

City Office REIT, Inc.
Form S-11/A
December 04, 2014
Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 4, 2014

Registration No. 333-199319

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO
FORM S-11

FOR REGISTRATION UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933
OF SECURITIES OF CERTAIN REAL ESTATE COMPANIES

City Office REIT, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in governing instruments)

1075 West Georgia Street

Edgar Filing: City Office REIT, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Suite 2600

Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 3C9

Tel: (604) 806-3366

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Anthony Maretic

Chief Financial Officer

City Office REIT, Inc.

1075 West Georgia Street

Suite 2600

Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 3C9

Tel: (604) 806-3366

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

COPIES TO:

Stephen T. Giove, Esq.

Robert Evans III, Esq.

Shearman & Sterling LLP

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 848-4000

Facsimile: (212) 848-7179

David C. Wright, Esq.

Trevor K. Ross, Esq.

Hunton & Williams LLP

Riverfront Plaza, East Tower

951 E. Byrd Street

Richmond, Virginia 23219

Telephone: (804) 788-8200

Facsimile: (804) 788-8218

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this registration statement.

If any of the Securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act, check the following box. "

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, check the following box. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer "
 Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company "

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Amount to be Registered ⁽¹⁾	Proposed Maximum	Proposed Maximum	Amount of Registration Fee ⁽³⁾
		Aggregate Offering Price Per Share ⁽²⁾	Aggregate Offering Price ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	3,450,000	\$13.12	\$45,264,000	\$5,259.68

(1) Includes 450,000 shares subject to the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares.

- (2) Estimated solely for the purposes of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(c) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The price per share and aggregate offering price are based on the average of the high and low price of City Office REIT, Inc.'s common stock on November 26, 2014, as reported on The New York Stock Exchange.
- (3) In reliance upon Rule 457(p) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, the registration filing fee of \$5,259.68 due for this offering is offset by \$3,946.34 of \$4,214.74, which was previously paid with respect to unsold securities that were previously registered pursuant to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-193219), initially filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on January 7, 2014 by City Office REIT, Inc. In addition, of the registration filing fee due for this offering, \$116.20 was previously paid in connection with the initial filing of this registration statement and \$1,197.14 was paid on October 24, 2014.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This preliminary prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion,

Preliminary Prospectus dated December 4, 2014

PRELIMINARY PROSPECTUS

3,000,000 Shares

City Office REIT, Inc.

Common Stock

City Office REIT, Inc. is an externally managed Maryland corporation focused on acquiring, owning and operating high-quality office properties located within our specified target markets, which are located in metropolitan areas in the Southern and Western United States. We are externally managed by City Office Real Estate Management Inc. (our Advisor). As described more fully in this prospectus, our Advisor is an affiliate of Second City Capital Partners II, Limited Partnership, a real estate-focused private equity fund founded in 2010 that manages a \$400 million office building and multifamily platform with a national footprint.

We are offering 3,000,000 shares of our common stock.

Our common stock is listed on The New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol CIO. The last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE on December 1, 2014 was \$13.26 per share.

We intend to elect, and to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us, to qualify as a real estate investment trust for U.S. federal income tax purposes (REIT) commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014. Shares of our common stock are subject to limitations on ownership and transfer that are primarily intended to assist us in qualifying as a REIT. Our charter generally prohibits any person from actually, beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our common stock or more than 9.8% in value of the aggregate outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. See the section entitled Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer included in this prospectus.

At our request, the underwriters have reserved for sale, at the public offering price, up to 130,000 of the shares offered by this prospectus for sale to our directors and executive officers, employees of our Advisor, other persons having a

business relationship with us, our affiliates, or our Advisor (the Reserved Shares). No underwriting discount will be paid to the underwriters for the Reserved Shares.

We are an emerging growth company as the term is used in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 and, as such, have elected to comply with certain reduced public company reporting requirements.

Investing in our common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors beginning on page 15 of this prospectus to read about factors that you should consider before investing in our common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

	Per Share	Total
Public offering price	\$	\$
Underwriting discount ^{(1) (2)}	\$	\$
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$	\$

(1) The underwriters will receive compensation in addition to the underwriting discount. See Underwriting.

(2) Reflects that no underwriting discount will be paid to the underwriters for the Reserved Shares.

We have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 450,000 additional shares of our common stock at the public offering price less the underwriting discount for 30 days after the date of this prospectus to cover over-allotments, if any.

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares of common stock to purchasers on or about , 2014 through the book-entry facilities of The Depository Trust Company.

Book-Running Managers

Janney Montgomery Scott

Wunderlich Securities
Co-Managers

Oppenheimer & Co.

Compass Point

D.A. Davidson & Co.

The date of this prospectus is , 2014

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Prospectus Summary</u>	1
<u>Risk Factors</u>	15
<u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	40
<u>Structure and Formation of Our Company</u>	42
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	44
<u>Distribution Policy</u>	45
<u>Capitalization</u>	46
<u>Dilution</u>	47
<u>Market Price of Our Common Stock and Distributions</u>	48
<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	49
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	51
<u>Industry Overview</u>	62
<u>Business</u>	71
<u>Management</u>	102
<u>Executive and Director Compensation</u>	109
<u>Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement</u>	115
<u>Conflicts of Interest</u>	120
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions</u>	123
<u>Policies with respect to Certain Activities</u>	128
<u>Principal Stockholders</u>	132
<u>Description of Stock</u>	133
<u>Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws</u>	139
<u>Description of the Partnership Agreement of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P.</u>	145
<u>Shares Eligible for Future Sale</u>	160
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations</u>	162
<u>ERISA Considerations</u>	179
<u>Underwriting</u>	181
<u>Legal Matters</u>	184
<u>Experts</u>	184
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	184

Table of Contents

We have not, and the underwriters and their affiliates and agents have not, authorized any person to provide any information or represent anything about us other than what is contained in this prospectus. None of the information on our website referred to in this prospectus is incorporated by reference herein. We do not, and the underwriters and their affiliates and agents do not, take any responsibility for, and can provide no assurance as to the reliability of, any information that others may provide to you. We are not, and the underwriters and their affiliates and agents are not, making an offer to sell or soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted. No action is being taken in any jurisdiction outside the United States to permit a public offering of the common stock or possession or distribution of this prospectus in any jurisdiction. Any persons who come into possession of this prospectus in jurisdictions outside the United States is required to inform themselves about and to observe any restrictions as to this offering and the distribution of this prospectus to that jurisdiction. You should assume that the information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or any sale of shares of our common stock. Our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects may have changed since that date.

INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA

We use market data and industry forecasts throughout this prospectus and, in particular, in the sections entitled **Industry Overview** and **Business**. Unless otherwise indicated, statements in this prospectus concerning our industry and the markets in which we operate, including our general expectations, competitive position, business opportunity and market size, growth and share, are based on information obtained from industry publications, government publications and third party forecasts. The forecasts and projections are based upon industry surveys and the preparers experience in the industry. There can be no assurance that any of the projections will be achieved. We believe that the surveys and market research performed by others are reliable, but we have not independently verified this information. Accordingly, the accuracy and completeness of the information is not guaranteed.

ENFORCEMENT OF CIVIL LIABILITIES

Some of the members of our board of directors, our officers and the principals of our Advisor reside in Canada, our Advisor is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada, and all or a significant portion of the assets of such persons are located in Canada. As a result, it may not be possible for investors to effect service of process within the United States or in any other jurisdiction outside Canada upon such persons or to enforce against them in courts of any jurisdiction outside Canada judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States. Second City and our Advisor have appointed National Corporate Research, Ltd., as agent to receive service of process with respect to any action brought against them, respectively, in any federal or state court in the State of New York arising from this offering.

Table of Contents**PROSPECTUS SUMMARY**

The following is a summary of material information discussed in this prospectus. This summary is not complete and does not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in our common stock. You should read this entire prospectus carefully, including the risks discussed under the section entitled Risk Factors and our financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus, before making an investment decision to purchase shares of our common stock. Some of the statements in this summary constitute forward-looking statements. See Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Unless the context suggests otherwise, references in this prospectus to City Office, company, we, us and our are to City Office REIT, Inc., a Maryland corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which we are the sole general partner and through which we conduct substantially all of our business (our operating partnership), except where it is clear from the context that the term only means the issuer of the shares of common stock in this offering. Our operations prior to our initial public offering described in this prospectus refer to the historical operations of the City Office Predecessor (as defined below), and we have generally described in this prospectus the historical operations of the City Office Predecessor business as if they were conducted by us. Our Advisor refers to our external advisor, City Office Real Estate Management Inc. Second City refers to Second City Capital Partners II, Limited Partnership. Second City GP refers to Second City General Partner II, Limited Partnership. Gibralt refers to Gibralt US, Inc. GCC Amberglen refers to GCC Amberglen Investments Limited Partnership. CIO OP refers to CIO OP Limited Partnership. CIO REIT refers to CIO REIT Stock Limited Partnership and CIO REIT Stock GP Limited Partnership. The Second City Group refers to Second City, any future real estate funds created by the principals of Second City, Second City GP, Gibralt, GCC Amberglen, CIO OP, CIO REIT and Daniel Rapaport.

Unless otherwise indicated, the information contained in this prospectus is as of September 30, 2014 and assumes that (1) 3,000,000 shares of our common stock are sold at an assumed public offering price of \$13.26 per share, which was the last reported sale price of our common stock on December 1, 2014 as reported on the NYSE, (2) the underwriters over-allotment option is not exercised and (3) with respect to our property-level information, our acquisition of the Florida Research Park property, which we acquired on November 18, 2014, occurred as of September 30, 2014.

All ownership interests in our properties represent economic interest unless otherwise indicated.

City Office REIT, Inc.

We are an externally managed Maryland corporation focused on acquiring, owning and operating high-quality (Class A and B) office properties located within our specified target markets in the United States. We currently have 12 target markets, which are located in metropolitan areas in the Southern and Western United States. We believe that our target markets possess a number of the following characteristics: favorable economic growth trends, growing populations with above average employment growth forecasts, a large number of government offices, large international, national and regional employers across diversified industries, low-cost centers for business operations, proximity to large universities and increasing office occupancy rates. We also believe that there is a lower level of participation of large institutional investors in our target markets because they generally have concentrated on Gateway markets, which are commonly defined as New York, Los Angeles, Washington, D.C., Boston, Chicago and San Francisco. In addition, we believe that our target markets offer the opportunity for attractive risk-adjusted returns because these markets exhibit positive economic and demographic trends and ownership is often concentrated among local real estate operators that typically do not benefit from the same access to capital as public REITs. We also believe that new construction of office properties has been limited in our target markets since 2008 because rental

rates in these markets have generally not supported new development. We anticipate identifying additional target markets with the foregoing characteristics in the future. Within our target markets, we primarily focus on acquiring properties with a purchase price between \$20 million and \$50 million and capitalization (cap) rates, which we define as the ratio between the net operating income that a particular property will generate after fixed and variable costs and the price paid to acquire such property, between seven and nine percent, as we believe that large institutional investors and public REITs are generally focused on larger acquisition opportunities. According to data compiled by SNL Financial LLC

Table of Contents

from 2013 through June 30, 2014, only 25% of non-development office property acquisitions made by public U.S. REITs had a purchase price of less than \$54 million. Additionally, we believe that it is challenging for many local buyers in our target markets to raise the debt and equity capital necessary to complete real estate transactions in excess of \$20 million.

We own nine office complexes comprised of 21 office buildings (our properties) with a total of approximately 2.34 million square feet of net rentable area (NRA) in the metropolitan areas of Boise (ID), Dallas (TX), Denver (CO), Portland (OR), Tampa (FL), Allentown (PA) and Orlando (FL). We believe that our properties are high quality assets that provide excellent access to transportation options, are located near affluent neighborhoods, contain extensive amenities and are well maintained. We also believe that our properties have a stable and diverse tenant base, including federal and state governmental agencies and national and regional businesses. As of September 30, 2014, approximately 49.0% of the base rental revenue from our properties was derived from tenants in these markets that are federal or state government agencies or tenants that have received, or whose parent companies have received, an investment grade credit rating from either Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, a division of McGraw Hill Financial, Inc. (Standard & Poor's), or Moody's Investors Services, Inc. (Moody's) (investment grade tenants). Our properties have a stable, long-term tenancy profile and our occupied and committed leases have staggered expirations and a weighted average remaining lease term to maturity of 4.8 years (9.7 years taking into account tenant renewal options). Our leases typically include rent escalation provisions designed to provide annual growth in our rental income.

We intend to elect to be taxed, and to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify, as a real estate investment trust for U.S. federal income tax purposes (REIT) commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014. We are structured as an umbrella partnership REIT (UPREIT), which means that we conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, of which we serve as the sole general partner, and, as of September 30, 2014, we owned an approximately 71.6% interest (after giving effect to this offering, we will own an approximately 76.8% interest). As an UPREIT, we may be able to acquire properties on attractive terms from sellers that may be able to defer tax obligations by contributing properties to our operating partnership in exchange for interests in the partnership, or common units, which will be redeemable for cash or shares of our common stock. As a result, we believe that having our common stock listed on The New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) makes our common units more attractive to tax-sensitive sellers.

Recent Developments

Our Initial Public Offering

We completed our initial public offering on April 21, 2014, issuing an aggregate of 6,582,150 shares of our common stock (including 782,150 shares sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option) at the public offering price of \$12.50 per share and receiving approximately \$82.3 million of gross proceeds, including shares issued upon exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option. Simultaneously with the closing of our initial public offering, we completed a series of related formation transactions pursuant to which we acquired six office complexes comprised of 16 office buildings with a total of approximately 1.85 million square feet of NRA in exchange for an aggregate of 1,610,765 shares of our common stock, 3,731,209 common units, \$19.4 million of cash and the assumption of approximately \$159.9 million of debt related to such properties. As of December 31, 2013, these six office complexes had base rents of approximately \$29.7 million and in-place and committed occupancy of 91.3%.

Post-Initial Public Offering Investments

On June 4, 2014, we acquired the Plaza 25 property, a three building office property with 196,803 square feet of NRA in the Greenwood Village submarket of Denver, Colorado, for \$25.1 million in cash, excluding closing costs, which

was paid with a portion of the proceeds from our initial public offering. The office complex was purchased at a significant discount to replacement cost and has excellent freeway access to transportation. In addition, it is within walking distance to the light rail station and is close to many restaurant and retail amenities. The property has a projected year one cash net operating income (NOI) cap rate of 8.1%.

Table of Contents

On July 18, 2014, we acquired the Lake Vista Pointe property, an office property with 163,336 square feet of NRA in the rapidly growing Lewisville submarket of Dallas, Texas, for \$28.4 million, excluding closing costs. We funded a portion of the purchase price with cash on hand and borrowings from our Secured Credit Facility (as defined in Financing Activities below) and the balance through the issuance of an \$18.5 million non-recourse mortgage loan. The property is a Class A office building located in a premium business park with strong neighboring tenants. Ally Financial, Inc. leases the entire property and its lease expires in 2021. The property has a projected year one cash NOI cap rate of 7.8%.

On November 18, 2014, we acquired the Florida Research Park property, an office property with 124,500 square feet of NRA in the Central Florida Research Park submarket of Orlando, Florida for \$26.5 million, excluding closing costs. We funded a portion of the purchase price with cash on hand and borrowings from our Secured Credit Facility and the balance through the issuance of a non-recourse mortgage loan, as further described below. The property is a Class A office building and has one of the highest parking ratios in the submarket. The property has a projected year one cash NOI cap rate of approximately 9.0%.

Financing Activities

On April 29, 2014, we refinanced the existing \$23.5 million mortgage loan on the AmberGlen property with a new \$25.4 million mortgage loan. The new mortgage loan matures on May 1, 2019 and bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.38%.

On April 21, 2014, we entered into a credit agreement with KeyBank National Association for a \$15 million secured revolving credit facility (the Secured Credit Facility), secured by our Central Fairwinds property. The Secured Credit Facility matures on April 21, 2016, subject to our operating partnership's right to elect a 12-month extension. The Secured Credit Facility provides our operating partnership with the ability to increase the size of the Secured Credit Facility up to a total of \$150.0 million (the Accordion Feature). On June 13, 2014, in connection with the acquisition of our Plaza 25 property, our operating partnership exercised a portion of the Accordion Feature, increasing the aggregate principal maximum amount available for borrowing under the Secured Credit Facility to \$30.0 million.

On July 18, 2014, we financed a portion of the acquisition of the Lake Vista Pointe property with an \$18.5 million mortgage loan. The mortgage loan matures on August 6, 2024 and bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.28%.

On November 18, 2014, we financed a portion of the acquisition of the Florida Research Park property with a \$17 million non-recourse mortgage loan. The mortgage loan matures on December 6, 2024 and bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.44%.

Distributions

On May 12, 2014, we declared a dividend distribution to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to holders of record of common units, in each case as of July 3, 2014, totaling \$2.1 million, or \$0.183 per share of common stock and common unit. This dividend distribution consisted of a pro rata dividend of \$0.940 per share of common stock and common unit covering on an annualized basis for the period from the consummation of our initial public offering on April 21, 2014 to June 30, 2014. The dividend distribution was paid on July 17, 2014.

On September 15, 2014, we also declared a dividend distribution to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to holders of record of common units, in each case as of October 3, 2014, totaling \$2.7 million, or \$0.235 per share of common stock and common unit. This dividend distribution consisted of a

quarterly dividend of \$0.235 per share of common stock and common unit for the period from July 1, 2014 through September 30, 2014. The dividend distribution of common stock was paid to common stockholders of record as of October 3, 2014 on October 17, 2014.

Table of Contents**Our Properties**

As of September 30, 2014, and including the Florida Research Park property acquisition that occurred on November 18, 2014, we owned nine office complexes comprised of 21 office buildings with a total of approximately 2.34 million square feet of NRA in the metropolitan areas of Boise (ID), Denver (CO), Portland (OR), Tampa (FL), Allentown (PA), Dallas (TX) and Orlando (FL). The following table presents an overview of our portfolio as of September 30, 2014.

Property	Metropolitan Area	Year Built		Economic Interest	NRA 1000s Square Feet ⁽²⁾	In Place Occupancy ⁽³⁾	Annualized and Committed Occupancy ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent per Square Foot	Annualized Gross Rent per Square Foot ⁽⁹⁾	Annualized Base Rent ⁽⁴⁾	Largest Tenant by NRA
		/Last Major Renovation ⁽¹⁾	/Last Major Renovation ⁽¹⁾								
Initial Properties											
Washington Group Plaza	Boise, ID	1970	1982 / 2012 ⁽⁵⁾	100.0%	558	89.0%	89.0%	\$ 17.09	\$ 17.09	\$ 8,478,018	AECOM Technology Corporation ⁽⁶⁾
Cherry Creek	Denver, CO	1962	1980 / 2012	100.0	356	98.1%	100.0	16.83	16.83	5,874,490	State of Colorado Department of Health
AmberGlen	Portland, OR	1984 / 2002 ⁽⁷⁾		76.0	353	92.0%	94.7	15.55	15.55	5,054,391	Planar Systems, Inc.
City Center	Tampa, FL	1984 / 2012		95.0	241	92.0%	93.3	22.77	22.77	5,054,351	RBC Capital Markets
Corporate Parkway	Allentown, PA	2006		100.0	178	100.0%	100.0	17.66	24.66	3,148,476	Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.
Central Fairwinds	Orlando, FL	1982 / 2013		90.0	169	68.5%	76.0	26.16	26.16	3,024,682	Fairwinds Credit Union
Completed Acquisitions Since the IPO											
Plaza 25	Denver, CO	1981 / 2006		100.0	197	92.4%	92.4	19.74	19.74	3,587,291	Recondo Technology, Inc.
Lake Vista Pointe	Dallas, TX	2007		100.0	163	100.0%	100.0	13.50	20.00	2,205,036	Ally Financial, Inc.
Florida Research Park ⁽⁸⁾	Orlando, FL	1999		100.0	125	100.0%	100.0	19.50	27.50	2,427,750	Kaplan, Inc.
Total / Weighted Average:					2,340	92.1%	93.5%	\$ 18.02	\$ 19.56	\$ 38,854,485	

(1)

We define major renovation as significant upgrades, alterations or additions to building common areas, interiors, exteriors and/or systems.

- (2) NRA in thousands of square feet (SF).
- (3) Includes both in place and committed tenants, which we define as our tenants in occupancy as well as tenants that have executed binding leases for space undergoing improvement but are not yet in occupancy, as of September 30, 2014.
- (4) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 (except for Florida Research Park, the acquisition date) by (ii) 12. If rent abatements that were applied in September 2014 are subtracted from rental payments for September 2014, annualized rent would be \$4,495,845 for the AmberGlen property (a decrease of \$1.45 per net rentable square foot), \$4,016,229 for the City Center property (a decrease of \$4.34 per square foot) and \$2,634,324 for the Central Fairwinds property (a decrease of \$2.21 per square foot). The contractual rent abatements currently in place at the AmberGlen and City Center properties will expire on or before January 2015. The other properties in our portfolio did not have any rent abatements in place for the month of September 2014. The Second City Group paid our operating partnership at the closing of our initial public offering a lump sum payment representing a reimbursement for the amount of all future contractual rent abatements in place at closing for existing tenants at the properties.
- (5) Plaza I was built in 1970 with the last major renovation completed in 2012; Plaza II was built in 1975 with the last major renovation completed in 2012; Central Plaza was built in 1982 with the last major renovation completed in 2011; and Plaza IV was built in 1982 with the last major renovation completed in 2010.
- (6) AECOM Technology Corporation acquired URS Corporation subsequent to September 30, 2014.
- (7) Building 1040 was built in 1984; Building 1195 was built in 1999; Building 1400 was built in 1984; Building 1600 was built in 1987; Building 2345 was built in 1998; and Building 2430 was built in 1998.
- (8) The Florida Research Park property was acquired on November 18, 2014.
- (9) For Corporate Parkway, Lake Vista Pointe and Florida Research Park, the annualized base rent per square foot on a triple net basis was increased by \$7.00, \$6.50 and \$8.00, respectively, to estimate a gross equivalent base rent.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that the following competitive strengths continue to distinguish us from other owners and operators of office properties in our target markets and will enable us to continue to successfully operate and expand our portfolio.

Table of Contents

Experienced Management Team: Our senior management team, led by James Farrar, our chief executive officer, Gregory Tylee, our president and chief operating officer, and Anthony Maretic, our chief financial officer, has an intimate knowledge and understanding of each of our properties as well as a strong familiarity with the local markets in which the properties are located. Mr. Farrar has over 15 years of experience in real estate acquisitions, management and finance and has completed acquisitions and divestitures with a combined enterprise value in excess of approximately \$1.5 billion and has completed over \$1.0 billion of financings. Mr. Tylee has over 20 years of experience negotiating and structuring complex real estate transactions and developments and has been involved in real estate transactions with a combined enterprise value of approximately \$1.7 billion over the course of his career. Mr. Maretic has acted as chief financial officer and chief operating officer of Earls Restaurants Ltd. and has over 20 years of experience in financing, public company reporting requirements and internal controls. Upon completion of this offering, the principals of our Advisor and their affiliates will own approximately 14.2% of the equity interests of our company on a fully diluted basis, which we believe helps to align their interests with those of our stockholders.

Alignment of Interests with Established Local Operators: One component of our management's strategy is to invest in properties in markets where it has relationships with well-established local real estate operators that provide property management services and, in some cases, hold minority interests in the properties that they manage. We believe that this strategy of permitting local real estate operators to invest in our properties helps to align their interests with ours. Consistent with this strategy, eight of our nine properties are managed by well-established local real estate operators, many of which have invested equity with management in the past and three of which hold a minority interest in our properties, furthering the alignment of their interests with ours. These real estate operators typically manage or lease a large number of properties in the markets where our properties are located, providing economies of scale and local market insight. We intend to continue this strategy of offering ownership interests and other incentives to local real estate operators, which we believe can enhance the operating performance of our properties and strengthen our relationships with them.

Properties with Attractive Real Estate Fundamentals: We currently own nine office complexes comprised of 21 office buildings with a total of approximately 2.34 million square feet of NRA in the metropolitan areas of Boise (ID), Dallas (TX), Denver (CO), Portland (OR), Tampa (FL), Allentown (PA) and Orlando (FL). We believe that our target markets have a number of the following characteristics: favorable economic growth trends, growing populations with above average employment growth forecasts, a large number of governmental offices, large international, national and regional employers across diversified industries, low-cost centers for business operations, proximity to large universities and increasing office occupancy rates. Most of the buildings included in our properties have undergone recent investment programs since we acquired them with approximately \$7.4 million of capital improvements and \$15.0 million for tenant improvements and leasing commissions having been spent in the aggregate.

Investment Grade Tenants and Well-Staggered Lease Maturities: As of September 30, 2014, approximately 49.0% of the base rental revenue of our properties was derived from tenants that are federal or state government agencies or investment grade tenants. Four of our top ten tenants are investment grade tenants, representing approximately 33.5% of the base rental revenue of our properties as of September 30, 2014. Our largest tenant is the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, whose lease at the Cherry Creek property represents approximately 14.2% of the base rental revenue of our properties and expires in 2026. Our properties also have a stable, long-term tenancy profile and our occupied and committed leases have staggered expirations and a weighted average remaining lease term to maturity of 4.8 years (9.7 years taking into account tenant renewal options).

Experienced Board of Directors: Our board of directors has extensive experience in the real estate industry, in real estate capital markets and as public company directors. Our board of directors consists of six directors, four of whom are independent under the standards of the NYSE. Our independent directors are William Flatt, former chief financial

officer as well as secretary and later chief operating officer of Parkway Properties, Inc., a NYSE listed REIT specializing in office properties in top-tier Sunbelt markets, John McLernon, formerly the chairman and chief executive officer of Colliers Macaulay Nicolls Group, a global commercial real estate service company, Mark Murski, a managing partner with Brookfield

Table of Contents

Financial Corp., a global investment bank, and Stephen Shraiberg, the president of Urban Property Management, Inc., a Denver-based real estate development and management company. Our chief executive officer, James Farrar, and the president of our Advisor, Samuel Belzberg, also serve as members of our board of directors.

Clearly-Defined Acquisition Strategy: We focus on acquiring office properties in our target markets that we believe possess the attractive economic and demographic characteristics described above. We use our Advisor's market-specific knowledge as well as the expertise of local real estate operators and our investment partners to identify acquisition opportunities that we believe offer cash flow stability and long-term value appreciation. Our target markets are attractive, among other reasons, because we believe that ownership is often concentrated among local real estate operators that typically do not benefit from the same access to capital as public REITs and there is a relatively low level of participation of large institutional investors, which can result in attractive pricing levels and risk-adjusted returns. Within our target markets, we focus on acquiring properties with a purchase price between \$20 million and \$50 million and expected cap rates between seven and nine percent, as we believe that large institutional investors and public REITs generally prefer to target larger assets. According to data compiled by SNL Financial LLC from 2013 through June 30, 2014, only 25% of non-development office property acquisitions made by public U.S. REITs had a purchase price of less than \$54 million. Additionally, we believe that many local real estate operators in our target markets have difficulty raising the necessary debt and equity capital to complete acquisitions of more than \$20 million.

Strong Lender Relationships: Our management team has strong lending relationships with various banks, insurance companies and commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) platforms. As of September 30, 2014, we have an existing fixed rate debt of \$173.2 million with a weighted average of 5.9 years to maturity and a weighted average interest rate of 4.2%. Our existing mortgage loans were provided by insurance companies and CMBS platforms. We have a \$30 million Secured Credit Facility with Key Bank National Association and our Secured Credit Facility has an accordion feature that will permit us to borrow up to \$150 million, subject to additional collateral availability and lender approval. As of September 30, 2014, \$6.4 million was outstanding under our Secured Credit Facility.

Business Objectives and Growth Strategies

Our principal business objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted returns to our investors over the long-term through a combination of dividends and capital appreciation. Specifically, we intend to pursue the following strategies to achieve these objectives:

Internal Growth

We seek to manage our properties in a manner to increase their value by improving cash flow over time through our Advisor's hands on approach to real estate management alongside local real estate operators. We focus on maintaining strong relationships with existing tenants, which we believe can help reduce marketing, leasing and tenant improvement costs required for new tenancies and minimize interruptions in rental revenue resulting from periods of vacancy and tenant renovations. Our internal growth strategy includes the following:

Seeking Contractual Rent Escalations: With respect to our properties as of September 30, 2014, the leases provide for contractual increases in base rental rates per square foot averaging approximately 2.4% per annum over the next three years. These rental escalations are expected to result in predictable increases in rental revenues for us over time. We will continue to seek to include contractual rent escalators in future leases to further facilitate predictable growth in rental income.

Expanding Our Properties: We seek to enhance our asset base through select expansion and improvement of our properties. We believe that there are several expansion opportunities within our properties, including a potential development site, conversion of certain common areas to leasable space and increasing under reported rentable square footage due to the use of out of date measurement standards.

Table of Contents

Leasing Currently Vacant Space: As of September 30, 2014, the weighted average in place and committed occupancy rate of our properties was 93.5% and we believe that there is potential to generate additional rental income by leasing space in these properties that is currently unoccupied. We believe that our properties compete for tenants with other landlords that are capital constrained and may not be able to enhance their buildings' appeal through capital investments or offer tenants attractive tenant improvement packages.

Implementing Improvements and Preventive Maintenance Programs: We seek to operate our portfolio as efficiently as possible. Site visits, property inspections and preventive maintenance programs are performed to ensure that our properties are well maintained so that we will minimize long-term capital expenditures. In addition, we actively pursue cost reduction initiatives, such as eliminating redundant or unnecessary expenses and engaging property tax appeal specialists to lower property tax costs, and make an ongoing effort to increase expense recoveries from tenants on new and renewed leases. We believe that there are opportunities for continued cost reductions at our properties. We also seek to acquire properties within close geographic proximity to one another in order to benefit from economies of scale in the operation of the properties by sharing property management among properties and having greater negotiating leverage with vendors.

External Growth

Our external growth strategy includes the following:

Focusing on Acquisitions in Our Specified Target Markets: We seek to expand our portfolio through acquisitions of office properties primarily located in our target markets. We believe that current economic conditions and relatively low levels of competition from institutional buyers have created attractive investment opportunities for the acquisition of office properties in our target markets as compared to Gateway markets. We also use our management team's market-specific knowledge as well as the expertise of our local real estate operators and our investment partners to identify acquisitions that we believe offer cash flow stability and price appreciation.

We continue to review attractive acquisition opportunities and have identified over \$200 million of available office properties representing approximately 1,000,000 square feet that are under various levels of consideration as of the date of this prospectus.

We have not completed our due diligence process or entered into binding agreements with non-refundable deposits to acquire any of these properties. Furthermore, any acquisition would also need to satisfy a number of additional conditions and approvals in addition to completing the full scope of our due diligence process. As a result, we do not deem any of these potential acquisition prospects probable as of the date of this prospectus.

Leveraging Opportunities from Our Advisor: We benefit from the strong existing industry relationships of our management team, which has completed approximately \$400 million in acquisitions since April 2013. Historically, our management team has proactively sourced acquisition opportunities through a number of channels, including targeting properties owned by our local property managers and through management's relationships with local owners, investment brokers, mortgage brokers and lenders. We believe that through the activities of the Second City Group, our Advisor will be able to maintain relationships in our target markets that may result in acquisition opportunities for us. During the term of the Advisory Agreement (as defined in "Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement"), we have an exclusive right of first opportunity to purchase any office property or property interest that the Second City Group (including any future funds created by the principals of Second City) or our Advisor identifies, provided that the property has greater than 85% occupancy and an average remaining lease term of more than three years.

Conflicts of Interest

We are externally managed by our Advisor. The advisory agreement (the Advisory Agreement) between us, our operating partnership and our Advisor requires our Advisor to manage our business affairs in conformity with policies and investment guidelines that are approved and monitored by our board of directors. Our executive officers are principals of our

Table of Contents

Advisor. Related parties participated in the negotiation of the Advisory Agreement and its terms, including fees payable to our Advisor, and equity awards made pursuant to the Advisory Agreement may not be as favorable to us as a result of such related parties participating in such negotiations. In addition, we may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under the Advisory Agreement because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with our Advisor. Pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, our Advisor is obligated to supply us with our management team. However, our Advisor is not obligated to dedicate any specific personnel exclusively to us, nor are the Advisor's personnel, other than our chief financial officer, obligated to dedicate any specific portion of their time to the management of our business. In addition, subject to the direction and oversight of our board of directors, our Advisor has significant discretion regarding the implementation of our operating policies and strategies, including our investment, finance and leverage and conflicts of interest policies. Our Advisor has advised us that it does not currently intend to provide management or advisory services to other entities but may decide to do so in the future. See **Conflicts of Interest** and **Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement**.

Summary Risk Factors

An investment in shares of our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the matters discussed below and in the **Risk Factors** section beginning on page 14 of this prospectus prior to deciding whether to invest in our common stock. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In that case, the market price of our common stock could decline and you may lose some or all of your investment.

Some of these risks include:

there are inherent risks associated with real estate investments and with the real estate industry, each of which could have an adverse impact on our financial performance and the value of our property;

significant competition may decrease or prevent increases in our properties' occupancy and rental rates and may reduce our investment opportunities;

a decrease in demand for office space may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations;

failure by any major tenant to make rental payments to us because of a deterioration of its financial condition, a termination of its lease, a non-renewal of its lease or otherwise, could seriously harm our results of operations;

we have a substantial amount of indebtedness outstanding, which may affect our ability to pay distributions, may expose us to interest rate fluctuation risk and may expose us to the risk of default under our debt obligations;

current challenging economic conditions facing us and our tenants may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations;

potential losses, including from adverse weather conditions, natural disasters and title claims, may not be covered by insurance;

we have a limited history and may not be able to successfully operate our business or generate sufficient cash flows or make or sustain distributions to our stockholders;

we may face additional risks and costs associated with owning properties occupied by government tenants, which could negatively impact our cash flows and results of operations;

we may be unable to complete acquisitions and, even if acquisitions are completed, we may fail to successfully operate acquired properties;

acquired properties may be located in new markets where we may face risks associated with investing in an unfamiliar market;

our failure to qualify as a REIT would result in significant adverse tax consequences to us and would adversely affect our business and the value of our stock;

Table of Contents

our Advisor and certain of its affiliates may have interests that diverge from the interests of our common stockholders;

we depend upon our Advisor to conduct our operations and, therefore, any adverse changes in the financial health or personnel of our Advisor, or our relationship with our Advisor, could hinder our operating performance and adversely affect the market price of our common stock;

if our Advisor loses or is unable to retain or obtain key personnel, our ability to implement our investment strategies could be hindered, which could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make cash distributions to our stockholders;

our board of directors may amend our investing and financing guidelines without stockholder approval, and, accordingly, you would have limited control over changes in our policies that could increase the risk that we default under our debt obligations or that could harm our business, results of operations and share price; and

the market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile following this offering, and you could experience a loss if you sell your shares.

Our REIT Status

We intend to elect to be taxed, and to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify, as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014. We believe that our organization and current and proposed method of operation will enable us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we annually distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income that we currently distribute to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to some U.S. federal, state and local taxes on our income or property. In addition, the income of our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to taxation at regular corporate rates. See U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations.

Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer of Our Stock

Due to limitations on the concentration of ownership of REIT stock imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), our charter generally prohibits any person from actually, beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of our common stock or more than 9.8% in value of the aggregate outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. We refer to these restrictions as the ownership limits. Our charter permits our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, to exempt a person, prospectively or retroactively, from one or both of the ownership limits if, among other limitations, the person's ownership of our stock in excess of the ownership limits will not cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

Under the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P. (the partnership agreement), unitholders do not have redemption or exchange rights, except under limited circumstances, until April 21, 2015, and may not otherwise transfer their units, except under certain limited

circumstances, until April 21, 2015. On or after April 21, 2015, transfers of units by limited partners and their assignees are subject to various conditions, including our right of first refusal, as described under Description of the Partnership Agreement of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P. Transfers and Withdrawals. In addition, our executive officers, our directors, our Advisor, the Second City Group and our other existing security holders (each, a lock-up party) have agreed not to sell or transfer any lock-up securities for a period of 90 days after the date of this prospectus without first obtaining the written consent of Janney Montgomery Scott LLC. In addition, in connection with our initial public offering, each lock-up party agreed not to sell or transfer any lock-up securities for a period of (i) 180 days, (ii) 12 months and (iii) 18 months for each of one-third of the lock-up securities a lock-up party owns, respectively, after April 14, 2014 without first obtaining the written consent of Janney Montgomery Scott LLC.

Table of Contents

Distribution Policy

The Code generally requires that a REIT distribute annually at least 90% of its adjusted REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain. In order to qualify for REIT status and generally not to be subject to U.S. federal income and excise tax, we intend to make regular quarterly distributions of all or substantially all of our net taxable income to holders of our common stock out of assets legally available therefor. Our future distributions will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our earnings and financial condition, maintenance of REIT qualification, the applicable provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law and such other factors as our board of directors may determine in its sole discretion. See Distribution Policy.

To date in 2014, we have paid an aggregate of \$3.4 million of dividends to holders of our common stock and our operating partnership has paid aggregate distributions of \$1.4 million to holders of outstanding common units.

