BERRY PETROLEUM CO
Form 425
December 10, 2013
Filed by LinnCo, LLC and Linn Energy, LLC

Commission File Nos. 001-35695 and 000-51719

Pursuant to Rule 425 Under the Securities Act of 1933

And Deemed Filed Pursuant to Rule 14a-12

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Subject Company: Berry Petroleum Company

Commission File No. 001-09735

Merger Overview
December 2013

Forward-Looking Statements
and Risk Factors

Statements made in these presentation slides and by representatives of LINN Energy, LLC, LinnCo, LLC and Berry Petroleum Company (collectively, the Companies) during the course of this presentation that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on certain assumptions and expectations made by the Companies which reflect management s experience, estimates and perception of historical trends, current conditions, anticipated future developments, potential for reserves and drilling, completion of current and future acquisitions, future distributions, future growth, benefits of acquisitions, future competitive position and other factors believed to be appropriate. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the control of the Companies, which may cause actual results to differ materially from those implied or anticipated in the forward-looking statements. These include risks relating to financial performance and results, the integration of Berry s business and operations with those of LINN Energy, indebtedness under the companies credit facilities and Senior Notes, access to capital markets, availability of sufficient cash flow to pay distributions and execute our business plan, prices and demand for natural gas, oil and natural gas liquids, the Companies ability to replace reserves and efficiently develop current reserves, LINN Energy s ability to make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms, the regulatory environment, availability of connections and equipment and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. See Risk Factors in LINN Energy, LinnCo and Berry s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Forms 10-Q, Registration Statement on Form S-4, each as amended, and any other public filings. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information or future events. The market data in this presentation has been prepared as of November 29, 2013, except as otherwise noted.

Transaction Overview

Mark E. Ellis
LINN Energy Chairman, President and CEO

Transaction Overview
Consideration
LinnCo to acquire Berry for 1.68 common shares of LinnCo
Transaction Value
~$4.9 billion (includes assumed debt)
Premium
~14% to Berry s closing price on November 1, 2013

~24% to Berry s 30-day average on November 1, 2013
~45% to Berry s closing price on February 20, 2013 (day prior to announcement)
Key Conditions
Subject to shareholder / unitholder approval of Berry, LINN Energy, and LinnCo
Timing
Shareholder / unitholder meetings December 16, 2013
Expected closing to be immediately after
4
ISS
(1)
Recommendation
LINN / LNCO
ISS recommends a vote FOR
all LINN Energy and LinnCo s proposals
Believe support for the merger is warranted
(1)
Institutional Shareholder Services Inc.
ISS Recommendation
BRY
ISS recommends Berry holders vote FOR
proposed merger with LINN Energy
Believe the deal is warranted given
the transaction s compelling strategic rationale

Expected Benefits to LINN
5
Expected to be accretive to cash available for distribution
Improves diversification, scale and growth potential
Increases LINN s production by ~30%
Increases LINN s liquids exposure
o

Berry s reserves are ~75% liquids
Significant California position
o
Upon closing, LINN will be the 5th largest producer in California
Significant operational and field synergies in the Permian Basin
Berry s long-life, low-decline, mature assets fit well
~15% decline rate
Reserve life of >18 years
Significant additional resources
Estimate Berry s probable and possible reserves total ~630 MMBoe
All stock consideration and greatly increased size result in significantly improved debt metrics

6
LINN Energy IPO in 2006 with initial enterprise value of ~$713 million
Completed or announced 60 transactions for ~$15 billion
(1)
Large, long-life diversified reserve base

LINN
Energy
And
Berry
Petroleum
($ in billions)
Current
(2)
PF Berry
(2)(3)
Equity market cap
$7.1
$10.3
Total net debt
7.1
8.8
Enterprise value
$14.2
$19.1
($ in billions)
Current
(4)
PF Berry
(3)(4)
Total proved reserves
~832 MMBoe
~1,107 MMBoe
% proved developed
64%
62%
% liquids
47%
54%
Reserve life-index
~17 years
~17 years
Gross productive wells
(5)
~16,000
~19,000
LINN Operations
Berry Operations
East Goldsmith
Field Acquisition
(1)
Includes pending Berry Petroleum (Berry) transaction and 15 acquisitions comprising the Appalachian Basin properties sol
(2)
Pro forma for the East Goldsmith Field acquisition and $500 million term loan facility.
(3)
Pro forma for pending merger with Berry, with an implied value of ~$4.9 billion as of the day prior to the updated exchange ra

conditions, including shareholder and unitholder approval.
(4)
Pro forma for the East Goldsmith Field acquisition and Panther divestiture.
(5)
Well count does not include ~2,500 royalty interest wells.
Note: Market data as of November 29, 2013 (LINE and LNCO closing prices of $30.42 and $31.18, respectively). Unless note
of proved reserves for the East Goldsmith Field acquisition were calculated as of the effective date of the acquisition using for
accordance with SEC rules and regulations. Estimates of proved reserves for the East Goldsmith Field acquisition based solely

MLP and Independent E&P
Size Rankings
LINN is one of the largest MLP and independent E&P companies
8
th
largest
public

MLP
/
LLC
(1)
12
th
largest
domestic
independent
oil
&
natural
gas
company
(1)
7
Note:
Market
data
as
of
November
29,
2013
(LINE
closing
price
of
$30.42).
Source:
Bloomberg.
(1)
Pro forma for pending Berry transaction, which remains subject to closing conditions, including shareholder and unitholder ap
Rank
Master Limited Partnership
Enterprise Value ($MM)
Rank
Independent E&P
Enterprise Value ($MM)
1.
Enterprise Products Partners
$76,565
1.
ConocoPhillips
$107,396
2.
Energy Transfer Equity
$57,957
2.
Occidental Petroleum Corp.

