarter of 2005 was very strong due in large part to the impact of hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Income from operations during 2006 was also negatively impacted by lower production volumes, higher feedstock costs and higher maintenance expense due to a maintenance turnaround at our facility in Calvert City, Kentucky and the 55-day unscheduled outage at one of our ethylene units at Lake Charles, Louisiana. These negative impacts were partially offset by improved feedstock commodity trading gains of \$18.6 million in 2006 compared to a loss of \$3.8 million in 2005.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, net income was \$226.8 million, or \$3.48 per diluted share, on net sales of \$2,441.1 million. This compared favorably with the year ended December 31, 2004 net income of \$120.7 million, or \$2.18 per diluted share, on net sales of \$1,985.4 million. Results for 2004 included an after-tax charge of \$10.0 million, or \$0.18 per diluted share, related to the early retirement of debt. Income from operations was \$367.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$243.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. These increases were primarily due to higher sales volumes in the company's Vinyls segment and higher selling prices for the company's olefins and vinyls products, which outpaced higher feedstock and energy costs. Net income for 2005 also benefited from lower interest expense resulting from lower debt balances.

2006 Compared with 2005

Net Sales. Net sales increased by \$43.3 million, or 1.8%, to \$2,484.4 million in 2006 from \$2,441.1 million in 2005. This increase was primarily due to higher selling prices for our major products and higher sales volumes for PVC resin and polyethylene which was largely offset by lower sales volumes for ethylene, VCM, and PVC pipe. Higher selling prices largely resulted from higher raw material costs that were generally passed through to customers. PVC resin sales volumes increased primarily due to the supply of additional volumes from our Geismar facility and polyethylene sales volumes increased primarily due to the acquisition of the Longview facilities. Sales volumes for other major products were down largely due to the slowing market for new home construction, inventory reductions in the second half of 2006 and increased internal consumption of ethylene at our Geismar facility.

Gross Margin. Gross margin percentage decreased to 16.0% in 2006 from 18.2% in 2005. This decrease was primarily due to turnaround maintenance activity and weak demand in the fourth quarter of 2006 for many of our major products as customers generally reduced their inventories. Maintenance costs increased due to the outages at Lake Charles and Calvert City and additional fixed costs were expensed as several of the facilities curtailed production late in the year due to declining demand. In addition, margins decreased due to higher raw material costs. Our raw materials costs in both segments normally track industry prices, which experienced, according to CMAI, an increase of 5.1% for ethane and 10.9% for propane in 2006 as compared to 2005. As previously discussed, we use derivative instruments in conjunction with certain physical commodity positions to reduce price volatility risk on commodities and take advantage of pricing relationships. In 2006, cost of sales benefited from an improved feedstock commodity trading gain of \$18.6 million compared to a loss of \$1.9 million in 2005.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$6.6 million, or 8.6%, in 2006 as compared to 2005. The increase was primarily due to higher professional fees, the Goodrich settlement in 2005 with no comparable activity in 2006 (see Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements), additional headcount as a result of the acquisition of the Longview facilities and higher sales commissions.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased by \$7.2 million in 2006 to \$16.5 million from \$23.7 million in 2005. Average interest rates were lower in 2006 due to the issuance of \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6⁵/₈% senior notes on January 13, 2006 and the redemption of the \$247.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8³/₄% senior notes in February 2006.

Table of Contents

Debt Retirement Cost. As a result of the redemption of \$247.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8³/₄% senior notes and the repayment of \$9.0 million of our term loan, we recognized \$25.9 million in non-operating expense in the first quarter of 2006, consisting of a pre-payment premium on our 8³/₄% senior notes of \$22.2 million and a write-off of \$3.7 million in previously capitalized debt issuance cost. We recognized \$0.6 million in non-operating expense in the first quarter of 2005 resulting from a write-off in previously capitalized debt issuance cost in connection with the repayment of \$30.0 million of our term loan.

Other Income, Net. Other income, net increased by \$9.0 million to \$11.7 million in 2006 from \$2.7 million in 2005 primarily due to higher interest income associated with higher cash balances and higher interest rates, increased equity in income from our joint venture in China, and a derivative loss reflected in 2005.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate was 31.1% in 2006. The current year rate is below the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the release of, among other things, a tax benefit related to the reversal of various tax accruals due to the resolution of certain tax matters. This reduced the rate approximately 2.3 percentage points. In addition, adjustments were made to income taxes for deferred income taxes, tax benefits related to extraterritorial income exclusion tax benefits (ETI), tax benefits related to tax exempt interest and the manufacturing deduction. These reductions in the effective tax rate were partially offset by state taxes. The 2005 effective income tax rate of 34.3% was lower than the statutory rate of 35% due to ETI (approximately 1.6 percentage points), tax benefits related to the new domestic manufacturing deduction and other adjustments to deferred income taxes partially offset by state taxes.

Olefins Segment

Net Sales. Net sales increased by \$19.5 million, or 1.5%, to \$1,369.6 million in 2006 from \$1,350.0 million in 2005. Sales improved due to higher sales prices throughout the Olefins segment, which were largely offset by lower sales volumes for ethylene. Polyethylene sales volumes increased due to the acquisition of the Longview facilities on November 30, 2006. Average selling prices for the Olefins segment increased by 7.8% in 2006 as compared to 2005. Higher selling prices were primarily the result of our ability to pass along higher raw material costs to our customers. Overall sales volumes for the Olefins segment decreased 1.9% primarily due to an increase in the internal requirements for ethylene at our Geismar facility. Sales volumes were also impacted by inventory reductions by our customers and the housing slowdown that has occurred in the U.S. as previously discussed.

Income from Operations. Income from operations decreased by \$34.8 million, or 18.0%, to \$160.9 million in 2006 from \$195.7 million in 2005. This decrease was primarily due to higher raw material costs for ethane and propane, lower overall sales volumes and higher expenses related to the unscheduled outage and maintenance turnaround at one of our Lake Charles ethylene units. This decrease was partially offset by price increases throughout the Olefins segment which resulted in higher profit margins for polyethylene and ethylene although profit margins for these products dropped significantly in the fourth quarter of 2006. Income from operations also benefited from an improved feedstock trading gain of \$18.6 million compared to a loss of \$1.9 million in 2005.

Vinyls Segment

Net Sales. Net sales increased by \$23.7 million, or 2.2%, to \$1,114.8 million in 2006 from \$1,091.1 million in 2005. This increase was primarily due to overall higher selling prices for our Vinyls products, especially PVC resin, and higher sales volumes of PVC resin. Average selling prices for the Vinyls segment increased by 4.5% in 2006 as compared to 2005 as we were able to pass along higher raw material costs to our customers. Overall, the Vinyls segment sales volumes decreased by 2.2% compared to 2005 as a result of declines in VCM and PVC fabricated product sales volumes. PVC resin sales volumes increased primarily due to additional volumes from the Geismar facility. PVC pipe sales volumes were negatively impacted by the slowing rate of new home construction in the U.S. and inventory reductions by our customers.

Table of Contents

Income from Operations. Income from operations decreased by \$21.5 million, or 12.0%, to \$157.9 million in 2006 from \$179.4 million in 2005. This decrease was primarily due to lower production volumes and higher maintenance expense related to the planned maintenance turnaround at our Calvert City facility that occurred in May 2006, higher raw material costs and a significant reduction in demand for most of our vinyls products in the fourth quarter of 2006 as previously discussed. Selling prices and sales volumes decreased significantly in the fourth quarter resulting in lower operating rates and higher unabsorbed cost. The higher raw material costs resulted in lower margins for several of our products despite higher sales prices.

2005 Compared with 2004

Net Sales. Net sales increased by \$455.7 million, or 23.0%, to \$2,441.1 million in 2005 from \$1,985.4 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to price increases throughout our Olefins and Vinyls segments and higher sales volumes in VCM, PVC resin and PVC pipe. Higher selling prices largely resulted from stronger demand for our products and higher raw material costs that were generally passed through to customers. PVC pipe sales in 2005 were higher than in 2004 due to the August 2004 acquisition of the assets of Bristolpipe Corporation.

Gross Margin. Gross margins increased to 18.2% in 2005 from 15.3% in 2004. This increase was primarily due to higher selling prices throughout our Olefins and Vinyls segments and higher sales volumes for VCM, PVC resin and PVC pipe resulting from increased demand. These increases were partially offset by lower sales volumes for ethylene, polyethylene and styrene, higher raw material costs for ethane, propane and benzene and higher energy costs. Our raw materials costs in both segments normally track industry prices, which experienced, according to CMAI, an increase of 24.2% for ethane, 23.3% for propane and 0.7% for benzene in 2005 as compared to 2004. A fire at our Calvert City ethylene plant also negatively impacted our 2004 gross margin. We estimate that the gross margin impact of the outage in 2004 relating to the fire was approximately \$8.4 million, which was comprised of higher maintenance cost of \$3.4 million, lost margin on sales of approximately \$4.6 million and a write-off of equipment of \$0.4 million. Gross margin decreased by \$1.9 million due to feedstock-related trading losses in 2005.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses increased \$16.4 million, or 27.2%, in 2005 as compared to 2004. The increase was primarily due to costs related to compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, higher legal and environmental consultant fees, increased sales commissions and increased costs resulting from the Bristolpipe acquisition, partially offset by lower provision for doubtful accounts. SG&A costs in 2005 also increased as compared to 2004 due to the receipt of \$1.5 million in the first quarter of 2004 resulting from a legal settlement with a customer.

Gain on Sale of Asset. During the fourth quarter of 2004, we sold a co-generation unit that was included in the purchase of the Geismar assets. We recognized a \$2.0 million gain from the sale of those assets. We did not have any gain or loss on sale of assets in 2005.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Impairment of long-lived assets of \$1.8 million in 2004 was related to an idled PVC plant in Pace, Florida in the Vinyls segment (\$1.3 million) that was written down to its estimated sales value less commissions and ethylene assets in our Olefins segment (\$0.5 million) which were written down to their remaining fair market value. We did not have any impairments of long-lived assets in 2005.

Interest Expense. Interest expense in 2005 decreased by \$15.7 million to \$23.7 million from \$39.4 million in 2004 due to lower average debt balances, which were partially offset by higher average interest rates. The average monthly debt balance decreased by \$198.1 million to \$271.7 million in 2005 from \$469.8 million in 2004.

Debt Retirement Cost. We recognized \$0.6 million in non-operating expense in 2005 resulting from a write-off in previously capitalized debt issuance cost in connection with the repayment of \$30.0 million of our

Table of Contents

term loan. We recognized \$15.8 million in non-operating expense in 2004, consisting of a pre-payment premium on our 8^{3/4}% senior notes of \$11.6 million and a write off of \$4.2 million in previously capitalized debt cost.

Other Income, Net. Other income, net of \$2.7 million in 2005 increased slightly over the \$2.6 million in 2004 as 2005 reflected improved interest and derivative income, partially offset by lower earnings from our joint venture in China and insurance proceeds received in 2004.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate was 34.3% in 2005 as compared to 36.7% in 2004. The 2005 effective income tax rate is lower than the statutory rate of 35% due to Extraterritorial Income (ETI) exclusion tax benefits of approximately 1.6%, tax benefit of approximately 1% related to the new domestic manufacturing deduction and other provision adjustments partially offset by state taxes. The 2004 effective income tax rate is higher than the statutory rate primarily due to state taxes.

Olefins Segment

Net Sales. Net sales increased by \$98.7 million, or 7.9%, to \$1,350.0 million in 2005 from \$1,251.3 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to price increases for all of our Olefins segment products. These increases were partially offset by lower sales volumes for ethylene, polyethylene and styrene. Average selling prices for the Olefins segment increased by 20.7% in 2005 as compared to 2004. These increased prices were due primarily to higher industry demand and higher energy and raw material costs that were generally passed through to customers. The decrease in sales volumes resulted primarily from a three week outage caused by Hurricane Rita. In addition, styrene sales volumes decreased due to lower demand and merchant ethylene sales volumes decreased because our internal requirements for ethylene at Geismar increased.

Income from Operations. Income from operations increased by \$16.1 million to \$195.7 million in 2005 from \$179.6 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to price increases for ethylene, polyethylene and styrene. These increases were partially offset by lower sales volumes for ethylene, polyethylene and styrene, higher raw material costs for ethane, propane and benzene and higher energy costs.

Vinyls Segment

Net Sales. Net sales increased by \$357.0 million, or 48.6%, to \$1,091.1 million in 2005 from \$734.1 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to higher selling prices for all of our Vinyls segment products and higher sales volumes for VCM, PVC resin and PVC pipe. Average selling prices for the Vinyls segment increased by 24.6% in 2005 as compared to 2004. These increases were largely due to stronger industry demand for our products and higher raw material costs for propane and chlorine that were generally passed through to our customers. In addition to strong industry demand, PVC pipe sales volume also increased due to the August 2004 acquisition of the assets of Bristolpipe Corporation.

Income from Operations. Income from operations increased by \$109.7 million to \$179.4 million in 2005 from \$69.7 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to higher selling prices for all of our Vinyls segment products and higher sales volumes for VCM, PVC resin and PVC pipe, partially offset by higher energy costs and higher raw material costs for propane and chlorine. The earnings for 2004 were adversely impacted by a fire at the Calvert City ethylene plant. We estimate that the impact on income from operations from the outage relating to the fire was approximately \$8.4 million.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Operating activities provided cash of \$237.2 million in 2006 compared to \$318.4 million in 2005. The \$81.2 million decrease in cash flows from operating activities was primarily due to a decrease in income from

operations, debt retirement costs of \$25.9 million, turnaround costs of \$33.1 million and higher income tax

Table of Contents

payments in 2006. Tax payments are higher in 2006 than 2005 because 2005 benefited from utilization of net operating loss and alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards. Changes in components of working capital, which we define for purposes of this cash flow discussion as accounts receivable, inventories, prepaid expense and other current assets less accounts payable and accrued liabilities, used cash of \$17.8 million in 2006, compared to \$34.4 million of cash used in 2005. This change represents a decrease in cash use of \$16.6 million. In 2006, inventory increased by \$47.3 million primarily due to a drop in demand late in the year. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (excluding those accruals that are included in the section Cash flows from investing activities) increased by \$43.6 million during 2006.

Operating activities provided cash of \$318.4 million in 2005 compared to \$150.8 million in 2004. The \$167.6 million increase in cash flows from operating activities in 2005 as compared to 2004 was primarily due to improvements in income from operations, as described above, and less cash used for working capital. Income from operations increased by \$123.9 million in 2005 as compared to 2004. Changes in components of working capital used cash of \$34.4 million in 2005, compared to \$115.0 million cash used in 2004, a decrease of cash used of \$80.6 million. In 2005, receivables increased by \$66.2 million largely due to higher selling prices while inventory increased by \$20.1 million, primarily due to higher feedstock and energy prices. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased by \$52.5 million largely due to higher raw material and energy costs. The primary reasons for the \$115.0 million use of cash in 2004 related to working capital components were a \$39.3 million increase in receivables and a \$119.1 million increase in inventories, partially offset by a \$42.3 million increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The increase in receivables was mainly due to higher average selling prices and sales volumes. The increase in inventories was primarily due to higher feedstock and energy prices. The increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities was primarily due to higher energy and raw material costs.

