

AUTOLIV INC
Form 10-Q
July 21, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 June 30, 2011

Commission File No.: 001-12933

AUTOLIV, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

51-0378542
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

World Trade Center,
Klarabergsviadukten 70,
Box 70381,

SE-107 24 Stockholm, Sweden
(Address of principal executive offices)

N/A
(Zip Code)

+46 8 587 20 600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports); and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer:

Accelerated filer:

Non-accelerated filer:

Smaller reporting company:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes: No:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: As of July 15, 2011, there were 89,272,908 shares of common stock of Autoliv, Inc., par value \$1.00 per share, outstanding.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q contains statements that are not historical facts but rather forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are those that address activities, events or developments that Autoliv, Inc. (Autoliv , the Company or we) or its management believes or anticipates may occur in the future, including statements relating to industry trends, business opportunities, sales contracts, sales backlog and on-going commercial arrangements and discussions, as well as any statements about future operating performance or financial results.

In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as estimates, expects, anticipates, projects, plans, intends, believes, might, will, should, or the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements are so identified.

All such forward-looking statements, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends and data, are based upon our current expectations, various assumptions, data available from third parties and apply only as of the date of this report. Our expectations and assumptions are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them. However, there can be no assurance that such forward-looking statements will materialize or prove to be correct as these assumptions are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond our control.

Because these forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, the outcome could differ materially from those set out in the forward-looking statements for a variety of reasons, including without limitation, changes in and the successful execution of our restructuring and cost reduction initiatives discussed herein and the market reaction thereto, changes in general industry and market conditions, increased competition, higher raw material, fuel and energy costs, changes in consumer and customer preferences for end products, customer losses, customer bankruptcies, consolidations or restructuring, divestiture of customer brands, fluctuation of foreign currencies, fluctuation in vehicle production schedules for which the Company is a supplier, component shortages, market acceptance of our new products, costs or difficulties related to the integration of any new or acquired businesses and technologies, continued uncertainty in program awards and performance, the financial results of companies in which Autoliv has made technology investments or joint venture arrangements, pricing negotiations with customers, our ability to be awarded new business, increased costs, supply issues, product liability, warranty and recall claims and other litigation and customer reactions thereto, possible adverse results of pending or future litigation or infringement claims, negative impacts of governmental

Table of Contents

investigations and litigation relating to the conduct of our business, tax assessments by governmental authorities, legislative or regulatory changes, political conditions, dependence on customers and suppliers, as well as the risks identified in Item 1A Risk Factors in this quarterly report and the risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Except for the Company's ongoing obligation to disclose information under the U.S. federal securities laws, the Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information or future events.

For any forward-looking statements contained in this or any other document, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and we assume no obligation to update any such statement.

Table of Contents

INDEX

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | <u>Basis of Presentation</u> | 8 |
| 1.2 | <u>New Accounting Pronouncements</u> | 8 |
| 1.3 | <u>Fair Value Measurement</u> | 8 |
| 1.4 | <u>Income Taxes</u> | 14 |
| 1.5 | <u>Inventories</u> | 15 |
| 1.6 | <u>Restructuring</u> | 15 |
| 1.7 | <u>Product-Related Liabilities</u> | 16 |
| 1.8 | <u>Retirement Plans</u> | 17 |
| 1.9 | <u>Comprehensive Income</u> | 17 |
| 1.10 | <u>Equity and Equity Units Offering</u> | 18 |
| 1.11 | <u>Non-Controlling Interest</u> | 18 |
| 1.12 | <u>Contingent Liabilities</u> | 19 |
| 1.13 | <u>Earnings Per Share</u> | 21 |
| 1.14 | <u>Subsequent Events</u> | 21 |

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | 21 |
|---|----|

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | 27 |
|--|----|

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 27 |
|---|----|

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 27 |
|--|----|

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 28 |
|---|----|

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| <u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u> | 28 |
|-------------------------------------|----|

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | 28 |
|---|----|

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u> | 29 |
|---|----|

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>ITEM 4. [Removed and Reserved]</u> | 29 |
|--|----|

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 29 |
|---|----|

| | |
|--------------------------------|----|
| <u>ITEM 6. EXHIBITS</u> | 30 |
|--------------------------------|----|

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)**

(Dollars in millions, except per share data)

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| Net sales | \$ 2,061.5 | \$ 1,801.5 | \$ 4,170.1 | \$ 3,522.3 |
| Cost of sales | (1,639.9) | (1,389.5) | (3,282.5) | (2,726.8) |
| Gross profit | 421.6 | 412.0 | 887.6 | 795.5 |
| Selling, general & administrative expenses | (95.1) | (81.8) | (186.0) | (162.9) |
| Research, development & engineering expenses, net | (117.5) | (93.7) | (232.0) | (185.3) |
| Amortization of intangibles | (5.6) | (4.6) | (9.3) | (8.9) |
| Other income (expense), net | 2.0 | (2.8) | (0.1) | (13.9) |
| Operating income | 205.4 | 229.1 | 460.2 | 424.5 |
| Equity in earnings of affiliates, net of tax | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
| Interest income | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Interest expense | (15.5) | (13.3) | (30.9) | (27.8) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (6.3) | (12.1) | (6.3) | (12.1) |
| Other financial items, net | (1.6) | (1.8) | (3.4) | (3.1) |
| Income before income taxes | 185.0 | 205.9 | 424.8 | 385.1 |
| Income tax expense | (39.3) | (58.5) | (96.6) | (109.5) |
| Net income | \$ 145.7 | \$ 147.4 | \$ 328.2 | \$ 275.6 |
| Less net income attributable to non-controlling interests | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.6 |
| Net income attributable to controlling interest | \$ 145.0 | \$ 146.5 | \$ 326.5 | \$ 273.0 |
| Net earnings per share basic | \$ 1.62 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 3.66 | \$ 3.18 |
| Net earnings per share diluted | \$ 1.54 | \$ 1.60 | \$ 3.47 | \$ 2.99 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding, net of treasury shares (in millions) | 89.2 | 86.6 | 89.1 | 85.9 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding, assuming dilution and net of treasury shares (in millions) | 94.0 | 91.6 | 94.0 | 91.2 |
| Number of shares outstanding, excluding dilution and net of treasury shares (in millions) | 89.3 | 88.5 | 89.3 | 88.5 |
| Cash dividend per share declared | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.30 |
| Cash dividend per share paid | \$ 0.43 | \$ | \$ 0.83 | \$ |

See Notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements .

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2011 (unaudited) | As of December 31, 2010 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Assets</i> | | |
| Cash & cash equivalents | \$ 559.7 | \$ 587.7 |
| Receivables, net | 1,610.1 | 1,367.6 |
| Inventories, net | 626.8 | 561.7 |
| Other current assets | 183.0 | 171.6 |
| Total current assets | 2,979.6 | 2,688.6 |
| Property, plant & equipment, net | 1,124.9 | 1,025.8 |
| Investments and other non-current assets | 243.4 | 228.1 |
| Goodwill | 1,624.2 | 1,612.3 |
| Intangible assets, net | 112.6 | 109.7 |
| Total assets | \$ 6,084.7 | \$ 5,664.5 |
| <i>Liabilities and equity</i> | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ 205.9 | \$ 87.1 |
| Accounts payable | 1,123.0 | 1,003.1 |
| Accrued expenses | 499.5 | 484.5 |
| Other current liabilities | 246.3 | 259.8 |
| Total current liabilities | 2,074.7 | 1,834.5 |
| Long-term debt | 487.9 | 637.7 |
| Pension liability | 146.2 | 136.0 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 115.1 | 117.1 |
| Total non-current liabilities | 749.2 | 890.8 |
| Total parent shareholders' equity | 3,247.3 | 2,927.3 |
| Non-controlling interests | 13.5 | 11.9 |
| Total equity | 3,260.8 | 2,939.2 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 6,084.7 | \$ 5,664.5 |

See Notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements .

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****(UNAUDITED)****(Dollars in millions)**

| | Six months ended | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 328.2 | \$ 275.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 131.4 | 144.7 |
| Other, net | 16.7 | 33.7 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | (203.0) | (54.2) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 273.3 | 399.8 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Capital expenditures | (175.5) | (86.2) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 4.9 | 3.2 |
| Acquisitions of businesses and other, net | 0.5 | (68.2) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (170.1) | (151.2) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term debt | 124.5 | (121.3) |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 47.1 | |
| Repayments and other changes in long-term debt | (208.1) | (50.8) |
| Dividends paid | (74.0) | |
| Cash paid for extinguishment of debt | (6.3) | (8.3) |
| Common stock options exercised | 12.0 | 6.4 |
| Acquisition of subsidiary shares from non-controlling interest | | (63.7) |
| Other | (5.1) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (109.9) | (237.7) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (21.3) | (24.2) |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (28.0) | (13.3) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 587.7 | 472.7 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 559.7 | \$ 459.4 |

See Notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements .

Table of Contents

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unless otherwise noted, all amounts are presented in millions of dollars, except for per share amounts)

June 30, 2011

1.1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the financial statements. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Certain prior-year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

Statements in this report that are not of historical fact are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that could affect the actual results of the Company. A description of the important factors that could cause Autoliv's actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this report may be found in this report and Autoliv's other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements, footnotes and definitions thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on February 23, 2011.

The Company's filings with the SEC, including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, proxy statements, management certifications, current reports on Form 8-K and other documents, can be obtained free of charge from Autoliv at the Company's address. These documents are also available at the SEC's web site at www.sec.gov and at the Company's corporate website at www.autoliv.com.

1.2 New Accounting Pronouncements

No accounting guidance with an effective date during the second quarter 2011 or issued during 2011 had or is expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

1.3 Fair Value Measurement

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The Company uses derivative financial instruments, derivatives, as part of its debt management to mitigate the market risk that occurs from its exposure to changes in interest and foreign exchange rates. The Company does not enter into derivatives for trading or other speculative purposes. The use of such derivatives is in accordance with the strategies contained in the Company's overall financial policy. No derivatives have a maturity beyond 2019. Certain derivatives are designated either as fair value hedges or cash flow hedges in line with the hedge accounting criteria in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. However, in certain cases hedge accounting is not applied either because non hedge accounting treatment creates the same accounting result or the hedge does not meet the hedge accounting requirements, although entered into applying the same rationale concerning mitigating market risk that occurs from changes in interest and foreign exchange rates.

When a hedge is classified as a fair value hedge, the change in the fair value of the hedge is recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Income along with the off-setting change in the fair value of the hedged item. When a hedge is classified as a cash flow hedge, any change in the fair value of the hedge is initially recorded in equity as a component of Other Comprehensive Income, (OCI), and reclassified into the Consolidated Statement of Income when the hedge transaction affects net earnings. There were no material reclassifications from OCI to the Consolidated Statement of Income during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and, likewise, no material reclassifications are expected for the next twelve months. Any ineffectiveness has been immaterial.