$80,511
3.
Kinder Morgan Energy Partners
$56,697
3.
Anadarko Petroleum Corp.
$56,278
4.
Energy Transfer Partners
$43,583
4.
EOG Resources Inc.
$50,035
5.
Williams Partners
$30,856
5.
Apache Corp.
$46,200
6.
Plains All American Pipeline
$25,850
6.
Chesapeake Energy Corp.
$34,834
7.
Plains GP Holdings LP
$23,185
7.
Marathon Oil Corporation
$31,454
8.
LINN Energy LLC
$19,121
8.
Devon Energy Corporation
$30,360
9.
ONEOK Partners
$17,788
9.
Noble Energy Inc.
$28,701
10.
Enbridge Energy Partners
$17,151
10.
Pioneer Natural Resources Co.
$26,937
11.

Magellan Midstream Partners
$16,558
11.
Continental Resources Inc.
$24,321
12.
Markwest Energy Partners
$15,138
12.
Linn Energy LLC (PF Berry)
$19,121
13.
Access Midstream Partners
$14,210
13.
Range Resources Corp.
$15,757
14.
Cheniere Energy Partners
$14,445
14.
EQT Corp.
$15,730
15.
El Paso Pipeline Partners
$13,345
15.
Cabot Oil & Gas Corp.
$15,671
16.
Western Gas Equity Partners
$12,379
16.
Southwestern Energy Co.
$15,492
17.
Buckeye Partners
$11,267
17.
Concho Resources Inc.
$14,577
18.
Boardwalk Pipeline Partners
$10,359
18.
Murphy Oil Corp.
$14,210
19.
Sunoco Logistics Partners
$9,779

19.
Denbury Resources Inc.
$9,364
20.
Spectra Energy Partners
$9,451
20.
Cimarex Energy Co.
$9,109
21.
Western Gas Partners
$8,854
21.
Whiting Petroleum Corp.
$9,053
22.
Targa Resources Partners
$8,472
22.
QEP Resources Inc.
$8,999
23.
Regency Energy Partners
$8,382
23.
Cobalt International Energy
$8,899
24.
Atlas Energy LP
$7,657
24.
MDU Resources Group Inc.
$7,589
25.
Nustar Energy LP
$6,644
25.
SM Energy Co.
$7,532
(1)

Strong, Diversified Reserve Base
Oil Proved Reserves Increase ~185 MMBbls
LINN Energy
~832 MMBoe
(~47% Liquids)
LINN Energy + Berry PF
~1,107 MMBoe

(~54% Liquids)
8
Mid-
Con
33%
Green River
20%
Hugoton
20%
Permian
12%
California
4%
Michigan
5%
Williston/
Powder River
4%
E. Texas
2%
Mid-
Con
25%
Green River
15%
Hugoton
15%
Permian
15%
California
14%
Rocky
Mountains
7%
Michigan
4%
Williston/
Powder River
3%
E. Texas
2%

Screened 189
opportunities
Bid 41 for
~$10.1 billion
Closed 13 for
~$1.4 billion
Screened 122

opportunities
Bid 31 for
~$7.5 billion
Closed 12 for
~$1.5 billion
Note:
Asset
Acquisitions
based
on
total
consideration.
(1)
Includes
pending
Berry
transaction,
which
remains
subject
to
closing
conditions,
including
shareholder
and
unitholder
approval.
(1)
Historical Acquisitions and Joint Venture
9
Screened 246
opportunities
Bid 20 for
~$9.2 billion
Closed 7 for
~$2.9 billion
2010
2011
2012
Total ~$11.3 Billion
Since 2010
YTD 2013
(1)
Screened 223
opportunities
Bid 10 for
~$7.9 billion
Closed or announced
3 for ~$5.5 billion

Asset Acquisitions
Pending Berry Transaction
LINN Has Created an Acquisition
Machine
$4.9
$0.6
$1.4
$1.5
$2.9
$5.5
$0.0
$1.0
$2.0
$3.0
$4.0
$5.0
$6.0
2010
2011
2012
2013TD

10
~$15 billion in acquisitions across 60 separate transactions
(1)
Strong record of:
(2)
Growth Through Accretive
Acquisitions

Value of Acquisitions Per Year
(1)
Evaluating acquisitions
Integrating assets
Pursuing multiple acquisitions simultaneously
$452
$2,627
$601
$1,367
$1,513
$2,850
$566
$4,911
$52
$78
$202
$654
$3,281
$3,882
$4,000
$5,367
$6,880
$9,730
$15,207
$0
$2,000
$4,000
$6,000
$8,000
$10,000
$12,000
$14,000
$16,000
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013TD
Cumulative Acquisitions
Acquisitions Completed In Year
C-Corp Acquisition
(1)
Includes
pending

Berry transaction and 15 acquisitions comprising the Appalachian Basin properties sold in July 2008. Berry transaction subject to closing conditions, including shareholder and unitholder approval.

