STEC, INC. Form 10-K February 28, 2012

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

December 31, 2011 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

to

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 000-31623

STEC, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

California (State or Other Jurisdiction of

33-0399154 (I.R.S. Employer

**Incorporation or Organization**)

Identification No.)

3001 Daimler Street

Santa Ana, California 92705-5812

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (949) 476-1180

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of each exchange

Title of each class Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

on which registered The NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by a check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of accelerated filer, large accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Accelerated Filer Large Accelerated Filer x Non-Accelerated Filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

Smaller reporting company "

As of June 30, 2011, the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the approximate aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$736,135,595 (based upon the last closing price for shares of the registrant s common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Select Market as of that date). Shares of common stock held by each officer, director, and holder of 10% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of February 14, 2012 there were approximately 46,135,592 shares of common stock outstanding.

#### **Documents Incorporated By Reference**

Certain information required in Part III hereto is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for the Registrant s 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

## STEC, INC.

## FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.		1
ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	11
ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	27
ITEM 2.	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	27
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	27
ITEM 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	27
PART II.		28
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	28
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	31
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	33
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	48
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	48
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	48
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	49
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	49
PART III.		50
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	50
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	50
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS	50
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	50
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	50
PART IV.		51
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	51

i

#### Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These forward-looking statements often can be, but are not always, identified by the use of words such as anticipate, assume, believe, could, estimate, expect, goal, intend, may, might, plan, potential, predict, project, should, and similar expressions, or the expressions. They include, but are not limited to: statements regarding our revenue growth initiatives; growing acceptance, adoption and qualification of solid-state drives (SSDs) within the enterprise-storage and enterprise-server markets; the evolving storage industry; changes in the average selling prices of our products; the loss of, or reduction in sales to, any of our key customers; our ability to deliver new and enhanced products on a timely basis; statements concerning customer adoption and utilization of our technologies and solutions; the benefits, capabilities, performance, cost-savings and energy efficiencies of STEC s products, solutions and other developing technologies; the adoption of our products into new applications and markets; our sales, operating results and anticipated cash flows; our ability to forecast customer demand; the availability of certain components in our products that we obtain from a limited number of suppliers; competition from other companies in our industry; changes in political and economic conditions and local regulations, particularly outside of the United States; our ability to protect our intellectual property rights; and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

We base these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events, our assumptions regarding these events and our knowledge of facts at the time the statements are made. These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including those described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the heading Risk Factors and in other filings we make from time to time with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Some of these risks and uncertainties may be outside our control and our actual results could differ materially from our projected results. We are not able to predict all of the factors that may affect future results. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Except as required by applicable laws or regulations, we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### PART I.

## ITEM 1. BUSINESS Overview

STEC, Inc. (collectively with our subsidiaries, is referred to in this Report as  $\,$  STEC  $\,$ , we , our and  $\,$  us  $\,$ ) is a leading global provider of enterprise-class solid state drives ( $\,$  SSDs  $\,$ ) that are designed specifically for systems and applications that require high input and output ( $\,$  IO  $\,$ ) capabilities with low latencies for fast access to critical user data.

We design and develop our own SSD controllers, enhance them with proprietary firmware and integrate them with NAND flash media to manufacture high-performance SSDs, which provide a level of IO performance not currently possible with traditional hard disk drives (HDDs). We sell our SSDs to leading global enterprise hardware original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), which incorporate them into products used in a variety of industries including cloud computing, defense, e-commerce, financial services, healthcare, government, transportation, virtualization, and Web 2.0. We also manufacture small form factor Flash-based SSDs, cards and modules, as well as custom high-density dynamic random access memory (DRAM) modules for networking, communications and embedded industrial applications. In addition, we are continuing to invest in personnel and complementary new technologies that will help us solve our customers most complex storage and server problems. This means developing solutions that will ultimately help our customers to accelerate applications, retrieve data faster, and run more efficiently. We are headquartered in Santa Ana, California and have operations in Penang, Malaysia. We also have sales and engineering offices located in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

We market our products primarily to OEMs and OEM distributors, leveraging our custom design capabilities to offer storage and server solutions to address their specific needs.

We are focusing on certain revenue growth initiatives, including:

Continuing to develop and qualify customized high-performance, high-endurance SSDs, including our ZeusIOPS® and MACH product families;

Expanding our product portfolio to include software offerings that strategically utilize our solid-state storage technology;

Adding new PCIe-based SSD products; and

Exploring new market opportunities that leverage our core SSD expertise.

Over the past several years, we have expanded our custom design capabilities of Flash-based products for OEM applications. We have invested significantly in the design and development of customized SSD controllers, firmware and hardware. Strategic acquisitions have also enabled us to improve our SSD controller design capabilities, expand our product offerings, add intellectual property to our technology portfolio, and enhance our capabilities to use third-party controllers.

#### Flash-based Products and Technologies

Flash-based product revenue was \$294.6 million, \$237.4 million and \$308.2 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Sales of Flash-based products represented 96%, 85% and 87% of our total revenues in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

A significant development in enterprise SSDs is the use of Multi-Level Cell (MLC) Flash, which is more cost-effective than Single Level Cell (SLC) Flash. Incorporating MLC Flash into SSDs is an increasingly important factor driving adoption of SSDs within the enterprise market given the growing need for cost-effective, high-performance enterprise solutions. Our MLC-based SSDs are enhanced by our proprietary technologies, including our CellCare Technology, which increases endurance of MLC Flash to meet enterprise life requirement levels, and our Secure Array of Flash Elements (S.A.F.E.) Technology, which provides added data

reliability. Our MLC-based SSDs also use our proprietary SSD ASIC controller technology to achieve fast write speeds and improved performance over the entire life of the drive.

A major area of our overall research and development investment has been applied to developing and advancing our SSD technologies. We believe the advantages of SSDs are currently being defined in several distinct markets including: a) enterprise-storage applications, b) enterprise-server applications, c) data center and cloud computing environments, and d) government and defense applications. We see opportunities to leverage our SSD expertise across each of these markets where we believe our technology can outperform existing HDD solutions.

In April 2011, we acquired certain assets of Knowledge Quest Infotech Private Limited (KQI), a software development company based in Pune, India. The portfolio of acquired assets includes KQI s intellectual property rights. In addition, we hired approximately 30 key employees of KQI to augment our existing software development team. This acquisition provides us with additional system design, storage software and virtualization expertise, that will be helpful in our efforts to optimize the performance of our products in evolving storage architectures. We expect to continue to make strategic investments to enhance and expand our product and intellectual property portfolio.

Although the enterprise Flash-based SSD market is relatively new, evolving and difficult to predict, we are encouraged by the variety of applications that our SSDs are able to support. As more of our customers and end-users experience the benefits of SSD technology, we believe that adoption will continue to expand. The increased use of data-tiering software by storage OEMs is also helping to increase SSD adoption as the combination of data-tiering software and SSDs enhance the overall performance level of enterprise-storage systems. In addition, the introduction of all flash arrays by both leading and emerging storage OEMs is also accelerating the adoption of flash in the enterprise. At the same time, the lower costs of SSDs and the development of caching software is driving adoption in the server space. Also, we employ certain marketing programs and sales initiatives on a selective basis with our customers in an effort to further help accelerate the awareness and adoption of our SSD products.

#### **DRAM Products**

We also offer both monolithic DRAM modules and DRAM modules based on our proprietary stacking technology. We derived \$10.2 million, \$42.5 million, and \$38.8 million in revenues from the sale of DRAM products during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Sales of DRAM products represented 3%, 15%, and 11% of our total revenues in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Since our revenue growth initiatives are focused on sales of our SSDs and because sales of our DRAM products are made to a limited number of customers, we expect variability of this nature to continue in the future.

#### Malaysia Income Tax Holiday

As an incentive to establish operations in Malaysia, the Malaysian government provided us with a fifteen-year income tax holiday and certain grants that are subject to meeting certain conditions. This income tax holiday was originally effective through September 30, 2022. In May 2011, the Company received an additional incentive package from the Malaysian government as part of STEC s plan to establish a research and development center in Malaysia. This incentive package includes a five-year extension through September 30, 2027 of the existing income tax holiday term and certain additional grants. The impact of the Malaysia income tax holiday decreased the provision for income taxes by \$5.6 million or \$0.11 per share, \$4.9 million or \$0.09 per share and \$3.2 million or \$0.06 per share in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

#### Customers

We market our products to OEMs and OEM distributors, leveraging industry leadership in SSD technology to address their specific needs. In 2011, we sold to more than 200 customers, comprised of direct sales and sales through distributors and contract manufacturers. Our OEM customers make the purchasing decisions on

substantially all of the products that we sell through distributors and contract manufacturers. With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer relationships. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 89.5% of our total revenues in 2011, 88.0% of our total revenues in 2010, and 86.9% of our total revenues in 2009. As shown in the table below, customer concentrations of accounts receivable and revenues of greater than 10% were as follows:

		Year Ended December 31,			
		2011		2010	
	Accounts		Accounts		
	Receivable	Revenues	Receivable	Revenues	Revenues
EMC	24.6%	26.3%	51.8%	37.8%	45.1%
IBM	52.2%	22.4%	20.7%	13.2%	*
Hitachi	*	21.5%	*	10.8%	*
SMART Modular	*	*	*	13.0%	*

#### \* Less than 10%

We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a majority of our revenues in the foreseeable future and believe that our financial results will depend in part upon the success of our customers. The composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our products changes, and we expect this variability will continue in the future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by, any of our major customers would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Item 1A, Risk Factors Historically, sales to a limited number of customers, particularly EMC Corporation, have comprised a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of, or significant reduction in purchases by, any key customer could materially impact our financial results.

#### Our Industry

For the past half century, computing system OEMs have relied primarily on two storage technologies: DRAM and HDDs. Over time, microprocessor capabilities in their computing systems have dramatically outpaced the performance capabilities of HDDs, thus creating the need for faster access to storage; DRAM is cost- and power-prohibitive for many applications. As a result, we have developed SSDs that represent a new tier of storage that allows users to access data faster and on a more efficient basis than HDDs.

A well-designed SSD delivers a valuable and unique set of characteristics, including faster performance, better reliability and improved energy efficiency when compared to a HDD. We believe that, across the range of enterprise-storage and enterprise-server OEMs, SSDs make up a vital tier of storage that renders compelling value to the end-users of those enterprise systems by delivering enhanced performance and significant power savings over comparative systems built only with HDDs.

We believe that we are a leader in solid-state storage technology. Throughout our history, we have delivered advanced memory and storage solutions to a wide range of customers in various market segments, and we continue to develop products that consistently improve the retention of, and accelerate access to, critical data at high-performance levels to meet enterprise needs. In order to remain a market leader, it will become increasingly important for us to develop, hire and retain storage expertise to better address the evolving needs of advanced data centers and enterprise storage and server infrastructures.

#### **Our Solutions**

STEC designs, manufactures and markets SSDs, Flash cards, and Flash modules for use in high-performance enterprise applications, and high-density DRAM modules for networking, communications and industrial applications. We are a global design and manufacturing company focused on providing customized storage solutions for a broad spectrum of system platforms, with most sales based on a cooperative design effort

with our customers. In addition, we are continuing to invest in personnel and complementary new technologies that will help us solve our customers most complex storage and server problems. This means developing solutions that will ultimately help our customers to accelerate applications, retrieve data faster, and run more efficiently. We offer our customers a comprehensive technology solution from concept to design to the creation of prototypes through volume production and testing.

**Product Features** 

The key features of our products include:

Proprietary controller Integrated Circuit (IC) technology. In order to develop innovative storage technologies, we typically design the fundamental logic for our SSD products. The controllers and their operating firmware within our various SSD products are the key to enabling high levels of performance and reliability. These ICs are complete system on chip solutions that incorporate our intellectual property.

High degree of customization. Products sold to our customers are typically customized by our design and engineering teams to meet our customers specific design requirements.

High performance. Our SSD technology is optimized for low-latency, fast access times and sustained high megabyte-per-second speeds over the life of the drive.

*Endurance*. Products enabled with our CellCare Technology have increased endurance to meet enterprise life requirements and enable MLC Flash-based SSDs to deliver near SLC Flash-based endurance and performance.

*High reliability*. Our products are built utilizing advanced error detection and correction processes to provide high data reliability and integrity. In addition, our products are designed to withstand high levels of shock and vibration as well as extreme temperature fluctuations. Products enabled with our Secure Array of Flash Elements<sup>TM</sup> (S.A.F.E.) Technology provide added data reliability.

Low-power consumption. Since fewer SSDs are required to achieve similar IOPS performance as multiple HDDs, systems built with SSDs can consume significantly less power than similarly performing HDD-based systems.

Compact size. We are able to manufacture high-density products with some of the smallest form factors in the market in order to meet the ever-reducing size requirements of our customers products especially in embedded, industrial and military applications.

*High density*. Our patented stacking technologies allow us to design and manufacture products in which multiple memory chips are stacked vertically to increase the capacities without increasing the product footprint. In some cases, our IC Tower and stacking memory technologies allow us to create high capacity solutions.

Solid-State Drive/Flash-based Products

Our SSD products are used in a wide range of enterprise and embedded applications, all of which demand high-reliability, high-capacity, and/or high IOPS performance.

We offer a broad line of SSD products in various form factors and capacities, including:

ZeusIOPS® SSDs are high-performance enterprise-class data storage solutions. Built for speed, one ZeusIOPS® drive can replace multiple hard drives or eliminate the need to utilize additional DRAM to overcome performance bottlenecks, resulting in reduced energy and space requirements.

*MACH*. Our MACH SSDs are small form factor, high throughput storage solutions for mission-critical systems in a variety of industries. The MACH SSDs are ideal for enterprise-storage and servers that expose drives to challenging workloads typified by randomly mixed reads and writes under rigorous workloads.

4

ZeusRAM SSD. Our ZeusRAM SSDs are a product offering for enterprise-storage subsystems built specifically for fast and continuous read/write workloads, including metadata logging, journaling, and database indexing applications. Our ZeusRAM SSDs utilize fully-backed up DRAM to Flash, providing power back-up protection in the event of a host system s power loss, and provide a super low latency enterprise drive that is highly reliable with superior wear-resistance.

Flash Cards and Flash Modules

We offer a wide array of Flash Cards and Flash Modules for use in high-performance enterprise applications, including:

CompactFlash Memory. CompactFlash products provide full PC Card ATA functionality but are only one-fourth the size of a standard PC Card. CompactFlash memory cards are characterized by their small size, durability, and low power consumption. CompactFlash products provide interoperability with systems based on the PC Card ATA standard by using a low-cost passive adapter, thus making CompactFlash widely used by a variety of applications.

Flash Modules. Our Flash module products include USB and Serial ATA interfaces and are targeted toward embedded systems where device footprint and low power are critical design parameters. Flash modules have several distinct advantages over traditional HDDs in that they are typically easier to incorporate into designs because they are less than one-quarter of the size of a 2.5-inch hard drive, plug directly into the motherboard, eliminating the need for cables, and consume less than half the power. There is no electrical circuitry or software interface change required when replacing a standard hard drive with a Flash module.

USB Flash Drive. Built upon an industrial-grade Flash controller technology ranging in capacity from 1GB to 8GB, STEC s USB Flash Drive (UFD) couples convenience and portability with performance and reliability. Advanced OEM features include boot capability, endurance for industrial operating conditions and laser-etched manufacturing information including a unique serial number.

Single Chip Drive. Available with an Integrated Drive Electronics ( IDE ) interface, the Single Chip Drive ( SCD ) is a small form factor, solid-state Flash disk with no moving parts. Using STEC s patented IC Tower Stacking Technology, SCDs are available in the highest capacities in the industry. The standard USB or IDE interface provides designers with a true plug-n-play storage device, allowing for short design cycles and fast time-to-market.

#### DRAM Products

We offer a full range of DRAM products, including dual in-line memory modules ( DIMMs ), small-outline DIMMs ( SODIMMs ), mini-registered DIMMs ( mini-RDIMMs ), very low profile registered DIMMs ( VLP RDIMMs ) and Fully-Buffered DIMMs ( FB-DIMMs ). Our DRAM products are used in higher performance computing, communications, and embedded industrial applications. We offer these products with different DRAM architectures such as FB-DIMM, double data rate ( DDR ), DDR2, DDR3 and synchronous DRAM ( SDRAM ).

#### Stacked ICs on Products

IC Tower Stacked Components. Our patented IC Tower semiconductor stacking technology enables the manufacturing of high-capacity memory products. We offer a wide selection of stacked components for both thin small outline package ( TSOP ) and ball grid array ( BGA ) semiconductor packages for use on memory modules and within our high-capacity Flash-based products. This technology is used in complex, high-capacity module designs and systems. It provides a cost effective solution for our customers by offering chip densities that are less expensive than non-stacked components on a per megabyte ( MB ) basis.

#### Research and Development

Our research and development staff develops reliable, high-performance and cost-effective storage products to address the needs of traditional and emerging computing applications. We believe the timely development of new products is essential to maintaining our competitive position. Our engineering staff, which consisted of 384 people as of December 31, 2011, is focused on all facets of complex system-level product design. Functionally, the engineering team has dedicated expertise in IC design, firmware for Flash media management, firmware for host compatibility, and system-level product integration. An important aspect of our research and development effort is to understand the challenges presented by our customers—requirements and satisfy them by utilizing our proprietary technologies and our technical expertise. In the course of meeting our customers—challenges, we are often required to develop new technologies and processes, which are later added to our standard product offerings.

In September 2011, we opened our new Storage Technology Innovation Center located in Pune, India. This design center provides us with additional storage software development resources for our products, such as our recently announced EnhanceIO SSD Cache Software, and for next-generation solutions incubated from early proof of concept through product development and productization. We plan to strategically utilize the Storage Technology Innovation Center and our software development team to create software products which are complementary to our already extensive enterprise-class solid-state portfolio. Developers working in the center are creating software that is designed to enable customers to take full advantage of SSD performance, endurance and reliability, which we expect will further drive widespread adoption of SSDs in the enterprise.

A part of the engineering and development effort is associated with processes which enable high-volume manufacturing. Our research and development efforts include test software development in the form of proprietary tools and utilities that are tightly integrated with our SSD controller development and innovative approaches to the manufacturing test processes required to deliver STEC s SSDs, Flash and DRAM products.

Research and development expense was \$54.7 million, \$44.1 million and \$27.5 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

#### Design, Manufacturing and Testing

Design and production. The typical production cycle consists of a design stage followed by a prototype stage and ends with full production of the final product. By working with our customers early in the design and prototype stages, we believe we are able to resolve critical design issues effectively and efficiently. In addition, we believe working closely with our customers throughout the design and production stages, allows us to gain important insights into their future product requirements.

Manufacturing. Our manufacturing processes are highly automated and involve the use of specialized equipment for the production of SSD and memory products. Our manufacturing systems have been optimized to support the placement of a large number of IC devices on each SSD board. We believe we are able to achieve a high manufacturing yield and minimize direct labor costs as a result of our design efficiencies, high level of automation and general manufacturing expertise. Because our manufacturing systems can be easily configured for different memory products, we have the ability to offer our customers short manufacturing and test cycles on small and large projects. We also have developed an automated method of manufacturing our stacking products which we believe results in further manufacturing efficiencies. Our manufacturing processes are ISO 9001 and ISO 14001 certified.

Test engineering. An important aspect of our manufacturing operations is our focus on test engineering. We test all of our SSD and memory products upon completion of manufacturing, which we believe results in low returns due to product defects. We believe our test engineering expertise will continue to grow in importance as the speed and complexity of SSD and memory products increase. Our test engineering group develops proprietary processes which, together with our continued investment in advanced testing equipment, have enabled us to consistently produce high-quality products.

#### Revenues and Assets by Geographic Region

Sales, which are derived from billings to customers, by geographic region are presented as a percentage of total revenues as follows:

	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009	
United States	32.0%	40.9%	49.8%	
Singapore	43.6%	22.9%	*	
Czech Republic	12.6%	12.2%	16.1%	
Malaysia	*	10.9%	12.0%	
Other	11.8%	13.1%	22.1%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### \* Less than 10%

Substantially all of our foreign sales are shipped internationally through our facility in Malaysia. For additional information regarding our international sales, see Item 1A, Risk Factors We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

Total assets by geographic region were as follows (amounts in thousands):

	mber 31, 2011	De	cember 31, 2010
United States	\$ 166,661	\$	183,724
Malaysia	122,896		156,407
Other	47,025		25,523
Total	\$ 336,582	\$	365,654

#### Sales and Marketing

We primarily use an internal direct sales force and OEM distributors for sales to our customers in the U.S. and internationally. We support our customer base through an integrated sales force based on vertical market segments. We have developed our sales force to have the local presence, market knowledge and strategic insight to allow us to more effectively market our products to a focused number of large OEM customers who represent the vast majority of the enterprise market. We have also expanded our outbound marketing programs to target enterprise end users in an effort to create awareness of, and brand preference for, our enterprise products. In addition, as part of our sales and marketing efforts, our experienced application engineers work closely with our customers—engineering teams in designing our products into their systems, as well as with addressing any issues that arise during the implementation of our products in deployed systems.

#### **Customer Service and Support**

We provide our customers with comprehensive product service and support. We work closely with our customers to monitor the performance of their product designs and to provide application design and support. This also provides us with insight into defining their needs and subsequent generations of products. Our standard customer support package is generally offered with all product sales and includes full technical documentation and application design assistance. During our customers production phase, we provide extensive support which includes training, system-level design, implementation and integration support. We believe that tailoring our technical support to our customers needs is essential to the success of our product introductions and customer satisfaction.

#### Competition

We conduct business in an industry characterized by intense competition. We primarily compete with Fusion-io, Hitachi GST, Intel, LSI, Micron, OCZ, Samsung, SanDisk, Seagate, SMART Modular, Toshiba, and Western Digital in connection with the sale of our products. Our competitors include many large U.S. and international companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution and other resources, broader product lines, lower cost structures, greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers and suppliers.

We expect to face competition from existing competitors as well as new and emerging companies that may enter our existing or future markets. These companies may have similar or alternative products that are less costly or provide additional features. In addition, some of our significant suppliers, including Samsung and Toshiba are also our competitors and have the ability to manufacture competitive products at lower costs as a result of their higher levels of integration. Further, these suppliers may reduce the supply of memory chips available to the industry or us. We also face competition from current and prospective customers that evaluate our capabilities against the merits of manufacturing products internally. Competition may arise from new and emerging companies or from the development of cooperative relationships among our current and potential competitors or third parties to increase the ability of their products to address the needs of our prospective customers. Accordingly, it is possible that new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share.

We compete in our target markets primarily on technology, quality, and service. We expect our competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products, reduce their current product sales prices and introduce new products that may offer greater performance and improved pricing, any of which could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of our products.