Investing Activities

Net cash used for investing activities during 2006 was \$404.3 million as compared to \$87.6 million in 2005. Capital expenditures were \$136.3 million in 2006 as compared to \$85.8 million in 2005. The increase in capital expenditures was primarily due to a project designed to upgrade the feedstock flexibility in one of our ethylene plants at our Lake Charles facility and a project to expand our ethylene capacity. The remaining capital expenditures in 2006 primarily related to maintenance, safety and environmental projects. The capital expenditures in 2005 primarily related to maintenance, safety and environmental projects. Over the next two years, the Company expects to increase capital expenditures related to environmental compliance from \$4.6 million in 2006 to \$17.1 million in 2007 and \$17.6 million in 2008. A significant percentage of the 2007 and 2008 estimated amounts are related to equipment replacement and upgrades to maintain environmental compliance. We used \$235.7 million in cash during 2006 to acquire the Longview facilities (see Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements). The additions to equity investments of \$4.6 million in 2006 related to the additional equity interest purchased in Suzhou Huasu Plastics Co. Ltd., our joint venture in China, as discussed previously. In addition, the settlement of derivative instruments in 2006 was an outflow due to the settlement on derivative losses recognized in 2005, which was partially offset by cash settlement receipts from 2006.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$87.6 million in 2005 as compared to \$80.0 million in 2004 and \$41.6 million in 2003. We made capital expenditures in 2005 of \$85.8 million. These expenditures were for technological modifications to the EDC plant in Geismar, Louisiana and start up of the VCM and PVC portions of our facilities in Geismar (\$16.9 million), and we invested \$17.4 million in a project designed to upgrade the feedstock flexibility in our ethylene plant and a project to expand our ethylene capacity. The remaining capital expenditures of \$51.5 million were related to maintenance, safety and environmental projects. The \$1.9 million equity investment represents an additional equity investment in an unconsolidated subsidiary. We made capital expenditures in 2004 of \$52.7 million for refurbishment and upgrades related to the January 2004 fire at the Calvert City ethylene plant (\$2.6 million), technological modifications at the Geismar facility (\$15.5 million) and maintenance capital, safety and environmental related projects (\$34.6 million). The acquisition of business of \$33.3 million related to the acquisition of the assets of Bristolpipe Corporation, which was completed on

Table of Contents

August 2, 2004. These expenditures were partially offset by \$3.3 million of proceeds from the disposition of assets and \$2.8 million of insurance proceeds. We made capital expenditures in 2003 of \$44.9 million primarily related to maintenance, safety and environmental projects. These expenditures were partially offset by \$3.3 million of insurance proceeds.

Financing Activities

Net cash used by financing activities during 2006 was \$18.1 million as compared to \$36.4 million in 2005. During 2006, we received proceeds of \$249.2 million from the issuance of our 6⁵/₈% senior notes, which was offset by the repayment of \$256.0 million of debt and the payment of \$8.8 million of cash dividends. We also incurred \$4.3 million in costs associated with the debt repayment that were capitalized and that will be amortized over the term of the 6⁵/₈% senior notes. During 2005 we used \$31.2 million to repay debt and \$6.3 million to pay dividends.

Financing activities used cash of \$36.4 million in 2005, compared to \$64.8 million in 2004 and \$10.2 million in 2003. During 2005, we used \$31.2 million to repay debt and \$6.3 million to pay dividends, which was partially offset by proceeds of \$1.2 million from the exercise of stock options. In August 2004 we completed the initial public offering of our common stock (the "IPO"). Net proceeds from the IPO of \$181.2 million and cash generated from operating activities were used to repay \$244.9 million of debt and affiliate borrowings in 2004. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below. In 2003, we incurred \$14.1 million in costs associated with the refinancing that were capitalized and that will be amortized over the term of the new debt.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity and Financing Arrangements

Our principal sources of liquidity are from cash and cash equivalents, cash from operations, short-term borrowings under our revolving credit facility and our long-term financing. As discussed previously, we are currently performing a feasibility study in connection with the potential development of an ethane-based ethylene, polyethylene and other derivatives project in the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago. The capital cost is initially estimated to be approximately \$1.5 billion, in which we would be a majority partner. If this project is approved, construction could commence in late 2007. It is expected that we would invest some level of cash and the remainder would be financed through a project financing arrangement. We believe that our sources of liquidity as described above, along with any additional project financing, will be adequate to fund our cash requirements.

Cash

Cash balances were \$52.6 million at December 31, 2006 compared to \$237.9 million at December 31, 2005. In addition, we have a revolving credit facility available to supplement cash if needed, as described under "Debt" below.

Debt

Our \$300.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility is a source of liquidity. As of December 31, 2006, any borrowings under the revolving credit facility bore interest at either LIBOR plus 1.00% or prime rate minus 0.50%. The revolving credit facility also requires an unused commitment fee of 0.25%. All interest rates under the facility are subject to quarterly grid pricing adjustments based on a fixed charge coverage ratio. The facility matures on January 6, 2011. As of December 31, 2006, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$13.6 million and available borrowing capacity of \$286.4 million under this facility.

As of December 31, 2006, our long-term debt, including current maturities, totaled \$260.1 million, consisting of \$249.2 million principal amount of 6⁵/₈% senior notes due 2016 and a \$10.9 million loan from the

Table of Contents

proceeds of tax-exempt revenue bonds (supported by an \$11.3 million letter of credit). Debt outstanding under the tax-exempt bonds bears interest at variable rates.

On January 13, 2006, we issued \$250.0 million of 6⁵/₈% aggregate principal amount of senior notes due 2016, the proceeds of which, together with cash on hand, were used to redeem our 8³/₄% senior notes due 2011 and repay our term loan as follows:

On January 18, 2006, we repaid the entire \$9.0 million outstanding under our term loan, plus accrued but unpaid interest.

On two redemption dates, February 8, 2006 and February 13, 2006, we redeemed the entire \$247.0 million principal amount outstanding of our 8³/₄% senior notes due 2011, and paid a pre-payment premium of \$22.2 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest. As a result of the early redemption of the 8³/₄% senior notes due 2011 and the repayment of the term loan, we recognized \$25.9 million in non-operating expense in the first quarter of 2006 consisting of a pre-payment premium on the 8³/₄% senior notes of \$22.2 million and a write-off of \$3.7 million in previously capitalized debt issuance cost.

The 6⁵/₈% senior notes are unsecured and were issued with an original issue discount of \$0.8 million. There is no sinking fund and no scheduled amortization of the notes prior to maturity. The notes are subject to redemption and the holders may require us to repurchase the notes upon a change of control. All domestic restricted subsidiaries that guarantee other debt of ours or of another guarantor of the senior notes in excess of \$5 million are guarantors of the notes.

The agreements governing the 6⁵/₈% senior notes and the revolving credit facility each contain customary covenants and events of default. Accordingly, these agreements impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions, among other things, provide limitations on incurrence of additional indebtedness, the payment of dividends, certain investments and acquisitions and sales of assets. These limitations are subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions, including, without limitation, an exception for the payment of our regular quarterly dividend of up to \$0.20 per share (currently \$0.04 per share). The 6⁵/₈% senior notes indenture does not allow distributions, including dividends and certain other restricted payments unless, after giving pro forma effect to the distribution, our fixed charge coverage ratio is at least 2.0 and such payment, together with the aggregate amount of all other distributions after January 13, 2006, is less than the sum of 50% of our consolidated net income for the period from October 1, 2003 to the end of the most recent quarter for which financial statements have been filed, plus 100% of net cash proceeds received after October 1, 2003 as a contribution to our common equity capital or from the issuance or sale of certain securities, plus several other adjustments. The amount allowed under this restriction was \$436.3 million at December 31, 2006. The revolving credit facility also restricts dividend payments unless, after giving effect to such payment, the availability under the line of credit equals or exceeds \$60.0 million. None of the agreements require us to maintain specified financial ratios, except that the revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0 to 1.0 when availability falls below \$60.0 million. In addition, the 6⁵/₈% senior notes indenture and the revolving credit facility restrict our ability to create liens, to engage in certain affiliate transactions and to engage in sale-leaseback transactions.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future, which is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. Based on our current level of operations, we believe our cash flow from operations, available cash and available borrowings under our revolving credit facility will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

In addition to long-term debt, we are required to make payments relating to various types of obligations. The following table summarizes our minimum payments as of December 31, 2006 relating to long-term debt, operating leases, unconditional purchase obligations and operating leases, other long-term liabilities and interest payments for the next five years and thereafter, after giving effect to the refinancing transaction described above.

| | Total | Payment Due by Period | | | Thereafter |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | 2007 | 2008-2009 (dollars in millions) | 2010-2011 | |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 260.9 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 260.9 |
| Operating leases | 123.2 | 27.6 | 49.3 | 30.3 | 16.0 |
| Unconditional purchase obligations | 490.6 | 231.7 | 238.3 | 11.0 | 9.6 |
| Other liabilities included in the balance sheet | 3.1 | 3.1 | | | |
| Interest payments | 166.5 | 17.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 81.5 |
| Total | \$ 1,044.3 | \$ 279.4 | \$ 321.6 | \$ 75.3 | \$ 368.0 |
| Other Commercial Commitments | | | | | |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 13.6 | \$ 2.3 | \$ 11.3 | \$ | \$ |

Long-Term Debt. Long-term debt reflects the 6⁵/₈% senior notes and the tax-exempt revenue bonds.

Operating Leases. We lease various facilities and equipment under noncancelable operating leases (primarily related to rail car leases and land) for various periods.

Unconditional Purchase Obligations. We are party to various unconditional obligations to purchase products and services, primarily including commitments to purchase ethylene, power, nitrogen, oxygen, wastewater treatment services, product storage and pipeline usage. The ethylene obligation included above is based on a December 31, 2006 price and is subject to price variation in the future. We also have various purchase commitments for materials, supplies and services incident to the ordinary conduct of business which may not be unconditional and are not reflected in the table above.

Other Liabilities. The amounts represent a current liability for a technology license used to produce LLDPE and HDPE. The license requires us to make annual payments of \$3.1 million through May 2007. The amounts do not include pension liabilities, post-retirement medical liabilities, deferred charges and other items due to the uncertainty of the future payment schedule. Long-term liabilities for pension and post-retirement liabilities totaled \$33.3 million as of December 31, 2006.

Interest Payments. Interest payments are based on interest rates in effect at December 31, 2006 and assume contractual amortization payments.

Standby Letters of Credit. This includes (1) our obligation under a \$11.3 million letter of credit issued in connection with the \$10.9 million tax-exempt revenue bonds and (2) other letters of credit totaling \$2.3 million issued to support obligations under our insurance programs, including workers' compensation claims and other commercial obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are important to our financial condition and require management's most difficult, subjective, or complex judgments. Different amounts would be reported under different operating conditions or under alternative assumptions. We have evaluated the accounting policies used in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes and believe those policies are reasonable and appropriate.

We apply those accounting policies that we believe best reflect the underlying business and economic events, consistent with GAAP. Our more critical accounting policies include those related to long-lived assets, accruals for long-term employee benefits, inventories, accounts receivable and environmental and legal obligations. Inherent in such policies are certain key assumptions and estimates. We periodically update the estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements based on our latest assessment of the current and projected business and general economic environment. Our significant accounting policies are summarized in Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. We believe the following to be our most critical accounting policies applied in the preparation of our financial statements.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue is recognized when title and risk of loss passes to the customer upon delivery under executed customer purchase orders or contracts. For export contracts, the title and risk of loss passes to customers at the time specified by each contract. Provisions for discounts, rebates and returns are provided for in the same period as the related sales are recorded.

Long-Lived Assets. Key estimates related to long-lived assets include useful lives, recoverability of carrying values and existence of any retirement obligations and such estimates could be significantly modified. The carrying values of long-lived assets could be impaired by new technological developments, new chemical industry entrants with significant raw material or other cost advantages, uncertainties associated with the U.S. and world economies, the cyclical nature of the chemical and refining industries and uncertainties associated with governmental actions.

We periodically evaluate long-lived assets for potential impairment indicators. Our judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators are based on legal factors, market conditions and the operational performance of our businesses. Actual impairment losses incurred could vary significantly from amounts estimated. Additionally, future events could cause us to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that associated long-lived assets of our businesses are impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

The estimated useful lives of long-lived assets range from three to 25 years. Depreciation and amortization of these assets, including amortization of deferred turnaround costs, under the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives totaled \$86.3 million, \$81.2 million and \$81.1 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. If the useful lives of the assets were found to be shorter than originally estimated, depreciation charges would be accelerated.

We defer the costs of major turnaround maintenance and repair activities and amortize the costs over the period until the next expected major turnaround of the affected unit. In 2006, we had a major turnaround at our Calvert City facility and at one of our ethylene units in Lake Charles. Total costs deferred on these turnarounds were \$33.1 million. There were no major turnarounds in 2005 and 2004. Amortization in 2006, 2005 and 2004 of previously deferred turnaround costs was \$4.9 million, \$5.0 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, capitalized turnaround costs, net of accumulated amortization, totaled \$35.6 million. Expensing turnaround costs would likely result in greater variability of our quarterly operating results and would adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

Additional information concerning long-lived assets and related depreciation and amortization appears in Note 6 to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Long-Term Employee Benefit Costs. Our costs for long-term employee benefits, particularly pension and postretirement medical and life benefits, are incurred over long periods of time and involve many uncertainties over those periods. The net periodic benefit cost attributable to current periods is based on several assumptions about such future uncertainties, and is sensitive to changes in those assumptions. It is our responsibility, often with the assistance of independent experts, to select assumptions that represent the best estimates of those uncertainties. It is also our responsibility to review those assumptions periodically and, if necessary, adjust the assumptions to reflect changes in economic or other factors.

Accounting for employee retirement plans involves estimating the cost of benefits that are to be provided in the future and attempting to match, for each employee, that estimated cost to the period worked. To accomplish this, we rely extensively on advice from actuaries, and assumptions are made about inflation, investment returns, mortality, employee turnover and discount rates that ultimately impact amounts recorded. While we believe that the amounts recorded in the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K related to these retirement plans are based on the best estimates and judgments available, the actual outcomes could differ from these estimates.

Assumed healthcare trend rates do not have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the healthcare plans because benefits for participants are capped at a fixed amount.

Additional information on the key assumptions underlying these benefit costs appears in Note 12 to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Inventories. Inventories primarily include product, materials and supplies. Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out, or FIFO, method. The use of other methods, such as LIFO, could result in differing amounts being reported as inventories and cost of sales depending on price changes and sales turnover levels.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. In our determination of the allowance for doubtful accounts, and consistent with our accounting policy, we estimate the amount of accounts receivable that we believe are unlikely to be collected and we record an expense of that amount. Estimating this amount requires us to analyze the financial strength of our customers, and, in our analysis, we combine the use of historical experience, our accounts receivable aged trial balance and specific collectibility analysis. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly. Balances over 90 days past due and accounts determined by our analysis of financial strength of customers to be high risk are reviewed individually for collectibility. By its nature, such an estimate is highly subjective and it is possible that the amount of accounts receivable that we are unable to collect may be different than the amount initially estimated.

Income Taxes. The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under the liability method, deferred tax assets or liabilities are recorded based upon temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their carrying values for financial reporting purposes. Deferred tax expense or benefit is the result of changes in the deferred tax assets and liabilities during the period. Valuation allowances are recorded against deferred tax assets when it is considered more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Environmental and Legal Obligations. We consult with various professionals to assist us in making estimates relating to environmental costs and legal proceedings. We accrue an expense when we determine that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount is reasonably estimable. While we believe that the amounts recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements related to these contingencies are based on the best estimates and judgments available, the actual outcomes could differ from our estimates. Additional information about certain legal proceedings and environmental matters appears in Note 16 to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements, including expected dates of adoption and estimated effects on results of operations and financial condition, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Commodity Price Risk

A substantial portion of our products and raw materials are commodities whose prices fluctuate as market supply and demand fundamentals change. Accordingly, product margins and the level of our profitability tend to fluctuate with changes in the business cycle. We try to protect against such instability through various business strategies. Our strategies include ethylene product feedstock flexibility and moving downstream into the olefins and vinyls products where pricing is more stable. We use derivative instruments in certain instances to reduce price volatility risk on feedstocks and products. Based on our open derivative positions at December 31, 2006, a hypothetical \$1.00 increase in the price of a MMBtu of natural gas would have increased our income before taxes by \$1.5 million, a hypothetical \$1.00 increase in the price of a barrel of crude oil would have decreased our income before taxes by \$0.4 million, a hypothetical \$0.10 increase in the price of a gallon of ethane would have increased our income before taxes by \$0.9 million and a hypothetical \$0.10 increase in the price of a gallon of benzene would have decreased our income before taxes by \$2.1 million. Additional information concerning derivative commodity instruments appears in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk with respect to fixed and variable rate debt. At December 31, 2006, we had variable rate debt of \$10.9 million outstanding. All of the debt outstanding under our tax-exempt revenue bonds is at variable rates. We do not currently hedge our variable interest rate debt, but we may do so in the future. The interest rate for our variable rate debt of \$10.9 million as of December 31, 2006 was 4.02%. A hypothetical 100 basis point increase in the average interest rate on our variable rate debt would increase our annual interest expense by approximately \$0.1 million. Also, at December 31, 2006, we had \$249.2 million of fixed rate debt. We are subject to the risk of higher interest cost if and when this debt is refinanced. If interest rates are 1% higher at the time of refinancing, our annual interest expense would increase by approximately \$2.5 million.