(2) Includes pending Berry transaction, which remains subject to closing conditions, including shareholder and unitholder approval.

Central
Basin
Platform
Asset Overview
Net production ~4,800 Boe/d
Proved reserves of ~30 MMBoe (~70% oil)
o

Large infill drilling inventory
Reserves-to-production ratio of ~17 years
~98% operated working interest
124 producing wells on 6,250 net acres
o
Majority held by production
Asset provides both short and long-term
upside potential
Expect to drill ~300 wells over the next 4-5 years
o
Proven downspacing from 40 acres to 10 acres
Future horizontal Clearfork potential
Future Clearfork waterflood
o
Additional reserve potential of ~24 MMBoe
CO
2
flood potential in Glorieta, San Andres and Holt
intervals
11
East Goldsmith Field
$525 million acquisition of
properties located in the Central Basin Platform of
the Permian Basin closed on October 31.
Recent Permian Acquisition (Q3 13)
East Goldsmith Field

12
Efficiently integrated 60 separate transactions across multiple basins
Currently operate:
~70%
of
wells
15

total
operated
rigs
running
o
8
rigs
focusing
on
horizontal
drilling
7
primary
operated
regions
Strong
track
record
of
operational
performance
Operate
~210
horizontal
wells
in
the
Granite
Wash
o
Reduced
drilling
costs
by
~14%
year-to-date
o
Reduced
cycle
times
in
the
Granite
Wash
from
~54
days
in
2011
to

~35 days currently Operate ~370 wells in the Permian Basin
o Reduced drilling costs by ~15% year-to-date
o Reduced cycle times in the Wolfberry play from ~89 days in 2011 to ~54 days currently Implemented >320 maintenance and optimization projects since assuming operations in the Hugoton Basin during July 2012

Efficiency
through
economies
of
scale
Ability
to
manage
large,
technically
complex
capital
programs
Pad
drilling
techniques
Simultaneous-operations
processes
(SIM
Ops)
Efficient Integration and
Operations
Significant,
strategic
gas
gathering
systems
Jayhawk
Gas
Plant
Water
handling
infrastructure

13
LINN Operational Update
(1)
Operational data as of LINN Energy s Third Quarter 2013 Earnings Press Release, filed on October 28, 2013.
Granite Wash
8 rigs drilling in the region
12 Hogshooter wells producing in the Mayfield area with gross average IP rates of ~3,800 Boe/d

(~74% liquids)
(1)
Permian Basin
4 rigs drilling vertical Wolfberry wells
Drilled
68
wells
YTD
2013
and
have
reduced
costs
by
~15%
(1)
Potential for horizontal Wolfcamp and Spraberry
o
Spud 1 non-operated horizontal Wolfcamp well and expect to participate in another 3 during late 2013 or early 2014
o
Expect to spud 1 operated horizontal Wolfcamp well in 2014
Jonah Field
2
rigs
drilling
in
the
region;
participated
in
27
operated
and
non-operated
wells
in
2013
(1)
Expect
to
participate
in
an
additional
19
operated
and
non-operated
wells

by
year-end
2013,
with
an
additional
24
wells
expected
to
be
drilling
or
awaiting
completion
at
that
time
(1)
Hugoton Field
Commenced 1-rig drilling program in Q2 13
~400 potential drilling locations and plan to drill ~80 wells next year
Identified a significant number of locations to sustain program for the next ~5 years
o
2 rigs targeting the Hogshooter interval in the Mayfield area of western Oklahoma
o
6 rigs focused on developing high-return, liquids-rich opportunities in the Texas Panhandle

LINN
Berry
Pro Forma
Energy
(3)
+
Petroleum

=
LINN
Q3'13 PF Production (Boe/d)
~17,800
~8,355
~26,155
Proved Reserves (MMBoe)
97
63
160
Net Acreage
~104,000
~60,000
~164,000
Well Count (Gross)
~1,800
~325
~2,125
Significant operational and field
synergies in the Permian Basin
80% liquids
~160 MMBoe proved reserves
Production of >26,000 Boe/d in Q3 13
Currently running 7 combined rigs
(1)
Operational and reserve data as of December 31, 2012, pro forma for the East Goldsmith Field acquisition and pending Berry M
(2)
Includes LINN s New Mexico operations.
(3)
Pro forma for the East Goldsmith Field acquisition.
Permian Basin
Significant Improvement in Size and Scale
Overview
(1)(2)
14
Operations Map
Berry Acreage
Wolfberry Trend
LINN Acreage
DELAWARE
DELAWARE
BASIN
BASIN
CENTRAL
CENTRAL
BASIN
BASIN
PLATFORM
PLATFORM
MIDLAND