To the extent that our cash available for distribution is less than 90% of our adjusted REIT taxable income, we may consider various funding sources to cover any such shortfall, including borrowing under our credit facility, selling certain of our assets or using a portion of the net proceeds that we receive in this offering or future offerings. Our distribution policy enables us to review the alternative funding sources available to us from time to time.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Our stockholders have the opportunity to participate in a dividend reinvestment plan (DRIP) sponsored and administered by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC (AST), which allows them to acquire additional shares of our common stock by automatically reinvesting their cash dividends. The additional shares are purchased on the open market by the DRIP administrator. The share price of stock acquired pursuant to the DRIP is the average price of all shares purchased with the reinvested distributions by the DRIP administrator on behalf of all DRIP participants relating to a particular distribution by us. The DRIP administrator charges participants under the DRIP commissions and other fees according to the fee schedule provided by AST in connection with any acquisition of shares. We do not subsidize or otherwise provide any discount to DRIP participants in connection with the acquisition of common stock under the DRIP. If our board of directors authorizes, and we declare, a cash dividend or other distribution, then our stockholders who have elected to participate in the DRIP will have their cash distribution reinvested in additional shares of common stock, rather than receiving the cash distribution. Stockholders of record who do not participate in the DRIP continue to receive cash distributions as declared and paid.

Implications of Being an Emerging Growth Company

We qualify as an emerging growth company under the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 (the JOBS Act). As a result, we have elected to rely on exemptions from certain disclosure requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

In addition, for so long as we are an emerging growth company, we will not be required to:

have an auditor report on our internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley Act);

comply with any requirement that may be adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) regarding mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor's report providing additional information about the audit and the financial statements (i.e., an auditor discussion and analysis);

submit certain executive compensation matters to stockholder advisory votes, such as say-on-pay, say-on-frequency and say-on-golden parachutes votes; and

disclose certain executive compensation-related items such as the correlation between executive compensation and performance and comparisons of the chief executive officer's compensation to median employee compensation.

Table of Contents

While we are an emerging growth company, the JOBS Act permits us to delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. However, we have chosen to opt out of such extended transition period and, as a result, we will comply with new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for non-emerging growth companies. Our decision to opt out of the extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards is irrevocable.

We will remain an emerging growth company until the earliest to occur of: (i) the last day of the fiscal year during which we had \$1 billion or more in annual gross revenues; (ii) the end of fiscal year 2019; (iii) our issuance, in a three-year period, of more than \$1 billion in non-convertible debt; or (iv) the end of the fiscal year in which the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million on the last business day of our second fiscal quarter.

Corporate Information

City Office REIT, Inc., a Maryland corporation, was incorporated on November 26, 2013. Our principal executive offices are located at Suite 2600, 1075 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 3C9. Our telephone number is (604) 806-3366. We also maintain a website at www.cityofficereit.com. **Information on, or accessible through, our website is not a part of, and is not incorporated into, this prospectus or the registration statement of which it forms a part.**

The Offering

Common stock offered by us	3,000,000 shares (plus up to an additional 450,000 shares of our common stock that we may issue and sell upon the exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option in full) ⁽¹⁾
Common stock to be outstanding after this offering	11,587,148 shares ⁽¹⁾
Common stock and common units to be outstanding after this offering	14,839,052 shares and common units ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
Use of proceeds	We estimate that the net proceeds of this offering, after deducting underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses, will be approximately \$37.0 million (\$42.6 million if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full). We will contribute the net proceeds of this offering to our operating partnership in exchange for common units. Our operating partnership intends to use the net proceeds of this offering as follows: approximately \$14.9 million to repay amounts outstanding under the Secured Credit Facility; and

the remaining approximately \$22.1 million for general working capital purposes, including payment of expenses associated with this offering, possible future acquisitions and creating reserves for capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

- (1) Includes 6,582,150 shares of our common stock (including 782,150 shares sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option) issued in our initial public offering, 1,610,765 shares of our common stock issued to CIO REIT as a part of our formation transactions and 394,233 shares of restricted common stock granted to our executive officers, directors and employees of our Advisor under our Equity Incentive Plan. Excludes up to 450,000 shares of common stock that may be issued by us upon exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option and 869,347 shares of common stock or LTIP units (as defined below) available for future issuance under our Equity Incentive Plan.
- (2) Includes 3,251,904 common units held by limited partners of our operating partnership, which units may, subject to certain limitations, be redeemed for cash or, at our option, exchanged for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Table of Contents

If the underwriters elect to exercise all or any part of their over-allotment option, we may, at the option of the Second City Group, use all or a portion of the additional net proceeds from such exercise to redeem from the Second City Group, for cash, a portion of shares of the common stock and common units held by the Second City Group at a redemption price per common unit or share of common stock equal to the public offering price per share in this offering less underwriting discount.

Our Advisor Pursuant to the terms of the Advisory Agreement, our Advisor provides management and advisory services to us.

Risk factors Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully read and consider the information set forth under the heading **Risk Factors** beginning on page 14 and other information included in this prospectus before investing in our common stock.

New York Stock Exchange symbol CIO

Reserved Share Program At our request, the underwriters have reserved for sale, at the public offering price, up to 130,000 of the shares offered by this prospectus for sale to our directors and executive officers, employees of our Advisor, other persons having a business relationship with us, our affiliates, our Advisor or affiliates of our Advisor (the **Reserved Shares**). No underwriting discount will be paid to the underwriters for the **Reserved Shares**.

Summary Financial Data

The following financial data should be read in conjunction with the audited and unaudited financial statements and the related notes, and our unaudited pro forma financial information and the related notes, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The following table sets forth summary financial and operating data on a consolidated pro forma and historical basis for our company.

We had no business operations prior to completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. As a result, the historical combined balance sheet data as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 reflects the financial condition of the entities that own the historical interests in the AmberGlen, Central Fairwinds, City Center, Cherry Creek, Corporate Parkway and Washington Group Plaza properties, which were the initial properties contributed to us in the formation transactions related to our initial public offering (the **City Office Predecessor**) and the consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2014 (unaudited) reflects our financial condition. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 (unaudited) reflect the historical operations of the **City Office Predecessor** for the period from January 1, 2014 through April 20, 2014 and the historical results of operations of us for the period from April 21, 2014 through September 30, 2014.

The historical combined balance sheet information as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 of the City Office Predecessor and the combined statements of operations information for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (unaudited) of the City Office Predecessor have been derived from the historical combined financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated balance sheet data is presented to reflect the historical consolidated balance sheet of our company at September 30, 2014 (which includes the acquisition of the Plaza 25 and Lake Vista Pointe properties), the acquisition of the Florida Research Park property and this offering and the use of proceeds thereof as if they

Table of Contents

had all been completed on September 30, 2014. The unaudited pro forma consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 reflects the historical operations of the City Office Predecessor for the period from January 1, 2014 through April 20, 2014 and the historical results of operations of our company for the period from April 21, 2014 through September 30, 2014 and are presented as if our initial public offering and related formation transactions as well as the acquisitions of the Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Florida Research Park properties had all been completed on January 1, 2013. The unaudited pro forma consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflects the historical results of operations of the City Office Predecessor for the year ended December 31, 2013 and are presented as if our initial public offering and the related Formation Transactions as well as the acquisitions of the Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Florida Research Park properties had all been completed on January 1, 2013.

Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the date and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

The information presented below should be read in conjunction with Capitalization, Selected Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions and our audited and unaudited financial statements and related notes, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

City Office REIT, Inc. and the City Office Predecessor

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2013	2013	2012	2012
	(unaudited) Pro-Forma	(unaudited) Historical	(unaudited) Historical	(unaudited) Pro-Forma	Historical	Historical
Revenue:						
Rental income	\$ 28,208,371	\$ 23,987,891	\$ 12,938,686	\$ 36,233,696	\$ 18,427,794	\$ 9,991,712
Expense reimbursement	2,721,453	1,796,567	1,093,117	3,571,980	1,316,068	1,053,466
Other	593,863	589,631	593,724	792,500	746,716	471,280
Total Revenues	31,523,687	26,374,089	14,625,527	40,598,176	20,490,578	11,516,458
Operating Expenses:						
Property operating expenses	8,215,662	7,304,371	4,005,302	10,604,700	6,021,287	4,109,993
Insurance	562,429	489,471	374,655	734,592	518,361	398,083
Property taxes	2,440,960	1,775,641	1,015,164	2,989,607	1,385,954	969,564
Property management fees	674,072	619,497	397,297	824,160	539,460	571,420
Acquisition costs	1,561,997	1,551,347	1,479,292	1,479,292	1,479,292	212,765
Base management fee	1,015,885	411,471		1,354,513		
Stock based compensation	1,210,563	667,347		1,614,083		
General and administrative	1,342,500	821,379		1,790,000		
Depreciation and amortization	13,257,834	10,633,593	5,245,498	17,862,622	7,775,219	3,956,204
Total Operating Expenses	30,281,902	24,274,117	12,517,208	39,253,569	17,719,573	10,218,029
Operating Income from Continuing Operations	1,241,785	2,099,972	2,108,319	1,344,607	2,771,005	1,298,429
Interest expense, net	(6,552,907)	(8,765,075)	(3,704,586)	(8,874,197)	(5,368,016)	(3,685,881)

Change in fair value of earn-out	(1,047,515)	(1,047,515)				
Canadian offering costs				(1,983,195)	(1,983,195)	
Gain on acquisition		4,474,644				
Equity in income of unconsolidated entity			255,422		402,913	505,877
Net loss	(6,358,637)	(3,237,974)	(1,340,845)	(9,512,785)	(4,177,293)	(1,881,575)

Less:

Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in properties	(8,326)	(8,326)	60,356	(190,624)	44,368	286,481
Net income attributable to Predecessor		(1,973,197)				
Net loss attributable to Predecessor			\$ (1,280,489)		\$ (4,132,925)	\$ (1,595,094)

Net loss attributable to Operating Partnership unitholders noncontrolling interests	1,433,368	1,508,097		2,184,490		
---	-----------	-----------	--	-----------	--	--

Net loss attributable to stockholders	\$ (4,933,595)	\$ (3,711,400)		\$ (7,518,919)		
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	--	-----------------------	--	--

**Balance Sheet
Data (as of end of period):**

Real estate properties, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 210,850,541	\$ 189,813,558		\$ 100,126,486	\$ 42,171,832	
Investments in unconsolidated entity				4,337,899	4,882,753	
Total Assets	299,796,476	252,165,765		142,990,149	61,016,126	
Debt	190,204,305	179,604,305		109,916,430	53,256,600	
Total Liabilities	210,274,479	199,635,868		115,282,034	55,006,264	
Stockholders and Predecessor Equity	75,441,688	38,449,588		26,624,375	6,149,404	
	14,816,720	14,816,720				

Operating Partnership unitholders noncontrolling interests				
Noncontrolling interest in properties	(736,411)	(736,411)	1,083,740	(139,542)
Total Equity	89,521,997	52,529,897	27,708,115	6,009,862

Other Data

Cash flows from/(to):				
Operating Activities	\$ 5,980,825	\$ 6,370,561	\$ 1,459,782	\$ 3,891,017
Investing Activities	(65,656,343)	(73,249,579)	(75,105,500)	(17,109,811)
Financing Activities	61,402,950	71,325,799	77,666,866	14,858,006

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

*Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below, together with all of the other information in this prospectus, including the financial statements and the related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, before making an investment decision. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects could be materially and adversely affected. The market price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks and, as a result, you may lose all or part of your investment in our common stock. Some statements in this prospectus, including statements contained in the following risk factors, constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements*.*

Risks Relating to Our Business and Our Properties

There are inherent risks associated with real estate investments and with the real estate industry, each of which could have an adverse impact on our financial performance and the value of our properties.

Real estate investments are subject to various risks and fluctuations and cycles in value and demand, many of which are beyond our control. Our financial performance and the value of our properties can be affected by many of these factors, including the following:

adverse changes in financial conditions of buyers, sellers and tenants of our properties, including bankruptcies, financial difficulties or lease defaults by our tenants;

the national, regional and local economy, which may be negatively impacted by concerns about inflation, deflation and government deficit, high unemployment rates, decreased consumer confidence, industry slowdowns, reduced corporate profits, liquidity concerns in our markets and other adverse business concerns;

local real estate conditions, such as an oversupply of, or a reduction in, demand for office space and the availability and creditworthiness of current and prospective tenants;

vacancies or ability to rent space on favorable terms, including possible market pressures to offer tenants rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options;

changes in operating costs and expenses, including, without limitation, increasing labor and material costs, insurance costs, energy prices, environmental restrictions, real estate taxes and costs of compliance with laws, regulations and government policies, which we may be restricted from passing on to our tenants;

fluctuations in interest rates, which could adversely affect our ability, or the ability of buyers and tenants of our properties, to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all;

competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including other real estate operating companies, publicly traded REITs and institutional investment funds;

inability to refinance our indebtedness, which could result in a default on our obligation and trigger cross default provisions that could result in a default on other indebtedness;

the convenience and quality of competing office properties;

inability to collect rent from tenants;

our ability to secure adequate insurance;

our ability to secure adequate management services and to maintain our properties;

changes in, and changes in enforcement of, laws, regulations and governmental policies, including, without limitation, health, safety, environmental, zoning and tax laws, government fiscal policies and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the "ADA"); and

civil unrest, acts of war, terrorist attacks and natural disasters, including earthquakes, wind damage and floods, which may result in uninsured and underinsured losses.

Table of Contents

In addition, because the yields available from equity investments in real estate depend in large part on the amount of rental income earned, as well as property operating expenses and other costs incurred, a period of economic slowdown or recession, or declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rents or an increased incidence of defaults among our existing leases, and, consequently, our properties, including any held by joint ventures, may fail to generate revenues sufficient to meet operating, debt service and other expenses. As a result, we may have to borrow amounts to cover fixed costs, and our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, per share market price of our common stock and ability to satisfy our principal and interest obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders may be adversely affected.

Significant competition may decrease or prevent increases in our properties occupancy and rental rates and may reduce our investment opportunities.

We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of office properties, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. Furthermore, undeveloped land in many of the markets in which we operate is generally more readily available and less expensive than in Gateway markets, which are commonly defined as New York, Los Angeles, Washington, D.C., Boston, Chicago and San Francisco. If our competitors offer space from existing or new buildings at rental rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates that we currently charge our tenants, we may lose existing or potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those that we currently charge or to offer more substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options in order to retain or attract tenants when our tenants leases expire. Our competitors may have substantially greater financial resources than we do and may be able to accept more risk than we can prudently manage. In the future, competition from these entities may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities offered to us or increase the bargaining power of property owners seeking to sell. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and market price of our common stock could be adversely affected.

A decrease in demand for office space may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our portfolio of properties consists entirely of office properties and because we seek to acquire similar properties, a decrease in the demand for office space may have a greater adverse effect on our business and financial condition than if we owned a more diversified real estate portfolio. If parts of our properties are leased within a particular sector, a significant downturn in that sector in which the tenants businesses operate would adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, where a government agency is a tenant, which is the case for a number of our properties, austerity measures and governmental deficit reduction programs may lead government agencies to consolidate and reduce their office space, terminate their lease and decrease their workforce, which may reduce demand for office space in the government sector.

Failure by any major tenant to make rental payments to us, because of a deterioration of its financial condition, a termination of its lease, a non-renewal of its lease or otherwise, could seriously harm our results of operations.

As of September 30, 2014, approximately 57.7% of the base rental revenue of our properties was derived from our ten largest tenants. Our largest tenant is the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, which accounted for approximately 14.2% of base rental revenue of our properties for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. The Corporate Parkway property is leased to a single tenant, Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., which accounted for approximately 8.1% of our annualized base rental revenue for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. In addition, the Lake Vista Pointe property is leased to a single tenant, Ally Financial, Inc. Our results of operations depend on our ability to

collect rent from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. and other tenants. At any time, our tenants may experience a downturn in their businesses that may significantly weaken their financial condition, whether as a result of general economic conditions or otherwise. As a result, our tenants may fail to make rental payments when due, delay lease commencements, decline to extend or renew leases upon expiration or declare bankruptcy. Any of these actions could result in the termination of the tenants' leases or the failure to renew a lease and the loss of rental income attributable to the terminated leases. The occurrence of any of the situations described above could seriously harm our results of operations.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to secure funds for future tenant or other capital improvements or payment of leasing commissions, which could limit our ability to attract or replace tenants and adversely impact our ability to make cash distributions to our stockholders.

When tenants do not renew their leases or otherwise vacate their space, it is common that, in order to attract replacement tenants, we will be required to expend funds for tenant improvements, payment of leasing commissions and other concessions related to the vacated space. Such tenant improvements may require us to incur substantial capital expenditures. We may not be able to fund capital expenditures solely from cash provided from our operating activities because we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income excluding net capital gains each year to qualify as a REIT. As a result, our ability to fund tenant and other capital improvements or payment of leasing commissions through retained earnings may be limited. If we have insufficient capital reserves, we will have to obtain financing from other sources. We may also have future financing needs for other capital improvements to refurbish or renovate our properties. If we are unable to secure financing on terms that we believe are acceptable or at all, we may be unable to make tenant and other capital improvements or payment of leasing commissions or we may be required to defer such improvements. If this happens, it may cause one or more of our properties to suffer from a greater risk of obsolescence or a decline in value, as a result of fewer potential tenants being attracted to the property or existing tenants not renewing their leases. If we do not have access to sufficient funding in the future, we may not be able to make necessary capital improvements to our properties, pay leasing commissions or other expenses or pay distributions to our stockholders.

We may be required to make rent or other concessions and significant capital expenditures to improve our properties in order to retain and attract tenants, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

In order to retain existing tenants and attract new clients, we may be required to offer more substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements and early termination rights or accommodate requests for renovations, build-to-suit remodeling and other improvements or provide additional services to our tenants. As a result, we may have to make significant capital or other expenditures in order to retain tenants whose leases expire and to attract new tenants in sufficient numbers, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow. Additionally, if we need to raise capital to make such expenditures and are unable to do so, or such capital is otherwise unavailable, we may be unable to make the required expenditures. This could result in non-renewals by tenants upon expiration of their leases, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

We depend on external sources of capital that are outside of our control, which may affect our ability to seize strategic opportunities, satisfy our debt obligations and make distributions to our stockholders.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we are generally required under the Code to annually distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the distributions paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain. In addition, as a REIT, we will be subject to income tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, including any net capital gains. Because of these distribution requirements, we may not be able to fund future capital needs (including redevelopment, acquisition, expansion and renovation activities, payments of principal and interest on and the refinancing of our existing debt, tenant improvements and leasing costs), from operating cash flow. Consequently, we may rely on third-party sources to fund our capital needs. We may not be able to obtain the necessary financing on favorable terms, in the time period that we desire or at all. Any additional debt we incur will increase our leverage, expose us to the risk of default and may impose operating restrictions on us, and any additional equity we raise could be dilutive to existing stockholders. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on:

general market conditions;

the market's view of the quality of our assets;

the market's perception of our growth potential;

our current debt levels;

our current and expected future earnings;

Table of Contents

our cash flow and cash distributions; and

the market price per share of our common stock.

If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, we may not be able to acquire or develop properties when strategic opportunities exist, satisfy our principal and interest obligations or make the cash distributions to our stockholders necessary to qualify as a REIT.

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness outstanding which may affect our ability to pay distributions, may expose us to interest rate fluctuation risk and may expose us to the risk of default under our debt obligations.

Our total consolidated indebtedness, as of September 30, 2014, was approximately \$179.6 million which reflects the fact that we have drawn approximately \$6.4 million under the Secured Credit Facility. We do not anticipate that our internally generated cash flow will be adequate to repay our existing indebtedness upon maturity, and, therefore, we expect to repay our indebtedness through refinancings and future offerings of equity and debt securities, either of which we may be unable to secure on favorable terms or at all. Our substantial outstanding indebtedness, and the limitations imposed on us by our debt agreements, could have other significant adverse consequences, including the following:

our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms, which could, among other things, adversely affect our ability to capitalize upon emerging acquisition opportunities or meet operational needs;

we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness;

we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;

we may violate restrictive covenants in our loan documents, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate our debt obligations or require us to retain cash for reserves;

we may be unable to hedge floating rate debt, counterparties may fail to honor their obligations under our hedge agreements and these agreements may not effectively hedge interest rate fluctuation risk;

we may default on our obligations and the lenders or mortgagees may foreclose on our properties that secure their loans;

our default under any of our indebtedness with cross default provisions could result in a default on other indebtedness; and

cross default provisions on properties with minority parties could trigger indemnity obligations.

If any one of these events were to occur, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, market price of our common stock and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to you could be adversely affected. In addition, any foreclosure on our properties could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, which could adversely affect our ability to meet the distribution requirements necessary to qualify as a REIT.

We could become highly leveraged in the future because our organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of debt that we may incur.

As of September 30, 2014, our indebtedness represented approximately 71.2% of our total assets. However, our organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of indebtedness that we or our operating partnership may incur. We could alter the balance between our total outstanding indebtedness and the value of our properties at any time. If we become more highly leveraged, the resulting increase in outstanding debt could adversely affect our ability to make debt service payments, to pay our anticipated distributions and to make the distributions required to qualify as a REIT. The occurrence of any of the foregoing risks could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations, our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and the trading price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Lenders may require us to enter into restrictive covenants relating to our operations, which could limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

In providing financing to us, a lender may impose restrictions on us that would affect our ability to incur additional debt, make certain investments, reduce liquidity below certain levels, make distributions to our stockholders and otherwise affect our distribution and operating policies. In general, we expect that our loan agreements will restrict our ability to encumber or otherwise transfer our interest in the respective property without the prior consent of the lender. Such loan documents may contain other negative covenants that may limit our ability to discontinue insurance coverage, replace our Advisor or impose other limitations. Any such restriction or limitation may limit our ability to make distributions to you. Further, such restrictions could make it difficult for us to satisfy the requirements necessary to qualify as a REIT.

We may engage in hedging transactions, which can limit our gains and increase exposure to losses.

Subject to qualifying as a REIT, we may enter into hedging transactions to protect us from the effects of interest rate fluctuations on floating rate debt. Our hedging transactions may include entering into interest rate swap agreements or interest rate cap or floor agreements, or other interest rate exchange contracts. Hedging activities may not have the desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. No hedging activity can completely insulate us from the risks associated with changes in interest rates. Moreover, interest rate hedging could fail to protect us or adversely affect us because, among other things:

available interest rate hedging may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which we seek protection;

the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability;

the party owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay;

the credit quality of the party owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and

the value of derivatives used for hedging may be adjusted from time to time in accordance with accounting rules to reflect changes in fair value, such downward adjustments, or mark-to-market losses, which would reduce our stockholders' equity.

Hedging involves risk and typically involves costs, including transaction costs, that may reduce our overall returns on our investments. These costs increase as the period covered by the hedging increases and during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. These costs will also limit the amount of cash available for distribution to stockholders. We generally intend to hedge as much of the interest rate risk as our Advisor determines is in our best interests given the cost of such hedging transactions. The REIT tax rules may limit our ability to enter into hedging transactions by requiring us to limit our income from non-qualifying hedges. If we are unable to hedge effectively because of the REIT tax rules, we will face greater interest rate exposure than may be commercially prudent.

Economic conditions may adversely affect the real estate market and our income.

Continued concerns regarding the uncertainty over whether the U.S. economy will be adversely affected by inflation, deflation or stagflation, and the systemic impact of increased unemployment and underemployment, volatile energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the mortgage market in the United States and a distressed real estate market have contributed to increased market volatility and weakened business and consumer confidence. This difficult operating environment could adversely affect our ability to generate revenues, thereby reducing our operating income and earnings.

In addition, local real estate conditions such as an oversupply of properties or a reduction in demand for properties, competition from other similar properties, our ability to provide or arrange for adequate maintenance, insurance and management and advisory services, increased operating costs (including real estate taxes), the attractiveness and location of the property and changes in market rental rates may adversely affect a property's income and value. A rise in energy costs could result in higher operating costs, which may affect our results of operations. In addition, local conditions in the markets in

Table of Contents

which we own or intend to own properties may significantly affect occupancy or rental rates at such properties. Events that could prevent us from raising or maintaining rents or cause us to reduce rents include layoffs, plant closings, relocations of significant local employers and other events reducing local employment rates, an oversupply of or a lack of demand for office space, a decline in household formation and the inability or unwillingness of tenants to pay rent increases.

We may incur significant costs complying with various federal, state and local laws, regulations and covenants that are applicable to our properties, which could have an adverse impact on our financial conditions, results of operations, cash flows and market price of our common stock.

The properties in our portfolio are subject to various covenants and federal, state and local laws and regulatory requirements, including permitting and licensing requirements. Local regulations, including municipal or local ordinances, zoning restrictions and restrictive covenants imposed by community developers may restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval or waivers from local officials or restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials of community standards organizations at any time with respect to our properties, including prior to acquiring a property or when undertaking renovations of any of our existing properties. Among other things, these restrictions may relate to fire and safety, seismic or hazardous material abatement requirements. There can be no assurance that existing laws and regulatory policies will not adversely affect us or the timing or cost of any future acquisitions or renovations, or that additional regulations will not be adopted that could increase such delays or result in additional costs. Our growth strategy may be affected by our ability to obtain permits, licenses and zoning relief. Our failure to obtain such permits, licenses and zoning relief or to comply with applicable laws could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share market price of our common stock.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and private litigation over environmental matters involving the presence, discharge or threat of discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, which could adversely affect our operations, the value of our properties and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our properties may be subject to environmental liabilities. Under various federal, state and local laws, a current or previous owner, operator or tenant of real estate can face liability for environmental contamination created by the presence, discharge or threat of discharge of hazardous or toxic substances. Liabilities can include the cost to investigate, clean up and monitor the actual or threatened contamination and damages caused by the contamination or threatened contamination.

The liability under such laws may be strict, joint and several, meaning that we may be liable regardless of whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of the contaminants, and the government entity or private party may seek recovery of the entire amount from us even if there are other responsible parties. Liabilities associated with environmental conditions may be significant and can sometimes exceed the value of the affected property. The presence of hazardous substances on a property may adversely affect our ability to sell or rent that property or to borrow using that property as collateral.

Environmental laws also:

may require the removal or upgrade of underground storage tanks;

regulate the discharge of storm water, wastewater and other pollutants;

regulate air pollutant emissions;

regulate hazardous materials generation, management and disposal; and

regulate workplace health and safety.

Existing conditions at some of our properties may expose us to liability related to environmental matters.

Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I or similar environmental site assessments on all of our properties. Site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the

Table of Contents

surveyed property and surrounding properties. These assessments do not generally include subsurface investigations or mold or asbestos surveys. None of the recent site assessments revealed any past or present environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations. However, the assessments may have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns. Material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future; and future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability.

Costs of future environmental compliance could negatively affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders, and remedial measures required to address such conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Our properties may contain asbestos or develop harmful mold, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediating the problem, which could adversely affect the value of the affected property and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We are required by federal regulations with respect to our properties to identify and warn, via signs and labels, of potential hazards posed by workplace exposure to installed asbestos-containing materials (ACMs) and potential ACMs. We may be subject to an increased risk of personal injury lawsuits by workers and others exposed to ACMs and potential ACMs at our properties as a result of these regulations. The regulations may affect the value of any of our properties containing ACMs and potential ACMs. Federal, state and local laws and regulations also govern the removal, encapsulation, disturbance, handling and disposal of ACMs and potential ACMs when such materials are in poor condition or in the event of construction, remodeling, renovation or demolition of a property.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Concern about indoor exposure to mold has been increasing because exposure to mold may cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions.

The presence of ACMs or significant mold at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the ACMs or mold from the affected property. In addition, the presence of ACMs or significant mold could expose us to claims of liability to our tenants, their or our employees, and others if property damage or health concerns arise.

Potential losses, including from adverse weather conditions, natural disasters and title claims, may not be covered by insurance.

Certain of our properties are located in Florida, Idaho and Oregon, where natural disasters such as hurricanes and earthquakes are more common than in other states. Given recent extreme weather events across other parts of the United States, it is also possible that our other properties could incur significant damage due to other natural disasters. While we carry insurance to cover a substantial portion of the cost of such events, our insurance includes deductible amounts and certain items may not be covered by insurance. Future natural disasters may significantly affect our operations and properties and, more specifically, may cause us to experience reduced rental revenue (including from increased vacancy), incur clean-up costs or otherwise incur costs in connection with such events. Any of these events may have a material adverse effect on our business, cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Furthermore, we do not carry insurance for certain losses, including, but not limited to, losses caused by certain environmental conditions, such as mold or asbestos, riots or war. In addition, our title insurance policies may not insure for the current aggregate market value of our portfolio, and we do not intend to increase our title insurance coverage as the market value of our portfolio increases. As a result, we may not have sufficient coverage against all losses that we may experience, including from adverse title claims.

Table of Contents

If we experience a loss that is uninsured or exceeds policy limits, we could incur significant costs and lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged.

Moreover, we carry several different lines of insurance, placed with several large insurance carriers. If any one of these large insurance carriers were to become insolvent, we would be forced to replace the existing insurance coverage with another suitable carrier and any outstanding claims would be at risk for collection. In such an event, we cannot be certain that we would be able to replace the coverage at similar or otherwise favorable terms. Replacing insurance coverage at unfavorable rates and the potential of uncollectible claims due to carrier insolvency could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

We have a limited operating history and may not be able to successfully operate our business or generate sufficient cash flow to make or sustain distributions to our stockholders.

We have a limited operating history. We are dependent on our Advisor to manage the business risks and uncertainties associated with any new business, including the risk that we will not achieve our investment objectives as described in this prospectus and that the value of your investment could decline substantially. We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow over time to pay our operating expenses and make or sustain distributions to our stockholders.

We may be limited in our ability to diversify our investments making us more vulnerable economically than if our investments were diversified.

Our ability to diversify our portfolio may be limited both as to the number of investments owned and the geographic regions in which our investments are located. While we seek to diversify our portfolio by geographic location, we focus on our specified target markets that we believe offer the opportunity for attractive returns and, accordingly, our actual investments may result in concentrations in a limited number of geographic regions. As a result, there is an increased likelihood that the performance of any single property, or the economic performance of a particular region in which our properties are located, could materially affect our operating results.

We may acquire properties with lock-out provisions, or agree to such provisions in connection with obtaining financing, which may prohibit us from selling or refinancing a property during the lock-out period.

We may acquire properties in exchange for common units and agree to restrictions on sales or refinancing, called lock-out provisions, which are intended to preserve favorable tax treatment for the owners of such properties who sell them to us. In addition, we may agree to lock-out provisions in connection with obtaining financing for the acquisition of properties. Lock-out provisions could materially restrict us from selling, otherwise disposing of or refinancing properties. These restrictions could affect our ability to turn our investments into cash and thus affect cash available for distributions to our stockholders. Lock-out provisions could impair our ability to take actions during the lock-out period that would otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders and, therefore, could adversely impact the market value of our common stock. In particular, lock-out provisions could preclude us from participating in major transactions that could result in a disposition of our assets or a change in control even though that disposition or change in control might be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties and harm our financial condition.

The real estate investments made, and to be made, by us are relatively difficult to sell quickly. As a result, our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. Return of capital and realization of gains, if any, from an investment generally will occur upon disposition or refinancing of the underlying property. We may be unable to realize our investment objectives by sale, other disposition or refinancing at attractive prices within any given period of time or may otherwise be unable to complete any exit strategy. In

Table of Contents

particular, our ability to dispose of one or more properties is subject to weakness in or even the lack of an established market for a property, changes in the financial condition or prospects of prospective purchasers, changes in national or international economic conditions, such as the recent economic downturn, and changes in laws, regulations or fiscal policies of jurisdictions in which the property is located. Furthermore, our ability to dispose of the properties that we acquired through our initial public offering within the four years immediately following the completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions is subject to certain limitations imposed by our tax protection agreements.

In addition, the Code imposes restrictions on a REIT's ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. In particular, the tax laws applicable to REITs effectively require that we hold our properties for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forego or defer sales of properties that otherwise would be in our best interest. Therefore, we may not be able to adjust our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions promptly or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share market price of our common stock.

If we sell properties by providing financing to purchasers, we will bear the risk of default by the purchaser.

If we decide to sell any of our properties, we intend to use commercially reasonable efforts to sell them for cash. However, in some instances we may sell our properties by providing financing to purchasers. If we provide financing to purchasers, we will bear the risk of default by the purchaser which would reduce the value of our assets, impair our ability to make distributions to our stockholders and reduce the price of our common stock.

We may be unable to collect balances due on our leases from any tenants in bankruptcy, which could adversely affect our cash flow and the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

The bankruptcy or insolvency of one or more of our tenants may adversely affect the income produced by our properties. We cannot assure you that any tenant that files for bankruptcy protection will continue to pay us rent. If a tenant files for bankruptcy, any or all of the tenant's or a guarantor of a tenant's lease obligations could be subject to a bankruptcy proceeding pursuant to Chapter 11 or Chapter 7 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Such a bankruptcy filing would bar all efforts by us to collect pre-bankruptcy rents from these entities or their properties, unless we receive an order from the bankruptcy court permitting us to do so. A tenant or lease guarantor bankruptcy could delay our efforts to collect past due balances under the relevant leases and could ultimately preclude collection of these sums. If a lease is rejected by a tenant in bankruptcy, we would only have a general unsecured claim for damages. This claim could be paid only in the event funds were available and then only in the same percentage as that realized on other unsecured claims. Our claim would be capped at the rent reserved under the lease, without acceleration, for the greater of one year or 15% of the remaining term of the lease, but not greater than three years, plus rent already due but unpaid. Therefore, if a lease is rejected, it is unlikely we would receive any payments from the tenant or we would receive substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims we hold, which would result in a reduction in our rental income, cash flow and the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We may face additional risks and costs associated with owning properties occupied by government tenants, which could negatively impact our cash flows and results of operations.

As of the date of this prospectus, we owned nine properties in which some or all of the tenants are federal government agencies. We may continue to pursue the acquisition of office properties in which substantial space is leased to governmental agencies. As such, lease agreements with these federal government agencies contain certain provisions required by federal law, which require, among other things, that the contractor (which is the lessor or the owner of the property), agree to comply with certain rules and regulations, including, but not limited to, rules and regulations

related to anti-kickback procedures, examination of records, audits and records, equal opportunity provisions, prohibition against segregated facilities, certain executive orders, subcontractor cost or pricing data, certain provisions intending to assist small businesses and contractual rights of termination by the tenants. We may be subject to requirements of the Employment Standards Administration's Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs and requirements to prepare affirmative action plans pursuant to the applicable executive order may be determined to be applicable to us.

In addition, some of our leases with government tenants may be subject to statutory or contractual rights of termination by the tenants, which will allow them to vacate the leased premises before the stated terms of the leases expire with little or

Table of Contents

no liability. For fiscal policy reasons, security concerns or other reasons, some or all of our government tenants may decide to vacate our properties. If a significant number of such vacancies occur, our rental income may materially decline, our cash flow and results of operations could be adversely affected and our ability to pay regular distributions to you may be jeopardized.

Some of the leases at our properties contain early termination provisions which, if triggered, may allow tenants to terminate their leases without further payment to us, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of the applicable property.

Certain tenants have a right to terminate their leases upon payment of a penalty but others are not required to pay any penalty associated with an early termination. Most of our tenants that are federal or state governmental agencies, which account for approximately 26.3% of the base rental revenue from our properties as of September 30, 2014, may, under certain circumstances, vacate the leased premises before the stated terms of the leases expire with little or no liability to us. There can be no assurance that tenants will continue their activities and continue occupancy of the premises. Any cessation of occupancy by tenants may have an adverse effect on our operations.

The federal government's green lease policies may adversely affect us.

In recent years, the federal government has instituted green lease policies which allow a government tenant to require leadership in energy and environmental design for commercial interiors, or LEED®-CI, certification in selecting new premises or renewing leases at existing premises. In addition, the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 allows the General Services Administration to prefer buildings for lease that have received an Energy Star label. Obtaining such certifications and labels may be costly and time consuming, but our failure to do so may result in our competitive disadvantage in acquiring new or retaining existing government tenants.

We may be unable to complete acquisitions and, even if acquisitions are completed, we may fail to successfully operate acquired properties.

Our business plan includes, among other things, growth through identifying suitable acquisition opportunities, consummating acquisitions and leasing such properties. We will evaluate the market of available properties and may acquire properties when we believe strategic opportunities exist. Our ability to acquire properties on favorable terms and successfully develop or operate them is subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to acquire a desired property because of competition from other real estate investors with substantial capital, including from other REITs and institutional investment funds;

even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other potential acquirers may significantly increase the purchase price;

even if we enter into agreements for the acquisition of properties, these agreements are subject to customary conditions to closing, including completion of due diligence investigations to our satisfaction;

we may incur significant costs in connection with evaluation and negotiation of potential acquisitions, including acquisitions that we are subsequently unable to complete;

we may acquire properties that are not initially accretive to our results upon acquisition, and we may not successfully lease those properties to meet our expectations;

we may be unable to finance the acquisition on favorable terms in the time period we desire, or at all;

even if we are able to finance the acquisition, our cash flows may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

we may spend more than budgeted to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties;

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly the acquisition of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations;

market conditions may result in higher than expected vacancy rates and lower than expected rental rates; and

Table of Contents

we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by tenants or other persons dealing with former owners of the properties and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

Acquired properties may be located in new markets where we may face risks associated with investing in an unfamiliar market.

We may acquire properties in markets that are new to us. When we acquire properties located in new markets, we may face risks associated with a lack of market knowledge or understanding of the local economy, forging new business relationships in the area and unfamiliarity with local government and permitting procedures. We work to mitigate such risks through extensive diligence and research and associations with experienced service providers. However, there can be no guarantee that all such risks will be eliminated.

Adverse market and economic conditions could cause us to recognize impairment charges or otherwise impact our performance.

We intend to review the carrying value of our properties when circumstances, such as adverse market conditions (including conditions resulting from the recent economic downturn), indicate a potential impairment may exist. We intend to base our review on an estimate of the future cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the property's use and eventual disposition on an undiscounted basis. We intend to consider factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If our evaluation indicates that we may be unable to recover the carrying value of a real estate investment, an impairment loss will be recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

Impairment losses would have a direct impact on our operating results because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to our operating results. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. If the real estate market deteriorates, we may reevaluate the assumptions used in our impairment analysis. Impairment charges could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to pay distributions on, and the per share market price of, our common stock.