Table of Contents**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data****Index to Consolidated Financial Statements**

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u> | 41 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 42 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 44 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 45 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 46 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 47 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 48 |
| Financial Statement <u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | 85 |
| Financial statement schedules not included in this Form 10-K have been omitted because they are not applicable or because the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto. | |

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Westlake Chemical Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Westlake's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Westlake acquired Westlake Longview Corporation (Longview), which is now a wholly owned subsidiary of Westlake Chemical Corporation, on November 30, 2006. Longview's sales that are included in Westlake's consolidated net sales comprise approximately 1.6% of the consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2006. Total assets of Longview comprise approximately 17.4% of the consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2006. Since the acquisition occurred late in 2006, Westlake was not required to include Longview in its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting for Westlake as of December 31, 2006. Accordingly, Longview is excluded from Westlake management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006.

Westlake management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Based on its assessment, Westlake's management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting (which excludes Westlake Longview Corporation as permitted and discussed above) was effective as of December 31, 2006 based on those criteria.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has also audited management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 as stated in their report that appears on the following page.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

of Westlake Chemical Corporation:

We have completed integrated audits of Westlake Chemical Corporation's 2006 and 2005 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 and an audit of its 2004 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Westlake Chemical Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing on page 41, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting

Table of Contents

includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, management has excluded Westlake Longview Corporation from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 because it was acquired by the Company in a purchase business combination during 2006. We have also excluded Westlake Longview Corporation from our audit of internal control over financial reporting. Westlake Longview Corporation is a wholly-owned subsidiary whose total assets and total revenues represent 17% and 2%, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Houston, Texas

February 23, 2007

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | December 31, 2006 2005 | |
|---|---|---------------------|
| | (in thousands of dollars, except par values and share amounts) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 52,646 | \$ 237,895 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 308,903 | 302,779 |
| Inventories, net | 456,276 | 339,870 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 16,086 | 9,306 |
| Deferred income taxes | 15,876 | 13,013 |
| Total current assets | 849,787 | 902,863 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 1,076,903 | 863,232 |
| Equity investment | 26,382 | 20,042 |
| Other assets, net | 129,026 | 41,052 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,082,098 | \$ 1,827,189 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 238,914 | \$ 199,777 |
| Accrued liabilities | 82,998 | 104,872 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 1,200 |
| Total current liabilities | 321,912 | 305,849 |
| Long-term debt | 260,156 | 265,689 |
| Deferred income taxes | 281,828 | 221,088 |
| Other liabilities | 44,661 | 40,457 |
| Total liabilities | 908,557 | 833,083 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 8 and 16) | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, nonvoting, noncumulative, no par value; no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized; 65,268,585 and 65,121,850 shares issued and outstanding in 2006 and 2005, respectively | 653 | 651 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 427,893 | 424,537 |
| Retained earnings | 754,921 | 569,164 |
| Unearned compensation on restricted stock | | (971) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | | |
| Benefits liability, net of tax | (12,186) | |
| Minimum pension liability, net of tax | | (1,976) |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | 2,260 | 2,701 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,173,541 | 994,106 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 2,082,098 | \$ 1,827,189 |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---|--------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| | (in thousands of dollars except per share data) | | |
| Net sales | \$ 2,484,366 | \$ 2,441,105 | \$ 1,985,353 |
| Cost of sales | 2,087,883 | 1,997,474 | 1,682,168 |
| Gross profit | 396,483 | 443,631 | 303,185 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 83,232 | 76,598 | 60,238 |
| Gain on sale of assets | | | (2,049) |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | | 1,830 |
| Income from operations | 313,251 | 367,033 | 243,166 |
| Other income (expense) | | | |
| Interest expense | (16,519) | (23,717) | (39,350) |
| Debt retirement cost | (25,853) | (646) | (15,791) |
| Other income, net | 11,670 | 2,658 | 2,637 |
| Income before income taxes | 282,549 | 345,328 | 190,662 |
| Provision for income taxes | 87,990 | 118,511 | 69,940 |
| Net income | \$ 194,559 | \$ 226,817 | \$ 120,722 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.99 | \$ 3.49 | \$ 2.19 |
| Diluted | \$ 2.98 | \$ 3.48 | \$ 2.18 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 65,133,628 | 65,008,253 | 55,230,786 |
| Diluted | 65,254,654 | 65,251,109 | 55,355,442 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | Common Stock | | | Accumulated Other | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|-------|---------|
| | | | | Comprehensive | | | | | |
| | Preferred | Number | Amount | Income (Loss) | | | Foreign | | |
| | | | | Cumulative | | | | | |
| Stock | of Shares | Capital | Retained | Unearned | Benefits | Currency | | | |
| | | | Earnings | Compensation | Liability Net | of Tax(1) | Exchange | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2003 | 12,000 | 49,499,395 | 495 | 205,011 | 229,346 | | (1,547) | 298 | 445,603 |
| Net income | | | | | 120,722 | | | | 120,722 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | | | | | | | (192) | 1,376 | 1,184 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | 121,906 |
| Preferred stock exchange | (12,000) | 2,005,881 | 20 | 34,080 | | | | | 22,100 |
| Common stock issuance | | 13,391,213 | 134 | 181,033 | | | | | 181,167 |
| Dividends paid | | | | | (1,379) | | | | (1,379) |
| Balances at December 31, 2004 | | 64,896,489 | 649 | 420,124 | 348,689 | | (1,739) | 1,674 | 769,397 |
| Net income | | | | | 226,817 | | | | 226,817 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | | | | | | | (237) | 1,027 | 790 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | 227,607 |
| Stock options exercised | | 81,694 | 1 | 1,183 | | | | | 1,184 |
| Restricted stock grants | | 143,667 | 1 | 1,610 | | (971) | | | 640 |
| Tax benefit on equity compensation | | | | 1,620 | | | | | 1,620 |
| Dividends paid | | | | | (6,342) | | | | (6,342) |
| Balances at December 31, 2005 | | 65,121,850 | 651 | 424,537 | 569,164 | (971) | (1,976) | 2,701 | 994,106 |
| Net income | | | | | 194,559 | | | | 194,559 |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (258) | (441) | (699) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | 193,860 |
| Stock options exercised | | 124,253 | 1 | 1,848 | | | | | 1,849 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 22,482 | 1 | 1,508 | | 971 | | | 2,480 |
| Adoption of SFAS 158 | | | | | | | (9,952) | | (9,952) |
| Dividends paid | | | | | (8,802) | | | | (8,802) |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

**Balances at December 31,
2006**

\$ 65,268,585 \$ 653 \$ 427,893 \$ 754,921 \$ (12,186) \$ 2,260 \$ 1,173,541

(1) Includes benefits liability, net of tax and minimum pension liability, net of tax.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| | (in thousands of dollars) | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 194,559 | \$ 226,817 | \$ 120,722 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 86,262 | 81,241 | 81,075 |
| Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts | 1,287 | (2,307) | (456) |
| Amortization of debt issue costs | 850 | 1,456 | 2,097 |
| Loss (gain) from disposition of fixed assets | 2,848 | 4,746 | (218) |
| Write-off of debt issuance cost | 3,623 | 646 | 4,153 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | | 1,830 |
| Deferred income taxes | 13,852 | 45,745 | 65,188 |
| Equity in income of joint venture | (1,766) | (94) | (1,379) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (7,411) | (66,225) | (39,315) |
| Inventories | (47,275) | (20,054) | (119,056) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (6,724) | (617) | 1,055 |
| Accounts payable | 59,150 | 49,718 | 30,816 |
| Accrued liabilities | (15,549) | 2,747 | 11,487 |
| Other, net | (46,522) | (5,372) | (7,218) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 237,184 | 318,447 | 150,781 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | (136,258) | (85,760) | (52,710) |
| Additions to equity investments | (4,574) | (1,867) | |
| Acquisition of business | (235,674) | | (33,294) |
| Purchases of short-term investments | (216,510) | | |
| Sales and maturities of short-term investments | 216,510 | | |
| Settlements of derivative instruments | (28,052) | | |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets | 222 | 37 | 3,256 |
| Proceeds from insurance claims | | | 2,785 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (404,336) | (87,590) | (79,963) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net | | | 181,167 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,849 | 1,184 | |
| Dividends paid | (8,802) | (6,342) | (1,379) |
| Proceeds from affiliate borrowings | | | 336 |
| Repayments of affiliate borrowings | | | (5,727) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 249,185 | | |
| Repayments of borrowings | (256,000) | (31,200) | (239,200) |
| Capitalized debt issuance costs | (4,329) | | |
| Net cash used for financing activities | (18,097) | (36,358) | (64,803) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (185,249) | 194,499 | 6,015 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 237,895 | 43,396 | 37,381 |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$ 52,646 | \$ 237,895 | \$ 43,396 |
|--|-----------|------------|-----------|

Supplemental cash flow information

| | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Interest paid | \$ 21,449 | \$ 22,978 | \$ 40,330 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 90,886 | \$ 78,263 | \$ 4,188 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

1. Description of Business and Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Westlake Chemical Corporation (the Company) operates as an integrated manufacturer and marketer of basic chemicals, vinyls, polymers and fabricated products. These products include some of the most widely used chemicals in the world, which are fundamental to many diverse consumer and industrial markets, including flexible and rigid packaging, automotive products, coatings, residential and commercial construction as well as other durable and non-durable goods. The Company's customers range from large chemical processors and plastics fabricators to small construction contractors, municipalities and supply warehouses primarily throughout North America. The petrochemical industry is subject to price fluctuations and volatile feedstock pricing typical of a commodity-based industry, the effects of which may not be immediately passed along to all of the Company's customers.

During the third quarter of 2004, the Company completed an initial public offering of its common stock (IPO). In addition, on November 30, 2006, the Company acquired the Longview facilities as discussed in Note 14.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and subsidiaries in which the Company directly or indirectly owns more than a 50% voting interest and exercises a controlling financial interest or the entity meets the definition of a variable interest entity. The Company owns a 58% interest in a PVC joint venture in China, but it accounts for the investment using the equity method of accounting because the entity does not meet the definition of a variable interest entity under FIN 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2003) an interpretation of ARB No. 51, and because contractual arrangements allowing certain substantive participatory rights to minority shareholders prevent the Company from exercising a controlling financial interest over this entity. Undistributed earnings from the joint venture included in retained earnings are \$3,140 as of December 31, 2006.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments that are readily convertible into cash and have a maturity of three months or less at the date of acquisition.

Short-term Investments

From time to time the Company selectively invests some of its cash in short-term investments in auction rate securities. Auction rate securities are variable rate bonds tied to short-term interest rates that generally have long-term stated maturities of 20 to 30 years. However, these securities have certain economic characteristics of short-term investments due to an interest rate reset mechanism and the availability to liquidate the securities through a Dutch auction process that occurs on pre-determined intervals of less than 90 days. As such, these investments are classified as short-term investments. The auction rate securities are classified as available-for-sale securities due to management's intent to hold these securities for short periods of time. The Company had no short-term investments as of December 31, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The determination of the allowance for doubtful accounts is based on estimation of the amount of accounts receivable that the Company believes are unlikely to be collected. Estimating this amount requires analysis of the financial strength of the Company's customers, the use of historical experience, the Company's accounts

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

receivable aged trial balance, and specific collectibility analysis. The allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed quarterly. Past due balances over 90 days and high risk accounts as determined by the analysis of financial strength of customers are reviewed individually for collectibility.

Inventories

Inventories primarily include product, material and supplies. Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) or average method.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Cost includes expenditures for improvements and betterments that extend the useful lives of the assets and interest capitalized on significant capital projects. Capitalized interest was \$3,593, \$1,172 and \$143 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Repair and maintenance costs are charged to operations as incurred. SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, requires the recording of liabilities equal to the fair value of asset retirement obligations and corresponding additional asset costs. The obligations included are those for which there is a legal asset retirement obligation as a result of existing or enacted law, statute or contract. Based on the Company's evaluation, at this time it has been determined that the Company's assets have indeterminate lives and no significant conditional asset retirement obligations. Therefore, no asset retirement obligations have been recorded.

Depreciation is provided by utilizing the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| Classification | Years |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Buildings and improvements | 25 |
| Plant and equipment(1) | 25 |
| Other | 3-10 |

(1) Plant and equipment also includes our ethylene pipeline which is depreciated over 35 years.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS 144), the Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. Assets are considered to be impaired if the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the future undiscounted cash flows. The impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or estimated fair value less costs to sell.

Impairment of Intangible Assets

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment at least annually. Other intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their estimated useful life and reviewed for impairment in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144. The Company acquired \$36.1 million of goodwill in connection with its acquisition of the Longview facilities (see Note 14). The Company had no reported goodwill at December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Turnaround Costs

Turnaround costs are deferred at the time of the turnaround and amortized (within depreciation and amortization) on a straight-line basis until the next planned turnaround, which ranges from 3-5 years. Deferred turnaround costs are presented as a component of other assets, net.

Exchanges

The Company enters into inventory exchange transactions with third parties, which involve fungible commodities. These exchanges are settled in like-kind quantities and are valued at lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the FIFO method. As of December 31, 2006, the net exchange balance receivable of \$7,567 was included in accounts receivable, net and the December 31, 2005 balance payable of \$3,202 was included in accrued liabilities.

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under the liability method, deferred tax assets or liabilities are recorded based upon temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their carrying values for financial reporting purposes. Deferred tax expense or benefit is the result of changes in the deferred tax assets and liabilities during the period. Valuation allowances are recorded against deferred tax assets when it is considered more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries are translated to U.S. dollars at the exchange rate as of the end of the year. Statement of operations items are translated at the average exchange rate for the year. The resulting translation adjustment is recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentration of risk consist principally of trade receivables from customers engaged in manufacturing polyethylene products, polyvinyl chloride products and polyvinyl chloride pipe products. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of the customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. The Company maintains reserves for potential losses.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when title and risk of loss passes to the customer upon delivery under executed customer purchase orders or contracts. For export contracts, the title and risk of loss passes to customers at the time specified by each contract. Provisions for discounts, rebates and returns are provided for in the same period as the related sales are recorded.

Earnings per Share

The Company applies the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share (EPS)*, which requires companies to present basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share. Basic

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock.

Price Risk Management

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS No. 138. SFAS No. 133 requires that the Company recognize all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value, and changes in the derivative's fair value must be currently recognized in earnings or comprehensive income, depending on the designation of the derivative. If the derivative is designated as a fair value hedge, the changes in the fair value of the derivative and of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. If the derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the derivative is recorded in comprehensive income and is recognized in the income statement when the hedged item affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings currently.

The Company utilizes commodity price swaps to reduce price risks by entering into price swaps with counterparties and by purchasing or selling futures on established exchanges. The Company takes both fixed and variable positions, depending upon anticipated future physical purchases and sales of these commodities. The fair value of derivative financial instruments is estimated using current market quotes from external sources. See Note 10 for a summary of the carrying value and fair value of derivative instruments.

During 2006, 2005 and 2004, due to the short-term nature of the commitments and associated derivative instruments, the Company did not designate any of its commodity derivative instruments as hedges under the provisions of SFAS No. 133. Consequently, gains and losses from changes in the fair value of all the commodity derivative instruments used in 2006, 2005 and 2004 were included in earnings. During 2006, the Company entered into a foreign currency hedge to minimize foreign exchange risk on a firm commitment. This hedge had no significant impact on the Company's results of operations in 2006.

Environmental Costs

Environmental costs relating to current operations are expensed or capitalized, as appropriate, depending on whether such costs provide future economic benefits. Remediation liabilities are recognized when the costs are considered probable and can be reasonably estimated. Measurement of liabilities is based on currently enacted laws and regulations, existing technology and undiscounted site-specific costs. Environmental liabilities in connection with properties that are sold or closed are realized upon such sale or closure, to the extent they are probable and estimable and not previously reserved. In assessing environmental liabilities, no off-set is made for potential insurance recoveries. Recognition of any joint and several liabilities is based upon the Company's best estimate of its final pro rata share of the liability.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and accounts payable approximate their fair value due to the short maturities of these instruments. The fair value of the Company's debt as of December 31, 2006 differs from the carrying value due to the issuance of fixed rate senior notes in 2006. See Note 10 for a summary of financial instruments where fair value differs from carrying amounts. The fair value of financial instruments is estimated using current market quotes from external sources.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Other

Amortization of debt issuance costs is computed on a basis which approximates the interest method over the term of the related debt. Certain other assets (see Note 7) are amortized over periods ranging from 2 to 15 years using the straight-line method.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), which replaces SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, (SFAS 123) and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values beginning with the first interim or annual period after June 15, 2005, with early adoption encouraged. In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission postponed the effective date of SFAS 123R until the issuer's first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005. As discussed in Note 2, effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method and therefore has not restated results of prior periods.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS 154). SFAS 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20 Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28. SFAS 154 provides guidance on the accounting and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes retrospective application as the required method of reporting a change in accounting principle and the reporting of an error in most instances. SFAS 154 was effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 and has had no impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

EITF Issue No. 04-13 Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty, (EITF Issue No. 04-13) was ratified in September 2005 and requires buy/sell contractual arrangements entered into after March 15, 2006, or modifications or renewals of existing arrangements after that date, to be reported on a net basis in the results of operations and accounted for as non-monetary transactions. EITF Issue No. 04-13 has not had a significant impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In November 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments (FSP 115-1), which provides guidance on determining when investments in certain debt and equity securities are considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and on measuring such impairment loss. FSP 115-1 also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. FSP 115-1 is required to be applied to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005 and was adopted in 2006. The adoption of FSP 115-1 has had no impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48) to create a single model to address accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company will adopt FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007, as required. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 will be recorded in retained earnings. The Company does not expect the adoption of FIN 48 to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. The statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 157 will have, if any, on its consolidated results of operations, financial position and related disclosures.