MIDLAND
BASIN
BASIN
Midland
Borden
Winkler
Upton
Permian Basin Operational Overview
NM
Eddy
Lea
Andrews
Ector
Ward
Crane
Pecos
Reagan
Crockett
Schieicher
Irion
Martin
Dawson
Howard
Garza
Stonewall
Shackleford
TX
Hockley
Combined position of >160,000 net
acres adds size and operational
scale

LINN
Berry
Energy
Petroleum
Net Acreage
~27,600
~32,000

Avg. Working Interest
94%
-
% Held by Production (HBP)
~100%
-
15
Permian Basin
Horizontal Wolfcamp Potential
(1)
Includes only current Wolfcamp operations.
Wolfcamp Operations Map
Overview
Wolfcamp Operational Overview
(1)
LINN Leases
Berry Leases
Currently active in non-operated horizontal
Wolfcamp (Diamondback operated) and
expect to begin operated activity in 2014
LINN s operational capabilities provide the
greatest opportunity to develop the
combined horizontal Wolfcamp acreage
Larger size and scope enhances combined
value
Experienced technical team
o
Operate ~210 horizontal Granite Wash
Better access to capital
Currently evaluating multiple strategies to
maximize value for its Permian position
Drilling the acreage ourselves
Joint-ventures
Asset-trades for producing assets

Strategic Highlights
Robert F. Heinemann
Berry Petroleum President and CEO

Expected Benefits to Berry
17
Berry
believes
that
LINN
is

offering
a
compelling
value
to
Berry
shareholders

Berry shareholders to receive 1.68 common shares of LinnCo

o
~14% premium to Berry s closing price on November 1, 2013
o
~24% premium to Berry s 30-day average on November 1, 2013
o
~45% premium to Berry s closing price on February 20, 2013 (day prior to announcement)

LINN s tax attributes and unique structure benefit Berry shareholders

Significant dividend increase
(1)

Represents an increase of ~9x

Berry s assets fit well in an MLP / yield structure

Meaningful increases to a more diverse set of reserves and production

Significantly increases size and scale with lower cost and greater access to capital

Berry believes that LINN is the most logical buyer; Berry did not receive a topping
bid after the initial announcement

(1)
Subject to the declaration by the Boards of Directors of LINN Energy and LinnCo.

Berry s Board and management believe negotiated terms are in the best interest of shareholders.

Key Statistics
2011
2012
2013E
Production (Boe/d)
35,687
36,402

40,500
40,800

Oil
24,771
27,393
32,400
32,600

Oil Percentage
70%
75%
~80%

Nat Gas
10,916
~9,000
-5 to 10%
Total Capital ($MM)
$527
$675
~$600
276 MMBoe Proved Reserves Year End 2012
2013 Capital Distribution
Overview
Berry Petroleum is a Denver-based independent E&P
company focused on developing its oil assets in the:
San Joaquin Basin in California
Uinta Basin in Utah
Permian Basin in Texas
Berry s
strategy
is
to
grow
oil
production
10%
-
15%
per year while generating top quartile operating
margins to increase its Cash Flow per Share at a
double-digit pace
Berry Petroleum Overview
18

Invest only in the development of crude oil
Increase oil production from five assets in three basins
Improve margin from oil growth and improved marketing realizations
Balance cash flow and capital investment to minimize issuing equity

Combine the four parts of the plan to drive cash
flow per share growth
Growth from Assets in Three Oil Basins
Cash Flow Per Share
Invest in Consistent Oil Growth
Commentary
19
Berry s Business Plan

Highlights from Last 12 Months
Production
Growth
of
5
Oily
Assets

(Q3 12

Q3 13)
Oil production has grown 20% since Q3 12
with total production growing ~14%
Production mix increased to ~80% oil
Diatomite production increased from 3,500
Boe/d to 5,260 Boe/d and New Steam Floods
grew 71% to 3,300 Boe/d
Berry s 2013 total margin is ~$49 / Boe
20
Berry s 2013 Performance
Top-Tier Operating Margins
Q3
2013
Margin
Per
BOE,
BRY
vs.
Peers
(1)
(1)
Peers
include:
BBG,
COG,
DNR,
FST,
KWK,
LPI,
NFX,
ROSE,
SD,
SFY,
SGY,
SM,
WLL,
XEC.
0
10,000
20,000
30,000
40,000
Q3 2012
Q3 2013
Uinta: +36%
Permian: +22%
NSF: +71%
Diatomite: +50%

SMWSS: -3%

Stable
production
from
legacy
assets
in
the

9
th
largest U.S. field
Produced 12,275 Bo/d in Q3, 92% NRI
Produce
heavy
crude
(13°
API)
using
steam
injection with development focused on deeper pay
zones and continuous injection in flanks
South Midway expected to deliver ~$250 MM of
free cash flow in 2013
Successfully maximizing cash flow and achieving
more
shallow
decline
than
5%
-
8%
forecast
South Midway Production History
Asset Highlights
South Midway-Sunset Field Map
Continuous Steam Injection at South Midway
21
South Midway-Sunset