Litigation may result in unfavorable outcomes.

Like many real estate operators, we may be involved in lawsuits involving premises liability claims and alleged violations of landlord-tenant laws, which may give rise to class action litigation or governmental investigations. Any material litigation not covered by insurance, such as a class action, could result in us incurring substantial costs and harm our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to pay distributions to you.

We may invest in properties with other entities, and our lack of sole decision-making authority or reliance on a joint-venturer's financial condition could make these joint venture investments risky and expose us to losses or impact our ability to qualify or maintain our qualification as a REIT.

We may co-invest in the future with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities. We may acquire noncontrolling interests or share responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In such events, we would not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the property or entity. Investments in entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved. These risks include the possibility that partners or joint-venturers:

might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions;

may have economic or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals; and

may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives or exercise rights to buy or sell at an inopportune time for us.

Table of Contents

Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale or refinancing of the property, because neither we nor the partner or joint-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. Disputes between us and partners or joint-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and directors from focusing their time and effort on our business or result in costs to terminate the relationship. Actions of partners or joint-venturers may cause losses to our investments and adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or joint-venturers if:

we structure a joint venture or conduct business in a manner that is deemed to be a general partnership with a third party;

third-party managers incur debt or other liabilities on behalf of a joint venture which the joint venture is unable to pay, and the joint venture agreement provides for capital calls, in which case we could be liable to make contributions as set forth in any such joint venture agreement or suffer adverse consequences for a failure to contribute; or

we agree to cross default provisions or to cross-collateralize our properties with the properties in a joint venture, in which case we could face liability if there is a default relating to those properties in the joint venture or the obligations relating to those properties.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and similar laws may require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures.

All of our properties and any future properties that we acquire are and will be required to comply with the ADA. The ADA requires that all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. For those projects receiving federal funds, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (the RA) also has requirements regarding disabled access. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance with the present requirements, we may incur unanticipated expenses to comply with the ADA, the RA and other applicable legislation in connection with the ongoing operation or redevelopment of our properties. These and other federal, state and local laws may require modifications to our properties, or affect renovations of our properties. Non-compliance with these laws could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants and also could result in an order to correct any non-complying feature, which could result in substantial capital expenditures.

Our property taxes could increase due to property tax rate changes or reassessment, which may adversely impact our cash flows.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we will be required to pay some state and local taxes on our properties. The real property taxes on our properties may increase as property tax rates change or as our properties are assessed or reassessed by taxing authorities. Therefore, the amount of property taxes that we pay in the future may increase substantially. In addition, the real property taxes on Cherry Creek are reduced due to having a government user as its largest tenant and loss of such tenant would increase the amount of property taxes. If the property taxes that we pay increase, our cash flow could be impacted, and our ability to pay expected distributions to our stockholders may be adversely affected.

It may be difficult to enforce civil liabilities against members of our board of directors, our officers or officers of our Advisor.

Some of the members of our board of directors, our officers and the principals of our Advisor reside in Canada, our Advisor is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada and substantially all of the assets of such persons are located in Canada. As a result, it may be difficult for you to effect service of process within the United States or in any other jurisdiction outside of Canada upon these persons or to enforce against them in any jurisdiction outside of Canada judgments predicated upon the laws of any such jurisdiction, including any judgment predicated upon the federal and state securities laws of the United States.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Status As a REIT

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would result in significant adverse tax consequences to us and would adversely affect our business and the value of our stock.

We intend to elect, and to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify, to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex tax rules, for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The fact that we hold substantially all of our assets through a partnership further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a seemingly minor technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Our REIT status depends upon various factual matters and circumstances that may not be entirely within our control. For example, in order to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income in any year must be derived from qualifying sources, such as rents from real property, and we must satisfy a number of requirements regarding the composition of our assets. Also, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains. In addition, new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions, each of which could have retroactive effect, may make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT, or could reduce the desirability of an investment in a REIT relative to other investments. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") that we qualify as a REIT, and the statements in this prospectus are not binding on the IRS or any court. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that we will be successful in qualifying as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will face serious adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available to distribute to you. If we fail to qualify as a REIT:

we would not be allowed to deduct distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we could also be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

unless we are entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following the year in which we were disqualified.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be required to make distributions to stockholders. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital and would adversely affect the value of our common stock.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to some U.S. federal, state and local income, property and excise taxes on our income or property and, in certain cases, a 100% penalty tax, in the event we sell property as a dealer. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to tax as regular corporations in the jurisdictions in which they operate.

To qualify as a REIT, we may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions to make distributions to our stockholders.

To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income each year, excluding any net capital gain, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. To qualify as a REIT and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, we may need to borrow funds to meet the REIT distribution requirements. These borrowing needs could result from:

differences in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures;

Table of Contents

the creation of reserves; or

required debt or amortization payments.

We may need to borrow funds at times when the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for borrowing. These borrowings could increase our costs or reduce our equity and adversely affect the value of our common stock.

If our operating partnership failed to qualify as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and suffer other adverse consequences.

We believe that our operating partnership will be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, our operating partnership will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including us, will be required to pay tax on its allocable share of the operating partnership's income. We cannot assure you, however, that the IRS will not challenge the status of the operating partnership or any other subsidiary partnership in which we own an interest as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our operating partnership or any such other subsidiary partnership as an entity taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, we would likely cease to qualify as a REIT. Also, the failure of our operating partnership or any subsidiary partnerships to qualify as a partnership could cause it to become subject to U.S. federal and state corporate income tax, which would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to its partners, including us.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum income tax rate applicable to qualified dividends payable to non-corporate U.S. stockholders, including individuals, trusts and estates, is 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rate. Although these rules do not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including the market price of our common stock.

The tax imposed on REITs engaging in prohibited transactions may limit our ability to engage in transactions which would be treated as sales for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% penalty tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property held in inventory primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Although we do not intend to hold any properties that would be characterized as inventory held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of our business, such characterization is a factual determination and no guarantee can be given that the IRS would agree with our characterization of our properties or that we will always be able to make use of the available safe-harbors.

To qualify as a REIT, we may be forced to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts that we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may be required to make distributions to stockholders at times when it would be more advantageous to reinvest cash in our business or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Thus, compliance with the REIT

requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

In particular, we must ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified real estate assets. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities, securities of any qualified REIT subsidiary of ours and securities that are qualified real estate assets) generally may not include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of

Table of Contents

the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets (other than government securities, securities of any qualified REIT subsidiary of ours and securities that are qualified real estate assets) may consist of the securities of any one issuer. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must remedy the failure within 30 days or qualify for certain limited statutory relief provisions to avoid losing status as a REIT. As a result, we may be required to liquidate otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders.

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could increase our tax liability, reduce our operating flexibility and reduce the market price of our shares of common stock.

At any time, the U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs may be amended or the administrative and judicial interpretations of those laws may be changed. We cannot predict when or if any new U.S. federal income tax law, regulation, or administrative and judicial interpretation, or any amendment to any existing U.S. federal income tax law, regulation or administrative or judicial interpretation, will be adopted, promulgated or become effective, and any such law, regulation, or interpretation may be effective retroactively. We and our stockholders could be adversely affected by any such change in, or any new, U.S. federal income tax law, regulation or administrative and judicial interpretation.

Risks Associated With Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement

Our Advisor and certain of its affiliates may have interests that diverge from the interests of our common stockholders.

We are subject to conflicts of interest arising out of our relationship with our Advisor and its affiliates. Our Advisor and its affiliates, including the executive officers and employees of our Advisor on whom we rely, could make substantial profits as a result of pursuing transactions that may not be in our best interest, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and your investment. Examples of these potential conflicts of interests include:

competition for the time and services of personnel that work for us and our affiliates;

compensation and fees payable by us to our Advisor, none of which were the result of arm's-length negotiations and may not be on market terms and are payable, in some cases, whether or not our stockholders receive distributions;

enforcement of the terms of contribution and other agreements relating to the contributions of direct and indirect interests in certain properties from affiliates of our Advisor;

the possibility that our Advisor and its affiliates may make investment or disposition recommendations to us in order to increase their own compensation even though the investments or dispositions may not be in the best interests of our stockholders; and

the possibility that we may acquire or merge with our Advisor, resulting in an internalization of our management functions.

We depend upon our Advisor to conduct our operations and, therefore, any adverse changes in the financial health of our Advisor or personnel, or our relationship with our Advisor, could hinder our operating performance and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We have no employees. Personnel and services that we require are provided to us under contracts with our Advisor. We depend on our Advisor to manage our operations and acquire and manage our portfolio of real estate assets. Our Advisor makes all decisions with respect to the management of our company, subject to the supervision of, and any guidelines established by, our board of directors. Our Advisor depends upon the fees and other compensation that it receives from us in connection with the management of our business and sale of our properties to conduct its operations. Any adverse changes in the financial condition of, or our relationship with, our Advisor could hinder its ability to successfully manage our operations and our portfolio of investments.

Table of Contents

Our Advisor has a limited operating history and the prior performance of programs sponsored by or affiliated with Second City may not be an indication of our future results.

Our Advisor was formed in July 2013 and never acted as an advisor or external manager to a public company prior to our initial public offering. Although the Second City Group has previously invested in office properties, you should not rely upon the past performance of other programs sponsored by or affiliated with the Second City Group to predict our future results. This is particularly true as none of the Second City Group's prior investment programs intended to qualify as a REIT. There can be no assurance that we will continue to find suitable investments or generate sufficient cash flows to pay our operating expenses and make distributions to our stockholders.

The nature of our Advisor's business, and our dependence on our Advisor, makes us subject to certain risks to which we would not ordinarily be subject based on our targeted investments.

While the directors have oversight responsibility with respect to the services provided by our Advisor pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, the services provided by our Advisor under such agreements are not performed by employees of our company or its subsidiaries, but by our Advisor directly. Personnel and services that we require are provided to us under contracts with our Advisor. As a result, our Advisor has the ability to influence many matters affecting our company and the performance of our properties now and in the foreseeable future.

The Advisory Agreement has an initial four-year term and will automatically be renewed for additional one-year terms unless terminated by either us or our Advisor upon prior notice. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our company will continue to have the benefit of our Advisor's Advisory Services, including its executive officers, or that our Advisor will continue to be our manager. If our Advisor should cease for whatever reason to provide Advisory Services or be our manager, the cost of obtaining substitute services may be greater than the fees that we pay to our Advisor under the Advisory Agreement, and this may adversely impact our ability to meet our objectives and execute our strategy which could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, results of operations and financial condition.

If our Advisor loses or is unable to retain or obtain key personnel, our ability to implement our investment strategies could be hindered, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make cash distributions to our stockholders.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the contributions of certain of the officers and other key personnel of our Advisor. We cannot guarantee that all, or any, will remain affiliated with our Advisor. If any of our key personnel were to cease their affiliation with our Advisor, our results of operations could suffer.

We believe that our future success depends upon our Advisor's ability to hire and retain highly skilled managerial, operational and marketing personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense, and we cannot assure you that our Advisor will be successful in retaining and attracting such skilled personnel. If our Advisor loses or is unable to obtain the services of key personnel, our ability to implement our investment strategies could be delayed or hindered, and the market price of our common stock may be adversely affected.

Termination of the Advisory Agreement, even for poor performance, could be difficult and costly, including as a result of termination fees, and may cause us to be unable to execute our business plan.

Termination of the Advisory Agreement without cause, even for poor performance, could be difficult and costly. Our agreement provides that we may terminate the Advisory Agreement only (i) for cause upon the affirmative vote of two-thirds of our independent directors or a majority of our outstanding common stock or (ii) a change of control of our Advisor upon the affirmative vote of our independent directors. If we terminate the agreement without cause or if

our Advisor terminates the agreement because of a material breach of the agreement by us or as a result of a change of control of our company, we must pay our Advisor a termination fee payable in cash, shares of our common stock or any combination thereof at the election of our Advisor. The termination fee, if any, will be equal to three times the amount of the acquisition and advisory fees earned by the Advisor for the 12 months preceding the termination. These provisions may substantially restrict our ability to

Table of Contents

terminate the Advisory Agreement without cause and would cause us to incur substantial costs in connection with such a termination. Furthermore, in the event that the Advisory Agreement is terminated and we are unable to identify a suitable replacement to manage us, our ability to execute our business plan could be adversely affected.

Our management structure and agreements and relationships with our Advisor may restrict our investment activities and may create conflicts of interest or the perception of such conflicts.

Our Advisor is authorized to follow broad operating and investment guidelines and, therefore, has discretion in determining the types of properties that are appropriate investments for us, as well as our individual operating and investment decisions. Our board of directors periodically reviews our operating and investment guidelines and our operating activities and investments, but it does not review or approve each decision made by our Advisor on our behalf. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, our board of directors relies primarily on information provided to it by our Advisor.

The potential for conflicts of interest as a result of our management structure may provoke dissident stockholder activities that result in significant costs.

In the past, in particular following periods of volatility in the overall market or declines in the market price of a company's securities, stockholder litigation, dissident stockholder director nominations and dissident stockholder proposals have often been instituted against companies alleging conflicts of interest in business dealings with affiliated and related persons and entities. Our relationships with our Advisor and its affiliates may precipitate such activities. These activities, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention.

Risks Related to This Offering

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile following this offering, and you could experience a loss if you sell your shares.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile. In addition, the trading volume in our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. If the market price of our common stock declines significantly, you may be unable to resell your shares at or above the public offering price. We cannot assure you that the market price of our common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future.

Some of the factors that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our common stock include:

actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly results of operations or distributions;

changes in our FFO or earnings estimates;

the extent of investor interest;

publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;

increases in market interest rates that lead purchasers of our shares to demand a higher yield;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

strategic decisions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestments, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments or changes in business strategy;

the reputation of REITs generally and the reputation of REITs with portfolios similar to ours;

the attractiveness of the securities of REITs in comparison to securities issued by other entities (including securities issued by other real estate companies);

adverse market reaction to any additional debt that we incur or acquisitions that we make in the future;

additions or departures of key management personnel;

Table of Contents

future issuances by us of our common stock;

actions by institutional stockholders;

speculation in the press or investment community;

the realization of any of the other risk factors presented in this prospectus; and

general market and economic conditions.

We may use a portion of the net proceeds from this offering to make distributions to our stockholders, which would, among other things, reduce our cash available to develop or acquire properties and may reduce the returns on your investment in our common stock.

Prior to the time we have fully invested the net proceeds of this offering, we may fund distributions to our stockholders out of the net proceeds of this offering, which would reduce the amount of cash that we have available to acquire properties and may reduce the returns on your investment in our common stock. The use of these net proceeds for distributions to stockholders could adversely affect our financial results. In addition, funding distributions from the net proceeds of this offering may constitute a return of capital to our stockholders, which would have the effect of reducing each stockholder's tax basis in our common stock.

You will experience immediate and material dilution in connection with the purchase of our shares of common stock in this offering.

As of September 30, 2014, our aggregate historical combined net tangible book value was approximately \$15.2 million, or \$1.29 per share of our common stock, assuming the redemption of all common units in exchange for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. As a result, the pro forma net tangible book value per share of common stock after the completion of this offering will be less than the public offering price. Assuming a public offering price of \$13.26 per share, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE on December 1, 2014, purchasers of our common stock offered hereby will experience immediate and substantial dilution of \$9.74 per share in the pro forma net tangible book value per share of our common stock. See Dilution.

The number of our shares of common stock available for future issuance or sale could materially adversely affect the per share trading price of our shares of common stock.

We are offering shares of common stock as described in this prospectus. Upon completion of this offering, we will have outstanding approximately 11,192,915 shares of common stock. Of these shares, all will be freely tradable, except for any shares owned, or any shares purchased in this offering by, our affiliates as that term is defined by Rule 144 under the Securities Act, and subject to the restrictions on ownership and transfer set forth in our charter.

We cannot predict whether future issuances or sales of our shares of common stock or the availability of shares for resale in the open market will decrease the per share trading price of our common stock.

Market interest rates may have an adverse effect on the market price of our securities.

One of the factors that influences the price of our common stock is the dividend yield on our common stock (as a percentage of the price of the stock) relative to market interest rates. An increase in market interest rates may lead our holders of our common stock to expect a higher dividend yield, and higher interest rates would likely increase our borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common stock to fall.

Broad market fluctuations could negatively impact the market price of our common stock.

The stock market has recently experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many companies in industries similar or related to ours and that have been unrelated to these companies operating

Table of Contents

performance. These broad market fluctuations could reduce the market price of our common stock. Furthermore, our results of operations and prospects may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors or may be lower than those of companies with comparable market capitalizations. Either of these factors could lead to a material decline in the market price of our common stock.

The market price of our common stock could be adversely affected by our level of cash distributions.

The market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future cash distributions, whether from operations, sales or refinancings, as well as the real estate market value of the underlying assets, may cause our common stock to trade at prices that differ from our net asset value per share. If we retain operating cash flow for investment purposes, working capital reserves or other purposes, these retained funds, while increasing the value of our underlying assets, may not correspondingly increase the market price of our common stock. Our failure to meet the market's expectations with regard to future earnings and cash distributions likely would adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

The historical performance of the City Office Predecessor will not, and the pro forma financial statements included in this prospectus may not, be indicative of our future results or an investment in our common stock.

We have presented in this prospectus under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Prospectus Summary Summary Financial Data and Selected Financial Data certain information relating to the summary consolidated pro forma financial data for our company and the historical performance of the City Office Predecessor. When considering this information, you should bear in mind that the combined historical results of the City Office Predecessor are not indicative of the future results that you should expect from us or any investment in our common stock. It is not possible for us to accurately estimate all adjustments needed to reflect all the significant changes that may occur in our future cost structure, funding and operations. Furthermore, you should also not rely upon the pro forma financial statements included in this prospectus as being indicative of our future results.

Future offerings of debt, which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation, and preferred equity securities, which may be senior to our common stock for purposes of dividend payments or upon liquidation, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by making offerings of debt or preferred equity securities, including medium-term notes, senior or subordinated notes and preferred stock. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive distributions of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. Our preferred stock, if issued, could have a preference on liquidating distributions or a preference on dividend payments that could limit our ability to pay a dividend or make another distribution to the holders of our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our common stock and diluting their stock holdings in us.

Our internal controls over financial reporting may not be effective and our independent registered public accounting firm may not be able to certify as to their effectiveness, which could have a significant and adverse effect on our business and reputation.

We are required to comply with the SEC's rules implementing Sections 302 and 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which will require management to certify financial and other information in our quarterly and annual reports and provide an annual management report on the effectiveness of controls over financial reporting. Though we will be required to

disclose changes made in our internal controls and procedures on a quarterly basis, we will not be required to make our first annual assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 until the year following our first annual report required to be filed with the SEC. Additionally, as an emerging growth company, as defined in the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to formally attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 until the later of the year following our first annual report required to be filed with

Table of Contents

the SEC or the date we are no longer an emerging growth company. At such time, our independent registered public accounting firm may issue a report that is adverse in the event that it is not satisfied with the level at which our controls are documented, designed or operating.

When evaluating our internal controls over financial reporting, we may identify material weaknesses that we may not be able to remediate in time to meet the applicable deadline imposed upon us for compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. If we identify material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting or are unable to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in a timely manner or assert that our internal controls over financial reporting are effective, or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to express an opinion as to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, investors may lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports and the market price of our common stock could be negatively affected, and we could become subject to investigations by the NYSE, the SEC or other regulatory authorities, which could require additional financial and management resources.

We are an emerging growth company and we cannot be certain if the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an emerging growth company, as defined in the JOBS Act, and we intend to take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies but not to emerging growth companies, including, but not limited to, an exemption from the auditor attestation requirement of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which may increase the risk that weaknesses or deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting go undetected, and reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements, which may make it more difficult for investors and securities analysts to evaluate us.

We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive as a result of our taking advantage of these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result of our choices, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile. We may take advantage of these reporting exemptions until we are no longer an emerging growth company. We could be an emerging growth company until the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the completion of this offering, although a variety of circumstances could cause us to lose that status earlier.

Our operating partnership may issue additional common units to third parties without the consent of our stockholders, which would reduce our ownership percentage in our operating partnership and could have a dilutive effect on the amount of distributions made to us by our operating partnership and, therefore, the amount of distributions that we can make to our stockholders.

After giving effect to this offering, we will own 77.5% of the outstanding common units and we may, in connection with our acquisition of properties or otherwise, cause our operating partnership to issue additional common units to third parties. Such issuances would reduce our ownership percentage in our operating partnership and could affect the amount of distributions made to us by our operating partnership and, therefore, the amount of distributions we can make to our stockholders. Because you will not directly own common units, you will not have any voting rights with respect to any such issuances or other partnership level activities of our operating partnership.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future between the interests of our stockholders and the interests of holders of units in our operating partnership, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our

stockholders.

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. Our directors and officers have duties to our company under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as the general partner

Table of Contents

of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its limited partners under Maryland law and the partnership agreement of our operating partnership in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our fiduciary duties and obligations as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to our company.

Additionally, the partnership agreement provides that we and our officers, directors and employees, will not be liable or accountable to our operating partnership for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived if we, or such officer, director or employee acted in good faith. The partnership agreement also provides that we will not be liable to the operating partnership or any partner for monetary damages for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived by the operating partnership or any limited partner, except for liability for our intentional harm or gross negligence. Moreover, the partnership agreement provides that our operating partnership is required to indemnify us and our officers, directors, employees, agents and designees from and against any and all claims that relate to the operations of our operating partnership, except (1) if the act or omission of the person was material to the matter giving rise to the action and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (2) for any transaction for which the indemnified party received an improper personal benefit, in money, property or services or otherwise in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, if the indemnified person had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. No reported decision of a Maryland appellate court has interpreted provisions similar to the provisions of the partnership agreement of our operating partnership that modify and reduce our fiduciary duties or obligations as the general partner or reduce or eliminate our liability for money damages to the operating partnership and its partners, and we have not obtained an opinion of counsel as to the enforceability of the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to modify or reduce the fiduciary duties that would be in effect were it not for the partnership agreement.

The consideration that we paid for the properties and assets acquired by us in the formation transactions may exceed their aggregate fair market value.

The amount of consideration that we paid for the properties contributed in the formation transactions was based on management's estimate of fair market value, including an analysis of market sales comparables, market capitalization rates for other properties and assets and general market conditions for such properties and assets. In certain instances, the amount of consideration that we paid was not negotiated on an arm's-length basis and management's estimate of fair market value may exceed the fair market value of these properties and assets.

Members of the Second City Group, CIO REIT and CIO OP in particular, own a substantial indirect beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and have the ability to exercise significant influence on our company and our operating partnership, including the approval of significant corporate transactions.

As of September 30, 2014, the Second City Group owns approximately 4,862,669 common units and shares of our common stock, representing a 41.2% beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis. In addition, our amended and restated bylaws have the effect of granting to the Second City Group the right to designate up to two nominees for election to our board of directors in accordance with, or as provided pursuant to, the partnership agreement, and the partnership agreement will limit any actions in contravention of an express provision of the partnership agreement, limit any transfers of our general partner interest in our operating partnership and prevent our voluntary withdrawal as the general partner based on the Second City Group's ownership of common units. See

Description of the Partnership Agreement of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P. Purpose, Business and Management and Description of the Partnership Agreement of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P. Restrictions on General Partner's Authority. For so long as the Second City Group maintains a significant interest in our company, they will have substantial influence on us and could exercise this influence in a manner that conflicts

with the interest of other stockholders.

On or after April 21, 2015, the Second City Group may seek to redeem its common units and sell any common stock received in exchange therefor or in our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, a future sale of common stock by the Second City Group will have on the market price of the

Table of Contents

common stock prevailing from time to time. However, the future sale of a substantial number of our shares of common stock by the Second City Group, or the perception that such sale could occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock.

We are a holding company with no direct operations and, as such, we rely on funds received from our operating partnership to pay liabilities, and the interests of our stockholders are structurally subordinated to all liabilities and obligations of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our operations through our operating partnership. We do not have, apart from an interest in our operating partnership, any independent operations. As a result, we rely on distributions from our operating partnership to pay any dividends that we may declare on shares of our common stock. We also rely on distributions from our operating partnership to meet any of our obligations, including any tax liability on taxable income allocated to us from our operating partnership. In addition, because we are a holding company, your claims as stockholders are structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and obligations (whether or not for borrowed money) of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries. Therefore, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, our assets and those of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries will be available to satisfy the claims of our stockholders only after all of our operating partnership's and its subsidiaries liabilities and obligations have been paid in full.

We may have assumed unknown liabilities in connection with the formation transactions.

As part of the formation transactions, we acquired entities and assets that are subject to existing liabilities, some of which are unknown or unquantifiable at this time. These assumed liabilities might include liabilities for cleanup or remediation of undisclosed environmental conditions, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with our predecessor entities (that had not been asserted or threatened prior to this offering), tax liabilities and accrued but unpaid liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business. While in some instances we may have the right to seek reimbursement against an insurer, any recourse against third parties, including the contributors of our assets, for these liabilities are limited. There can be no assurance that we are entitled to any such reimbursements or that ultimately we will be able to recover in respect of such rights for any of these historical liabilities.

The contribution agreements executed pursuant to our formation transactions include certain representations and warranties by the contributors regarding the conditions of the properties. The contributors provide an indemnification to us for breaches of their representations and warranties under the contribution agreements. However, we are entitled to indemnification under the contribution agreements to the extent our damages exceed 1% of the consideration paid to the contributors. In addition, the indemnification in the contribution agreements is capped at 10% of the value of the consideration paid to the contributors. Therefore, it is possible that our liabilities will exceed our indemnification payments.

In addition, we have not obtained and do not intend to obtain new or additional title insurance, including any so called date down endorsements or other modifications to our existing title insurance policies. As a result, we may have acquired properties from the Second City Group with unknown material title defects or developments and our title insurance policies may not provide coverage against such defects or developments or insure for the current aggregate market value of our portfolio. There can be no assurance that our current title insurance policies will adequately protect us against any losses resulting from such title defects or adverse developments.

Our tax protection agreements could limit our ability to sell or otherwise dispose of certain properties.

In connection with our initial public offering and the related formation transactions, our operating partnership entered into tax protection agreements that provide that if we dispose of any interest in our initial properties in a taxable transaction prior to the fourth anniversary of the completion of the initial public offering, subject to certain exceptions, we will indemnify Gibralt, GCC Amberglen, Daniel Rapaport and CIO OP for their tax liabilities attributable to the built-in gain that exists with respect to our properties as of the time of our initial public offering and their tax liabilities incurred as a result of such tax protection payment. Therefore, although it may be in our stockholders' best interests that we sell one of these properties, it may be economically prohibitive for us to do so because of these obligations. Moreover, as a result of these potential tax liabilities, the Second City Group and its affiliates and certain of our officers may have a conflict of interest with respect to our determination as to these properties.

Table of Contents**Our tax protection agreements may require our operating partnership to maintain certain debt levels that otherwise would not be required to operate our business.**

Under our tax protection agreements, our operating partnership is required to maintain a minimum level of indebtedness throughout the four years immediately following our initial public offering and the related formation transactions, regardless of whether such debt levels are otherwise required to operate our business. Moreover, our operating partnership may be required to provide Gibralt, GCC Amberglen, Daniel Rapaport and CIO OP with the opportunity to guarantee debt upon a future repayment, retirement, refinancing or other reduction of currently outstanding debt prior to the fourth anniversary of the completion of our initial public offering. After such fourth anniversary, our operating partnership will be required to use commercially reasonable efforts to provide the Protected Parties (as defined below) with an opportunity to guarantee its debt, provided that it will not be required to incur any debt that it otherwise would not have incurred. If we fail to make such opportunities available, we will be required to make a cash payment intended to approximate the sum of the tax liabilities resulting from our failure to make such opportunities available or to maintain the minimum level of indebtedness and the tax liabilities incurred as a result of such tax protection payment. See *Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions Tax Protection Agreements*. We agreed to these provisions in order to assist the contributors and their owners in deferring the recognition of taxable gain as a result of and after our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. These obligations may require us to maintain more or different indebtedness than we would otherwise require for our business.

Our charter, our amended and restated bylaws and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction and may prevent our stockholders from receiving a premium for their shares.

Our charter contains ownership limits that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction. Our charter, with certain exceptions, authorizes our directors to take such actions as are necessary and desirable to qualify as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of directors, our charter provides that no person may own more than 9.8% of the value of our outstanding shares of capital stock or more than 9.8% in value or number (whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Our board of directors may not grant such an exemption to any proposed transferee whose ownership in excess of 9.8% of the foregoing ownership limits would result in the termination of our status as a REIT. These restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply if our board of directors determines that it is no longer in our best interests to attempt to qualify as a REIT. The ownership limit may delay or impede a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

We could authorize and issue stock without stockholder approval that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction. Our charter authorizes us to issue additional authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock. In addition, our board of directors may classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and may set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. Our board of directors may also, without stockholder approval, amend our charter to increase the authorized number of shares of our common stock or our preferred stock that we may issue. Our board of directors could establish a class or series of common stock or preferred stock that could, depending on the terms of such class or series, delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction. Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law (MGCL) may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control. In some cases, such an acquisition or change of control could provide you with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of

your shares. These MGCL provisions include:

business combination provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an interested stockholder for certain periods. An interested stockholder is generally any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate or associate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of our then-outstanding voting stock. A person is not an interested stockholder under the statute if our board of directors approved in advance the transaction by which he otherwise would have become an interested

Table of Contents

stockholder. Business combinations with an interested stockholder are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. After that period, the MGCL imposes two super-majority voting requirements on such combinations; and

control share provisions that provide that holders of control shares of our company acquired in a control share acquisition have no voting rights with respect to the control shares unless holders of two-thirds of our voting stock (excluding interested shares) consent. Control shares are shares that, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors. A control share acquisition is the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of control shares from a party other than the issuer.

In the case of the business combination provisions of the MGCL, we opted out by resolution of our board of directors. In the case of the control share provisions of the MGCL, we opted out pursuant to a provision in our amended and restated bylaws. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL. Further, we may opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL in the future by amending our bylaws, which our board of directors can do without stockholder approval.

Maryland law, and our charter and amended and restated bylaws, also contain other provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders. See Description of Stock and Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws.

The ability of our board of directors to revoke our REIT status without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders.

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to be a REIT, we would become subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income and would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on our total return to our stockholders.

Our board of directors may amend our investing and financing guidelines without stockholder approval, and, accordingly, you would have limited control over changes in our policies that could increase the risk that we default under our debt obligations or that could harm our business, results of operations and share price.

Although we are not required to maintain any particular leverage ratio, we intend, when appropriate, to employ prudent amounts of leverage and to use debt as a means of providing additional funds for the acquisition of our target assets and the diversification of our portfolio. Although our board of directors has not adopted a policy limiting the total amount of debt that we may incur, our Advisor intends to target a ratio of debt to total assets of 50% on future acquisitions. Our Advisor also intends to target a limit on our floating-rate debt that we may incur of no more than 20% of outstanding debt after giving effect to any interest rate hedges into which we may enter. However, our organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of debt that we may incur, nor do they limit the types of properties that we may acquire or develop. The amount of leverage we will deploy for particular investments in our target assets will depend upon our management team's assessment of a variety of factors, which may include the anticipated liquidity and price volatility of the target assets in our investment portfolio, the potential for losses, the availability and cost of financing the assets, our opinion of the creditworthiness of our financing counterparties, the health of the U.S. economy and commercial mortgage markets, our outlook for the level, slope and volatility of interest rates, the credit quality of our target assets and the collateral underlying our target assets. Our board of

directors may alter or eliminate our current guidelines on investing and financing at any time without stockholder approval. Changes in our strategy or in our investing and financing guidelines could expose us to greater credit risk and interest rate risk and could also result in a more leveraged balance sheet. These factors could result in an increase in our debt service and could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make expected distributions to you. Higher leverage also increases the risk that we would default on our debt.

Table of Contents

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Maryland law provides that a director or officer generally has no liability in that capacity if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. As permitted by the MGCL, our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment and which is material to the cause of action. In addition, our charter authorizes us to obligate our company, and our amended and restated bylaws require us, to indemnify and pay or reimburse our present and former directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist under common law. Accordingly, in the event that actions taken in good faith by any of our directors or officers impede the performance of our company, your ability to recover damages from such director or officer will be limited.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements are included throughout this prospectus, including in the sections entitled Prospectus Summary, Risk Factors, Use of Proceeds, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Business and Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions, and relate to matters such as our industry, business strategy, goals and expectations concerning our market position, future operations, margins, profitability, capital expenditures, financial condition, liquidity, capital resources, cash flows, results of operations and other financial and operating information. We have used the words approximately, anticipate, assume, believe, but, contemplate, continue, could, estimate, expect, future, intend, may, outlook, plan, potential, possibly, should, target, will and similar terms and phrases to identify forward-looking statements in this prospectus. All of our forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those that we are expecting, including:

adverse economic or real estate developments in the office sector or the markets in which we operate;

changes in local, regional and national economic conditions;

our inability to compete effectively;

our inability to collect rent from tenants or renew tenants' leases;

demand for and market acceptance of our properties for rental purposes;

our reliance on, and actual or potential conflicts of interest with, our Advisor;

defaults on or non-renewal of leases by tenants;

increased interest rates and operating costs;

decreased rental rates or increased vacancy rates;

our failure to obtain necessary outside financing on favorable terms or at all;

changes in the availability of additional acquisition opportunities;

availability of qualified personnel;

our inability to successfully complete real estate acquisitions;

our failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations;

changes in our business strategy;

our failure to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness;

environmental uncertainties and risks related to adverse weather conditions and natural disasters;

our failure to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT;

government approvals, actions and initiatives, including the need for compliance with environmental requirements;

outcome of claims and litigation involving or affecting us;

financial market fluctuations;

changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates; and

additional factors discussed under the sections captioned "Risk Factors," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Business."

The forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus are based on historical performance and management's current plans, estimates and expectations in light of information currently available to us and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. There can be no assurance that future developments affecting us will be those that we have

Table of Contents

anticipated. Actual results may differ materially from these expectations due to the factors, risks and uncertainties described above, changes in global, regional or local political, economic, business, competitive, market, regulatory and other factors described in Risk Factors, many of which are beyond our control. We believe that these factors include those described in Risk Factors. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should any of our assumptions prove to be incorrect, our actual results may vary in material respects from what we may have expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. We caution that you should not place undue reliance on any of our forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement made by us in this prospectus speaks only as of the date on which we make it. Factors or events that could cause our actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of them. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as may be required by applicable securities laws.

Table of Contents

STRUCTURE AND FORMATION OF OUR COMPANY

Our Company

We were formed as a Maryland corporation on November 26, 2013 and intend to elect to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014. We commenced operations upon completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions on April 21, 2014, issuing an aggregate of 6,582,150 shares of our common stock (including 782,150 shares sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option) at the public offering price of \$12.50 per share and receiving approximately \$82.3 million of gross proceeds, including shares issued upon exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option. We conduct our business through an UPREIT structure in which our properties are owned by our operating partnership through limited partnerships, limited liability companies or other subsidiaries, as described below under "Our Operating partnership." We are the sole general partner of our operating partnership and, as of September 30, 2014, we own approximately 71.6% of the partnership interests in our operating partnership (after giving effect to this offering, we will own 77.5% interest).

Our Operating Partnership

Our operating partnership, indirectly through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, holds substantially all of our assets and conducts substantially all of our operations. We contributed the net proceeds of our initial public offering, and intend to contribute the net proceeds from this offering, to our operating partnership in exchange for partnership interests therein. Subject to other classes or series of units that our operating partnership may issue in the future, our interest in our operating partnership entitles us to share in cash distributions from our operating partnership in proportion to our percentage ownership of common units. As the sole general partner of our operating partnership, we generally have the exclusive power under the partnership agreement to manage and conduct its business, subject to limited approval and voting rights of the limited partners described more fully in "Description of the Partnership Agreement of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P."

Beginning on or after April 21, 2015, limited partners of our operating partnership and certain qualifying assignees of limited partners collectively holding 3,251,904 common units (representing approximately 28.4% of outstanding common units) will have the right to require our operating partnership to redeem part or all of their outstanding common units for cash, or, at our election, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis, based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of shares of our common stock at the time of the redemption, subject to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock set forth in our charter and described under the section entitled "Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer."

Formation Transactions

Simultaneously with the closing of our initial public offering, we acquired, pursuant to contribution agreements, all of the property interests that were owned by entities that owned and controlled our initial properties (the "Property Ownership Entities") in exchange for shares of our common stock, common units and cash and we contributed the interests in the Property Ownership Entities that we acquired to our operating partnership in exchange for common units. Upon the completion of these formation transactions, we acquired six office complexes comprised of 16 office buildings with a total of approximately 1.85 million square feet of NRA in exchange for 1,610,765 shares of common stock, 3,731,209 common units, \$19.4 million of cash and the assumption of approximately \$159.9 million of debt related to such properties. We engaged in the formation transactions to consolidate the ownership of our initial properties into our operating partnership; facilitate our initial public offering; enable us to raise necessary capital to repay then existing and future indebtedness related to certain properties in our portfolio; enable us to qualify as a

REIT commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014; and preserve the tax position of certain continuing investors. As a result of the formation transactions, we acquired a 100% interest in each of the Washington Group Plaza, Cherry Creek and Corporate Parkway properties and we acquired an approximately 76% interest in the AmberGlen property, 90% interest in the Central Fairwinds property and 95% interest in the City Center property. The parties retaining the remaining interests in the AmberGlen, Central Fairwinds and City Center properties at the conclusion of the formation transactions did not receive any common units, common stock or cash from us for their property interests.