To remain competitive, we must, among other things:

Provide best-of-class design, manufacturing and test engineering services on a timely basis;
Maintain high quality levels;
Provide technologically advanced products;
Successfully protect our intellectual property rights;
Accurately anticipate and prepare for new technological trends and standards in the industry;
Compete favorably on the basis of price;
Offer flexible delivery schedules; and

Deliver finished products on a timely basis in sufficient volume to satisfy our customers requirements. The enterprise-storage, enterprise-server, and government, defense and industrial embedded applications markets are subject to rapid technological change, product obsolescence, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, changes in end-user requirements and evolving industry standards. Our ability to compete in these markets will depend in significant part upon our ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new and enhanced products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and to respond to changing customer requirements.

Suppliers

Typically IC devices represent more than 80% of the component costs of our products. We are dependent on a small number of suppliers to supply Flash and DRAM IC devices. We periodically review opportunities to develop alternative sources for our Flash IC and DRAM IC device needs. However, our options are very limited because of the small number of memory manufacturers. Our dependence on a small number of suppliers and the lack of any guaranteed sources of supply expose us to several risks, including the inability to obtain an adequate supply of components, price increases, late deliveries and poor component quality. In 2011, Hynix, Samsung and

Toshiba supplied substantially all of the IC devices used in our Flash-based products while Samsung supplied substantially all of the DRAM IC devices used in our DRAM products. For risks associated with our supplier relationships, see Item 1A, Risk Factors Our dependence on a small number of component suppliers, including integrated circuit device suppliers, and our inability to obtain a sufficient supply of these components on a timely basis could harm our ability to fulfill orders.

#### Seasonality

We generally expect to experience some seasonality in our business resulting in lower sales in the first quarter and higher sales in the fourth quarter of each year due to corporate customers seeking to spend their full capital budgets before the end of each year. Due to the volatility of our business, concentration of our customers and limited adoption of SSDs in our markets, seasonality may not always be a determining factor for our results of operations. For example, during the fourth quarter of 2011, we did not experience a seasonal increase in sales. However, we believe the decline in sales in the fourth quarter of 2011 was due to our continued transition to the next-generation of our ZeusIOPS® and MACH16 SSDs and gradual customer absorption of those products, rather than seasonality.

#### Backlog

With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the relationships. We include in our backlog only those customer orders for which we have accepted purchase orders and to which we have assigned shipment dates within the upcoming six months. Since orders constituting our backlog are subject to change due to, among other things, customer cancellations, customer reschedulings, and our ability to procure necessary components, backlog is not necessarily an indication of future revenues. In addition, there can be no assurance that current backlog will necessarily lead to revenues in any future period. In the absence of a non-cancellable customer supply agreement, our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues come from orders that are received just prior to or within the same quarter. In addition, our SSDs are currently offered as options in our customers—systems. Therefore, the demand for these SSDs is unpredictable and fully dependent on end-user requirements. Unless and until our SSDs are offered as a standard feature in our customers—systems, our demand visibility will continue to be limited. Our backlog was \$28.5 million as of December 31, 2011 and \$48.9 million as of December 31, 2010. Our backlog has decreased due primarily to a decrease in customer orders for our SSD products.

#### **Intellectual Property Rights**

We regard our patents, trademarks, trade secrets and other intellectual property as critical to our success. We rely on patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures, and employee disclosure and invention assignment agreements to protect our intellectual property rights.

As of February 14, 2012, we owned 37 patents and 65 additional patent applications were pending. We have agreements to license certain of our intellectual property to third parties. In addition, we have entered into several licensing agreements to license the intellectual property of others. Although we consider the patents currently held by us to be critical to our success, there can be no assurance that any patents currently held by us or any patents that may be granted to us in the future will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or that rights granted thereunder will provide meaningful protection or other commercial advantage to us. There can be no assurance that third parties will not develop similar products, duplicate our products or design around the patents currently owned by us or which may be granted to us in the future. Because we view intellectual property rights as critical to our success, we intend to pursue future patents and other intellectual property rights in the U.S. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in these endeavors. In addition, there can be no assurance that our trade secrets and know-how may not become known to third parties, or become part of the public domain, which in either case would harm our financial performance and business operations.

We have previously applied for, and may in the future apply for, patent protection in foreign countries. The laws of foreign countries, however, may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. Many U.S. companies have encountered substantial infringement problems in some foreign countries. Because we sell our products internationally, we have exposure to foreign intellectual property risks.

Our industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights. We believe that it may be necessary, from time to time, to initiate litigation against third parties to preserve our intellectual property rights. In addition, from time to time, third parties may bring suits against us. See Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, regarding a patent infringement lawsuit was filed on September 7, 2011 by Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. against us and several other defendants in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas.

In the event of an adverse result in any such litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease the manufacture, use and sale of certain products, expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology, discontinue the use of certain processes or obtain licenses to use infringed technology. Any litigation in which we are either plaintiff or defendant would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, whether or not such litigation is ultimately determined in our favor. In addition, the results of any litigation are inherently uncertain.

In the event we desire to incorporate third-party technology into our products or our products are found to infringe on others patents or intellectual property rights, we may be required to license such patents or intellectual property rights. If we obtain licenses from third parties, we may be required to pay license fees or make royalty payments, which could reduce our gross margins. If we are unable to obtain a license from a third party for technology, we could incur substantial liabilities or be required to expend substantial resources redesigning our products to eliminate the infringement. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in redesigning our products or that we could obtain licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. In addition, any development or license negotiations could require substantial expenditures of time and other resources by us.

As is common in the industry, we currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by our products and services of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys—fees. We may from time to time be engaged in litigation as a result of such indemnification obligations. Our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is generally unlimited. We may periodically have to respond to claims and litigate these types of indemnification obligations. Any such indemnification claims could require us to pay substantial damages that may result in a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

In our efforts to maintain the confidentiality and ownership of trade secrets and other confidential information, our employees are required to sign employee non-disclosure agreements and our engineers are required to sign invention assignment agreements. The invention assignment agreements require our engineers to disclose, document and assign their interest in all inventions, patents and copyrights developed while employed with us. Our employees agree to preserve all of our confidential information including trade secrets, customer information, know-how and other business information. There can be no assurance that these agreements will provide meaningful protection of our trade secrets or other confidential information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. See Item 1A, Risk Factors Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected, which could harm our competitive position.

#### **Employees**

As of December 31, 2011, we had 954 full-time employees, consisting of 343 in manufacturing (including test, quality assurance and material management), 97 in sales and marketing, 130 in administration and 384 in

research and development. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining agreements and we have never experienced a work stoppage. Management believes that relations with our employees are satisfactory.

During the first quarter of 2009, we commenced a reduction of our workforce primarily at our Santa Ana, California headquarters as part of the transition of certain of our operations to our facility in Penang, Malaysia. During the second quarter of 2010, we commenced the second phase of a reduction in our workforce, which also primarily impacted our Santa Ana, California headquarters and was completed as of December 31, 2010 (see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Report).

#### Available Information

We are a California corporation, incorporated in 1990. Our principal executive offices are located at 3001 Daimler Street, Santa Ana, California, 92705, and our telephone number at that location is 949-476-1180. Our primary manufacturing facility is located in Penang, Malaysia. Our Internet address is <a href="www.stec-inc.com">www.stec-inc.com</a>. The inclusion of our website address in this Report does not include or incorporate by reference into this Report any information on our website. We make available on our website, free of charge, our filings made with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) electronically, including our reports on Form 10-K, Form 10-Q and Form 8-K, and any amendments to those filings. These reports are available on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file them with the SEC. These reports, and any amendments to them, are also available at the internet website of the SEC, <a href="www.sec.gov">www.sec.gov</a>. The public may also read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20549. In order to obtain information about the operation of the Public Reference Room, you may call 1-800-732-0330.

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to our employees (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions) and directors. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be found under the Investor Relations section of our website. We may post amendments to or waivers of the provisions of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, if any, made with respect to any of our directors and executive officers on that website.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Before deciding to purchase, hold or sell our common stock, you should carefully consider the risks described below in addition to the cautionary statements and risks described elsewhere and the other information contained in this Report and in our other filings with the SEC, including subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties actually occurs with material adverse effects on STEC, our business, financial condition, results of operations and/or liquidity could be seriously harmed, which could cause our actual results to vary materially from recent results or from our anticipated future results. In addition, the trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these known or unknown risks or uncertainties, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Historically, sales to a limited number of customers, particularly EMC Corporation, have comprised a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of, or significant reduction in purchases by, any key customer could materially impact our financial results.

Historically, sales to a relatively limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenues. In 2011, 2010 and 2009, sales to our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 89.5%, 88.0% and 86.9%, respectively, of our total revenues. In 2011 our largest customer was EMC Corporation (EMC), which accounted for 26.3% of our total revenues.

The major industries in which we participate are dominated by a limited number of OEM companies; therefore, the rate of SSD adoption will be driven by a limited number of potential customers. In addition, the industries in which many of our customers compete have experienced, and may continue to experience, consolidation which may result in increased customer concentration and/or the loss of customers. The composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our customers products changes, and we expect this variability to continue in the future. Our customers demand for our products can vary considerably from quarter to quarter, and it is difficult to forecast our customers demand beyond the immediate future, as purchases in one period may not be indicative of purchases in future periods. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to comprise a substantial portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by, any of our major customers could materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, the results of our operations during the first half of 2010 were negatively impacted due to an inventory carryover by EMC. If we are unable to secure significant purchase orders from EMC or our other large customers in the future, our financial results may be negatively impacted.

#### We may not be able to maintain or improve our competitive position because of the intense competition in the memory and storage industry.

We conduct business in an industry characterized by intense competition. We primarily compete with Fusion-io, Hitachi GST, Intel, LSI, Micron, OCZ, Samsung, SanDisk, Seagate, SMART Modular, Toshiba, and Western Digital in connection with the sale of our products. Our competitors include many large U.S. and international companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution and other resources, broader product lines, lower cost structures, greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers and suppliers. The pending merger between Hitachi GST and Western Digital, and the recently closed merger between Samsung s HDD business and Seagate could exacerbate the degree of competition we face. Our larger, more heavily resourced competitors may be in a better position than us to influence or respond to new or emerging technologies or standards and changes in customer requirements. Our competitors may also be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of products, and may be able to deliver competitive products at a lower price.

Some of our competitors earn a significant portion of their revenue from business units outside the storage industry. Because they do not depend solely on sales of storage products to achieve profitability, they may sell storage devices at lower prices and operate their storage business unit at a loss over an extended period of time while choosing to offset these losses with profits from other business units. In addition, some of our customers have integrated and may continue to integrate lower cost, lower performance Serial Advanced Technology Attachment (SATA) drives with a Serial Attached Small Computer Interface System (SAS) or Fibre Channel (FC) connectivity bridge instead of our native SAS and Fibre Channel ZeusIOPS® products, thereby offering a lower cost alternative to our products and negatively affecting the sales of our products to those customers. Furthermore, competitive SAS and/or FC based SSDs have already been qualified at certain of our customers, which has lead to a reduction in orders of our ZeusIOPS® SSDs. Our operating results may be adversely affected if we cannot successfully compete with the pricing by these companies.

Some of our significant suppliers, including Samsung and Toshiba, are also our competitors, and have the ability to manufacture competitive products at lower costs as a result of their higher levels of integration. In addition, these suppliers may reduce the supply of memory chips available to the industry or us. We also face competition from current and prospective customers that evaluate our capabilities against the merits of manufacturing products internally. Competition may arise from new and emerging companies or from the development of cooperative relationships among our current and potential competitors or third parties to develop products that address the needs of our customers. We expect our competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products, reduce their prices and introduce new products that may offer greater performance, or otherwise render our technology or products obsolete or uncompetitive, any of which could cause a decline in our operating results or loss of market acceptance of our products.

#### The introduction and acceptance of new and enhanced versions of our products may cause fluctuations in our operating results.

The introduction of new and enhanced versions of our products may shorten the life cycle of our existing products, or replace sales of some of our current products, thereby offsetting the benefit of even a successful product introduction, and may cause customers to defer purchasing our existing products in anticipation of the new and enhanced versions. Conversely, customers may accelerate purchases of existing products and defer purchases of new life cycle products due to the cost and lead times involved in the qualification of new products. Any of these instances may cause volatility in our operating results and fluctuations in our inventory levels. The complexity and difficulties in managing product transitions at the end-of-life stage of a product can also create excess inventory associated with the outgoing product that can also lead to product obsolescence and increased expenses. Any or all of the above problems could materially harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### We may be less competitive if we fail to develop new and enhanced products and introduce them in a timely manner.

The enterprise-storage, enterprise-server, and government, defense and industrial applications markets are subject to rapid technological change, product obsolescence, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, changes in end-user requirements and evolving industry standards. Our ability to compete in these markets will depend in significant part upon our ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new and enhanced products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and to respond to changing customer requirements.

Delays in the development and introduction of new products could provide a competitor a first-to-market opportunity and allow a competitor to achieve greater market share. Once a customer designs a competitor s product into its product offering, it becomes significantly more difficult for us to sell our products to that customer because changing suppliers involves significant cost, time, effort and risk for the customer. Our product development is inherently risky because it is difficult to foresee developments in technology, anticipate the adoption of new standards, coordinate our technical personnel, and identify and eliminate design flaws. Defects or errors found in our products after commencement of production could result in significant delays and damage our reputation and competitive position. New products, even if first introduced by us, may not gain market acceptance or result in future profitability. Lack of market acceptance for our new products will jeopardize our ability to recoup research and development expenditures, hurt our reputation and harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, after we have developed a new product, our customers will usually test and evaluate our products for three or more months before qualifying it for production and an additional three or more months to begin volume production of the equipment that incorporates our products. Even if a customer selects our product to incorporate into its equipment, we have no assurance that the customer will ultimately bring its product to market or that such effort by our customer will be successful.

We may also seek to develop products with new standards for our industry; however, it will take time for these new standards and products to be adopted, for customers to accept and transition to these new products and for significant sales to be generated from them, if this happens at all. Moreover, broad acceptance of new standards or products by customers may reduce demand for our older products. If this decreased demand is not offset by increased demand for our new products, our results of operations could be harmed. There can be no assurances that any new products or standards we develop will be commercially successful.

We have been named as a party to purported class action lawsuits and purported shareholder derivative actions, and we may be named in additional litigation, all of which could require significant management time and attention and result in significant legal expenses. An unfavorable outcome in one or more of these lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

As described in detail in Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, a purported class action is pending in the United States

District Court for the Central District of California, alleging, among other things, that our Company and certain of our officers and directors violated the federal securities laws by issuing materially false and misleading statements. A similar purported class action is pending in the Superior Court for the County of Orange, California against our Company, certain of our officers and directors, and four of our underwriters, based on allegations substantially similar to the purported federal class action. In addition, purported shareholder derivative actions are pending in the Superior Court for the County of Orange, California and the United States District Court for the Central District of California against certain of our officers and directors based on allegations substantially similar to those set forth in the purported class action. Regardless of the merits, the expense of defending such litigation may have a substantial impact if our insurance carriers fail to cover, or provide adequate coverage given policy limits, the cost of the litigation, and the time required to defend the actions could divert management s attention from the day-to-day operations of our business, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows. An unfavorable outcome in such litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission formal investigation could require significant management time and attention and result in significant legal expenses. An unfavorable outcome could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

As first disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is conducting a formal investigation involving trading in our securities. We are fully cooperating with the SEC in regards to this investigation. On July 19, 2011, the Company received a Wells Notice from the SEC stating that the Staff of the SEC (the Staff) is considering recommending that the Commission institute a civil injunctive action against our Company, CEO and President for violations of the antifraud and reporting provisions of the federal securities laws. Under a process established by the SEC, we, in addition to our CEO and President, have the opportunity to submit to the Staff any reasons of law, policy or fact why we and the two company officers believe that the civil action should not be brought (a Wells Submission) before the Staff makes its formal recommendation to the SEC regarding what action, if any, should be brought. On August 29, 2011, our Company, CEO and President submitted a Wells Submission to the SEC. On January 4, 2012, our Company, CEO, and President submitted a supplemental Wells Submission to the SEC. We, including our CEO and President, continue to cooperate with the SEC to attempt to resolve the Staff sconcerns, but there can be no assurance that the SEC will decide not to bring an action against us or that the investigation will be concluded favorably. Regardless of the merits, the expense of defending such claims may have a substantial impact if our insurance carriers fail to cover, or provide adequate coverage given policy limits, the cost of the defense, and the time required to defend the actions could divert management s attention from the day-to-day operations of our business, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows. An unfavorable outcome of this investigation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

#### Our stock price is volatile.

Our common stock has been publicly traded since September 2000. The market price of our common stock has been subject to significant fluctuations since the date of our initial public offering. The stock market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices of securities, particularly securities of technology companies. As a result, the market price of our common stock may materially decline, regardless of our operating performance.

In addition, the market prices of securities of other technology companies have been and remain volatile. This volatility has significantly affected the market prices of securities of many technology companies for reasons frequently unrelated to the operating performance of the specific companies. Accordingly, you may not be able to resell your shares of common stock at or above the price you paid. In the past, we and other companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their securities have been, and we currently are, the subject of securities class action litigation. Litigation of this type is often expensive, diverts management s attention and resources and may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and market price of our common stock.

We are involved from time to time in claims and litigation over intellectual property rights, which may adversely affect our ability to manufacture and sell our products.

Our industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights. It may be necessary, from time to time, to initiate litigation against third parties to preserve our intellectual property rights. Some of our suppliers and licensors have generally agreed to provide us with various levels of intellectual property indemnification for products and technology we purchase or license from them. A third party could claim that our products infringe or contribute to the infringement of a patent or other proprietary right. From time to time, we have received, and may continue to receive in the future, notices that claim we have infringed upon, misappropriated or misused other parties proprietary rights. Any of the foregoing events or claims could result in litigation. For example, as described in detail in Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, on September 7, 2011, Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against us and several other defendants alleging that certain of our products and or/services infringe certain patents allegedly owned by Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. Due to the early stage of this lawsuit and the inherent uncertainties of litigation, we are not able to predict either the outcome or a potential range of losses, if any, at this time. However, this and any future such litigation of this nature, whether as plaintiff or defendant, will likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, whether or not such litigation is ultimately determined in our favor. In the event of an adverse result in such litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease the manufacture, use and sale of certain products, expend significant resources to develop, license or acquire non-infringing technology, discontinue the use of certain processes or obtain licenses to use the infringed technology. In addition, our suppliers and licensors obligation to indemnify us for intellectual property infringement may be insufficient or inapplicable to any such litigation or other claims of intellectual property infringement.

Our failure to obtain a required license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, could cause us to incur substantial costs and suspend manufacturing products using the infringed technology. If we obtain a license, we would likely be required to pay license fees or make royalty payments for sales under the license. Such payments would increase our costs of revenues and reduce our gross margins and gross profit. If we are unable to obtain a license from a third party for technology, we could incur substantial liabilities or be required to expend substantial resources redesigning our products to eliminate the infringement. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in redesigning our products or that we could obtain licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. Product development or license negotiating would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel.

As we carry only limited insurance coverage, any incurred liability resulting from inadequately covered claims could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our insurance policies may not be adequate to fully offset losses from covered claims, and we do not have coverage for certain losses. We believe our existing insurance coverage is consistent with common practice and economic and availability considerations. However, if our insurance coverage is inadequate to protect us against unforeseen catastrophic losses or unpredictable liabilities, any uncovered losses or claims could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

#### Business interruptions could substantially harm our business.

Substantially all of our manufacturing operations are located in Penang, Malaysia. In addition, a substantial amount of our supply chain is dependent on international suppliers and a significant amount of our products are shipped to international customers. Further, we undertake various research and development, sales and marketing activities through regional offices in a number of foreign countries. These international operations are subject to many inherent risks, including but not limited to, human error, government intervention, political instability, acts of terrorism, power failures, health pandemics and natural disasters, including earthquakes, tsunamis, fires or floods, and disruptions in global transportation arising from labor difficulties, natural disasters and political

instability. Our U.S. operations are also subject to many of these inherent risks. For example, our headquarters and various U.S.-based research and development activities are located in Southern California, an area with above average seismic activity due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines. In addition, our Santa Ana, California headquarters and our facility in Penang, Malaysia are located within close proximity to airports and flight paths.

Any of these risks, could negatively impact our operations which could harm our business. In particular, a disruption to our facility in Penang, Malaysia could substantially limit our manufacturing capabilities. In addition, the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan has disrupted the global supply chain for components manufactured in Japan that are incorporated in our products and in the end user's products of certain of our customers. Due to these cross dependencies, supply chain disruptions stemming from these natural disasters in Japan adversely affected the demand for our products during the last three quarters of 2011. Natural disasters in Southeast Asia may threaten the ability of our customers to obtain a sufficient supply of components required for their end products, causing them to postpone or cancel orders for our products which could materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, Thailand's infrastructure was severely damaged in October 2011 by flooding. The flooding has not had an immediate impact on our business because we do not have physical operations in the country and it does not appear that the flooding has negatively impacted our customers or their supply chains. We are monitoring recovery efforts in the country and are currently evaluating any lasting or residual impact that the flooding may have on our business.

The market for enterprise Flash-based SSD products is relatively new and rapidly evolving, which makes it difficult to forecast end-user adoption rates and customer demand, for our products.

The enterprise Flash-based SSD market is relatively new and rapidly evolving. As a result, we may encounter risks and uncertainties related to our business and future prospects. It is difficult to predict, with any precision, end-user adoption rates, customer demand for our products or the future growth rate and size of this market. Our ability to predict future sales is further limited because our SSDs are currently offered as options in our customers—systems. Unless and until our SSDs are offered as a standard feature in our customers—systems, this product runs the risk of serving a niche market and may never reach mass adoption, and our demand visibility may continue to be limited.

Our dependence on a small number of component suppliers, including integrated circuit device suppliers, and our inability to obtain a sufficient supply of these components on a timely basis could harm our ability to fulfill orders.

Typically integrated circuit ( IC ) devices represent more than 80% of the component costs of our products. We are dependent on a small number of suppliers that supply key components and important component-related information used in the development and manufacture of our products. Since we have no long-term supply contracts, there is no assurance that our suppliers will agree to supply the quantities of components we may need to meet our production requirements or the component information required to optimize these components in our finished products. In 2011, Hynix, Samsung and Toshiba supplied substantially all of the IC devices used in our Flash-based products while Samsung supplied substantially all of the DRAM IC devices used in our DRAM products.

Our customers typically qualify the specific controller and Flash and DRAM ICs that are components in our products as part of the product qualification process. If any of our suppliers experience quality control problems, our products that utilize that supplier s ICs may be disqualified by one or more of our customers. A supplier disqualification would disrupt our supply of ICs, reduce the number of suppliers available to us and adversely affect our ability to fulfill our customers product orders. In some instances, we may be required to qualify a new supplier s ICs, which could negatively impact our revenues during the new qualification process. There can be no assurance that we would be able to find and successfully qualify new suppliers in a timely manner or obtain ICs from new suppliers on commercially reasonable terms, which could damage our relationships with existing or potential customers and could materially harm our operating results.