The Company records derivatives at fair value. Any gains and losses on derivatives recorded at fair value are reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Income with the exception of cash flow hedges where an immaterial portion of the fair value is reflected in other comprehensive income in the balance sheet. The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of the instruments generally correlates to the level of

pricing

Table of Contents

observability. Pricing observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of asset or liability, whether the asset or liability has an established market and the characteristics specific to the transaction. Derivatives with readily active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of pricing observability and a lesser degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, assets rarely traded or not quoted will generally have less, or no, pricing observability and a higher degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value.

Under existing GAAP, there is a hierarchal disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observability utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. The three broad levels defined by the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level 2 - Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these asset and liabilities include items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently, and items that are fair valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level 3 - Assets and liabilities that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These items do not have two-way markets and are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's derivatives by the above noted pricing observability levels:

| Description | Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Total carrying amount in Consolidated Balance Sheet June 30, 2011 | Using | | |
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 22.6 | | \$ 22.6 | |
| Total Assets | \$ 22.6 | | \$ 22.6 | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 20.3 | | \$ 20.3 | |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 20.3 | | \$ 20.3 | |

| Description | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Total carrying amount in Consolidated Balance Sheet December 31, 2010 | Using | | |
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 17.1 | | \$ 17.1 | |
| Total Assets | \$ 17.1 | | \$ 17.1 | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 7.1 | | \$ 7.1 | |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 7.1 | | \$ 7.1 | |

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

The tables below present information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Although the Company is party to close-out netting agreements with most derivative counterparties, the fair values in the tables below and in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and 2010, have been presented on a gross basis.

Table of Contents

| Description | Nominal volume | Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 | | Balance sheet location |
|--|-------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Derivative asset | Derivative liability | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, less than 9 years (fair value hedge) | | | | Other non current asset |
| | \$ 60.0 | \$ 10.3 | \$ | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 60.0 | \$ 10.3 | \$ | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year | \$ 40.3 | \$ 7.3 | \$ | Other current assets |
| Foreign exchange swaps, less than 6 months | | | | Other current assets/ liabilities |
| | 1,172.3 | 5.0 | 20.3 | |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 1,212.6 | \$ 12.3 | \$ 20.3 | |
| Total derivatives | \$ 1,272.6 | \$ 22.6 | \$ 20.3 | |

| Description | Nominal volume | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 | | Balance sheet location |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Derivative asset | Derivative liability | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, less than 9 years (fair value hedge) | | | | Other non-current asset |
| | \$ 60.0 | \$ 9.3 | \$ | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 60.0 | \$ 9.3 | \$ | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year | \$ 40.3 | \$ 3.7 | \$ | Other current assets |
| Foreign exchange swaps, less than 6 months | | | | Other current assets/ liabilities |
| | 1,486.2 | 4.1 | 7.1 | |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 1,526.5 | \$ 7.8 | \$ 7.1 | |
| Total derivatives | \$ 1,586.5 | \$ 17.1 | \$ 7.1 | |

Table of Contents

| Description | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income April-June 2011 | | | | Amount of gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivative effective portion | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into interest expense |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Nominal Volume | Other Financial Items, net | Interest Expense | Interest Income | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, less than 9 years (fair value hedge) | \$ 60 | \$ | \$ 2.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 60 | | | | | |

The hedged item related to the fair value hedge consists of a \$60 million debt note which matures in 2019. The fair value change related to this note of \$(2.0) million has increased interest expense for the second quarter 2011 and thus fully off-sets the \$2.0 million fair value change related to the hedging instrument disclosed in the table above.

| Description | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income January-June 2011 | | | | Amount of gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivative effective portion | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into interest expense |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Nominal Volume | Other Financial Items, net | Interest Expense | Interest Income | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, less than 9 years (fair value hedge) | \$ 60 | \$ | \$ 1.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 60 | | | | | |

The hedged item related to the fair value hedge consists of a \$60 million debt note which matures in 2019. The fair value change related to this note of \$(1.0) million has increased interest expense for the first six months 2011 and thus fully off-sets the \$1.0 million fair value change related to the hedging instrument disclosed in the table above.

| Description | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income April-June 2010 | | | | Amount of gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivative effective portion | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into interest expense |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Nominal volume | Other financial items, net | Interest expense | Interest income | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| | \$ 50.5 | \$ (3.5) | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.2 | \$ |

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year (cash flow hedge)

| | | |
|--|------|-----|
| Interest rate swaps, less than 10 years (fair value hedge) | 60.0 | 4.4 |
|--|------|-----|

| | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 110.5 | |
|--|-----------------|--|

11

Table of Contents

The hedged item related to the fair value hedge consists of a \$60 million debt note which matures in 2019. The fair value change related to this note of \$(4.4) million has increased interest expense for the second quarter 2010 and thus fully off-sets the \$4.4 million fair value change related to the hedging instrument disclosed in the table above.

| Description | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income January-June 2010 | | | | Amount of gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivative effective portion | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated OCI into interest expense |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Nominal volume | Other financial items, net | Interest expense | Interest income | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year (cash flow hedge) | \$ 50.5 | \$ (4.5) | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.4 | \$ |
| Interest rate swaps, less than 10 years (fair value hedge) | 60.0 | | 5.0 | | | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 110.5 | | | | | |

The hedged item related to the fair value hedge consists of a \$60 million debt note which matures in 2019. The fair value change related to this note of \$(5.0) million has increased interest expense for the first six months 2010 and thus fully off-sets the \$5.0 million fair value change related to the hedging instrument disclosed in the table above.

| Description | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income April-June 2011 | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Nominal Volume | Other Financial Items, net | Interest Expense | Interest Income |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year | \$ 40.3 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.0 | \$ |
| Foreign exchange swaps, less than 6 months | 1,172.3 | (13.0) | 0.7 | |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 1,212.6 | | | |

Table of Contents

| Description | Nominal Volume | Other Financial Items, net | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income January-June 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | Interest Expense | Interest Income |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year | \$ 40.3 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 0.1 | \$ |
| Foreign exchange swaps, less than 6 months | 1,172.3 | (13.0) | 0.7 | |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 1,212.6 | | | |

| Description | Nominal Volume | Other Financial Items, net | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income April-June 2010 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | Interest Expense | Interest Income |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year | \$ 20.3 | \$ (1.2) | \$ | \$ 0.0 |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 2 years | 40.3 | (2.5) | | 0.0 |
| Foreign exchange swaps, less than 6 months | 830.8 | (1.0) | 0 | 0.5 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 891.4 | | | |

| Description | Nominal Volume | Other Financial Items, net | Amount gain (loss) recognized in Consolidated Statement of Income January-June 2010 | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | Interest Expense | Interest Income |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 1 year | \$ 20.3 | \$ (1.2) | \$ | \$ 0.0 |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps, less than 2 years | 40.3 | (2.5) | | 0.0 |
| Foreign exchange swaps, less than 6 months | 830.8 | 0.8 | (0) | 0.1 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 891.4 | | | |

All amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Income related to derivatives, not designated as hedging instruments, relate to economic hedges and thus have been materially off-set by an opposite Consolidated Statement of Income effect of the related financial liabilities or financial assets.

Table of Contents

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, other current liabilities and short-term debt approximate their fair value because of the short term maturity of these instruments. The fair value of long-term debt is determined either from quoted market prices as provided by participants in the secondary market or for long-term debt without quoted market prices, estimated using a discounted cash flow method based on the Company's current borrowing rates for similar types of financing. The fair value of derivatives is estimated using a discounted cash flow method based on quoted market prices. The fair value and carrying value of debt is summarized in the table below. The discount rates for all derivative contracts are based on bank deposit or swap interest rates. Credit risk has been considered when determining the discount rates used for the derivative contracts which when aggregated by counterparty are in a liability position.

Fair Value of Debt

| | June 30, 2011 Carrying value ¹⁾ | June 30, 2011 Fair value | Dec. 31, 2010 Carrying value ¹⁾ | Dec. 31, 2010 Fair value |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| U.S. Private placement | \$ 410.3 | \$ 447.7 | \$ 409.3 | \$ 442.8 |
| Medium-term notes | 47.6 | 46.7 | 88.2 | 96.3 |
| Notes ²⁾ | | | 100.2 | 115.7 |
| Other long-term debt | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 39.7 |
| Total | \$ 487.9 | \$ 524.4 | \$ 637.7 | \$ 694.5 |
| Short-term debt | | | | |
| Overdrafts and other short-term debt | \$ 30.3 | \$ 30.3 | \$ 29.7 | \$ 29.7 |
| Short-term portion of long-term debt | 72.0 | 72.1 | 57.4 | 57.4 |
| Notes ²⁾ | 103.6 | 113.5 | | |
| Total | \$ 205.9 | \$ 215.9 | \$ 87.1 | \$ 87.1 |

- 1) Debt as reported in balance sheet.
- 2) Notes issued as part of the equity units offering has been reclassified to short-term debt as of June 30, 2011 (for further information, see Note 1.10).

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

In addition to assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the Company also has assets and liabilities in its balance sheet that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis include long-lived assets, including investments in affiliates, and restructuring liabilities (see Note 1.6).

The Company has determined that the fair value measurements included in each of these assets and liabilities rely primarily on Company-specific inputs and the Company's assumptions about the use of the assets and settlements of liabilities, as observable inputs are not available. The Company has determined that each of these fair value measurements reside within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. To determine the fair value of long-lived assets, the Company utilizes the projected cash flows expected to be generated by the long-lived assets, then discounts the future cash flows over the expected life of the long-lived assets. For restructuring obligations, the amount recorded represents the fair value of the payments expected to be made, and such provisions are discounted if the payments are expected to extend beyond one year.

As of June 30, 2011 the Company had \$41.5 million of restructuring reserves which were measured at fair value upon initial recognition of the associated liability (see Note 1.6). For the six months ended June 30, 2011 the Company has not recorded any impairment charges on its long-lived assets.

1.4 Income Taxes

For the first six months of 2011 the effective tax rate was 22.7%, compared with an effective tax rate of 28.5% in the first six months of 2010. In the first six months of 2011, the impact of discrete tax items caused a 5.0% decrease to the effective tax rate. Significant discrete tax items in the quarter included a non-cash income tax benefit of \$24 million resulting from the release of income tax reserves and a non-cash income tax expense of \$10 million for a valuation allowance required for certain deferred tax assets. The net impact of discrete tax items in the first six months of 2010 caused a 1.1 percentage point decrease to the effective tax rate. In the second quarter of 2011, the impact of discrete tax items

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

caused a 7.3% decrease to the effective tax rate for the quarter.