Table of Contents

Our Structure

The following diagram depicts our ownership structure and the ownership percentages of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014. This chart is provided for illustrative purposes only and does not show all of our legal entities or ownership percentages of such entities. In addition, this chart does not depict shares of our common stock and common units issuable to certain of our executive officers and directors in connection with equity awards outstanding under the Equity Incentive Plan.

- (1) City Office is the sole general partner of our operating partnership and owns a 71.6% ownership interest in our operating partnership.
- (2) Our operating partnership owns interests in each of the Property Ownership Entities as follows: Amberglen Properties Limited Partnership (OR) (76%), Core Cherry Limited Partnership (DE) (100%), Central Fairwinds Limited Partnership (FL) (90%), City Center STF, LP (FL) (95%), SCCP Boise Limited Partnership (DE) (100%), SCCP Boise Outlot Limited Partnership (DE) (100%), SCCP Central Valley Limited Partnership (DE) (100%), CIO Plaza 25, Limited Partnership (DE) (100%) and CIO Lake Vista, Limited Partnership (DE) (100%).
- (3) The general partner of each of the Property Ownership Entities is a separate entity established for the purpose of holding such interests: Gibralt Amberglen LLC (DE), Core Cherry GP Corp. (DE), Central Fairwinds GP Corporation (FL), City Center STF GP Corp. (FL), SCCP Boise GP, Inc. (DE), SCCP Boise Outlot GP, Inc. (DE), SCCP Central Valley GP Corp. (DE), CIO Plaza 25 GP, LLC (DE) and CIO Lake Vista GP, LLC (DE), each of which has elected to be a taxable REIT subsidiary of ours (other than CIO Plaza 25 GP, LLC (DE) and CIO Lake Vista, Limited Partnership (DE)).
- (4) In connection with our initial public offering, Gibralt received 123 common units with an aggregate value of approximately \$1,538, which represents 0.001% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis as of September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that the net proceeds of this offering, after deducting underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses, will be approximately \$37.0 million (\$42.6 million if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full). We will contribute the net proceeds of this offering to our operating partnership in exchange for common units. Our operating partnership intends to use the net proceeds of this offering as follows:

approximately \$14.9 million to repay amounts outstanding under the Secured Credit Facility, which matures on April 21, 2016. Borrowings under the Secured Credit Facility bear interest, at our election, either at a base rate plus 1.75% or LIBOR plus 2.75%. As of November 30, 2014, the weighted average annual interest rate was 2.90%. The borrowings under the Secured Credit Facility were used to fund a portion of the purchase price for our Lake Vista Pointe property; and

the remaining approximately \$22.1 million for general working capital purposes, including payment of expenses associated with this offering, possible future acquisitions and creating reserves for capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

If the underwriters elect to exercise all or any part of their over-allotment option, we may, at the option of the Second City Group, use all or a portion of the additional net proceeds from such exercise to redeem from the Second City Group, for cash, a portion of the shares of common stock and common units held by the Second City Group at a redemption price per common unit or share of common stock equal to the public offering price per share in this offering less the underwriting discount.

Pending application of the net proceeds, our Advisor will invest the net proceeds from this offering for our benefit in interest-bearing accounts and short-term, interest-bearing securities in a manner that is consistent with our intention to qualify for taxation as a REIT. These investments are expected to provide a lower net return than we will seek to achieve from our investment in office properties.

Table of Contents

DISTRIBUTION POLICY

We intend to elect to be taxed, and to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify, as a REIT commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014. U.S. federal income tax law requires that a REIT distribute annually at least 90% of its net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, and that it pay tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that it annually distributes less than 100% of its net taxable income, including net capital gains. In addition, a REIT is required to pay a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which the distributions that it makes in a calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of its ordinary income, 95% of its capital gain net income and 100% of its undistributed income from prior years. For more information, please see U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations. To satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT and generally not be subject to U.S. federal income and excise tax, we intend to continue to make regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock over time in an amount equal to our taxable income, which would be reduced by, among other things, the amount of the annual advisory fee and any acquisition fees payable, and offering expenses reimbursable, to our Advisor pursuant to the Advisory Agreement. Although we intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions to our stockholders over time, our board of directors has the sole discretion to determine the timing, form (including cash and shares of our common stock at the election of each of our stockholders) and amount of any distributions to our stockholders. Although not currently anticipated, in the event that our board of directors determines to make distributions in excess of the income or cash flow generated from our portfolio of assets, we may make such distributions from the proceeds of this or future offerings of equity or debt securities or other forms of debt financing or the sale of assets.

If we pay a taxable stock distribution, our stockholders would be sent a form that would allow each stockholder to elect to receive its proportionate share of such distribution in all cash or in all stock and the distribution will be made in accordance with such elections, provided that if the stockholders' elections, in the aggregate, would result in the payment of cash in excess of the maximum amount of cash to be distributed, then cash payments to stockholders who elected to receive cash will be prorated and the excess of each such stockholder's entitlement in the distribution, less such prorated cash payment, would be paid to such stockholder in shares of our common stock.

To the extent that in respect of any calendar year, cash available for distribution is less than our taxable income, we could be required to fund distributions from working capital, sell assets or borrow funds to make cash distributions or make a portion of the required distribution in the form of a taxable stock distribution or distribution of debt securities. In addition, we could be required to utilize the net proceeds of this offering to fund our quarterly distributions, which would reduce the amount of cash that we have available for investing and other purposes. For more information, see U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations Annual Distribution Requirements.

Our charter allows us to issue preferred stock that could have a preference over our common stock with respect to distributions. We currently have no intention to issue any preferred stock, but if we do, the distribution preference on the preferred stock could limit our ability to make distributions to the holders of our common stock.

Dividends and other distributions made by us will be authorized and determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion out of funds legally available therefor and will be dependent upon a number of factors, including restrictions under applicable law and other factors described below. On May 12, 2014, we declared a dividend distribution to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to holders of record of common units, in each case as of July 3, 2014, totaling \$2.1 million, or \$0.183 per share of common stock and common unit. This dividend distribution consisted of a pro rata dividend of \$0.940 per share of common stock and common unit covering the period from the consummation of our initial public offering on April 21, 2014 to June 30, 2014. The dividend distribution was paid on July 17, 2014. On September 15, 2014, we also declared a dividend distribution to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to

holders of record of common units, in each case as of October 3, 2014, totaling \$2.7 million, or \$0.235 per share of common stock and common unit. This dividend distribution consisted of a quarterly dividend of \$0.235 per share of common stock and common unit for the period from July 1, 2014 through September 30, 2014. This dividend distribution was paid on October 17, 2014. We cannot assure you that any distributions will be made or sustained or that our board of directors will not change our distribution policy in the future. Any dividends or other distributions that we pay in the future will depend upon our actual results of operations, economic conditions, debt service requirements, capital expenditures and other factors that could differ materially from our current expectations. Our actual results of operations will be affected by a number of factors, including our revenue, operating expenses, interest expense and unanticipated expenditures. For more information regarding risk factors that could materially adversely affect our actual results of operations, see Risk Factors.

Table of Contents**CAPITALIZATION**

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and actual combined capitalization as of September 30, 2014 and our pro forma cash and cash equivalents and combined capitalization as of September 30, 2014, adjusted to give effect to this offering and the intended use of the net proceeds from this offering as described in Use of Proceeds. You should read this table in conjunction with Structure and Formation of Our Company, Use of Proceeds, Selected Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of September 30, 2014	
	Actual (Unaudited)	Pro Forma Adjusted ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (Unaudited)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,855,196	\$ 29,833,688
Debt:		
Total Debt ⁽³⁾	\$ 179,604,305	\$ 190,204,305
Equity		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 8,192,915 shares issued and outstanding, actual; 11,192,915 shares issued and outstanding, as adjusted ⁽¹⁾	\$ 81,929	\$ 111,929
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 100,000,000 shares authorized no shares issued and outstanding		
Additional paid-in capital	45,503,697	82,465,797
Accumulated deficit	(7,136,038)	(7,136,038)
Total stockholders' equity		
Noncontrolling interests in our Operating Partnership	14,816,720	14,816,720
Noncontrolling interests in properties	(736,411)	(736,411)
Total equity	52,529,897	89,521,997
Total Capitalization	\$ 232,134,202	\$ 279,726,302

(1) Excludes up to 450,000 shares of common stock that may be issued by us upon exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option and 869,347 shares of common stock or LTIP units available for future issuance under our Equity Incentive Plan.

(2) Assumes a public offering price of \$13.26 per share.

(3) As of September 30, 2014, we had drawn approximately \$6.4 million under the Secured Credit Facility. Subsequent to September 30, 2014, an additional \$8.5 million was drawn. We intend to use approximately \$14.9 million of the net proceeds of this offering to repay amounts outstanding under the Secured Credit Facility.

Table of Contents**DILUTION**

If you invest in our common stock, your interest will be diluted to the extent of the difference between the public offering price per share and the as adjusted net tangible book value per share of our common stock immediately after this offering. Our historical net tangible book value as of September 30, 2014, was \$15.2 million, or \$1.29 per share of common stock, assuming the redemption of all common units in exchange for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. Net tangible book value per share is determined by dividing our total tangible assets less our total liabilities by the number of shares of our common stock outstanding.

After giving effect to the sale of 3,000,000 shares of common stock in this offering, after deducting the underwriting discounts (no underwriting discounts will be paid to the underwriters for the Reserved Shares) and estimated offering expenses payable by us and assuming a public offering price of \$13.26 per share in this offering, which was the last reported sale price of our common shares on the NYSE on December 1, 2014, our as adjusted net tangible book value as of September 30, 2014 would have been \$52.2 million or \$3.52 per share. This amount represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value to our existing stockholders of \$2.23 per share and an immediate dilution to investors in this offering of \$9.74 per share. The following table illustrates this per share dilution:

Assumed public offering price per share	\$ 13.26
Historical net tangible book value per share as of September 30, 2014	1.29
As adjusted net tangible book value per share after giving effect to this offering	3.52
Dilution in as adjusted net tangible book value per share to investors in this offering	\$ 9.74

If the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full, our as adjusted net tangible book value (calculated as described above) at September 30, 2014 would have been \$57.9 million or \$3.78 per share, representing an immediate increase in as adjusted net tangible book value to our existing stockholders of \$2.50 per share and an immediate dilution to investors in this offering of \$9.48 per share.

The following table summarizes as of September 30, 2014, on an as adjusted basis, the number of shares of common stock purchased from us, the total consideration paid to us and the average price per share paid by our existing stockholders and by investors in this offering, and before deducting underwriting discounts and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

	Common Units/ Shares Issued		Total Consideration		Average Price per Share/ OP Unit
	Number	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	
Second City Funds	4,862,669 ⁽¹⁾	32.8%	\$ 60,783,363	33.2%	\$ 12.50
Share grants to trustees and officers	394,233 ⁽²⁾	2.6			
IPO Investors	6,582,150 ⁽³⁾	44.4	82,276,875	45.0	12.50
New Investors	3,000,000	20.2	39,780,000	21.8	13.26
Total	14,839,052	100%	\$ 182,840,238	100%	

- (1) Represents shares and OP Units issued in the formation transactions less shares redeemed subsequent to the IPO valued at the IPO price.
- (2) Represents awards of restricted common shares granted to our directors and officers under the equity incentive plan.
- (3) Represents share issued in the IPO, including shares issued upon exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares, valued at the IPO price.

Table of Contents**MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS**

Our common stock has been listed on the NYSE under the symbol CIO since April 15, 2014. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low and last sale prices of our common stock and the cash dividends per share of our common stock that we declared with respect to the periods indicated.

	High	Low	Last	Distributions
Second quarter ^{(1) (2)}	\$ 12.95	\$ 11.91	\$ 12.68	\$ 0.183
Third quarter ⁽³⁾	13.61	12.34	13.58	0.235
Fourth quarter (through December 1, 2014)	\$ 13.49	\$ 12.80	\$ 13.26	

- (1) Information is provided only for the period from April 15, 2014 to June 30, 2014, as shares of our common stock did not begin trading publicly until April 15, 2014.
- (2) On May 12, 2014, we declared a dividend distribution to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to holders of record of common units, in each case as of July 3, 2014, totaling \$2.1 million, or \$0.183 per share of common stock and common unit. This dividend distribution consisted of a pro rata dividend for the period from the consummation of our initial public offering on April 21, 2014 to June 30, 2014. The dividend distribution was paid on July 17, 2014.
- (3) On September 15, 2014, we also declared a dividend distribution to common stockholders of record and our operating partnership declared a distribution to holders of record of common units, in each case as of October 3, 2014, totaling \$2.7 million, or \$0.235 per share of common stock and common unit. The dividend distribution was paid on October 17, 2014.

On December 1, 2014, the closing sale price of our common stock on the NYSE was \$13.26. AST is the transfer agent and registrar for our common stock. On December 1, 2014, we had 8,192,915 holders of record of our common stock. This figure does not represent the actual number of beneficial owners of our common stock because shares of our common stock are frequently held in street name by securities dealers and others for the benefit of beneficial owners who may vote the shares.

We intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions on our common stock. The actual amount and timing of distributions, however, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition in addition to the requirements of the Code, and no assurance can be given as to the amounts or timing of future distributions. See Distribution Policy.

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following financial data should be read in conjunction with the audited and unaudited financial statements and the related notes, and our unaudited pro forma financial information and the related notes, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The following table sets forth summary financial and operating data on a consolidated pro forma and historical basis for our company.

We had no business operations prior to completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. As a result, the historical combined balance sheet data as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 reflects the financial condition of the City Office Predecessor and the consolidated balance sheet data as September 30, 2014 (unaudited) reflects our financial condition. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 (unaudited) reflect the historical operations of the City Office Predecessor for the period from January 1, 2014 through April 20, 2014 and the historical results of operations of us for the period from April 21, 2014 through September 30, 2014.

The historical combined balance sheet information as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 of the City Office Predecessor and the combined statements of operations information for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (unaudited) of the City Office Predecessor have been derived from the historical combined financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated balance sheet data is presented to reflect the historical consolidated balance sheet of our company at September 30, 2014 (which includes the acquisition of the Plaza 25 and Lake Vista Pointe properties), the acquisition of the Florida Research Park property and this offering and the use of proceeds thereof as if they had all been completed on September 30, 2014. The unaudited pro forma consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 reflects the historical operations of the City Office Predecessor for the period from January 1, 2014 through April 20, 2014 and the historical results of operations of our company for the period from April 21, 2014 through September 30, 2014 and are presented as if our initial public offering and related formation transactions as well as the acquisitions of the Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Florida Research Park properties had all been completed on January 1, 2013. The unaudited pro forma consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflects the historical results of operations of the City Office Predecessor for the year ended December 31, 2013 and are presented as if our initial public offering and the related Formation Transactions as well as the acquisitions of the Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Florida Research Park properties had all been completed on January 1, 2013.

Our pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what our actual financial position and results of operations would have been as of the date and for the periods indicated, nor does it purport to represent our future financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**City Office REIT, Inc. and the City Office Predecessor**

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2014	2013	2013	2012	2012
	(unaudited) Pro-Forma	(unaudited) Historical	(unaudited) Historical	(unaudited) Pro-Forma	Historical	Historical
Revenue:						
Rental income	\$ 28,208,371	\$ 23,987,891	\$ 12,938,686	\$ 36,233,696	\$ 18,427,794	\$ 9,991,712
Expense reimbursement	2,721,453	1,796,567	1,093,117	3,571,980	1,316,068	1,053,466
Other	593,863	589,631	593,724	792,500	746,716	471,280
Total Revenues	31,523,687	26,374,089	14,625,527	\$ 40,598,176	\$ 20,490,578	\$ 11,516,458
Operating Expenses:						
Property operating expenses	8,215,662	7,304,371	4,005,302	10,604,700	6,021,287	4,109,993
Insurance	562,429	489,471	374,655	734,592	518,361	398,083
Property taxes	2,440,960	1,775,641	1,015,164	2,989,607	1,385,954	969,564
Property management fees	674,072	619,497	397,297	824,160	539,460	571,420
Acquisition costs	1,561,997	1,551,347	1,479,292	1,479,292	1,479,292	212,765
Base management fee	1,015,885	411,471		1,354,513		
Stock-based compensation	1,210,563	667,347		1,614,083		
General and administrative	1,342,500	821,379		1,790,000		
Depreciation and amortization	13,257,834	10,633,593	5,245,498	17,862,622	7,775,219	3,956,204
Total Operating Expenses	30,281,902	24,274,117	12,517,208	39,253,569	17,719,573	10,218,029
Operating Income from Continuing Operations	1,241,785	2,099,972	2,108,319	1,344,607	2,771,005	1,298,429
Interest expense, net	(6,552,907)	(8,765,075)	(3,704,586)	(8,874,197)	(5,368,016)	(3,685,881)
Change in fair value of earn-out	(1,047,515)	(1,047,515)				
Canadian offering costs				(1,983,195)	(1,983,195)	
Gain on acquisition		4,474,644				

Equity in income of unconsolidated entity			255,422		402,913	505,877
Net loss	(6,358,637)	(3,237,974)	(1,340,845)	(9,512,785)	(4,177,293)	(1,881,575)
Less:						
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in properties	(8,326)	(8,326)	60,356	(190,624)	44,368	286,481
Net income attributable to Predecessor		(1,973,197)				
Net loss attributable to Predecessor			\$ (1,280,489)		\$ (4,132,925)	\$ (1,595,094)
Net loss attributable to Operating partnership unitholders noncontrolling interests	1,433,368	1,508,097		2,184,490		
Net loss attributable to stockholders	\$ (4,933,595)	\$ (3,711,400)		\$ (7,518,919)		
Balance Sheet Data (as of end of period):						
Real estate properties, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 210,850,541	\$ 189,813,558		\$ 100,126,486	\$ 42,171,832	
Investments in unconsolidated entity				4,337,899	4,882,753	
Total assets	299,796,476	252,165,765		142,990,149	61,016,126	
Debt	190,204,305	179,604,305		109,916,430	53,256,600	
Total liabilities	210,274,479	199,635,868		115,282,034	55,006,264	
Stockholders and predecessor equity	75,441,688	38,449,588		26,624,375	6,149,404	
Operating partnership unitholders noncontrolling interests	14,816,720	14,816,720		1,083,740	(139,542)	
	(736,411)	(736,411)				

Noncontrolling
interest in
properties

Total equity	89,521,997	52,529,897	27,708,115	6,009,862
--------------	------------	------------	------------	-----------

Other Data

Cash flows
from/(to):

Operating

Activities	\$ 5,980,825	\$ 6,370,561	\$ 1,459,782	\$ 3,891,017
------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Investing Activities	(65,656,343)	(73,249,579)	(75,105,500)	(17,109,811)
----------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Financing

Activities	61,402,950	71,325,799	77,666,866	14,858,006
------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis is based on, and should be read in conjunction with, the condensed consolidated and combined financial statements and the related notes thereto of the City Office REIT, Inc. and the City Office Predecessor (as defined in this section) for the periods ended September 30, 2014, September 30, 2013, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

As used in this section, unless the context otherwise requires, references to we, our, us, and our company refer to City Office REIT, Inc., a Maryland corporation, together with our consolidated subsidiaries, including City Office REIT Operating Partnership L.P., a Maryland limited partnership, of which we are the sole general partner and which we refer to in this section as our operating partnership, except where it is clear from the context that the term only means City Office REIT, Inc. References to the City Office Predecessor are to the real estate activity and holdings of the entities that own the historical interests in the AmberGlen, Central Fairwinds, City Center, Cherry Creek, Corporate Parkway and Washington Group Plaza properties.

This management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. See Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements for a discussion of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions associated with those statements. Our actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including, but not limited to, those in Risk Factors and included in other portions of this prospectus.

Overview

Company

We were formed as a Maryland corporation on November 26, 2013. On April 21, 2014, we completed our initial public offering (IPO) of shares of common stock. We contributed the net proceeds of the IPO to our operating partnership in exchange for common units in our operating partnership. Both we and our operating partnership commenced operations upon completion of the IPO and certain related formation transactions (the Formation Transactions).

Our interest in the operating partnership entitles us to share in distributions from, and allocations of profits and losses of, our operating partnership in proportion to our percentage ownership of common units. As the sole general partner of our operating partnership, we have the exclusive power under the partnership agreement to manage and conduct our operating partnership's business, subject to limited approval and voting rights of the limited partners.

On April 21, 2014, we closed the IPO, pursuant to which we sold 5,800,000 shares of common stock to the public at a public offering price of \$12.50 per share. We raised \$72.5 million in gross proceeds, resulting in net proceeds to us of approximately \$63.4 million after deducting approximately \$5.1 million in underwriting discounts and approximately \$4.0 million in other expenses relating to the IPO. On May 9, 2014, the underwriters of the IPO partially exercised their overallotment option with respect to an additional 782,150 shares of our common stock at the IPO price of \$12.50 a share resulting in additional gross proceeds of approximately \$9.8 million. The net proceeds to us were \$9.1 million after deducting approximately \$0.7 million in underwriting discounts. Our common stock began trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CIO on April 15, 2014.

Pursuant to the Formation Transactions and exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, our operating partnership acquired a 100% interest in each of the Washington Group Plaza, Cherry Creek and Corporate Parkway properties and acquired an approximate 76% economic interest in the AmberGlen property, 90% interest in the Central Fairwinds property and 95% interest in the City Center property. These initial property interests were contributed in exchange for 3,731,209 common units, 1,610,765 shares of our common stock and \$19.4 million of cash. On May 9, 2014, subsequent to the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, 479,305 common units and 248,095 common stock were redeemed for \$9.1 million in cash.

Table of Contents

We intend to elect to be taxed and to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 2014. So long as we qualify as a REIT, we will be permitted to deduct distributions paid to our stockholders, eliminating the U.S. federal taxation of income represented by such distributions at the company level. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate tax rates.

Pursuant to the JOBS Act, we qualify as an emerging growth company (EGC). An EGC may choose to take advantage of the extended private company transition period provided for complying with new or revised accounting standards that may be issued by the FASB or the SEC. We have elected to opt out of such extended transition period. This election is irrevocable.

Indebtedness

In connection with the IPO and the related formation transactions, we, through our operating partnership, extinguished the mortgage loan secured by the Central Fairwinds property and completed a refinancing of three properties (Cherry Creek, City Center and Corporate Parkway) with a new \$95 million non-recourse mortgage loan and proceeds from the IPO. On April 29, 2014, our company, through our operating partnership, completed a \$25.4 million refinancing of the AmberGlen property. Following the formation transactions, the Washington Group Plaza property remained subject to the existing mortgage loan. On June 13, 2014, in connection with the addition of Plaza 25 as an additional collateral security under the Secured Credit Facility, we, through our operating partnership, exercised a portion of the accordion feature of the Secured Credit Facility and entered into an amendment to the credit agreement, thereby increasing the aggregate principal maximum amount available for borrowing under the Secured Credit Facility to \$30 million.

For additional information regarding the new mortgage loan, the AmberGlen Mortgage loan, the Washington Mortgage loan and the Secured Credit Facility, please refer to *Liquidity and Capital Resources* below.

Revenue Base

Upon completion of the IPO and the related Formation Transactions, we owned six office complexes comprised of 16 office buildings with a total of approximately 1.85 million square feet of NRA. As of September 30, 2014, our initial properties were approximately 91% leased (or 93% after giving effect to committed leases, the terms of which have not yet commenced).

Office Leases

Historically, most leases for our initial properties were on a full-service gross or net lease basis, and we expect to continue to use such leases in the future. A full-service gross lease generally has a base year expense stop , whereby we pay a stated amount of expenses as part of the rent payment while future increases (above the base year stop) in property operating expenses are billed to the tenant based on such tenant 's proportionate square footage in the property. The property operating expenses are reflected in operating expenses; however, only the increased property operating expenses above the base year stop recovered from tenants are reflected as tenant recoveries in our statements of operations. In a net lease, the tenant is typically responsible for all property taxes and operating expenses. As such, the base rent payment does not include any operating expenses, but rather all such expenses are billed to or paid by the tenant. The full amount of the expenses for this lease type is reflected in operating expenses, and the reimbursement is reflected in tenant recoveries. The tenants in the Corporate Parkway property and the Lake Vista Pointe property have net leases. We are also a lessor for a fee simple ground lease at the AmberGlen property.

All of our remaining leases are full-service gross leases.

Interest Rate Contracts

As of September 30, 2014, we did not have any interest rate contracts.

Table of Contents

Factors That May Influence Our Operating Results and Financial Condition

Business and Strategy

We focus on owning and acquiring office properties in our target markets. Our target markets generally possess what we believe are favorable economic growth trends, growing populations with above-average employment growth forecasts, a large number of government offices, large international, national and regional employers across diversified industries, are generally low-cost centers for business operations, and exhibit favorable occupancy trends. We utilize our Advisor's market-specific knowledge and relationships as well as the expertise of local real estate operators and our investment partners to identify acquisition opportunities that we believe will offer cash flow stability and long-term value appreciation. Our target markets are attractive, among other reasons, because we believe that ownership is often concentrated among local real estate operators that typically do not benefit from the same access to capital as public REITs and there is a relatively low level of participation of large institutional investors. We believe that these factors result in attractive pricing levels and risk-adjusted returns.

Rental Revenue and Tenant Recoveries

The amount of net rental revenue generated by our properties will depend principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space and space that becomes available from lease terminations. As of September 30, 2014, our properties were approximately 92% leased (or 93% when giving effect to committed leases, the terms of which have not yet commenced). The amount of rental revenue generated also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. We believe that the average rental rates for the portfolio of our properties are generally in-line or slightly below the current average quoted market rates. Negative trends in one or more of these factors could adversely affect our rental revenue in future periods. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our markets or submarkets or downturns in our tenants' industries that impair our ability to renew or re-let space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. In addition, growth in rental revenue will also partially depend on our ability to acquire additional properties that meet our investment criteria.

Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses generally consist of utilities, property and ad valorem taxes, insurance and site maintenance costs. Increases in these expenses over tenants' base years are generally passed along to tenants in our full-service gross leased properties and are generally paid in full by tenants in our net leased properties. As a public company, we estimate that our annual general and administrative expenses will increase due to increased legal, insurance, accounting and other expenses related to corporate governance, SEC reporting and other compliance matters, compared to the period prior to the IPO.

Conditions in Our Markets

Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions in the markets we operate in, including state budgetary shortfalls, employment rates, natural hazards and other factors, may impact our overall performance.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Preparation

The City Office Predecessor represents a combination of certain entities holding interests in real estate that are commonly controlled. Due to their common control, the financial statements of the separate entities which own our initial properties are presented on a combined basis.

The accompanying combined financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in combination.

Table of Contents

Variable interest entities (VIE) are accounted for within the scope of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 810, Consolidation and are required to be consolidated by their primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity is the enterprise that has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the variable interest entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the variable interest entity that could be significant to the variable interest entity. We have evaluated the applicability of ASC Topic 810 to our investments in ROC-SCCP Cherry Creek I, LP and determined that this entity is not a VIE or that the City Office Predecessor is not the primary beneficiary and, therefore, consolidation of this property is not required. The property is accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

Use of Estimates

We have made a number of significant estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses to prepare these combined financial statements in conformity with GAAP. These estimates and assumptions are based on our best estimates and judgment. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including the current economic environment. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these estimates and assumptions. Management adjusts such estimates when facts and circumstances dictate. The most significant estimates made include the recoverability of accounts receivable, allocation of property purchase price to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, the determination of VIEs and which entities should be consolidated, the determination of impairment of long-lived assets, loans receivable and equity method investments, valuation of derivative financial instruments and the useful lives of long-lived assets. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Business Combinations

The fair value of the real estate acquired, which includes the impact of fair value adjustments for assumed mortgage debt related to property acquisitions, is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and improvements and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, other value of in-place leases and value of tenant relationships, based in each case on their fair values. Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred in the accompanying combined statement of income. Also, noncontrolling interests acquired are recorded at estimated fair market value.

The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property (which includes land, building and improvements and fixtures and equipment) is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant. The as-if-vacant value is then allocated to land and building and improvements based on our determination of relative fair values of these assets. Factors considered by us in performing these analyses include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, we include real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand. We also estimate costs to execute similar leases including leasing commissions.

The fair value of above-market and below-market lease values are recorded based on the difference between the current in place lease rent and our estimate of current market rents. Below-market lease intangibles are recorded as part lease intangibles liability and amortized into rental revenue over the non-cancelable periods and bargain renewal periods of the respective leases. Above-market leases are recorded as part of intangible assets and amortized as a direct charge against rental revenue over the non-cancelable portion of the respective leases.

The fair value of acquired in place leases are recorded based on the costs we estimate we would have incurred to lease the property to the occupancy level of the property at the date of acquisition. Such estimates include the fair value of leasing commissions and legal costs that would be incurred to lease the property to this occupancy level. Additionally, we evaluate the time period over such occupancy level would be achieved and include an estimate of the net operating costs incurred during the lease-up period.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

We recognize lease revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Certain leases allow for the tenant to terminate the lease, but the tenant must make a termination payment as stipulated in the lease. If the termination payment is in such an amount that continuation of the lease appears, at the time of lease inception, to be reasonably assured, then we recognize revenue over the term of the lease. We have determined that for these leases, the termination payment is in such an amount that continuation of the lease appears, at the time of inception, to be reasonably assured. We recognize lease termination fees as other revenue in the period received and write off unamortized lease-related intangible and other lease-related account balances, provided there are no further obligations by us under the lease. Otherwise, such fees and balances are recognized on a straight-line basis over the remaining obligation period with the termination payments being recorded as a component of rent receivable-deferred or deferred revenue on the combined balance sheets.

If we fund tenant improvements and the improvements are deemed to be owned by us, revenue recognition will commence when the improvements are substantially completed and possession or control of the space is turned over to the tenant. If we determine that the tenant allowances are lease incentives, we commence revenue recognition when possession or control of the space is turned over to the tenant for tenant work to begin. The lease incentive is recorded as a deferred expense and amortized as a reduction of revenue on a straight-line basis over the respective lease term.

Recoveries from tenants for real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses are recognized as revenues in the period that the applicable costs are incurred. We recognize differences between estimated recoveries and the final billed amounts in the subsequent year. Final billings to tenants for real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses did not vary significantly as compared to the estimated receivable balances.

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred.

Impairment of Real Estate Properties

Long-lived assets currently in use are reviewed periodically for possible impairment and will be written down to fair value if considered impaired. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are written down to the lower of cost or fair value less the estimated cost to sell. We review our real estate properties for impairment when there is an event or a change in circumstances that indicates that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. We measure and record impairment losses and reduce the carrying value of properties when indicators of impairment are present and the expected undiscounted cash flows related to those properties are less than their carrying amounts. In cases in which we do not expect to recover our carrying costs on properties held for use, we reduce our carrying costs to fair value. We do not believe that the values of our properties are impaired as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on whether we have elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. We have not elected to designate any instruments as a hedge under ASC 815-10.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820-10) defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820-10 applies to reported

balances that are required or permitted to be measured at fair value under existing accounting pronouncements; accordingly, the standard does not require any new fair value measurements of reported balances.

ASC 820-10 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC 820-10

Table of Contents

establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which is typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Cash Equivalents, Restricted Cash, Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

We estimate that the fair value approximates carrying value due to the relatively short-term nature of these instruments.

Mortgage Loans Payable

We determine the fair value of City Office's and the City Office Predecessor's fixed rate debt based on a discounted cash flow analysis using a discount rate that approximates the current borrowing rates for instruments of similar maturities. Based on this, we have determined that the fair value of these instruments was \$172.7 million and \$88.5 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Loans with variable rate interest are excluded from the amount noted as the carrying value approximates the fair value. Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value fixed rate debt fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our fixed rate debt utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads. However, as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of the City Office Predecessor's fixed rate debt and determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of the City Office Predecessor's fixed rate debt. Accordingly, mortgage loans payable have been classified as Level 2 fair value measurements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which creates a new Topic Accounting Standards Codification (Topic 606). The standard is principle-based and provides a five-step model to determine when and how revenue is recognized. The core principle is that a company should recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which we expect to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This standard is effective for interim or annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and allows for either full retrospective or modified retrospective adoption. Early adoption of this standard is not allowed. We are currently evaluating the impact the adoption of Topic 606 will have on our financial statements.

JOBS Act

In April 2012, the JOBS Act was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an EGC can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(b) of the Securities Act, for complying with new or revised financial accounting standards. An emerging growth company can therefore delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. However, we have determined to opt out of such extended transition period and, as a result, we will comply with new or revised financial accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for non-emerging growth companies.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013***

The nine months ended September 30, 2014 include our combined results for the period from April 21, 2014 through September 30, 2014, and the results of the City Office Predecessor for the period from January 1, 2014 through April 20, 2014. The comparable nine-month period in 2013 pertain to the results of the City Office Predecessor only and accordingly may not be directly comparable due to the impact of the Formation Transactions on April 21, 2014. We incurred a loss of \$5.2 million since the date of IPO on April 21, 2014, which includes the loss on early extinguishment of City Office Predecessor debt of \$1.7 million. In the forthcoming comparison, we have highlighted the impact of the IPO and formation transactions where applicable.

Revenue

Total Revenue. Revenue includes net rental income, including parking, signage and other income, as well as the recovery of operating costs and property taxes from tenants. Total revenues increased \$11.8 million, or 80%, to \$26.4 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$14.6 million in the corresponding period in 2013. Revenue increased by \$0.8 million from the acquisition of the Corporate Parkway property in May 2013, \$3.5 million from the acquisition of the Washington Group Plaza property in June 2013 and \$1.3 million from the acquisition of the Plaza 25 property in June 2014, \$0.7 million from the acquisition of the Lake Vista Pointe property in July 2015. AmberGlen increased total revenues by \$0.3 million due to the increased occupancy at the property over the prior year. The remaining \$5.2 million increase is a result of the consolidation of the Cherry Creek property. In January 2014, we acquired the remaining 57.7% of the property we did not already own to bring our ownership to 100%, whereas previously the property was accounted for using the equity method. AmberGlen, City Center and Central Fairwinds revenues were relatively unchanged in comparison to the prior year.

Rental Income. Rental income includes net rental income, income from a ground lease and lease termination income. Total rental income increased \$11.1 million, or 85%, to \$24.0 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$12.9 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013. The increase in rental income was primarily due to the acquisitions described above. The acquisition of the Corporate Parkway, Washington Group Plaza, Plaza 25, Lake Pointe Vista and Cherry Creek properties contributed an additional \$0.8 million, \$3.4 million, \$1.2 million, \$0.5 million and \$4.8 million in rental income, respectively. AmberGlen increased total revenues by \$0.4 million due to the increased occupancy at the property over the prior year.

Expense Reimbursement. Total expense reimbursement increased \$0.7 million, or 64%, to \$1.8 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$1.1 million for the same period in 2013, primarily due to the acquisition of the Washington Group Plaza, Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Cherry Creek properties described above. The Corporate Parkway property, which was acquired in May 2013, is a net lease and does not have any expense reimbursements.

Other. Other revenue includes parking, signage and other miscellaneous income. Total other revenues were unchanged at \$0.6 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the corresponding period in 2013. The Corporate Parkway property, which was acquired in May 2013, is a net lease and does not have any other income and minimal other income was generated by Washington Group Plaza, Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Cherry Creek.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consists of property operating expenses, as well as insurance, property taxes, property management fees, acquisition costs, base management fees, stock-based compensation, and general and administrative expenses and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased by \$11.8 million, or 94%, to \$24.3 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014, from \$12.5 million for the same period in 2013, primarily due to the acquisitions described above. Total operating expenses increased by \$2.7 million, \$1.4 million,

Table of Contents

\$0.6 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, from the acquisition of the Washington Group Plaza property in June 2013, the acquisition of the Plaza 25 property in June 2014, the acquisition of the Lake Vista Pointe property in July 2014 and the consolidation of the Cherry Creek property beginning January 2014. The Corporate Parkway property, which was acquired in May 2013, is a net lease and does not have any significant operating expenses. AmberGlen, City Center and Central Fairwinds operating expenses were relatively unchanged in comparison to the prior year. The remaining increase relates to stock-based compensation, base management fees and general and administrative expenses in relation to our formation on April 21, 2014.

Property Operating Expenses. Property operating expenses are comprised mainly of building common area and maintenance expenses, as well as certain expenses that are not recoverable from tenants, the majority of which are related to costs necessary to maintain the appearance and marketability of vacant space. In the normal course of business, property expenses fluctuate and are impacted by various factors including, but not limited to, occupancy levels, weather, utility costs, repairs, maintenance and re-leasing costs. Property operating expenses increased \$3.3 million, or 82%, to \$7.3 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$4.0 million for the same period in 2013. The increase in property operating expenses was primarily due to the acquisitions described above. The acquisition of the Washington Group Plaza, Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Cherry Creek properties contributed an additional \$1.2 million, \$0.4 million, \$0.1 million and \$1.5 million in additional property operating expenses, respectively.

Insurance. Insurance costs increased \$0.1 million, or 31%, to \$0.5 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$0.4 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to the acquisition of the Washington Group Plaza, Corporate Parkway, Plaza 25 and Lake Vista Pointe properties and the consolidation of the Cherry Creek property.

Property Taxes. Property taxes increased \$0.8 million, or 75%, to \$1.8 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$1.0 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to the acquisition of the Washington Group Plaza, Corporate Parkway, Plaza 25 and Lake Vista Pointe properties and the consolidation of the Cherry Creek property.

Property Management Fees. Property management fees increased \$0.2 million, or 56%, to \$0.6 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$0.4 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013, primarily due to the additions noted above.