22
Diatomite has 360 million barrels of oil in place on 540 acres, targeting ~1,000 wells on 5/8 acre spacing
Focusing on consistently growing the completion count, integrating technology and operations to deliver production growth
Accelerating conversion to steam flooding from cyclic

steaming at McKittrick 21Z should enhance
performance, drive production growth and value
Strategy is to pursue other smaller developments and
bolt-on opportunities to leverage expertise
Asset Highlights
North Midway Assets
Diatomite Quarterly Production
Note: Data provided by seller. Source: Berry Petroleum.
McKittrick 21Z Quarterly Production
North Midway-Sunset

Compiled ~122,000 net acres with ~75 MMBoe of risked resource since entering basin in 2003
Current production on 40-acre spacing; historically 60% crude oil and 40% gas
Significant drilling inventory targeting the Green River and Wasatch reservoirs
Improving margins through railing crude oil to

markets outside of Salt Lake City
Reduced average drilling days from 12 days in 2012 to fewer than 8 days in 2013
Asset Highlights
Uinta Resource Development
Drilling Days by Quarter
Risked Production Profile
23
Uinta Assets
0
5,000
10,000
15,000
20,000
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
0
4
8
12
16
Q1 '12
Q2 '12
Q3 '12
Q4 '12
Q1 '13
Q2 '13
Q3 '13
14
6

Receiving Value for Heavy Oil Assets
Berry Heavy Oil Assets Fit MLP Profile
Observations
Merger Benefits for Berry
24
Combined size and scale can fully maximize the value of Berry s assets
Berry s long-lived reserves with shallow decline are an ideal fit for the Upstream MLP model

Merger
is
a
tax-free
event
for
Berry
shareholders
with
an
approximate
9x
increase
in
the
dividend
(1)
The pro forma company has greater asset and geographic diversification
LINN s conservative hedging strategy protects cash flow for 4-6 years
Potential for further upside as LINN provides:
(1)
Subject to the declaration by the Boards of Directors of LINN Energy and LinnCo.
0
3,000
6,000
9,000
12,000
15,000
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013E
Shallow 1.5 % (CAGR) decline in
production from S. Midway Asset Team
$0
$100
$200
$300
$400
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013E
S. Midway Free Cash Flow
S. Midway Capital
Established acquisition and growth track record
Proven technical teams which are complimentary to Berry s

Financial Highlights
Kolja Rockov
LINN Energy Executive Vice President and CFO

26
First ever acquisition of a public C-Corp. by an upstream LLC
or MLP
Transaction value of $4.9 billion, including assumed debt of ~$1.7 billion
Expected to be accretive to cash available for distribution
Accretion expected to increase in subsequent years
All stock consideration and greatly increased size result in

significantly improved debt metrics
Transaction provides additional liquidity and financial flexibility
Greater access to capital markets
Increases access to institutional market
Liquidity / float of LNCO increases ~3x
Financial Highlights

27
Reduces Tax
Reporting
Burdens
Shareholders receive Form 1099 rather than a Schedule K-1
No state income tax filing requirements
No UBTI

(1)
implications
Efficient Tax
Structure
Estimated tax at LinnCo
(2)
estimated to be $0.00, $0.01 and
$0.07 per share for 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively
Simple & Fair
Structure
1 LinnCo share = 1 vote of LINN unit
Similar economic interest
Expands Investor
Base and Access
to Capital
Institutions
Tax-exempt organizations
Incremental retail investors (including IRA accounts)
Tax-Efficient Way
to Acquire E&P
C-Corps.
Both private and public
(1)
Unrelated business taxable income.
(2)
Includes pending Berry merger and assumes current strip prices and estimated capital spending.
LinnCo Structure
Advantages

28
LLC
Units
LinnCo
Common
Shares
Current *distribution*

of $2.90 / unit
(1)
Schedule K-1 (partnership)
Current *dividend*
of $2.90 / share
(2)
Form 1099 (C-Corp.)
LLC
Units
Investors now have the ability to own LINN Energy two ways:
LINE (Partnership for tax purposes / K-1)
LNCO (C-Corp. for tax purposes / 1099)
Tax liability to LinnCo on LINN
Energy s distribution estimated to
be $0.00, $0.01 and $0.07 per
share for 2013, 2014 and 2015,
respectively
(3)
$2.90
Distribution
$2.90
Distribution
$2.90
Dividend
(1)
Represents
the
current
annualized
cash
distribution
of
$2.90
per
unit.
(2)
Represents the current annualized cash dividend of $2.90 per share.
(3)
Includes pending Berry merger and assumes current strip prices and estimated capital spending.
LinnCo Structure
Overview
LINE
LNCO
LINE
Unitholders
LNCO
Shareholders
LINN Energy, LLC