The Company files income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and various states and foreign jurisdictions. At any given time, the Company is undergoing tax audits in several tax jurisdictions covering multiple years. The Company is no longer subject to income tax examination by the U.S. Federal, State or local tax authorities for years prior to 2003. In addition, with few exceptions, the Company is also no longer subject to income tax examination by non-U.S. tax authorities for years prior to 2003.

Table of Contents

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) began an examination of the Company's 2003-2005 U.S. income tax returns in the second quarter of 2006. On March 31, 2009, the IRS field examination team issued an examination report in which the examination team proposed to increase the Company's U.S. taxable income due to alleged incorrect transfer pricing in transactions between a U.S. subsidiary and other subsidiaries during the period 2003-2005. The Company, after consultation with its tax counsel, filed a protest to the examination report to seek review of the examination report by the Appeals Office of the IRS. By letter dated June 1, 2010, the Appeals Office team assigned to review the examination report informed the Company that it had concluded that the IRS should withdraw all of the adjustments that would have increased the Company's taxable income due to alleged incorrect transfer pricing. In April 2011, the Company was informed that the IRS internal review process had been concluded and that the proposed resolution had been sent to the U.S. Congress Joint Committee on Taxation for review in the context of a refund the Company is claiming for this same period. In May 2011, the Company was notified by the IRS that the Joint Committee had cleared the Appeals Office resolution of Autoliv's 2003-2005 tax returns, and the Company has now completed the formalities to close the IRS audit of 2003-2005.

In addition, the IRS began an examination of the Company's 2006-2008 U.S. income tax returns in the third quarter 2009. In connection with such examination, in March 2011, the IRS field examination team issued a notice of proposed adjustment that applied certain of the transfer pricing analyses that supported the proposed increases to the Company's U.S. taxable income for the period 2003-2005 forward to the 2006-2008 audit period. Due to the June 2011 resolution of the 2003-2005 IRS audit, the IRS has now withdrawn the 2006-2008 proposed transfer pricing adjustments. The Company has now completed the formalities to close the IRS audit of 2006-2008.

In addition, the Company is undergoing tax audits in several non-U.S. jurisdictions covering multiple years. Except as already noted, as of June 30, 2011, the Company is not aware of any material proposed income tax adjustments as a result of those tax examinations.

As a result of the conclusion of the U.S. tax audits and other proceedings, the Company has released approximately \$24 million of its tax reserves in the second quarter. In addition, other audits could result in additional increases or decreases to the unrecognized tax benefits in some future period or periods.

During the second quarter 2011, the Company recorded a decrease of \$24.7 million to income tax reserves for unrecognized tax benefits based on tax positions related to the current and prior years, net of accruing additional interest of \$0.5 related to unrecognized tax benefits of prior years. In addition, during the second quarter 2011, the Company recorded a decrease of \$5.1 million to income tax reserves relating to settlements with taxing authorities. During the first quarter 2011, the Company recorded an increase of \$4.3 million to income tax reserves for unrecognized tax benefits based on tax positions related to the current and prior years, including an accrual for additional interest in 2011 related to unrecognized tax benefits of prior years. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits of \$17.3 million recorded at June 30, 2011, \$1.6 million is classified as current tax payable and \$15.7 million is classified as non-current tax payable on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

1.5 Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (principally FIFO) or market. The components of inventories were as follows, net of reserves:

| | As of | |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Raw materials | \$ 246.6 | \$ 224.5 |
| Work in progress | 221.2 | 201.4 |
| Finished products | 159.0 | 135.8 |
| Total | \$ 626.8 | \$ 561.7 |

1.6 Restructuring

Restructuring provisions are made on a case by case basis and primarily include severance costs incurred in connection with headcount reductions and plant consolidations. The Company expects to finance restructuring programs over the next several years through cash generated from its ongoing operations or through cash available under existing credit facilities. The Company does not expect that the execution of these activities will have an adverse impact on its liquidity position. The tables below summarize the change in the balance sheet position of the restructuring reserves from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2011.

Second quarter 2011

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

The employee-related restructuring provisions in the second quarter 2011 mainly relate to headcount reductions in Europe and North America. The cash payments mainly relate to high-cost countries in Europe and Australia. The changes in the employee-related reserves were charged against Other income (expense), net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The table below summarizes the change in the balance sheet position of the restructuring reserves from March 31, 2011 to June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

| | March 31, 2011 | Provision/ Charge | Provision/ Reversal | Cash payments | Translation difference | June 30, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Restructuring employee-related | \$ 46.0 | \$ 1.5 | \$ (0.6) | \$ (6.9) | \$ 1.1 | \$ 41.1 |
| Other | 0.4 | | | | | 0.4 |
| Total reserve | \$ 46.4 | \$ 1.5 | \$ (0.6) | \$ (6.9) | \$ 1.1 | \$ 41.5 |

First quarter 2011

The employee-related restructuring provisions in the first quarter 2011 mainly relate to headcount reductions in Europe and North America. The cash payments mainly relate to high-cost countries in Europe and Australia. The changes in the employee-related reserves were charged against Other income (expense), net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The table below summarizes the change in the balance sheet position of the restructuring reserves from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011.

| | December 31, 2010 | Provision/ Charge | Provision/ Reversal | Cash payments | Translation difference | March 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Restructuring employee-related | \$ 48.4 | \$ 3.8 | \$ (1.5) | \$ (7.7) | \$ 3.0 | \$ 46.0 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.2 | | | | 0.4 |
| Total reserve | \$ 48.6 | \$ 4.0 | \$ (1.5) | \$ (7.7) | \$ 3.0 | \$ 46.4 |

2010

In 2010, the employee-related restructuring provisions, made on a case-by-case basis, related mainly to headcount reductions throughout Europe. Reversals in 2010 mainly related to restructuring reserves in North America and Europe and were due to capacity reduction that was not as severe as originally communicated. The cash payments mainly related to high-cost countries in Europe and Australia. The changes in the employee-related reserves have been charged against Other income (expense), net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The table below summarizes the change in the balance sheet position of the restructuring reserves from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010.

| | December 31, 2009 | Provision/ Charge | Provision/ Reversal | Cash payments | Non- cash | Translation difference | December 31, 2010 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Restructuring employee-related | \$ 100.1 | \$ 30.3 | \$ (10.2) | \$ (66.1) | \$ | \$ (5.7) | \$ 48.4 |
| Fixed asset impairment | | 1.0 | | | (1.0) | | |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.2 | | (0.2) | | | 0.2 |
| Total reserve | \$ 100.3 | \$ 31.5 | \$ (10.2) | \$ (66.3) | \$ (1.0) | \$ (5.7) | \$ 48.6 |

1.7 Product-Related Liabilities

The Company has reserves for product risks. Such reserves are related to product performance issues including recall, product liability and warranty issues.

The Company records liabilities for product-related risks when probable claims are identified and when it is possible to reasonably estimate costs. Provisions for warranty claims are estimated based on prior experience, likely changes in performance of newer products and the mix and volume of the products sold. The provisions are recorded on an accrual basis. For further explanation, see Note 1.12 Contingent Liabilities below.

The table below summarizes the change in the balance sheet position of the product-related liabilities. The provisions and cash paid for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 mainly relate to warranty related issues. The provisions and cash paid for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 mainly related to recalls.

Three months ended

Six months ended

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Reserve at beginning of the period | \$ 39.1 | \$ 30.7 | \$ 39.2 | \$ 30.6 |
| Provision | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 4.6 |
| Cash payments | (4.5) | (6.6) | (6.1) | (8.4) |
| Translation difference | 0.5 | (0.6) | 1.7 | (1.1) |
| Reserve at end of the period | \$ 37.4 | \$ 25.7 | \$ 37.4 | \$ 25.7 |

Table of Contents**1.8 Retirement Plans**

The Company has non-contributory defined benefit pension plans covering employees at most operations in the United States. Benefits are based on an average of the employee's earnings in the years preceding retirement and on credited service. Certain supplemental unfunded plan arrangements also provide retirement benefits to specified groups of participants.

The Company has frozen participation in the U.S. pension plans to include only those employees hired as of December 31, 2003. The U.K. defined benefit plan is the most significant individual non-U.S. pension plan and the company has frozen participation to include only those employees hired as of April 30, 2003.

The Net Periodic Benefit Costs related to Other Post-retirement Benefits were not significant to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

For further information on Pension Plans and Other Post-retirement Benefits, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The components of total Net Periodic Benefit Cost associated with the Company's defined benefit retirement plans are as follows:

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| Service cost | \$ 4.6 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 9.1 | \$ 6.7 |
| Interest cost | 4.3 | 3.9 | 8.5 | 7.7 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (3.6) | (3.1) | (7.2) | (6.2) |
| Amortization prior service cost (credit) | (0.2) | (0.3) | (0.5) | (0.5) |
| Amortization of (gain) loss | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Net Periodic Benefit Cost | \$ 6.3 | \$ 5.0 | \$ 12.4 | \$ 10.1 |

1.9 Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income for the period and items charged directly to equity.

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 145.7 | \$ 147.4 | \$ 328.2 | \$ 275.6 |
| Pension liability | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 |
| Net change in cash flow hedges | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Translation of foreign operations | 36.2 | (45.6) | 59.4 | (73.5) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 36.7 | (43.3) | 59.9 | (70.9) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 182.4 | \$ 104.1 | \$ 388.1 | \$ 204.7 |
| Less Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interest | 0.9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to controlling interest | \$ 181.5 | \$ 101.1 | \$ 386.1 | \$ 202.2 |

Table of Contents**1.10 Equity and Equity Units Offering**

On March 30, 2009, the Company sold, in an underwritten registered public offering, approximately 14.7 million common shares from treasury stock and 6.6 million equity units (the Equity Units), listed on the NYSE as Corporate Units, for an aggregate stated amount and public offering price of \$235 million and \$165 million, respectively. Equity Units is a term that describes a security that is either a Corporate Unit or a Treasury Unit, depending upon what type of note (either a Note or a Treasury Security, as described below) is used by the holder to secure the forward purchase contract. The Equity Units initially consisted of a Corporate Unit which is (i) a forward purchase contract obligating the holder to purchase from the Company for a price in cash of \$25, on the purchase contract settlement date of April 30, 2012, subject to early settlement in accordance with the terms of the Purchase Contract and Pledge Agreement, a certain number (at the Settlement Rate outlined in the Purchase Contract and Pledge Agreement) of shares of Common Stock; and (ii) a 1/40, or 2.5%, undivided beneficial ownership interest in a \$1,000 principal amount of the Company's 8% senior notes due 2014 (the Senior Notes).