Moreover, from time to time, our suppliers experience shortages in IC devices and foundry services which have resulted in placing their customers, including us, on component allocation. This means that while we may have customer orders, we may not be able to obtain the materials that we need to fill those orders in a timely manner or at competitive prices. As a result, our reputation could be harmed, we may lose business from our customers, our revenues may decline, and we may lose market share to our competitors.

In addition to Flash and DRAM ICs, a number of other components that we use in our products are available from only a single or limited number of suppliers. Furthermore, our suppliers rely upon certain rare earth materials that are necessary for the manufacturing of components for some of our products, and our business could be harmed if these suppliers experience shortages or delays of these rare earth materials. In addition, in the development of our own application-specific ICs ( ASICs ), we also depend on certain foundry subcontractors to manufacture these ASICs as well as on certain third-party subcontractors to assemble, obtain packaging materials for, and test these ASICs. Our dependence on a small number of suppliers and the lack of any guaranteed sources of supply expose us to several risks, including:



Although we place great emphasis on product quality, we may from time to time experience problems with the performance of our products,

Increased costs related to fulfillment of our warranty obligations;

which could result in one or more of the following:

The reduction, delay or cancellation of orders or the return of a significant amount of products;

Focused failure analysis causing distraction of the sales, operations and management teams; or

The loss of reputations in the market and customer goodwill.

These factors could cause our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow to be materially and adversely affected.

Demand for storage capacity may not continue to grow at current industry estimates, which may lower the prices our customers are willing to pay for new products.

Our customers demand for storage capacity may not continue to grow at current industry estimates as a result of developments in the regulation and enforcement of digital rights management, the emergence of processes such as cloud computing, data deduplication and storage virtualization, or otherwise. These factors could lead to our customers—storage capacity needs being satisfied at lower prices, thereby decreasing our revenue. As a result, even with increasing aggregate demand for storage capacity, our average selling prices could decline, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may make acquisitions that are dilutive to existing shareholders, resulting in unanticipated accounting charges or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations.

We intend to grow our business through business combinations or other acquisitions of businesses, products or technologies that allow us to complement our existing product offerings, expand our market coverage, increase our engineering workforce or enhance our technological capabilities. If we make any future acquisitions, we could issue stock that would dilute our shareholders—percentage ownership, incur substantial debt, reduce our cash reserves or assume contingent liabilities. Furthermore, acquisitions may require material charges and could result in adverse tax consequences, substantial depreciation, deferred compensation charges, in-process research and development charges, the amortization of amounts related to deferred compensation and identifiable purchased intangible assets or impairment of goodwill, any of which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Our limited experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies may make it difficult for us to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions we may undertake.

We continually evaluate and explore strategic opportunities as they arise, including business combinations, strategic partnerships, capital investments and the purchase, licensing or sale of assets. Our experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies is limited. The attention of our management team may be diverted from our core business if we undertake any future acquisitions. Any potential future acquisition involves numerous risks, including, among others:

Problems or delays in successfully closing the acquisition;

Problems or delays assimilating and integrating the purchased operations, personnel, technologies, products and information systems;

Unanticipated costs and expenditures associated with the acquisition, including any need to infuse significant capital into the acquired operations;

Adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers, customers and strategic partners;

Risks associated with entering markets and foreign countries in which we have limited or no prior experience;

Contractual, intellectual property or employment issues;

Potential loss of key employees of purchased organizations; and

Potential litigation arising from the acquired company s operations before the acquisition.

These risks could disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and employees, harm our reputation and increase our expenses. Our inability to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisition could further divert the attention of management, utilize scarce

corporate resources and otherwise harm our business. These challenges are magnified as the size of an acquisition increases, and we cannot assure you that we will realize the intended benefits of any acquisition.

We cannot assure that we will be able to consummate any pending or future acquisitions. We may not be able to find suitable acquisitions opportunities and even if we do find suitable acquisition opportunities, we may not be able to consummate the acquisitions on commercially acceptable terms or realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisitions we do undertake.

We expect our quarterly operating results to fluctuate in future periods, causing our stock price to fluctuate or decline.

Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past, and we believe they will continue to do so in the future. Some of these fluctuations may be more pronounced than they were in the past due to the uncertain current global economic environment. Fluctuations in our operating results may be due to a number of factors, including, but not limited to, those identified throughout this Risk Factors section and the following:

Impact of changing and recently volatile U.S. and global economic conditions;
Our suppliers production levels for the components used in our products;
Our ability to procure required components;
Market acceptance of new and enhanced versions of our products;
Expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries;
The timing of the introduction of new products or components and enhancements to existing products or components by us, our competitors or our suppliers;
Fluctuations in the cost of components and changes in the average sales prices of our products;
Fluctuating market demand for our products;
Changes in our customer or product revenue mix;
The loss of one or more of our customers;
Our ability to successfully integrate any acquired businesses or assets;
Expenses associated with the start up of new operations or divisions;
Order cancellations, product returns, inventory buildups by customers and inventory write-downs;
Manufacturing inefficiencies associated with the start-up of new manufacturing operations, new products and volume production;

Expenses associated with strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments;
Our ability to adequately support potential future rapid growth;
Our ability to absorb manufacturing overhead if revenues decline;
The effects of litigation; and

Increases in our sales and marketing and research and development expenses in connection with decisions to pursue new product initiatives.

Due to such factors, quarterly revenues and results of operations are difficult to forecast, and period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be predictive of future performance.

Ineffective management of inventory levels or product mix, order cancellations, product returns and inventory write-downs could adversely affect our results of operations.

With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer

relationships. Some customers may have the ability to change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. It is difficult to accurately predict what or how many products our customers will need in the future. Anticipating demand is challenging because our customers face volatile pricing and unpredictable demand for their products and are increasingly focused on cash preservation and tighter inventory management. We have experienced cancellations of orders and changes in order levels from period-to-period, and we expect to continue to experience similar cancellations and changes in the future, which could result in fluctuations in our revenues.

If we are unable to properly monitor, control and manage our inventory and maintain an appropriate level and mix of products to support our customers needs, we may incur increased and unexpected costs associated with our inventory. If we manufacture products in anticipation of future demand that does not materialize, or if a customer cancels outstanding orders, we could experience an unanticipated increase in our inventory that we may be unable to sell in a timely manner, if at all. If demand does not meet our expectations, we could incur increased expenses associated with writing off excess or obsolete inventory.

We also maintain third-party inventory hubbing arrangements with certain of our customers. Pursuant to these arrangements, we deliver products to a customer or a designated third-party warehouse based upon the customer s projected needs but do not recognize product revenue unless and until the customer has removed our product from the warehouse to incorporate into its end products. If a customer does not take our products under a hubbing arrangement in accordance with the schedule it originally provided us, our predicted future revenue stream could vary substantially from our forecasts and our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, since we own inventory that is physically located in a third party—s warehouse, our ability to effectively manage inventory levels may be impaired, causing our total inventory turns to decrease, which could increase expenses associated with excess and obsolete inventory and negatively impact our cash flow. In addition, while we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relationships with our customers. Product returns would increase our inventory and reduce our revenues. Alternatively, we could end up with too little inventory and we may not be able to satisfy demand, which could have a material adverse effect on our customer relationships. Our risks related to inventory management are exacerbated by our strategy of closely matching inventory levels with product demand, leaving limited margin for error. We have had to in the past and may need to in the future write down inventory for reasons such as obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below our costs. These inventory write-downs can have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### Declines in our average sales prices may result in declines in our revenues and gross profit.

Our industry is competitive and historically has been characterized by declines in average sales prices. Our average sales prices may decline due to several factors, including competition, overcapacity in the worldwide supply of DRAM and Flash memory components as a result of worldwide economic conditions, increased manufacturing efficiencies, implementation of new manufacturing processes and expansion of manufacturing capacity by component suppliers. In the past, overcapacity has resulted in significant declines in component prices, which in turn has negatively impacted our average sales prices, revenues and gross profit. In addition, since a large percentage of our sales are to a small number of customers that are primarily distributors and large OEMs, these customers have exerted, and we expect they will continue to exert, pressure on us to make price concessions. If not offset by increases in volume of sales or the sales of newly-developed products with higher margins, decreases in average sales prices would likely have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### Our business could be adversely affected by the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry.

The semiconductor industry, including the memory markets in which we compete, is highly cyclical and characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence and price erosion, evolving standards, and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. The industry has experienced significant

downturns often connected with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles of both semiconductor companies and their customers products and declines in general economic conditions.

These downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, production overcapacity, high inventory levels and accelerated erosion of average sales prices which have negatively impacted our average sales prices, revenues and earnings. Any future downturns could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### Further industry consolidation could provide competitive advantages to our competitors.

The storage industry has experienced consolidation over the past several years. Consolidation by our competitors may enhance their capacity, abilities and resources and lower their cost structure, causing us to be at a competitive disadvantage. Additionally, continued industry consolidation may lead to uncertainty in areas such as component availability, which could negatively impact our cost structure.

# The manufacturing of our products is complex and subject to production output yield problems, which could decrease available supply and increase costs.

The manufacture of our SSD controllers, Flash-based products and stacked DRAM products is a complex process, and it is often difficult for companies such as ours to achieve, after completed assembly and testing, acceptable production output yields (i.e., the ratio of usable product output to expected output based on given component inputs). Reduced production yields could decrease available supply and increase costs. SSD controller production output yields depend on both our product design and the manufacturing process technology unique to our semiconductor foundry partners. Because low yields may result from either design defects or process difficulties, we may not identify yield problems until well into the production cycle, when an actual product defect exists and can be analyzed and tested. In addition, many of these yield problems are difficult to diagnose and time consuming or expensive to remedy.

# The execution of our business strategy depends on our ability to retain key personnel, including our executive officers, and to attract qualified personnel.

Competition for employees in our industry is intense. We have had and may continue to have difficulty hiring the necessary engineering, sales and marketing and management personnel to support our business strategy. The successful implementation of our business model and business strategy depends on the continued contributions of our senior management and other key research and development, sales and marketing and operations personnel, including Manouch Moshayedi, our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, Mark Moshayedi, our President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Technical Officer, Secretary and a Director, and Raymond D. Cook, our Chief Financial Officer. The loss of any key employee, the failure of any key employee to perform in his or her current position, or the inability of our officers and key employees to expand, train and manage our employee base would have an adverse effect on the execution of our business strategy.

# Our efforts to expand our business internationally may not be successful and may expose us to additional risks that may not exist in the United States.

We sell our products to customers in foreign countries and seek to increase our level of international business activity through the expansion of our operations into select markets, including Asia and Europe. Such strategy may include opening sales offices in foreign countries, the outsourcing of manufacturing operations, establishing joint ventures with foreign partners, and the establishment of additional manufacturing operations in foreign countries.

A failure to successfully and timely integrate these operations into our global infrastructure will have a negative impact on our overall operations, cause us to delay or forego some of the original perceived benefits of operating internationally, such as lower average production and engineering labor costs, better access to global markets, improved supply chain efficiency, reduced lead times, increased manufacturing efficiency through investments in new state-of-the-art equipment and a lower overall long-term effective tax rate.

Establishing and running operations in a foreign country or region presents numerous risks, including:

Difficulties and costs of staffing and managing operations in certain foreign countries; Foreign laws and regulations, which may vary country by country, and may impact how we conduct our business; Higher costs of doing business in certain foreign countries, including different employment laws; Difficulty protecting our intellectual property rights from misappropriation or infringement; Political or economic instability; Changes in import/export duties; Necessity of obtaining government approvals; Trade restrictions; Work stoppages or other changes in labor conditions; Difficulties in collecting accounts receivables on a timely basis or at all; Changes in local tax regulations; Changes to or untimely lapses in government incentives; Longer payment cycles and foreign currency fluctuations; and

Seasonal reductions in business activity in some parts of the world, such as Europe.

In addition, changes in policies and/or laws of the U.S. or foreign governments resulting in, among other things, higher taxation, currency conversion limitations, restrictions on fund transfers or the expropriation of private enterprises, could reduce the anticipated benefits of our international expansion. Moreover, as a result of our international operations, we are subject to tax in multiple jurisdictions. If any taxing authority (in the U.S. or otherwise) were to successfully challenge our interpretation of the applicable tax laws or our determination of the income and expenses attributable to operations in a specific jurisdiction, we could be required to pay additional taxes, interest and penalties. Furthermore, any actions by countries in which we conduct business to reverse policies that encourage foreign trade or investment could adversely affect our business. If we fail to realize the anticipated revenue growth of our future international operations, our business and operating results could suffer.

We expect that our strategy to expand our international operations will require the expenditure of significant resources and the efforts and attention of our management. Unlike some of our competitors, we have limited experience operating our business in foreign countries. Some of our competitors may have a substantial advantage over us in attracting customers in certain foreign countries due to earlier established operations in that country, greater knowledge with respect to cultural differences of customers residing in that country and greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers in that country. If our international expansion efforts in any foreign country are unsuccessful, we may decide to cease these foreign operations, which would likely harm our reputation and cause us to incur expenses and losses.

We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers, that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

The volatility of general economic conditions and fluctuations in currency exchange rates affect the prices of our products and the prices of the components used in our products. International sales, which are derived from billings to foreign customers, accounted for 68.0%, 59.1% and 50.2% of our revenues in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2011, 43.6% and 12.6% of our revenues were derived from billings to customers in Singapore and the Czech Republic. In 2010, 22.9%, 12.2% and 10.9% of our revenues were derived from billings to customers in Singapore, the Czech Republic and Malaysia, respectively. In 2009, 16.1% and 12.0% of our

revenues were derived from billings to customers in the Czech Republic and Malaysia, respectively. For 2011, 2010, and 2009 more than 95% of our international sales were denominated in U.S. dollars, and if the U.S. dollar experiences significant appreciation relative to the currency of a specific country, the prices of our products will rise in such country and our products may be less competitive. In addition, we cannot be sure that our international customers will continue to be willing to place orders denominated in U.S. dollars. If they do not, our revenues and results of operations will be subject to foreign exchange fluctuations, which could harm our business. We do not hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks.

Furthermore, we purchase a majority of the Flash and DRAM components used in our products from foreign suppliers. Although our purchases of Flash and DRAM components are currently denominated in U.S. dollars, a devaluation of the U.S. dollar relative to the currency of a foreign supplier would likely result in an increase in our cost of Flash and DRAM components.

Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected, which could harm our competitive position.

Our intellectual property is critical to our success. As of December 31, 2011, we owned 37 patents and 60 additional patent applications were pending. Although we protect our intellectual property rights through patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures and employee disclosure and invention assignment agreements, it is possible that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights may not:

Prevent the challenge, invalidation or circumvention of our existing patents;

Result in patents that lead to commercially viable products or provide competitive advantages for our products;

Prevent our competitors from independently developing similar products, duplicating our products or designing around the patents owned by us;

Prevent third-party patents from having an adverse effect on our ability to do business;

Provide adequate protection for our intellectual property rights;

Prevent disputes with third parties regarding ownership of our intellectual property rights;

Result in patents from any of our pending applications.

In addition, despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights and confidential information, third parties could copy or otherwise obtain and make unauthorized use of our technologies or independently develop similar technologies. Furthermore, if any of our patents are challenged and found to be invalid, our ability to exclude competitors from making, using or selling the same or similar products related to such patents would cease. From time to time, we receive letters from third parties suggesting that some or all of our products may be covered by third party patents. In each instance, our management determines whether the letters have sufficient justification and specificity to require a response. When they believe it is appropriate to do so, our management seeks the advice of counsel on these matters.

Prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and know-how to third parties or into the public domain; and

We have, on at least one occasion, applied for and may in the future apply for patent protection in foreign countries. The laws of foreign countries, however, may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. Many U.S. companies have encountered substantial infringement problems in foreign countries. Because we sell some of our products overseas, we have exposure to foreign intellectual property risks.

Our indemnification obligations for products that infringe the intellectual property rights of others could require us to pay substantial damages.

We currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by our products and services of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity

varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys fees. We may from time to time be engaged in litigation as a result of such indemnification obligations. Our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is generally unlimited. We may periodically have to respond to claims and litigate these types of indemnification obligations. Any such indemnification claims could require us to pay substantial damages that may result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### Our indemnification obligations to our customers and suppliers for product defects could require us to pay substantial damages.

A number of our product sales and product purchase agreements provide that we will defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from product warranty claims or claims for injury or damage resulting from defects in our products. We maintain insurance to protect against certain claims associated with the use of our products, but our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all or any part of the claims asserted against us. A successful claim brought against us that is in excess of, or excluded from, our insurance coverage could substantially harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

## Global economic conditions and the global financial crisis may have an impact on our business and financial condition in ways that we currently cannot predict.

As a result of the prolonged downturn in global economic activity, spending on information technology has deteriorated significantly in the U.S. and many other countries may remain depressed for the foreseeable future. Uncertainty in the financial and credit markets have caused many of our customers to postpone or cancel purchases. These worldwide economic conditions make it extremely difficult for our customers, our vendors and us to accurately forecast and plan future business activities, and they could cause our customers to further reduce or slow spending on our products, which would delay and lengthen sales cycles. Furthermore, during challenging economic times our customers may face issues gaining timely access to sufficient credit, which could result in an impairment of their ability to make timely payments to us. If that were to occur, we may experience increased collection times or write-offs, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and cash flow. Similarly, our vendors may also face issues gaining timely access to sufficient credit, which could result in an impairment of their ability to supply us with components that are needed in the manufacture of our products. If that were to occur, we may experience delays in our production and increased costs associated with our qualification of additional new vendors and replacement of their components, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and cash flow. Finally, our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted, which could have an impact on our flexibility to pursue additional expansion opportunities and maintain our desired level of revenue growth in the future. These and other economic factors could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services and on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

# Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could cause our investors to lose confidence and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires that we maintain internal control over financial reporting that meets applicable standards. We may err in the design or operation of our controls, and all internal control systems, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, there can be no absolute assurance that all control issues have been or will be detected. In addition, a failure to maintain such controls could result in misstatements in our financial statements. If we are unable, or are perceived as unable, to produce reliable financial reports due to internal control deficiencies, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information and operating results, which could result in a negative market reaction.

#### Failure to comply with governmental laws and regulations could harm our business.

Our business is subject to regulation by various U.S. federal, state, local and foreign governmental authorities and agencies. Such regulation includes employment and labor laws, workplace safety, product safety, environmental laws, consumer protection laws, import/export controls, federal securities laws and tax.

As a global company, we are subject to varied and complex laws, regulations and customs domestically and internationally. These laws and regulations relate to a number of aspects of our business, including trade protection, import and export control, data and transaction processing security, records management, gift policies, employment and labor relations laws, workplace safety, product safety, environmental laws, federal securities laws, tax regulations, and other regulatory requirements affecting our foreign operations. The application of these laws and regulations to our business is often unclear and may at times conflict. Compliance with these laws and regulations may involve significant costs or require changes in our business practices that result in reduced revenue and profitability. Non-compliance could also result in fines, damages, criminal sanctions against us, our officers, or our employees, prohibitions on the conduct of our business, and damage to our reputation. We incur additional legal compliance costs associated with our global operations and could become subject to legal penalties in foreign countries if we do not comply with local laws and regulations, which may be substantially different from those in the U.S. In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it may be common to engage in business practices that are prohibited by U.S. regulations applicable to us, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Although we implement policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance with these laws, there can be no assurance that all of our employees, contractors and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain aspects of our business operations, including those based in foreign countries where practices which violate such U.S. laws may be customary, will not take actions in violation of our internal policies.

Noncompliance with applicable regulations or requirements could subject us to investigations, sanctions, mandatory product recalls, enforcement actions, disgorgement of profits, fines, damages, civil and criminal penalties, or injunctions. These actions could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If any governmental sanctions or fines are imposed, or if we do not prevail in any possible civil or criminal litigation, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. In addition, responding to any action will likely result in a significant diversion of management s attention and resources and an increase in professional fees.

In addition, from time to time, we have received, and expect to continue to receive, complaints from former employees who threaten to bring claims against us alleging that we have violated one or more labor and employment regulations. In certain of these instances, the former employees have brought formal claims against us and we expect that we will encounter similar actions against us in the future. An adverse outcome in any such litigation could require us to pay contractual damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys fees and costs.

#### Compliance with evolving environmental regulations and standards could harm our business.

We may be required to meet and adjust to evolving environmental requirements relating to the material composition of our products. As environmental requirements change, substituting particular components with conforming components to meet new environmental standards may prove to be difficult or costly, and additional redesign efforts could result in production delays. Our operations may be affected by significant changes to existing or future environmental laws and regulations, including those imposed in response to climate change concerns and other actions commonly referred to as green initiatives. Although we cannot predict the ultimate impact of any such new laws and regulations, they may result in additional costs or decreased revenue, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### We may have exposure to greater than anticipated tax liabilities.

We operate in different countries throughout the world and are subject to taxation in a variety of jurisdictions. Our future income taxes could be adversely affected if earnings are higher than anticipated in

jurisdictions where we have higher statutory tax rates, by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, or changes in tax laws, regulations, accounting principles, or interpretations thereof. For example, recent proposals for changes in U.S. tax laws that may be considered or adopted in the future could subject us to higher taxes or result in changes to tax law provisions that currently provide favorable tax treatment.

Further, as an incentive to establish operations in Malaysia, we were provided a tax holiday effective through September 30, 2027. The eventual expiration or an early revocation of our tax holiday in Malaysia, if certain conditions are not met, may result in an increase to our future tax liabilities.

We are also subject to regular review and audit by both domestic and foreign tax authorities. Any adverse outcome of such a review or audit could negatively impact our operating results and financial condition. The determination of our global provision for income taxes and other tax liabilities requires significant judgment and in the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Additionally, our calculations of income taxes are based on our interpretations of applicable tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we file. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the ultimate tax outcome may differ from the amounts recorded in our financial statements and may materially affect our financial results in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

Two of our largest shareholders are executives and directors of our company and their interests may diverge from other shareholders.

Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are brothers who (along with a third brother Mike Moshayedi) founded our company. They have owned a substantial amount of shares since our inception. As of December 31, 2011, Manouch and Mark Moshayedi beneficially owned approximately 17% of our outstanding common stock. As shareholders, Manouch and Mark Moshayedi may have interests that diverge from those of other holders of our common stock. In addition, Manouch and Mark Moshayedi are executive officers and directors. As a result, they have the potential ability to control or influence all matters requiring approval by our shareholders, including approval of significant corporate transactions and the decision of whether a change in control will occur. This potential control could affect the price that certain investors may be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Certain provisions in our charter documents and stock option plan could prevent or delay a change in control and, as a result, negatively impact our shareholders.