Acquisition Costs. Acquisition costs increased \$0.1 million, or 5%, to \$1.6 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$1.5 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013. The acquisition costs in the current year are related to the Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Cherry Creek acquisitions whereas in the prior year, the acquisition costs related to Washington Group Plaza and Corporate Parkway properties.

Base Management Fee. Base Management Fee was \$0.4 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 representing the fee paid to our Advisor.

Stock-Based Compensation. Stock-based compensation was \$0.7 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 representing the amortization of the management equity grants issued as part of the Formation Transactions.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses were \$0.8 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 representing the public company costs incurred since the completion of an initial public offering.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$5.4 million, or 103%, to \$10.6 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$5.2 million for the same period in 2013, primarily due to the addition of the Corporate Parkway, Washington Group Plaza, Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and Cherry Creek properties.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense increased \$5.1 million, or 137%, to \$8.8 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$3.7 million for the corresponding period in 2013. Interest expense increased \$0.7 million,

Table of Contents

\$0.6 million, \$0.2 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, due to interest expense associated with the Corporate Parkway, Washington Group Plaza, Lake Pointe Vista and Cherry Creek property debt. Amortization of deferred financing fees increased \$0.8 million over the prior period due to the accelerated amortization on the Cherry Creek bridge loan incurred by the City Office Predecessor. The loss on early extinguishment of City Office Predecessor debt is a result of the write-off of deferred amortization expense and prepayment penalties of \$1.7 million related to the City Center, Central Fairwinds, Corporate Parkway and AmberGlen debt as part of the Formation Transactions.

Change in Fair Value of Earn-Out. Change in fair value of earn-out was \$1.0 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 representing the change in the estimated fair value of the earn-out liability on the Central Fairwinds property.

Gain on Equity Investment. Gain on equity investment is related to the purchase in January 2014 of the remaining 57.7% of Cherry Creek property that we did not already own. As a result of this transaction, a gain of \$4.5 million was recorded.

Equity in Income of Unconsolidated Entity. Equity in income of unconsolidated entity is related to the Cherry Creek property in which the City Office Predecessor owned 42.3% as of December 31, 2013. In January 2014, we acquired the remaining 57.7% of the property we did not already own to bring our ownership to 100% and thus began consolidating the property results.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had approximately \$8.9 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$13.2 million of restricted cash as of September 30, 2014. In addition, we drew down \$6.4 million under the Secured Credit Facility in connection with is purchase of the Lake Vista Pointe property. It will continue to use the Secured Credit Facility, among other things, to finance the acquisition of other properties, to provide funds for tenant improvements and capital expenditures and to provide for working capital and other corporate purposes.

Our short-term liquidity requirements primarily consist of operating expenses and other expenditures associated with our properties, distributions to our limited partners and distributions to our stockholders required to qualify for REIT status, capital expenditures and, potentially, acquisitions. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations, reserves established from existing cash, the proceeds from this offering and borrowings under our Secured Credit Facility.

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary for the repayment of debt at maturity, property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements with net cash from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of equity and debt securities. We also may fund property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements using our Secured Credit Facility pending permanent financing.

We believe we have access to multiple sources of capital to fund our long-term liquidity requirements, including the incurrence of additional debt and the issuance of additional equity securities. However, we cannot assure you that this is or will continue to be the case. Our ability to incur additional debt is dependent on a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions that may be imposed by lenders. Our ability to access the equity capital markets is dependent on a number of factors as well, including general market conditions for REITs and market perceptions about us.

Table of Contents*Consolidated Indebtedness as of September 30, 2014*

As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$179.6 million of outstanding consolidated indebtedness, of which \$173.2 million is fixed rate debt. The following table sets forth information as of September 30, 2014 with respect to our outstanding indebtedness.

Debt	September 30, 2014	Interest Rate as of September 30, 2013	Maturity Date
Secured Credit Facility ⁽¹⁾	\$ 6,400,000	LIBOR ⁽²⁾ +2.75%	April 2016
AmberGlen ⁽³⁾	25,262,516	4.38%	May 2019
Midland Life Insurance ⁽⁴⁾	95,000,000	4.34%	May 2021
Lake Vista Pointe ⁽⁵⁾	18,460,000	4.28%	August 2024
Washington Group Plaza ⁽⁵⁾	34,481,789	3.85%	July 2018
Total	\$ 179,604,305		

- (1) The Revolving Credit Facility currently has \$30 million authorized with \$26.4 million available immediately. In addition, the Revolving Credit Facility has an accordion feature that will permit us to borrow up to \$150 million, subject to additional collateral availability and lender approval. The Revolving Credit Facility bears an interest rate of LIBOR plus 2.75% and requires us to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of no less than 1.60x. The Revolving Credit Facility is cross-collateralized by Central Fairwinds and Plaza 25.
- (2) As of September 30, 2014, the 3 Month LIBOR rate was 0.24%.
- (3) Following the Formation Transactions, on April 29, 2014, we entered into a new mortgage loan in relation to the AmberGlen property for \$25.4 million. The loan bears an interest rate of 4.38% and matures on May 1, 2019. We are required to maintain a minimum net worth of \$25 million and a minimum liquidity of \$2 million.
- (4) The loan is cross-collateralized by Corporate Parkway, Cherry Creek and City Center. Interest only until February 2016 then interest payable monthly plus principal based on 360 months of amortization. The loan bears a fixed interest rate of 4.34% and matures on May 6, 2021.
- (5) Interest payable monthly plus principal based on 360 months of amortization.

Contractual Obligations and Other Long-Term Liabilities

The following table provides information with respect to our commitments as of September 30, 2014, including any guaranteed or minimum commitments under contractual obligations. The table does not reflect available debt extension options.

Contractual Obligation	Total	Payments Due by Period			More than 5 years
		2014	2015-2016	2017-2018	
Principal payments on mortgage loans	\$ 179,604,305	\$ 259,621	\$ 9,485,799	\$ 37,710,162	\$ 132,148,723
Interest payments	43,554,799	1,816,151	14,421,821	13,448,934	13,867,893
Tenant-related commitments	4,175,280	3,045,101	130,179		1,000,000

Total	\$ 227,334,384	\$ 5,120,873	\$ 24,037,799	\$ 51,159,096	\$ 147,016,616
--------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	----------------

Cash Flows

Comparison of Period Ended September 30, 2014 to Period Ended September 30, 2013

Cash and cash equivalents were \$8.9 million and \$7.6 million as of September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively.

Cash flow from operating activities. Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities decreased by \$0.4 million to \$6.0 million for the period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$6.4 million for the same period in 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to the loss on early extinguishment of debt, offset by changes in rents receivable.

Cash flow to investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$7.6 million to \$65.7 million for the period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$73.3 million for the same period in 2013. The net cash used in investing activities in 2014 was used to acquire Plaza 25, Lake Vista Pointe and the remaining 57.7% ownership in the Cherry Creek property, complete tenant improvements and associated costs to acquire equipment and enhance capital assets.

Table of Contents

Cash flow from financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities decreased by \$9.9 million to \$61.4 million for the period ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$71.3 million for the period ended September 30, 2013. Cash flow from financing activities is primarily derived from the proceeds from the sale of common stock, and the re-financing and mortgage proceeds on new financing as part of the formation transactions, offset by mortgage payments during the period.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2014, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Inflation

Substantially all of our office leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations. In addition, most of the leases provide for fixed rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. We may use derivative financial instruments to manage or hedge interest rate risks related to borrowings. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based upon their credit rating and other factors. We have entered, and we will only enter into, contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors. As of September 30, 2014, we did not have any outstanding derivatives.

Interest risk amounts are our management's estimates based on our capital structure and were determined by considering the effect of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment nor the change to the capital structure as a result of the IPO and Formation Transactions. We may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to changes in interest rates. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

Table of Contents

INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

U.S. National Office Market Overview

The U.S. economy has been growing at a moderate pace since the end of the 2008 recession with gross domestic product increasing at an annualized rate of 2.4% from the end of the recession to September 2014. Total office employment in the United States increased from 26.9 million in September 2009, its post-recession low, to 30.1 million in September 2014 according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Quarterly Change in Total Office Employment

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

Reis, Inc. projects that the recovering economy and improved job growth will lead to lower vacancy rates and higher rental rates in office buildings in the United States through 2018 as shown in the chart below.

U.S. Office Rental and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Table of Contents

Our Target Markets

Our target markets are located in metropolitan areas in the Southern and Western United States. We believe that our target markets possess a number of the following characteristics: favorable economic growth trends, growing populations with above average employment growth forecasts, a large number of government offices, large international, national and regional employers across diversified industries, low-cost centers for business operations, proximity to large universities and increasing office occupancy rates. We also believe that there is a lower level of participation of large institutional investors in our target markets because they generally have concentrated on Gateway markets. In addition, we believe that our target markets offer the opportunity for attractive risk-adjusted returns because these markets exhibit positive economic and demographic trends and ownership is often concentrated among local real estate operators that typically do not benefit from the same access to capital as public REITs. Within our target markets, we primarily focus on acquiring properties with a purchase price between \$20 million and \$50 million and expected cap rates between seven and nine percent as we believe that large institutional investors and public REITs are focused on larger acquisition opportunities. According to data compiled by SNL Financial LLC from 2013 through June 30, 2014, only 25% of non-development office property acquisitions made by public U.S. REITs had a purchase price of less than \$54 million. Additionally, we believe that it is challenging for many local buyers in our target markets to raise the debt and equity capital necessary to complete real estate transactions in excess of \$20 million. We currently target 12 specified markets in the United States and own properties in six of these markets (Boise, Denver, Dallas, Orlando, Tampa and Portland). Though we do not currently own a property in six of our target markets, the Second City Group, from which we acquired our initial properties through our initial public offering, has existing properties and relationships in the target markets that we expect to be able to leverage.

Table of Contents

The growth in jobs since the recession has not been spread evenly across the country. We believe that metropolitan areas outside of Gateway markets have exposure to growing segments of the economy such as technology and energy and are creating jobs at a faster rate than the nation as a whole. We also believe that as companies continue to look for ways to reduce costs in the wake of the recession, more jobs are shifting to metropolitan areas with lower costs of living, real estate and doing business generally. These areas include our target markets.

Job Growth from June 2009 to September 2014 in Target and Current Markets

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

Projected Population Growth Estimates from 2012 to 2019

Source: SNL Financial LLC

Table of Contents

Unemployment Rate in September 2009 and September 2014 in Target and Current Markets

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

Note: The September 2009 unemployment rate is the higher number in all markets.

Limited New Supply

Despite rising office employment in the United States, we believe that the construction of new office buildings has been low by historical standards since the 2008 recession. While a limited number of build-to-suit projects have been completed, we believe that there has been limited speculative office development over the last several years because current rental rates do not generally support new development. We believe that the combination of job growth and limited new construction is likely to decrease vacancy and increase rental rates in our target markets.

Lower Concentration of Institutional Competitors

We believe that there is a relatively low level of participation of large institutional investors in our target markets because they have generally concentrated on Gateway markets. For example, public REITs own one office property in Salt Lake City (UT), five office properties in Portland (OR) and 11 office properties in San Antonio (TX) as of September 30, 2014 according to data compiled by SNL Financial LLC. We believe that the relatively low level of participation by public REITs and other institutional investors in our target markets has caused acquisition prices to be lower and cap rates to be higher than in the Gateway markets.

Attractive Debt Financing for Well-Capitalized Sponsors

We believe that although there is limited institutional equity available for office buildings in many of our target markets, debt financing is now available on attractive terms for well-known and well-capitalized owners. An important source of debt financing in our target markets is the CMBS market. While the new issuance of CMBS in the United States was approximately \$3 billion in 2009, the year-to-date issuance through September 30, 2014 was \$67 billion according to the CRE Finance Council. Additionally, we believe that both commercial banks and life insurance companies are now making loans secured by office properties outside the Gateway markets at attractive rates to well-capitalized sponsors. We believe that the combination of acquisition opportunities at relatively high cap rates and attractive debt financing provides well-known and well-capitalized sponsors an opportunity to realize attractive levered returns on equity by investing in office properties outside the Gateway markets.

Table of Contents

Our Initial Markets

Boise Economy

Metropolitan Boise, Idaho (Boise) is home to more than 700 businesses, ranging from major employers to entrepreneurial businesses. Technology is among Idaho's rapidly growing industries and has been prevalent for over 40 years in Boise. According to the Idaho Department of Labor, Boise is home to hundreds of high-tech businesses spanning a diverse range of sectors, including Hewlett-Packard Company's laser jet division and Micron Technology, a computer memory manufacturer. More patents are generated per capita in Boise than any other city in the country and Boise has emerged as one of the leading business incubators in the United States. According to the Boise Valley Economic Partnership, Boise is also home to numerous higher education institutions, with nearly a dozen colleges and universities offering undergraduate and graduate-level programs. Boise State University, Idaho's flagship university, is located across the Boise River from the Washington Group Plaza property. Boise State University has over 22,000 students. Approximately 75% of graduates continue to work and live in Boise. The region today is home to a skilled workforce of approximately 310,000 individuals, almost 110,000 of whom possess college degrees.

Set forth below are the historical and projected office asking rental rates and vacancy rates for Boise for the periods indicated.

Metro Boise Office Asking Rental Rates and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Dallas Economy

Metropolitan Dallas/Fort Worth (Dallas) is currently the fourth largest metropolitan area in the country and its economy continues to be one of the healthiest in the country. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, as of September 2014, Dallas ranked second among the 12 largest metropolitan areas in the country with a 3.2% annual growth in employment, which was well above the national average of 1.9%. Dallas's robust growth is expected to continue in next couple of years. SNL Financial LLC forecasts Dallas will have the third highest rate of population growth from 2014 to 2019 among the 100 largest metropolitan areas in the United States. Another macroeconomic factor driving the expansion in Dallas is a comparatively low cost of living, which is currently 4.4% less than the U.S. average and second lowest among the ten largest metropolitan areas according to the Dallas Office of Economic Development. This backdrop of affordability and a rapidly growing labor force has made Dallas an ideal hub for both small businesses and large international companies. Almost 60 Fortune 1000 companies are headquartered in Dallas.

Table of Contents

Set forth below are the historical and projected office asking rental rates and vacancy rates for Dallas for the periods indicated.

Metro Dallas Office Asking Rental Rates and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Denver Economy

Metropolitan Denver, Colorado (Denver) has a diversified economy and is the third-most highly educated workforce among metropolitan areas in the United States. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, 44.1% of Denver's population has a college degree, compared to 34.1% nationally. Colorado has the third largest aerospace economy in the United States and is home to four military commands, eight major space contractors and more than 400 aerospace companies and suppliers. Ten local higher education institutions with bioscience programs and numerous bioscience research assets support the region's burgeoning bioscience industry. Alternative and traditional energy industries are also prominent growth areas.

Set forth below are the historical and projected office asking rental rates and vacancy rates for Denver for the periods indicated.

Metro Denver Office Asking Rental Rates and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Table of Contents

Portland Economy

Metropolitan Portland, Oregon (Portland) is located along a major navigable waterway near the Pacific coast, benefiting numerous employment sectors, with a particular impact on trade and transportation-related industries. The region benefits from relatively low energy costs, accessible natural resources, north-south and east-west interstate highways, international air terminals, large marine shipping facilities and intercontinental railroads. These geographic and economic advantages have led to relatively diverse business development. Portland is noted for sustainable policies, progressive land-use planning and investment in transit-oriented development. We believe that a strong regional economy and healthy demographic trends will lead to above average job growth in Portland and gradually shrinking unemployment levels.

Set forth below are the historical and projected office asking rental rates and vacancy rates for Portland for the periods indicated.

Metro Portland Office Asking Rental Rates and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Tampa Economy

Metropolitan Tampa, Florida (Tampa), which includes St. Petersburg and Clearwater, saw its population grow by 29.8% from 2000 to 2010 and 3.1% between 2010 and 2013. It is anticipated that this trend will continue, with an average of 30,000 new residents expected per year between 2014 and 2019. The region's growing labor force has been largely fueled by migration and graduating students. According to a July 2014 report from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the Tampa St. Petersburg-Clearwater metropolitan area ranked first in employment growth over the preceding 12 months among 38 metropolitan areas with annual average employment levels above 750,000. The University of South Florida is a major university located in Tampa with approximately 48,000 undergraduate and post-graduate students. The healthcare sector is also becoming one of the region's reliable growth drivers.

Table of Contents

Set forth below are the historical and projected office asking rental rates and vacancy rates for Tampa for the periods indicated.

Metro Tampa Office Asking Rental Rates and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Allentown Economy

Located approximately 60 miles north of Philadelphia, the metropolitan area of Allentown, Pennsylvania, is known locally as Lehigh Valley and comprises the third most populous region in Pennsylvania after Philadelphia and Pittsburgh. Lehigh Valley is home to a variety of large and international companies such as Crayola, Mack Trucks and Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. (D&B). Because of the area's geographic location, Allentown is known as a leading center on the East coast for warehouses and distribution centers. Companies that own and operate warehouses and distribution centers include global brands such as Amazon.com, BMW, Estee Lauder and Home Depot.

Set forth below are the historical office asking rental rates and vacancy rates for Allentown for the periods indicated.

Metro Allentown Office Asking Rental Rates and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Table of Contents

Orlando Economy

Metropolitan Orlando, Florida (Orlando) enjoys worldwide recognition for its entertainment and tourism industry. Local businesses do a large amount of work for Walt Disney World and Universal Studios. Other companies, including Fortune 500 companies such as Home Depot and Darden Restaurants, have also established a presence in Orlando, particularly in its CBD where the city has invested heavily. Orlando 's professional services sector has matured recently, partly in reaction to population and job growth. According to the Orlando Economic Development Commission, Orlando is one of the fastest growing local economies in the United States and the hospitality, defense contracting and technology industries are expected to bring more people and jobs to the city.

Set forth below are the office asking rental rates and vacancy rates for Orlando.

Metro Orlando Office Asking Rental Rates and Vacancy Rates

Source: Reis, Inc.

Table of Contents**BUSINESS****Overview**

We are an externally managed Maryland corporation focused on acquiring, owning and operating high-quality (Class A and B) office properties located within our specified target markets in the United States. We currently have 12 target markets, which are located in metropolitan areas in the Southern and Western United States. We believe that our target markets possess a number of the following characteristics: favorable economic growth trends, growing populations with above average employment growth forecasts, a large number of government offices, large international, national and regional employers across diversified industries, low-cost centers for business operations, proximity to large universities and increasing office occupancy rates. We also believe that there is a lower level of participation of large institutional investors in our target markets because they generally have concentrated on Gateway markets, which are commonly defined as New York, Los Angeles, Washington, D.C., Boston, Chicago and San Francisco. In addition, we believe that our target markets offer the opportunity for attractive risk-adjusted returns because these markets exhibit positive economic and demographic trends and ownership is often concentrated among local real estate operators that typically do not benefit from the same access to capital as public REITs. We also believe that new construction of office properties has been limited in our target markets since 2008 because rental rates in these markets have generally not supported new development. We anticipate identifying additional target markets with the foregoing characteristics in the future. Within our target markets, we primarily focus on acquiring properties with a purchase price between \$20 million and \$50 million and expected cap rates between seven and nine percent as we believe that large institutional investors and public REITs are generally focused on larger acquisition opportunities. According to data compiled by SNL Financial LLC from 2013 through June 30, 2014, only 25% of non-development office property acquisitions made by public U.S. REITs had a purchase price of less than \$54 million. Additionally, we believe that it is challenging for many local buyers in our target markets to raise the debt and equity capital necessary to complete real estate transactions in excess of \$20 million.

Our management team is provided by our Advisor. The principals of our Advisor, who have extensive experience in U.S. real estate markets, are James Farrar, our chief executive officer with over 10 years of U.S. experience, Gregory Tylee, our president and chief operating officer with over 12 years of U.S. experience, Anthony Maretic, our chief financial officer with over 16 years of U.S. experience and Samuel Belzberg, the president of our Advisor and one of our directors with over 48 years of U.S. experience. The Second City Group has existing relationships with the brokerage community and local operators in our target markets. We use local partners to manage and lease our geographically diversified portfolio so that we can benefit from their market knowledge, efficient operations and existing infrastructure without incurring the overhead associated with creating a real estate operation function in each of our markets.

We own nine office complexes comprised of 21 office buildings with a total of approximately 2.34 million square feet of NRA in the metropolitan areas of Boise (ID), Dallas (TX), Denver (CO), Portland (OR), Tampa (FL), Allentown (PA) and Orlando (FL). We believe that our properties are high quality assets that provide excellent access to transportation options, are located near affluent neighborhoods, contain extensive amenities and are well maintained. We also believe that our properties have a stable and diverse tenant base, including federal and state governmental agencies and national and regional businesses. As of September 30, 2014, approximately 49.0% of the base rental revenue from our properties was derived from tenants in these markets that are federal or state government agencies or investment grade tenants. Our largest tenant is the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, whose lease at the Cherry Creek property represents approximately 14.2% of the base rental revenue of our portfolio and expires in 2026. Our properties also have a stable, long-term tenancy profile and our occupied and committed leases have staggered expirations and a weighted average remaining lease term to maturity of 4.8 years (9.7 years taking into account tenant renewal options). The majority of our leases are modified gross leases pursuant to which our tenants

reimburse us for operating expenses, property taxes and insurance in excess of a base amount. The base rent amount of the majority of our leases is equal to annualized operating expenses, property taxes and insurance at the time the lease is signed. This structure helps insulate us from increases in certain operating expenses and provides a more predictable cash flow. Our leases typically include rent escalation provisions designed to provide annual growth in our rental income.

Most of the buildings included in our properties have undergone recent investment programs since being acquired with approximately \$7.4 million of capital improvements and \$15.0 million for tenant improvements and leasing commissions

Table of Contents

having been spent in the aggregate. As a result of these investments, occupancies throughout our properties have increased substantially. As of September 30, 2014, the weighted average in place and committed occupancy rate of our properties was 93.5%. Due to recent leasing activity, there are a number of tenants that have signed leases but had not taken occupancy of their space as of September 30, 2014. There are also several tenants that have taken occupancy but are still in their free rent period. As of September 30, 2014, there were seven executed leases for 31,851 square feet with annualized base rents of approximately \$641,463 in which the tenant has not begun to pay rent.

Our Advisor

We are externally managed by our Advisor according to the terms set out in the Advisory Agreement. The principals of our Advisor control the general partner of Second City. Second City began its investment activities in the spring of 2010 and was founded by James Farrar, Gregory Tylee and Gibralt, a corporation indirectly owned by Samuel Belzberg. Mr. Belzberg founded First City Financial in the 1970s, built the company into a multi-billion dollar financial services organization with offices located across North America and Europe and founded a real estate company in the 1990s which at its peak operated 26 real estate projects throughout the United States and was ultimately sold to the Blackstone Group. In addition, Mr. Belzberg has been active in various real estate markets in the United States. Since its launch, Second City has obtained commitments for equity capital of over \$150 million from institutional investors and high net worth individuals and has acquired real estate assets with a cost of over \$580 million across a variety of asset classes in the United States. The Second City Group owns seven other office complexes totaling approximately 1.9 million square feet in Arizona, Florida, New York and Texas. The Second City Group also separately owns approximately 3,000 apartment units in Texas and New York and 330 acres of land held for future development in California and Texas. We believe Second City's acquisition and investment activities of non-competitive properties in many of our target markets provides us with ready access to local operators and acquisition opportunities.

Upon completion of this offering, the principals of our Advisor, through the ownership of our common units and common stock, will beneficially own an approximately 14.2% interest in our company on a fully diluted basis, which we believe aligns their interests with those of our stockholders.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that the following competitive strengths continue to distinguish us from other owners and operators of office properties in our target markets and will enable us to continue to successfully operate and expand our portfolio.

Experienced Management Team: Our senior management team, led by James Farrar, our chief executive officer, Gregory Tylee, our president and chief operating officer, and Anthony Maretic, our chief financial officer, has an intimate knowledge and understanding of each of our properties as well as a strong familiarity with the local markets in which the properties are located. Mr. Farrar has over 15 years of experience in real estate acquisitions, management and finance and has completed acquisitions and divestitures with a combined enterprise value in excess of approximately \$1.5 billion and has completed over \$1.0 billion of financings. Mr. Tylee has over 20 years of experience negotiating and structuring complex real estate transactions and developments and has been involved in real estate transactions with a combined enterprise value of approximately \$1.7 billion over the course of his career. Mr. Maretic has acted as chief financial officer and chief operating officer of Earls Restaurants Ltd. and has over 20 years of experience in financing, public company reporting requirements and internal controls. Upon completion of this offering, the principals of our Advisor and their affiliates will own approximately 14.2% of the equity interests of our company on a fully diluted basis, which we believe helps to align their interests with those of our stockholders.

Alignment of Interests with Established Local Operators: One component of management's strategy is to invest in properties in markets where it has relationships with well-established local real estate operators that provide property management services and, in some cases, hold minority interests in the properties that they manage. We believe that this strategy of permitting local real estate operators to invest in our properties helps to align their interests with ours. Consistent with this strategy, eight of our nine properties are managed by well-established local real estate operators, many of which have invested equity with management in the past and three of which hold a minority interest in our properties, furthering the

Table of Contents

alignment of their interests with ours. These real estate operators typically manage or lease a large number of properties in the markets where our properties are located providing economies of scale and local market insight. For example, the Corporate Parkway property in Allentown, Pennsylvania, is self-managed by the sole tenant, Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. Our strategy of utilizing local real estate operators also eliminates the need for us to incur the overhead costs associated with creating a real estate operation function in each of our markets. We intend to continue this strategy of offering ownership interests and other incentives to local real estate operators, which we believe can enhance the operating performance of our properties and strengthen our relationships with them.

Properties with Attractive Real Estate Fundamentals: We currently own nine office complexes comprised of 21 office buildings with a total of approximately 2.3 million square feet of NRA in the metropolitan areas of Boise (ID), Dallas (TX), Denver (CO), Portland (OR), Tampa (FL), Allentown (PA) and Orlando (FL). We believe that our target markets have a number of the following characteristics: favorable economic growth trends, growing populations with above average employment growth forecasts, a large number of governmental offices, large international, national and regional employers across diversified industries, low-cost centers for business operations, proximity to large universities and increasing office occupancy rates. Most of the buildings included in our properties have undergone recent investment programs since we acquired them with approximately \$7.4 million of capital improvements and \$15.0 million for tenant improvements and leasing commissions having been spent in the aggregate.

Investment Grade Tenants and Well-Staggered Lease Maturities: As of September 30, 2014, approximately 49.0% of the base rental revenue of our properties was derived from tenants that are federal or state government agencies or investment grade tenants. Four of our top ten tenants are investment grade tenants, representing approximately 33.5% of the base rental revenue of our properties as of September 30, 2014. Our largest tenant is the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, whose lease at the Cherry Creek property represents approximately 14.2% of the base rental revenue of our properties and expires in 2026. Our properties also have a stable, long-term tenancy profile and our occupied and committed leases have staggered expirations and a weighted average remaining lease term to maturity of 4.8 years (9.7 years taking into account tenant renewal options).

Experienced Board of Directors: Our board of directors has extensive experience in the real estate industry, in real estate capital markets and as public company directors. Our board of directors consists of six directors, four of whom are independent under the standards of the NYSE. Our independent directors are William Flatt, former chief financial officer as well as secretary and later chief operating officer of Parkway Properties, Inc., a NYSE listed REIT specializing in office properties in top-tier Sunbelt markets, John McLernon, formerly the chairman and chief executive officer of Colliers Macaulay Nicolls Group, a global commercial real estate service company, Mark Murski, a managing partner with Brookfield Financial Corp., a global investment bank, and Stephen Shraiberg, the president of Urban Property Management, Inc., a Denver-based real estate development and management company. Our chief executive officer, James Farrar, and the president of our Advisor, Samuel Belzberg, also serve as members of our board of directors.

Clearly-Defined Acquisition Strategy: We focus on acquiring office properties in our target markets that we believe possess the attractive economic and demographic characteristics described above. We use our Advisor's market-specific knowledge as well as the expertise of local real estate operators and our investment partners to identify acquisition opportunities that we believe offer cash flow stability and long-term value appreciation. Our target markets are attractive, among other reasons, because we believe that ownership is often concentrated among local real estate operators that typically do not benefit from the same access to capital as public REITs and there is a relatively low level of participation of large institutional investors, which can result in attractive pricing levels and risk-adjusted returns. Within our target markets, we focus on acquiring properties with a purchase price between \$20 million and \$50 million and expected cap rates between seven and nine percent, as we believe that large institutional investors and

public REITs generally prefer to target larger assets. According to data compiled by SNL Financial LLC from 2013 through June 30, 2014, only 25% of non-development office property acquisitions made by public U.S. REITs had a purchase price of less than \$54 million. Additionally, we believe that many local real estate operators in our target markets have difficulty raising the necessary debt and equity capital to complete acquisitions of more than \$20 million.

Strong Lender Relationships: Our management team has strong lending relationships with various banks, insurance companies and CMBS platforms. As of September 30, 2014, we have an existing fixed rate debt of \$173.2 million with a

Table of Contents

weighted average of 5.9 years to maturity and a weighted average interest rate of 4.2%. Our existing mortgages were provided by insurance companies and CMBS platforms. We have a \$30 million Secured Credit Facility with Key Bank National Association and our Secured Credit Facility has an accordion feature that will permit us to borrow up to \$150 million, subject to additional collateral availability and lender approval. As of September 30, 2014, \$6.4 million was outstanding under our Secured Credit Facility.

Business Objectives and Growth Strategies

Our principal business objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted returns to our investors over the long-term through a combination of dividends and capital appreciation. Specifically, we intend to pursue the following strategies to achieve these objectives:

Internal Growth

We seek to manage our properties in a manner to increase their value by improving cash flow over time through our Advisor s hands on approach to real estate management alongside local real estate operators. We focus on maintaining strong relationships with existing tenants, which we believe can help reduce marketing, leasing and tenant improvement costs required for new tenancies and minimize interruptions in rental revenue resulting from periods of vacancy and tenant renovations. Our internal growth strategy includes the following:

Seeking Contractual Rent Escalations: With respect to our properties as of September 30, 2014, the leases provide for contractual increases in base rental rates per square foot averaging approximately 2.4% per annum over the next three years. These rental escalations are expected to result in predictable increases in rental revenues for us over time. We will continue to seek to include contractual rent escalators in future leases to further facilitate predictable growth in rental income.

Expanding Our Properties: We seek to enhance our asset base through select expansion and improvement of our properties. We believe that there are several expansion opportunities within our properties, including a potential development site, conversion of certain common areas to leasable space and increasing under reported rentable square footage due to the use of out of date measurement standards.

Leasing Currently Vacant Space: As of September 30, 2014, the weighted average in place and committed occupancy rate of our properties was 93.5% and we believe that there is potential to generate additional rental income by leasing space in these properties that is currently unoccupied. We believe that our properties compete for tenants with other landlords that are capital constrained and may not be able to enhance their buildings appeal through capital investments or offer tenants attractive tenant improvement packages.

Implementing Improvements and Preventive Maintenance Programs: We seek to operate our portfolio as efficiently as possible. Site visits, property inspections and preventive maintenance programs are performed to ensure that our properties are well maintained so that we will minimize long-term capital expenditures. In addition, we actively pursue cost reduction initiatives, such as eliminating redundant or unnecessary expenses and engaging property tax appeal specialists to lower property tax costs, and make an ongoing effort to increase expense recoveries from tenants on new and renewed leases. We believe that there are opportunities for continued cost reductions at our properties. We also seek to acquire properties within close geographic proximity to one another in order to benefit from economies of scale in the operation of the properties by sharing property management among properties and having greater negotiating leverage with vendors.

External Growth

Our external growth strategy includes the following:

Focusing on Acquisitions in Our Specified Target Markets: We seek to expand our portfolio through acquisitions of office properties primarily located in our target markets. We believe that current economic conditions and relatively low levels of competition from institutional buyers have created attractive investment opportunities for the acquisition of office properties

Table of Contents

in our target markets as compared to Gateway markets. We also use our management team's market-specific knowledge as well as the expertise of our local real estate operators and our investment partners to identify acquisitions that we believe offer cash flow stability and price appreciation.

We continue to review attractive acquisition opportunities and have identified over \$200 million of available office properties representing approximately 1,000,000 square feet that are under various levels of consideration as of the date of this prospectus.

We have not completed our due diligence process or entered into binding agreements with non-refundable deposits to acquire any of these properties. Furthermore, any acquisition would also need to satisfy a number of additional conditions and approvals in addition to completing the full scope of our due diligence process. As a result, we do not deem any of these potential acquisition prospects probable as of the date of this prospectus.

Leveraging Opportunities from Our Advisor: We benefit from the strong existing industry relationships of our management team, which has completed approximately \$400 million in acquisitions since April 2013. Historically, our management team has proactively sourced acquisition opportunities through a number of channels, including targeting properties owned by our local property managers and through management's relationships with local owners, investment brokers, mortgage brokers and lenders. We believe that through the activities of the Second City Group, our Advisor will be able to maintain relationships in our target markets that may result in acquisition opportunities for us. During the term of the Advisory Agreement (as defined in "Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement"), we have an exclusive right of first opportunity to purchase any office property or property interest that the Second City Group (including any future funds created by the principals of Second City) or our Advisor identifies, provided that the property has greater than 85% occupancy and an average remaining lease term of more than three years.

Our Local Real Estate Operators

Eight of our nine properties are managed by well-established local third party real estate operators, six of which have invested equity with management in the past and three of which continue to hold a minority equity interest in the property, furthering the alignment of their interests with ours. These real estate operators typically manage or lease a large number of properties in the submarkets and markets where our properties are located, providing economies of scale and local market insight.

Idaho

The Washington Group Plaza property in Boise (ID) is managed by Thornton Oliver Keller (TOK), which provides real estate advisory services to property owners and is one of the largest commercial real estate firms in Idaho as measured by square footage under management. Established in 1991, TOK manages and lists nearly 500 properties for a wide range of clients. Because TOK is independent, it has relationships with brokers, lenders and appraisers around the country.

Colorado

The Cherry Creek property in Denver (CO) is managed by DPC Development Company (DPC), a privately held, Colorado-based owner and developer of over 2.5 million square feet of commercial properties, comprised of office, retail and industrial buildings. DPC provides management services to over 25 properties with 1.9 million square feet of space on behalf of its portfolio and third-party owners. DPC's real estate operation division is fully integrated with on-site building engineers, experienced in-house management personnel and sophisticated accounting and budgeting. DPC, through its affiliates, is an active investor and developer in the Colorado commercial real estate market.

The Plaza 25 property in Denver (CO) is managed by Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated (JLL). JLL is a professional services and investment management firm offering specialized real estate services to clients seeking increased value by owning, occupying and investing in real estate. With annual fee revenue of \$4.0 billion and gross revenue of \$4.5 billion, JLL has more than 200 corporate offices, operates in 75 countries and has a global workforce of approximately 53,000. On behalf of its clients, the firm provides management and real estate outsourcing services for a property portfolio of 3.0 billion square feet and completed \$99.0 billion in sales, acquisitions and finance transactions in 2013.

Table of Contents

Oregon

The AmberGlen property in Portland (OR) is managed by Felton Properties Inc. (Felton), a full-service real estate company that focuses on the acquisition, operation and management of commercial property. Felton was formed in 1997 and is based in Portland (OR), with offices located in downtown Portland, Bellevue (WA) and Westport (CT). Felton currently owns and manages over 2.5 million square feet of commercial real estate in Oregon, Washington and Colorado. Through institutional and private partnerships, Felton Properties Inc. and Felton Management Corp. have closed more than 25 acquisitions over the past 10 years representing over \$500 million in acquisitions. Felton owns a 24% economic interest in the AmberGlen property, which we believe helps align the incentives of Felton with those of our stockholders.

Florida

Both the City Center property in St. Petersburg (FL), which is part of the Tampa metropolitan area, and the Central Fairwinds property in Orlando, Florida, are managed by Tower Realty Partners (Tower), a commercial real estate investment firm formed in 1987 and focused on value-add opportunities throughout Florida. Since its inception, Tower has executed over \$1 billion in transactions. In 1997, Tower s portfolio became the cornerstone of an initial public offering for Tower Realty Trust. In 1999, Tower s senior management team purchased properties in Florida and Arizona from Tower Realty Trust and reestablished Tower Realty Partners. Currently, Tower s assets represent over three million square feet of office and retail properties throughout Florida. Tower also provides a full spectrum of real estate services to complement its strategy. Tower has extensive experience in real estate investment, ownership, development, leasing and management. Tower and its partners own a 5% economic interest in the City Center property and a 10% interest in the Central Fairwinds property, which we believe aligns the interests of the real estate operator with those of our stockholders.

Pennsylvania

Our sole tenant at the Corporate Parkway property located in Allentown (PA), is a subsidiary of The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation and manages the property due to the fact that it is the sole tenant of the property, the duration of the lease, the scale of the property, its historical operation of the property and the confidential nature of some of its business lines.

Texas

The Lake Vista Pointe property in Lewisville (TX), is managed by Holt Lunsford Commercial (Holt). Holt was founded in May 1993 by Holt Lunsford, who is currently the chief executive officer, and is a Dallas-based commercial real estate service and investment company. The company s core lines of business include development, construction management, leasing, property management, reporting, accounting, and insurance consulting and investments in the office, industrial, land and retail sectors. Holt is the third largest commercial real estate provider in the Dallas/Fort Metropolitan area, overseeing over 47 million square feet in Dallas, Fort Worth, and Houston for private and institutional owners.

Table of Contents**Our Properties**

As of September 30, 2014, and including the Florida Research Park property acquisition that occurred on November 18, 2014, we owned nine office complexes comprised of 21 office buildings with a total of approximately 2.34 million square feet of NRA in the metropolitan areas of Boise (ID), Denver (CO), Portland (OR), Tampa (FL), Allentown (PA), Dallas (TX) and Orlando (FL). The following table presents an overview of our portfolio as of September 30, 2014.