In the second quarter 2010, the Company issued an aggregate of approximately 3.1 million shares of Autoliv's common stock and paid an aggregate of approximately \$7.4 million in cash in exchange for an aggregate of approximately 2.3 million Equity Units pursuant to separately negotiated exchange agreements. These exchanges were exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 3(a)(9). Following the exchanges, approximately 4.3 million Equity Units remain outstanding.

The Settlement Rate is based on the applicable market value of the Company's common stock on the settlement date. The minimum and maximum number of shares to be issued under the purchase contracts is approximately 5.8 million and 6.9 million, respectively (giving effect to the dividend paid in the second quarter 2011 and the exchange of Equity Units discussed above).

The Notes will be remarketed between January 12, 2012 and March 31, 2012 whereby the interest rate on the Senior Notes will be reset and certain other terms of the Senior Notes may be modified in order to generate sufficient remarketing proceeds to satisfy the Equity Unit holders obligations under the purchase contract. If the Senior Notes are not successfully remarketed, then a put right of holders of the notes will be automatically exercised unless such holders (a) notify the Company of their intent to settle their obligations under the purchase contracts in cash, and (b) deliver \$25 in cash per purchase contract, by the applicable dates specified by the purchase contracts. Following such exercise and settlement, the Equity Unit holders' obligations to purchase shares of Common Stock under the purchase contracts will be satisfied in full, and the Company will deliver the shares of Common Stock to such holders.

The Company allocated proceeds received upon issuance of the Equity Units based on relative fair values at the time of issuance. The fair value of the purchase contract at issuance was \$3.75 and the fair value of the note was \$21.25. The discount on the notes will be amortized using the effective interest method. Accordingly, the difference between the stated rate (i.e. cash payments of interest) and the effective interest rate will be credited to the value of the notes. Thus, at the end of the three years, the notes will be stated on the balance sheet at their face amount. The Company allocated 1% of the 6% of underwriting commissions paid to the debt as deferred charges based on commissions paid for similar debt issuances, but including factors for market conditions and the Company's credit rating at the time of the offering. The deferred charges will be amortized over the life of the note (until remarketing day) using the interest method. The remaining underwriting commissions (5%) were allocated to the equity forward and recorded as a reduction to paid-in capital.

1.11 Non-Controlling Interest

| | April-June 2011 Equity attributable to Non-controlling | | | January-June 2011 Equity attributable to Non-controlling | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|
| | Parent | interest | Total | Parent | interest | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 3,100.6 | \$ 13.0 | \$ 3,113.6 | \$ 2,927.3 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 2,939.2 |
| Total Comprehensive Income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | 145.0 | 0.7 | 145.7 | 326.5 | 1.7 | 328.2 |
| Net change in cash flow hedges | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| Foreign currency translation | 36.0 | 0.2 | 36.2 | 59.1 | 0.3 | 59.4 |
| Pension liability | 0.5 | | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 0.5 |
| <i>Total Comprehensive Income</i> | <i>181.5</i> | <i>0.9</i> | <i>182.4</i> | <i>386.1</i> | <i>2.0</i> | <i>388.1</i> |
| Common Stock incentives | 5.5 | | 5.5 | 12.5 | | 12.5 |
| Cash dividends declared | (40.3) | (0.4) | (40.7) | (78.6) | (0.4) | (79.0) |

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ 3,247.3 | \$ | 13.5 | \$ 3,260.8 | \$ 3,247.3 | \$ | 13.5 | \$ 3,260.8 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|

Table of Contents

| | April-June 2010 Equity attributable to Non-controlling | | | January-June 2010 Equity attributable to Non-controlling | | |
|--|--|----------------|-------------------|--|----------------|-------------------|
| | Parent | interest | Total | Parent | interest | Total |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 2,494.0 | \$ 39.5 | \$ 2,533.5 | \$ 2,388.2 | \$ 47.8 | \$ 2,436.0 |
| Total Comprehensive Income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | 146.5 | 0.9 | 147.4 | 273.0 | 2.6 | 275.6 |
| Net change in cash flow hedges | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 0.4 | | 0.4 |
| Foreign currency translation | (47.7) | 2.1 | (45.6) | (73.4) | (0.1) | (73.5) |
| Pension liability | 2.1 | | 2.1 | 2.2 | | 2.2 |
| <i>Total Comprehensive Income</i> | <i>101.1</i> | <i>3.0</i> | <i>104.1</i> | <i>202.2</i> | <i>2.5</i> | <i>204.7</i> |
| Purchase of non-controlling interest by parent | (13.0) | (38.6) | (51.6) | (12.1) | (46.4) | (58.5) |
| Common Stock incentives | 3.9 | | 3.9 | 7.7 | | 7.7 |
| Cash dividends declared | (25.6) | | (25.6) | (25.6) | | (25.6) |
| Common stock issue | 57.2 | | 57.2 | 57.2 | | 57.2 |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 2,617.6 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 2,621.5 | \$ 2,617.6 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 2,621.5 |

1.12 Contingent Liabilities**Legal Proceedings**

Various claims, lawsuits and proceedings are pending or threatened against the Company or its subsidiaries, covering a range of matters that arise in the ordinary course of its business activities with respect to commercial, product liability and other matters.

Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and the outcome of any litigation cannot be assured. After discussions with counsel, it is the opinion of management that the various lawsuits to which the Company currently is a party will not have a material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position of Autoliv, but the Company cannot provide assurance that Autoliv will not experience material litigation, product liability or other losses in the future.

In 2009, Autoliv initiated a voluntary closure due to economical reasons of its Normandy Precision Components (NPC) plant located in France. Employment contracts of fourteen protected employees (i.e., union representatives) may under French law be terminated only with the approval of the authorities. Such approval was granted for eight of the fourteen protected employees but refused for six of them. The eight former protected employees have appealed the decision to allow for dismissal. The six protected employees have resigned from their positions as union representatives. Although French labor law is complex and unpredictable, the Company believes that the amount it has accrued relating to these fourteen former protected employees will be sufficient to satisfy the amounts that may be due to these fourteen protected employees. In parallel, most of the other former NPC-employees filed claims in a French court in September 2010, alleging damages for unfair dismissal in an aggregate amount of 11 million (approximately \$16 million). While we intend to vigorously defend against this action, the outcome of this legal dispute relating to most of the other former NPC-employees is unpredictable and any reserves may not be sufficient to cover any associated expense.

Table of Contents

On February 8, 2011, Autoliv ASP Inc., a Company subsidiary received a grand jury subpoena from the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) requesting documents and information as part of a long-running investigation into possible anti-competitive behavior among certain suppliers to the automotive vehicle industry, including Autoliv. On June 7-9, 2011, representatives of the antitrust authorities of the European Commission (EC) visited two facilities of Autoliv BV & Co KG, a Company subsidiary in Germany, to gather information for a similar inquiry.

The DOJ and EC investigations are still ongoing. It is likely that, for the reporting periods in which the related liabilities become estimable or the investigations are resolved, the Company's operating results and cash flows will be materially impacted. However, as the Company cannot predict the duration, future scope or final outcome of the investigations, it is unable to estimate such impact or predict the reporting periods in which it may be recorded.

Product Warranty, Recalls and Intellectual Property

Autoliv is exposed to various claims for damages and compensation if products fail to perform as expected. Such claims can be made, and result in costs and other losses to the Company, even where the product is eventually found to have functioned properly. Where a product (actually or allegedly) fails to perform as expected the Company faces warranty and recall claims. Where such (actual or alleged) failure results, or is alleged to result, in bodily injury and/or property damage, the Company may also face product-liability claims. There can be no assurance that the Company will not experience material warranty, recall or product (or other) liability claims or losses in the future, or that the Company will not incur significant costs to defend against such claims. The Company may be required to participate in a recall involving its products. Each vehicle manufacturer has its own practices regarding product recalls and other product liability actions relating to its suppliers. As suppliers become more integrally involved in the vehicle design process and assume more of the vehicle assembly functions, vehicle manufacturers are increasingly looking to their suppliers for contribution when faced with recalls and product liability claims. A warranty, recall or product-liability claim brought against the Company in excess of its insurance may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business. Vehicle manufacturers are also increasingly requiring their outside suppliers to guarantee or warrant their products and bear the costs of repair and replacement of such products under new vehicle warranties. A vehicle manufacturer may attempt to hold the Company responsible for some, or all, of the repair or replacement costs of products when the product supplied did not perform as represented by us or expected by the customer. Accordingly, the future costs of warranty claims by the customers may be material. However, the Company believes its established reserves are adequate to cover potential warranty settlements. Autoliv's warranty reserves are based upon the Company's best estimates of amounts necessary to settle future and existing claims. The Company regularly evaluates the appropriateness of these reserves, and adjusts them when appropriate. However, the final amounts determined to be due related to these matters could differ materially from the Company's recorded estimates.

The Company believes that it is currently reasonably insured against recall and product liability risks, at levels sufficient to cover potential claims that are reasonably likely to arise in our businesses based on past experience. Autoliv cannot be assured that the level of coverage will be sufficient to cover every possible claim that can arise in our businesses, now or in the future, or that such coverage always will be available should we, now or in the future, wish to extend or increase insurance.

In its products, the Company utilizes technologies which may be subject to intellectual property rights of third parties. While the Company does seek to identify the intellectual property rights of relevance to its products, and to procure the necessary rights to utilize such intellectual property rights, we may fail to do so. Where the Company so fails, the Company may be exposed to material claims from the owners of such rights. Where the Company has sold products which infringe upon such rights, our customers may be entitled to be indemnified by us for the claims they suffer as a result thereof. Such claims could be material.

On April 19, 2010, SEVA Technologies SA (SEVA) initiated actions against several employees and wholly-owned subsidiaries of Autoliv, Inc. In the actions, SEVA alleges that following preliminary discussions with SEVA starting in 2006, Autoliv's subsidiaries misappropriated SEVA's confidential information disclosed to such subsidiaries under a non-disclosure agreement and used such information to obtain a patent. SEVA is principally seeking to have SEVA declared the owner of the patent and certain former SEVA employees declared the inventors of the patent. SEVA has also indicated that it may seek damages of \$22 million (approximately \$32 million). Autoliv has rejected the claims but continues to evaluate the matter as SEVA amends or modifies its allegations. Autoliv intends to continue to vigorously defend itself against the same and has made no provisions for any expenses relating thereto.

In July 2011, we discovered that one of our plants in Asia had failed to properly report and maintain certain test protocols. We have informed the customer concerned and continue to investigate the matter. At this time we cannot estimate what impact this failure may have on our results of operations.