In September 2006, the FASB also issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* (SFAS 158). SFAS 158 requires an enterprise to recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a defined benefit postretirement plan's overfunded status or a liability for a defined benefit postretirement plan's underfunded status. In addition, each entity must recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in comprehensive income in the year in which the changes occur. This statement is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006, and the Company has adopted this standard for its annual financial statements for 2006. See Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements for a disclosure of the impact of the adoption of this statement on the Company's consolidated financial position.

Also in September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. AUG AIR-1, *Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities* (FSP No. AUG AIR-1). FSP No. AUG AIR-1 prohibits the use of the accrue-in-advance method of accounting for planned major maintenance turnarounds because it causes the recognition of a liability in a period prior to the occurrence of the transaction or obligation. The Company accounts for its turnarounds utilizing the deferral method of accounting, so FSP No. AUG AIR-1 does not impact the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Securities and Exchange Commission released Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108 in September 2006. This bulletin provides guidance regarding the methodology of quantifying the dollar amounts of errors in determining the materiality of those errors. These methods are required to be implemented for annual financial statements covering the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006 and had no impact on the Company's 2006 annual consolidated financial statements.

2. Stock-Based Compensation

The Board of Directors of the Company adopted, and the stockholders approved, the Westlake Chemical Corporation 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan). Under the 2004 Plan, all employees of the Company, as well as certain individuals who have agreed to become the Company's employees, are eligible for awards. Shares of common stock may be issued as authorized in the 2004 Plan. At the discretion of the administrator of the 2004 Plan, employees and non-employee directors may be granted awards in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards or cash awards (any of which may be a performance award). Current stock option programs have a ten year term and vest annually on a pro rata basis over 3-5 years.

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

Current restricted stock programs primarily have a three year vesting period. The total recognized compensation expense related to the 2004 Plan was \$1,731, \$498 and \$1,920 during 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The realized excess tax benefit from exercised options during 2006 was \$370.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for its stock-based compensation plan in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25), and complied with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123), for disclosure purposes. Under these provisions, no compensation expense was recognized for stock options because the exercise price for all options was equal to the market price at the grant date, and any compensation expense resulting from restricted stock was recognized ratably over the associated vesting term. The Company provided pro forma disclosure amounts in accordance with SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, as if the fair value method defined by SFAS 123 had been applied to its stock options.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), using the modified prospective transition method and therefore has not restated results of prior periods. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 includes compensation expense of all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provision of SFAS 123. Stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after January 1, 2006 is based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. The Company recognizes these compensation costs net of a forfeiture rate and recognizes the compensation costs on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award for only those shares expected to vest. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) regarding the SEC's interpretation of SFAS 123R and the valuation of share-based payments for public companies.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, amounts previously recorded in stockholders' equity under APB 25 at December 31, 2005 related to unearned compensation for restricted stock awards have been reversed against paid-in capital and will be expensed over the vesting period in accordance with SFAS 123R. As a result of adopting SFAS 123R, the impact to the consolidated statement of operations for 2006 on income before income taxes and net income was a decrease of \$1,127 and \$751, respectively, from the amount that would have been reported if the Company had continued to account for stock-based compensation under APB 25. The impact on both basic and diluted earnings per share for the year was \$0.01 per share.

The pro forma table below reflects net income and basic and diluted earnings per share for 2005 and 2004, had the Company applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Net income, as reported | \$ 226,817 | \$ 120,722 |
| Pro forma stock option compensation expense | (1,573) | (655) |
| Provision for income taxes on pro forma stock option expense | 540 | 240 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 225,784 | \$ 120,307 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | | |
| As reported: | | |
| Basic | \$ 3.49 | \$ 2.19 |
| Diluted | \$ 3.48 | \$ 2.18 |
| Pro forma: | | |
| Basic | \$ 3.47 | \$ 2.18 |
| Diluted | \$ 3.46 | \$ 2.17 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Option activity and changes during 2006 were as follows:

| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 438,763 | \$ 16.88 | | |
| Granted | 57,958 | 35.22 | | |
| Exercised | (124,253) | 14.90 | | |
| Cancelled | (16,184) | 16.45 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 356,284 | 20.57 | 8.1 | \$ 4,080 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2006 | 103,280 | 16.48 | 7.8 | 1,539 |

For options outstanding at December 31, 2006, the options had the following range of exercise prices:

| Range of Prices | Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) |
|-----------------|---------------------|---|
| \$14.50 | 221,860 | 7.6 |
| \$25.42 \$36.10 | 134,424 | 8.9 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of 2006 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2006. This amount changes based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock. Total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2006 and 2005 was \$2,046 and \$1,310, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006, \$1,222 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

The Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value its options. The table below presents the weighted average value and assumptions used in determining each option's fair value. Volatility was calculated using historical trends of the Company's common stock price.

| | 2006 Options | 2005 Options | 2004 Options |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Weighted average fair value | \$ 14.87 | \$ 12.81 | \$ 6.52 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.8% | 4.3% | 4.0% |
| Expected life in years | 6.7 | 8 | 10 |
| Expected volatility | 34.0% | 36.5% | 28.1% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.6% |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Non-vested restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2006 and changes during 2006 were as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Non-vested at December 31, 2005 | 42,129 | \$ 27.22 |
| Granted | 25,876 | 34.90 |
| Forfeited | (496) | 27.22 |
| Vested | (13,581) | 27.16 |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2006 | 53,928 | 30.85 |

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$1,211 of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

3. Earnings per Share

The following table reconciles the denominator for the basic and diluted earnings per share computations shown in the consolidated statements of operations:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average common shares basic | 65,134 | 65,008 | 55,231 |
| Plus incremental shares from assumed conversion: | | | |
| Options | 107 | 221 | 67 |
| Restricted stock | 14 | 22 | 57 |
| Weighted average common shares diluted | 65,255 | 65,251 | 55,355 |

There are no adjustments to Net income for the diluted earnings per share computations.

4. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| Accounts receivable trade | \$ 294,564 | \$ 301,091 |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Accounts receivable - affiliates | 1,252 | 845 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (3,287) | (3,460) |
| | 292,529 | 298,476 |
| Accounts receivable - other | 16,374 | 4,303 |
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 308,903 | \$ 302,779 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

5. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Finished products | \$ 290,048 | \$ 186,241 |
| Feedstock, additives, and chemicals | 137,669 | 133,949 |
| Material and supplies | 36,499 | 27,790 |
| | 464,216 | 347,980 |
| Allowance for inventory obsolescence | (7,940) | (8,110) |
| Inventory, net | \$ 456,276 | \$ 339,870 |

6. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Land | \$ 11,909 | \$ 12,217 |
| Building and improvements | 91,665 | 81,685 |
| Plant and equipment | 1,542,713 | 1,364,715 |
| Other | 76,881 | 85,686 |
| | 1,723,168 | 1,544,303 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (786,574) | (741,254) |
| | 936,594 | 803,049 |
| Construction in progress | 140,309 | 60,183 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 1,076,903 | \$ 863,232 |

Depreciation expense on plant and equipment of \$74,879, \$69,130 and \$68,028 is included in cost of sales in the consolidated statements of operations in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

During 2006, the Company acquired the property, plant and equipment at the Longview facilities as discussed in Note 14. These assets are included in property, plant and equipment as of December 31, 2006.

During 2004, the Company recognized impairments of plant and equipment amounting to \$1,830. The impairments have been reflected in the consolidated statements of operations. The impairments represented the amount necessary to adjust the carrying value of certain plant and equipment to its net realizable value. In 2004, the Company recognized a \$1,314 impairment charge in the Vinyls segment related to a polyvinyl chloride plant that was not in service and was written down to its estimated fair market value less commission as determined by third party

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

valuation. Also in 2004, the Company recognized a \$516 impairment charge relating to an adjustment to fair market value of certain Olefins segment assets.

In 2004, insurance recoveries related to casualty losses at the Company's Olefins and Vinyls facilities amounted \$2,785. These insurance recoveries net of related property costs have been recorded in other income, net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

7. Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following:

| | Cost | 2006 Accumulated Amortization | Net | Cost | 2005 Accumulated Amortization | Net | Weighted Average Life |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Intangible Assets: | | | | | | | |
| Technology licenses | \$ 43,487 | \$ (29,506) | \$ 13,981 | \$ 42,932 | \$ (27,090) | \$ 15,842 | 14 |
| Patents | 6,503 | (69) | 6,434 | | | | 10 |
| Customer relationships | 17,649 | (147) | 17,502 | | | | 13 |
| Goodwill | 36,098 | | 36,098 | | | | |
| Other | 1,161 | (104) | 1,057 | 1,220 | | 1,220 | |
| Total intangible assets | 104,898 | (29,826) | 75,072 | 44,152 | (27,090) | 17,062 | |
| Note receivable from affiliate | 5,529 | | 5,529 | 5,529 | | 5,529 | |
| Turnaround costs | 47,691 | (12,099) | 35,592 | 21,531 | (14,141) | 7,390 | 5 |
| Debt issuance cost | 7,388 | (2,548) | 4,840 | 8,484 | (3,500) | 4,984 | 9 |
| Other, net | 12,323 | (4,330) | 7,993 | 12,491 | (6,404) | 6,087 | 2 |
| Total other assets | \$ 177,829 | \$ (48,803) | \$ 129,026 | \$ 92,187 | \$ (51,135) | \$ 41,052 | |

Amortization expense on other assets of \$12,233, \$13,567 and \$15,144 is included in the consolidated statement of operations in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Scheduled amortization of intangible assets for the next five years is as follows: \$4,474, \$4,474, \$4,474, \$4,431 and \$4,401 in 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

8. Debt

Indebtedness consists of the following at December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|------------|------------|
| 6 ⁵ / ₈ % senior notes due in 2016 | \$ 249,267 | \$ |
| 8 ³ / ₄ % senior notes due in 2011 | | 247,000 |
| Term loan | | 9,000 |
| Loan related to tax-exempt revenue bond | 10,889 | 10,889 |
| | \$ 260,156 | \$ 266,889 |
| Less: Current portion of long-term debt | | (1,200) |
| | \$ 260,156 | \$ 265,689 |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

On January 6, 2006, the Company amended its senior secured revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the commitment from \$200,000 to \$300,000 and generally reduce the interest payable. After the

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

amendment, as of January 6, 2006, the revolving credit facility bore interest at either LIBOR plus 1.00% or prime rate minus 0.50%, and a 0.25% unused line fee, all of which are subject to quarterly grid pricing adjustments based on a fixed charge coverage ratio. The maturity of the facility was extended to January 6, 2011.

On January 13, 2006, the Company issued \$250,000 of 6⁵/₈% aggregate principal amount of senior notes due 2016, the proceeds of which, together with cash on hand, were used to redeem the Company's 8³/₄% senior notes due 2011 and repay the Company's term loan as follows:

On January 18, 2006, the Company repaid the entire \$9,000 outstanding under our term loan, plus accrued but unpaid interest.

On two redemption dates, February 8, 2006 and February 13, 2006, the Company redeemed the entire \$247,000 principal amount outstanding of the 8³/₄% senior notes due 2011, and paid a pre-payment premium of \$22,230, plus accrued and unpaid interest. As a result of the early redemption of the 8³/₄% senior notes due 2011 and the repayment of the term loan, the Company recognized \$25,853 in non-operating expense in the first quarter of 2006 consisting of a pre-payment premium on the 8³/₄% senior notes of \$22,230 and a write-off of \$3,623 in previously capitalized debt issuance cost.

The 6⁵/₈% senior notes are unsecured and were issued with an original issue discount of \$815. There is no sinking fund and no scheduled amortization of the notes prior to maturity. The notes are subject to redemption and holders may require the Company to repurchase the notes upon a change of control. All domestic restricted subsidiaries that guarantee other debt of the Company or of another guarantor of the senior notes in excess of \$5,000 are guarantors of the notes.

The agreements governing the 6⁵/₈% senior notes and the revolving credit facility each contain customary covenants and events of default. Accordingly, these agreements impose significant operating and financial restrictions on the Company. These restrictions, among other things, provide limitations on incurrence of additional indebtedness, the payment of dividends, certain investments and acquisitions and sales of assets. These limitations are subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions, including, without limitation, an exception for the payment of the Company's regular quarterly dividend of up to \$0.20 per share (currently \$0.04 per share). The 8³/₄% senior notes indenture does not allow distributions unless, after giving pro forma effect to the distribution, the Company's fixed charge coverage ratio is at least 2.0 and such payment, together with the aggregate amount of all other distributions after January 13, 2006, is less than the sum of 50% of the Company's consolidated net income for the period from October 1, 2003 to the end of the most recent quarter for which financial statements have been filed, plus 100% of net cash proceeds received after October 1, 2003 as a contribution to the Company's common equity capital or from the issuance or sale of certain securities, plus several other adjustments. The amount allowed under this restriction was \$436,145 at December 31, 2006. The revolving credit facility also restricts dividend payments unless, after giving effect to such payment, the availability equals or exceeds \$60,000. Neither of the agreements requires the Company to maintain specified financial ratios, except that the revolving credit facility requires the Company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.0 to 1.0 when availability falls below \$60,000. In addition, the 6⁵/₈% senior notes indenture and the revolving credit facility restrict the ability of the Company to create liens, to engage in certain affiliate transactions and to engage in sale-leaseback transactions.

On August 16, 2004 the Company completed an IPO. Net proceeds from the IPO were \$181,167. The Company used the proceeds from the IPO along with available cash on hand to redeem \$133,000 aggregate principal amount of its 8³/₄% senior notes due July 15, 2011, to repay \$28,000 of its senior secured term loan

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

maturing in July 2010 and to repay in full a \$27,000 bank loan. As a result of the early payment on the 8^{3/4}% senior notes, the Company recognized \$14,685 in non-operating expense in the third quarter of 2004 consisting of a pre-payment premium on the notes of \$11,637 and a write-off of \$3,047 in previously capitalized debt issuance cost. In addition, in the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company repaid an additional \$50,000 of its senior term loan and incurred an additional \$1,106 of non-operating expense related to the write-off of previously capitalized debt issuance costs.

The 8^{3/4}% senior notes were unsecured. All domestic restricted subsidiaries were guarantors of the senior notes. In the first quarter of 2006, these notes were repaid.

At inception, the term loan bore interest at either the Eurodollar Rate plus 3.75% or prime rate plus 2.75%. Quarterly principal payments of \$300 were due on the term loan beginning on September 30, 2003, with the balance due in four equal quarterly installments in the seventh year of the loan. The Company used the proceeds from the IPO to prepay \$28,000 of the term loan in August 2004, which prepayment was applied to and reduced the final installment of the term loan. As described above, all amounts outstanding under the term loan were repaid in the first quarter of 2006.

At December 31, 2006, the Company had outstanding letters of credit under the revolving credit facility totaling \$13,598 and available borrowing capacity of \$286,402.

In December 1997, the Company entered into a loan agreement with a public trust established for public purposes for the benefit of the Parish of Calcasieu, Louisiana. The public trust issued \$10,889 in tax-exempt waste disposal revenue bonds (revenue bonds) in order to finance the Company's construction of waste disposal facilities for its new ethylene plant. The revenue bonds expire in December 2027 and are subject to redemption and mandatory tender for purchase prior to maturity under certain conditions. Interest on the revenue bonds accrues at a rate determined by a remarketing agent and is payable quarterly. The interest rate on the revenue bonds at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was 4.02% and 3.65%, respectively. In conjunction with the loan agreement, the Company entered into a letter of credit reimbursement agreement and obtained a letter of credit from a bank in the amount of \$11,268. The letter of credit, as amended, will expire in July 2008.