We have taken a number of actions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover attempt. For example, provisions in our articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. These provisions also could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

These provisions include:

Limitations on how special meetings of shareholders can be called;

Advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by shareholders at shareholder meetings;

Elimination of cumulative voting in the election of directors;

The right of a majority of directors in office to fill vacancies on the board of directors; and

The ability of our board of directors to issue, without shareholder approval, blank check preferred stock to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt.

In addition, provisions of our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan and 2010 Incentive Award Plan allow for the automatic vesting of all outstanding equity awards granted under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan and 2010

Incentive Award Plan upon a change in control under certain circumstances. Such provisions may also have the effect of discouraging a third party from acquiring us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We occupy two leased facilities of approximately 24,500 and 48,600 square feet in Santa Ana, California, which serve as our corporate headquarters. In addition to our executive offices, these facilities also contain engineering and sales and marketing staff. We lease these facilities from MDC Land LLC, a limited liability company owned by Manouch Moshayedi, Mark Moshayedi and Mike Moshayedi, each of whom is a founder of STEC. In addition, Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are each an executive officer, director and major shareholder of STEC.

The base rents for the 24,500 and 48,600 square foot facilities were approximately \$21,000 and \$35,000 per month, respectively, during 2011. These leases expire in July 2017 and for the remainder of both of the lease terms, base rent shall be adjusted every two years based on the change in the Consumer Price Index.

We own a 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Penang, Malaysia that serves as a major hub for our international operational activities including manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing, procurement, and logistics.

We leased facilities of approximately 10,000 square feet in Pune, India, which serves as our new Storage Technology Innovation Center for storage software development and for next-generation solutions incubation from early proof of concept through product development and productization.

We also lease a number of small facilities in both foreign and domestic locations for our additional sales, research and development staff and for storage. We believe that our existing leased space is adequate for our current operations and that suitable replacement and additional space will be available in the future on commercially reasonable terms.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information set forth under Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

#### PART II.

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

#### **Market Information**

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol STEC. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market for our common stock for the periods indicated.

	Price ra Commo	0
	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2011:		
First Quarter	\$ 24.16	\$ 17.68
Second Quarter	\$ 21.70	\$ 14.53
Third Quarter	\$ 18.15	\$ 8.49
Fourth Quarter	\$ 11.72	\$ 8.59
Year Ended December 31, 2010:		
First Quarter	\$ 20.45	\$ 9.47
Second Quarter	\$ 16.35	\$ 10.63
Third Quarter	\$ 16.25	\$ 10.95
Fourth Quarter	\$ 18.46	\$ 12.01

#### **Recent Share Prices**

The following table sets forth the closing sales prices per share of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on December 30, 2011, the last trading day in 2011, and February 14, 2012. Because the market price of our common stock is subject to fluctuation, the market value of the shares of our common stock may increase or decrease.

	Closing
	Price
December 30, 2011	\$ 8.59
February 14, 2012	\$ 10.20

### Holders

As of February 14, 2012, there were 24 holders of record of our common stock.

### **Dividend Policy**

Since becoming a public company, we have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not expect to do so in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain all available funds for use in the operation and expansion of our business. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend principally upon our results of operations, financial conditions, capital requirements, contractual and legal restrictions and other factors our board of directors deems relevant.

#### Stock Performance Graph

The following graph shows a comparison of cumulative total shareholder return, calculated on a dividend reinvested basis, for us, the Nasdaq Composite Index and the Standard & Poor s Semiconductors Index, assuming an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2006. No cash dividends have been declared on our common stock. The graph covers the period from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2011. The comparisons in the graph below are based upon historical data and are not indicative of, nor intended to forecast, the future performance of our common stock.

### **COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN\***

Among STEC, Inc., the NASDAQ Composite Index,

#### and the S&P Semiconductors Index

\*\$100 invested on 12/31/06 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends.

Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright© 2012 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of our previous filings made under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that might incorporate future filings made by us under those statutes, the preceding Stock Performance Graph is not to be incorporated by reference into any such prior filings, nor shall such graph be incorporated by reference into any future filings made by us under those statutes.

#### Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The equity compensation plan information required by this Item is set forth in Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management And Related Shareholder Matters of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the three months ended December 31, 2011, we had one outstanding stock repurchase program. The following is a summary of our common stock repurchased and average price paid per share for the three months ended December 31, 2011:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased		ge Price d per	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced	Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet be Purchased Under the
Period	(3)	Sh	ıare	Programs (1) (2)	Programs
As of September 30, 2011	4,465,774	\$	9.79	4,465,774	
October 1 through October 31, 2011	1,144,837	\$	9.95	1,144,837	
November 1 through November 30,					
2011		\$			
December 1 through December 31, 2011		\$			
Total	5,610,611	\$	9.82	5,610,611	\$

- (1) On August 4, 2011, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to \$15 million of our common stock from August 9, 2011 through August 31, 2011.
- (2) On August 29, 2011, our board of directors approved an additional share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to \$40 million of our common stock effective from September 15, 2011 through March 30, 2012.
- (3) Repurchases under our share repurchase programs were made in open market or through privately negotiated transactions in compliance with Rule 10b-18 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All shares were purchased pursuant to the share repurchase programs described in footnotes 1 and 2.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

You should read the following selected consolidated financial data in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes set forth in Part IV, Item 15, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, and in Part II, Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statements of income data for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by our independent registered public accounting firm, and are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The consolidated statements of income data for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and are not included in this Form 10-K. On February 9, 2007, we entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement ( Purchase Agreement ) with Fabrik, Inc. ( Fabrik ) and Fabrik Acquisition Corp. (together with Fabrik, the Purchasers ) for the sale of assets relating to a portion of our business which was engaged in the designing, final assembling, selling, marketing and distributing consumer-oriented products based on Flash memory, DRAM technologies and external storage solutions known as the Consumer Division. The selected financial data of all periods presented have been reclassified to reflect the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the Consumer Division as a discontinued operation.

	20	011	Year Ended December 31, 1 2010 2009 2008 (in thousands, except per share amounts)					2007	
Consolidated Statements of Income Data:									
Net revenues	\$ 30	8,059	\$ 280,1	49 \$	354,183	\$	227,445	\$ 1	188,652
Cost of revenues	17	3,852	158,4	30	185,236		156,358	1	131,643
Gross profit	13	4,207	121,7	19	168,947		71,087		57,009
Sales and marketing	2	3,790	19,3	96	20,352		19,045		17,382
General and administrative	3	0,563	28,6	23	28,543		25,476		17,909
Research and development	5	4,656	44,1	48	27,481		21,081		14,971
Special charges			9	90	3,408				
Total operating expenses	10	9,009	93,1	57	79,784		65,602		50,262
Operating income	2	5,198	28,5	62	89,163		5,485		6,747
Other income	_	107	2,5		601		1,380		3,786
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes  Provision for income taxes		5,305 (205)	31,1 (2,4 28,7	40)	89,764 (18,221) 71,543		6,865 (2,714) 4,151		10,533 (4,723) 5,810
Income from continuing operations	2	3,100	20,7	01	71,343		4,131		3,810
(Loss) income from discontinued operations before benefit									
(provision) for income taxes				61)	1,838		238		7,094
Benefit (provision) for income taxes				98	(768)		(97)		(2,890)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations			(1	63)	1,070		141		4,204
Net income	\$ 2	5,100	\$ 28,5	38 \$	72,613	\$	4,292	\$	10,014
Net income (loss) per share:									
Basic:									
Continuing operations	\$	0.50	\$ 0.	57 \$	1.45	\$	0.09	\$	0.12
Discontinued operations			(0.	01)	0.02				0.08
Total	\$	0.50	\$ 0.	56 \$	1.47	\$	0.09	\$	0.20

Diluted:

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Continuing operations Discontinued operations	\$	0.50	\$ 0.56 (0.01)	\$ 1.41 0.02	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.11 0.08
Total	\$	0.50	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.43	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.19
Shares used in per share computation:						
Basic	4	49,847	50,699	49,350	49,956	49,843
Diluted	:	50,652	51,432	50,896	51,132	51,588

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

	2011	2010	December 31, 2009 (in thousands)	2008	2007
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 180,853	\$ 170,457	\$ 135,658	\$ 33,379	\$ 94,326
Short-term investments			10,000		
Working capital	257,778	272,630	225,629	126,618	141,149
Total assets	336,582	365,654	325,562	206,429	210,486
Total shareholders equity	310,353	322,174	278,595	181,563	185,545

Special charges consist of restructuring charges and (gains) losses on assets held for sale.

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes to such consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K beginning on page F-1. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and actual results could differ materially from those discussed herein. Factors that could cause or contribute to the differences are discussed in Item 1A, Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our actual results could differ materially from those predicted in these forward-looking statements, and the events anticipated in the forward-looking statements may not actually occur. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this Form 10-K to conform these statements to actual results or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, unless required by law.

#### Overview

We are a leading global provider of enterprise-class SSDs that are designed specifically for systems and applications that require high IO capabilities with low latencies for fast access to critical user data.

We design and develop our own SSD controllers, enhance them with proprietary firmware and integrate them with NAND flash media to manufacture high-performance SSDs, which provide a level of IO performance not currently possible with traditional HDDs. We sell our SSDs to leading global enterprise hardware OEMs which incorporate them into products used in a variety of industries including cloud computing, defense, e-commerce, financial services, government, healthcare, transportation, virtualization, and Web 2.0. We also manufacture small form factor Flash-based SSDs, cards and modules, as well as custom high-density DRAM modules for networking, communications and embedded industrial applications. In addition, we are continuing to invest in personnel and complementary new technologies that will help us solve our customers most complex storage and server problems. This means developing solutions that will ultimately help our customers to accelerate applications, retrieve data faster, and run more efficiently. We are headquartered in Santa Ana, California and have operations in Penang, Malaysia. We also have sales and engineering offices located in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

We market our products primarily to OEMs and OEM distributors, leveraging our custom design capabilities to offer storage and server solutions to address their specific needs.

We are focusing on certain revenue growth initiatives, including:

Continuing to develop and qualify customized high-performance, high-endurance SSDs, including our ZeusIOPS® and MACH product families;

Expanding our product portfolio to include software offerings that strategically utilize our solid-state storage technology;

Adding new PCIe-based SSD products; and

Exploring new market opportunities that leverage our core SSD expertise.

Over the past several years, we have expanded our custom design capabilities of Flash-based products for OEM applications. We have invested significantly in the design and development of customized SSD controllers, firmware and hardware. Strategic acquisitions have also enabled us to improve our SSD controller design capabilities, expand our product offerings, including software solutions, add intellectual property to our technology portfolio, and enhance our capabilities to use third-party controllers.

We have experienced volatility in our quarterly operating results and we expect this trend to continue for several reasons. The majority of our sales are currently being generated from one product line (ZeusIOPS®) and a significant amount of our revenues are concentrated within a small number of customers. A loss or reduction of sales to any one of our major customers or a decrease in demand for our ZeusIOPS® products, may negatively impact our revenues on a quarterly basis. In addition, the introduction of new and enhanced versions of our products may shorten the life cycle of our existing products, or replace sales of some of our current products, thereby offsetting the benefit of a successful product introduction, and may cause customers to defer purchasing our existing products in anticipation of the new and enhanced versions. Conversely, customers may accelerate purchases of existing products and defer purchases of new life cycle products due to the cost and lead times involved in the qualification of new products. Moreover, substantially all of our sales are transacted through individual customer purchase orders as opposed to long-term contractual commitments. Each customer purchase order is unique and stands on its own and there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional purchase orders under the same terms and dollar commitment levels with these customers in future quarters. As a result, it is difficult to forecast our customers demand as purchases in one period may not be indicative of purchases in future periods. Also, the enterprise SSD market is relatively new and rapidly evolving with several competitors entering it recently. Many of these competitors are large, conglomerate businesses with considerable resources. Currently, we are facing competition from SSD suppliers with lower-cost, lower performance SATA-based drives with a connectivity bridge to a SAS or FC interface. Despite the performance and latency advantages of our ZeusIOPS® drives, these lower cost alternatives have been gaining traction, which has resulted in a decrease of our market share at certain customers. Furthermore, competitive SAS and/or FC based SSDs have already been qualified at certain of our customers, which has lead to a reduction in orders of our ZeusIOPS® SSDs. In order to differentiate ourselves and our products in the market, we are going to continue to invest in personnel and complementary new technologies that will help us solve our customers most complex storage and server problems. Until we further diversify our customer base and product offerings, we believe that these factors will continue to impact quarterly operating results volatility and our business for the foreseeable future. A significant reduction in our market share as a result of SSD competition could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Flash-based product revenue was \$294.6 million, \$237.4 million and \$308.2 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in Flash-based product revenues from 2010 to 2011 was due primarily to a \$91.4 million increase in Flash-based product sales to two customers, partially offset by a \$25.0 million decrease in Flash-based product sales to one customer. The decrease in Flash-based product revenues from 2009 to 2010 was due primarily to a \$69.9 million decrease in Flash-based product sales to two customers. Sales of Flash-based products represented 96%, 85% and 87% of our total revenues in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

A significant development in enterprise SSDs is the use of MLC Flash, which is more cost-effective than SLC Flash. Incorporating MLC Flash into SSDs is an increasingly important factor driving adoption of SSDs within the enterprise market given the growing need for cost-effective, high-performance enterprise solutions. Our MLC-based SSDs are enhanced by our proprietary technologies, including our CellCare Technology which increases endurance of MLC Flash to meet enterprise life requirement levels and our Secure Array of Flash Elements (S.A.F.E.) Technology, which provides added data reliability. Our MLC-based SSDs also use our proprietary SSD ASIC controller technology to achieve fast write speeds and improved performance over the entire life of the drive.

A major area of our overall research and development investment has been applied to developing and advancing our SSD technologies. We believe the advantages of SSDs are currently being defined in several distinct markets, including: a) enterprise-storage applications, b) enterprise-server applications, c) data center and cloud computing environments, and d) government and defense applications. We see opportunities to leverage our SSD expertise across each of these markets where we believe our technology can outperform existing HDD solutions.

In April 2011, we acquired certain assets of Knowledge Quest Infotech Private Limited ( KQI ), a software development company based in Pune, India. The portfolio of acquired assets includes KQI s intellectual property

rights. In addition, we hired approximately 30 key employees of KQI to augment our existing software development team. The acquisition of certain assets of KQI was not material to our historical consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. This acquisition provides us with additional system design, storage software and virtualization expertise that will be helpful in our efforts to optimize the performance of our products in evolving storage architectures. We expect to continue to make strategic investments to enhance and expand our product and intellectual property portfolio.

Although the enterprise Flash-based SSD market is relatively new, evolving and difficult to predict, we are encouraged by the variety of applications that our SSDs are able to support. As more of our customers and end-users experience the benefits of SSD technology, we believe that adoption will continue to expand. The increased use of data-tiering software by storage OEMs is also helping to increase SSD adoption as the combination of data-tiering software and SSDs enhance the overall performance level of enterprise-storage systems. In addition, the introduction of all flash arrays by both leading and emerging storage OEMs is also accelerating the adoption of flash in the enterprise. At the same time, the lower costs of SSDs and the development of caching software is driving adoption in the server space. Also, we employ certain marketing programs and sales initiatives on a selective basis with our customers in an effort to further help accelerate the awareness and adoption of our SSD products.

We also offer both monolithic DRAM modules and DRAM modules based on our proprietary stacking technology. We derived \$10.2 million, \$42.5 million, and \$38.8 million in revenues from the sale of DRAM products during 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Sales of DRAM products represented 3%, 15%, and 11% of our total revenues in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The decrease in sales of DRAM products from 2010 to 2011 was due primarily to our focus on growing SSD-based product sales which resulted in a change in the composition of our product mix in 2011, reflecting a greater percentage of SSD revenues and a decrease in DRAM revenues. The increase in sales of DRAM products from 2009 to 2010 was due primarily to an increase in product sales to a single customer. Since our revenue growth initiatives are focused on sales of our SSDs and sales of our DRAM products are made to a limited number of customers, we expect this variability to continue in the future.

Historically, a limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenues. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 89.5% of our revenues in 2011, compared to 88.0% of our revenues in 2010, and 86.9% of our revenues in 2009. With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer relationships.

We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a majority of our revenues in the foreseeable future and believe that our financial results will depend in part upon the success of our customers. The composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our products changes, and we expect this variability will continue in the future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by, any of our major customers would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Item 1A, Risk Factors Historically, sales to a limited number of customers, particularly EMC Corporation, have comprised a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of, or significant reduction in purchases by, any key customer could materially impact our financial results.

During March 2011, an earthquake and tsunami in northeastern Japan caused considerable damage to the country s infrastructure. While we have not sustained significant disruptions in our ability to procure components for our products due to these events in Japan, some of our customers experienced direct or indirect disruptions in their supply chains which affected their ability to manufacture and sell their products to their end-user customers. As a result, some customers were unable to obtain a sufficient supply of components required for their end products and canceled orders for our products, which negatively impacted our operating results. In October 2011, Thailand s infrastructure was severely damaged by flooding. The flooding has not had an immediate impact on our business because we do not have physical operations in the country and its does not appear that the flooding has negatively impacted our customers or their supply chains. We are monitoring recovery efforts in the country

and are currently evaluating any lasting or residual impact that the flooding may have on our business. See Item 1A, Risk Factors Business interruptions could substantially harm our business.

Sales, which are derived from billings to customers, by geographic region are presented as a percentage of total revenues as follows:

	Year 1	Year Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009			
United States	32.0%	40.9%	49.8%			
Singapore	43.6%	22.9%	*			
Czech Republic	12.6%	12.2%	16.1%			
Malaysia	*	10.9%	12.0%			
Other	11.8%	13.1%	22.1%			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

#### \* Less than 10%

Sales billed to customers in the United States decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 and decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 due primarily to a decrease in product sales to a single customer. Sales billed to customers in Singapore increased in 2011 compared to 2010 and increased in 2010 compared to 2009 due primarily to an increase in product sales to two customers. Sales billed to customers in the Czech Republic increased in 2011 compared to 2010 due primarily to an increase in product sales to a single customer and decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 due primarily to a decrease in product sales to a single customer. Sales billed to customers in Malaysia decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 due primarily to a decrease in product sales to a single customer. Sales billed to customers in Malaysia decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 due primarily to a decrease in product sales to a single customer, partially offset by an increase in product sales to another single customer.

Substantially all of our foreign sales are shipped internationally through our facility in Malaysia. For additional information regarding our international sales, see Item 1A, Risk Factors We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

We generally expect to experience some seasonality in our business resulting in lower sales in the first quarter and higher sales in the fourth quarter of each year due to corporate customers seeking to spend their full capital budgets before the end of each year. Due to the volatility of our business, concentration of our customers and limited adoption of SSDs in our markets, seasonality may not always be a determining factor for our results of operations. For example, during the fourth quarter of 2011, we did not experience a seasonal increase in sales. We believe the decline in sales in the fourth quarter of 2011 was due to our continued transition to the next-generation of our ZeusIOPS® and MACH16 SSDs and gradual customer absorption of those products, rather than seasonality.

#### Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain consolidated statements of income data reflected as a percentage of revenues.

	2011	2010	2009
Net revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of revenues	56.4	56.6	52.3
Gross profit	43.6	43.4	47.7
Operating expenses:			
Sales and marketing	7.7	6.9	5.7
General and administrative	9.9	10.2	8.1
Research and development	17.8	15.8	7.8
Special charges	0.0	0.3	1.0
Total operating expenses	35.4	33.2	22.6
Operating income	8.2	10.2	25.1
Other income	0.0	0.9	0.2
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	8.2	11.1	25.3

#### Comparison of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

*Net Revenues.* Our revenues increased 10% from \$280.1 million in 2010 to \$308.1 million in 2011 due primarily to a \$57.1 million, or 24%, increase in Flash-based product sales, partially offset by a \$32.2 million, or 76%, decrease in sales of DRAM products. Within Flash-based product sales, shipments of our Zeus IOPS® SSDs into the enterprise market increased 32% from \$179.1 million in 2010 to \$235.8 million in 2011.

Our reported revenues are net of reserves for price protection, sales returns and sales and marketing incentives. With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer relationships. Some customers may have the ability to change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. In the absence of a non-cancellable customer supply agreement, our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues come from orders that are received just prior to or within the same quarter. In addition, our SSDs are currently offered as options in our customers—systems. Therefore, the demand for these SSDs is unpredictable and fully dependent on end-user requirements. Unless and until our SSDs are offered as a standard feature in our customers—systems, our demand visibility will continue to be limited. Our backlog of sales orders waiting to be filled was \$28.5 million as of December 31, 2011 and \$48.9 million as of December 31, 2010. Our backlog has decreased due primarily to a decrease in customer orders for our SSD products.

*Gross Profit*. Our gross profit increased 10% from \$121.7 million in 2010 to \$134.2 million in 2011. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues increased from 43.4% in 2010 to 43.6% in 2011. The increase in gross profit in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenue was due primarily to an increase in sales of higher margin Flash-based products and a \$1.0 million decrease in write-downs of our inventory related to obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below our costs.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses are primarily comprised of payroll and payroll-related costs for our domestic and international sales and marketing employees and expenses for tradeshows. Sales and marketing expenses increased 23% from \$19.4 million in 2010 to \$23.8 million in 2011. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 6.9% in 2010 to 7.7% in 2011. The increase in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$1.6 million increase in commissions as the result of higher revenues and an increase in commission rates and a \$2.0 million increase in payroll and payroll-related costs due to an increase in employee headcount and stock-based compensation. We expect our sales and marketing expenses to continue to increase as we expand our customer base.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our executive and administrative employees, professional fees and facilities overhead. General and administrative expenses increased from \$28.6 million in 2010 to \$30.6 million in 2011. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased from 10.2% in 2010 to 9.9% in 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$2.9 million increase in payroll and payroll-related costs due to an increase in employee headcount and stock-based compensation, partially offset by a \$1.2 million decrease in bonuses. The decrease in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of certain general and administrative costs and the increase in revenues.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our engineering executives and staff, product design consulting fees and material costs related to new product designs. Research and development expenses increased 24% from \$44.1 million in 2010 to \$54.7 million in 2011. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 15.8% in 2010 to 17.8% in 2011. The increase in research and development expenses in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to a \$7.0 million increase in payroll and payroll-related costs from an increase in research and development employee headcount from 268 as of December 31, 2010 to 384 as of December 31, 2011, as the result of our expanding global research and development efforts and a \$1.6 million increase in new product development expenses that were predominantly related to our Flash-based product line. We expect our research and development expenses to increase as we continue to expand our product portfolio.

Special Charges. Special charges, in connection with the first and second phase of the reduction in our workforce, consisted of approximately \$1.1 million in employee severance and termination benefits and approximately \$113,000 related to a gain on the sale of previously impaired assets in 2010. There were no special charges during 2011.