The table in Note 1.7 Product-Related Liabilities above summarizes the change in the balance sheet position of the product related liabilities for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**1.13 Earnings per share**

The Company calculates basic earnings per share (EPS) by dividing net income attributable to controlling interest by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period (net of treasury shares). When it would not be antidilutive (such as during periods of net loss), the diluted EPS also reflects the potential dilution that could occur if common stock were issued for awards under the Stock Incentive Plan and for common stock issued upon conversion of the equity units.

For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, 4.3 million and 4.3 million shares, respectively, were included in the dilutive weighted average share amount related to the equity units. The potential number of shares which will be converted in the future related to the equity units varies between 5.8 million, if the Autoliv share price is \$19.20 or higher, and 6.9 million, if the price is \$16.00 or less, giving effect to the exchange of Equity Units discussed in Note 1.10 and the dividend of \$0.43 paid on June 2, 2011 for the second quarter.

All common shares related to the Company's Stock Incentive Plan were included in the computation of the diluted EPS for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. Approximately 0.5 and 0.6 million common shares respectively, which could potentially dilute basic EPS in the future, were not included in the computation of the diluted EPS for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010.

During the first six months of 2011 and 2010 approximately 0.3 million and 0.3 million shares, respectively, from the treasury stock have been utilized by the Stock Incentive Plan.

Actual weighted average shares used in calculating earnings per share were:

| (In millions) | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| Weighted average shares basic | 89.2 | 86.6 | 89.1 | 85.9 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| - stock options/share awards | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| - equity units | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| Weighted average shares diluted | 94.0 | 91.6 | 94.0 | 91.2 |

1.14 Subsequent Events

On July 18, 2011, Autoliv AB (publ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an amendment to the facilities agreement among the Company (as guarantor), Autoliv AB and the European Investment Bank (EIB) to extend the 225 million (\$320 million) facility to Autoliv AB until December 18, 2012. The amendment also reduces the permitted maximum loan duration under the facility to eight years and reduces the cost for drawing loans to EIB's cost of funds +0.3%. Other terms of the agreement remain substantially unchanged. As with all of the existing principal debt arrangements to which the Company is party, the new credit agreement does not have any financial covenants, i.e. performance-related restrictions. The facility was unused at the second quarter closing.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes thereto included elsewhere herein and with our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2011. Unless otherwise noted, all dollar amounts are in millions.

Autoliv is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices in Stockholm, Sweden. It was created from the merger of Autoliv AB (AAB) and the automotive safety products division of Morton International, Inc. in 1997. The Company functions as a holding corporation and owns two principal subsidiaries, AAB, and Autoliv ASP, Inc. (ASP).

Autoliv, through AAB and ASP, is the world's leading supplier of automotive safety systems, with a broad range of product offerings, including modules and components for passenger and driver-side airbags, side-impact airbag protection systems, seatbelts, steering wheels, safety electronics, whiplash protection systems and child seats, including components for such systems, as well as night vision systems, radar and other active safety systems. Autoliv has approximately 80 production facilities in 29 countries and our customers include the world's largest car manufacturers.

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

Shares of Autoliv common stock are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ALV and Swedish Depositary Receipts representing shares of Autoliv common stock trade on the NASDAQ OMX Nordic Exchange in Stockholm under the symbol ALIV. Options in Autoliv shares are traded in Philadelphia and AMSE under the symbol ALV. Corporate Units from the Company's Equity Unit offering in 2009 are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ALV.PrZ.

Table of Contents**Non-GAAP financial measures**

Some of the following discussions refer to non-GAAP financial measures: see Organic sales , Operating working capital , Net debt , Leverage ratio and Interest coverage ratio . Management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures assist investors in analyzing trends in the Company s business. Additional descriptions regarding management s use of these financial measures are included below. Investors should consider these non-GAAP financial measures in addition to, rather than as a substitute for, financial reporting measures prepared in accordance with GAAP. These non-GAAP financial measures have been identified as applicable in each section of this report with a tabular presentation reconciling them to GAAP. It should be noted that these measures, as defined, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Overview**

The following table shows some of the key ratios. Management uses these measures internally as a means of analyzing the Company s current and future financial performance and our core operations as well as identifying trends in our financial conditions and results of operations. We have provided this information to investors to assist in meaningful comparisons of past and present operating results and to assist in highlighting the results of ongoing core operations. These ratios are more fully explained in our MD&A discussion below and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in our annual report and the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in this quarterly report.

KEY RATIOS**(Dollars in millions)**

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | or as of June 30 | | or as of June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating working capital ¹⁾ | \$ 599 | \$ 405 | \$ 599 | \$ 405 |
| Capital employed ⁷⁾ | \$ 3,393 | \$ 3,038 | \$ 3,393 | \$ 3,038 |
| Net debt ¹⁾ | \$ 132 | \$ 417 | \$ 132 | \$ 417 |
| Net debt to capitalization, % ^{1, 2)} | 4 | 14 | 4 | 14 |
| Gross margin, % ³⁾ | 20.5 | 22.9 | 21.3 | 22.6 |
| Operating margin, % ⁴⁾ | 10.0 | 12.7 | 11.0 | 12.1 |
| Return on total equity, % ⁸⁾ | 18.3 | 22.9 | 21.1 | 21.8 |
| Return on capital employed, % ⁹⁾ | 25.0 | 30.0 | 28.7 | 27.5 |
| No. of employees at period-end ¹⁰⁾ | 37,139 | 31,983 | 37,139 | 31,983 |
| Headcount at period-end ¹¹⁾ | 46,299 | 41,099 | 46,299 | 41,099 |
| Days receivables outstanding ⁵⁾ | 71 | 70 | 69 | 72 |
| Days inventory outstanding ⁶⁾ | 31 | 30 | 30 | 31 |

1) See tabular presentation reconciling this non-GAAP measure to GAAP below under the heading *Liquidity and Sources of Capital*

2) Net debt in relation to net debt and total equity (including non-controlling interest)

3) Gross profit relative to sales

4) Operating income relative to sales

5) Outstanding receivables relative to average daily sales

6) Outstanding inventory relative to average daily sales

7) Total equity and net debt

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

- 8) *Net income relative to average total equity*
- 9) *Operating income and equity in earnings of affiliates, relative to average capital employed*
- 10) *Employees with a continuous employment agreement, recalculated to full time equivalent heads*
- 11) *Employees plus temporary, hourly workers*

Table of Contents**THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 COMPARED WITH THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010****Market overview**

During the three-month period April - June 2011, global light vehicle production (LVP) is estimated by IHS to have declined by slightly less than 1% compared to the same quarter 2010 due to industry component shortages in the wake of the earthquake/tsunami in Japan. However, this LVP decline was 5 percentage points (pp) better than expected by IHS at the beginning of the quarter.

In *Europe* (including Eastern Europe), where Autoliv derives 40% of its revenues, LVP is estimated to have increased by almost 4%, which was nearly 11 pp better than expected. In Western Europe, LVP increased by almost 1% and by 11% in Eastern Europe.

In *The Americas*, which accounts for 30% of Company revenues, LVP increased by 3%. Chrysler, General Motors (GM) and Ford raised their North American production by 13%, 12% and 8%, respectively, while the Asian and European vehicle manufacturers reduced their North American production by 13%.

In *China*, which accounts for just over 10% of consolidated sales, LVP grew by 7%.

In *Japan*, which normally accounts for almost 10% of Autoliv's consolidated sales, LVP dropped by 36%, which was 14 pp less than the 50% decline expected.

In the *Rest of Asia (RoA)*, which accounts for 10% of sales, LVP grew by 4%. This was primarily due to a 16% LVP growth in India.

Consolidated Sales

The Company has substantial operations outside the United States and at the present time nearly 80% of its sales are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. This makes the Company and its performance in regions outside the United States sensitive to changes in U.S. dollar exchange rates. The measure *Organic sales* presents the increase or decrease in the Company's overall U.S. dollar net sales on a comparative basis, allowing separate discussion of the impacts of acquisitions/divestments and exchange rate fluctuations and our ongoing core operations and results. The tabular reconciliation below presents the change in *Organic sales* reconciled to the change in the total net sales as can be derived from our unaudited financial statements.

Reconciliation of the change in *Organic sales* to GAAP financial measure**Components of net sales increase (decrease)****Three months ended June 30, 2011****(Dollars in millions)**

| | Europe | | Americas | | Japan | | China | | RoA | | Total | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % |
| Organic sales change | 32.8 | 4.7 | 64.1 | 11.5 | (54.8) | (30.7) | 18.5 | 9.8 | 29.4 | 16.5 | 90.0 | 5.0 |
| Effect of exchange rates | 103.8 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 2.2 | 22.7 | 12.7 | 9.5 | 5.0 | 11.9 | 6.7 | 160.6 | 8.9 |
| Impact of acquisitions/divestments | 2.5 | 0.4 | | | | | 9.1 | 4.8 | (2.2) | (1.2) | 9.4 | 0.5 |
| Reported net sales change | 139.1 | 19.9 | 76.8 | 13.7 | (32.1) | (18.0) | 37.1 | 19.6 | 39.1 | 22.0 | 260.0 | 14.4 |

Consolidated sales increased by 14% to \$2,061 million compared to the same quarter 2010. Currency effects added slightly less than 9%, while acquisitions and divestitures were insignificant. Consequently, organic sales (non-U.S. GAAP measure, see table above) increased by 5%, significantly outperforming the 1% decline in global LVP.

Autoliv's strong performance was mainly driven by recent vehicle launches and a favorable vehicle mix. This mitigated the effect of the sharp LVP drop for Japanese customers globally caused by the earthquake/tsunami in Japan. The Company outperformed LVP in all regions.

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

Sales were better than expected in nearly all regions, especially in Europe (primarily due to stronger LVP) and China, Japan and the RoA region (primarily due to a favorable mix). The earthquake in Japan is estimated to have reduced the Company's sales by more than \$100 million globally. However, this was approximately \$50 million less than expected in April.

Sales by Product

Sales of **airbag products** (including steering wheels and passive safety electronics) rose by nearly 14% to \$1,352 million compared to the same quarter 2010. Currency effects added slightly more than 8%. The organic sales growth of 5% was 6 pp higher than the decrease in global LVP. Sales were driven by strong demand for side and passenger airbags and for passive safety electronics, particularly by Chrysler, GM, Ford and Hyundai/KIA.

Sales of **seatbelt products** grew by almost 13% to \$670 million. Currency effects added 10% and acquisitions slightly less than 1%. The organic sales increase of almost 2% was 3 pp higher than the 1% decline in global LVP. Sales were driven by new business, mainly in North America and China especially from GM, Chrysler, Hyundai/KIA and Ford.