The weighted average interest rate on the borrowings at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was 6.5% and 8.5%, respectively.

As a result of the refinancing in January 2006, there are no maturities of long-term debt until 2016.

9. Stockholders Equity

In the third quarter of 2004, the Company completed an initial public offering of its common stock. The net proceeds from the stock offering of \$181,167, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses, together with cash on hand, were used to pay debt.

Since November 11, 2004, the Company's board of directors has declared a regular quarterly dividend to holders of its common stock aggregating approximately \$8,802, \$6,342 and \$1,379 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Common Stock

Each share of common stock entitles the holder to one vote on all matters on which holders are permitted to vote, including the election of directors. There are no cumulative voting rights. Accordingly, holders of a

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

majority of the total votes entitled to vote in an election of directors will be able to elect all of the directors standing for election. Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any outstanding preferred stock, the holders of the common stock will share equally on a per share basis any dividends when, as and if declared by the board of directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. If the Company is liquidated, dissolved or wound up, the holders of the Company's common stock will be entitled to a ratable share of any distribution to stockholders, after satisfaction of all the Company's liabilities and of the prior rights of any outstanding class of the Company's preferred stock. The Company's common stock has no preemptive or conversion rights or other subscription rights. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the Company's common stock.

Preferred Stock

The Company's charter authorizes the issuance of shares of preferred stock. The Company's board of directors has the authority, without shareholder approval, to issue preferred shares from time to time in one or more series, and to fix the number of shares and terms of each such series. The board may determine the designations and other terms of each series including dividend rates, whether dividends will be cumulative or non-cumulative, redemption rights, liquidation rights, sinking fund provisions, conversion or exchange rights and voting rights.

10. Derivative Commodity Instruments and Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative instruments to reduce price volatility risk on commodities, primarily natural gas and ethane, from time to time. Usually, such derivatives are for terms of less than one year. In 2006, 2005 and 2004, due to the short-term nature of the commitments and associated derivative instruments, the Company did not designate any of its commodity derivative instruments as hedges under the provisions of SFAS 133. As such, gains and losses from changes in the fair value of all the derivative instruments used in 2006, 2005 and 2004 were included in earnings. However, the Company did enter into a foreign currency hedge in June 2006 which is designated as a fair value hedge against a firm purchase commitment. There is no significant earnings impact from the foreign currency hedge as it is approximately 100% effective.

The exposure on commodity derivatives used for price risk management includes the risk that the counterparty will not pay if the market declines below the established fixed price. In such case, the Company would lose the benefit of the derivative differential on the volume of the commodities covered. In any case, the Company would continue to receive the market price on the actual volume hedged. The Company also bears the risk that it could lose the benefit of market improvements over the fixed derivative price for the term and volume of the derivative securities (as such improvements would accrue to the benefit of the counterparty).

The Company had a net gain of \$18,643 in connection with trading activity for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to a net loss of \$3,818 (\$1,871 of which is recorded in cost of sales and \$1,947 of which is recorded in other income, net, as explained below) and \$3,750 for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Of the 2006 net gain, \$13,842 related to derivative gains and \$4,801 related to sales of related physical feedstock positions. Of the 2005 net loss, \$29,755 related to derivative losses, offset by \$27,884 in gains on the sale of related physical feedstock positions. The 2006 net gain and the resulting loss in 2005 of \$1,871 are recorded in cost of sales due to the relationship of the derivatives to the physical feedstock positions sold. The remaining 2005 loss of \$1,947 is classified in other income, net. Derivative trading activity accounted for all of the 2004 net loss, which is classified in other income, net. Risk management asset balances of \$5,721 and \$-0- were included in Accounts receivable, net and risk management liability balances of \$1,211 and \$31,891 were included in current liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The risk management liabilities at December 31, 2005 were settled in early 2006.

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

At December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the fair value of the natural gas and crude oil futures and other forward contracts were obtained from quotable market prices. The fair and carrying value of the Company's derivative commodity instruments and financial instruments is summarized below:

| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|---|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Commodity Instruments: | | | | |
| Natural gas futures contracts | \$ 2,849 | \$ 2,849 | \$ (31,891) | \$ (31,891) |
| Crude oil futures contracts | 1,475 | 1,475 | 0 | 0 |
| Other forward/futures contracts | 3,027 | 3,103 | 0 | 0 |
| Financial Instruments: | | | | |
| 8 ³ / ₄ % senior notes due 2011 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 247,000 | \$ 265,525 |
| 6 ⁵ / ₈ % senior notes due 2016 | 249,267 | 243,035 | 0 | 0 |

11. Income Taxes

The components of income before taxes for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Domestic | \$ 277,521 | \$ 344,515 | \$ 186,372 |
| Foreign | 5,028 | 813 | 4,290 |
| | \$ 282,549 | \$ 345,328 | \$ 190,662 |

The Company's income tax provision for the years ended December 31 consists of the following:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$ 76,268 | \$ 72,151 | \$ 2,936 |
| State | (2,536) | 766 | 1,245 |
| Foreign | 406 | (151) | 571 |
| | 74,138 | 72,766 | 4,752 |
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | 13,267 | 27,719 | 60,421 |
| State | 148 | 17,971 | 5,284 |
| Foreign | 437 | 55 | (517) |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | 13,852 | 45,745 | 65,188 |
| Total provision | \$ 87,990 | \$ 118,511 | \$ 69,940 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

A reconciliation of taxes computed at the statutory rate to the Company's income tax expense for each of the years indicated is as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Provision for federal income tax at statutory rate | \$ 98,892 | \$ 120,865 | \$ 66,722 |
| State income tax provision net of federal income tax effect | 3,608 | 7,925 | 4,244 |
| Foreign tax | 843 | (96) | 54 |
| Foreign earnings | (1,760) | (285) | (1,587) |
| Extra-territorial income exclusion benefit | (1,050) | (5,391) | |
| Manufacturing deduction | (2,380) | (2,870) | |
| Tax exempt interest income | (2,519) | | |
| Contingent tax liability | (6,538) | | |
| Other, net | (1,106) | (1,637) | 507 |
| | \$ 87,990 | \$ 118,511 | \$ 69,940 |

The contingent tax liability for 2006 is for federal and state contingent liabilities released due to the related statutes of limitations expiring in the fourth quarter of 2006.

The 2005 extra-territorial income exclusion benefit of \$5,391 includes a current year benefit for 2005 of \$1,295, and the remaining benefit of \$4,096 is a one-time benefit related to prior years.

The tax effects of the principal temporary differences between financial reporting and income tax reporting at December 31 are as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net operating loss carryforward | \$ 10,307 | \$ 15,503 | \$ 22,717 |
| Credit carryforward | 406 | | 31,881 |
| Accruals | 16,742 | 12,052 | 10,078 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 1,141 | 1,463 | 2,571 |
| Inventory | 8,478 | 5,645 | 5,549 |
| Other | 2,725 | 5,680 | 3,778 |
| Deferred taxes assets total | 39,799 | 40,343 | 76,574 |
| Property, plant and equipment | (287,560) | (241,188) | (245,753) |
| Turnaround costs | (14,137) | (2,860) | |
| Other | (387) | (787) | (192) |
| Deferred tax liabilities total | (302,084) | (244,835) | (245,945) |
| Valuation allowance | (3,667) | (3,583) | |
| Total net deferred tax liabilities | \$ (265,952) | \$ (208,075) | \$ (169,371) |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

Balance sheet classifications

| | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Current deferred tax asset | \$ 15,876 | \$ 13,013 | \$ 65,790 |
| Deferred tax liability | (281,828) | (221,088) | (235,161) |
| Total net deferred tax liabilities | \$ (265,952) | \$ (208,075) | \$ (169,371) |

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

At December 31, 2006, the Company had a federal net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$1,749 and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$239,228, which will expire in varying amounts between 2007 and 2027 and are subject to certain limitations on an annual basis. Management believes the Company will realize the benefit of the net operating loss carryforwards before they expire, but to the extent that the full benefit may not be realized, a state net operating loss valuation allowance has been recorded. The valuation allowance of \$3,583 was recorded in 2005 and increased by \$84 during 2006 due to state law changes related to apportionment. During 2006, the Company acquired the Longview facilities as discussed in Note 14. The deferred tax liabilities of \$47,536 associated with the acquisition of the Longview facilities are included in total net deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2006.

Applicable U.S. deferred income taxes and related foreign dividend withholding taxes have not been provided on approximately \$7,026 of undistributed earnings and profits of the Company's foreign corporate joint venture and foreign subsidiaries. The Company considers such earnings to be permanently reinvested outside the United States. It is not practical to estimate the amount of deferred income taxes associated with these earnings.

12. Employee Benefits

The Company has a defined contribution savings plan covering all regular full-time and part-time employees whereby eligible employees may elect to contribute up to 100% of their annual compensation. The Company matches 50% up to the first 6% of such employee contributions. The Company may, at its discretion, make an additional contribution in an amount as the board of directors may determine. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company charged approximately \$2,693, \$2,447 and \$2,102, respectively, to expense for these contributions.

Further, within the defined contribution savings plan, the Company also makes an annual retirement contribution to substantially all employees of one subsidiary and certain employees of another subsidiary who have completed one year of service. The Company's contributions to the plan are determined as a percentage of employees' base and overtime pay. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company charged approximately \$2,641, \$2,658 and \$2,225, respectively, to expense for these contributions.

The Company has noncontributory defined benefit pension plans that cover substantially all salaried and all wage employees of one subsidiary. Benefits for salaried employees under these plans are based primarily on years of service and employees' pay near retirement. Benefits for wage employees are based upon years of service and a fixed amount as periodically adjusted. The Company recognizes the years of service prior to the Company's acquisition of the facilities for purposes of determining vesting, eligibility and benefit levels for certain employees of the subsidiary and for determining vesting and eligibility for certain other employees of the subsidiary. The measurement date for these plans is December 31. The Company's funding policy is consistent with the funding requirements of federal law and regulations, and the Company does not expect to make any contribution to these plans in 2007. The accumulated benefit obligation was \$34,213, \$29,875 and \$27,162 at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Company also provides post-retirement healthcare benefits to the employees of three subsidiaries who meet certain minimum age and service requirements. The Company has the right to modify or terminate some of these benefits.

As discussed in Note 1, the Company has adopted SFAS 158 which requires an enterprise to recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a defined benefit postretirement plan's overfunded status or a liability

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

for a defined benefit postretirement plan's underfunded status. The impact of the adoption of this statement on its financial position is illustrated in the table below which reflects the establishment of the underfunded liability and the after-tax impact on stockholders' equity.

| | Before | | After |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Application | | Application |
| | of SFAS 158 | Adjustments | of SFAS 158 |
| Total current assets | \$ 849,787 | \$ | \$ 849,787 |
| Property, plant and equipment (net) | 1,119,088 | | 1,119,088 |
| Other assets (net) | 133,184 | (902) | 132,282 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,102,059 | \$ (902) | \$ 2,101,157 |
| Total current liabilities | \$ 321,340 | \$ 572 | \$ 321,912 |
| Long-term debt | 260,156 | | 260,156 |
| Deferred income taxes | 304,102 | (5,404) | 298,698 |
| Other liabilities | 28,283 | 13,882 | 42,165 |
| Total liabilities | 913,881 | 9,050 | 922,931 |
| Common stock | 653 | | 653 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 427,893 | | 427,893 |
| Retained earnings | 759,606 | | 759,606 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 26 | (9,952) | (9,926) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,188,178 | (9,952) | 1,178,226 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 2,102,059 | \$ (902) | \$ 2,101,157 |

Details of the pension and post-retirement healthcare plans are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | | Other Benefits | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Change in benefit obligation | | | | | | |
| Benefit obligation, beginning of year | \$ 34,779 | \$ 32,071 | \$ 27,342 | \$ 22,597 | \$ 20,961 | \$ 19,070 |
| Service cost | 1,066 | 1,050 | 1,029 | 361 | 360 | 381 |
| Interest cost | 1,885 | 1,798 | 1,736 | 512 | 414 | 420 |
| Actuarial loss | 2,630 | 737 | 1,104 | 1,533 | 1,363 | 1,649 |
| Benefits paid | (1,016) | (877) | (732) | (555) | (501) | (559) |
| Plan amendment | | | 1,592 | | | |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Benefit obligation, end of year | \$ 39,344 | \$ 34,779 | \$ 32,071 | \$ 24,448 | \$ 22,597 | \$ 20,961 |
| Change in plan assets | | | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets beginning of year | 26,149 | 19,726 | 17,233 | | | |
| Actual return | 2,910 | 1,226 | 1,556 | | | |
| Employer contribution | 2,498 | 6,074 | 1,670 | 555 | 501 | 559 |
| Benefit paid | (1,016) | (877) | (732) | (555) | (501) | (559) |
| Fair value of plan assets end of year | \$ 30,541 | \$ 26,149 | \$ 19,727 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Funded status, end of year | \$ (8,803) | \$ (8,630) | \$ (12,344) | \$ (24,448) | \$ (22,597) | \$ (20,961) |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | Pension Benefits | | | Other Benefits | | |
|---|------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Reconciliation of funded status | | | | | | |
| Funded status | \$ (8,803) | \$ (8,630) | \$ (12,344) | \$ (24,448) | \$ (22,597) | \$ (20,961) |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | | 7,141 | 5,966 | | 6,187 | 5,160 |
| Unamortized transition obligation | | | | | 684 | 797 |
| Unamortized prior period service cost | | 1,220 | 1,539 | | 1,627 | 1,893 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (8,803) | \$ (269) | \$ (4,839) | \$ (24,448) | \$ (14,099) | \$ (13,111) |
| Amounts recognized in the statement of financial position | | | | | | |
| Intangible assets | \$ | \$ 1,220 | \$ 1,539 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Current liabilities | | | | (572) | | |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (8,803) | (4,626) | (9,138) | (23,876) | (14,099) | (13,111) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss before taxes | | 3,137 | 2,760 | | | |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (8,803) | \$ (269) | \$ (4,839) | \$ (24,448) | \$ (14,099) | \$ (13,111) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) | | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ 8,663 | \$ 3,137 | \$ 2,760 | \$ 7,393 | \$ | \$ |
| Transition obligation | | | | 569 | | |
| Prior service cost | 902 | | | 1,361 | | |
| Total before tax(1) | \$ 9,565 | \$ 3,137 | \$ 2,760 | \$ 9,323 | \$ | \$ |

- (1) For 2006, after-tax totals for pension benefits and other benefits were \$6,171 and \$6,015, respectively. The sum of these amounts (\$12,186) is reflected in stockholders' equity as OCI. For 2005 and 2004, the after-tax totals for pension benefits were \$1,976 and \$1,739, respectively.

| | Pension Benefits | | | Other Benefits | | |
|--|------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost | | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 1,066 | \$ 1,050 | \$ 1,029 | \$ 361 | \$ 360 | \$ 381 |
| Interest cost | 1,885 | 1,798 | 1,736 | 512 | 414 | 420 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2,201) | (1,928) | (1,419) | | | |
| Net amortization | 717 | 584 | 680 | 707 | 715 | 621 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 1,467 | \$ 1,504 | \$ 2,026 | \$ 1,580 | \$ 1,489 | \$ 1,422 |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligation recognized in OCI

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Net loss emerging | \$ 5,925 | \$ | \$ | \$ 7,720 | \$ | \$ |
| Transition obligation | | | | 683 | | |
| Prior service cost | | | | 1,627 | | |
| Amortization of net loss | (399) | | | (327) | | |
| Amortization of transition obligation | | | | (114) | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (318) | | | (266) | | |
| Total recognized in OCI | \$ 5,208 | \$ | \$ | \$ 9,323 | \$ | \$ |
| Total net periodic benefit cost and OCI | \$ 6,675 | \$ 1,504 | \$ 2,026 | \$ 10,903 | \$ 1,489 | \$ 1,422 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

The estimated prior service cost and net loss for the defined benefit plans that will be amortized from other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost during 2007 are expected to be \$318 and \$511, respectively. The estimated transition obligation, prior service cost and net loss for the other benefit plans that will be amortized from other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost during 2007 are expected to be \$114, \$266 and \$414, respectively.