Property	Metropolitan Area	Year Built		Economic Interest	NRA 1000s Square Feet ⁽²⁾	In Place Occupancy ⁽³⁾	Annualized In Place and Committed Occupancy ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent per Square Foot	Annualized Gross Rent per Square Foot ⁽⁹⁾	Annualized Base Rent ⁽⁴⁾	Largest Tenant by NRA
		/Last Major Renovation ⁽¹⁾	/								
Initial Properties											
Washington Group Plaza	Boise, ID	1970	1982 / 2012 ⁽⁵⁾	100.0%	558	89.0%	89.0%	\$ 17.09	\$ 17.09	\$ 8,478,018	AECOM Technology Corporation ⁽⁶⁾
Cherry Creek	Denver, CO	1962	1980 / 2012	100.0	356	98.1	100.0	16.83	16.83	5,874,490	State of Colorado Department of Health
AmberGlen	Portland, OR	1984 / 2002 ⁽⁷⁾		76.0	353	92.0	94.7	15.55	15.55	5,054,391	Planar Systems, Inc.
City Center	Tampa, FL	1984 / 2012		95.0	241	92.0	93.3	22.77	22.77	5,054,351	RBC Capital Markets
Corporate Parkway	Allentown, PA	2006		100.0	178	100.0	100.0	17.66	24.66	3,148,476	Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.
Central Fairwinds	Orlando, FL	1982 / 2013		90.0	169	68.5	76.0	26.16	26.16	3,024,682	Fairwinds Credit Union
Completed Acquisitions Since the IPO											
Plaza 25	Denver, CO	1981 / 2006		100.0	197	92.4	92.4	19.74	19.74	3,587,291	Recondo Technology, Inc.
Lake Vista Pointe	Dallas, TX	2007		100.0	163	100.0	100.0	13.50	20.00	2,205,036	Ally Financial, Inc.
Florida Research Park ⁽⁸⁾	Orlando, FL	1999		100.0	125	100.0	100.0	19.50	27.50	2,427,750	Kaplan, Inc.
Total / Weighted Average:					2,340	92.1%	93.5%	\$ 18.02	\$ 19.56	\$ 38,854,485	

(1)

We define major renovation as significant upgrades, alterations or additions to building common areas, interiors, exteriors and/or systems.

- (2) NRA in thousands of square feet (SF).
- (3) Includes both in place and committed tenants, which we define as our tenants in occupancy as well as tenants that have executed binding leases for space undergoing improvement but are not yet in occupancy, as of September 30, 2014.
- (4) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 (except for Florida Research Park, the acquisition date) by (ii) 12. If rent abatements that were applied in September 2014 are subtracted from rental payments for September 2014, annualized rent would be \$4,495,845 for the AmberGlen property (a decrease of \$1.45 per net rentable square foot), \$4,016,229 for the City Center property (a decrease of \$4.34 per square foot) and \$2,634,324 for the Central Fairwinds property (a decrease of \$2.21 per square foot). The contractual rent abatements currently in place at the AmberGlen and City Center properties will expire on or before January 2015. The other properties in our portfolio did not have any rent abatements in place for the month of September 2014. The Second City Group paid our operating partnership at the closing of our initial public offering a lump sum payment representing a reimbursement for the amount of all future contractual rent abatements in place at closing for existing tenants at the properties.
- (5) Plaza I was built in 1970 with the last major renovation completed in 2012; Plaza II was built in 1975 with the last major renovation completed in 2012; Central Plaza was built in 1982 with the last major renovation completed in 2011; and Plaza IV was built in 1982 with the last major renovation completed in 2010.
- (6) AECOM Technology Corporation acquired URS Corporation subsequent to September 30, 2014.
- (7) Building 1040 was built in 1984; Building 1195 was built in 1999; Building 1400 was built in 1984; Building 1600 was built in 1987; Building 2345 was built in 1998; and Building 2430 was built in 1998.
- (8) The Florida Research Park property was acquired on November 18, 2014.
- (9) For Corporate Parkway, Lake Vista Pointe and Florida Research Park, the annualized base rent per square foot on a triple net basis was increased by \$7.00, \$6.50 and \$8.00, respectively, to estimate a gross equivalent base rent.

Table of Contents

Geographic Diversification

The following charts show the geographic diversification of our properties by state as a percentage of NRA and as a percentage of total annualized base rental revenue as of September 30, 2014.

Geographic Breakdown as a Percentage of NRA	Geographic Breakdown as a Percentage of Basic Rental Revenue
--	---

Diverse Tenant Base

Our properties as of September 30, 2014 are leased to 120 tenants, most of which we believe have attractive credit profiles, and include federal and state governmental agencies and national and regional companies. As of September 30, 2014, approximately 49.0% of the base rental revenue of our properties was derived from tenants in these markets that are federal or state government agencies or investment grade tenants. Four of our top ten tenants are investment grade tenants, representing approximately 33.5% of the base rental revenue of our properties as of September 30, 2014. Our largest tenant is the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, whose lease at Cherry Creek represents approximately 14.2% of the aggregate base rental revenue of our properties and expires in 2026. Our properties also have a stable, long-term tenancy profile and our occupied and committed leases have well-staggered expirations and a weighted average remaining lease term to maturity of 4.8 years (9.7 years taking into account tenant renewal options). The following charts provide a breakdown of the tenant credit profile for our properties.

Tenant Credit Profile (as a Percentage of NRA)

Table of Contents*Lease Maturity Profile*

The chart below sets out the percentage of NRA of our properties subject to lease expiration during the periods shown without regard to renewal options.

Lease Maturity Schedule (as a Percentage of NRA)

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place in our properties as of September 30, 2014, plus available space, for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 to December 31, 2023, and thereafter. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 4.8 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Properties NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Properties Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring (Including Abatement) ⁽²⁾
Vacant and Contracted ⁽³⁾		183,780	7.9%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
2014	5	12,057	0.5	292,448	0.8	24.26	292,448	24.26
2015	19	315,712	13.5	5,463,802	14.1	17.31	5,463,802	17.31
2016	19	408,946	17.5	7,507,510	19.3	18.36	7,507,510	18.36
2017	22	286,721	12.3	4,769,898	12.3	16.64	4,699,830	16.39
2018	19	219,679	9.4	4,203,084	10.8	19.13	4,117,511	18.74
2019	19	184,852	7.9	3,865,764	9.9	20.91	3,318,098	17.95
2020	3	25,787	1.1	421,330	1.1	16.34	421,330	16.34
2021	7	323,842	13.8	5,440,976	14.0	16.80	5,357,976	16.55
2022	2	17,746	0.8	420,011	1.1	23.67	420,011	23.67
2023	1	2,022	0.1	15,600		7.72	15,600	7.72
Thereafter	4	358,329	15.3	6,454,062	16.6	18.01	5,942,615	16.58
Total	120	2,339,473	100.0%	\$ 38,854,485	100.0%	\$ 18.02	\$ 37,556,731	\$ 17.42

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

(2)

Annualized rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

- (3) 31,851 SF of contracted NRA related to seven tenants collectively at City Center, Cherry Creek, AmberGlen and Central Fairwinds.

Table of Contents**Description of Our Properties**

We own a fee simple interest in the nine office complexes below.

Washington Group Plaza, Boise, Idaho

The Washington Group Plaza property is a 557,510 square foot (by NRA), four-building office complex with 1,050 structured parking spaces and 896 surface spaces, located in the periphery of downtown Boise. The office complex is situated on 24 acres of land, features first class amenities, including a 250 seat auditorium and convenient access to the interstate highway, and is in the immediate proximity of downtown Boise, Boise State University, the Ada County courthouse, the University of Idaho Boise Center and St. Luke's Regional Medical Center. Idaho's only Whole Foods Market is located across the street from the property.

The four office buildings that form the Washington Group Plaza property include the following:

Plaza I, at 400 Broadway Avenue, is a six-story office building constructed in 1970 and updated in 1999-2000, with 125,568 square feet of NRA;

Plaza II, at 701 Morrison Drive, is a four-story office building constructed in 1975 and updated and partially redesigned in 1999-2000, with 113,910 square feet of NRA;

Central Plaza, at 720 Park Boulevard, is a two-story office building constructed in 1982 and updated in 1999-2000, with 103,838 square feet of NRA; and

Plaza IV, at 800 Park Boulevard, is a seven-story office building constructed in 1982 and renovated in 2004, with 212,789 square feet of NRA.

There are planned renovations at the Washington Group Plaza property totalling \$450,000 in relation to modernization of the Plaza IV elevators. These renovations will be funded by cash flow from operations and existing lender reserves. The 2013 annual property taxes for the Washington Group Plaza property were \$693,096 based on a tax rate of \$1.85 per \$100 of assessed value.

Approximately 89.0% of the NRA of the Washington Group Plaza property is leased to a total of 25 tenants. In addition, approximately 53.7% of the base rental revenue was derived from tenants who are federal or state government agencies or investment grade tenants.

The key tenants of the Washington Group Plaza property are included in the table below.

Property/Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Net Rentable Area Leased	% of Property Net Rentable	Annualized Rent⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent Per Leased	% of Property Annualized Rent⁽¹⁾
------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

			(000 SF)	Area		Square Foot ⁽²⁾	
AECOM Technology Corporation ⁽³⁾	12/31/2015	None	143,828	25.8%	\$ 2,373,162	\$ 16.50	28.0%
Idaho State Tax Commission	6/30/2017	None	111,381	20.0	1,954,175	17.54	23.0

(1) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents (before abatements) for the month of September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized rent per leased square foot reflects actual rental rate for the year month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the average square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

(3) AECOM Technology Corporation acquired URS Corporation subsequent to September 30, 2014.

URS Corporation provides engineering, construction, architectural, transportation and environmental services for federal, infrastructure, power, and industrial and commercial projects.

The Idaho State Tax Commission is an executive branch agency that informs taxpayers of their tax obligations and enforces state laws regarding tax compliance.

Table of Contents*Washington Group Plaza Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth vacant and contracted space at the Washington Group Plaza property as of September 30, 2014 and lease expirations for in-place leases as of September 30, 2014 for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2023, and thereafter. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 2.4 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Washington Group Plaza NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Washington Group Plaza Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring (Including September Rate)
								Rate
Vacant & Contracted		61,467	11.0%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
2014	1	1,998	0.4	36,962	0.4	18.50	36,962	18.50
2015	7	210,653	37.8	3,448,636	40.7	16.37	3,448,636	16.37
2016	4	58,504	10.5	994,454	11.7	17.00	994,454	17.00
2017	4	128,809	23.1	2,250,859	26.5	17.47	2,250,859	17.47
2018	3	26,225	4.7	460,221	5.4	17.55	460,221	17.55
2019	2	40,477	7.3	869,377	10.3	21.48	869,377	21.48
2020	1	11,488	2.1	135,422	1.6	11.79	135,422	11.79
2021	2	11,553	2.1	209,810	2.5	18.16	209,810	18.16
2022								
2023								
Thereafter	1	6,336	1.1	72,277	0.9	11.41	72,277	11.41
Total / Weighted Average:	25	557,510	100.0%	\$ 8,478,018	100.0%	\$ 17.09	\$ 8,478,018	\$ 17.09

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014

Washington Group Plaza Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	89.0%	\$ 706,501	\$ 8,478,018

(1) Washington Group Plaza was acquired on June 6, 2013.

(2) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended September 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

Washington Group Plaza Tax Basis and Depreciation

As of September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$45.5 million. Washington Group Plaza owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets, such as land, do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$1.2 million annually but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Table of Contents**Cherry Creek, Denver, Colorado**

The Cherry Creek property is a 355,687 square foot (by NRA), three-building office complex with 1,535 parking spaces situated on a campus of 12.3 acres located adjacent to Cherry Creek, one of Denver's most upscale residential areas and a prominent address for businesses. The Cherry Creek property provides tenants with direct access to Interstate 25 via the Colorado boulevard interchange and is in a prime location between downtown Denver and the Denver Technology Center, the two largest Denver office submarkets.

The three office buildings that form the Cherry Creek property include the following:

Building A, at 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, is a five-story office building constructed in 1980 and updated in 1993, with 140,527 square feet of NRA;

Building B, at 700 South Ash Street, is a two-story office building constructed in 1962 and updated in 1993, with 107,600 square feet of NRA; and

Building C, at 710 South Ash Street, is a two-story office building constructed in 1963 and updated in 1993, with 107,560 square feet of NRA.

We have no immediate plans with respect to major renovation or redevelopment of the Cherry Creek property. The 2012 annual property taxes for the Cherry Creek property were \$74,960 based on a tax rate of \$9.94 per \$100 of assessed value. We do not pay property taxes on the portion of the property that is occupied by the State of Colorado.

The Cherry Creek property is 100% leased to a total of two tenants, of which approximately 89.7% of the NRA is leased to the State of Colorado.

The key tenants of the Cherry Creek property are included in the table below.

Property/Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Net Rentable Area Leased (000 SF)	% of Property Net Rentable Area	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent	
						Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	% of Property Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾
State of Colorado							
Department of Health ⁽³⁾	4/30/2026	10.0 Years	318,999 ⁽⁴⁾	89.7%	\$ 5,500,272	\$ 17.24	93.6%

(1) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month of September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized rent per leased square foot reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the average square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

(3)

72,242 of square footage subject to two, five-year options with the remaining square footage subject to one, five-year extension option.

(4) Includes 6,661 square feet of committed space.

The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment is a cabinet-level department that provides a diverse array of services for the state, including storage of birth and death records, collection of marriage certificates and holding of environmental permits and authorizations.

LenderLive Network, Inc. provides mortgage securities for financial institutions in the United States.

Table of Contents*Cherry Creek Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the Cherry Creek property as of September 30, 2014, plus available space, for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2023. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 10.7 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Cherry Creek NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Cherry Creek Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including September Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring (Including September Abatement) ⁽²⁾
Vacant and Contracted	6,661		1.9%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
2014								
2015								
2016								
2017	1	36,688	10.3	374,218	6.4	10.20	374,218	10.20
2018								
2019								
2020								
2021								
2022								
2023								
Thereafter	1	312,338	87.8	5,500,272	93.6	17.61	5,500,272	17.61
Total / Weighted Average:	2	355,687	100.0%	\$ 5,874,490	100.0%	\$ 16.83	\$ 5,874,490	\$ 16.83

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

Cherry Creek Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	98.1%	\$ 489,541	\$ 5,874,490
December 31, 2013	100	486,499	5,837,993
December 31, 2012	100	475,222	5,702,664
December 31, 2011	100	464,306	5,571,673

(1) A 42.3% interest in Cherry Creek was acquired on July 22, 2011 including a value add tower and development land which were subsequently sold. We hold a 100.0% interest in Cherry Creek.

(2) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended, by (ii) 12.

Cherry Creek Tax Basis and Depreciation

As of September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$57.0 million. Cherry Creek owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets, such as land, do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property, will produce depreciation of approximately \$0.9 million annually, but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Table of Contents

AmberGlen, Portland, Oregon

The AmberGlen property is a 353,239 square foot (by NRA), five-building office complex with 1,339 surface parking spaces located in Oregon's high-tech corridor, approximately 10 miles southwest of downtown Portland. The property is located less than three miles from Intel's Rolner Acres Campus, which houses its D1X research facility. It is also situated approximately four miles from NIKE, Inc.'s world headquarters. The five buildings are all situated in close proximity to each other and enjoy convenient transportation access, including the Quatama light rail station which is less than a mile away. The property also is located in a master planned business community, features first class amenities and is within walking distance to numerous restaurants, shopping choices and amenities. Additionally, the property is within the boundaries of the Amberglen Community Plan, which was adopted by the City of Hillsboro in 2010. The plan seeks to create a dense urban community by connecting Tanasbourne Town Center (to the north of the properties) to the Quatama station on the Westside Light Rail line (to the south of the properties).

The five office buildings that form the AmberGlen property include the following:

1195 NW Compton Drive, a two-story office building constructed in 1999, with 72,242 square feet of NRA. Planar Systems, Inc. leases 100% of the 72,242 square feet of NRA. The current lease on this building expires on October 31, 2016;

1400 NW Compton Drive, a three-story office building constructed in 1984 and updated in 2005, with 75,365 square feet of NRA. Planar Systems, Inc. leases approximately 37,487 square feet of space on the main floor of the building, which it is not currently occupying but it continues to pay rent. The lease expires on January 31, 2018. On November 1, 2013, DiabetOmics took occupancy of 5,129 square feet in the building;

1600 NW Compton Drive is a three-story office building constructed in 1987 and updated in 2005, with 76,667 square feet of NRA. On November 1, 2014 Care Partners took occupancy of 5,113 square feet in the building and Cisco Systems renewed and expanded its occupancy to 9,665 square feet. The two largest tenants in this building are the Delta Products Corporation and Cisco Systems, Inc.;

2345 NW Amberbrook Drive is a two-story office building constructed in 1998, with 63,311 square feet of NRA. Serena Software Inc. occupies more than 50% of the NRA in this building. On October 1, 2013, Fluor took occupancy of 11,350 square feet in the building; and

2430 206th Avenue is a two-story office building constructed in 1998, with 65,631 square feet of NRA. Cascade Microtech, Inc. leases 100% of the building and although it does not currently occupy the space, it continues to pay rent. The lease expires on December 31, 2015.

In addition, we own a fee simple interest in land at 1050 NW Compton Drive, which we ground lease to a single tenant until 2043.

Since acquiring the properties in December 2009, we have completed various renovations and improvements, including cooling towers, boilers, multi-tenant corridors and exterior improvements. We have no immediate plans

with respect to major renovation or redevelopment of the AmberGlen property. The 2013 annual property taxes for the AmberGlen property were \$418,788 based on a tax rate of \$1.67 per \$100 of assessed value.

Including committed tenants, approximately 94.7% of the AmberGlen property is leased to a total of 22 tenants. Consistent with the rest of the local submarket, the tenant base is mostly made up of high-tech computer, software, engineering and research companies.

Table of Contents

The key tenants of the AmberGlen property are included in the table below.

Property/Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Net Rentable Area		Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent	
			Leased (000 SF)	% of Property Net Rentable Area		Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	% of Property Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾
Planar Systems, Inc. ⁽³⁾	4/5/2017	7.5 Years	109,729	31.1%	\$ 1,521,859	\$ 13.87	30.1%
Cascade Microtech Inc.	12/31/2015	None	65,123	18.4	1,086,252	16.68	21.5

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

(3) Based on weighted average of two leases by NRA.

Planar Systems, Inc. is a global leader in digital display technology, providing premier solutions ranging from desktop monitors to video walls to interactive visual experiences.

Cascade Microtech, Inc. is a worldwide leader in precision electrical measurement and testing of advanced semiconductor devices, integrated circuits, chips, circuit boards, modules, LED devices and more.

AmberGlen Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the AmberGlen property as of September 30, 2014, plus available space, for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2023. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 2.7 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Expiring Leases	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of AmberGlen NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of AmberGlen Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot
								Expiring (Including September Rate)
Vacant and Contracted ⁽¹⁾	28,204	8.0%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$	

Edgar Filing: City Office REIT, Inc. - Form S-11/A

2014								
2015	4	70,809	20.0	1,181,462	23.4	16.69	1,181,462	16.69
2016	6	96,721	27.4	1,313,599	26.0	13.58	1,313,599	13.58
2017	5	58,347	16.5	801,842	15.9	13.74	801,842	13.74
2018	4	86,450	24.5	1,513,459	29.9	17.51	1,513,459	17.51
2019	3	12,708	3.6	244,029	4.8	19.20	188,607	14.84
2020								
2021								
2022								
2023								
Thereafter								
Total / Weighted								
Average:	22	353,239	100.0%	\$ 5,054,391	100.0%	\$ 15.55	\$ 4,998,969	\$ 15.38

- (1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.
- (2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents*AmberGlen Percent Leased and Rent*

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent, including pursuant to the ground lease as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	With Ground Lease		
	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	92.0%	\$ 421,199	\$ 5,054,391
December 31, 2013	91.0	410,801	4,929,608
December 31, 2012	83.6	360,237	4,322,841
December 31, 2011	75.6	373,668	4,484,013
December 31, 2010	66.9	296,865	3,562,377
December 31, 2009	63.7	242,330	2,907,961

(1) The AmberGlen property was acquired on December 11, 2009 as an eight building portfolio. Three of the buildings were subsequently sold. The occupancy of the eight building portfolio at the time of acquisition was 45%.

(2) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended, by (ii) 12.

AmberGlen Tax Basis and Depreciation

As of September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$20.8 million. AmberGlen owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets, such as land, do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$0.8 million annually but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

City Center, Tampa-St. Petersburg, Florida

The City Center property is a 241,291 square foot (by NRA), two-building complex with 520 parking spaces, most of which are located in the property's six-level structured parking garage, in downtown St. Petersburg, Florida, which is part of the Tampa metropolitan area. With direct water views of Tampa Bay, the property is considered a prime office property within the St. Petersburg CBD and is within blocks of many of downtown St. Petersburg's best amenities as well as the marina. There are a number of new multifamily and retail projects as well as new restaurants that are currently being developed in or near the St. Petersburg CBD.

The two office buildings that form the City Center property consist of a 12-story office tower and a four-story office building, connected by an atrium. The buildings were constructed in 1984 and renovated in 2011 and 2012. The renovations in 2011 and 2012 that we completed included renovations to the elevators and multi-tenant corridors as well as an upgrade to the atrium and the addition of a high quality fitness facility. Additional minor improvements in respect of various cosmetic and building system renovations are ongoing.

We have no immediate plans with respect to major renovation or redevelopment of the City Center property. The 2013 annual property taxes for the City Center property were \$302,740 based on a tax rate of \$2.31 per \$100 of assessed value.

Including committed tenants, approximately 93.3% of the City Center property is leased to 41 tenants. The City Center tenant base includes law firms, insurance companies, financial institutions and other national and regional enterprises. Tenants of the City Center property include RBC Capital Markets, LLC, The Northern Trust Company and Wells Fargo Advisors.

Table of Contents*City Center Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the City Center property as of September 30, 2014, plus available space, for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2023. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 5.5 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Leases Expiring	Percentage of City Center NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of City Center Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including September Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring (Including September Abatement) ⁽²⁾
Vacant & Contracted		19,281	8.0%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
2014								
2015	3	4,137	1.7	95,556	1.9	23.10	95,556	23.10
2016	4	21,873	9.1	512,559	10.1	23.43	512,559	23.43
2017	7	16,929	7.0	393,069	7.8	23.22	393,069	23.22
2018	9	51,729	21.4	1,175,089	23.2	22.72	1,089,516	21.06
2019	7	37,968	15.7	872,731	17.3	22.99	719,693	18.96
2020	1	5,499	2.3	89,844	1.8	16.34	89,844	16.34
2021	3	24,453	10.1	598,380	11.8	24.47	515,380	21.08
2022	2	17,746	7.4	420,011	8.3	23.67	420,011	23.67
2023	1	2,022	0.8	15,600	0.3	7.72	15,600	7.72
Thereafter	2	39,655	16.4	881,512	17.4	22.23	370,066	9.33
Total / Weighted Average:	39	241,292	100.0%	\$ 5,054,351	100.0%	\$ 22.77	\$ 4,221,294	\$ 19.01

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

City Center Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	92.0%	\$ 421,196	\$ 5,054,351
December 31, 2013	80.8	369,653	4,435,841
December 31, 2012	78.2	351,539	4,218,472
December 31, 2011	60.9	263,149	3,157,782
December 31, 2010	73.8	164,242	1,970,908

(1) City Center was acquired on December 14, 2010.

(2) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended, by (ii) 12.

City Center Tax Basis and Depreciation

As of September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$24.4 million. City Center owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the

Table of Contents

lease). Other assets (e.g., land) do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$1.2 million annually but the amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Florida Research Park, Orlando, Florida

The Florida Research Park is a property that we acquired in November 2014 and is a 124,500 square foot Class A building located in Orlando's Central Florida Research Park sub-market. We funded a portion of the purchase price with cash and borrowings from our Secured Credit Facility and the balance through the issuance of a \$17 million non-recourse mortgage loan.

The property is a Class A office building located in one of the largest research parks in the United States. The property has one of the highest parking ratios in the submarket and back-up generators capable of running the entire building 24/7. We have no immediate plans with respect to major renovations or redevelopment of the Florida Research Park property. Kaplan, Inc. leases approximately 48,000 square feet of space on the main floor of the building, which it is not currently occupying. It has sub-leased approximately 27,000 square feet of that space but it continues to pay rent on the entire building. The property is located adjacent to the University of Central Florida, the second largest university in the country by enrollment with over 60,000 students.

The property is 100% leased to Iowa College Acquisition Corp and the lease is guaranteed by Kaplan, Inc., as described in the table below.

Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized	
						Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾
Kaplan, Inc.	12/31/2021	8 years ⁽³⁾	124,500	100.0%	\$ 2,427,750	\$ 19.50	100.0%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended November 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot reflects annualized base rent (as defined above), divided by total leased square feet.

(3) Consists of the first renewal option for three years and the second renewal option for five years.

Kaplan, Inc. is the largest subsidiary (measured by revenue and assets) of Graham Holdings Company (NYSE:GHC). Graham Holdings operates a diversified education and media company whose principal operations include education services, television broadcasting, cable television systems, and online print and local TV news.

Table of Contents*Florida Research Park Lease Expirations*

The following lease expiration for the Kaplan, Inc. lease in place at the Florida Research Park property as of September 30, 2014. The information set forth in the table assumes that the tenant exercises no renewal options. Leases in place and committed has a weighted average term to maturity of 7.3 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Florida Research Park NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Florida Research Park Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including September Rate Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring (including September Rate Abatement) ⁽²⁾
Vacant & Contracted ⁽³⁾			%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
2014								
2015								
2016								
2017								
2018								
2019								
2020								
2021	1	124,500	100.0	2,427,750	100.0	19.50	2,427,750	19.50
2022								
2023								
Thereafter								
Total / Weighted Average:	1	124,500	100.0%	\$ 2,427,750	100.0%	\$ 19.50	\$ 2,427,750	\$ 19.50

(1) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents (before abatements) for the month of September 30, 2014 by (ii)12.

(2) Annualized rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rate for the month ended September 30, 2014 divided by the average square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

Florida Research Park Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾

	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	100%	\$ 202,313	\$ 2,427,750

(1) The Florida Research Park property was acquired on November 18, 2014.

(2) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended September 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

Florida Research Park Tax Basis and Depreciation

As at September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in the property is estimated to be approximately \$26.5 million. The Florida Research Park property owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets such as land, do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$0.7 million annually but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Table of Contents**Lake Vista Pointe, Dallas, Texas**

The Lake Vista Pointe property that we acquired in July 2014 is a 163,336 square foot (by NRA), two-story Class A office building located in Lewisville, one of the top submarkets in the Dallas metropolitan area. Lewisville is situated in the growing North Texas corridor and is located in proximity to the Dallas Fort Worth International Airport.

We have no immediate plans with respect to major renovation or redevelopment of the Lake Vista Pointe property. The property is 100% leased to Ally Financial, Inc., and the lease expires in 2021. The property is located in the premium Vista Ridge Business Park, which has a total inventory of ten buildings and 1.1 million square feet. The Lake Vista Pointe property has excellent freeway access to Sam Rayburn Tollway, Interstate 35, and Texas State Highway 114.

The property is located in a premium business park with strong neighboring tenants. Its convenient positioning near major transportation arteries and nearby commercial and retail amenities make it an ideal fit for existing and future high-density corporate users.

The sole tenant of the Lake Vista Pointe property is included in the table below.

Property/Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	NRA Leased (000 Square Feet)	Percentage of Property NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent	
						Per Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Percentage of Property Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾
Ally Financial, Inc.	4/30/2021	None	163,336	100.0%	\$ 2,205,036	\$ 13.50	100.0%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

Lake Vista Pointe Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the Lake Vista Pointe property as of September 30, 2014, plus available space, for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2023, and thereafter. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 6.6 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Lake Vista Pointe NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage Lake Vista Pointe Annualized	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot	Annualized Base Rent (including Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square
--------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	--	--	-----------------------------------

**Rent⁽¹⁾ Expiring⁽²⁾ Foot
Expiring
(Including
Rate
Abatement)⁽²⁾**

Vacant and Contracted ⁽³⁾		%	\$		%	\$	\$	\$
2014								
2015								
2016								
2017								
2018								
2019								
2020								
2021	1		163,336	100.0		2,205,036	100.0	13.50
2022								
2023								
Thereafter								
Total / Weighted Average:	1		163,336	100.0%		\$ 2,205,036	100.0%	\$ 13.50

Table of Contents

- (1) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.
- (2) Annualized rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014. In addition, the tenant is responsible for paying operating costs at the property.

Lake Vista Pointe Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	100%	\$ 183,753	\$ 2,205,036

- (1) The Lake Vista Pointe property was acquired on July 18, 2014.
- (2) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended September 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

Lake Vista Pointe Tax Basis and Depreciation

As of the date of the acquisition on September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$26.6 million. The Lake Vista Pointe property includes a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets (e.g., land) do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$0.3 million annually but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Plaza 25, Denver, Colorado

The Plaza 25 property is a 196,803 square foot, three building Class B+ office complex located in Greenwood Village, one of Denver's top submarkets. We completed this acquisition on June 4, 2014, with all cash financing. The complex was built in 1982 and renovated in 2006 with a number of cosmetic improvements. We have no immediate plans with respect to major renovation or redevelopment of the Plaza 25 property.

This property has excellent freeway access, is within walking distance to the light rail station, and is close to many restaurant and retail amenities. The Plaza 25 property also boasts desirable Interstate 25 frontage facing a daily traffic count of over 225,000 vehicles. As of September 30, 2014, the property had 12 tenants with 92.4% occupancy and a 3.6 year weighted average lease term remaining.

The key tenants of the Plaza 25 property are included in the table below.

Property/Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Net Rentable Area		Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent Per Square Foot		Annualized Percentage of Property Net Rent ⁽¹⁾
			Leased (000 SF)	Percentage of Rentable Area		Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	
Recondo Technology, Inc.	3/31/2019	None	48,094	24.4%	\$ 952,261	\$ 19.80	26.5%	
Catamaran Corp.	3/31/2019	None	22,541	11.5	456,455	20.25	12.7	
NTT America Inc.	8/31/2018	None	22,541	11.5	428,279	19.00	11.9	

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

Recondo Technology, Inc. connects providers, payers and patients using breakthrough cloud computing solutions throughout the healthcare revenue cycle.

Catamaran Corp. offers comprehensive pharmacy benefit management services to address the challenges faced by healthcare payers.

NTT America Inc. is a telecommunication company that provides network management, security and solution services to consumers, corporations and governments.

Plaza 25 Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the Plaza 25 property as of September 30, 2014, plus available space, for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2023. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 3.6 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Plaza 25 NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Plaza 25 Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including September Rate Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring (Including September Rate Abatement) ⁽²⁾
Vacant & Contracted	15,030		7.6%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
2014								
2015	2	14,582	7.4	287,784	8.0	19.74	287,784.00	19.74
2016	2	11,337	5.8	228,378	6.4	20.14	228,377.52	20.14
2017	2	25,498	13.0	512,508	14.3	20.10	512,508.48	20.10
2018	3	55,275	28.1	1,054,315	29.4	19.07	1,054,315.08	19.07
2019	3	75,081	38.2	1,504,305	41.9	20.04	1,504,305.48	20.04
2020								
2021								
2022								
2023								
Thereafter								
Total / Weight Average:	12	196,803	100.0%	\$ 3,587,291	100.0%	\$ 19.74	\$ 3,587,291	\$ 19.74

(1) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014 divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

Plaza 25 Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	92.4%	\$ 298,941	\$ 3,587,291

(1) Plaza 25 was acquired on June 4, 2014.

(2) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended, by (ii) 12.

Table of Contents*Plaza 25 Tax Basis and Depreciation*

As of September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$24.9 million. The Plaza 25 property owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets, such as land, do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$0.4 million annually but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Corporate Parkway, Allentown, Pennsylvania

The Corporate Parkway property is a 178,330 square foot, three-story office building with 850 surface parking spaces that was built in 2006 to suit D&B. The location serves as D&B's largest North American office and is a hub for D&B's operations and supporting functions, such as sales, legal, accounting and human resources. The Corporate Parkway property is located within the Stabler Corporate Center, the premier office park in the region, fronting along the area's major highways, from which the property has excellent visibility and accessibility. Other major tenants in the Stabler Corporate Center include MassMutual Financial Group and Northwestern Mutual. Additionally, Olympus Corporation of the Americas has located its corporate headquarters in the Stabler Corporate Center.

We have no immediate plans with respect to major renovation or redevelopment of the Corporate Parkway property. The 2012 annual property taxes for the Corporate Parkway property were the responsibility of D&B pursuant to the lease agreement with D&B.

D&B's lease, which commenced in November 2006, expires in November 2016. D&B has two options to extend the term of the lease for five years (which, if fully exercised would extend the duration of the lease to November 2026). Each renewal term is subject to limitations on any increase or decrease in the amount of rent payable by D&B.

The sole tenant of the Corporate Parkway property is included in the table below.

Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Base Rent Percentage of Property	
						Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent
Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.	11/30/2016	10 years ⁽³⁾	178,330	100%	\$ 3,148,476	\$ 17.66	100%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014, by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot reflects annualized base rent (as defined above), divided by total leased square feet.

(3) Consists of two, five-year options.

Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. is a subsidiary of The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, which is a leading source of commercial information and insight on businesses.

Table of Contents*Corporate Parkway Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expiration for the D&B lease in place at the Corporate Parkway property as of September 30, 2014. The information set forth in the table assumes that the tenant exercises no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 2.2 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Percentage of NRA of Corporate Parkway NRA	Annualized Rent⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Corporate Parkway Annualized Rent⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Square Foot Expiring⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent (including Rate Abatement)	Annualized Rent per Leased Square Foot Expiring (including Rate Abatement)⁽²⁾
		%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
Vacant and Contracted							
2014							
2015							
2016	1	178,330	100.0	3,148,476	100.0	17.66	3,148,476
2017							
2018							
2019							
2020							
2021							
2022							
2023							
Thereafter							
Total / Weighted Average:	1	178,330	100.0%	\$ 3,148,476	100.0%	\$ 17.66	\$ 3,148,476

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized rent per leased square foot expiring reflects actual rental rate for the month ended September 30, 2014 divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

Corporate Parkway Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent
---------------------------	---------------------------	------------------	-----------------------------

		for Month Ended	(2)
September 30, 2014	100.0%	\$ 262,373	\$ 3,148,476

(1) Corporate Parkway was acquired on May 17, 2013.

(2) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended, by (ii) 12. In addition, the tenant is responsible for paying operating costs at the property.

Corporate Parkway Tax Basis and Depreciation

As of September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$28.8 million. The Corporate Parkway property owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets, such as land, do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$1.0 million annually but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Table of Contents**Central Fairwinds, Orlando, Florida**

The Central Fairwinds property is a 168,776 square foot (by NRA), 12-story office building with 444 parking spaces through a mixture of on-site and leased surface spaces located in downtown Orlando, Florida. The property was constructed in 1982 and updated in 2013, features excellent accessibility and proximity to some of the central business district's best areas and is considered a landmark in the city's skyline. It is situated just east of Orlando's primary interstate artery and directly across from one of the two future SunRail stations located in Orlando's CBD. The Amway Center, home to the Orlando Magic, and the center of a proposed \$200 million entertainment district, which has been approved by the Orlando City Planning Commission, is approximately a quarter of a mile from the property.

We have no immediate plans with respect to major renovation or redevelopment of the Central Fairwinds property. The 2013 annual property taxes for the Central Fairwinds property were \$367,599, based on a tax rate of \$2.06 per \$100 of assessed value.

Including committed tenants, approximately 76.0% of the Central Fairwinds property is leased to 19 tenants consisting of high-quality government, public, national and regional tenants.

The key tenants of the Central Fairwinds property are in the table below.

Property/Tenant	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Net Rentable Area		Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent	
			(000 SF)	% of Property Net Rentable Area		Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	% of Property Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾
Fairwinds Credit Union ⁽³⁾	6/30/2016	10.0 Years	39,431	23.4%	\$ 1,245,859	\$ 31.60	41.2%

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot reflects actual rental rate for the year month ended September 30, 2014, divided by the square feet under lease as of September 30, 2014.

(3) Consists of two five-year options.

The lease of a tenant occupying approximately 10,000 square feet at the Central Fairwinds property expired in September 2013. Fairwinds Credit Union is the largest locally owned and operated financial institution in central Florida.

Table of Contents*Central Fairwinds Lease Expirations*

The following table sets forth the lease expirations for leases in place at the Central Fairwinds property as of September 30, 2014, plus available space, for each of the calendar years ending December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2023, and thereafter. The information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options and do not exercise early termination rights. Leases in place and committed have a weighted average term to maturity of 2.8 years.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	NRA of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Central Fairwinds NRA	Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Central Fairwinds Annualized Rent ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Rent per Square Foot Expiring ⁽²⁾	Annualized	Annualized
							Base Rent (including September Abatement)	Foot Expiring (Including September Abatement) ⁽²⁾
Vacant & Contracted ⁽³⁾		53,137	31.5%	\$	%	\$	\$	\$
2014	4	10,059	6.0	255,485	8.4	\$ 25.40	255,485	25.40
2015	3	15,531	9.2	450,365	14.9	29.00	450,365	29.00
2016	2	42,181	25.0	1,310,044	43.3	31.06	1,310,044	31.06
2017	3	20,450	12.1	437,402	14.5	21.39	367,333	17.96
2018								
2019	4	18,618	11.0	375,322	12.4	20.16	36,116	1.94
2020	1	8,800	5.2	196,064	6.5	22.28	196,064	22.28
2021								
2022								
2023								
Thereafter								
Total / Weighted Average:	17	168,776	100.0%	\$ 3,024,682	100.0%	\$ 26.16	\$ 2,615,407	\$ 22.62

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 by (ii) 12.