Sales of **active safety products** (primarily automotive radar and night vision systems) more than doubled, rising by 121% to \$39 million, and grew organically by 115%. This was mainly due to new radar business with Chrysler, higher optional take-rates at Mercedes and higher optional take-rates for night vision systems at BMW and Audi.

Sales by Region

Sales from Autoliv's **European** companies increased by 20% to \$838 million. This included favorable currency effects of 15%. Organic sales growth of 5% was slightly better than the 4% increase in European LVP. Autoliv's sales were driven by several recent vehicle launches (such as BMW's Mini Countryman; Ford's Focus/C-Max; and Volvo's S60/V60), as well as higher production volumes for the Audi A8 and A1 and the BMW 5-series.

Sales from Autoliv's companies in **The Americas** rose by nearly 14% to \$635 million, and included favorable currency effects that added slightly more than 2%. Organic sales growth of almost 12% was 9 pp higher than the increase in the region's LVP. This was primarily due to strong performance by GM, Chrysler and Ford, specifically with models such as Chevrolet's Cruze; Chrysler's Town & Country; Dodge's Charger and Caravan and Ford's Edge, Explorer and Focus/C-Max. It also reflects Fiat's launch of the 500 in the U.S.

Sales from Autoliv's companies in **China** rose by 20% to \$225 million. Acquisitions and currency effects each added 5%. The organic sales growth of 10% was 3 pp higher than the growth in Chinese LVP. Autoliv's sales were driven by Buick's GL8 and Excel; Chevrolet's Cruze; BMW's 5-series; Audi's A4 and Q5; Hyundai's new Verna and KIA's K5, as well as by Great Wall's Voleex C30; Geely's Emgrand EC8 and the recently launched Wuling Hong Guang. The sales growth was slightly offset by some Japanese plants that did not return to normal production levels as quickly as planned after the earthquake due to industry component shortages.

Sales from Autoliv's companies in **Japan** decreased by 18% to \$146 million. Positive currency effects of 13% reduced a 31% overall decline in organic sales. This was 5 pp less than the drop in Japanese LVP, despite the fact that deliveries for one vehicle model were transferred to Autoliv Thailand. In Japan, the LVP mix was favorable for Autoliv with strong production volumes for such models as Mitsubishi's RVR/ASX; Suzuki's Swift and MR Wagon; and Lexus' CT, as well as by export sales to KIA in South Korea.

Sales from Autoliv's companies in the **Rest of Asia (RoA)** increased by 22% to \$217 million. Currency effects added slightly less than 7%, while a divestiture of the Klippan business in Australia had a 1% negative impact. Organic sales growth of 17% was significantly higher than the 4% increase in the region's LVP despite a negative mix effect from the rapidly growing Indian market where the average safety content is much less than in many other RoA-countries, such as South Korea. In South Korea, organic sales grew by 29%, exceeding the 5% increase in the country's LVP. Autoliv's sales were driven by GM's new Genra and Hyundai's new Veloster and by higher production volumes for Hyundai's Verna, ix35 and Santa Fe; and KIA's Sportage and Sorento.

Earnings for the Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

For the second quarter 2011, gross profit improved by \$10 million to \$422 million compared to the same quarter in 2010. However, gross margin declined to 20.5% from an exceptionally high level of 22.9% in the second quarter 2010. This was primarily due to higher raw material prices and disruptions in LVP mainly as a consequence of the earthquake in Japan. Currency revaluation effects also had a negative impact.

Operating income declined by \$24 million to \$205 million mainly due to the consequences of the earthquake in Japan, \$24 million higher Research, Development and Engineering (R,D&E) expense, net and \$13 million higher Selling, General & Administrative (S,G&A) expense. Currency translation effects accounted for \$9 million of the higher S,G&A expense and \$8 million of the higher R,D&E expense. However, in relation to sales, S,G&A expense stood almost unchanged at 4.6%, while R,D&E expense, net increased by 0.5 pp to 5.7% as a reflection of the

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

Company's expansion in active safety development projects. Operating margin declined to 10.0% from 12.7%. At the beginning of the quarter, operating margin was expected to reach approximately 9%. Most of this improvement reflects the fact that the earthquake in Japan had less of an impact on our operations than expected.

Income before taxes declined by \$21 million to \$185 million. The \$24 million decline in operating income was partially offset by \$6 million lower expense for extinguishment of debt (see Other Events below), while interest expense, net was \$2 million higher despite a significantly lower net debt than during the corresponding quarter 2010. Higher interest expense, net was partially due to costs related to the new revolving credit facility.

Net income attributable to controlling interest declined by \$2 million to \$145 million. The effective tax rate was 21.2% compared to 28.4% in the same quarter 2010. A release of tax reserves reduced the effective tax rate by 13.0 pp (see Other Events), while other discrete tax items and a catch-up adjustment increased the tax rate by 6.5 pp.

Earnings per share assuming dilution declined by 6 cents to \$1.54. Lower pre-tax income had a negative effect of 22 cents and more shares outstanding of 4 cents, while the lower taxes had a favorable impact of 20 cents. The weighted average number of shares outstanding, assuming dilution, increased by 3% to 94.0 million due to an exchange of equity units in the second quarter of 2010

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 COMPARED WITH SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

Market overview

During the six-month period January - June 2011, global light vehicle production (LVP) increased by 3%.

In *Europe*, light vehicle production increased by 8%. In Western Europe the increase was 4% and in Eastern Europe 16%.

In *The Americas*, light vehicle production rose by 8% due to GM, Ford and Chrysler increasing their LVP by 15%. The LVP of Asian and European vehicle manufacturers in the Americas was flat.

In *Japan*, light vehicle production dropped by 31% in the six-month period.

In *China*, LVP grew by 8% and in the *Rest of Asia (RoA)* by 11%.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Sales**

The Company has substantial operations outside the United States and at the present time nearly 80% of its sales are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. This makes the Company and its performance in regions outside the United States sensitive to changes in U.S. dollar exchange rates. The measure Organic sales presents the increase or decrease in the Company's overall U.S. dollar net sales on a comparative basis, allowing separate discussion of the impacts of acquisitions/divestments and exchange rate fluctuations and our ongoing core operations and results. The tabular reconciliation below presents the change in Organic sales reconciled to the change in the total net sales as can be derived from our unaudited financial statements.

Reconciliation of the change in Organic sales to GAAP financial measure**Components of net sales increase (decrease)****Six months ended June 30, 2011****(Dollars in millions)**

| | Europe | | Americas | | Japan | | China | | RoA | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % |
| Organic sales change | 114.2 | 8.0 | 205.0 | 19.0 | (83.2) | (22.4) | 58.0 | 16.0 | 39.2 | 14.3 | 333.2 | 9.5 |
| Effect of exchange rates | 110.3 | 7.7 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 42.4 | 11.4 | 16.1 | 4.4 | 14.7 | 5.4 | 204.0 | 5.8 |
| Impact of acquisitions/ divestments | 5.7 | 0.4 | | | | | 17.5 | 4.8 | 87.4 | 32.0 | 110.6 | 3.1 |
| Reported net sales change | 230.2 | 16.1 | 225.5 | 20.9 | (40.8) | (11.0) | 91.6 | 25.2 | 141.3 | 51.7 | 647.8 | 18.4 |

For the year's first six months, consolidated sales increased by slightly more than 18% to \$4,170 million. Currency effects added slightly less than 6% and acquisitions net of divestitures added 3%. Consequently, organic sales increased by nearly 10%, which was 6 pp higher than the increase in global LVP.

Sales by Product

Sales of **airbag products** increased by 18% to \$2,738 million. Currency effects added almost 6% and acquisitions 3%. The organic sales increase of 10% was 7 pp higher than the increase in global LVP.

Sales of **seatbelt products** rose by 16% to \$1,356 million. Currency effects added almost 7% and acquisitions slightly more than 3%. Consequently, the organic sales growth of 6% was 3 pp higher than the increase in global LVP.

Sales of **active safety products** (primarily automotive radar and night vision systems) more than doubled, rising by 106% to \$76 million, and grew organically by 103%.

Sales by Region

Sales from Autoliv's **European** companies increased by 16% to \$1,664 million, including positive currency effects of 8%. The organic sales growth of 8% was in line with the increase in European LVP. In addition to the above-mentioned vehicle models that contributed to the performance in the second quarter, sales were driven by strong demand from AvtoVAZ in Russia and Dacia in Romania, especially in the first quarter.

Sales from Autoliv's companies in **The Americas** increased by 21% to \$1,306 million, including positive currency effects of 2%. The organic sales increase of 19% was more than twice the 8% increase in LVP in the Americas. Autoliv's strong performance was mainly due to several recent launches of vehicles with high safety content by Chrysler, GM and Ford along with their production increases.

Sales from Autoliv's companies in **China** rose by 25% to \$455 million. Acquisitions added 5% and currency effects 4%. The organic sales growth of 16% was twice as high as the Chinese LVP increase, primarily thanks to Autoliv's strong outperformance in the first quarter and to export sales to South Korea.

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

Sales from Autoliv's companies in **Japan** declined by 11% to \$331 million despite positive currency effects of 11%. The organic sales decline of 22% was 9 pp less than the drop in Japanese LVP. This reflects the strong start of the year for Autoliv and a favorable vehicle model mix that partially mitigated the effects of the sharp drop in Japanese LVP.

Sales from Autoliv's companies in the **RoA** increased by nearly 52% to \$415 million. Acquisitions increased sales growth by 32%, while currency effects had a favorable impact of slightly more than 5%. The organic sales increase of slightly more than 14% was 3 pp higher than the growth in LVP. This was mainly due to Autoliv's strong performance in the important South Korean market and to new business from Hyundai/KIA and GM.

Earnings for the Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

Gross profit increased by 12%, or \$92 million, to \$888 million. However, gross margin declined by 1.3 pp to 21.3% due to higher raw material prices and the consequences of the earthquake in Japan.

Operating income improved by 8% or \$36 million to \$460 million. Operating margin declined a little less than gross margin, or by 1.1 pp to 11.0%.

Income before taxes increased by 10% or \$40 million to \$425 million, due to the improvement in operating income and the \$6 million lower expense for debt extinguishment. These improvements were slightly offset by \$3 million higher interest expense, partially due to a change in the currency mix of the gross debt.

Net income attributable to controlling interest improved by 20% or \$54 million to \$326 million. Income tax expense was \$97 million, including discrete tax items, net of \$21 million, which was mainly due to the \$24 million release of tax reserves. The effective tax rate was 22.7% compared to 28.5% for the six-month period last year.

Earnings per share improved by 16% or \$0.48 to \$3.47 assuming dilution compared to the same period in 2010. The average number of shares outstanding increased by 3% to 94.0 million. The higher number of shares outstanding had an 11 cent negative effect on earnings per share.