Natural Gas Positions
Percent Puts
(3)
Swaps
Puts
(2)
29

Oil Positions
Percent Puts
(3)
Swaps
(4)
Puts
Significant Hedge Position
(Graphs Do Not Include Pending Berry Transaction)
LINN is hedged ~100% on expected natural gas production through 2017;
~100% on expected oil production through 2016
LINN partnered with Berry to hedge a portion of the production from the transaction
before closing
As a result, Berry is significantly hedged for 2014 (~90% hedged) on expected oil production
Note: Except as otherwise indicated, illustrations represent full-year hedge positions as of September 30, 2013.
(1)
Represents the period October-December 2013.
(2)
Excludes natural gas puts used to indirectly hedge NGL revenues.
(3)
Calculated as percentage of hedged volume in the form of puts.
(4)
Includes certain outstanding fixed price oil swaps of approximately 5,384 MBbls which may be extended annually at prices of
and December 31, 2018, and $90.00 per Bbl for the year ending December 31, 2019, if the counterparties determine that the st
respective preceding year. The extension for each year is exercisable without respect to the other years.

C-Corp. Peers
% Hedged
(1)
Note: LINN s hedge percentages based on internal estimates. Excludes NGL production and natural gas puts used to hedge N
Source: Production estimates based on Bloomberg consensus, and hedge information based on publicly available sources.
(1)
Represents simple average and peer group includes: CLR, FST, XEC, KWK, NFX, PXD, PXP, RRC, SWN and WLL.

(2)
Represents simple average and peer group includes: BBEP, EVEP, LGCY, LRE, MEMP, MCEP, PSE, QRE and VNR.
LINN s cash flow is notably more protected from oil and natural gas price
uncertainty than its C-Corp. and Upstream MLP / LLC peers
Significant Hedge Position (Equivalent Basis)
(Does Not Include Pending Berry Transaction)
% Swaps
% Puts
30
Upstream MLP / LLC
Peers % Hedged
(2)
63%
65%
70%
69%
54%
37%
35%
30%
31%
25%
100%
100%
100%
100%
79%
47%
20%
4%
1%
1%
71%
49%
29%
16%
9%
0%
20%
40%
60%
80%
100%
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017

2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012

2013
LINN s Distribution Stability
and Growth
31
Distribution History
(2)
Stability During Credit Crisis
LINN has performed well through all kinds of commodity price cycles
Distribution
stability
maintained
throughout
the
Credit
Crisis
(i.e.
2008

2009)
16
out
of
74
MLPs
(23%)
were
forced
to
reduce
or
suspend
distributions
(1)
WTI Crude Oil
Henry Hub Natural Gas
Quarterly Distributions
Source for commodity prices: Bloomberg.
(1)
Source:
Wells
Fargo
Securities,
LLC
research
note
entitled
MLP
Primer
-
-

Fourth
Edition
published
on
November 19, 2010.
(2)
The Q1 2006 distribution, adjusted for the partial period from the Company's closing of the IPO on January 19, 2006 through M
NGLs (Mont Belvieu)
$0.40
$0.40
$0.43
$0.52
$0.52
$0.57
$0.57
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.63
$0.66
$0.66
$0.66
$0.69
$0.69
$0.69
$0.73
$0.73
$0.73
$0.73
$0.73
$0.73
$0.73
$0.73
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2

Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
$0
$2
$4
$6
$8
$10
$12
$14
$16
$18
$0
$20
$40
$60
$80
$100
$120
$140
$160
$180

$20.20
0.40
0.43
0.52
0.52
0.57
0.57

0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.63
0.66
0.66
0.66
0.69
0.69
0.69
0.73
0.73
0.73
0.73
0.73
0.73
0.73
0.73
$0.40
$0.80
$1.23
$1.75
$2.27
$2.84
$3.41
$4.04
$4.67
$5.30
$5.93
$6.56
$7.19
$7.82
$8.45
$9.08
$9.71
$10.34
$11.00
$11.66
$12.32
$13.01
$13.70
$14.39
$15.12

$15.84
$16.57
$17.29
$18.02
$18.74
$19.47
$-
$2.00
$4.00
$6.00
$8.00
$10.00
$12.00
$14.00
$16.00
$18.00
$20.00
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Q1
Q2
Q3
Q4
Distribution History

Distribution History
32
Quarterly Distribution
Cumulative Distribution
Consistently paid distribution for 32 quarters
81% increase in quarterly distribution since January 2006 IPO
(1)
(1)
The Q1 2006 distribution, adjusted for the partial period from the Company's closing of the IPO on January 19, 2006 through M
(2)
Includes December s distribution / dividend to be paid December 17, 2013 (LINE) and December 18, 2013 (LNCO), respectiv
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
(1)
2012
2013
(2)

Note:
Market
data
as
of
November
29,

2013
(LINE
and
BRY
closing
price
of
$30.42
and
$50.32,
respectively).
Source:
Bloomberg.
LINN
Total
Return
and
Unit
Price
Appreciation
(LINE
IPO

Present of ~190%)
LINN Historical Return
33
(125%)
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
(75%)
(25%)
25%
75%
125%
175%
225%
275%
~66%
~67%
~64%
~207%
~190%
LINE Total Return (TR)
BRY Total Return (TR)