During the first quarter of 2009, we commenced the first phase of a reduction of our workforce primarily at our Santa Ana, California headquarters as part of the transition of certain of our operations to our facility in Penang, Malaysia. During the second quarter of 2010, we commenced the second phase of a reduction in our workforce, which also primarily impacted our Santa Ana, California headquarters. The first and second phases of the reduction in our workforce were completed as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Other Income. Other income decreased from \$2.6 million in 2010 to \$107,000 in 2011. Other income is comprised primarily of receipts from a legal settlement, grant income received from the Malaysian government authority for qualified expenses and interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. This decrease in other income resulted primarily from a \$2.0 million cash settlement received from a customer during the fourth quarter of 2010 as the result of a contract termination. Government grant income was \$0 and \$754,000 in 2011 and 2010, respectively. Interest income increased from \$288,000 in 2010 to \$337,000 in 2011.

Provision for Income Taxes. Provision for income taxes was \$2.4 million in 2010 and \$205,000 in 2011. Provision for income taxes as a percentage of income before provision for income taxes decreased from 7.8% in 2010 compared to 0.8% in 2011. The decrease in the effective tax rate for 2011 from 2010 is due primarily to income tax benefits related to a domestic operating loss in 2011 compared to income tax expense related to domestic operating income in 2010 and a decrease in reserves on certain tax exposures as the result of finalizing an income tax audit during 2011. We operate under an income tax holiday in Malaysia, which is effective through September 30, 2027. The impact of the Malaysia Income Tax Holiday decreased our provision for income taxes by \$5.6 million, or \$0.11 per share, and \$4.9 million, or \$0.09 per share, in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During 2011, our gross unrecognized tax benefits remained unchanged as the increases to our gross unrecognized tax benefits were offset by the resolution of income tax audits and elimination of the associated reserves.

*Income from Continuing Operations*. Income from continuing operations decreased from \$28.7 million in 2010 to \$25.1 million in 2011. The decrease in income from continuing operations was due primarily to a \$15.9 million increase in operating expenses and a \$2.5 million decrease in other income, partially offset by a \$12.5 million increase in gross profit and a \$2.2 million decrease in the provision for income taxes in 2011.

*Income* (*Loss*) *from Discontinued Operations*. As a result of the sale of the assets of our Consumer Division on February 9, 2007, the Consumer Division is reflected as discontinued operations. Loss from discontinued operations was \$163,000 in 2010 due primarily to a legal settlement related to the resolution of disputes with the acquiring company of our Consumer Division over the final purchase price. There was no income or loss from discontinued operations in 2011.

#### Comparison of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

*Net Revenues.* Our revenues decreased 21% from \$354.2 million in 2009 to \$280.1 million in 2010 due primarily to a 23% decrease in Flash-based product sales and a \$6.9 million decrease in other revenues related to the sale of obsolete and excess inventory during 2009, partially offset by a \$3.7 million, or 9%, increase in sales of DRAM products. Within Flash-based product sales, shipments of our Zeus <sup>IOPS</sup> SSDs into the enterprise market decreased 18% from \$217.9 million in 2009 to \$179.1 million in 2010. An inventory carryover in early 2010 related to sales made to our largest customer during the second half of 2009 negatively impacted our Flash-based product revenues for the first half of 2010.

Our reported revenues are net of reserves for price protection, sales returns and sales and marketing incentives. With certain exceptions, sales of our products are generally made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the customer relationships. Some customers may have the ability to change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. In the absence of a non-cancellable customer supply agreement, our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues come from orders that are received just prior to or within the same quarter. In addition, our SSDs are currently offered as options in our customers—systems. Therefore, the demand for these SSDs is unpredictable and fully dependent on end-user requirements. Unless and until our SSDs are offered as a standard feature in our customers—systems, our demand visibility will continue to be limited. Our backlog of sales orders waiting to be filled was \$48.9 million as of December 31, 2010 and \$14.8 million as of December 31, 2009. Our backlog increased due primarily to an increase in customer orders for our SSD products.

*Gross Profit.* Our gross profit decreased 28% from \$168.9 million in 2009 to \$121.7 million in 2010. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues decreased from 47.7% in 2009 to 43.4% in 2010. The decrease in gross profit in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenue was due primarily to a \$70.8 million, or 23%, decrease in Flash-based product sales and decreased average selling prices of certain SSD products, partially offset by a \$9.9 million, or 8%, decrease in material costs of Flash components and a \$3.5 million decrease in write-downs of our inventory related to obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below our costs.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses are primarily comprised of payroll and payroll-related for our domestic and international sales and marketing employees and expenses for tradeshows. Sales and marketing expenses decreased 5% from \$20.4 million in 2009 to \$19.4 million in 2010. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 5.7% in 2009 to 6.9% in 2010. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$2.6 million decrease in commissions as the result of lower revenues during 2010 and the termination of certain outside manufacturing representative firm commission agreements in the first quarter of 2009, partially offset by a \$1.5 million increase in payroll and payroll-related costs due to an increase in employee headcount and stock-based compensation and a \$570,000 increase in trade show expenses. The increase in sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of certain sales costs such as certain payroll and payroll-related expenses, excluding sales commissions.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our executive and administrative employees, professional fees and facilities overhead. General and administrative expenses increased from \$28.5 million in 2009 to \$28.6 million in 2010. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 8.1% in 2009 to 10.2% in 2010. The increase in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to a \$1.9 million increase in payroll and payroll-related costs due to an increase in employee headcount primarily at our Malaysia facility and an increase in our stock-based compensation, partially offset by a \$1.4 million decrease in legal fees as a result of a prior period intellectual property litigation matter which began in the second quarter of 2008 and was settled in the first quarter of 2009 and a \$420,000 decrease in the loss on impairment of fixed assets. The increase in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of certain general and administrative costs such as payroll and payroll-related expenses and the increase in revenues. Charges related to the second phase of a reduction in our workforce, which primarily impacted our Santa Ana, California headquarters and was completed as of December 31, 2010, are discussed under Special Charges below

Research and Development. Research and development expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our engineering executives and staff, product design consulting fees and material costs related to new product designs. Research and development expenses increased 60% from \$27.5 million in 2009 to \$44.1 million in 2010. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 7.8% in 2009 to 15.8% in 2010. The increase in research and development expenses in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to a \$11.7 million increase in payroll and payroll-related costs from an increase in research and development employee headcount from 217 as of December 31, 2009 to 268 as of December 31, 2010, as the result of our expanding global research and development efforts and a \$2.5 million increase in new product development expenses that were predominantly related to our Flash-based product line, which includes the advancement of high-performance SSDs. We expect our research and development expenses to increase as we continue to focus on developing new and next generation Flash-based products.

Special Charges. Special charges, in connection with the first and second phase of the reduction in our workforce, consisted of approximately \$1.1 million in employee severance and termination benefits and approximately \$113,000 related to a gain on the sale of previously impaired assets in 2010. Special charges consisted of approximately \$1.8 million in employee severance and termination benefits and approximately \$1.6 million of loss on assets held for sale in 2009.

During the first quarter of 2009, we commenced a reduction of our workforce primarily at our Santa Ana, California headquarters as part of the transition of certain of our operations to our facility in Penang, Malaysia. During the second quarter of 2010, we commenced the second phase of a reduction in our workforce, which also primarily impacted our Santa Ana, California headquarters. The second phase of the reduction in our workforce was completed as of December 31, 2010. We did not incur significant additional restructuring costs related to this restructuring plan in 2011.

Other Income. Other income increased from \$601,000 in 2009 to \$2.6 million in 2010. Other income is comprised primarily of receipts from a legal settlement, government grant income received from the Malaysian government authority for qualified expenses and interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. This increase in other income resulted primarily from a \$2.0 million cash settlement received from a customer during the fourth quarter of 2010 as the result of a contract termination. Government grant income increased from \$560,000 in 2009 to \$754,000 in 2010. Interest income increased from \$110,000 in 2009 to \$288,000 in 2010.

Provision for Income Taxes. Provision for income taxes was \$18.2 million in 2009 and \$2.4 million in 2010. Provision for income taxes as a percentage of income before provision for income taxes decreased from 20.3% in 2009 compared to 7.8% in 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate for 2010 from 2009 is due primarily to a change in the revenue composition, which resulted in increased international sales and earnings in jurisdictions outside of the U.S. that were taxed at rates lower than U.S. federal statutory rates. We operate under a tax holiday

in Malaysia, which is effective through September 30, 2027. The impact of the Malaysia income tax holiday decreased our provision for income taxes by \$4.9 million, or \$0.09 per share, and \$3.2 million, or \$0.06 per share, in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

During 2010, our gross unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$1.9 million.

*Income from Continuing Operations*. Income from continuing operations decreased from \$71.5 million in 2009 to \$28.7 million in 2010. The decrease in income from continuing operations was due primarily to a \$47.2 million decrease in gross profit and a \$13.4 million increase in operating expenses, partially offset by a \$15.8 million decrease in the provision for income taxes and a \$2.0 million increase in other income in 2010.

(Loss) Income from Discontinued Operations. As a result of the sale of the assets of our Consumer Division on February 9, 2007, the Consumer Division is reflected as discontinued operations. Loss from discontinued operations was \$163,000 in 2010 due primarily to a legal settlement related to the resolution of disputes with the acquiring company of our Consumer Division over the final purchase price. Income from discontinued operations was \$1.1 million in 2009 due primarily to a cash settlement on a class action lawsuit received in 2009 from suppliers of DRAM to our former Consumer Division, partially offset by the write-off of amounts owed to us under the original purchase recorded as loss from discontinued operations in 2009.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working Capital, Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments

As of December 31, 2011, we had working capital of \$257.8 million, including \$180.9 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to working capital of \$272.6 million, including \$170.5 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2010, and compared to working capital of \$225.6 million, including \$145.7 million of cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments at December 31, 2009. Current assets were 13.2 times current liabilities at the end of 2011, compared to 7.9 times current liabilities at the end of 2010, and compared to 6.1 times current liabilities at the end of 2009.

As of December 31, 2011, of the \$180.9 million of aggregate cash and cash equivalents held by us, the amount of cash and cash equivalents held by our foreign subsidiaries was \$61.0 million. If these funds are needed for our operations in the U.S., we would be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. However, our intent is to reinvest these funds for the foreseeable future outside of the U.S. Further, our current liquidity position and business plan do not demonstrate a need to repatriate the foreign-held funds for our U.S. operations.

### Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$71.6 million in 2011 and resulted primarily from a \$30.3 million decrease in inventory, net income of \$25.1, a \$17.4 million decrease in accounts receivable, \$13.5 million of stock based compensation expense, and non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$13.1 million, partially offset by a \$19.6 million decrease in account payable. Inventory and accounts payable decreased due primarily to lower inventory purchases in 2011, compared to 2010. In 2010, we had increased purchases of raw materials under non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments in order to guarantee the availability of components for anticipated sales demand in 2010 and 2011. Accounts receivable, net of reserves, decreased due primarily to a decrease in revenues in the fourth quarter of 2011, compared to the fourth quarter of 2010. During 2011, we incurred approximately \$4.4 million of legal fees in excess of our insurance deductible under our director and officer insurance coverage. During 2011, our insurance carriers paid \$3.5 million of claims for legal fees incurred by us. Accordingly, we have recognized a liability, with a corresponding receivable that offsets legal expense, until the remainder of our claims are paid by our insurance carriers, subject to their reservation of rights.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$25.1 million in 2010 and resulted primarily from a \$30.5 million decrease in accounts receivable, net of reserves, net income of \$28.5 million, non-cash depreciation and

amortization of \$12.3 million, and \$9.2 million of stock-based compensation expense, partially offset by a \$46.2 million increase in inventory, a \$3.6 million decrease in accounts payable, a \$2.7 million benefit from non-cash deferred income taxes, and \$2.1 million of excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements. Accounts receivable, net of reserves, decreased due primarily to a decrease in sales at the end of the fourth quarter of 2010, compared to sales at the end of the fourth quarter of 2009. Inventory increased due primarily to an increase in purchases of raw materials under non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments and a decrease in our inventory turns in the fourth quarter of 2010, compared to the fourth quarter of 2009 as we prepared to meet anticipated sales demand in 2010 and 2011. Accounts payable decreased as a result of lower inventory purchases in the fourth quarter of 2010, compared to the fourth quarter of 2009. During 2010, we incurred approximately \$4.1 million of legal fees in excess of our insurance deductible under our director and officer insurance coverage. During 2010, our insurance carriers paid \$3.7 million of claims for legal fees incurred by us. Accordingly, we have recognized a liability, with a corresponding receivable that offsets legal expense, until the remainder of our claims are paid by our insurance carriers.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$99.8 million in 2009 and resulted primarily from net income of \$72.6 million, a \$21.2 million decrease in inventory, a \$14.5 million increase in accounts payable, a \$16.0 million increase in income taxes, non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$12.2 million, and \$5.1 million of stock-based compensation expense, partially offset by a \$34.9 million increase in accounts receivable, net of reserves and \$9.8 million of excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements. Inventory decreased due primarily to an increase in sales in 2009 compared to 2008. In 2008, we had increased our purchases of Flash inventory in anticipation of increased production volumes for SSD products based on customer forecast and orders related to new product launches set for 2009. Accounts payable increased due to the timing of payments and as a result of higher inventory purchases in the three months ended December 31, 2008. Accounts receivable, net of reserves, increased due primarily to an increase in sales in the fourth quarter of 2009, compared to the fourth quarter of 2008.

#### Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities was \$10.8 million in 2011 resulting primarily from \$10.6 million in purchases of property, plant and equipment related to production and engineering equipment at our Malaysia and U.S. facilities and tenant improvements made to our facility in the U.S.

As of December 31, 2011, we have made capital expenditures of approximately \$43 million for our Malaysia facility primarily related to building construction costs, acquisition of leasehold interest in land and purchases of production equipment. We estimate that total investments in land, facilities and capital equipment will be approximately \$42 million over the next five years ending December 31, 2016. We expect that the substantial majority of these estimated investments will relate to our Malaysia facility.

Net cash flows provided by investing activities was \$3.9 million in 2010 resulting primarily from a \$10.0 million net decrease in short-term investments, partially offset by \$6.4 million in purchases of property, plant and equipment primarily related to production and engineering equipment at our Malaysia and U.S. facilities.

Net cash flows used in investing activities was \$16.8 million in 2009 resulting primarily from a \$10.0 million net increase in short-term investments and \$7.4 million in purchases of property, plant and equipment primarily related to production equipment for manufacturing.

#### Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$50.4 million in 2011 resulting primarily from a \$55.1 million repurchase of our common stock under our share repurchase programs and \$1.2 million of taxes paid related to net-share settlement of equity awards, partially offset by \$3.1 million of proceeds realized from the exercise of stock options and \$2.8 million of excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements.

From time to time, our board of directors has authorized various programs to repurchase shares of our common stock depending on market conditions and other factors. In November 2009, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program effective November 10, 2009, authorizing us to repurchase up to \$75 million of our common stock over an 18-month period that expired on May 9, 2011. We did not make any share repurchases under this program during 2011.

On August 4, 2011, our board of directors approved a share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to \$15 million (the \$15 million Program ) of our common stock effective from August 9, 2011 through August 31, 2011. During 2011, we repurchased 1,546,700 shares of our common stock at an average price per share of \$9.72, including commissions, to complete the authorized repurchases under the \$15 million Program.

On August 29, 2011, our board of directors approved an additional share repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to \$40 million (the \$40 million Program ) of our common stock effective from September 15, 2011 through March 30, 2012. During 2011, we repurchased 4,063,911 shares of common stock at an average price per share of \$9.86, including commissions, to complete the authorized repurchases under the \$40 million Program.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$5.9 million in 2010 resulting primarily from \$3.8 million in proceeds realized from the exercise of stock options and \$2.1 million of excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$19.3 million in 2009 resulting primarily from \$9.5 million in proceeds realized from the exercise of stock options and \$9.8 million of excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements.

On November 23, 2009, our subsidiary, STEC Technology Sdn. Bhd. (STEC Malaysia) entered into a short-term credit facility (the Short-term Facility) with Deutsche Bank (Malaysia) Berhad. The agreement allows STEC Malaysia to borrow an aggregate principal amount of \$10 million in the form of letters of credit, trust receipts, bills acceptances/financing, banker s acceptances, and banker s and shipping guarantees which are commonly used to conduct business in Asia. Credit under the Short-term Facility will be available until notice of termination by either party. Borrowings under the Short-term Facility will bear interest at various rates with repayments due between 30 days and 14 months, depending on the form of borrowing. The Short-term Facility is guaranteed by STEC, Inc. and contains customary affirmative and negative covenants. As of December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$205,000 of banker s guarantees outstanding under the Short-term Facility and STEC Malaysia was in compliance with all required covenants. The Short-term Facility will be used to facilitate general business transactions and fund working capital requirements for STEC Malaysia on an as-needed basis.

We believe that our existing assets, cash and cash equivalents on hand, together with the \$10 million Short-term Facility, and cash that we expect to generate from our operations, will be sufficient to meet our capital needs for at least the next twelve months. However, it is possible that we may need or elect to raise additional cash to fund our activities beyond the next year to provide additional working capital if our revenues increase substantially, to expand our international operations or to consummate acquisitions of other businesses, products or technologies. We could raise such funds by accessing the capital or credit markets to issue equity or debt securities, or by borrowing money. In addition, even though we may not need additional funds, we may still elect to sell additional equity securities or obtain additional credit facilities for other reasons. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional funds on commercially favorable terms, or at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing more equity or new convertible debt securities, the ownership percentages of existing shareholders would be reduced. In addition, the equity or debt securities that we issue may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of our common stock.

We determine our future capital and operating requirements and liquidity based, in large part, upon our projected financial performance, and we regularly review and update these projections due to changes in general economic conditions, our current and projected operating and financial results, the competitive landscape and

other factors. Although we believe we have sufficient capital to fund our activities for at least the next twelve months, our future capital requirements may vary materially from those now planned. The amount of capital that we will need in the future will depend on many factors, including:

General economic and political conditions and specific conditions in the markets we address, including the continuing volatility in the technology sector and semiconductor industry, and fluctuation in the global economy; The inability of certain of our customers who depend on credit to have access to their traditional sources of credit to finance the purchase of products from us, which may lead them to reduce their level of purchases or to seek credit or other accommodations from us; Whether our revenues increase substantially; Our relationships with suppliers and customers; The market acceptance of our products; Expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries; Price discounts on our products to our customers; Our pursuit of strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments; Our business, product, capital expenditure and research and development plans and product and technology roadmaps; The levels of inventory and accounts receivable that we maintain; Our entrance into new markets; Capital improvements to new and existing facilities;

Our responses to competitive products.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Technological advances; and

Other than lease commitments incurred in the normal course of business (see Contractual Obligation table below), we do not have any material off-balance sheet financing arrangements or liabilities, guarantee contracts, retained or contingent interest in transferred assets, or any obligations arising out of a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity. We do not have any majority-owned subsidiaries that are not

included in the consolidated financial statements. Additionally, we do not have any interest in, or relationship with, any special purpose entities.

In the ordinary course of business, we may provide indemnifications of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, business partners and other parties with respect to certain matters, including, but not limited to, losses arising out of our breach of such agreements, services to be provided by us, or from intellectual property infringement claims made by third parties. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and certain of our officers that will require us, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. We maintain director and officer insurance, which may cover certain liabilities arising from our obligation to indemnify our directors and officers in certain circumstances. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Such indemnification agreements may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, we have not incurred material costs as a result of obligations under these agreements.

Set forth in the table below is our estimate of our significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

		Less than			More than
Contractual Obligation	Total	1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	5 years
Non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments	\$ 4,219	\$ 4,219	\$	\$	\$
Operating lease obligations	5,341	1,463	1,937	1,542	399
Other non-cancelable purchase commitments	917	917			
Non-cancelable capital equipment purchase commitments	2,026	2,026			
Total	\$ 12,503	\$ 8,625	\$ 1,937	\$ 1,542	\$ 399

As of December 31, 2011, we had a liability for unrecognized tax benefits, including interest and penalties of \$5.4 million. We are unable to determine when cash settlement with tax authorities may occur.

#### **Inflation**

Inflation was not a material factor in either revenue or operating expenses during the past three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

We have implemented all new accounting pronouncements that are in effect and that may impact our consolidated financial statements and we do not believe that there are any other new accounting pronouncements that have been issued that might have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include the accounts of STEC, Inc. and each of its subsidiaries. All accounts and transactions among STEC and its subsidiaries have been eliminated in consolidation. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses for each period. The following represents a summary of our critical accounting policies, defined as those policies that we believe are: (a) the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and (b) that require management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Revenue recognition. Pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 605-10-S99, SAB Topic 13, Revenue Recognition, we recognize revenue when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. A substantial portion of our product sales are on FOB shipping point terms where product title passes to our customer at the time it is shipped from our warehouse. Products sales on FOB destination terms are not recognized until delivered to the customer. We also maintain inventory, or hubbing, arrangements with certain of our customers. Pursuant to these arrangements, we deliver products to a customer or a designated third party warehouse based upon the customer s projected needs but do not recognize product revenue unless and until the customer has removed our product from the warehouse to incorporate into its end products.

In addition, during 2007 and 2008, we entered into value-add revenue agreements with two of our DRAM customers. Under the terms of the agreements, we are no longer the primary obligor, and our general inventory risk on DRAM chips used in the manufacture of memory modules for the customer has been eliminated. As a result, we record the related revenue on a value-add only basis, passing

through the cost of the DRAM chips and charging the customer only for the manufacturing and kitting services provided by us. This accounting method conforms to ASC 605-45, Principal Agent Considerations.

Write-down of inventory for excess, obsolescence and lower of market values over costs. We purchase raw materials in quantities that we anticipate will be fully used in the near term. Changes in operating strategy, customer demand and unpredictable fluctuations in market values of raw materials can limit our ability to effectively utilize all of the raw materials purchased and result in finished goods with above-market carrying costs which may cause losses on sales to customers. We regularly monitor potential excess or obsolete inventory by analyzing the length of time in stock and compare market values to cost. When necessary, we reduce the carrying amount of our inventory to its market value.

Allowances for doubtful accounts and price protection. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly and all past due balances are reviewed for collectibility. Additionally, we maintain allowances for limited price protection rights for inventories of our products held by our customers as a result of recent sales transactions to them. If we reduce the list price of our products, these customers may receive a credit from us. By monitoring our inventory levels with our customers, we estimate the impact of such pricing changes on a regular basis and adjust our allowances accordingly.