(2) Annualized base rent per leased square foot expiring reflects annualized base rent (as defined above), divided by NRA of expiring leases in the period.

(3) 12,639 square feet of contracted NRA related to three leases C4 Architecture LLC, The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company and Office of Attorney General (expansion lease).

Central Fairwinds Percent Leased and Rent

The following table sets forth the in place occupancy, the monthly base rent and the annualized base rent as of the dates indicated below.

Date⁽¹⁾	In Place Occupancy	Base Rent for Month Ended	Annualized Base Rent⁽²⁾
September 30, 2014	68.5%	\$ 252,057	\$ 3,024,682
December 31, 2013 ⁽³⁾	58.5	216,706	2,600,466
December 31, 2012	85.0	326,577	3,918,923

(1) Central Fairwinds was acquired on May 9, 2012.

(2) Annualized rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the specified month ended, by (ii) 12.

(3) Most of the decline in occupancy in 2013 was due to the move-out of the United States Bankruptcy Court of the Middle District of Florida. This move-out was anticipated when the property was purchased.

Table of Contents*Central Fairwinds Tax Basis and Depreciation*

As of September 30, 2014, our federal tax basis in this property is estimated to be approximately \$15.3 million. Central Fairwinds owns a variety of assets, some of which provide a deduction for depreciation over various periods of time and under a variety of methods (e.g., buildings 39 years, tenant improvements 15 years, leasing commissions over the life of the lease). Other assets, such as land, do not provide a deduction of depreciation. We anticipate that the property will produce depreciation of approximately \$0.5 million annually but the actual amount may vary as assets are added and as assets become fully depreciated.

Capital Expenditures

Most of the buildings in our properties have undergone extensive investment programs with approximately \$7.4 million of capital improvements and \$15.0 million of tenant improvements and leasing commissions in the aggregate having been spent since being acquired by the entities that owned our properties prior to our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. These improvements were implemented to make our properties more appealing to existing and prospective tenants. All of the funds for the capital expenditures and tenant improvements below have either been invested already or have been reserved for in cash under the terms of the acquisition agreement for the relevant property and are expected to be spent over the next 12 months.

	Capital Expenditures	Tenant Improvements and Leasing Commissions
AmberGlen	\$ 543,349	\$ 3,812,892
City Center	2,784,307	5,580,297
Central Fairwinds	863,773	753,857
Corporate Parkway		
Washington Group Plaza	2,141,250	872,959
Cherry Creek	874,500	3,843,609
Plaza 25	229,684	114,337
Lake Vista Pointe		
Florida Research Park		
	\$ 7,436,863	\$ 14,977,951

We intend to continue to invest in our properties through targeted and strategically deployed capital expenditures. Capital expenditures have served to maintain and improve the operating performance of our properties and have also enhanced their value by allowing the entities that owned our properties to charge higher rents following the investments.

Table of Contents**Historical Office Lease Retention and Tenant Improvement and Leasing Commission Costs**

The following table sets forth certain historical information regarding tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot, in addition to net effective renewal rents, where applicable, on expiring leases, at our properties for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

	Year to Date, September 30, 2014	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Expirations				
Number of leases expired during the period	13	19	9	13
Aggregate net rentable square footage of expiring leases	62,460	86,675	51,875	67,238
Renewals				
Number of leases renewed during the period	8	5	2	3
Aggregate net rentable square footage of renewed leases	40,877	12,361	17,312	14,000
Retention percentage by square feet	65.4%	14.3%	33.4%	20.8%
Tenant improvement costs	\$ 1,005,662	\$ 69,294	\$ 163,120	\$ 13,948
Leasing commission costs	\$ 312,594	\$ 42,939	\$ 60,354	\$ 30,949
Total tenant improvements and leasing commission costs	\$ 1,318,256	\$ 112,234	\$ 223,474	\$ 44,897
Tenant improvement costs per square foot	\$ 24.60	\$ 5.61	\$ 9.42	\$ 1.00
Leasing commission costs per square foot	\$ 7.65	\$ 3.47	\$ 3.49	\$ 2.21
Total tenant improvements and leasing commission costs per square foot	\$ 32.25	\$ 9.08	\$ 12.91	\$ 3.21
Weighted average net effective rent for expiring leases	\$ 18.95	\$ 26.46	\$ 19.16	\$ 18.27
Weighted average net effective rent for renewed leases	\$ 20.70	\$ 23.97	\$ 20.23	\$ 21.36
Percentage increase (decrease)	9.2%	(9.4)%	5.6%	16.9%
New Leases				
Number of new leases	12	14	20	22
Square feet	56,563	62,022	97,969	108,663
Tenant improvement costs	\$ 1,546,908	\$ 898,054	\$ 2,198,879	\$ 2,159,650
Leasing commission costs	\$ 427,088	\$ 278,921	\$ 779,828	\$ 713,747
	\$ 1,973,996	\$ 1,176,974	\$ 2,978,707	\$ 2,873,398

Total tenant improvements and leasing commission costs

Tenant improvement costs per square foot	\$ 27.35	\$ 14.48	\$ 22.44	\$ 19.87
Leasing commission costs per square foot	\$ 7.55	\$ 4.50	\$ 7.96	\$ 6.57
Total tenant improvements and leasing commission costs per square foot	\$ 34.90	\$ 18.98	\$ 30.40	\$ 26.44
Weighted average net effective rent new leases	\$ 19.84	\$ 16.36	\$ 17.75	\$ 18.20
Total Tenant Improvements and Leasing Commissions				
Square feet	97,440	74,383	115,281	122,663
Tenant improvement costs	\$ 2,552,571	\$ 967,348	\$ 2,361,999	\$ 2,173,598
Leasing commission costs	\$ 739,681	\$ 321,860	\$ 840,182	\$ 744,697
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs	\$ 3,292,252	\$ 1,289,208	\$ 3,202,181	\$ 2,918,295
Tenant improvement costs per square foot	\$ 26.20	\$ 13.00	\$ 20.49	\$ 17.72
Leasing commission costs per square foot	\$ 7.59	\$ 4.33	\$ 7.29	\$ 6.07
Total tenant improvement and leasing commission costs per square foot	\$ 33.79	\$ 17.33	\$ 27.78	\$ 23.79

Table of Contents**Top Ten Tenants**

Our top ten tenants account for 57.7% of the September 30, 2014 annualized base rental revenue of our properties, including the Florida Research Park property acquisition that occurred on November 18, 2014, as shown in the table below.

Tenant	Credit Rating (S&P / Moody's)	Initial Property	Tenant Since	NRA	Percentage of NRA	Percentage of September 2014 Base Rental Revenue ⁽¹⁾	Average Remaining Lease Term without Renewals	Average Remaining Lease Term with Renewals
						3%	3%	
State of Colorado	AA-	Cherry Creek Corporate Campus	1993	318,999	13.6%	14.2%	11.6	21.6
Dun & Bradstreet, Inc	BBB-	Corporate Parkway	2006	178,330	7.6	8.1	2.2	12.2
Ally Financial Inc	BB	Lake Vista Pointe	2008	163,336	7.0	5.7	6.6	11.6
AECOM Technology Corporation	BB	Washington Group Plaza	1970	143,828	6.1	6.1	1.3	1.3
Kaplan, Inc.	BBB	Florida Research Park	2008	124,500	5.3	6.2	7.3	15.3
Idaho State Tax Commission	AA+	Washington Group Plaza	1992	111,381	4.8	5.0	2.8	2.8
Planar Systems, Inc	-	Amberglen Office	2002	109,729	4.7	3.9	2.5	10.8
Cascade Microtech, Inc	-	Amberglen Office	1997	65,123	2.8	2.8	1.3	1.3
Recondo Technology, Inc.	-	Plaza 25	2012	48,094	2.1	2.5	4.5	9.5
Fairwinds Credit Union	-	Central Fairwinds	2010	39,431	1.7	3.2	1.8	11.8
Total / Average				1,302,751	55.7%	57.7%	5.5	11.9

(1) Percentage of annualized base rent. Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) rental payments (defined as cash rents before abatements) for the month ended September 30, 2014 (except for Florida Research Park, the acquisition date) by (ii) 12.

Each of our properties has been the subject of a Phase I environmental site assessment report or a Phase I environmental site assessment update report (Phase I ESA Reports) conducted by independent and experienced environmental consultants from May 20, 2013 to August 12, 2013. The Phase I ESA Reports were prepared in general accordance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Designation E 1527-2005, Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process. The purpose of the Phase I ESA Reports was to

identify any existing or potential recognized environmental conditions (RECs) at our initial properties, which means the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on any of our initial properties under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release or a material threat of a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on our initial properties or into the ground, groundwater or surface water of our initial properties. Intrusive sampling and analysis were not part of these Phase I environmental site assessments.

Based on the Phase I ESA Reports, the independent environmental consultants did not identify any RECs that warranted further environmental assessment investigation at any of our initial properties.

It is our operating policy to obtain a Phase I ESA Report conducted by an independent and experienced environmental consultant prior to acquiring a property. If a Phase I ESA Report were to recommend a Phase II environmental assessment be conducted, we would conduct a Phase II environmental site assessment report or a Phase II environmental site assessment update report, in each case by an independent and experienced environmental consultant.

We are not aware of any non-compliance with environmental laws at any of our initial properties that we believe would have a material adverse effect on us. We also are not aware of any pending or threatened investigations or actions by environmental regulatory authorities in connection with any of our initial properties that would materially adversely affect us or the values of our initial properties, taken as a whole, as determined by the independent environmental consultants. We and our Advisor will implement policies and procedures to assess, manage and monitor environmental conditions at our initial properties and to manage exposure to potential liability.

Table of Contents

Regulation

General

Our properties are subject to various covenants, laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas and fire and safety requirements. We believe that each of our properties has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans with Disabilities Act

Our properties must comply with Title III of the ADA to the extent that such properties are public accommodations as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access for persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance, some of our properties may currently be in noncompliance with the ADA. Such noncompliance could result in the incurrence of additional costs to attain compliance, the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Insurance

We carry commercial insurance with the policy specifications and insured limits that management believes are appropriate and adequate for all our properties given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. However, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover our losses. There are types of losses at the property level, generally catastrophic in nature, such as losses due to wars, acts of terrorism, earthquakes, floods, wind damage, hurricanes, pollution or environmental matters, which are uninsurable or not economically insurable, or may be insured subject to limitations, such as large deductibles or co-payments. We may not have adequate coverage for such losses. If any of our properties incurs a casualty loss that is not fully insured, the value of our assets will be reduced by any such uninsured loss. In addition, our title insurance policies may not insure for the current aggregate market value of our properties, and we do not intend to increase our title insurance coverage as the market value of our properties increases.

Competition

We believe that the market for high-quality office properties with stable tenants is highly competitive. Competition for office properties includes an indeterminate number of other real estate investors, including domestic and foreign corporations and financial institutions, publicly traded and privately held REITs, private institutional investment funds, investment banking firms, life insurance companies and pension funds, some of which have greater financial resources than we do. We do, however, generally believe that our target markets contain relatively few well capitalized buyers.

In operating and managing our portfolio, we will compete for tenants based on a number of factors, including location, rental rates, security, flexibility and expertise to design space to meet prospective tenants' needs and the manner in which the property is operated, maintained and marketed. As leases at our properties expire, we may encounter significant competition to renew or re-let space in light of the large number of competing properties within the markets in which we operate. As a result, we may be required to provide rent concessions or abatements, or to incur charges for tenant improvements and other inducements, including early termination rights or below-market renewal options, or we may not be able to timely lease vacant space, all of which would adversely impact our results of operations.

We also face competition when pursuing acquisition opportunities. Our competitors may be able to pay higher property acquisition prices, may have private access to opportunities not available to us or may otherwise be in a better position to acquire a property. Competition may also have the effect of reducing the number of suitable acquisition opportunities available to us, increasing the price required to consummate an acquisition opportunity and generally reducing the demand for commercial office space in our target markets. Likewise, competition with sellers of similar properties to locate suitable purchasers may result in us receiving lower proceeds from a sale or in us not being able to dispose of a property at a time of our choosing due to the lack of an acceptable return.

Table of Contents

Promoter

Second City has taken the initiative in founding and organizing our company and may therefore be considered a promoter of our company for the purposes of applicable securities laws.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Our stockholders have the opportunity to participate in the DRIP sponsored and administered by AST, which allows them to acquire additional shares of our common stock by automatically reinvesting their cash dividends. The additional shares are purchased on the open market by the DRIP administrator. The share price of stock acquired pursuant to the DRIP is the average price of all shares purchased with the reinvested distributions by the DRIP administrator on behalf of all DRIP participants relating to a particular distribution by us. The DRIP administrator charges participants under the DRIP commissions and other fees according to the fee schedule provided by AST in connection with any acquisition of shares. We do not subsidize or otherwise provide any discount to DRIP participants in connection with the acquisition of common stock under the DRIP. If our board of directors authorizes, and we declare, a cash dividend or other distribution, then our stockholders who have elected to participate in the DRIP will have their cash distribution reinvested in additional shares of common stock, rather than receiving the cash distribution. Stockholders of record who do not participate in the DRIP continue to receive cash distributions as declared and paid.

Employees

We do not currently have any employees and do not expect to have any employees in the foreseeable future. Services necessary for our business are provided by our Advisor pursuant to the terms of the Advisory Agreement. Each of our executive officers is an employee or officer of our Advisor. Three accounting and finance employees of our Advisor, including Anthony Maretic, our chief financial officer, dedicate substantially all of their time to us. However, all of the other full-time employees of our Advisor spend substantial time on our matters. To the extent that we acquire more properties, we anticipate that the number of employees of our Advisor who devote time to our matters will increase and the number of our Advisor's employees working out of local offices, if any, where we buy properties will also increase.

Our Administrator

Our Advisor entered into the Administration Agreement with our Administrator, an affiliate of the Second City Group in connection with our initial public offering. Pursuant to the Administration Agreement, our Advisor has access to the Second City Group's employees, infrastructure, business relationships, management expertise, information technologies, capital raising capabilities, legal and compliance functions, and accounting, treasury and investor relations capabilities to enable our Advisor to fulfill its responsibilities under the Advisory Agreement. The Administration Agreement will terminate upon termination of the Advisory Agreement.

Principal Executive Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at Suite 2600, 1075 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 3C9.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may be party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to any legal proceedings that we believe to be material or which, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows or results of operation if determined adversely to us.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT****General**

We are externally managed by our Advisor. Pursuant to the terms of the Advisory Agreement, our Advisor provides us with our senior management team, including officers, along with appropriate support personnel. All of our executive officers are principals of our Advisor. We do not have any employees. Our Advisor, however, will at all times remain subject to the supervision and oversight of our board of directors.

Executive Officers and Directors

Set forth below is information concerning our directors and executive officers, four of whom also serve as directors and executive officers of our Advisor (see Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement Our Advisor), as of the date of this prospectus. Unless otherwise indicated, the business address of all of our directors and executive officers is 1075 West Georgia Street, Suite 2600, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6E 3C9.

Name	Age	Position
James Farrar	39	Chief Executive Officer, Director
Gregory Tylee	42	Chief Operating Officer, President
Anthony Maretic	42	Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer
Samuel Belzberg	86	Director
William Flatt	39	Director
John McLernon	73	Director, Chairman of Board of Directors
Mark Murski	39	Director
Stephen Shraiberg	67	Director

Backgrounds of Executive Officers and Directors

Set forth below is information concerning our executive officers and directors identified above. Our executive officers were appointed by the board of directors to serve in their current roles. Each executive officer is appointed for such term as may be prescribed by the board of directors and until a successor has been chosen and qualified or until such officer's death, resignation or removal.

James Farrar

Mr. Farrar is our chief executive officer and a member of our board of directors. He joined Second City in October 2009 as a managing director and has completed over \$400 million of acquisitions since its launch in the spring of 2010. From August 2003 to prior to joining Second City, Mr. Farrar served as the Vice President of Ken Fowler Enterprises Limited, a family office with a diversified portfolio concentrated primarily in the real estate and hospitality sectors. At Ken Fowler Enterprises Limited, Mr. Farrar was responsible for leading acquisitions, divestitures and portfolio management. Prior to this, Mr. Farrar was an investment professional with TD Capital, the private equity unit of TD Bank. Mr. Farrar has extensive experience in acquisitions and divestitures with a combined enterprise value in excess of \$1.5 billion and has completed over \$1.0 billion of financing transactions. Mr. Farrar is currently a director and chair of the audit committee of BENEV Capital Inc. Mr. Farrar received a bachelor's degree in business administration from Wilfrid Laurier University and is a chartered accountant, a chartered business valuator and a CFA charterholder. Mr. Farrar brings to our board of directors extensive executive management experience gained over 15 years of involvement in the private equity, real estate and corporate finance industries.

Gregory Tylee

Mr. Tylee is our chief operating officer and president. He joined Second City in May 2010 and has been primarily responsible for sourcing, underwriting and acquiring properties throughout the United States. He has been involved in real estate transactions with a combined enterprise value of approximately \$1.7 billion over the course of his career. He has deep relationships with real estate operators, lenders and brokers. Mr. Tylee held both the vice president of acquisitions and

Table of Contents

president roles for Bosa Properties Inc. from May 2008 to October 2012, a prominent real estate development company based in Vancouver, Canada, with over 400 employees. As president, Mr. Tylee was involved in all aspects of Bosa's decision-making with a primary responsibility for growing the business through new acquisitions. Mr. Tylee received a bachelor's degree in accounting from Brock University and is a chartered accountant. Mr. Tylee brings to our board of directors accounting and finance skills as well as over 20 years of diverse real estate experience that includes acquisitions of various types of income-producing property and high-rise development.

Anthony Maretic

Mr. Maretic is our chief financial officer, secretary and treasurer. He has over 15 years of experience in senior financial and operational roles, of which 10 years were spent within the real estate industry. Prior to joining the Second City Group in May of 2013, Mr. Maretic served as the chief operating officer and chief financial officer of Earls Restaurants Ltd., one of North America's premier privately held restaurant companies from 2006 to March of 2013. Mr. Maretic's experience in the real estate industry includes his role as the chief financial officer for Wilkinson Good Neighbor Communities REIT, a \$230 million portfolio of U.S.-based senior living facilities, where he served from December 2005 to October 2006. Mr. Maretic has also held several financial management positions with Bentall Capital Limited Partnership, one of Canada's premier institutional real estate advisory companies, and its predecessor, Bentall Corporation, which was a \$2 billion public real estate company listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange. Mr. Maretic is a chartered accountant and holds a bachelor's degree in commerce and business administration from the University of British Columbia.

Samuel Belzberg

Mr. Belzberg is a member of our board of directors. Mr. Belzberg has been the chairman of Second City Capital Partners, a multi-fund private equity firm that invests in real estate and conventional private equity, since 2004 and is the president of Gibralt Capital Corp., Mr. Belzberg's family office holding company since 1995. Mr. Belzberg founded First City Financial in the 1970s, built the company into a multi-billion dollar financial services organization with offices located across North America and Europe and founded a real estate company in the 1990s which at its peak operated 26 real estate projects throughout the United States and was ultimately sold to the Blackstone Group. In addition, Mr. Belzberg has been active in various real estate markets in the United States. Mr. Belzberg serves on various boards of directors of several private companies and is involved with numerous charities. Mr. Belzberg has a bachelor's degree in commerce and business administration from the University of Alberta. Mr. Belzberg brings to our board of directors extensive executive and acquisition experience in the office real estate industry gained over 48 years of managing, leasing, acquiring and financing office buildings.

William Flatt

Mr. Flatt is a member of our board of directors. He has served as executive vice president and chief operating officer of Telos Group LLC since April 2013, an office landlord representation and marketing firm in Chicago with nearly 12 million square feet under representation. He is a founder and principal with Oxbow Ventures, LLC, a private equity venture capital and advisory firm that was formed in February 2012. From 1996 to 2011, Mr. Flatt worked for Parkway Properties, Inc., a NYSE listed REIT specializing in office properties. He began his career at Parkway as a property manager and leasing agent and quickly advanced to the position of asset manager. He also served as vice president of investor relations. From 2005 to 2011, he served as executive vice president in the positions of chief financial officer as well as secretary and later chief operating officer. He serves on the board of trustees of Millsaps College, where he chairs the facilities and environment committee and is part of the committee that oversees the endowment. Mr. Flatt has served as an adjunct professor in economics at Millsaps College and as a guest lecturer at the University of Texas McCombs School of Business. From 1998 to 2001, he served on the board of directors of The

People's Bank, a community bank in Jackson, Mississippi. In 2011, Mr. Flatt was appointed by Governor Haley Barbour of Mississippi to a commission studying the state's public employee pension system. He has served as vice chairman of the Mississippi Council on Economic Education and is a current member of the Realty Club of Chicago. Mr. Flatt brings to the board extensive executive and acquisition experience in the office real estate industry gained over 18 years of managing, leasing, acquiring and financing office buildings. Mr. Flatt has a bachelor of arts in economics from Millsaps College and a masters in business administration from University of Chicago Booth School of Business.

Table of Contents*John McLernon*

Mr. McLernon is a director and the chairman of our board of directors. He has been president of McLernon Consultants Ltd. since November 2004. From 1977 to 2004, he was chairman and chief executive officer of Macaulay Nicolls Maitland and Co. and its successor, Colliers International, a global real estate services company.

Mr. McLernon started his career with Canadian Pacific Railway Limited in 1964 before joining its property development arm, Marathon Realty Company Limited, in Vancouver. In 1977, he became president and chief executive officer of Macaulay Nicolls Maitland and Co., a Vancouver real estate brokerage company, and in 1985 was instrumental in the employee purchase of the company and the formation of Colliers International. Over the past two decades, Mr. McLernon has guided Colliers International through steady business growth, successfully completing approximately 30 mergers, acquisitions and startups in the Americas, Asia Pacific and Europe.

Mr. McLernon is honorary chair of Colliers International, is chair of A&W Revenue Royalties Income Fund and Village Farms International Inc. and sits on the boards of the Mark Anthony Group, Inc. and Canadian Urban Ltd. He is past chair of British Columbia Railway Company and the British Columbia Lottery Corporation. Mr. McLernon is founding chair of Streethome Foundation, the Vancouver coalition to end homelessness. He also serves as an independent advisor to the investment committee of Second City Capital Partners II, Limited Partnership.

Mr. McLernon brings to the board extensive experience as an executive at a public company, which enables him to make significant contributions to the deliberations of the board of directors, especially in relation to operations, financings and strategic planning. Mr. McLernon has a bachelor of arts from McGill University.

Mark Murski

Mr. Murski is a member of our board of directors. He has been a managing partner with Brookfield Financial Corp., a global investment bank since December 2010, and has over 15 years of investment banking and private equity experience. Mr. Murski was made a partner in Brookfield Financial Corp. in 2006, became a managing partner in December 2010 and assumed the position of chief operating officer in December 2012. Mr. Murski is responsible for originating and executing mergers and acquisitions, debt and equity capital markets transactions and conducts general corporate finance advisory for Brookfield Financial Corp. While at Brookfield Financial Corp., Mr. Murski has worked on numerous public and private mergers and acquisitions transactions, involving real estate clients such as Dundee International REIT, Summit Industrial Income REIT, Realex Properties Corporation, InStorage REIT, Overland Realty Inc., Lone Star, Gazit America Inc. and Atlas Cold Storage. Mr. Murski previously worked in Brookfield Asset Management Inc.'s merchant banking group, prior to which he worked at Ernst & Young LLP. He currently serves on the board of the Greater Toronto Chapter of NAIOP and was a founding director of Trisura Guarantee Insurance Company. Mr. Murski brings to the board extensive executive management experience as well as acquisition and transaction experience with a wide range of real estate clients. Mr. Murski is a chartered accountant, a CFA charterholder and a graduate of the Richard Ivey School of Business.

Stephen Shraiberg

Mr. Shraiberg is a member of our board of directors. He has been the president of Urban Property Management, Inc. since 1971, which is engaged in developing and managing all types of real estate. Mr. Shraiberg is also the major stockholder of Esprit Homes, Ltd., a major Colorado homebuilder since 1989. Mr. Shraiberg has been involved in the development of approximately 20,000 apartment units since 1971. Mr. Shraiberg was a member of the National Association of Housing Management's National Advisory Council and the Governor's Task Force on Housing for the State of Colorado. He is currently on the board of directors of Columbine Capital Corp., a bank holding company. He was also the chairman of the board of directors of the Equitable Bank of Littleton and a director of Equitable Bankshares of Colorado. Mr. Shraiberg was on the board of directors of Guaranty Bank and Trust and its holding company, Centennial Bank Holdings, Inc. Additionally, Mr. Shraiberg has served on the board of trustees of Whittier

College, and has been a member of various other non-profit boards over the past several years, including the Salvation Army and the Latin American Educational Foundation. From 2004 until 2006, Mr. Shraiberg was an independent advisor to Second City Capital I, Limited Partnership. Mr. Shraiberg is a limited partner in Second City Capital Partners II, Limited Partnership and a member of such limited partnership's LP advisory committee. Mr. Shraiberg brings to the board experience as an executive at a public company, which enables him to make significant contributions to the deliberations of the board of directors, especially in relation to operations, financings and strategic planning. Mr. Shraiberg holds a bachelor's degree in finance from the University of Colorado.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance Profile

We have structured our corporate governance in a manner that we believe closely aligns our interests with those of our stockholders. Notable features of our corporate governance structure include the following:

our board of directors is not staggered; therefore, each of our directors is subject to re-election annually;

of the six persons who serve on our board of directors, our board of directors has determined that four, or 66.7%, satisfy the listing standards for independence of the NYSE and Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act;

at least three of our directors qualify as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC;

we have opted out of the control share acquisition provisions of the MGCL and have exempted from the business combination provisions of the MGCL any business combination between us and any other person that is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of directors who are not affiliates or associates of such person); and

we do not have a stockholder rights plan.

Our directors will stay informed about our business by attending meetings of our directors and its committees and through supplemental reports and communications. Our independent directors meet regularly in executive session without the presence of our corporate officers or non-independent directors.

Board of Directors

Composition of Our Board of Directors

Our business and affairs are managed under the direction of our board of directors. Our board of directors consists of six directors, a majority of whom are independent, as required by the applicable rules of the NYSE. The directors have discretion to increase or decrease the size of the board of directors. Our board of directors has determined that William Flatt, John McLernon, Mark Murski and Stephen Shraiberg are independent as defined under the rules of the NYSE.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board of directors has three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. The members of each committee are appointed by the board of directors and will serve until their successors are elected and qualified, unless they resign or are removed earlier. Each of the committees reports to the board of directors as it deems appropriate and as the board may request. The composition, duties and responsibilities of these committees are set forth below. In the future, our board of directors may establish other committees, as it deems appropriate, to assist it with its responsibilities.

Audit Committee

Our audit committee consists of William Flatt, Mark Murski and John McLernon, and William Flatt serves as the chair of the audit committee. Our board of directors has determined that each of these members is financially literate as that term is defined by the NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

Our audit committee, among other matters, oversees (1) our financial reporting, auditing and internal control activities; (2) the integrity and audits of our financial statements; (3) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (4) the qualifications and independence of our independent auditors; (5) the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors; and (6) our overall risk exposure and management. Our audit committee also has the following duties to:

annually review and assess the adequacy of the audit committee charter and the performance of the audit committee;

be responsible for the appointment, retention and termination of our independent auditors and determine the compensation of our independent auditors;

Table of Contents

review the plans and results of the audit engagement with the independent auditors;

evaluate the qualifications, performance and independence of our independent auditors;

have sole authority to approve in advance all audit and non-audit services by our independent auditors, the scope and terms thereof and the fees therefor;

review the adequacy of our internal accounting controls;

meet at least quarterly with our executive officers, internal audit staff and our independent auditors in separate executive sessions; and

prepare the audit committee report required by the SEC regulations to be included in our annual proxy statement.

The audit committee has the power to investigate any matter brought to its attention within the scope of its duties and to retain counsel for this purpose where appropriate. The board of directors has determined that each member of the audit committee qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as such term is defined by the applicable SEC regulations and NYSE corporate governance listing standards. The designation does not impose on them any duties, obligations or liabilities that are greater than those generally imposed on members of our audit committee and our board of directors. Our board of directors adopted a written charter for the audit committee, which is available on our corporate website. The information on our website is not part of, and is not incorporated into, this prospectus or the registration statement of which it forms a part.

Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee consists of Mark Murski, Stephen Shraiberg and William Flatt, and Mark Murski serves as the chair of the compensation committee. Our compensation committee is composed only of directors who are independent in compliance with NYSE rules.

The compensation committee has the sole authority to retain, and terminate, any compensation consultant to assist in the evaluation of employee compensation and to approve the consultant's fees and the other terms and conditions of the consultant's retention. The compensation committee's responsibilities include, among other matters:

reviewing and approving on an annual basis the corporate goals and objectives relevant to our chief executive officer's compensation, if any, evaluating our chief executive officer's performance in light of such goals and objectives and determining and approving the remuneration of our chief executive officer based on such evaluation;

reviewing and approving the compensation, if any, of all of our other officers;

reviewing our executive compensation policies and plans;

evaluating the performance of our officers;

evaluating the performance of our Advisor;

overseeing plans and programs related to the compensation of our Advisor, including fees payable to our Advisor pursuant to the Advisory Agreement;

administering the Equity Incentive Plan and the issuance of any common stock or other equity awards granted to personnel of our Advisor or its affiliates who provide services to us;

preparing compensation committee reports;

assisting management in complying with our proxy statement and annual report disclosure requirements;

discussing with management the compensation discussion and analysis required by the SEC regulations; and

preparing a report on executive compensation to be included in our annual proxy statement.

Table of Contents

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Our nominating and corporate governance committee consists of Stephen Shraiberg, Mark Murski and John McLernon, and Stephen Shraiberg serves as the chair of the nominating and corporate governance committee. Our nominating and corporate governance committee is composed only of directors who are independent in compliance with SEC rules. The nominating and corporate governance committee's principal duties include the following:

identify individuals qualified to become members of our board of directors and ensure that our board of directors has the requisite expertise and its membership consists of persons with sufficiently diverse and independent backgrounds;

develop, and recommend to our board of directors for its approval, qualifications for director candidates and periodically review these qualifications with our board of directors;

review the committee structure of our board of directors and recommend directors to serve as members or chairs of each committee of our board of directors;

review and recommend committee slates annually and recommend additional committee members to fill vacancies as needed;

develop and recommend to our board of directors a set of corporate governance guidelines applicable to us and, at least annually, review such guidelines and recommend changes to our board of directors for approval as necessary; and

oversee the annual self-evaluations of our board of directors and management.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of the compensation committee was at any time after the date of our formation, or currently is, an officer or employee of our company, and no member of the compensation committee had any relationship with us requiring disclosure under Item 404 of SEC Regulation S-K. None of our executive officers serves, or in the past has served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee, or other committee serving an equivalent function, of any entity that has one or more executive officers who serve as members of our board of directors or our compensation committee.

Role of Our Board of Directors in Risk Oversight

One of the key functions of our board of directors is informed oversight of our risk management process. Our board of directors administers this oversight function directly, with support from the three standing committees, our audit committee, our compensation committee and our nominating and corporate governance committee, each of which addresses risks specific to its respective areas of oversight. In particular, our audit committee has the responsibility to consider and discuss our major financial risk exposures and the steps our management takes to monitor and control

these exposures, including guidelines and policies to govern the process by which risk assessment and management is undertaken. Our audit committee also monitors compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, in addition to oversight of the performance of our internal audit function. Our compensation committee assesses and monitors whether any of our compensation policies and programs have the potential to encourage excessive risk-taking. Our nominating and corporate governance committee provides oversight with respect to corporate governance and ethical conduct and monitors the effectiveness of our corporate governance guidelines, including whether such guidelines are successful in preventing illegal or improper liability-creating conduct. All committees report to the full board as appropriate, including when a matter rises to the level of a material or enterprise level risk. In addition, the board of directors receives detailed regular reports from members of our senior management and other personnel that include assessments and potential mitigation of the risks and exposures involved with their respective areas of responsibility.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Our board of directors adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that establishes the standards of ethical conduct applicable to all of our directors, officers, employees, our Advisor and its employees who provide services to us, consultants and contractors. The code of ethics addresses, among other things, competition and fair dealing, conflicts of interest, financial

Table of Contents

matters and external reporting, compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations, company funds and assets, confidentiality and corporate opportunity requirements and the process for reporting violations of the code of ethics, employee misconduct, conflicts of interest or other violations. Any waiver of our code of ethics with respect to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, chief operating officer, controller or persons performing similar functions may only be authorized by our nominating and corporate governance committee and will be promptly disclosed as required by law and NYSE regulations and posted on our website. Amendments to the code must be approved by our board of directors and will be promptly disclosed and posted on our website (other than technical, administrative or non-substantive changes). Our code of ethics is publicly available on our website at www.cityofficereit.com and in print to any stockholder who requests a copy. The information on, or accessible through, our website is not part of, and is not incorporated into, this prospectus or the registration statement of which it forms a part.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our board of directors adopted corporate governance guidelines that serve as a flexible framework within which our board of directors and its committees will operate. These guidelines cover a number of areas including the size and composition of our board of directors, board membership criteria and director qualifications, director responsibilities, board agenda, roles of the chairman of the board and chief executive officer, meetings of independent directors, committee responsibilities and assignments, board member access to management and independent advisors, director communications with third parties, director compensation, director orientation and continuing education, evaluation of senior management and management succession planning. Our nominating and corporate governance committee will review our corporate governance guidelines at least once a year and, if necessary, recommend changes to our board of directors. Additionally, our board of directors adopted independence standards as part of our corporate governance guidelines. A copy of our corporate governance guidelines is posted on our website at www.cityofficereit.com. Information on, or accessible through, our website is not part of, and is not incorporated into, this prospectus or the registration statement of which it forms a part.

Limitations on Liabilities and Indemnification of Directors and Officers

For information concerning limitations of liability and indemnification applicable to our directors and officers, see Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Limitation of Liability and Indemnification of Directors and Officers.

Executive and Director Compensation

For a discussion of our director compensation arrangements, see Executive and Director Compensation Director Compensation.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

None of our executive officers receive direct cash compensation from us. We do not currently have any employees and do not expect to have any employees in the foreseeable future. The services necessary for the operation of our business are provided to us by our officers and other employees of our Advisor or Administrator pursuant to the terms of the Advisory Agreement and we pay fees for such services. Because our executive officers are employees of our Advisor, we do not have employment agreements or change in control agreements with any of our executive officers, nor do we offer any cash compensation, pension benefits, non-qualified deferred compensation benefits or termination or change in control payments (other than automatic vesting acceleration under our Equity Incentive Plan as described below under **Equity Incentive Plan Change in Control**). At the completion of our initial public offering, pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, we issued 66,051 restricted stock units to Mr. Farrar, 66,051 restricted stock units to Mr. Tylee, 17,614 restricted stock units to Mr. Maretic and 202,555 restricted stock units to Mr. Belzberg. The units vest ratably over three years beginning on the first anniversary of the completion of our initial public offering, generally subject to such person's continued service with the Advisor (but vest in full upon an involuntary termination without cause). The restricted stock units carry the right to receive dividends (through a related grant of dividend equivalent rights), which will be reinvested in shares of our common stock and delivered to the applicable executive upon, and subject to, satisfaction of the vesting criteria applicable to the related restricted stock units. In connection with the declaration of a dividend of \$0.183 per share on May 12, 2014 and a dividend of \$0.235 per share on September 12, 2014, Mr. Farrar was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units, Mr. Tylee was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units, Mr. Maretic was granted an additional 566 restricted stock units and Mr. Belzberg was granted an additional 6,513 restricted stock units in satisfaction of their dividend equivalent rights granted under our Equity Incentive Plan. These additional restricted stock units vest in accordance with the same vesting schedule, and upon the same conditions, as the underlying restricted stock units as to which the dividend equivalent rights were granted with respect to (generally vesting on the first three annual anniversaries of our initial public offering). On August 12, 2014, our board of directors approved our independent director share grant program. Pursuant to this program, each independent director is to be granted a number of restricted stock units, up to 1,500, equal to the number of shares of our common stock that such director purchases on the open market. The matching restricted stock units vest ratably over three years and carry the right to receive dividends (through a related grant of dividend equivalent rights), which will be reinvested in shares of our common stock and delivered to the applicable executive upon, and subject to, satisfaction of the vesting criteria applicable to the related restricted stock units. Pursuant to this program, each of Mr. McLernon, Mr. Flatt and Mr. Shraiberg has been granted 1,500 restricted stock units.

Equity Incentive Plan

Although we do not provide cash compensation to our executive officers, we have adopted our equity incentive plan (the **Equity Incentive Plan**) in order to provide a mechanism to align the interests of our directors and executive officers and our Advisor with the interests of our stockholders. The Equity Incentive Plan also provides a mechanism for us to provide additional compensation to attract and retain qualified directors, officers, advisors, and, in the event we do hire employees, employees, although we have no current plans to do so. The material terms of the Equity Incentive Plan are summarized below.