Weighted average assumptions as of year end

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 5.8% | 5.5% | 5.8% | 4.7% | 4.9% | 5.0% |
| Expected return on plan assets | 8.0% | 8.0% | 8.0% | | | |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.0% | 4.0% | 4.0% | | | |

| | Pension | Other |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Estimated future benefit payments: | | |
| Year 1 | \$ 1,182 | \$ 572 |
| Year 2 | \$ 1,429 | \$ 590 |
| Year 3 | \$ 1,585 | \$ 608 |
| Year 4 | \$ 1,801 | \$ 627 |
| Year 5 | \$ 1,975 | \$ 646 |
| Year 6 to 10 | \$ 13,006 | \$ 3,545 |

With an average rate of return below 8.0% for 2006, the Company has decided to leave the return on asset assumption at 8.0% as of January 1, 2007. This decision is based on input from the Company's third-party independent actuary and the pension fund trustee, projecting near-term returns of 8% to 12% from equities, and 4% to 6% from fixed income investments. The discount rate is based on representative published high quality bond indices which indicate the general level of rates as of December 31, 2006.

Assumed healthcare trend rates do not have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the healthcare plans because benefits for participants are capped at a fixed amount.

| | Pension Benefit | | | Pension Benefit | | |
|--|-----------------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|
| | Salaried | | 2004 | Wage | | 2004 |
| | 2006 | 2005 | | 2006 | 2005 | |
| Asset allocation for years ended: | | | | | | |
| Cash | 0% | 2% | 1% | 0% | 2% | 1% |
| Fixed income | 40% | 39% | 38% | 40% | 39% | 38% |
| Equity | 60% | 59% | 61% | 60% | 59% | 61% |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

The Company adopted a balanced asset allocation model (investment policy) of 50% equities and 50% fixed income in response to the market downturn during 2001 and 2002. As the market improved during subsequent years, the pension fund investment policy allowed the pension fund trustee a 10% discretionary range in the asset allocation model, shifting to approximately 60% equities and 40% fixed income. The Company expects the 60/40 investment policy to remain for the near future.

13. Related Party and Affiliate Transactions

The Company leases office space for management and administrative services from an affiliated party. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company incurred and paid lease payments of approximately \$1,269, \$1,241 and \$1,434, respectively.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

In March 2000, the Company loaned an affiliated party \$2,000. Interest on the debt accrues at LIBOR plus 2%. Previously, the Company loaned this same affiliate \$5,150. No interest or principal payments were received from the original loan from 1997 through 2001. Principal payments totaling \$0, \$763 and \$858 were received from the affiliated party in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The remaining balance is scheduled to be repaid beginning in 2010. Interest payments of \$161, \$246 and \$517 were received in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and are included in other income, net in the consolidated statement of operations. The loan amounts are included in other assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company and subsidiaries charged affiliates \$955, \$838 and \$1,231, respectively, for management services incurred on their behalf. The amounts are included in other income, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Amounts due for such services and other expenses of \$997, \$757 and \$889 as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively are included in accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

14. Acquisitions

On August 2, 2004, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Bristolpipe Corporation. Bristolpipe Corporation, headquartered in Elkhart, Indiana, operated three manufacturing plants located in Indiana, Pennsylvania and Georgia with a combined estimated pipe production capacity of 300 million pounds per year and primarily produced PVC pipe products for a wide range of applications, including domestic and commercial drainage, waste and venting; underground water; sewer pipe; and telecommunications cable ducting. The acquisition contributed \$55,366 net sales to the Company in 2004. The purchase price of the assets was \$33,294. Because the Bristolpipe acquisition is immaterial to the Company's consolidated financial statements, no pro forma disclosures are required.

On November 30, 2006, the Company acquired Eastman Chemical Company's polyethylene and Epolen® polymers businesses, related assets and a 200 mile, 10 inch pipeline from Mont Belvieu, Texas to Longview, Texas (Longview facilities). The polyethylene business and associated operating facilities have a capacity of 1,125 million pounds per year of polyethylene. This capacity is comprised of 700 million pounds per year of low density polyethylene (LDPE) and 425 million pounds of linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE). After the closing of the transaction, the Company's total polyethylene capacity will be in excess of 2.5 billion pounds per year. The Company also acquired technology for the production of specialty polyolefin polymers including: acrylate co-polymers; Epolene® polymers for the adhesives, coatings and other consumer products markets; and Energx® technology for LLDPE, which is designed to provide enhanced strength and performance properties. The Company's management believes that the acquisition of these facilities will further strengthen the Company's position in the North American polyethylene market and increase its ability to provide an improved overall product mix and new technology.

The purchase price of \$235,028 was paid with available cash on hand. This amount is subject to further adjustment based on final values of working capital items at November 30, 2006.

The acquisition is being accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the results of these assets are included in the consolidated financial statements from the acquisition date. The results of operations and assets are included in the Olefins segment.

The Company has allocated the total acquisition cost of this new business to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at estimated fair values. The excess of fair value of the net assets acquired compared to the

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

amount paid is classified as goodwill. This allocation is preliminary and is subject to revision. Subsequent revisions, if any, are not expected to be material. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Purchase price | \$ 235,028 |
| Direct acquisition costs | 1,119 |
| Total acquisition cost: | 236,147 |
| Allocation of total acquisition cost: | |
| Inventories | \$ 69,131 |
| Other current assets | 56 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 157,815 |
| Intangible assets | 25,313 |
| Goodwill | 36,098 |
| Other assets | 3,326 |
| Current liabilities | (8,056) |
| Deferred income taxes | (47,536) |
| | \$ 236,147 |

The following is the detail for intangible assets:

| Intangible Asset | Amount | Amortization Period (years) |
|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| Patents | \$ 6,503 | 10 |
| Customer relationships | 17,649 | 13 |
| Epolene® trade name | 1,161 | |
| | \$ 25,313 | |

The following unaudited consolidated pro forma information is provided for the acquisition assuming it occurred on January 1, 2005:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ 3,185,332 | \$ 3,122,974 |
| Income before income taxes | 345,839 | 429,913 |
| Net income | 234,875 | 280,698 |
| Earnings per common share: | | |
| Basic | 3.61 | 4.32 |
| Diluted | 3.60 | 4.30 |

The pro forma net earnings above assumes an income tax provision at the Company's estimated federal and state income tax rate for the respective year. The information is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of results that would have been

achieved if the acquisition had occurred as of January 1, 2005 or of future operating performance.

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

15. Other Income, net

Other income, net consists of the following for the years ended December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|
| Management services | \$ 955 | \$ 838 | \$ 1,231 |
| Interest income | 10,074 | 4,317 | 971 |
| Insurance proceeds, net | | | 2,785 |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated subsidiary | 1,766 | 94 | 1,379 |
| Derivative loss | | (1,947) | (3,750) |
| Other | (1,125) | (644) | 21 |
| | \$ 11,670 | \$ 2,658 | \$ 2,637 |

16. Commitments and Contingencies***Environmental Matters***

The Company is subject to environmental laws and regulations that can impose civil and criminal sanctions and that may require it to mitigate the effects of contamination caused by the release or disposal of hazardous substances into the environment. Under one law, an owner or operator of property may be held strictly liable for remediating contamination without regard to whether that person caused the contamination, and without regard to whether the practices that resulted in the contamination were legal at the time they occurred. Because several of the Company's production sites have a history of industrial use, it is impossible to predict precisely what effect these requirements will have on the Company.

Contract Litigation with Goodrich and PolyOne. In connection with the 1990 and 1997 acquisitions of the Goodrich Corporation chemical manufacturing complex in Calvert City, Kentucky, Goodrich agreed to indemnify the Company for any liabilities related to preexisting contamination at the complex. For its part, the Company agreed to indemnify Goodrich for post-closing contamination caused by the Company's operations. The soil and groundwater at the complex, which does not include the Company's nearby PVC facility, had been extensively contaminated by Goodrich's operations. In 1993, Goodrich spun off the predecessor of PolyOne, and that predecessor assumed Goodrich's indemnification obligations relating to preexisting contamination. PolyOne is now coordinating the investigation and remediation of contamination at the complex. In mid-1997 the Company began operating (pursuant to contract) a certain piece of groundwater remediation equipment at the complex owned by Goodrich.

For a number of years, PolyOne has asserted that the Company's operations after the 1990 and 1997 acquisitions have contributed to the contamination. In May 2003, Goodrich asserted that the Company is responsible for a portion of the costs of treating the complex's contaminated groundwater. Goodrich then began withholding payment of 45% of the monthly costs incurred by the Company to operate certain remediation equipment.

In October 2003, the Company sued Goodrich in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky for breach of contract to recover its unpaid invoices for providing these services. Goodrich filed a counterclaim against the Company and a third-party complaint against PolyOne. PolyOne in turn filed motions to dismiss, counterclaims against Goodrich, and cross-claims against the Company, in which it alleged, among other things, that Goodrich and the Company had conspired to defraud PolyOne.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

In March 2005, the court dismissed PolyOne's claims against the Company and granted the Company's motion for summary judgment on its breach of contract claim against Goodrich. In July 2005, Goodrich agreed to pay the Company all past due amounts, including interest, in the amount of \$3,132. This reimbursement is reflected in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005, resulting in a \$2,606 reduction of selling, general and administrative expenses and \$526 of interest income. Goodrich further agreed to timely and fully pay the Company for all future services. Goodrich reserved the right to seek reconsideration of the court's order, which, if granted, could require the Company to reimburse Goodrich for its payments to the Company under the July 2005 agreement. The case is continuing with respect to Goodrich's counterclaim against the Company and the claims between Goodrich and PolyOne. A court-ordered mediation is expected to occur in early 2007 and trial is set for October 2007.

The current groundwater remediation activities at the Calvert City complex do not have a specified termination date but are expected to last for the foreseeable future. Since the Company acquired in mid-1997 the relevant portion of the complex where certain groundwater remediation equipment is located, the Company has spent approximately \$23,300 through December 31, 2006 in operating this equipment, all of which has been reimbursed to the Company by Goodrich. Goodrich is continuing to reimburse the Company on a monthly basis as ongoing expenses for these services are incurred. The costs incurred to operate the groundwater remediation equipment were \$3,362 in 2006.

Administrative Proceedings. There are several administrative proceedings in Kentucky involving the Company, Goodrich and PolyOne and the same manufacturing complex in Calvert City. In 2003, the Kentucky Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet (Cabinet) re-issued Goodrich's Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, or RCRA, permit which requires Goodrich to remediate contamination at the Calvert City manufacturing complex. Both Goodrich and PolyOne challenged various terms of the permit in an attempt to shift Goodrich's clean-up obligations under the permit to the Company.

In January 2004, the Cabinet notified the Company that the Company's ownership of a closed landfill (known as former Pond 4) requires it to submit an application for its own permit under RCRA. This could require the Company to bear the cost of performing remediation work at former Pond 4 and adjacent areas at the complex. The Company challenged the Cabinet's January 2004 order and has obtained several extensions to submit the required permit application, which is now due in March 2007. In October 2006, the Cabinet notified Goodrich and the Company that both were operators of former Pond 4 under RCRA, and ordered them to jointly submit an application for a RCRA permit no later than April 2007. Goodrich and the Company have both challenged the Cabinet's October 2006 order.

All of these administrative proceedings have been consolidated. At a hearing on February 9, 2007, the administrative law judge vacated the hearing date and set a status conference for May 18, 2007 based on the fact that the parties are engaged in settlement discussions.

Litigation Related to the Administrative Proceedings. The Company has the contractual right to reconvey title to former Pond 4 back to Goodrich, and the Company has tendered former Pond 4 back to Goodrich under this provision. In March 2005, the Company sued Goodrich in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky to require Goodrich to accept the tendered reconveyance and to indemnify the Company for costs the Company incurred in connection with former Pond 4. Goodrich subsequently filed a third-party complaint against PolyOne, seeking to hold PolyOne responsible for any of Goodrich's former Pond 4 liabilities to the Company. Goodrich moved to dismiss the Company's suit against it, the Company filed a motion for partial summary judgment against Goodrich, and PolyOne moved to dismiss Goodrich's third-party complaint against it. All three motions are pending.

Table of Contents

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

PolyOne filed a separate lawsuit against the Company in March 2005 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky seeking to require the Company to apply for its own RCRA permit. Purportedly brought under the citizen suit provisions of RCRA, PolyOne's suit involves the same issues raised in the Goodrich and PolyOne challenges to the RCRA permit discussed above. The Company filed a motion to dismiss PolyOne's suit, which is pending.

Monetary Relief. Neither the court nor the Cabinet has established any allocation of the costs of remediation among the various parties that are involved in the judicial and administrative proceedings discussed above. Any monetary liabilities that the Company might incur with respect to the remediation of contamination at the complex would likely be spread out over an extended period. As a result, the Company believes it is unlikely that any remediation costs allocable to it will be material. However, the Company is not in a position at this time to state what effect, if any, the resolution of these proceedings could have on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Environmental Investigations. In 2002, the EPA's National Enforcement Investigations Center, or NEIC, investigated the Company's manufacturing complex in Calvert City. In early 2004, the NEIC investigated the Company's nearby PVC plant. The EPA subsequently submitted information requests to the Company under the Clean Air Act and RCRA. The Company and the EPA met in June 2004 to attempt to voluntarily resolve the notices of violation that were issued to the Company for the 2002 investigation and to voluntarily resolve any issues raised at the PVC plant in the 2004 investigation. Since then, parties have continued to engage in settlement discussions. The EPA has indicated that it will impose monetary penalties and require plant modifications that will involve capital expenditures. The Company expects that, based on the EPA's past practices, the amount of any monetary penalties would be reduced by a portion of the expenditures that the Company would agree to make for certain supplemental environmental projects. The Company has recorded an accrual for a probable loss related to monetary penalties and other items to be expensed; however, based on correspondence with the EPA, the Company reduced its loss accrual by \$1,500 during the fourth quarter of 2006. This benefit is classified in cost of sales. Although the ultimate amount of liability is not ascertainable, the Company believes that any amounts exceeding the recorded accruals should not materially affect the Company's financial condition. It is possible, however, that the ultimate resolution of this matter could result in a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows for a particular reporting period.

Legal Matters

In October 2003, the Company filed suit against CITGO Petroleum Corporation in state court in Lake Charles, Louisiana, asserting that CITGO had failed to take sufficient hydrogen under two successive contracts pursuant to which the Company has supplied and the Company supplies to CITGO hydrogen that the Company generates as a co-product in its ethylene plants in Lake Charles. In December 2003, CITGO responded with an answer and a counterclaim against the Company, asserting that CITGO had overpaid the Company for hydrogen due to the Company's allegedly faulty sales meter and that the Company is obligated to reimburse CITGO for the overpayments. In January 2004, the Company filed a motion to compel arbitration of CITGO's counterclaim and to stay all court proceedings relating to the counterclaim. In May 2004, the parties filed a joint motion with the court to provide for CITGO's counterclaim to be resolved by arbitration. The Company's claim against CITGO was approximately \$8,100 plus interest at the prime rate plus two percentage points and attorneys' fees. CITGO's claim against the Company was approximately \$7,800 plus interest at the prime rate plus two percentage points and attorneys' fees. Effective November 21, 2006, the parties agreed to settle the litigation and dismiss their claims. As a result of this settlement, the Company recorded a benefit of \$2,601 in cost of sales due to a reduction in contingency reserves.

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

In addition to the matters described above, in both Environmental Matters and Legal Matters, the Company is involved in various routine legal proceedings incidental to the conduct of its business. The Company does not believe that any of these routine legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Other Commitments

The Company is obligated under various long-term and short-term noncancelable operating leases, primarily related to rail car leases. Several of the leases provide for renewal terms. At December 31, 2006, future minimum lease commitments were as follows:

| | |
|------------|-------------------|
| 2007 | \$ 27,552 |
| 2008 | 26,160 |
| 2009 | 23,115 |
| 2010 | 17,891 |
| 2011 | 12,452 |
| Thereafter | 15,994 |
| | \$ 123,164 |

Rental expense, net of railcar mileage credits, was approximately \$32,461, \$27,691 and \$20,790 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

In addition, in 1996 a subsidiary of the Company entered into an agreement with INEOS (successor to BP Chemicals Ltd.) to license technology used to produce LLDPE and HDPE. Under the agreement the Company makes annual payments to INEOS of \$3,140 through May 2007. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the net present value of these payments was \$3,058 and \$5,881. The \$3,058 and \$5,881 are classified as accrued liabilities at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company has various purchase commitments for materials, supplies and services incident to the ordinary conduct of business. Such commitments are at prices not in excess of market prices. Certain feedstock purchase commitments require taking delivery of minimum volumes at market-determined prices.