Alerian MLP TR Index
S&P Mid-Cap E&P TR Index
S&P 500 TR Index

Vanguard
Breitburn
EV Energy
Legacy
QR Energy
Atlas Resource
Pioneer

Memorial
LRR Energy
Mid-Con Energy
$14.2 Billion
$0.0
$5.0
$10.0
$15.0
$20.0
LINN Energy
All Others
(11 MLPs)
34
Current Landscape for E&P MLPs
LINN has a significant size advantage in the E&P MLP / LLC market
E&P market presents significantly more acquisition opportunities than rest of MLP market
E&P sector has room to grow; $40 billion versus $739 billion for all other sectors
LINE vs. Other Upstream MLPs
MLP / LLC Total EV: $779 Billion
Note: Market data as of November 29, 2013 (LINE and LNCO closing price of $30.42 and $31.18, respectively).
Source: Bloomberg and FactSet.
(1) Pro forma for pending Berry transaction, which remains subject to closing conditions, including shareholder and unitholder ap
LINN (PF Berry)

(1)
$19.1 Billion
$21.3 Billion
$40
Billion
$739
Billion
E&P MLP /
LLC
5%
All Others
95%
Greater access to capital markets
Ability to complete larger transactions

35
(1)
Does
not
include
pending
Berry

transaction due to the fractional impact to the Company's quarterly guidance as a result of the potential December 16, 2013 closing.

(2)
Percentage growth estimate calculated by removing production volumes associated with the Panther assets.

(3)
Excess (shortfall) of net cash provided by (used in) operating activities after distributions to unitholders and discretionary adju

Updated Q4 2013 Guidance

(1)
LINN reiterates Q4 estimated production guidance of ~840 - 860 MMcfe/d

2013E organic production growth of 8 - 10% remains on-track (in spite

of
severe
winter
weather
in
the
Permian
and
Mid-Continent
regions)
(2)
LINN s
updated
Q4
excess
of
net
cash
(3)

is
expected
to
be
~5
-
10%
above
the
Company s
current
distribution
amount
compared
to
previous
guidance
of
0%
(1)
Production on-track
NGL prices continue to increase
Continuing to realize lower operating expenses
Berry s
2013
estimated
production
is
expected
to

be
~40,800
Boe/d,
representing
the
high
end
of
its
previously
updated
guidance
Q4 production expected to be ~44,000 Boe/d
Improving LINN s distribution stability
Generated excess of net cash
(3)
in Q3 (~1% above the distribution amount)
Expect
to
generate
excess
of
net
cash
(3)
in
Q4
(~5
-
10%
above
the
Company s
distribution amount)
(1)
Pending Berry merger expected to be accretive to cash available for distribution

Combined company will be one of the largest independent oil and natural gas companies in North America
Combined company has a geographically diverse, long lived asset base with strong and stable cash flow
Potential for production optimization and cost savings
Substantial size can be a benefit in the MLP market
Accretive to LINN s cash available for distribution

Berry
shareholders
have
the
opportunity
to
participate
in
future
upside
LINN and LinnCo are currently trading at historically high yields (~10% & ~9% for
LINN & LinnCo, respectively)
Summary
36
Pro forma production of ~180,000 Boe/d
Proved reserves of approximately >1.1 billion Boe (54 percent liquids)
LINN
targets
hedging
~100%
of
expected
production
for
4
-
6
years
Identified ~$20 million of synergies in G&A
Greater access to capital markets
Ability to complete larger transactions
Accretion expected to increase in subsequent years
Proven acquisition track record
Potential to revert to historically lower yields

38

Berry Bonds and Revolver Post-Closing

Berry Bonds

Transaction triggers Change of Control and investor option to put bonds at 101% of par;

LINN plans to make a Change of Control offer pursuant to the indenture

If bonds are put, LINN has sufficient liquidity to redeem any tendered Berry bonds

~$2.7 billion of pro forma capacity

~$1.1 billion of Berry bonds outstanding
Berry will be an unrestricted LINN subsidiary with ~$435 million of initial restricted payments capacity
Berry bond coupons and maturities fit well within existing LINN bond complex
Berry Revolver
LINN has received lender commitments for the following:
Maintain Berry s existing $1.2 billion revolver post-closing ($1.4 billion borrowing base)
Conform material terms and covenants of the Berry revolver to match LINN revolver
At closing, Berry revolver will be fully drawn with proceeds available to LINN
LINN intends to use any cash distributed from Berry, up to the initial restricted payments capacity of ~$435 million, to reduce borrowings under its own revolver
Excess cash of ~$100 million would remain on Berry s balance sheet to fund capex or to be distributed to LINN in the future if Berry generates additional restricted payments capacity
Significantly enhances LINN s liquidity and positions balance sheet for future growth

39
Capital Structure (9/30/13)
(1)
Pro forma
for
the
financing

of
LINN s
$525
million
East
Goldsmith
Field
acquisition,
which
closed
on
October 31.
(2)
Berry s initial restricted payments capacity allows up to ~$435 million to be distributed to LINN. At closing, Berry s revolve
to reduce borrowings under its own revolver. Excess cash would remain on Berry s balance sheet to fund capex or to be distri
(3)
LINN and Berry had outstanding letters of credit of ~$5 million and ~$27 million, respectively, at September 30, 2013, which
($ in millions)
LINN
Pro Forma Capital Structure at 9/30/13
Summary Balance Sheet
Before Merger
(1)
Adjustments
(2)
After Merger
Cash and cash equivalents
$27
$