Goodwill and intangible assets. In accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other, goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer subject to amortization but are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Subsequent to the sale of the Consumer Division in 2007, we operate in one operating segment and have one reporting unit. We assess potential impairment on an annual basis on the last day of the year and compare the market capitalization of the reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. We completed our annual goodwill impairment analysis at December 31, 2011 and 2010 and determined that no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill was required. A significant decrease in our future stock price could indicate a material impairment of goodwill which, after further analysis, could result in a material charge to operations. If goodwill is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill. Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be subject to amortization, and any impairment is determined in accordance with ASC 360. We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of our intangible assets may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of ASC 360. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, we assess the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. Inherent in our fair value determinations are certain judgments and estimates, including projections of future cash flows, the discount rate reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, the interpretation of current economic indicators and market valuations, and strategic plans with regard to operations. A change in these underlying assumptions would cause a change in the results of the tests, which could cause the fair value of the reporting unit to be less than its respective carrying amount. There were no events or changes in circumstances that indicated potential impairment of intangible assets during 2011.

*Product returns*. While we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relationships with our customers. We provide for estimated future returns of inventory at the time of sale based on historical experience, and actual results have been within our expectations.

Sales and marketing incentives. Sales and marketing incentives are offset against revenues or charged to operations in accordance with ASC 605-50, Customer Payments and Incentives. During 2010, a customer elected to discontinue a sales incentive program that we implemented at the beginning of the

fourth quarter of 2009. As a result, we reversed the related sales incentive program liability and recognized additional revenue in the amount of \$1.4 million in 2010. Other sales and marketing incentives of \$61,000 were offset against revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010. Sales and marketing incentives, amounting to \$335,000 in 2011 and \$4.2 million in 2009, were offset against revenues

Consideration generally given by us to a customer is presumed to be a reduction of selling price, and therefore, a reduction of revenue. However, if we receive an identifiable benefit in return for the consideration given to our customer that is sufficiently separable from our sales to that customer, such that we could have paid an independent company to receive that benefit and we can reasonably estimate the fair value of that benefit, then the consideration is characterized as an expense. We estimate the fair value of the benefits we receive by tracking the advertising done by our customers on our behalf and calculating the value of that advertising using a comparable rate for similar publications.

Stock-based compensation expense. We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. Under the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair values of stock options. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the input of certain assumptions that require our judgment including the expected term and the expected stock price volatility of the underlying stock options. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock-based compensation represent management s best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change resulting in the use of different assumptions, stock-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future. In addition, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. If the actual forfeiture rate is materially different from our estimates, the stock-based compensation expense could be significantly different from what we recorded in the current period.

*Income taxes*. The provision for income taxes is determined in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, applying enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

We use a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with ASC 740. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more likely than not to be realized upon settlement. We will classify the liability for unrecognized tax benefits as current to the extent that we anticipate payment (or receipt) of cash within one year. We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the tax provision.

Litigation and other contingencies. We regularly evaluate our exposure to threatened or pending litigation and other business contingencies. Because of the uncertainties related to the amount of loss from litigation and other business contingencies, the recording of losses relating to such exposures requires significant judgment about the potential range of outcomes. As additional information about current or future litigation or other contingencies becomes available, we will assess whether such information warrants the recording of additional expense relating to our contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable. The results of these estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK Interest Rate Risk

At December 31, 2011, our cash and cash equivalents were \$180.9 million invested primarily in bank deposit accounts, money market accounts and money market funds. Our cash and cash equivalents are not subject to significant interest rate risk. As of December 31, 2011, the carrying value of our cash and cash equivalents approximated fair value. Our main investment objectives are the preservation of investment capital and the maximization of after-tax returns on our investment portfolio. Consequently, we invest in securities that meet high credit quality standards and we limit the amount of our credit exposure to any one issuer. We do not use derivative instruments for speculative or investment purposes.

At any time, fluctuations in interest rates could affect interest earnings on our cash and cash equivalents. We believe that the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near-term changes in interest rates on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows would not be material. Currently, we do not hedge these interest rate exposures.

In a declining interest rate environment, as short-term investments mature, reinvestment occurs at less favorable market rates. Given the short-term nature of certain investments, the current interest rate environment may negatively impact our investment income.

Global economic conditions have had widespread negative effects on the financial markets. Due to credit concerns and lack of liquidity in the short-term funding markets, we have shifted a larger percentage of our portfolio to high credit quality money market funds, which may negatively impact our investment income, particularly in the form of declining yields.

We are also exposed to interest rate risks due to the possibility of changing interest rates under the Short-term Facility described in Liquidity and Capital Resources. Loan draws under the Short-term Facility will bear interest at various rates depending on the type of borrowing. As of December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$205,000 of banker s guarantees drawn against the Short-term Facility.

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

More than 95% of our international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. Consequently, if the value of the U.S. dollar increases relative to a particular foreign currency, our products could become relatively more expensive. In addition, we purchase substantially all of our IC components in U.S. dollars from local distributors of Japanese, Korean and Taiwanese suppliers. To date, we have not entered into any derivative instruments to manage risks related to interest rate or foreign currency exchange rates.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

STEC, Inc. s consolidated financial statements and schedule required by this item are included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The supplementary data required by this item is included in Note 12 to STEC, Inc. s consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE None.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and, in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures.

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation as of December 31, 2011, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon their evaluation and subject to the foregoing, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

#### Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Our management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In making their evaluation, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework.

Based on this evaluation, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on the criteria set forth by COSO in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report that appears under Part IV, Item 15.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management determined that, as of December 31, 2011, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter then ended that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

#### PART III.

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item regarding our directors, executives and corporate governance will be set forth in our Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Proxy Statement) and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item regarding executive compensation will be set forth in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related shareholder matters will be set forth in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item regarding certain relationships and related transactions and director independence will be set forth in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item regarding principal accountant fees and services will be set forth in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### PART IV.

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents filed as part of this Report:

**1. Financial Statements.** The following financial statements of STEC, Inc. are included in a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on the pages referenced below:

STEC, Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	7-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010	7-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	7-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	7-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	7-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7-7

**2. Exhibits.** The exhibits listed on the accompanying index immediately following the signature page are filed as part of, or hereby incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## STEC, INC.

## INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
STEC, Inc., Consolidated Financial Statements	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

STEC, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of STEC, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Orange County, California February 28, 2012

## STEC, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31, 2011		Dec	cember 31, 2010
ASSETS:				
Current Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	180,853	\$	170,457
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$3,010 at December 31, 2011 and \$3,853 at December 31,				
2010		30,475		47,831
Inventory		58,629		88,968
Other current assets		8,967		4,606
Total current assets		278,924		311,862
Leasehold interest in land		2,549		2,596
Property, plant and equipment, net		34,287		35,037
Goodwill		1.682		1.682
Long-term intangible assets		6,185		5,173
Deferred income taxes		12,137		9,304
Other long-term assets		818		9,304
Other long-term assets		010		
Total assets	\$	336,582	\$	365,654
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:				
Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	6,837	\$	25,762
Accrued and other liabilities		14,309		13,470
Total current liabilities		21,146		39,232
				-,
Other long-term payables		5,083		4,248
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)				
Shareholders Equity:				
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 20,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding				
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000 shares authorized, 46,110 shares issued and outstanding as				
of December 31, 2011 and 51,046 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010		46		51
Additional paid-in capital		132,211		169,127
Retained earnings		178,096		152,996
Total shareholders equity		310,353		322,174
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$	336,582	\$	365,654

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

## STEC, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

				ed December 31, 2010 2009		
Net revenues	\$ :	308,059	\$ :	280,149	\$	354,183
Cost of revenues		173,852		158,430		185,236
Gross profit		134,207		121,719		168,947
Sales and marketing		23,790		19,396		20,352
General and administrative		30,563		28,623		28,543
Research and development		54,656		44,148		27,481
Special charges (Note 5)				990		3,408
Total operating expenses		109,009		93,157		79,784
Operating income		25,198		28,562		89,163
Other income		107		2,579		601
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes		25,305		31,141		89,764
Provision for income taxes		(205)		(2,440)		(18,221)
Income from continuing operations		25,100		28,701		71,543
Discontinued operations (Note 4):		,		,		,
(Loss) income from operations of Consumer Division				(261)		1,838
Benefit (provision) for income taxes				98		(768)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations				(163)		1,070
Net income	\$	25,100	\$	28,538	\$	72,613
Net income (loss) per share:						
Basic:						
Continuing operations	\$	0.50	\$	0.57	\$	1.45
Discontinued operations				(0.01)		0.02
Total	\$	0.50	\$	0.56	\$	1.47
Diluted:						
Continuing operations	\$	0.50	\$	0.56	\$	1.41
Discontinued operations				(0.01)		0.02
Total	\$	0.50	\$	0.55	\$	1.43
Shares used in per share computation:		10.0:=		<b>2</b> 0 (22		10.4
Basic		49,847		50,699		49,350
Diluted		50,652		51,432		50,896

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

F-4

# STEC, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

# (in thousands)

	Commo	n Stock	Additional Paid In	Retained	Total Shareholders
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Earnings	Equity
Balances, December 31, 2008	48,429	\$ 48	\$ 129,670	\$ 51,845	\$ 181,563
Net income	ŕ			72,613	72,613
Exercise of stock options	1,787	2	9,469		9,471
Vesting of restricted stock units	68				
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements			9,817		9,817
Stock-based compensation expense			5,131		5,131
Balances, December 31, 2009	50,284	50	154,087	124,458	278,595
Net income				28,538	28,538
Exercise of stock options	679	1	3,786		3,787
Vesting of restricted stock units	83				
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements			2,074		2,074
Stock-based compensation expense			9,180		9,180
Balances, December 31, 2010	51,046	51	169,127	152,996	322,174
Net income	ŕ		·	25,100	25,100
Repurchase of common shares	(5,611)	(6)	(55,107)		(55,113)
Exercise of stock options	455	1	3,097		3,098
Vesting of restricted stock units	220		(1,247)		(1,247)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements			2,832		2,832
Stock-based compensation expense			13,509		13,509
Balances, December 31, 2011	46,110	\$ 46	\$ 132,211	\$ 178,096	\$ 310,353

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

# STEC, INC.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

# (in thousands)

	Year 2011	Ended December 2010	er 31, 2009
Cash flow from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 25,100	\$ 28,538	\$ 72,613
Loss (income) from discontinued operations		163	(1,070)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	13,123	12,265	12,193
Loss on sale of property, plant and equipment	58	38	34
Non-cash special charges and impairment loss		(29)	2,144
Accounts receivable (benefit) provisions	(794)	427	2,641
Inventory excess and obsolescence expense	234	1,262	4,774
Deferred income taxes	(1,479)	(2,700)	(693)
Stock-based compensation expense	13,509	9,180	5,131
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(2,832)	(2,074)	(9,817)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	18,150	30,115	(37,498)
Inventory	30,105	(47,491)	16,472
Leasehold interest in land	47	(53)	44
Other assets	(2,794)	(1,870)	(2,245)
Accounts payable	(19,594)	(3,635)	14,519
Income taxes	392	1,774	15,952
Accrued and other liabilities	(1,577)	(590)	3,519
Net cash flows (used in) provided by discontinued operations		(261)	1,070
Net cash provided by operating activities	71,648	25,059	99,783
Cash flows from investing activities:		(4.000)	(1.5.200)
Purchases of short-term investments		(4,998)	(15,200)
Sales of short-term investments	(4.0 =0=)	14,998	5,200
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(10,587)	(6,415)	(7,357)
Other	(235)	294	565
Net cash (used in) provided by by investing activities	(10,822)	3,879	(16,792)
Cash flows from financing activities:	2.000	2.505	0.451
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	3,098	3,787	9,471
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	2,832	2,074	9,817
Taxes paid related to net-share settlement of equity awards	(1,247)		
Repurchase of common shares	(55,113)		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(50,430)	5,861	19,288
Net increase in cash	10,396	34,799	102,279
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	170,457	135,658	33,379
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 180,853	\$ 170,457	\$ 135,658
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year:			
Income taxes	\$ 1,413	\$ 3,947	\$ 7,939

# Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

# Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:

Additions to property, plant and equipment acquired under accounts payable

\$ 2,109 \$ 1,277

\$ 1,529

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### STEC, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. The Company:

STEC, Inc. is a leading global provider of enterprise-class solid state drives that are designed specifically for systems and applications that require high input and output capabilities with low latencies for fast access to critical user data.

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

#### Basis of Presentation:

The consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, include the accounts of STEC, Inc., a California corporation, and its subsidiaries (the Company). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring adjustments and the special charges discussed in Note 5) considered necessary to present fairly the Company s financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

## Foreign Currency Remeasurement:

The functional currency of the Company s foreign subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. The local currency statements are translated into U.S. dollars using the current exchange rate for monetary assets and liabilities and the historical exchange rate for nonmonetary assets and liabilities. Revenues and expenses are translated using the average exchange rate for the period, except items related to nonmonetary assets and liabilities, which are translated using historical exchange rates. All remeasurement gains and losses are included in determining net income.

### Reclassification:

Certain amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform with the 2011 presentation.

#### Financial Instruments:

Financial instruments consist principally of cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable. Generally, the Company considers all highly liquid investments that are readily convertible into cash and have an original maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 cash equivalents consisted of money market funds. The Company determined the fair value of its cash equivalents based on Level 1 inputs, which consist of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

Cash and cash equivalents consist of the following (in thousands):

	Dec	cember 31, 2011	De	cember 31, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Cash	\$	110,303	\$	90,021
Money market funds		70,550		80,436
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	180,853	\$	170,457

#### Inventory:

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined on the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method of accounting. The Company purchases raw materials in quantities that it anticipates will be fully used in the near term. Changes in operating strategy, customer demand and unpredictable fluctuations in market values of raw materials can limit the Company s ability to effectively utilize all of the raw materials purchased and result in finished goods with above-market carrying costs which may cause losses on sales to customers. The Company regularly monitors potential inventory excess, obsolescence and lower market values compared to costs and, when necessary, reduces the carrying amount of its inventory to its market value.

#### Property, Plant and Equipment, Net:

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method. The Company s estimated useful lives of the assets, other than leasehold improvements, range from four to five years for equipment and seven years for furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the assets.

Expenditures for major renewals and betterments are capitalized, while minor replacements, maintenance and repairs, which do not extend the asset lives, are charged to operations as incurred. Upon sale or disposition, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in operations.

The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of its property, plant and equipment may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, the Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, the Company recognizes an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

#### Leasehold Interest in Land:

Leasehold interest in land represents payments made for the use of land in Malaysia over an extended period of time. The leasehold interests in land are amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected term of the related lease agreements.

#### Goodwill and Intangible Assets:

In accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other, goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer subject to amortization but are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Subsequent to the sale of the Consumer Division in 2007, the Company operates in one operating segment and has one reporting unit; therefore, goodwill is tested for impairment at the consolidated level against the fair value of the Company. Per ASC 350, the fair value of a reporting unit refers to the amount at which the unit as a whole could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. Quoted market prices in active markets are the best evidence of fair value and shall be used as the basis on the last day of the year for the measurement, if available. The Company assesses potential impairment on an annual basis on the last day of the year and compares its market capitalization to its carrying amount, including goodwill. The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment analysis at December 31, 2011 and determined that no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill was required. A significant decrease in its future stock price could indicate a material impairment of goodwill which, after further analysis, could result in a material charge to operations. If goodwill is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill. Inherent in the Company s fair value determinations are certain judgments and

estimates, including projections of future cash flows, the discount rate reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, the interpretation of current economic indicators and market valuations, and strategic plans with regard to operations. A change in these underlying assumptions would cause a change in the results of the tests, which could cause the fair value of the reporting unit to be less than its respective carrying amount.

Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be subject to amortization, and any impairment is determined in accordance with ASC 360. The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of its intangibles assets may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of ASC 360. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, the Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, the Company recognizes an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. There were no events or changes in circumstances that indicated potential impairment of intangible assets during 2011.

## Revenue Recognition:

Revenue is recognized in accordance with ASC 605-10-S99, SAB Topic 13, Revenue Recognition. Revenue is realized or realizable and earned when all of the following criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) the sales price is fixed or determinable, (3) collectibility is reasonably assured, and (4) products have been shipped and the customer has taken ownership and assumed risk of loss. A substantial portion of the Company s product sales are on FOB shipping point terms where product title passes to the Company s customer at the time it is shipped from the Company s warehouse. Products sales on FOB destination terms are not recognized until delivered to the customer. The Company also maintains inventory, or hubbing, arrangements with certain of its customers. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company delivers products to a customer or a designated third party warehouse based upon the customer s projected needs but does not recognize product revenue unless and until the customer has removed the product from the warehouse to incorporate into its end products.

Revenue is reduced by reserves for price protection and sales returns. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company s best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in its existing accounts receivable. The Company reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly. Past due balances over 90 days and over a specified amount are reviewed individually for collectibility. All other balances are reviewed on a pooled basis by type of receivable. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when the Company believes it is probable the receivable will not be recovered. The Company does not have any off-balance-sheet credit exposure related to its customers. If the Company reduces the list price of its products, certain customers may receive a credit from the Company. The Company estimates the impact of such pricing changes on a regular basis and adjusts its allowances accordingly. Amounts charged to operations for price protection are calculated based on actual price changes on individual products multiplied by customer inventory levels. The reserve is then reduced by actual credits given to these customers at the time the credits are issued. The sales returns reserve is based on historical relationship to revenues and current contract sales terms.

Following are the changes in the account receivable allowance for doubtful accounts, sales returns, price protection and other deductions, during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	ance at ing of Year	narged redited)	Ded	uctions	E	lance at End of Year
December 31, 2011	\$ 3,853	\$ (794)	\$	(49)	\$	3,010
December 31, 2010	\$ 3,557	\$ 427	\$	(131)	\$	3,853
December 31, 2009	\$ 1,196	\$ 2,641	\$	(280)	\$	3,557

#### Shipping and Handling Costs:

Shipping and handling costs incurred in a sales transaction to ship products to a customer are included in sales and marketing. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, shipping and handling costs were approximately \$1.2 million, \$1.1 million and \$930,000, respectively. Amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling are included in revenues. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, shipping and handling costs billed to customers were \$20,000, \$35,000 and \$10,000, respectively.

#### Sales and Marketing Incentives:

Sales and marketing incentives are offset against revenues or charged to operations in accordance with ASC 605-50, Customer Payments and Incentives. During 2010, a customer elected to discontinue a sales incentive program that the Company implemented at the beginning of the fourth quarter of 2009. As a result, the Company reversed the related sales incentive program liability and recognized additional revenue in the amount of \$1.4 million in 2010. Other sales and marketing incentives of \$61,000 were offset against revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2009, sales and marketing incentives amounted to \$335,000 and \$4.2 million, respectively, and were offset against revenues.

## Research and Development:

Research and development costs, which primarily relate to payroll-related costs, personnel costs for engineering staff, product design consulting fees and material costs related to new product designs, are expensed as incurred.

#### Income Taxes:

The provision for income taxes is determined in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, applying enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Company uses a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with ASC 740. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more likely than not to be realized upon settlement. The Company will classify the liability for unrecognized tax benefits as current to the extent that the Company anticipates payment (or receipt) of cash within one year. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the tax provision.

#### Other Income:

Other income is comprised primarily of proceeds from a legal settlement, grant income received from the Malaysian government authority for qualified research and development and employee training expenses and interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. Other income was \$107,000, \$2.6 million and \$601,000 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In 2010, the Company received a \$2.0 million cash settlement from a defense system contractor ( Defense Contractor ) as the result of a contract termination. The contract originally called for the Company to supply a Defense Contractor with approximately \$28 million of its MACH-family product through a distributor over a 14-month period that ended July 31, 2010. At the expiration of the contract, the Defense Contractor had only purchased approximately \$14 million of its \$28 million order commitment. As a result, the Company agreed to a termination settlement with the Defense Contractor in September 2010. The terms of the settlement included

provisions for the Defense Contractor to pay STEC a \$2.0 million cash settlement, which was received on October 19, 2010 and recorded to other income, plus an additional final resolution payment if the Defense Contractor does not meet a certain minimum future SSD purchase target by January 1, 2013. The settlement also granted the Company preferred supplier status with the Defense Contractor through January 1, 2013.

#### **Stock-based Compensation:**

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. Under the fair value recognition provisions of ASC 718, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair values of stock options. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the input of certain assumptions that require the Company s judgment, including the expected term and the expected stock price volatility of the underlying stock options. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock-based compensation represent management s best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change resulting in the use of different assumptions, stock-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future. In addition, the Company is required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. If the actual forfeiture rate is materially different from management s estimates, the stock-based compensation expense could be significantly different from what the Company has recorded in the current period.

### Per Share Information:

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. In computing diluted earnings per share, the weighted average number of shares outstanding is adjusted to additionally reflect the effect of potentially dilutive securities. The dilutive effect of stock options and unvested restricted stock units is computed using the treasury stock method, which assumes any proceeds that could be obtained upon the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units would be used to purchase common shares at the average market price for the period. The assumed proceeds include the purchase price the grantee pays, the hypothetical windfall tax benefit that the Company receives upon assumed exercise or vesting and the hypothetical average unrecognized compensation expense for the period. The Company calculates the assumed proceeds from excess tax benefits based on the as-if deferred tax assets calculated under the provision of ASC 718.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Numerator: Income from continuing operations	\$ 25,100	\$ 28,701	\$ 71,543	
Numerator: (Loss) income from discontinued operations		(163)	1,070	
Numerator: Net income	\$ 25,100	\$ 28,538	\$ 72,613	
Denominator for net income per share (basic)	49,847	50,699	49,350	
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock awards	805	733	1,546	
Denominator for net income per share (diluted)	50,652	51,432	50,896	
Net income (loss) per share (basic): Continuing operations	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.57	\$ 1.45	
Discontinued operations	Ψ 0.50	(0.01)	0.02	
Total	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.56	\$ 1.47	
Net income (loss) per share (diluted):				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.56	\$ 1.41	
Discontinued operations		(0.01)	0.02	
Total	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.43	
Anti-dilutive shares excluded from net income per share calculation	2,066	2,074	1,553	

From time to time, the Company s board of directors has authorized various programs to repurchase shares of its common stock depending on market conditions and other factors. In November 2009, the Company s board of directors approved a share repurchase program effective November 10, 2009, enabling the Company to repurchase up to \$75 million of its common stock over an 18-month period expiring on May 9, 2011. The Company did not make any share repurchases under this program in 2009, 2010 or 2011.

On August 4, 2011, the Company s board of directors approved a share repurchase program that authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$15 million (the \$15 million Program ) of its common stock effective from August 9, 2011 through August 31, 2011. During 2011, the Company repurchased 1,546,700 shares of common stock at an average price per share of \$9.72, including commissions, to complete the authorized repurchases under the \$15 million Program.

On August 29, 2011, the Company s board of directors approved a share repurchase program that authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$40 million (the \$40 million Program ) of its common stock effective from September 15, 2011 through March 30, 2012. During 2011, the Company repurchased 4,063,911 shares of common stock at an average price per share of \$9.86, including commissions, to complete the authorized repurchases under the \$40 million Program.