LIQUIDITY AND SOURCES OF CAPITAL

During the second quarter 2011 cash flow from operations amounted to \$132 million compared to the unusually strong cash flow in the second quarter last year of \$251 million. An increase in operating working capital had a \$94 million negative impact, mainly as result of higher tax payments and releases of tax reserves.

Capital expenditures, net of \$91 million for the second quarter were \$44 million more than the unusually low capital expenditures during the second quarter 2010 and \$25 million more than depreciation and amortization in the quarter. Higher capital expenditures reflect capacity expansions in Asia, South America and in active safety.

During the first six months operations generated \$273 million in cash compared to \$400 million during the first six months 2010. Capital expenditures, net amounted to \$171 million and depreciation and amortization to \$131 million compared to \$83 million and \$145 million, respectively, last year.

The Company uses the non-GAAP measure **Operating working capital** as defined in the table below in its communication with investors and for management review of the development of the working capital cash generation from operations. The reconciling items used to derive this measure are by contrast managed as part of the Company's overall debt management but they are not part of the responsibilities of day-to-day operations management.

Table of Contents**Reconciliation of Operating working capital to GAAP financial measure**

(Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2011 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|---|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Total current assets | \$ 2,979.6 | \$ 3,024.6 | \$ 2,688.6 | \$ 2,455.5 |
| Total current liabilities | (2,074.7) | (2,047.8) | (1,834.5) | (1,793.7) |
| Working capital | 904.9 | 976.8 | 854.1 | 661.8 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | (559.7) | (605.2) | (587.7) | (459.4) |
| Short-term debt | 205.9 | 107.1 | 87.1 | 169.6 |
| Derivative (asset) and liability, current | 8.0 | (4.1) | (0.7) | 7.7 |
| Dividends payable | 40.2 | 38.3 | 35.6 | 25.6 |
| Operating working capital | \$ 599.3 | \$ 512.9 | \$ 388.4 | \$ 405.3 |

During the quarter, operating working capital (non-U.S. GAAP measure, see table above) increased to 7.7% of sales from an unusually low level of 6.8% at March 31, primarily due to tax payments and releases of tax reserves. The Company has the policy that working capital should not exceed 10% in relation to last 12-month sales.

During the second quarter 2011 accounts receivable decreased by 1% but increased in relation to sales to 71 days outstanding from 70 at the end of the previous quarter and the prior-year quarter. During the second quarter, inventories increased by 3% and days inventory outstanding increased to 31 days from 29 days due to the upcoming vacations in July.

Reconciliation of Net debt to GAAP financial measure

(Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2011 | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Short-term debt | \$ 205.9 | \$ 107.1 | \$ 87.1 | \$ 169.6 |
| Long-term debt | 487.9 | 639.9 | 637.7 | 708.8 |
| Total debt | 693.8 | 747.0 | 724.8 | 878.4 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | (559.7) | (605.2) | (587.7) | (459.4) |
| Debt-related derivatives | (2.3) | (12.4) | (10.0) | (2.2) |
| Net debt | \$ 131.8 | \$ 129.4 | \$ 127.1 | \$ 416.8 |

Net debt (non-U.S. GAAP measure, see table above) increased during the second quarter by \$2 million to \$132 million due to dividend payments of \$39 million and currency effects of \$3 million that offset the \$40 million in cash flow provided by operations less cash used in investing activities. Gross interest-bearing debt decreased during the quarter by \$53 million to \$694 million due to a debt prepayment, see Other Events below.

Despite cash flow provided by operations less cash used in investing activities of \$103 million net debt increased by \$5 million during the six-month period to \$132 million. This was mainly due to higher dividend payments. Gross interest-bearing debt decreased by \$31 million. Net debt to capitalization was 4% at the end of June as at the end of December 2010.

The non-GAAP measure net debt is also used in the non-GAAP measure Leverage ratio which together with the non-GAAP measure Interest coverage ratio constitute the Company's debt limitation policy. Management uses this measure to analyze the amount of debt the Company should incur. This policy also provides guidance to credit and equity investors regarding the extent to which the Company would be prepared to leverage its operations. For details on leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio, refer to the tables below that reconcile these two non-GAAP measures to GAAP measures.

Reconciliation of Leverage ratio to GAAP financial measure

(Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt ²⁾ | \$ 131.8 | \$ 127.1 |
| Pension liabilities | 146.2 | 136.0 |
| Less: Debt portion of equity units | (103.6) | (100.2) |
| Net debt per the policy | \$ 174.4 | \$ 162.9 |
| Income before income taxes ³⁾ | \$ 845.2 | \$ 805.5 |
| Plus: Interest expense, net ^{1, 3)} | 60.1 | 63.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization of intangibles (incl. impairment write-offs) ³⁾ | 268.4 | 281.7 |
| EBITDA per the Policy ³⁾ | \$ 1,173.7 | \$ 1,150.3 |
| Net debt to EBITDA ratio | 0.1 | 0.1 |

1) Interest expense, net, is interest expense less interest income.

2) Net debt is short- and long-term debt and debt-related derivatives less cash and cash equivalents.

3) Latest 12-months.

Table of Contents**Reconciliation of Interest coverage ratio to GAAP financial measure****(Dollars in millions)**

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Operating income ²⁾ | \$ 904.9 | \$ 869.2 |
| Amortization of intangibles (incl. impairment write-offs) ²⁾ | 18.4 | 18.0 |
| Operating profit per the Policy²⁾ | \$ 923.3 | \$ 887.2 |
| Interest expense, net ^{1,2)} | \$ 60.1 | \$ 63.1 |
| Interest coverage ratio | 15.4 | 14.1 |

1) *Interest expense, net, is interest expense less interest income.*

2) *Latest 12-months.*

Autoliv's policy is to maintain a leverage ratio significantly below 3.0 times and an interest-coverage ratio significantly above 2.75 times (non-U.S. GAAP measures, see tables above). During the second quarter, the Company's leverage ratio stood unchanged at 0.1 times as on March 31, while the interest coverage ratio increased to 15.4 times from 14.8 times at the end of the previous quarter. Leverage ratio is measured as adjusted net debt in relation to EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization). Interest coverage is defined as operating income (excluding amortization of intangibles) in relation to interest expense, net. Adjusted net debt includes pension liabilities but excludes the debt from equity units since these funds are regarded as equity by Standard & Poor's due to the fact that the purchase contracts of the equity units are binding and not revocable. Net debt to capitalization ratio remained at 4% on June 30, as at the end of March, 2011.

During the second quarter, total equity increased by \$147 million to \$3,261 million mainly due to net income of \$146 million, favorable currency effects of \$36 million and common stock incentives of \$6 million. This was partially offset by a \$41 million accrual for the declared dividend for the third quarter. Total parent shareholders' equity was \$3,247 million corresponding to \$36.36 per share.

Total equity increased by \$322 million during the first six months mainly as a result of the \$328 million net income, favorable currency effects of \$59 million and common stock incentives of \$12 million. Dividends reduced equity by \$79 million.

Return on total equity for the first six months was 21% and return on capital employed was 29%.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Headcount

Total headcount (permanent employees and temporary personnel) increased by 600 during the quarter and by 3,000 since the beginning of the year to 46,300. During the quarter, headcount declined by slightly more than 100 in high-cost countries (HCC), while headcount in low-cost countries (LCC) increased by slightly more than 700. Permanent employees increased by 1,600 – nearly all of them in LCC, while temporary personnel were reduced by 1,000.

Currently, 64% of total headcount is in LCC, 71% are direct workers in manufacturing and 20% are temporary personnel. A year ago, these ratios were 60%, 70% and 22%, respectively.

Outlook

Based on Autoliv's latest call-offs from customers, we expect to continue to outperform global LVP by growing the Company's organic sales in the third quarter by approximately 9% compared to an expected growth in global LVP of 6% according to IHS. Currency effects are expected to add 7% to sales, provided the current exchange rates prevail. Based on these assumptions, consolidated sales are expected to increase by approximately 16% in the third quarter and operating margin is expected to amount to approximately 10%.

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

For the full year 2011, IHS indicates in their July forecasts that global LVP will increase by 5% compared to 2010, despite a 16% LVP drop in Japan. Based on these assumptions, Autoliv's organic sales are expected to grow by more than 9% and consolidated sales by about 16%. Acquisitions are expected to add less than 2% and currencies 5%, provided the current exchange rates prevail. Primarily due to accelerated and higher costs than expected for the expansion in emerging markets and higher than expected costs for the erratic LVP this year, the indicative operating margin for the full year 2011 is modified to more than 11% from previously around 11.5%. The margin estimates for the remainder of the year do not include any impact from the ongoing antitrust investigations (including legal fees or any other potential costs) since such impact is not currently estimable (see Other Events).

The projected effective tax rate for the remainder of the year, excluding any discrete tax items that might arise, is estimated to be around 28%.

OTHER RECENT EVENTS

Launches in the 2nd quarter 2011

Hyundai's new Veloster; Frontal airbags, side airbags, inflatable curtains, seatbelts with pretensioners and safety electronics.

Lancia's new Ypsilon; Side airbags and seatbelts with pretensioners.

Land Rover's new Range Rover Evoque; Knee driver airbag, passenger airbag, side airbags, inflatable curtains, seatbelts with pretensioners and safety electronics.

Mercedes' new M-Class; Knee driver airbag, passenger airbag, side airbags, inflatable curtains, seatbelts with pretensioners and radar-based blind-spot detection.

Samsung's new SM7; Steering wheel, frontal airbags, inflatable curtains and seatbelts with pretensioners.

Volkswagen's new Beetle; Seatbelts with pretensioners.

Other Events

As a result of the new \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility (RCF) announced in April, Autoliv cancelled in May two other back-up facilities with an aggregate amount of approximately \$535 million and prepaid \$95 million of debt, which is expected to save \$5 million per year in interest expense and other financing costs. In connection with these transactions, the Company incurred a debt extinguishment cost of \$6 million.

Additionally, in July the €225 million (\$320 million) facility agreement with the European Investment Bank (EIB) was amended to extend the facility until December 18, 2012. The amendment also reduces the permitted maximum loan duration to eight years and reduces the cost for drawing loans to EIB's cost of funds + 0.3%. The facility was unutilized at the second quarter closing.

As previously announced, the U.S. Department of Justice and the European Commission have begun investigations into possible anti-competitive behavior among certain automotive suppliers, including Autoliv. It is likely that, for the reporting periods in which the related liabilities become estimable or the investigations are resolved, the Company's operating results and cash flows will be materially impacted. However, as the Company cannot predict the duration, future scope or final outcome of the investigations, it is unable to estimate such impact or predict the reporting periods in which it may be recorded.