$27
Credit facility
(1)
$1,730
$(435)
$1,295
Term loan due 2018
(1)
500

500
Senior notes:
6.50% Senior notes due 2019
750

750
6.25% Senior notes due 2019
1,800

1,800

8.625% Senior notes due 2020
1,300

1,300
7.75% Senior notes due 2021
1,000

1,000
4,850

4,850
Total debt
$7,080
$(435)
$6,645
Availability
Credit facility note amount
$4,000
$

$4,000
Less: outstanding borrowings + LCs
(3)
(1,735)
435
(1,300)
Undrawn capacity
$2,265
$435
$2,700
Berry
Pro Forma Capital Structure at 9/30/13
Summary Balance Sheet
Before Merger
Adjustments
(2)
After Merger
Cash and cash equivalents
$24
$102
$126
Credit facility
$636
$537
$1,173
Senior notes:
10.25% Senior notes due 2014
205

205

6.75% Senior notes due 2020
300

300
6.375% Senior notes due 2022
600

600
1,105

1,105
Total debt
$1,741
$537
$2,278
Availability
Credit facility note amount
$1,200
$

$1,200
Less: outstanding borrowings + LCs
(3)
(663)
(537)
(1,200)
Undrawn capacity
$537
$(537)
$

Appendix
Substantial Institutional Yield Market
40
LinnCo structure allows LINN to access the much larger institutional market
MLP sector has grown tremendously but still remains primarily retail
Note: Market data as of November 29, 2013. Source for MLP Enterprise Value chart: R.W. Baird Equity Research and FactSe
Source for table: Wells Fargo Securities, LLC.

MLP Sector s Enterprise Value Growth
Top-10 Equity Income Mutual Funds
Average MLP
Average MLP
Time Period
Follow-Ons ($MM)
Issuances / Year
2003 - 2009
$150.9
42
2010 - 2013TD
$251.8
60
% Increase
67%
43%
The Alerian MLP Index
yields ~5.9%
The top-10 equity income mutual funds have an
aggregate of ~$348 billion of assets and an average
portfolio yield of ~1.6%.
American Funds
Washington
$68B
Dodge & Cox
Stock
$52B
Vanguard
Windsor Funds
$46B
American
Funds
American
Mutual
$31B
Blackrock Equity
Dividend
$31B
T. Rowe Price
Equity Income
$31B
MFS Value
$29B
Vanguard
Value Index
$27B
T. Rowe Price
Value
$17B
Mutual

Shares
$16B
$0
$100
$200
$300
$400
$500
$600
$700
$800
$900

Additional Information about the Proposed Transactions and Where to Find It

In connection with the proposed transactions, LinnCo and LINN have filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), and the SEC has declared effective on November 14, 2013, a registration statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-187484) that includes a joint proxy statement of LinnCo, LINN and Berry that also constitutes a prospectus of LINN and LinnCo. LinnCo and LINN filed a definitive proxy statement/prospectus with the SEC on November 14, 2013. LinnCo and LINN also plan to file other relevant documents with the SEC regarding the proposed transactions. INVESTORS ARE URGED TO READ THE JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS AND OTHER RELEVANT DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC IF AND WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE, BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. You may obtain a free copy of the joint proxy statement/prospectus and other relevant documents filed by LinnCo, LINN and Berry with the SEC at the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. You may also obtain these documents by contacting LINN s and LinnCo s Investor Relations department at (281) 840-4193 or via e-mail at ir@linnenergy.com or by contacting Berry s Investor Relations department at (866) 472-8279 or via e-mail at ir@bry.com.

Participants in the Solicitation

LinnCo, LINN and Berry and their respective directors and executive officers and other members of management and employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies in respect of the proposed transactions. Information about LinnCo and LINN s directors and executive officers is available in the Registration Statement on Form S-4 relating to the merger. Information about Berry s directors and executive officers is available in Berry s Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2012, dated April 30, 2013. Other information regarding the participants in the proxy solicitations and a description of their direct and indirect interests, by security holdings or otherwise, will be contained in the joint proxy statement/prospectus and other relevant materials to be filed with the SEC regarding the proposed transactions when they become available. Investors should read the joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully when it becomes available before making any voting or investment decisions. You may obtain free copies of these documents from Berry, LINN or LinnCo using the sources indicated above.

This document shall not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities, nor shall there be any sale of securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction. No offering of securities shall be made except by means of a prospectus meeting the requirements of Section 10 of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains forward-looking statements, which are all statements other than statements of historical facts. These forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Important economic, political, regulatory, legal, technological, competitive and other uncertainties are identified in the documents filed with the SEC by LINN and LinnCo from time to time, including their respective Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K. The forward-looking statements including in this press release are made only as of the date hereof. None of LINN nor LinnCo undertakes any obligation to update the forward-looking statements included in this press release to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.