As of December 31, 2011 there were no outstanding authorized share repurchase programs.

#### Risks and Uncertainties:

As shown in the table below, customer concentrations of accounts receivable and revenues of greater than 10% were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,							
	20	11	20	10	2009			
	Accounts		Accounts					
	Receivable	Revenues	Receivable	Revenues	Revenues			
Customer A	24.6%	26.3%	51.8%	37.8%	45.1%			
Customer B	52.2%	22.4%	20.7%	13.2%	*			
Customer C	*	21.5%	*	10.8%	*			
Customer D	*	*	*	13.0%	*			

#### \* Less than 10%

The Company generally does not require collateral on accounts receivable as the majority of the Company s customers are large, well-established companies. Historically, bad debt provisions have been consistent with management s expectations.

Sales, which are derived from billings to customers, by geographic region are presented as a percentage of total revenues as follows:

	Year	<b>Ended December</b>	31,
	2011	2010	2009
United States	32.0%	40.9%	49.8%
Singapore	43.6%	22.9%	*
Czech Republic	12.6%	12.2%	16.1%
Malaysia	*	10.9%	12.0%
Other	11.8%	13.1%	22.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

No other single foreign country accounted for more than 10% of revenues during 2011, 2010, and 2009.

In 2011, 2010 and 2009, the majority of the Company s international sales were export sales which are shipped primarily from the Company s facility in Malaysia.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had amounts on deposit with financial institutions that were in excess of the federally insured limit.

Certain of the Company s products utilize components that are purchased from a small number of sources with whom the Company has no long-term contracts. An inability to obtain such components in the amounts needed on a timely basis or at commercially reasonable prices could result in delays in product introductions, interruptions in product shipments or increases in product costs, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position and results of operations.

Total assets by geographic region were as follows (in thousands):

December 31,	December 31,
2011	2010

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 10%

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

United States	\$ 166,661	9	5	183,724
Malaysia	122,896			156,407
Other	47,025			25,523
Total	\$ 336,582	9	\$	365,654

#### Warranties:

The Company s memory products are generally sold under various limited warranty arrangements ranging from two years to five years. The Company establishes reserves for estimated product warranty costs in the period the related revenue is recognized, based on historical experience and any known product warranty issues.

#### Management Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities (e.g., sales returns, bad debts, inventory reserves, warranty reserves, asset impairments), disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company s reported revenues are net of reserves for price protection, sales returns and sales and marketing incentives. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

#### Comprehensive Income:

ASC 220, Comprehensive Income establishes requirements for reporting and disclosure of comprehensive income (loss) and its components. Comprehensive income (loss) includes unrealized holding gains and losses and other items that have previously been excluded from net income and reflected instead in shareholders equity. The Company did not have any items of other comprehensive income or loss other than net income in the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements:

The Company has implemented all new accounting pronouncements that are in effect and that may impact its consolidated financial statements and does not believe that there are any other new accounting pronouncements that have been issued that might have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

#### 3. Supplemental Financial Statement Data:

### Inventory:

Inventory consists of the following (in thousands):

Raw materials Work-in-progress	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010		
Raw materials	\$ 42,926	\$ 62,026		
Work-in-progress	845	507		
Finished goods	14,858	26,435		
-				
Total	\$ 58,629	\$ 88,968		

The Company has written down inventory in the past for reasons such as obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below its costs. These inventory write-downs were \$234,000, \$1.3 million and \$4.8 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Property, Plant and Equipment, Net:

Property, plant and equipment, net consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Buildings and improvements	\$ 22,701	\$ 20,902
Furniture and fixtures	1,714	1,351
Equipment	60,206	51,534
	84,621	73,787
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(50,334)	(38,750)
	\$ 34.287	\$ 35.037

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded depreciation expense of approximately \$12.1 million, \$11.1 million and \$10.6 million, respectively.

#### Long-term Intangible Assets:

Long-term intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	As		mber 31, 20 umulated	)11	As		mber 31, 2 mulated	010
	Gross	Amo	ortization	Net	Gross	Amo	rtization	Net
Developed technology (five years)	\$ 1,345	\$	1,111	\$ 234	\$ 1,070	\$	958	\$ 112
Customer relationships (five years)	792		792		792		792	
Acquisition-related intangible assets	2,137		1,903	234	1,862		1,750	112
Technology licenses	8,517		2,566	5,951	6,771		1,710	5,061
Total	\$ 10,654	\$	4,469	\$ 6,185	\$ 8,633	\$	3,460	\$ 5,173

The Company recorded amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 of \$1.0 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

Long-term intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of three to five years. Estimated long-term intangible asset amortization expense for the years ending December 31, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 is \$1.5 million, \$1.8 million, \$1.9 million, \$740,000 and \$299,000, respectively. Amortization is estimated to be completed as of the end of 2016.

## Accrued and Other Liabilities:

Accrued and Other Liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	Dec	ember 31,
	2011		2010
Payroll costs	\$ 8,548	\$	10,028
Deferred Tax Liability	1,556		202
Other	4,205		3,240

Total \$ 14,309 \$ 13,470

F-15

#### 4. Discontinued Operations:

On February 9, 2007, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement ( Purchase Agreement ) with Fabrik, Inc. ( Fabrik ) and Fabrik Acquisition Corp. (together with Fabrik, the Purchasers ) for the sale of assets relating to a portion of the Company s business which was engaged in the design, final assembling, sale, marketing and distribution of consumer-oriented products based on Flash memory, DRAM technologies and external storage solutions known as the Consumer Division of the Company. The consideration paid to the Company pursuant to the Purchase Agreement consisted of cash in the amount of approximately \$43.0 million. The purchase price was subject to a post-closing adjustment for accrued expenses, reserves on inventory, reserves on accounts receivable and overhead capitalization of the Consumer Division ( Purchase Price Adjustment ). Subsequent to the closing of the sale, the Purchasers disputed certain amounts calculated by the Company in regards to the Purchase Price Adjustment. The original claim amount was approximately \$6.7 million. In accordance with the Purchase Agreement, both parties agreed to resolve their Purchase Price Adjustment disputes through a third-party arbitrator. During the arbitration proceeding, the Purchasers conceded approximately \$4.0 million of their original disputed amounts. In January 2008, the arbitrator rejected substantially all of the Purchasers claims. In March 2010, Fabrik filed a petition to confirm the January 2008 arbitration ruling, claiming it was owed an additional \$486,784 plus accrued interest from the Company. In April 2010, the Company filed a response to the petition defending its position that Fabrik was seeking additional amounts outside of the arbitration ruling and was time barred from its attempt to modify or correct the arbitration award. In May 2010, Fabrik withdrew its petition and the parties satisfactorily resolved their dispute in July 2010.

Operating results of the Consumer Division as discontinued operations for the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	For the	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010	2009
Net revenues	\$	\$	\$
(Loss) income from discontinued operations		(261)	1,838
Benefit (provision) for income taxes		98	(768)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	\$	\$ (163)	\$ 1,070

# **5. Special Charges:**

Special charges consist of the following (in thousands):

	For the Year Ende	d December 31,
	2010	2009
Employee severance and termination benefits	\$ 1,103	\$ 1,770
(Gain) loss on assets held for sale	(113)	1,638
Total special charges	\$ 990	\$ 3,408

There were no special charges during the year ended December 31, 2011.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company commenced the first phase of a reduction in its workforce primarily at its Santa Ana, California headquarters as part of the transition of certain of its operations to its facility in Penang, Malaysia. The first phase, which mostly impacted its U.S.-based workforce, affected 250 employees: 203 in manufacturing, 22 in sales and marketing, 20 in research and development, and 5 in administration. In connection with the first phase of a reduction in its workforce, which was completed by March 31, 2010, the Company recorded charges for employee severance and terminations benefits of approximately \$39,000 and \$1.8 million during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company commenced the second phase of a reduction in its workforce, which also primarily impacted its Santa Ana, California headquarters. The second phase, which also mostly impacted its U.S.-based workforce, affected 26 employees, including 8 in manufacturing 15 in research and development, and 3 in sales and marketing. In connection with the second phase of the reduction in workforce, the Company recorded charges for employee severance and terminations benefits of approximately \$1.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2010, all of which was paid by December 31, 2010. The second phase of the reduction in workforce, which mostly impacted its U.S.-based workforce, was completed as of December 31, 2010. The Company did not incur significant additional restructuring costs related to this restructuring plan in 2011.

In connection with the transition of operations to Malaysia, the Company conducted an assessment for the impairment of certain property, plant and equipment. As the Company finalized its plans for the transition of operations to Malaysia in the second quarter of 2009, the Company determined that certain manufacturing-related property or equipment assets were impaired. Carrying value of the assets was adjusted to reflect estimated fair value, which was based on market prices, prices of similar assets, and other available information. The Company recorded asset impairments totaling approximately \$1.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company recorded a gain on the sale of impaired assets of approximately \$113,000 during the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company recognizes a liability for restructuring costs at fair value only when the liability is incurred. The two main components of the Company s restructuring plan have been related to workforce reductions and asset impairments. Workforce-related charges are accrued when it is determined that a liability has been incurred, which is deemed to be after individuals have been notified of their termination dates and expected termination benefits.

#### 6. Income Taxes:

Pre-tax (loss) income from continuing operations was taxed under the following jurisdictions (in thousands):

	For the	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010	2009
Domestic	\$ (1,572)	\$ 4,428	\$ 39,441
Foreign	26,877	26,713	50,323
	\$ 25,305	\$ 31,141	\$ 89,764

The provision for income taxes from continuing operations consists of the following (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		mber 31,
	2011	2010	2009
Current			
Federal	\$ (77)	\$ 3,303	\$ 14,189
State	698	1,314	4,274
Foreign	1,063	424	383
	1,684	5,041	18,846
Deferred			
Federal	111	(1,480)	419
State	(1,590)	(1,197)	(1,048)
Foreign		76	4
	(1,479)	(2,601)	(625)
	. , ,	, ,	, ,
	\$ 205	\$ 2,440	\$ 18,221

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

F-17

The provision for income taxes from continuing operations differs from the amount that would result from applying the federal statutory rate as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Statutory regular federal income tax	35.0%	34.0%	35.0%
Foreign	(33.0)	(24.5)	(19.2)
State taxes, including state tax credits net of federal benefit	(1.9)	0.3	2.4
Federal tax credits	(3.8)	(4.7)	(1.9)
Permanent differences	4.7	2.6	2.1
Other	(0.2)	0.1	1.9
Effective tax rate	0.8%	7.8%	20.3%

The provision for income taxes applicable to continuing operations and discontinued operations consists of the following (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		mber 31,
	2011	2010	2009
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations:			
Current	\$ 1,684	\$ 5,041	\$ 18,846
Deferred	(1,479)	(2,601)	(625)
Total provision for income taxes from continuing operations	205	2,440	18,221
		ŕ	ŕ
(Benefit) provision for income taxes from discontinued operations:			
Current			835
Deferred		(98)	(67)
Total (benefit) provision for income taxes from discontinued			
operations		(98)	768
-			
Total income tax expense	\$ 205	\$ 2,342	\$ 18,989

The components of deferred tax assets (liabilities) are as follows (in thousands):

	Decem	ber 31,
	2011	2010
Current deferred tax liabilities:		
Accounts receivable and inventory reserves	\$ 1,504	\$ 1,849
Accrued expenses	398	782
State taxes	(3,287)	(2,541)
Domestic operating loss carryforwards	353	
Prepaid expenses	(545)	(303)
Other	21	11
Total current	(1,556)	(202)
Noncurrent deferred tax assets:		
Depreciation and amortization	(290)	401
Stock-based compensation expense	3,692	2,516
Foreign Operating loss carryforwards	848	377

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Credit carryforwards	9,066	6,630
Other	61	64
	13,377	9,988
Valuation allowance	(1,240)	(684)
Total noncurrent	12,137	9,304
Total deferred tax assets, net	\$ 10,581	\$ 9,102

At December 31, 2011, the Company had federal research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$1.1 million, which begin to expire beginning in 2031. At December 31, 2011, the Company had state research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$7.3 million, which carryforward indefinitely. In addition, the Company has federal net operating loss carryforward of \$3.8 million and state net operating loss carryforward of \$237,000 before consideration of ASC 718 for excess stock option deductions. These net operating loss carryforwards would be set to expire beginning in 2032.

Included in the Company s U.S. net operating loss carryforward deferred tax asset, above, is approximately \$1.0 million of deferred tax assets attributable to excess stock option deductions that may not be included on the Company s balance sheet. Due to a provision within ASC 718, concerning when tax benefits related to excess stock option deductions can be credited to paid-in capital, the portion of the Company s deferred tax asset related to such excess tax benefits must be excluded from the deferred tax asset balance, even if the facts and circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset can be realized. The deferred tax asset and the related credit to paid-in capital will only be included as the related deferred tax asset is applied to reduce taxes payable. The Company follows tax law ordering to determine when such net operating loss has been realized.

As an incentive to establish operations in Malaysia, the Company was provided a fifteen-year income tax holiday and certain grants by the Malaysian government subject to meeting certain conditions. This income tax holiday in Malaysia was originally effective through September 30, 2022. In May 2011, the Company accepted an additional incentive package from the Malaysian government as part of its plan to establish a research and development center in Malaysia. This incentive package includes a five-year extension through September 30, 2027 of the existing income tax holiday term and certain grants. The impact of the Malaysia income tax holiday on our provision for income taxes and earnings per share are as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2011	2010	2009
Decrease in provision for income taxes	\$ 5,600	\$ 4,900	\$ 3,200
Benefit of income tax holiday on earnings per share	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.06

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had a Malaysian net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$1.5 million. The Malaysian carryforward amount is currently suspended and will be available to offset taxable income from operations following the expiration of the tax holiday period. The losses carryover indefinitely, unless certain changes in business operations occur during the carryover period. The Company has established a valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset since management believes that it is more likely than not that the Malaysian net operating loss carryforwards will not be fully utilized. In addition, the Company has established a valuation allowance against their U.K. net operating loss carryforward at December 31, 2011 and 2010 of approximately \$1.8 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The valuation allowance was established as management believes that it is more likely than not that this deferred tax asset will not be realized due to the Company s inability to project future taxable income in the U.K. The change in valuation allowance was \$556,000 and \$324,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in the valuation allowance from 2010 to 2011 was due to the increase in depreciation on fixed assets in Malaysia that are expected to reverse outside the tax holiday period and additional net operating losses generated in the current year in the U.K.

The Company has not provided for U.S. taxes or foreign withholding taxes on approximately \$96 million of undistributed earnings from its foreign subsidiaries because such earnings are to be reinvested indefinitely. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability for temporary differences related to these undistributed earnings is not practicable; however, if these earnings were distributed, foreign tax credits may become available under current law to reduce the resulting U.S. income tax liability.

To the extent that an ownership change has occurred under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 and 383, the Company s use of its loss carryforwards and credit carryforwards to offset future taxable income may be limited.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$6.6 million of total unrecognized tax benefits. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits, \$5.4 million (net of the federal benefit on state issues) represent amounts as of December 31, 2011, that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in future periods.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010	2009
Balance as of January 1	\$ 6,635	\$ 4,720	\$ 2,531
Increases in tax positions for prior years	216	39	83
Decreases in tax positions for prior years	(1,342)		
Increases in tax positions for current year	1,699	2,316	2,424
Settlements	(593)		
Decreases due to statute of limitations expiration		(440)	(318)
Balance at December 31	\$ 6,615	\$ 6,635	\$ 4,720

The Company has uncertain tax positions estimated in the range of \$0 to \$310,000 which are reflected within its corporate tax filings for which the statute of limitations will expire in 2012.

During 2011, the Company s 2008 and 2009 U.S. federal income tax returns were selected by the Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) for examination. The IRS examination for the 2008 and 2009 tax years was concluded in the fourth quarter of 2011. No additional income tax provision was recorded as a result of the conclusion of the IRS examination. In addition the Company is also under examination in the U.S. for the 2001-2008 tax years by the California Franchise Tax Board. The Company is not under examination in their international jurisdictions at this time.

Management believes that an adequate provision has been made for any adjustments that may result from tax examinations. However, the outcome of tax audits cannot be predicted with certainty. If any issues addressed in the Company s tax audits are resolved in a manner not consistent with management s expectations, the Company could be required to adjust its provision for income tax in the period such resolution occurs. The Company anticipates that there will be changes to the unrecognized tax benefit associated with uncertain tax positions due to the expiration of statutes of limitation, payment of tax on amended returns, audit settlements and other changes in reserves. As of December 31, 2011, a current estimate of the range of changes that may occur within the next twelve months cannot be made due to the uncertainty regarding the timing of these events.

The \$1.3 million reduction in reserves for tax positions in prior years is principally due to resolution of the 2008 and 2009 US federal income tax examination in the fourth quarter of 2011.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties in the provision for income taxes. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had recorded a liability of \$69,000 and \$145,000 for the payment of interest and penalties, respectively.

Income from continuing operations before income taxes by foreign country in jurisdictions where the Company is assessed income tax consisted of the following (in thousands):

	For the	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009	
Japan	\$ 1,010	\$ 508	\$ 145	
Taiwan	713	543	435	
United Kingdom	442	479	261	
India	227			
China	146	119	15	
Italy	81	143	114	
Austria	37	87	65	
Germany	37	82	22	
•				
Total	\$ 2,693	\$ 1,961	\$ 1,057	

The Company also had income (loss) from continuing operations in Malaysia and the Cayman Islands that was not subject to income tax as the Company has an income tax holiday in Malaysia effective through September 30, 2027 and there are no income taxes assessed on corporate income in the Cayman Islands.

## 7. Commitments and Contingencies:

#### Class Action Litigation

From November 6, 2009 through March 2, 2010, seven purported class action complaints were filed against the Company and several of its senior officers and directors in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The Court consolidated the complaints and appointed Lead Plaintiffs. The Court replaced the former Lead Plaintiffs with a new Lead Plaintiff. The new Lead Plaintiff filed a consolidated amended complaint that the Court dismissed without prejudice. Thereafter, the new Lead Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint, purportedly on behalf of all persons and entities who acquired the Company's common stock between June 16, 2009 and February 23, 2010. The second amended complaint alleges claims against the Company and several of its senior officers and directors for violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder and claims against several of its senior officers and directors for violations of Section 20A and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In addition, the second amended complaint alleges claims against the Company, several of its senior officers and directors, and four of its underwriters for violations of Section 11 and Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act ), and claims against several of the Company s senior officers and directors for violations of Section 15 of the Securities Act. The second amended complaint seeks compensatory damages for all damages sustained as a result of the defendants alleged actions, and further seeks reasonable costs and expenses, rescission, counsel fees, and other relief the Court deems just and proper. The defendants filed motions to dismiss and on June 17, 2011, the Court entered an order granting the underwriters motion to dismiss the Securities Act claims without prejudice and denying the Company s motion to dismiss the Exchange Act claims. The defendants answered the second amended complaint on July 15, 2011. At a status conference on October 11, 2011, the Court revised the schedule of pre-trial deadlines and set a new trial date of July 24, 2012. On November 21, 2011, Lead Plaintiff filed a motion for class certification and appointment of class counsel. On January 12, 2012, the plaintiff in the purported class action lawsuit pending in the Superior Court of Orange County, California filed a motion for leave to intervene. The defendants have opposed both of these motions. Pursuant to Court order, the parties attended a mediation on January 5, 2012, which did not result in a settlement. The Company believes the lawsuit is without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself. No amounts have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements for this matter as the Company believes it is too early in the proceedings to determine a likely outcome or to predict a range of reasonably possible losses in the event of an unfavorable outcome.

On July 1, 2011, a purported class action complaint was filed against the Company and several of its senior officers and directors in the Superior Court of Orange County, California. The complaint alleges claims against the Company, several of its senior officers and directors, and four of its underwriters for violations of Section 11 and Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act, and further alleges claims against several of the Company senior officers and directors for violations of Section 15 of the Securities Act. The complaint, which arises out of the same underlying factual allegations as the federal court class action discussed above, seeks compensatory damages and rescission or a rescissory measure of damages where applicable, reasonable costs and expenses, including counsel fees and expert fees, and other relief the Court may deem just and proper. On August 4, 2011, the defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The plaintiffs moved to remand and on October 7, 2011, the Court entered an order remanding the case back to the Superior Court of Orange County, California. On November 16, 2011, the defendants moved to stay the case pending the resolution of the purported class action lawsuit pending in federal court. On November 16, 2011, the defendants also filed a general demurrer to the complaint. On February 17, 2012, the Court granted the defendants motion to stay and declined to rule on the defendants general demurrer. The Company believes the lawsuit is without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself. No amounts have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements for this matter as the Company believes it is too early in the proceedings to determine a likely outcome or to predict a range of reasonably possible losses in the event of an unfavorable outcome.

#### Shareholder Derivative Litigation

From November 12, 2009 through December 3, 2009, four shareholder derivative actions were filed purportedly on the Company s behalf against several of its senior officers and directors in the Superior Court of Orange County, California. These cases were consolidated and stayed until further order by the Court. Despite the stay, the Company and the individual defendants each filed demurrers to the consolidated complaint on July 28, 2010, pursuant to court order. The plaintiffs moved to lift the stay and on September 9, 2011, the Court denied the plaintiffs motion. Additionally, two shareholder derivative actions were filed purportedly on the Company s behalf against several of its senior officers and directors in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. These two federal lawsuits were consolidated on April 13, 2010, and stayed by order of the Court until a ruling was made on the defendants motions to dismiss the second amended complaint in the federal securities class action lawsuit. After the Court issued its ruling on the defendants motions to dismiss the second amended complaint in the federal securities class action lawsuit, the defendants moved to stay the federal shareholder derivative case. On January 11, 2012, the Court granted the defendants motion, staying the federal shareholder derivative case pending the resolution of the federal securities class action. The consolidated complaints in both the state and federal shareholder derivative actions allege claims for breach of fiduciary duties for insider selling and misappropriation of information, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, unjust enrichment and violations of the California Corporations Code (with respect to the state court action only) related to allegedly false and misleading statements regarding the Company s business and alleged illegal stock sales. The shareholder derivative actions generally seek compensatory damages for all alleged losses sustained as a result of the defendants alleged actions, including interest, reasonable costs and expenses, corporate governance reforms, disgorgement of profits, treble damages (with respect to the state court action only), equitable relief (with respect to the federal court action only), and other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. No amounts have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements for these matters as the Company believes it is too early in the proceedings to determine a likely outcome or to predict a range of reasonably possible losses in the event of an unfavorable outcome.