Edgar Filing: AUTOLIV INC - Form 10-Q

The audit of Autoliv's U.S. 2003-2005 and 2006-2008 tax returns was concluded in June without any material changes. This, together with developments in other proceedings, allowed the Company to release \$24 million of its tax reserves.

Autoliv has met GM's requirements for being allowed to resume quoting for new business contracts.

At the ESV-Conference (Enhanced Safety of Vehicles), Autoliv presented an expandable roof pillar for the windshield. The new pillar is three times thinner than a traditional windshield pillar to enhance the driver's field of view and reduce weight. In addition, the risk of roof intrusion is reduced.

The construction has begun of a new manufacturing facility near Bangkok that will increase Autoliv's global manufacturing capacity for cut & sewn textile cushions for airbag systems by 15%.

Autoliv is doubling its steering wheel capacity in Brazil to take advantage of an expected 25% increase in Brazilian LVP over the next three years and of a new law that mandates frontal airbags as of 2014.

Annual General Meeting of Shareholders

At the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders (AGM) on May 10 this year:

Jan Carlson, Lars Nyberg and James M. Ringler were re-elected for a new regular term ending at the 2014 AGM;

the non-binding resolution on the Company's 2010 executive compensation was approved;

shareholders voted to hold an advisory say-on-pay vote at a frequency of every year, which the Board decided to follow; and

the appointment of Ernst & Young AB as the Company's independent auditors was ratified.

Dividend

As previously announced, the Company has decided to increase the quarterly dividend to shareholders. This time the dividend was increased by 5%, which follows upon increases of 8%, 14% and 17% in the latest quarterly dividend announcements. The new dividend will be 45 cents per share and will be paid on Thursday, September 1, 2011 to shareholders of record on August 18. The ex-date, when the shares will trade without the right to the dividend, will be August 16, 2011.

Table of Contents

Next Report

Autoliv intends to publish the quarterly report for the third quarter 2011 on Tuesday, October 25.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

As of June 30, 2011, the Company's future contractual obligations have not changed materially from the amounts reported in the 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with SEC on February 23, 2011.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Other than as set forth below, as of June 30, 2011, there have been no material changes to the information related to quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk that was provided in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2011.

As a result of the new \$1.1 billion revolving credit facility (RCF) announced in April, Autoliv cancelled in May two other back-up facilities with an aggregate amount of approximately \$535 million and prepaid \$95 million of debt, which in aggregate is expected to save \$5 million per year in interest expense and other financing costs. Additionally, on July 18, 2011, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary Autoliv AB amended its 225 million (\$320 million) facility agreement with the European Investment Bank (EIB), initially entered into on December 18, 2009. The amendment extends the commitment from EIB until December 18, 2012 instead of expiring according to the terms of the original agreement on June 20, 2011. The amendment also reduces the permitted maximum duration of loans drawn under the agreement from up to ten years to up to seven years and reduces the margin from EIB cost of funds + 1.2% to EIB cost of funds +0.3%. Otherwise the amended facility is substantially similar to the prior facility and, as with all of Autoliv's principal debt agreements, the amendment does not contain financial covenants, i.e. performance-related restrictions. The facility was unutilized at the second quarter closing.

The Company's refinancing risk policy requires it to maintain long-term facilities with an average maturity of at least three years (drawn or undrawn) corresponding to 150% of total net debt (non-U.S. GAAP measure, see Non-GAAP financial measures in Item 2, above). Currently, this ratio is 1,524%, based on total net debt of \$132 million compared to long-term debt and facilities of \$2.0 billion. Of the long-term committed facilities, \$1.4 billion is currently unutilized. No significant financing is subject to financial covenants (i.e. performance-related restrictions).

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation has been carried out, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Various claims, lawsuits and proceedings are pending or threatened against the Company or its subsidiaries, covering a range of matters that arise in the ordinary course of its business activities with respect to commercial, product liability and other matters.

Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and the outcome of any litigation cannot be assured. After discussions with counsel, it is the opinion of management that the litigation to which the Company is currently a party will not have a material adverse impact on the consolidated financial position of Autoliv. The Company may, however, experience material product liability or other losses in the future.

The Company believes that it is currently adequately insured against product and other liability risks at levels sufficient to cover potential claims. The level of coverage may, however, be insufficient in the future or unavailable on the market.

For further discussion of legal proceedings, see Note 1.12 Contingent Liabilities to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements Legal Proceedings included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risk factor set forth below is in addition to the risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 23, 2011, which includes a detailed discussion of risk factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations, and is herein incorporated by reference.

Our industry has been negatively impacted by the devastating effects of the earthquake and tsunami that recently hit Japan.

It is currently impossible to predict with any certainty or precision the impact on our operations of the events triggered by the March 11, 2011, earthquake and tsunami in Japan. These events caused extensive and severe damage, impacting not only manufacturing facilities of automotive suppliers (including sub-suppliers) and manufacturers, but also of transportation, energy and distribution infrastructure. Although only one of our four plants in Japan suffered minor damage during the earthquake, and all are currently operational, customers and suppliers of Autoliv, as well as their respective suppliers and other customers, have experienced and expect to experience continued and possibly increasing disruptions to their operations.

Because of the global integration of the automotive industry, (where a vehicle manufactured on one continent may contain parts generated on other continents), its tight delivery schedules and low levels of parts inventory, a disruption impacting even only a single critical supplier to the industry may impact the whole industry. Several of our suppliers, our customers and other suppliers of our customers in Japan and elsewhere have announced that their production is operating at a reduced capacity, generally because of either damage to their plants or the inability of their suppliers to deliver the necessary components. Thus, even where we are able to secure deliveries from our suppliers and to produce our products, our customers may not accept deliveries as they lack other components required to manufacture their products. There are also additional indirect effects that may impact our operations, some of which are impossible to predict at this time. For example, authorities in other countries, including China and the United States, have begun screening containerships that have visited Japan or nearby waters for nuclear radiation, causing delays and reduced attractiveness of any contaminated vessels for subsequent employment. It is currently unclear how the effects of earthquake, tsunami and subsequent developments may impact global transportation and shipping.

In sum, we cannot be certain what impact the devastating events in Japan will have on our ability to produce and supply our products to our customers and to what extent our customers will decrease or cancel production orders. Similarly, we cannot anticipate what impact, if any, the changes in global vehicle production resulting from the events in Japan will have on our market share. As a result, no assurances can be given that these events will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are involved from time to time in legal proceedings and our business may suffer as a result of adverse outcomes of current or future legal proceedings

We are, from time to time, involved in legal proceedings and commercial or contractual disputes that may be significant. These claims may include, without limitation, commercial or contractual disputes, including disputes with our suppliers, intellectual property matters, regulatory

matters and governmental investigations, personal injury claims, environmental issues, tax and customs matters, and employment matters. Such legal proceedings, including regulatory actions and government investigations, may seek recovery of very large indeterminate amounts or to limit our operations, and the possibility that they may arise and their magnitude may remain unknown for substantial periods of time. A substantial legal liability or adverse regulatory outcome and the substantial cost to defend the litigation or regulatory proceedings may have an adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition, cash flows and reputation. No assurances can be given that such proceedings and claims will not have a material adverse impact on our profitability and consolidated financial position or that reserves or insurance will mitigate such impact.

See Note 1.12 Contingent Liabilities to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements Legal Proceedings included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Stock repurchase program

Since September 15, 2008 (the day of the default of Lehman Brothers), Autoliv has made no share repurchases. Since the repurchase program was adopted in 2000, Autoliv has repurchased 34.3 million Autoliv, Inc. shares at an average cost of US \$42.93 per share. Under the existing authorizations, approximately another 3.2 million shares may be repurchased. Although we suspended our share repurchases to preserve cash in order to maintain a strong cash position and as to possibly take advantage of potential market opportunities, we may from time to time repurchase our shares in the open market under the existing share repurchase program.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED]

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

On July 18, 2011, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary Autoliv AB amended its 225 million (\$320 million) facility agreement with the European Investment Bank (EIB), initially entered into on December 18, 2009. The amendment extends the commitment from EIB until December 18, 2012 instead of expiring according to the terms of the original agreement on June 20, 2011. The amendment also reduces the permitted maximum duration of loans drawn under the agreement from up to ten years to up to seven years and reduces the margin from EIB cost of funds + 1.2% to EIB cost of funds +0.3%. Otherwise the amended facility is substantially similar to the prior facility and, as with all of Autoliv's principal debt agreements, the amendment does not contain financial covenants, i.e. performance-related restrictions. The funds are made available to finance research and development projects at Autoliv's R&D facilities in Germany, France and Sweden. The facility was unutilized at the second quarter closing.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|--------|---|
| 3.1 | Autoliv's Restated Certificate of Incorporation incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on May 14, 1997. |
| 3.2 | Autoliv's Restated By-Laws incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on May 14, 1997. |
| 4.1 | Senior Indenture, dated March 30, 2009, between Autoliv and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Autoliv's Registration Statement on Form 8-A (File No. 001-12933, filing date March 30, 2009). |
| 4.2 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated March 30, 2009, between Autoliv and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Autoliv's Registration Statement on Form 8-A (File No. 001-12933). |
| 4.3 | Purchase Contract and Pledge Agreement, dated March 30, 2009, among Autoliv and U.S. Bank National Association, as Stock Purchase Contract Agent, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent, Custodial Agent and Securities Intermediary, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Autoliv's Registration Statement on Form 8-A (File No. 001-12933). |
| 4.4 | General Terms and Conditions for Swedish Depository Receipts in Autoliv, Inc. representing common shares in Autoliv, Inc., effective as of March 1, 2008, with Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB (publ) serving as custodian, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to Autoliv's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-158139, filing date March 23, 2009). |
| 10.25 | Facilities Agreement of \$1,100,000,000, dated April 16, 2011, among Autoliv, Inc. certain of Autoliv, Inc. subsidiaries and the lenders named therein, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.j to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on April 20, 2011. |
| 31.1 * | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Autoliv, Inc. pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |
| 31.2 * | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Autoliv, Inc. pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |
| 32.1* | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Autoliv, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2* | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Autoliv, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 99.1* | Amendment, dated July 18, 2011, to Financing Commitment Agreement of 225 million originally dated December 18, 2009, among Autoliv AB, Autoliv, Inc. (as Guarantor) and the European Investment Bank (EIB), originally filed as Exhibit 10.20 to Autoliv's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2009 (File No. 001-12933). |
| 101* | The following financial information from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) and furnished electronically herewith: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income; (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (iv) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |

* Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 21, 2011

AUTOLIV, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Mats Wallin

Mats Wallin
Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)