17. Segment and Geographic Information***Segment Information***

The Company operates in two principal business segments: Olefins and Vinyls. These segments are strategic business units that offer a variety of different products. The Company manages each segment separately as each business requires different technology and marketing strategies.

The Company's Olefins segment manufactures and markets ethylene, polyethylene, styrene monomer and various ethylene co-products. The Company also acquires ethylene from Eastman pursuant to a contract to supply a portion of the Company's ethylene requirements in Longview. The majority of the Company's ethylene production and ethylene acquired from Eastman is used in the Company's polyethylene, styrene and VCM operations. The remainder of the Company's ethylene is sold to external customers. In addition, the Company makes ethylene co-products such as propylene, crude butadiene and hydrogen that are sold to external customers.

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

The majority of sales in the Company's Olefins business are made under long-term agreements where contract volumes are established within a range (typically, more than one year). Earlier terminations may occur if

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

the parties fail to agree on price and deliveries are suspended for a period of several months. In most cases, these contracts also contemplate extension of the term unless specifically terminated by one of the parties. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, one customer accounted for 11.1%, 11.5% and 10.9% of net sales in the Olefins segment.

The Company's Vinyls segment manufactures and markets PVC, VCM, chlorine, caustic soda and ethylene. The Company also manufactures and sells products fabricated from PVC that the Company produces, including pipe, window and patio door profiles and fence. The Company's main manufacturing complex is located in Calvert City, Kentucky. It includes an ethylene plant, a chlor-alkali plant, a VCM plant and a PVC plant. The Company also operates a PVC and VCM manufacturing facility in Geismar, Louisiana. In addition, the Company owns a 58% interest in a PVC joint venture in China.

The Company uses a majority of its chlorine, VCM and PVC production to manufacture fabricated products at the Company's eleven regional plants. Much of the remainder of the VCM production is sold pursuant to a contract that requires the Company to supply a minimum of 300 million pounds of VCM per year. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, one customer accounted for 13.0%, 16.3% and 16.8%, respectively, of net sales in the Vinyls segment. In 2006, an additional customer accounted for 13.4% of net sales in the segment.

The accounting policies of the individual segments are the same as those described in Note 1.

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net external sales | | | |
| Olefins | | | |
| Polyethylene | \$ 783,968 | \$ 697,662 | \$ 601,269 |
| Ethylene, styrene and other | 585,612 | 652,380 | 649,985 |
| Total olefins | 1,369,580 | 1,350,042 | 1,251,254 |
| Vinyls | | | |
| Fabricated finished products | \$ 596,461 | \$ 587,547 | \$ 394,513 |
| VCM, PVC, and other | 518,325 | 503,516 | 339,586 |
| Total vinyls | 1,114,786 | 1,091,063 | 734,099 |
| | \$ 2,484,366 | \$ 2,441,105 | \$ 1,985,353 |
| Intersegment sales | | | |
| Olefins | \$ 131,277 | \$ 116,822 | \$ 53,668 |
| Vinyls | 1,077 | 1,173 | 553 |
| | \$ 132,354 | \$ 117,995 | \$ 54,221 |
| Income (loss) from operations | | | |
| Olefins | \$ 160,875 | \$ 195,670 | \$ 179,587 |
| Vinyls | 157,918 | 179,407 | 69,723 |
| Corporate and other | (5,542) | (8,044) | (6,144) |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | \$ 313,251 | \$ 367,033 | \$ 243,166 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | |
| Olefins | \$ 51,741 | \$ 46,844 | \$ 49,213 |
| Vinyls | 34,391 | 34,343 | 31,671 |
| Corporate and other | 130 | 54 | 191 |
| | \$ 86,262 | \$ 81,241 | \$ 81,075 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Other income (expense), net | | | |
| Olefins | \$ (12) | \$ (1,933) | \$ (981) |
| Vinyls | 216 | 301 | 121 |
| Corporate and other | 11,466 | 4,290 | 3,497 |
| | 11,670 | 2,658 | 2,637 |
| Debt retirement cost | (25,853) | (646) | (15,791) |
| | \$ (14,183) | \$ 2,012 | \$ (13,154) |
| Capital expenditures | | | |
| Olefins | \$ 92,360 | \$ 40,865 | \$ 15,905 |
| Vinyls | 41,028 | 42,741 | 35,745 |
| Corporate and other | 2,870 | 2,154 | 1,060 |
| | \$ 136,258 | \$ 85,760 | \$ 52,710 |

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total assets | | |
| Olefins | \$ 1,390,513 | \$ 961,742 |
| Vinyls | 578,507 | 573,709 |
| Corporate and other | 113,078 | 291,738 |
| Income before taxes | \$ 2,082,098 | \$ 1,827,189 |

A reconciliation of total segment income from operations to consolidated income before is as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Income from operations for reportable segments | \$ 313,251 | \$ 367,033 | \$ 243,166 |
| Interest expense | (16,519) | (23,717) | (39,350) |
| Debt retirement cost | (25,853) | (646) | (15,791) |
| Other income, net | 11,670 | 2,658 | 2,637 |
| Income before taxes | \$ 282,549 | \$ 345,328 | \$ 190,662 |

A reconciliation of total segment income from operations to consolidated income before is as follows:

Geographic Information

| 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|------|------|------|
|------|------|------|

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Sales to external customers(a) | | | |
| United States | \$ 2,219,164 | \$ 2,068,500 | \$ 1,678,421 |
| Foreign | | | |
| Canada | 230,567 | 324,053 | 244,959 |
| Bahamas | 191 | 18,822 | 25,083 |
| Other | 34,444 | 29,730 | 36,890 |
| | \$ 2,484,366 | \$ 2,441,105 | \$ 1,985,353 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Long-lived assets | | | |
| United States | \$ 1,065,965 | \$ 850,280 | \$ 845,314 |
| Foreign | 10,938 | 12,952 | 9,738 |
| | \$ 1,076,903 | \$ 863,232 | \$ 855,052 |

(a) Revenues are attributed to countries based on location of customer.

18. Subsequent Event

On February 23, 2007, the Company's board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.04 per share of common stock payable on March 20, 2007 to holders of record on March 5, 2007 aggregating approximately \$2,611.

19. Guarantor Disclosures

The Company's payment obligations under its 6 5/8% senior notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by each of its current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries that guarantee other debt of the Company or of another guarantor of the 6 5/8% senior notes in excess of \$5,000 (the Guarantor Subsidiaries). This includes the subsidiaries created by the acquisition of the Longview facilities. Each Guarantor Subsidiary is 100% owned by the parent company. These guarantees are the joint and several obligations of the Guarantor Subsidiaries. The following unaudited condensed consolidating financial information presents the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of Westlake Chemical Corporation, the Guarantor Subsidiaries and the remaining subsidiaries that do not guarantee the notes (the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries), together with consolidating adjustments necessary to present the Company's results on a consolidated basis.

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2006

| | Westlake | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Chemical | Guarantor | Non-Guarantor | | |
| | Corporation | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Balance Sheet | | | | | |
| Current assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 46,670 | \$ 91 | \$ 5,885 | \$ | \$ 52,646 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 65,041 | 287,327 | 6,510 | (49,975) | 308,903 |
| Inventories, net | | 445,516 | 10,760 | | 456,276 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 10 | 16,054 | 22 | | 16,086 |
| Deferred income taxes | 15,463 | | 413 | | 15,876 |
| Total current assets | 127,184 | 748,988 | 23,590 | (49,975) | 849,787 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | 1,065,965 | 10,938 | | 1,076,903 |
| Equity investment | 1,515,188 | 15,300 | 26,382 | (1,530,488) | 26,382 |
| Other assets, net | 41,870 | 117,529 | 5,657 | (36,030) | 129,026 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,684,242 | \$ 1,947,782 | \$ 66,567 | \$ (1,616,493) | \$ 2,082,098 |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Current liabilities | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | 26,811 | 206,239 | 5,864 | | 238,914 |
| Accrued liabilities | (10,059) | 91,481 | 1,587 | (11) | 82,998 |
| Total current liabilities | 16,752 | 297,720 | 7,451 | (11) | 321,912 |
| Long-term debt | 249,267 | 88,953 | 7,932 | (85,996) | 260,156 |
| Deferred income taxes | 232,797 | 47,537 | 1,494 | | 281,828 |
| Other liabilities | 11,885 | 32,776 | | | 44,661 |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,173,541 | 1,480,796 | 49,690 | (1,530,486) | 1,173,541 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,684,242 | \$ 1,947,782 | \$ 66,567 | \$ (1,616,493) | \$ 2,082,098 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2005

| | Westlake | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Chemical Corporation | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | | |
| Balance Sheet | | | | | |
| Current assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 231,957 | \$ 151 | \$ 5,787 | \$ | \$ 237,895 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 60,697 | 290,749 | 98 | (48,765) | 302,779 |
| Inventories, net | | 331,867 | 8,003 | | 339,870 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 10 | 9,007 | 289 | | 9,306 |
| Deferred income taxes | 12,398 | | 615 | | 13,013 |
| Total current assets | 305,062 | 631,774 | 14,792 | (48,765) | 902,863 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | 850,280 | 12,952 | | 863,232 |
| Equity investment | 1,163,403 | 15,300 | 20,042 | (1,178,703) | 20,042 |
| Other assets, net | 43,235 | 28,017 | 5,830 | (36,030) | 41,052 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,511,700 | \$ 1,525,371 | \$ 53,616 | \$ (1,263,498) | \$ 1,827,189 |
| Current liabilities | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | 18,705 | 181,093 | (21) | | 199,777 |
| Accrued liabilities | 4,509 | 99,042 | 1,266 | 55 | 104,872 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 1,200 | | | | 1,200 |
| Total current liabilities | 24,414 | 280,135 | 1,245 | 55 | 305,849 |
| Long-term debt | 254,800 | 90,597 | 5,142 | (84,850) | 265,689 |
| Deferred income taxes | 219,802 | | 1,286 | | 221,088 |
| Other liabilities | 18,578 | 21,880 | | (1) | 40,457 |
| Stockholders' equity | 994,106 | 1,132,759 | 45,943 | (1,178,702) | 994,106 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,511,700 | \$ 1,525,371 | \$ 53,616 | \$ (1,263,498) | \$ 1,827,189 |

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2006

| | Westlake | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Chemical Corporation | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | | |
| Statement of Operations | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 2,445,236 | \$ 49,557 | \$ (10,427) | \$ 2,484,366 |
| Cost of sales | | 2,054,820 | 43,490 | (10,427) | 2,087,883 |
| | | 390,416 | 6,067 | | 396,483 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,648 | 77,995 | 3,589 | | 83,232 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (1,648) | 312,421 | 2,478 | | 313,251 |
| Interest expense | (3,123) | (13,396) | | | (16,519) |
| Other income (expense), net | 139,589 | 356 | 2,551 | (156,679) | (14,183) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 134,818 | 299,381 | 5,029 | (156,679) | 282,549 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (59,741) | 146,887 | 844 | | 87,990 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 194,559 | \$ 152,494 | \$ 4,185 | \$ (156,679) | \$ 194,559 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2005

| | Westlake | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Chemical | Guarantor | Non-Guarantor | | |
| | Corporation | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | | |
| Statement of Operations | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 2,412,321 | \$ 41,798 | \$ (13,014) | \$ 2,441,105 |
| Cost of sales | (125) | 1,972,280 | 38,333 | (13,014) | 1,997,474 |
| | 125 | 440,041 | 3,465 | | 443,631 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 2,068 | 71,358 | 3,172 | | 76,598 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from operations | (1,943) | 368,683 | 293 | | 367,033 |
| Interest expense | (3,001) | (20,715) | (1) | | (23,717) |
| Other income (expense), net | 227,838 | (879) | 521 | (225,468) | 2,012 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 222,894 | 347,089 | 813 | (225,468) | 345,328 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (3,923) | 122,531 | (97) | | 118,511 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 226,817 | \$ 224,558 | \$ 910 | \$ (225,468) | \$ 226,817 |

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2004

| | Westlake | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Chemical | Guarantor | Non-Guarantor | | |
| | Corporation | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | | |
| Statement of Operations | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 1,962,160 | \$ 31,327 | \$ (8,134) | \$ 1,985,353 |
| Cost of sales | | 1,663,358 | 26,944 | (8,134) | 1,682,168 |
| | | 298,802 | 4,383 | | 303,185 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,650 | 54,905 | 1,683 | | 60,238 |
| Gain on legal settlement | | (2,049) | | | (2,049) |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | 1,830 | | | 1,830 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (3,650) | 244,116 | 2,700 | | 243,166 |
| Interest expense | (16,380) | (22,969) | (1) | | (39,350) |

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|----------|--------------|------------|
| Other income (expense), net | 127,300 | 134 | 1,868 | (142,456) | (13,154) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 107,270 | 221,281 | 4,567 | (142,456) | 190,662 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (13,452) | 83,236 | 156 | | 69,940 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 120,722 | \$ 138,045 | \$ 4,411 | \$ (142,456) | \$ 120,722 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2006

| | Westlake | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Chemical | Guarantor | Non-Guarantor | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| | Corporation | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | | |
| Statement of Cash Flows | | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 194,559 | \$ 152,494 | \$ 4,185 | \$ (156,679) | \$ 194,559 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 83,085 | 3,177 | | 86,262 |
| Recovery of doubtful accounts | | 1,287 | | | 1,287 |
| Loss (gain) from disposition of fixed assets | | 2,848 | | | 2,848 |
| Write off of debt issuance cost | | 3,623 | | | 3,623 |
| Deferred income taxes | 13,415 | | 437 | | 13,852 |
| Equity in income of joint venture | | | (1,766) | | (1,766) |
| Net changes in working capital and other | (134,228) | (83,921) | (2,011) | 156,679 | (63,481) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | 73,746 | 159,416 | 4,022 | | 237,184 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | | (133,878) | (2,380) | | (136,258) |
| Additions to equity investments | | | (4,574) | | (4,574) |
| Acquisition of business | (235,674) | | | | (235,674) |
| Purchases of short-term investments | (216,510) | | | | (216,510) |
| Sales and maturities of short-term investments | 216,510 | | | | 216,510 |
| Settlements of derivative instruments | | (28,052) | | | (28,052) |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets | | 222 | | | 222 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (235,674) | (161,708) | (6,954) | | (404,336) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | |
| Intercompany financing | (5,262) | 2,232 | 3,030 | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,849 | | | | 1,849 |
| Dividends paid | (8,802) | | | | (8,802) |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 249,185 | | | | 249,185 |
| Repayments of borrowings | (256,000) | | | | (256,000) |
| Capitalized debt issuance costs | (4,329) | | | | (4,329) |
| Net cash used for financing activities | (23,359) | 2,232 | 3,030 | | (18,097) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | (185,287) | (60) | 98 | | (185,249) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 231,957 | 151 | 5,787 | | 237,895 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$ 46,670 | \$ 91 | \$ 5,885 | \$ | \$ 52,646 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2005

| | Westlake Chemical Corporation | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Statement of Cash Flows | | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 226,817 | \$ 224,558 | \$ 910 | \$ (225,468) | \$ 226,817 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,456 | 78,613 | 2,628 | | 82,697 |
| Recovery of doubtful accounts | | (2,294) | (13) | | (2,307) |
| Loss (gain) from disposition of fixed assets | | 4,767 | (21) | | 4,746 |
| Write off of debt issuance cost | 646 | | | | 646 |
| Deferred income taxes | (3,923) | 49,613 | 55 | | 45,745 |
| Equity in income of joint venture | | | (94) | | (94) |
| Net changes in working capital and other | (314,822) | 43,754 | 5,797 | 225,468 | (39,803) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | (89,826) | 399,011 | 9,262 | | 318,447 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | | (80,286) | (5,474) | | (85,760) |
| Additions to equity investments | | | (1,867) | | (1,867) |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets | | 37 | | | 37 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | | (80,249) | (7,341) | | (87,590) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | |
| Intercompany financing | 318,829 | (318,681) | (148) | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,184 | | | | 1,184 |
| Dividends paid | (6,342) | | | | (6,342) |
| Repayments of borrowings | (31,200) | | | | (31,200) |
| Net cash used for financing activities | 282,471 | (318,681) | (148) | | (36,358) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 192,645 | 81 | 1,773 | | 194,499 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 39,312 | 70 | 4,014 | | 43,396 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$ 231,957 | \$ 151 | \$ 5,787 | \$ | \$ 237,895 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Condensed Consolidating Financial Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2004

| | Westlake | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Chemical | Guarantor | Non-Guarantor | | |
| | Corporation | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| Statement of Cash Flows | | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 120,722 | \$ 138,045 | \$ 4,411 | \$ (142,456) | \$ 120,722 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,097 | 78,738 | 2,337 | | 83,172 |
| Recovery of doubtful accounts | | (145) | (311) | | (456) |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | 1,830 | | | 1,830 |
| Gain from disposition of fixed assets | | (218) | | | (218) |
| Deferred income taxes | (13,452) | 79,157 | (517) | | 65,188 |
| Equity in income of joint venture | | | (1,379) | | (1,379) |
| Net changes in working capital and other | (184,531) | (74,821) | (1,182) | 142,456 | (118,078) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | (75,164) | 222,586 | 3,359 | | 150,781 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | | (47,945) | (4,765) | | (52,710) |
| Acquisition of operations | | (33,294) | | | (33,294) |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets | | 3,256 | | | 3,256 |
| Proceeds from insurance claims | | 2,785 | | | 2,785 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | | (75,198) | (4,765) | | (79,963) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | |
| Intercompany financing | 147,178 | (147,362) | 184 | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of stock | 181,167 | | | | 181,167 |
| Dividends paid | (1,379) | | | | (1,379) |
| Proceeds from affiliate borrowings | 336 | | | | 336 |
| Repayments of affiliate borrowings | (5,727) | | | | (5,727) |
| Repayments of borrowings | (239,200) | | | | (239,200) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | 82,375 | (147,362) | 184 | | (64,803) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 7,211 | 26 | (1,222) | | 6,015 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 32,101 | 44 | 5,236 | | 37,381 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$ 39,312 | \$ 70 | \$ 4,014 | \$ | \$ 43,396 |