#### Shareholder Demand

From January 5, 2010 through August 2, 2010, the Company received letters from counsel for four purported shareholders demanding that the Company take action to remedy breaches of fiduciary duties by several of its senior officers and directors. The allegations in these letters are similar to those found in the shareholder derivative complaints filed in state and federal court, and demand that the Company take action to recover damages from its senior officers and directors and to correct alleged deficiencies in its internal controls. The demand letters state that if, within a reasonable time, the Company s board of directors has not commenced the requested action, or if the board of directors refuses to commence the requested action, the purported named

shareholders will commence derivative actions. In evaluating the demand letters, the independent members of the Company s board of directors conducted a review of the issues and allegations raised by the purported shareholders. After considering a number of factors, including the legal and factual merits of the claims made in the demand letters, the independent members of the Company s board of directors unanimously determined that it would not be in the Company s best interests to pursue the claims alleged in the demand letters against any of the individuals mentioned therein. This determination was formally communicated to counsel for the four purported shareholders on December 17, 2010. Counsel for two of the purported shareholders responded by letter dated July 13, 2011, further demanding that the Company take action to remedy alleged breaches of fiduciary duties by several of its senior officers and directors. In evaluating the July 13, 2011 demand letter, the independent members of the Company s board of directors conducted a further review of the issues and allegations raised by the purported shareholders. After considering a number of factors, including the legal and factual merits of the claims made in the demand letter, the independent members of the Company s board of directors unanimously determined that it would not be necessary to retain separate independent counsel and that it would not be in the Company s best interests to pursue the claims alleged in the demand letter against any of the individuals mentioned therein. This determination was formally communicated to counsel for the two purported shareholders on December 7, 2011. On February 8, 2012, the Company s board of directors received a further demand from counsel for one of the purported shareholders requesting the opportunity to inspect certain books and records to determine whether the Company s board of directors conducted a reasonable investigation and acted in good faith in refusing the shareholder s prior demands. The Company s board

#### Patent Litigation

On September 7, 2011, Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc., filed a complaint against the Company and several other defendants in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The lawsuit alleges that certain of our products and/or services infringe certain patents allegedly owned by Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc., including some or all of U.S. Patents: Nos. 6,341,085; 6,347,051; 6,370,059; 6,567,334; 6,701,471; 7,064,995; 7,234,087; 7,327,624; 7,366,016; 7,616,485; 7,721,165; and 7,746,697. According to the complaint and the patents, these patents relate to solid state drives employing a controller chip and a plurality of NAND flash devices. The complaint also alleges that the Company induces and contributes to patent infringement by others. The complaint seeks unspecified monetary damages, attorney fees and expenses, and injunctive relief against the Company. On January 17, 2012, STEC filed an answer denying liability and asserting various defenses. On February 10, 2012, the Company filed a motion to sever itself from the other defendants in the lawsuit and to transfer the lawsuit between Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. and the Company to the Central District of California. The Company believes the lawsuit is without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself. Due to the early stage of this lawsuit and the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the Company is not able to predict either the outcome or a range of reasonably possible losses, if any, at this time. Accordingly, the Company cannot estimate the effects of this complaint, if any, on the Company s financial condition.

# Other Legal Proceedings

As first disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is conducting a formal investigation involving trading in the Company s securities. The Company and certain of the Company s officers and employees, including its CEO and President, have received subpoenas in connection with the SEC s investigation. The Company is fully cooperating with the SEC in regards to this matter. On July 19, 2011, the Company received a Wells Notice from the SEC, stating that the Staff of the SEC (the Staff) is considering recommending that the SEC initiate a civil injunctive action against the Company, its CEO and President, charging them with violations of the antifraud and reporting provisions of the federal securities laws. Under a process established by the SEC, the Company, its CEO and President have the opportunity to submit to the Staff any reasons of law, policy or fact why they believe that the civil action should not be brought (a Wells Submission) before the Staff makes its formal recommendation to the SEC regarding what action, if any, should be brought. On August 29, 2011, the Company, its CEO and President submitted a Wells Submission to the SEC. On January 4,

2012, the Company, its CEO and President submitted a supplemental Wells Submission to the SEC. The Company, its CEO and President intend to continue to cooperate with the SEC to attempt to resolve the Staff s concerns, but there can be no assurance that the SEC will decide not to bring an action against them.

The Company is involved in other suits and claims in the ordinary course of business, and the Company may from time to time become a party to other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. As is common in the industry, the Company currently has in effect a number of agreements in which it has agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless certain of its suppliers and customers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by the Company s products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. In addition, the Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and certain of its officers that will require the Company, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. The Company maintains director and officer insurance, which may cover certain liabilities arising from its obligation to indemnify its directors and officers in certain circumstances. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Further, such indemnification agreements may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys fees. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. The Company has never incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, and after consideration of the Company s current director and officer insurance coverage, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2011.

#### Lease Commitments

As discussed in Note 10, the Company leases its corporate office facilities from affiliates of Manouch Moshayedi, Mark Moshayedi and Mike Moshayedi as of December 31, 2011. Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are executive officers, directors and major shareholders of the Company. Mike Moshayedi is also a shareholder of the Company. The Company also leases a number of small facilities in both foreign and domestic locations for its additional sales, research and development and engineering staff and for storage from unaffiliated third parties under operating leases with initial non-cancelable lease terms ranging from 1 to 5 years. Future scheduled minimum annual lease payments for the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

	(I	Operating Leases (Related Party)		ing Leases d Party)
2012	\$	683	\$	780
2013		683		432
2014		683		139
2015		683		113
2016		683		63
Thereafter		399		
Net minimum lease payments	\$	3,814	\$	1,527

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was approximately \$1.8 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, inclusive of related party balances.

#### **Purchase Commitments**

The following table presents the Company s contractual payment obligations and commitments (in thousands):

Contractual Obligation	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Non-cancelable inventory purchase					
commitments	\$ 4,219	\$ 4,219	\$	\$	\$
Operating lease obligations	5,341	1,463	1,937	1,542	399
Other non-cancelable purchase					
commitments	917	917			
Non-cancelable capital equipment purchase commitments	2,026	2,026			
Total	\$ 12,503	\$ 8,625	\$ 1,937	\$ 1,542	\$ 399

Purchase obligations represent open purchase orders for inventory, capital equipment, and other commitments in the ordinary course of business as of December 31, 2011.

#### 8. Employee Benefit Plan:

The Company sponsors a 401(k) retirement savings plan for its U.S. based eligible employees. The Company matches a portion of the employee contributions, which vest immediately. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company made matching contributions of approximately \$1.3 million, \$1.2 million and \$714,000, respectively.

#### 9. Stock Option Plan:

The 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2000 Plan ) was adopted by the Company s board of directors and approved by its shareholders in June 2000. On April 17, 2006, the 2000 Plan was amended and restated by the board of directors and approved by the Company s shareholders on May 25, 2006. The 2000 Plan provided for the direct issuance or sale of shares and the grant of options to purchase shares of the Company s common stock to officers and other employees, non-employee board members and consultants. Under the 2000 Plan, eligible participants were granted options to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price not less than 100% of the fair market value of those shares on the grant date. In addition, the 2000 Plan as amended and restated, allowed for the issuance of restricted stock units to officers and other employees, non-employee board members and consultants. The 2000 Plan expired pursuant to its terms on February 28, 2010, and no further shares may be issued under the 2000 Plan.

The 2010 Incentive Award Plan (the 2010 Plan ) was adopted by the Company s board of directors on March 26, 2010, and was approved by its shareholders on May 27, 2010. The 2010 Plan provides for the direct issuance or sale of shares and the grant of options to purchase shares of the Company s common stock to officers and other employees, non-employee board members and consultants. On May 19, 2011, the Company s shareholders approved an amendment to the 2010 Plan to increase the number of shares reserved for issuance thereunder by 2,000,000 shares to 6,600,000 shares. The other terms and conditions of the 2010 Plan were not changed. Under the 2010 Plan, eligible individuals may be granted options to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price not less than 100% of the fair market value of those shares on the grant date. Other types of equity awards that may be granted under the 2010 Plan include performance awards, dividend equivalents, deferred stock units, stock payments, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other incentive awards. The Company s board of directors, its compensation committee, or its equity awards committee determines the eligibility and vesting schedules for awards granted under the 2010 Plan. Options expire within a period of not more than ten years from the date of grant. Restricted stock units are share awards that entitle the holder to receive shares of the Company s common stock upon vesting.

As of December 31, 2011, there were 1,329,096 shares of common stock that remained available for grant under the 2010 Plan. Stock options and restricted stock units generally vest 25% on each anniversary of the grant date for a period of four years. The Plan expires on March 26, 2020.

A summary of the option activity under the 2000 Plan and 2010 Plan is as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	_	gregate nsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	4,745,005	\$ 12.84			
Granted	2,057,100	\$ 11.60			
Exercised	(454,357)	\$ 6.82			
Expired/forfeited	(389,250)	\$ 15.22			
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	5,958,498	\$ 12.72	7.6	\$	3,167
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2011	5,429,447	\$ 12.66	7.5	\$	3,134
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	2,213,447	\$ 11.71	5.5	\$	2,932

The above intrinsic values are before applicable taxes, based on the Company s closing stock price of \$8.59 on December 31, 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company received \$3.1 million in cash proceeds from the exercise of 454,357 options and \$2.8 million for excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements. The intrinsic value of stock options exercised in the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$5.9 million, \$6.0 million and \$34.7 million, respectively.

A summary of the Company s weighted average fair value for stock option activity in 2011 is as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Options	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Total Unrecognized Compensation Expense	Weighted Average Remaining Years to Vest
Non-vested stock options at December 31, 2010	2,999,300	\$ 8.78		
Granted	2,057,100	\$ 7.14		
Vested	(956,799)	\$ 8.67		
Forfeited	(354,550)	\$ 8.54		
Non-vested stock options at December 31, 2011	3,745,051	\$ 7.89	\$ 25,380	2.8

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$7.14, \$8.03 and \$11.32, respectively.

The total fair value of shares vested in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$8.2 million, \$6.2 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

The fair value of stock grants is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Company has not and does not expect to pay dividends; therefore, no specific dividend yield is utilized under the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates

appropriate for the term of the Company s employees stock option grants. The volatility assumption used to value option grants is based exclusively on the Company s historical available closing stock price information. The Company can rely exclusively on this historical information if (1) the Company has no reason to believe that its future volatility over the expected or contractual term is likely to differ from the past, (2) the computation of historical volatility uses a simple average calculation method, (3) a sequential period of historical data at least equals the expected or contractual term of the share options is used and (4) a reasonably sufficient number of price observations are used. The expected term of employee stock options, which represents the period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding, was based on a combination of historical option exercise activity of prior grants with similar characteristics and expected future employee behavior. The expected life of employees stock option grants is impacted by all of the underlying assumptions used in the Company s model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model assumes that employees exercise behavior is a function of the options remaining contractual life and the extent to which the option is in-the-money. The Black-Scholes option pricing model estimates the probability of exercise as a function of these two variables based on the history of exercises and cancellations of past option grants made by the Company.

The weighted average assumptions used to value the option grants are as follows:

	Year E	Year Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009		
Expected term (years)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Risk-free interest rate	1.5%	2.2%	2.6%		
Volatility	69.8%	66.7%	64.3%		
Dividend rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

The grant date fair value per share of restricted stock units is determined by the closing price of the common stock on the issuance date. Each unit represents the right to receive one share of the Company s common stock as each restricted stock unit vests.

A summary of the restricted stock unit activity under the 2000 Plan and 2010 Plan is as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Restricted Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Total Unrecognized Compensation Expense	Weighted Average Remaining Years to Vest
Non-vested restricted stock units at December 31, 2010	1,090,954	\$ 14.38		
Granted	860,263	\$ 11.40		
Vested	(308,199)	\$ 14.30		
Forfeited	(135,875)	\$ 13.66		
Non-vested restricted stock units at December 31, 2011	1,507,143	\$ 12.76	\$ 17,200	3.1

The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$11.40, \$14.08 and \$15.92, respectively.

The total fair value of restricted stock units vested in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$4.4 million, \$949,000 and \$815,000, respectively.

Of the 308,199 restricted stock units that vested during 2011, 231,288 were net-share settled such that the Company withheld shares with value equivalent to the employees minimum statutory obligation for the applicable income and other employment taxes. The number and value of the shares withheld were 89,018 shares and \$1.2 million, respectively, during 2011. The value of the total shares was based on the value of the restricted stock units on their vesting date as determined by the Company s closing stock price. Total payments for the employees tax obligations to the tax authorities were \$1.2 million and are reflected as a financing activity within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. These net-share settlements had the effect of share repurchases by the Company as they reduced and retired the number of shares that would have otherwise been issued as a result of the vesting and did not represent an expense to the Company.

The following table sets forth the total stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options and restricted stock units included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income (in thousands):

	Year I	Year Ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	2009		
Cost of revenues	\$ 623	\$ 346	\$ 307		
Sales and marketing	2,390	1,564	993		
General and administrative	4,935	3,241	1,875		
Research and development	5,561	4,029	1,956		
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 13,509	\$ 9,180	\$ 5,131		

#### 10. Related Party Transactions:

The Company occupies two leased facilities of approximately 24,500 and 48,600 square feet in Santa Ana, California, which serves as its corporate headquarters. In addition to the Company s executive offices, these facilities also contain engineering, administrative, and sales and marketing personnel. The Company leases both facilities from MDC Land LLC (MDC), a limited liability company owned by Manouch Moshayedi, Mark Moshayedi and Mike Moshayedi each of whom is a founder of the Company. Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are also executive officers, directors and major shareholders of the Company as of December 31, 2011. MDC has no operations other than leasing transactions with the Company.

An operating lease with MDC for the 24,500 square foot facility expires in July 2017. The monthly base rent was approximately \$21,000 per month during 2011. An operating lease with MDC for the 48,600 square foot facility expires in July 2017. The monthly base rent was approximately \$35,000 per month during 2011. Beginning August 1, 2009, the monthly base rents was adjusted based on the change in the Consumer Price Index. For the remainder of the leases, base rents shall be adjusted every two years based on the change in the Consumer Price Index.

Building rent expense for these two facilities amounted to approximately \$672,000, \$672,000 and \$640,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, there was no outstanding facility rent owed to MDC.

In 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company purchased \$0, \$0 and \$14,000, respectively, in testing services from QualCenter, Inc. ( QualCenter ), a Texas S Corporation beneficially owned by Manouch Moshayedi, Mark Moshayedi, and Mike Moshayedi. QualCenter is located in Houston, Texas and performs tests and qualification services on Hewlett-Packard desktop, laptop, server, and workstation memory modules. QualCenter performs these services on an exclusive basis for Hewlett-Packard under an arrangement whereby Hewlett-Packard defines and specifies all test and evaluation procedures and methodologies.

## 11. Credit Facilities:

On November 23, 2009, the Company s subsidiary, STEC Malaysia, entered into an agreement for a short-term credit facility (the Short-term Facility ) with Deutsche Bank (Malaysia) Berhad. The agreement allows STEC Malaysia to borrow an aggregate principal amount of \$10 million in the form of letters of credit, trust

receipts, bills acceptances/financing, banker s acceptances, and banker s and shipping guarantees which are commonly used to conduct business in Asia. Credit under the Short-term Facility will be available until notice of termination by either party. Borrowings under the Short-term Facility will bear interest at various rates with repayments due between 30 days and 14 months, depending on the form of borrowing. The Short-term Facility is guaranteed by STEC, Inc. and contains customary affirmative and negative covenants. The purpose of the Short-term Facility is to facilitate general business transactions and fund working capital requirements for STEC Malaysia, on an as needed basis. As of December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$205,000 of banker s guarantees outstanding under the Short-term Facility and STEC Malaysia was in compliance with all required covenants.

#### 12. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited) (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Ouarter Ended:	December 31, 2011		September 30, 2011		June 30, 2011		M	arch 31, 2011
Net revenues	\$	58,135	\$	72,529	\$	82,451	\$	94,944
Gross profit	·	23,875		33,212		36,847		40,273
Operating income (loss)		(5,426)		5,524		9,902		15,198
Income (loss) from continuing operations		(3,586)		4,844		9,694		14,148
Net income (loss)	\$	(3,586)	\$	4,844	\$	9,694	\$	14,148
Net income (loss) per share:								
Basic	\$	(0.08)	\$	0.10	\$	0.19	\$	0.28
Diluted	\$	(80.0)	\$	0.09	\$	0.18	\$	0.27
Ouarter Ended:	December 31, 2010		September 30, 2010		June 30, 2010		M	arch 31, 2010
Net revenues	\$	93,918	\$	86,074	\$	61,348	\$	38,809
Gross profit	·	42,488		39,923		26,122		13,186
Operating income (loss)		16,801		15,066		3,832		(7,137)
Income (loss) from continuing operations		17,498		13,619		2,937		(5,353)
Loss from discontinued operations		(11)		(2)		(150)		
Net income (loss)	\$	17,487	\$	13,617	\$	2,787	\$	(5,353)
Net income (loss) per share:								
Basic:								
Continuing operations	\$	0.34	\$	0.27	\$	0.06	\$	(0.11)
Discontinued operations								
Total	\$	0.34	\$	0.27	\$	0.06	\$	(0.11)
Diluted:								
Continuing operations	\$	0.34	\$	0.26	\$	0.06	\$	(0.11)
Discontinued operations								
Total	\$	0.34	\$	0.26	\$	0.06	\$	(0.11)

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Santa Ana, State of California, on the 28th day of February, 2012.

STEC, Inc.

By: /s/ Manouch Moshayedi
Name: Manouch Moshayedi
Title: Chief Executive Officer and

## **Chairman of the Board of Directors**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Manouch Moshayedi	Chief Executive Officer and	February 28, 2012
Manouch Moshayedi	Chairman of the Board of	
	Directors	
/s/ Mark Moshayedi	President, Chief Operating	February 28, 2012
Mark Moshayedi	Officer, Chief Technical Officer,	
	Secretary and Director	
/s/ RAYMOND D. COOK	Chief Financial Officer	February 28, 2012
Raymond D. Cook	(Principal Financial and	
	Accounting Officer)	
/s/ Rajat Bahri	Director	February 28, 2012
Rajat Bahri		
/s/ F. Michael Ball	Director	February 28, 2012
F. Michael Ball		
/s/ Christopher W. Colpitts	Director	February 28, 2012
Christopher W. Colpitts		
/s/ Kevin C. Daly	Director	February 28, 2012
Kevin C. Daly, Ph.D.		

# Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

/s/ Matthew L. Witte Director February 28, 2012

Matthew L. Witte

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit		Incorporation by Reference		
Index	Exhibit Description	Form	Exhibit	Filing Date
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation	S-1/A	3.1	July 3, 2000
3.1.1	Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated August 31, 2000	S-1/A	3.3	September 27, 2000
3.1.2	Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated May 1, 2001	10-Q	3.1	May 14, 2001
3.1.3	Certificate of Ownership as filed with the California Secretary of State on March 7, 2007	8-K	3.1	March 8, 2007
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws	8-K	3.2	September 27, 2011
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate	10-K	4.2	March 30, 2007
10.1	Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated April 1, 2000, by and between MDC Land LLC and the Registrant (24,500 sq. ft. facility)	S-1/A	10.1	July 3, 2000
10.2	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated April 29, 2002, by and between MDC Land, LLC and the Registrant (24,500 sq. ft. facility)	S-1/A	10.10	October 15, 2003
10.3	Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated June 1, 2000, by and between MDC Land LLC and the Registrant (48,635 sq. ft. facility)	S-1/A	10.2	July 3, 2000
10.4	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated April 29, 2002, by and between MDC Land, LLC and the Registrant (48,635 sq. ft. facility)	S-1/A	10.11	October 15, 2003
10.5	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and each of its directors and executive officers	S-1/A	10.7	July 3, 2000
10.6	Letter, dated August 25, 2006, from Malaysian Industrial Development Authority addressed to the Registrant offering special incentives	8-K	10.1	August 30, 2006
10.7	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated February 9, 2007, by and among Fabrik, Inc., Fabrik Acquisition Corp. and the Registrant	8-K	10.1	February 12, 2007
10.8	Credit Agreement, dated July 30, 2008, among the Registrant, its domestic subsidiaries, the lenders party thereto and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent	8-K	10.1	August 1, 2008
10.9	2000 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended through April 17, 2006)	S-8	99.1	August 11, 2006
10.10	2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option	10-K	10.14	March 30, 2007

# Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

		Incorporation by Reference			
Exhibit Index	Exhibit Description	Form	Exhibit	Filing Date	
10.11	2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Stock Option Agreement	10-K	10.15	March 30, 2007	
10.12	2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Notice of Grant of Non-Employee Director Automatic Stock Option	10-K	10.16	March 30, 2007	
10.13	2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Automatic Stock Option Agreement for Non-Employee Directors	10-K	10.17	March 30, 2007	
10.14	2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement	10-K	10.18	March 30, 2007	
10.15	Executive Cash Incentive Plan	8-K	10.1	March 7, 2008	
10.16	Employment Offer Letter, dated October 15, 2008, between the Registrant and Raymond D. Cook	8-K	10.1	November 3, 2008	
10.17	Form of Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated February 22, 2010, entered into between the Registrant and Manouch Moshayedi and between the Registrant and Mark Moshayedi	8-K	10.1	March 18, 2011	
10.18	Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated February 22, 2010, entered into between the Registrant and Raymond D. Cook	8-K	10.2	March 18, 2011	
10.19	Severance and Change in Control Agreement, effective March 14, 2011 entered into between the Registrant and Robert M. Saman	8-K	10.3	March 18, 2011	
10.20	2010 Incentive Award Plan	DEF-14A	Appendix A	April 16, 2010	
10.21	First Amendment to the Registrant s 2010 Incentive Award Plan	8-K	10.2	May 24, 2011	
10.22	2010 Incentive Award Plan form of Employee Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement	S-8	99.2	May 28, 2010	
10.23	2010 Incentive Award Plan form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Grant Notice and Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement	S-8	99.3	May 28, 2010	
10.24	2010 Incentive Award Plan form of Non-Employee Director Stock Option Grant Notice and Stock Option Agreement	S-8	99.4	May 28, 2010	
21.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant			Filed herewith	
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP			Filed herewith	
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Filed herewith	
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Filed herewith	
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Furnished herewith	

# Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

		Incorporation by Reference		
Exhibit Index	Exhibit Description	Form	Exhibit	Filing Date
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			Furnished herewith
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document			
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document			
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document			
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document			
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document			

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

- \* The information in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall they be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act (including this Report), unless the Registrant specifically incorporates the foregoing information into those documents by reference.
- Pursuant to applicable securities laws and regulations, the Company is deemed to have complied with the reporting obligation relating to the submission of interactive data files in such exhibits and are not subject to liability under any anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws as long as the Company has made a good faith attempt to comply with the submission requirements and promptly amend the interactive data files after becoming aware that the interactive data files fail to comply with the submission requirements. Users of this data are advised that, pursuant to Rule 406T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed and otherwise are not subject to liability.