Table of Contents**WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

20. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | March 31, 2006 | June 30, 2006 | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2006(2) |
| Net sales | \$ 618,779 | \$ 669,267 | \$ 672,417 | \$ 523,903 |
| Gross profit | 131,058 | 125,212 | 109,176 | 31,037 |
| Income from operations | 110,879 | 106,853 | 87,011 | 8,508 |
| Net income | 51,337 | 67,169 | 61,656 | 14,397 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per common share(1) | \$ 0.79 | \$ 1.03 | \$ 0.95 | \$ 0.22 |

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | March 31, 2005 | June 30, 2005 | September 30, 2005 | December 31, 2005 |
| Net sales | \$ 618,616 | \$ 580,659 | \$ 605,391 | \$ 636,439 |
| Gross profit | 119,783 | 99,480 | 89,264 | 135,104 |
| Income from operations | 101,708 | 82,763 | 70,062 | 112,500 |
| Net income | 61,143 | 48,526 | 43,526 | 73,622 |
| Basic earnings per common share(1) | \$ 0.94 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.67 | \$ 1.13 |
| Diluted earnings per common share(1) | \$ 0.94 | \$ 0.74 | \$ 0.67 | \$ 1.13 |

(1) EPS for each quarter is computed using the weighted average shares outstanding during that quarter, while EPS for the year is computed using the weighted-average shares outstanding during the year. Thus, the sum of the EPS for each of the four quarters may not equal the EPS for the year.

(2) See the Results of Operations section of Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for a discussion of the 2006 results, including a discussion of the reduced profitability in the fourth quarter.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**Disclosure, Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer) and our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial officer), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K. This assessment excludes Westlake Longview Corporation as permitted and discussed in Westlake's management's report on internal control over financial reporting which appears on page 41 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In the course of this evaluation, management considered certain internal control areas in which we have made and are continuing to make changes to improve

Edgar Filing: WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP - Form 10-K

and enhance controls. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of December 31, 2006 with respect to the recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, of information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act and is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Westlake's management's report on internal control over financial reporting appears on page 41 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has also audited management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, as stated in their report that appears on page 42 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Pursuant to Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K, the information required by this item with respect to our executive officers is set forth in Part I of this Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

On February 23, 2007, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Westlake set 2007 base salaries and bonus targets for certain executive officers of the Company (and determined the amount of 2006 bonuses payable in 2007 to such executive officers). Exhibit 10.25 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference, sets forth the 2007 base salary and target bonus amounts (and the 2006 bonuses payable) to such executive officers.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, Director Independence.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act within 120 days of December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

- (a)(1) The financial statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K are filed as part of this Form 10-K.
- (a)(2) The following schedule is presented as required. All other schedules are omitted because the information is not applicable, not required, or has been furnished in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Financial Statement Schedule**SCHEDULE II****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

(dollars in thousands)

| | Balance at | | | Balance at |
|--|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | Beginning | Charged | Additions/ | End of |
| Accounts Receivable Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | of Year | to Expense(2) | (Deductions)(1) | Year |
| 2006 | \$ 3,460 | \$ 1,287 | \$ (1,460) | \$ 3,287 |
| 2005 | 6,106 | (2,307) | (339) | 3,460 |
| 2004 | 6,901 | (456) | (339) | 6,106 |

- (1) Accounts receivable written off during the period.
- (2) The credit to expense in 2005 relates primarily to the July 5, 2005 settlement agreement with Goodrich (see Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements).

| | Balance at | | | Balance at |
|---|----------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | Beginning | Charged to | Additions/ | End of |
| Inventory Allowance for Inventory Obsolescence | of Year | Expense | (Deductions)(1) | Year |
| 2006 | \$ 8,110 | \$ 43 | \$ (213) | \$ 7,940 |
| 2005 | 8,507 | 377 | (774) | 8,110 |
| 2004 | 8,289 | 886 | (668) | 8,507 |

- (1) Inventory written off during the period.

Table of Contents

(a)(3) Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|--|
| 2.1 | Acquisition Agreement dated as of October 9, 2006 by and between Westlake Longview Corporation (formerly Westlake NG II Corporation) and Eastman Chemical Company (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 12, 2006). |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of Westlake as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on August 6, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on August 9, 2004). |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of Westlake (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on August 9, 2004). |
| 4.1 | Indenture dated as of July 31, 2003 by and among Westlake, the guarantors named therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as trustee, relating to 8 ³ / ₄ % senior notes due 2011 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 4.2 | Form of 8 ³ / ₄ % senior notes due 2011 (included in Exhibit 4.1). |
| 4.3 | Supplemental Indenture dated as of August 17, 2004 by and among Westlake International Corporation, Westlake Technology Corporation, Westlake, the other Guarantors and JPMorgan Chase Bank (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 4.4 | Indenture dated as of January 1, 2006 by and among Westlake, the potential subsidiary guarantors listed therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 13, 2006). |
| 4.5 | First Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 13, 2006 by and among Westlake, the subsidiary guarantors party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 13, 2006). |
| 4.6 | Form of 6 ⁵ / ₈ % senior notes due 2016 (included in Exhibit 4.5). |
| | Westlake and the guarantors are party to other long-term debt instruments not filed herewith under which the total amount of securities authorized does not exceed 10% of the total assets of Westlake and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Pursuant to paragraph 4(iii)(A) of Item 601(b) of Regulation S-K, Westlake agrees to furnish a copy of such instruments to the SEC upon request. |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement dated as of July 31, 2003 (the "Revolving Credit Agreement") by and among the financial institutions party thereto, as lenders, Bank of America, N.A., as agent, Westlake and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as borrowers relating to a \$200 million senior secured revolving credit facility (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on November 21, 2003). |
| 10.2 | First Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated September 30, 2004, by and among Westlake, as borrower, certain of its subsidiaries, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as agent and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 10.3 | Second Amendment and Waiver, dated February 24, 2004, to Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2003, filed on March 26, 2004). |
| 10.4 | Third Amendment and Waiver, dated June 22, 2004, to Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on July 19, 2004). |
| 10.5 | Fourth Amendment, dated November 30, 2004, to Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|---|
| 10.6 | Fifth Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of January 6, 2006 by and among Westlake, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as agent for lenders, and lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2005, filed on February 23, 2006). |
| 10.7 | Sixth Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of March 24, 2006 by and among Westlake, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as agent for lenders, and lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on May 5, 2006). |
| 10.8 | Amendment, Assignment and Acceptance Agreement dated as of September 22, 2003 among Bank of America, N.A., the financial institutions party thereto, Westlake and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, amending the Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4/A, filed on November 21, 2003). |
| 10.9 | Joinder Agreement by Westlake Technology Corporation and Bank of America dated August 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 10.10 | Joinder Agreement by Westlake International Corporation and Bank of America dated August 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 10.11 | Credit Agreement dated as of July 31, 2003 by and among Westlake, as borrower, certain of its subsidiaries, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as agent and the lenders party thereto relating to a \$120 million senior secured term loan (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on November 21, 2003). |
| 10.12+ | Westlake Group Performance Unit Plan effective January 1, 1991 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 10.13+ | Agreement with Warren Wilder dated December 10, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 10.14+ | EVA Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 10.15+ | Agreement with Stephen Wallace dated November 5, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2003, filed on March 26, 2004). |
| 10.16+ | Agreement with Wayne D. Morse effective January 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed on May 24, 2004). |
| 10.17+ | Westlake Chemical Corporation 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on August 9, 2004). |
| 10.18 | Form of Registration Rights Agreement between Westlake and TTWF LP (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on July 2, 2004). |
| 10.19+ | Form of Employee Nonqualified Option Award Letter Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.20+ | Form of Employee Nonqualified Option Award (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.21+ | Form of Director Option Award Letter (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.22+ | Form of Director Option Award (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|--|
| 10.23+ | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.24+ | Schedule of Cash Compensation for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 24, 2006). |
| 10.25 + | Executive Officer Compensation Schedule. |
| 10.26+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award letter granted effective as of August 31, 2005, to Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 15, 2005). |
| 10.27+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award letter granted effective as of August 31, 2005, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 15, 2005). |
| 10.28+ | Form of Award letter for stock options granted effective as of August 31, 2005, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 15, 2005). |
| 10.29+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award granted effective as of March 15, 2006, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 22, 2006). |
| 10.30+ | Form of Award Letter for Stock Options granted effective as of March 15, 2006, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 22, 2006). |
| 10.31+ | Offer letter to Mr. Gibbons dated March 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 28, 2006). |
| 10.32+ | Form of Award Letter for Stock Options granted effective as of August 21, 2006, to Non-Management Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 24, 2006). |
| 10.33+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award granted effective as of August 21, 2006, to Non-Management Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 24, 2006). |
| 14 | Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2003, filed on March 26, 2004). |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of Westlake. |
| 23.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Executive Officer). |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Financial Officer). |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer). |

Filed herewith.

+ Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION

Date: February 26, 2007

/s/ ALBERT CHAO

Albert Chao, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--|-------------------|
| /s/ ALBERT CHAO Albert Chao | President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ M. STEVEN BENDER M. Steven Bender | Vice President, Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ GEORGE J. MANGIERI George J. Mangieri | Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ JAMES CHAO James Chao | Chairman of the Board of Directors | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ ALBERT CHAO Albert Chao | Director | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ E. WILLIAM BARNETT E. William Barnett | Director | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ ROBERT T. BLAKELY Robert T. Blakely | Director | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ DOROTHY C. JENKINS Dorothy C. Jenkins | Director | February 26, 2007 |
| /s/ MAX L. LUKENS Max L. Lukens | Director | February 26, 2007 |

Table of Contents**Exhibit Index**

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|--|
| 2.1 | Acquisition Agreement dated as of October 9, 2006 by and between Westlake Longview Corporation (formerly Westlake NG II Corporation) and Eastman Chemical Company (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 12, 2006). |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of Westlake as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on August 6, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on August 9, 2004). |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of Westlake (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on August 9, 2004). |
| 4.1 | Indenture dated as of July 31, 2003 by and among Westlake, the guarantors named therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as trustee, relating to 8 ^{3/4} % senior notes due 2011 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 4.2 | Form of 8 ^{3/4} % senior notes due 2011 (included in Exhibit 4.1). |
| 4.3 | Supplemental Indenture dated as of August 17, 2004 by and among Westlake International Corporation, Westlake Technology Corporation, Westlake, the other Guarantors and JPMorgan Chase Bank (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 4.4 | Indenture dated as of January 1, 2006 by and among Westlake, the potential subsidiary guarantors listed therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 13, 2006). |
| 4.5 | First Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 13, 2006 by and among Westlake, the subsidiary guarantors party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 13, 2006). |
| 4.6 | Form of 6 ^{5/8} % senior notes due 2016 (included in Exhibit 4.5). |
| | Westlake and the guarantors are party to other long-term debt instruments not filed herewith under which the total amount of securities authorized does not exceed 10% of the total assets of Westlake and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Pursuant to paragraph 4(iii)(A) of Item 601(b) of Regulation S-K, Westlake agrees to furnish a copy of such instruments to the SEC upon request. |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement dated as of July 31, 2003 (the "Revolving Credit Agreement") by and among the financial institutions party thereto, as lenders, Bank of America, N.A., as agent, Westlake and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as borrowers relating to a \$200 million senior secured revolving credit facility (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on November 21, 2003). |
| 10.2 | First Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated September 30, 2004, by and among Westlake, as borrower, certain of its subsidiaries, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as agent and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 10.3 | Second Amendment and Waiver, dated February 24, 2004, to Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2003, filed on March 26, 2004). |
| 10.4 | Third Amendment and Waiver, dated June 22, 2004, to Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on July 19, 2004). |
| 10.5 | Fourth Amendment, dated November 30, 2004, to Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|---|
| 10.6 | Fifth Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of January 6, 2006 by and among Westlake, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as agent for lenders, and lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2005, filed on February 23, 2006). |
| 10.7 | Sixth Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of March 24, 2006 by and among Westlake, certain of its domestic subsidiaries, Bank of America, N.A., in its capacity as agent for lenders, and lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on May 5, 2006). |
| 10.8 | Amendment, Assignment and Acceptance Agreement dated as of September 22, 2003 among Bank of America, N.A., the financial institutions party thereto, Westlake and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, amending the Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4/A, filed on November 21, 2003). |
| 10.9 | Joinder Agreement by Westlake Technology Corporation and Bank of America dated August 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 10.10 | Joinder Agreement by Westlake International Corporation and Bank of America dated August 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2004). |
| 10.11 | Credit Agreement dated as of July 31, 2003 by and among Westlake, as borrower, certain of its subsidiaries, as guarantors, Bank of America, N.A., as agent and the lenders party thereto relating to a \$120 million senior secured term loan (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on November 21, 2003). |
| 10.12+ | Westlake Group Performance Unit Plan effective January 1, 1991 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 10.13+ | Agreement with Warren Wilder dated December 10, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 10.14+ | EVA Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 22, 2003). |
| 10.15+ | Agreement with Stephen Wallace dated November 5, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2003, filed on March 26, 2004). |
| 10.16+ | Agreement with Wayne D. Morse effective January 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed on May 24, 2004). |
| 10.17+ | Westlake Chemical Corporation 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on August 9, 2004). |
| 10.18 | Form of Registration Rights Agreement between Westlake and TTWF LP (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, filed on July 2, 2004). |
| 10.19+ | Form of Employee Nonqualified Option Award Letter Agreement (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.20+ | Form of Employee Nonqualified Option Award (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.21+ | Form of Director Option Award Letter (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.22+ | Form of Director Option Award (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit |
|--------------------|--|
| 10.23+ | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2004, filed on March 16, 2005). |
| 10.24+ | Schedule of Cash Compensation for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 24, 2006). |
| 10.25 + | Executive Officer Compensation Schedule. |
| 10.26+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award letter granted effective as of August 31, 2005, to Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 15, 2005). |
| 10.27+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award letter granted effective as of August 31, 2005, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 15, 2005). |
| 10.28+ | Form of Award letter for stock options granted effective as of August 31, 2005, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 15, 2005). |
| 10.29+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award granted effective as of March 15, 2006, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 22, 2006). |
| 10.30+ | Form of Award Letter for Stock Options granted effective as of March 15, 2006, to Named Executive Officers (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 22, 2006). |
| 10.31+ | Offer letter to Mr. Gibbons dated March 16, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 28, 2006). |
| 10.32+ | Form of Award Letter for Stock Options granted effective as of August 21, 2006, to Non-Management Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 24, 2006). |
| 10.33+ | Form of Restricted Stock Award granted effective as of August 21, 2006, to Non-Management Directors (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 24, 2006). |
| 14 | Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference to Westlake's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2003, filed on March 26, 2004). |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of Westlake. |
| 23.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Executive Officer). |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Financial Officer). |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer). |

Filed herewith.

+ Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.