Plan Administration

The Equity Incentive Plan is administered by the compensation committee of our board of directors (the **plan administrator**). The plan administrator has the full authority to administer and interpret the Equity Incentive Plan; to authorize the granting of awards; to determine the eligibility of individuals to receive awards under the Equity Incentive Plan; to determine the number of shares of common stock to be covered by each award (subject to the individual participant limitations provided in the Equity Incentive Plan); to determine the terms, provisions and

conditions of each award (which may not be inconsistent with the terms of the Equity Incentive Plan); to prescribe the form of agreement evidencing awards; and to take any other actions and make all other determinations that it deems necessary or appropriate in connection with the Equity Incentive Plan or the administration or interpretation thereof. In connection with this authority, the plan administrator may, among other things, establish performance goals that must be met in order for awards to be granted or to vest, or for the

Table of Contents

restrictions on any such awards to lapse. The committee administering the Equity Incentive Plan will consist of directors, each of whom is intended to be, to the extent required by Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act, a non-employee director and will, at such times as we are subject to Section 162(m) of the Code, qualify as an outside director for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code.

Available Shares

The Equity Incentive Plan provides for grants of restricted common stock, restricted stock units, phantom shares, stock options, dividend equivalent rights (DERs) and other equity-based awards (including LTIP Units), subject to the total number of shares available for issuance under the plan. The maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the Equity Incentive Plan is 1,263,580 shares, the maximum number of shares that may underlie awards of options granted in any one year to any eligible person may not exceed 150,000 shares and the maximum number of shares that may underlie awards other than options granted in any one year to an eligible person may not exceed 150,000 shares, in all cases subject to adjustment in the event of specified changes in our capitalization, including share splits and share dividends. The grant of an LTIP Unit shall count against the aggregate and individual limits on a one-for-one basis, treating each LTIP Unit covered by an award as one share of common stock for these purposes. To the extent an award granted under the Equity Incentive Plan expires or terminates, the shares subject to any portion of the award that expires or terminates without having been exercised or paid, as the case may be, will again become available for the issuance of additional awards. In addition, if any phantom shares are paid out in cash, the underlying shares may again be made the subject of grants under the Equity Incentive Plan. Unless previously terminated by our board of directors, no new award may be granted under the Equity Incentive Plan on or after the tenth anniversary of the date that such plan was initially approved by our board of directors.

Eligibility

The plan administrator selects, from the eligible individuals, those individuals who receive awards under the Equity Incentive Plan. Individuals eligible for awards are our officers, directors, advisors and personnel, and, with approval of our board of directors, those of our subsidiaries, our Advisor and their respective affiliates. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an individual is an eligible person only if shares of our common stock issued under awards to that person are eligible for registration with the SEC on a registration statement on Form S-8.

Awards under the Equity Incentive Plan

Restricted Shares of Common Stock. A restricted stock award is an award of shares of common stock that is subject to restrictions on transferability and such other restrictions, if any, that the plan administrator may impose at the date of grant. Grants of restricted shares of common stock may be subject to vesting schedules as determined by the plan administrator. The restrictions may lapse separately or in combination at such times and under such circumstances, including, without limitation, a specified period of employment or the satisfaction of pre-established criteria, in such installments or otherwise, as the plan administrator may determine. Except to the extent restricted under the award agreement relating to the restricted shares of common stock, a participant granted restricted shares of common stock has all of the rights of a stockholder, including, without limitation, the right to vote and the right to receive dividends on the restricted shares of common stock, although dividends paid with respect to unvested restricted shares of common stock may be subject to satisfaction of the vesting criteria of the underlying shares, and, in the case of dividends paid with respect to unvested restricted shares that do not vest solely upon satisfaction of continued employment or service, such dividends will be held by us and paid when, and only to the extent that, the underlying shares vest.

Restricted Stock Units. A restricted stock unit award represents the right to receive shares of our common stock in the future, after the applicable vesting criteria, determined by the plan administrator, has been satisfied. The holder of an award of restricted stock units has no rights as a stockholder until shares of our common stock are issued in settlement of vested restricted stock units. Our plan administrator may provide for a grant of DERs in connection with the grant of restricted stock units; provided, however, that if the restricted stock units do not vest solely upon satisfaction of continued employment or service, any payment in respect to the related DERs will be held by us and paid when, and only to the extent that, the related restricted stock units vest.

Table of Contents

Phantom Shares. A phantom share represents a right to receive the fair value of a share of common stock, or, if provided by the plan administrator, the right to receive the fair value of a share of common stock in excess of a base value established by the plan administrator at the time of grant. Phantom shares will vest as determined by the plan administrator and specified in the applicable award agreement and may generally be settled in cash or by transfer of shares of common stock (as may be elected by the plan administrator, or, if permitted by the plan administrator, by the participant). As determined by the plan administrator, the holders of awards of phantom shares may be entitled to receive dividend equivalents, which shall be payable at such time that dividends are paid on outstanding shares; provided, however, that if the phantom shares do not vest solely upon satisfaction of continued employment or service, dividend equivalent payments in respect of unvested phantom shares will be held by us and paid when, and only to the extent that, the related phantom shares vest.

Stock Options. A stock option award is an award of the right to purchase a specified number of shares of common stock at a fixed exercise price determined on the date of grant during a period of not more than ten years. Stock option awards may either be incentive or non-qualified stock options, provided that incentive stock options may only be granted to employees. The exercise price of stock options must equal at least the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant; provided, however, that an incentive stock option held by a participant who owns more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock, or of certain of our subsidiary corporations, may not have a term in excess of five years and must have an exercise price of at least 110% of the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. The plan administrator will determine the methods of payment of the exercise price of an option, which may include certified or bank cashier's check, shares of our common stock owned by the participant, cancellation of indebtedness owed by us to the participant, by certain loans or extensions of credit to the extent permitted by applicable law, or a combination of the foregoing or another method of payment acceptable to the plan administrator. Subject to the provisions of the Equity Incentive Plan, the plan administrator determines the remaining terms of the options (e.g., vesting). After the termination of service, the participant may exercise his or her option, to the extent vested as of such date of termination, for the period of time stated in his or her option agreement. If termination is due to death or disability, the option, to the extent vested, generally will remain exercisable for 12 months. In all other cases, the option generally will remain exercisable for three months following the termination of service. However, in no event may an option be exercised later than the expiration of its term. A participant shall have no rights as a stockholder until the participant exercises the option and a stock certificate is issued to the participant.

Other Share-Based Awards. The Equity Incentive Plan authorizes the granting of other awards based upon shares of our common stock (including the grant of securities convertible into shares of common stock and share appreciation rights), subject to terms and conditions established at the time of grant by the plan administrator. The Equity Incentive Plan also permits the grant of operating partnership long-term incentive plan units (LTIP Units). LTIP Units are a special class of units in our operating partnership. Each LTIP Unit awarded by the plan administrator will be equivalent to an award of one share, reducing the number of shares available for issuance under the Equity Incentive Plan on a one-for-one basis. In addition to the provisions of the Equity Incentive Plan, LTIP Units shall be subject to the provisions of our partnership agreement.

Performance Awards. The plan administrator may, in its discretion, grant awards intended to qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code or to qualify for an exemption from the application of Section 162(m) of the Code. Such performance-based awards will result in a payment to a participant only if performance goals established by the plan administrator are achieved, as determined by the plan administrator, and any other applicable vesting provisions are satisfied. The plan administrator will establish performance goals in its discretion, which, depending on the extent to which they are met, will determine the number and/or the value of shares of common stock to be paid out to participants. For purposes of such awards, the performance goals may be one or more of the following, as determined by the plan administrator: pre-tax income, after-tax income, net income,

operating income, cash flow, earnings per share, return on equity, return on invested capital or assets, cash and/or funds available for distribution, appreciation in the fair market value of our common stock, return on investment, total return to stockholders, net earnings growth, stock appreciation, return ratios, increase in revenues, published rankings against peer companies, net earnings, changes in the per share or aggregate market price of our common stock, number of securities sold, earnings before any one or more of the following: taxes or depreciation or amortization, or total revenue growth. For all purposes in connection with the grant and administration of awards intended to qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code, the plan administrator shall either be the compensation committee or another committee complying with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code.

Table of Contents

Dividend Equivalent Rights. The plan administrator may, in its discretion, grant awards of DERs. DERs provide the participant with the right to receive a payment, in cash or shares as determined by the plan administrator, determined in reference to dividends paid on our common stock. DERs typically are granted in connection with the grant of another type of award under the Equity Incentive Plan, such as restricted stock units, although this is not required. DERs granted with respect to awards that do not vest solely upon satisfaction of continued employment or service shall entitle the participant to receive a payment only as, and only to the extent that, the related award vests.

Change in Control

Under the Equity Incentive Plan, a change in control is generally defined as the occurrence of any of the following events: (i) the acquisition of more than 50% of (a) our voting shares or (b) all of our shares, by any person; (ii) the sale or disposition of all or substantially all of our assets; (iii) a merger, consolidation or statutory share exchange where our stockholders immediately prior to such event hold less than 50% of the voting power of the surviving or resulting entity; (iv) during any 12-calendar-month period, our directors, including subsequent directors recommended or approved by our directors, at the beginning of such period cease for any reason to constitute a majority of our board of directors; or (v) our liquidation or dissolution.

Upon a change in control, the plan administrator may make such adjustments to the Equity Incentive Plan and outstanding awards as it, in its discretion, determines are necessary or appropriate in light of the change in control. In addition, upon a change in control, all awards granted under the Equity Incentive Plan shall vest in full, with stock options being exercisable as to all of the covered shares of common stock and all vesting criteria applicable to other awards treated as having been fully satisfied immediately prior to, but contingent on, the change in control.

Amendments and Termination

Our board of directors may amend, alter or discontinue the Equity Incentive Plan but cannot take any action that would impair the rights of a participant with respect to grants previously made without such participant's consent. However, no amendment will be effective without the approval of our stockholders if the amendment (i) increases the number of shares that may be issued under the Equity Incentive Plan (other than an increase to reflect changes in capitalization as provided in the Equity Incentive Plan), (ii) change the class of eligible persons who may participate under the Equity Incentive Plan, (iii) reprices a stock option or other award or (iv) requires stockholder approval in order to comply with applicable law or the requirements of an applicable stock exchange.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following is a very general description of some of the basic U.S. federal income tax principles that apply to awards under the Equity Incentive Plan. The grant of an option will create no tax consequences for the participant or the company. A participant will have no taxable income upon exercise of an incentive stock option, except that the alternative minimum tax may apply. Upon exercise of a non-qualified option, a participant generally must recognize ordinary income equal to the fair market value of the shares acquired minus the exercise price. Upon a disposition of shares acquired by exercise of an incentive stock option before the end of the applicable incentive stock option holding periods, the participant generally must recognize ordinary income equal to the lesser of (1) the fair market value of the shares at the date of exercise minus the exercise price or (2) the amount realized upon the disposition of the option shares minus the exercise price. Otherwise, a participant's disposition of shares acquired upon the exercise of an option generally will result in capital gain or loss. Other awards under the Equity Incentive Plan, including restricted stock and phantom shares generally but excluding LTIP Units, will result in ordinary income to the participant at the later of the time of delivery of cash or shares, or the time that either the risk of forfeiture or restriction on transferability lapses on previously delivered shares or other property. LTIP Units are taxed under

partnership taxation rules, and the recipient generally will have no tax consequences until distributions are made with respect to the LTIP Units. Except as discussed below, we generally will be entitled to a tax deduction equal to the amount recognized as ordinary income by the participant in connection with an award, but will be entitled to no tax deduction relating to amounts that represent a capital gain to a participant. Thus, we will not be entitled to any tax deduction with respect to an incentive stock option if the participant holds the shares for the incentive stock option holding periods.

Table of Contents

Please note, the foregoing is general tax discussion and different tax rules may apply to specific participants and transactions under the Equity Incentive Plan.

Tax Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Code

Section 162(m) of the Code limits the deduction that a public corporation may claim for compensation paid to its chief executive officer and its three other highest paid executive officers (other than its chief financial officer). The compensation deduction that may be claimed on account of amounts paid to each of those executive officers is limited to \$1 million per year. Compensation that qualifies as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code is not subject to the deduction limit.

A transition rule under Section 162(m) of the Code applies to compensation paid by the Company under an agreement or plan that was in effect at the time of the Company's initial public offering; provided that the prospectus for the offering disclosed the terms of the agreement or plan in accordance with the requirements of applicable securities law. The transition rule provides that compensation paid under such agreements before the end of a specified reliance period is not subject to the Section 162(m) deduction limit. Similarly, compensation paid pursuant to awards of restricted shares of common stock, options and certain phantom shares granted under a plan, like the Equity Incentive Plan, before the end of the specified reliance period is not subject to the Section 162(m) deduction limit. The reliance period for the Company under the transition rule will generally expire on the date of the 2018 annual meeting of the Company's stockholders. The Company should be entitled to rely on the relief provided under the transition rule so that Section 162(m) will not apply to compensation paid or awards granted under the Equity Incentive Plan before the end of the reliance period.

With respect to compensation that is not exempt from the deduction limit under this transition rule, our compensation committee generally will seek to preserve the federal income tax deductibility of compensation paid to our named executive officers and thus may design compensation awards and incentives so that they qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code. However, in order to maintain flexibility in compensating our named executive officers in a manner designed to promote our corporate goals, including retaining and providing incentives to our named executive officers, our compensation committee has not adopted a policy that all compensation must be deductible.

Section 409A of the Code

Section 409A of the Code requires that nonqualified deferred compensation be deferred and paid under plans or arrangements that satisfy the requirements of the statute with respect to the timing of deferral elections, timing of payments and certain other matters. Failure to satisfy these requirements can expose employees and other service providers to accelerated income tax liabilities, penalty taxes and interest on their vested compensation under such plans. Accordingly, as a general matter, it is our intention to design and administer our compensation arrangements so that they are either exempt from, or satisfy the requirements of, Section 409A of the Code.

Section 280G of the Code

Section 280G of the Code disallows a tax deduction with respect to excess parachute payments to certain executives of companies which undergo a change in control. In addition, Section 4999 of the Code imposes a 20% penalty on the individual receiving the excess payment.

Parachute payments are compensation that is linked to or triggered by a change in control and may include, but are not limited to, bonus payments, severance payments, certain fringe benefits and payments and acceleration of vesting from long-term incentive plans including stock options and other equity-based compensation. Excess parachute payments are parachute payments that exceed a threshold determined under Section 280G of the Code based on the executive's prior compensation. In approving the compensation arrangements for our named executive officers in the future, our compensation committee will consider all elements of the cost to our company of providing such compensation, including the potential

Table of Contents

impact of Section 280G of the Code. However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation arrangements that could give rise to loss of deductibility under Section 280G of the Code and the imposition of excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Code when it believes that such arrangements are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Accounting Standards

Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (ASC Topic 718) requires us to recognize an expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units under our equity incentive award plans will be accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs. As accounting standards change, we may revise certain programs to appropriately align accounting expenses of our equity awards with our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives.

Director Compensation

We have approved and implemented a compensation program for our non-employee directors that consists of annual retainer fees and long-term equity awards. As compensation for serving on our board of directors, each director who is not employed by our Advisor receives an annual base fee for his or her services of \$30,000. In addition, each non-employee director receives a meeting fee of \$1,000 for attending each board meeting, committee meeting or telephonic meeting to approve investments. The chairman of the board of directors receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$15,000, and the chairs of the audit committee, the compensation committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee receive an additional annual cash retainer of \$5,000.

We also reimburse our non-employee directors for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the performance of their duties as directors, including, without limitation, travel expenses in connection with their attendance in-person at board of directors and committee meetings.

On August 12, 2014, our board of directors approved our independent director share grant program. Pursuant to this program, each independent director is to be granted a number of restricted stock units, up to 1,500, equal to the number of shares of our common stock that such director purchases on the open market. The matching restricted stock units vest ratably over three years and carry the right to receive dividends (through a related grant of dividend equivalent rights), which will be reinvested in shares of our common stock and delivered to the applicable executive upon, and subject to, satisfaction of the vesting criteria applicable to the related restricted stock units. Pursuant to this program, each of Mr. McLernon, Mr. Flatt and Mr. Shraiberg has been granted 1,500 restricted stock units.

Directors who are employees or a partner of our Advisor do not receive any compensation from us for their services as directors.

We do not have, and we do not currently intend to adopt, any plans or programs for our directors that provide for pension benefits or the deferral of compensation.

Table of Contents

OUR ADVISOR AND THE ADVISORY AGREEMENT

Our Advisor

We are externally managed by our Advisor according to the terms set out in the Advisory Agreement. James Farrar (our chief executive officer) serves as vice president of our Advisor, Gregory Tylee (our chief operating officer and president) serves as vice president of our Advisor, Anthony Maretic (our chief financial officer, secretary and treasurer) serves as treasurer of our Advisor and Samuel Belzberg (a member of our board of directors) serves as president of our Advisor. These principals of our Advisor control the general partner of Second City. Second City began its investment activities in the spring of 2010 and was founded by James Farrar, Gregory Tylee and Gibralt, a corporation indirectly owned by Samuel Belzberg. Mr. Belzberg founded First City Financial in the 1970s, built the company into a multi-billion dollar financial services organization with offices located across North America and Europe and founded a real estate company in the 1990s which at its peak operated 26 real estate projects throughout the United States and was ultimately sold to the Blackstone Group. In addition, Mr. Belzberg has been active in various real estate markets in the United States. Since its launch, Second City has obtained commitments for equity capital of over \$150 million from institutional investors and high net worth individuals and has acquired real estate assets with a cost of over \$580 million across a variety of asset classes in the United States. Second City owns seven other office complexes totaling approximately 1.9 million square feet in Arizona, Florida, New York and Texas. Second City also separately owns approximately 3,000 apartment units in Texas and New York and 330 acres of land held for future development in California and Texas. We believe Second City's acquisition and investment activities of non-competitive properties in many of our target markets provide us with ready access to local operators and acquisition opportunities.

We will not acquire any additional properties from the Second City Group or its affiliates without stockholder approval.

Upon completion of this offering, the principals of our Advisor, through the ownership of our common units and shares of our common stock, beneficially own an approximately 14.2% interest in our company on a fully diluted basis, which we believe aligns their interests with those of our stockholders.

Advisory Agreement

In connection with our initial public offering, we and our operating partnership entered into the Advisory Agreement with our Advisor pursuant to which our Advisor provides management and advisory services to us. The Advisory Agreement requires our Advisor to manage our business affairs in conformity with policies and investment guidelines that are approved and monitored by our board of directors.

Our Advisor provides us with the following management services (the **Advisory Services**):

Serves as our and our subsidiaries' consultant with respect to the periodic review (no less often than annually) of the investment guidelines and other parameters for the acquisition of properties, financing activities and operations, any modifications to which must be approved by a majority of our independent directors and other policies for approval by our board of directors;

Edgar Filing: City Office REIT, Inc. - Form S-11/A

Advises our board of directors on strategic matters, including potential acquisitions, dispositions, financings and development;

Advises and assists with borrowing, issuances of securities and other capital raising requirements, including assistance in dealings with banks and other lenders, investment dealers and investors;

Identifies, evaluates, recommends and assists in the structuring of acquisitions, dispositions, financings and other transactions;

Develops and implements business plans and annual budgets and monitors our financial performance;

Advises with respect to investor relations strategies and activities;

Advises with respect to regulatory compliance requirements, risk management policies and any litigation matters;

Provides guidance to the managers of the properties in our portfolio on operating expenses, lease negotiation terms and capital expenditures;

Table of Contents

Makes recommendations with respect to the payment of distributions, including dividends;

Supervises our compliance with the REIT provisions of the Code and our qualification as a REIT, including soliciting required information from stockholders and complying with the applicable provisions of our governing instruments;

Supervises the preparation of all financial statements, financial reports, management discussion and analysis and other financial information;

Reports directly to the audit committee of our board of directors with respect to all financial matters;

Supervises our disclosure policy and review all news releases and other public announcements;

Assists us with our public financial reporting and disclosure-related responsibilities;

Assists us on all strategic and tactical matters as they relate to accounting, budget management, cost benefit analysis, risk management and forecasting needs;

Provides guidance on the development of a financial and operational strategy, and the ongoing development and monitoring of control systems designed to preserve our assets and reporting of accurate financial results;

Provides (i) executive and administrative personnel, office space and office services required in rendering services to us and our subsidiaries, and (ii) to us, at the request of our board of directors, the services of our Advisor's senior management team to act as our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer;

Administers the day-to-day operations and performing, and supervising the performance of, such other administrative functions necessary to our and our subsidiaries' management as may be agreed upon by our Advisor and our board of directors;

Furnishes reports and statistical and economic research to us regarding our and our subsidiaries' activities and services performed for us and our subsidiaries by our Advisor;

Assists us in qualifying to do business in all applicable jurisdictions and to obtain and maintain all appropriate licenses;

With respect to prospective purchases, sales or exchanges of properties, conducts negotiations on our and our subsidiaries behalf with sellers, purchasers and brokers and, if applicable, their respective agents and representatives;

Causes us to retain qualified accountants and legal counsel, as applicable, to assist in developing appropriate accounting procedures and systems, internal controls and other compliance procedures and testing systems with respect to financial reporting obligations;

Evaluates and recommends to our board of directors hedging strategies and engage in hedging activities on our behalf, consistent with our qualification as a REIT and our investment guidelines;

Assists us in taking all necessary action to enable us to make required tax filings and reports, including soliciting information from stockholders to the extent required by the Code applicable to REITs;

Handles and resolves all claims, disputes or controversies (including all litigation, arbitration, settlement or other proceedings or negotiations) to which we or our subsidiaries may become subject arising out of our or our subsidiaries day-to-day operations (other than with our Advisor), subject to such limitations as may be imposed by our board of directors;

Uses commercially reasonable efforts to cause expenses incurred by us or our subsidiaries (or on our or their behalf) to be commercially reasonable or commercially customary and within any budgeted parameters or guidelines established by our board of directors from time to time;

Uses commercially reasonable efforts to cause us to comply with all applicable laws;

Maintains our website; and

Performs any additional services as may from time to time be agreed to in writing by our Advisor and us for which our Advisor will be compensated on terms to be agreed upon between our Advisor and us prior to the provision of such services.

Table of Contents

During the term of the Advisory Agreement, our Advisor has agreed that it will not, individually or in partnership or jointly or in conjunction with any person, directly or indirectly, (a) create or manage another public entity focused on the ownership of Suitable Properties (defined below), (b) invest in, or finance the purchase of, assets which constitute Suitable Properties and meet our investment criteria, unless they have been first offered to us (on no less favorable terms) and we have declined to purchase such assets or (c) solicit tenants and employees away from us or our facilities, or otherwise interfere with the relationships that we have with such persons, each as further described in Conflicts of Interest.

Suitable Properties means (x) developed commercial real estate properties (i) where at least 85% of the gross leasable area is allocated for office use, (ii) that have leases in place for at least 85% of the gross leasable area of the building and (iii) with leases that have, in the aggregate, a weighted average (based on square footage) of at least three years remaining at the time of acquisition or (y) any undeveloped or unimproved real property that is contiguous to a property owned by us.

Advisory Fee and Acquisition Fee

We pay our Advisor the following fees for providing the Advisory Services:

(i) *Advisory Fee.* A base management fee, calculated and payable in cash in arrears on a monthly basis, equal to 1/12 of the sum of (I) 0.5% multiplied by the value (at the initial public offering price) of common units and common stock that the Second City Group received in connection with our initial public offering and the related formation transactions in exchange for their contributed properties and (II) 1% multiplied by the sum of (i) the net proceeds of our initial public offering less the write off of financing costs related to initial public offering and distributions to City Office Predecessor members for certain transaction costs related to initial public offering plus (ii) the difference, if any, between (A) our stockholders' equity and non-controlling interest in the operating partnership as of the calculation date plus accumulated depreciation as of the calculation date less the accumulated depreciation as of April 22, 2014 and (B) our stockholders' equity and noncontrolling interest in the operating partnership as of April 22, 2014:

1/12 the sum of (0.5% x the Second City Group's common units and common stock x initial public offering price) + (1% x ((net proceeds of our initial public offering - write off of financing costs - distributions to City Office Predecessor members for certain transaction costs related to our initial public offering) + (stockholders' equity and noncontrolling interest in the operating partnership at the end of the applicable month + accumulated depreciation at the end of the applicable month - accumulated depreciation as of April 22, 2014 - stockholders' equity and noncontrolling interest in the operating partnership as of April 22, 2014)))

(ii) *Acquisition Fee.* An acquisition fee equal to 1% of the gross purchase price of each property (other than our initial properties) is due at the closing of each acquisition. Up to one-third of the acquisition fee may be paid in the form of our common stock at the discretion of our board of directors.

Our Advisor uses a portion of the proceeds from its advisory fee to pay our Administrator for services provided pursuant to the Administration Agreement.

Expense Reimbursement

We also reimburse our Advisor for certain reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the Advisory Services (including, for the avoidance of doubt, any transaction costs incurred in connection with property acquisitions or out-of-pocket expenses associated with acquisition investigations, such as environmental site assessments, building inspection reports, independent appraisals and travel costs). We do not reimburse our Advisor

for any compensation paid to or benefits received by our Advisor's personnel, including those serving as our executive officers.

Term and Termination

The Advisory Agreement has an initial four-year term from the completion of our initial public offering on April 22, 2014 and will automatically be renewed for additional one-year terms unless terminated by either us or our Advisor.

Table of Contents

We have the right to terminate the Advisory Agreement without the payment of any fees (other than those accrued to date of termination) as a result of:

- (i) an event of default of our Advisor, including bankruptcy, insolvency, fraud, willful misconduct, gross negligence, misappropriation of funds or material uncured breach (subject to 30 days prior written notice and provided that such breach is not cured within the notice period, or, if not curable within such 30-day period, such longer period as may be necessary provided that our Advisor is diligently pursuing such cure);
- (ii) a Change of Control (as defined below) of our Advisor (other than internal transfers); and
- (iii) the election of the board of directors to internalize, such election being able to be made on the earlier of (i) four years from the date of the completion of our initial public offering and (ii) such time as we have achieved an Internalization Event (as defined below).

We also have the right to terminate the Advisory Agreement, but we must pay the Advisor a fee equal to three times the amount of the advisory fees and acquisition fees for the 12 months preceding the termination up to a maximum of 4% of our equity market capitalization, as a result of a Change of Control of our company, provided that our Advisor is notified of such election to terminate within 90 days of such Change of Control.

Our Advisor has the right to terminate the Advisory Agreement and receive a termination fee equal to three times the amount of the advisory fees and acquisition fees for the 12 months preceding the termination up to a maximum of 4% of our equity market capitalization as a result of:

- (i) an event of default of our company (subject to 60 days prior written notice and provided that such breach is not cured within the notice period or within the subsequent 30-day period); and
- (ii) a Change of Control of our company, provided that our Advisor notifies us of such election to terminate within 90 days of such Change of Control.

Change of Control means the direct or indirect acquisition by any person, or group of persons, acting jointly or in concert, of voting control or direction over more than 50% of the votes attaching, collectively, to outstanding voting shares of us or our Advisor, as applicable.

Internalization Event means our company has achieved a fully diluted equity market capitalization of \$500 million based on the volume-weighted average price of our common stock on the NYSE over 20 consecutive trading days.

Grants of Equity Compensation to Employees of Our Advisor

At the completion of our initial public offering, pursuant to the Advisory Agreement and under our Equity Incentive Plan, we issued 66,051 restricted stock units to Mr. Farrar, 66,051 restricted stock units to Mr. Tylee, 17,614 restricted stock units to Mr. Maretic and 202,555 restricted stock units to Mr. Belzberg. The units vest ratably over three years beginning on the first anniversary of the completion of our initial public offering, generally subject to such person's continued service with the Advisor (but vest in full upon an involuntary termination without cause). The restricted stock units carry the right to receive dividends (through a related grant of dividend equivalent rights), which will be reinvested in shares of our common stock and delivered to the applicable executive upon, and subject to, satisfaction of the vesting criteria applicable to the related restricted stock units. In connection with the declaration of a dividend of \$0.183 per share on May 12, 2014, and a dividend of \$0.235 per share on September 15, 2014, Mr. Farrar was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units, Mr. Tylee was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units,

Mr. Maretic was granted an additional 566 restricted stock units and Mr. Belzberg was granted an additional 6,513 restricted stock units in satisfaction of their dividend equivalent rights granted under our Equity Incentive Plan. These additional restricted stock units vest in accordance with the same vesting schedule, and upon the same conditions, as the underlying restricted stock units as to which the dividend equivalent rights were granted with respect to (generally vesting on the first three annual anniversaries of our initial public offering).

Table of Contents

Future awards will be at the discretion of our compensation committee. For purposes of the foregoing, the number of total shares will be calculated as if all common units, other than common units held by us, are exchanged for shares of our common stock.

Real Estate Operation Services

Real estate operation services required for each of our properties are provided by experienced third-party office real estate operation companies doing business in the location of the property being managed. Fees paid to the local real estate operators are at customary market rates in the location of the property being managed and, in most cases, are included in the operating costs of the office properties to be reimbursed by the tenants.

The real estate operation services of the local real estate operators generally include: communicating with tenants; collecting all rents and other amounts payable by tenants; subject to our prior consent, instituting litigation or other proceedings on behalf and in the name of our company; ensuring compliance with all leases and all contractual, statutory or municipal obligations with respect to the property; paying all operating costs and carrying charges and other expenses relating to the operation of the property; reviewing and managing property taxes and assessments, maintaining proper books and records and communicating with governmental authorities as required; obtaining certificates of insurance from each tenant's insurer and ensuring the maintenance of such insurance; and providing all other services which it is appropriate for a real estate operator to provide.

In some instances, as part of the Second City Group's acquisition strategy, the Second City Group obtained real estate operation services for our properties from independent third parties that retained or acquired a minority interest in the buildings. We continue to implement this strategy and believe that having quality local operators with a meaningful investment in the properties provides a strong alignment of interests and serves to create sources of future acquisition opportunities. In addition, we employ a compensation strategy for property managers and operating partners that provides additional profit participation upon achieving certain objectives.

The management agreements for each of our properties require us to pay management fees of 2% to 3.5% of gross rental revenue, with the exception of the Corporate Parkway property, which is self-managed by its sole tenant. Each of the management agreements sets out duties customarily handled by office real estate operators and includes termination rights for us for cause, or without cause, upon sale of the relevant property to a third party.

Our Administrator

Our Advisor entered into the Administration Agreement with our Administrator, an affiliate of the Second City Group, at the completion of our initial public offering. Pursuant to the Administration Agreement, our Advisor has access to the Second City Group's employees, infrastructure, business relationships, management expertise, information technologies, capital raising capabilities, legal and compliance functions and accounting, treasury and investor relations capabilities to enable our Advisor to fulfill its responsibilities under the Advisory Agreement. The Administration Agreement will terminate upon termination of the Advisory Agreement.

Table of Contents

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

We are subject to conflicts of interest relating to our Advisor because, among other things:

The Advisory Agreement was not negotiated at arm's-length and may not be on terms as favorable as we could have negotiated with a third party. Pursuant to the Advisory Agreement, our Advisor is obligated to supply us with substantially all of our senior management team;

Each of our executive officers and non-independent directors is also an owner of our Advisor. These individuals have interests in our relationships with our Advisor that are different than the interests of our stockholders. In particular, these individuals will have a direct interest in the financial success of our Advisor, which may encourage these individuals to support strategies that impact us based upon these considerations. As a result of these relationships, these persons have a conflict of interest with respect to our agreements and arrangements with our Advisor, which were not negotiated at arm's-length, and the terms of such agreements and arrangements may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party. In order to minimize any potential conflict of interest, however, (a) the Advisory Agreement includes non-competition and non-solicitation provisions with respect to our Advisor and its affiliates, on the one hand, and our company, on the other hand, (b) we have preferential acquisition rights with respect to Suitable Properties that our Advisor has identified and (c) we will not purchase any properties from the Second City Group or any of its affiliates without first obtaining the approval of our stockholders (other than the principals or our Advisor or its affiliates owning a majority of our common stock), each as described further below;

Our Advisor may retain, for and on our behalf and at our sole cost and expense, such services of accountants, legal counsel, appraisers, insurers, brokers, transfer agents, registrars, developers, investment banks, valuation firms, financial advisors, due diligence firms, underwriting review firms, banks and other lenders and others as our Advisor deems necessary or advisable in connection with our management and operations. Our Advisor has the right to cause any such services to be rendered by its employees or affiliates. Except as otherwise provided in the Advisory Agreement, we are required to pay or reimburse our Advisor performing such services for the reasonable cost thereof, provided that such costs and reimbursements are no greater than those which would be payable to outside professionals or consultants engaged to perform such services pursuant to agreements negotiated on an arm's-length basis;

Our Advisor may subcontract and assign its responsibilities under the Advisory Agreement to any of its affiliates in accordance with the terms of the Advisory Agreement applicable to any such subcontract or assignment;

Our Advisor's liability is limited under the Advisory Agreement and we must indemnify our Advisor with respect to all expenses, losses, damages, liabilities, demands, charges and claims arising from acts or omissions of such indemnified parties not constituting (i) reckless disregard of our Advisor's duties under the Advisory Agreement, (ii) willful misconduct, (iii) bad faith or (iv) gross negligence. As a result, we could experience poor performance or losses for which our Advisor would not be liable; and

Some of the principals of our Advisor currently manage the Second City Group, which may raise other similar private equity platforms. The Second City Group's acquisition strategy differs from our own in that it typically focuses on value-add real estate investments as well as non-office investments. The role of the owners of our Advisor as managers of the Second City Group could place our Advisor in a position of conflict with respect to a potential investment. See [Preferential Acquisition Rights](#) below.

Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Provisions

Under the Advisory Agreement, without the prior approval of our independent directors, the Second City Group, our Advisor, their respective affiliates and James Farrar, Anthony Maretic, Gregory Tylee and Samuel Belzberg, in their individual capacities, agreed that they will not during the term of the Advisory Agreement (i) create or manage another entity (A) that is publicly traded on an exchange or (B) that is not publicly traded on an exchange, but which entity's securities are registered, and in the case of each of (a) and (B), which entity's principal investment strategy focuses on the ownership of Suitable Properties or where 50% or more of such entity's assets are comprised of Suitable Properties, (ii) invest in, purchase or

Table of Contents

finance the purchase of any assets directly or through any entity in which they have a management role that constitute Suitable Properties and meet our investment criteria unless they have first been offered to us (on no less favorable terms) and we have declined to purchase such assets (see Preferential Acquisition Rights below) or (iii) solicit tenants (see Restrictions on Leasing below) and employees away from us or our facilities, or otherwise interfere with relationships that we have with our tenants.

Additionally, we will not purchase any properties from the Second City Group or any of its affiliates without first obtaining the approval of the holders of a majority of shares of our outstanding common stock (other than the principals or our Advisor or its affiliates).

The provisions will remain in effect during the term of the Advisory Agreement, provided, however, that in the case of a termination of the Advisory Agreement by reason of an event of default by our Advisor, the provisions will remain in effect for an additional 12 months following the termination of the Advisory Agreement.

Preferential Acquisition Rights

During the term of the Advisory Agreement, we have a right of first opportunity to purchase any property or property interest that is a Suitable Property (whether within or outside our target market) identified and being considered for potential acquisition by the Second City Group, any fund managed by the Second City Group, our Advisor or any of their affiliates under common control (collectively, the Fund Affiliates) (each, an Offered Investment); provided, however, that for the avoidance of doubt, the following will not be deemed to be Offered Investments: (A) properties that are geographically adjacent to properties currently owned by the Second City Group or one of its affiliates, and (B) investments in which pre-existing contractual obligations to joint venture partners prohibit offering us a right of first opportunity to purchase. Upon being notified in writing that a Fund Affiliate is considering the acquisition of a Suitable Property, which must be accompanied by the material transaction terms (including the contemplated purchase price), we have a period of 30 days to elect to acquire the Offered Investment on those terms (or such lesser period as may be available under the circumstances from the vendors of the Offered Investment). At the time that our Advisor presents the Offered Investment to us, our Advisor will also provide its recommendation regarding whether the Offered Investment would be a suitable investment for us in light of our investment guidelines, our operating policies and other relevant investment considerations, and with an outline of all of the material terms and conditions of the Offered Investment then known to our Advisor, including relevant summary financial and property information.

Our independent directors may elect for us to acquire an Offered Investment, in which event our Advisor shall promptly and diligently undertake to cause us to complete such acquisition. If our independent directors do not elect to direct our Advisor to acquire the Offered Investment during the relevant period or expressly decline to acquire the Offered Investment, then (i) subject to paragraph (ii) below, we shall have conclusively waived any further rights with respect of the Offered Investment and (ii) the relevant Fund Affiliate shall have the right to acquire the Offered Investment for a purchase price not less than 95% of the purchase price offered to us and on otherwise the same terms and conditions. In the event that the purchase price is less than 95% of the purchase price offered to us or the acquisition terms become in the reasonable judgment of our independent directors materially different than those presented to us, then we have a period of five business days from receipt of notice from the relevant Fund Affiliate (or such lesser period as may be available, under the circumstances, from the vendors of the Offered Investment) to determine if we want to acquire the Offered Investment on such terms and conditions and at such purchase price.

Other Activities of Our Advisor

Our Advisor has advised us that it does not currently intend to provide management or advisory services to other entities but may decide to do so in the future.

Restrictions on Leasing

Our Advisor and its affiliates agreed to not actively approach or solicit, directly or indirectly, any tenant that currently occupies space at any property in which we have an ownership interest (a City Office Property) for the purpose of engaging

Table of Contents

in discussions relating to the negotiation of any lease of a property managed or owned by our Advisor or any of its affiliates (a Managed Property). Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that a tenant of a City Office Property hires a third-party leasing broker to solicit proposals for a new lease and our Advisor or its relevant affiliate is contacted by such broker in relation to a Managed Property, our Advisor shall promptly notify our independent directors of such proposal, following which it shall be entitled to respond to such proposal or sign a lease with such tenant for a Managed Property.

In the event that any current or prospective tenant (a Tenant) of a City Office Property approaches our Advisor for the purposes of engaging in discussions relating to the negotiation of any lease at a Managed Property that is within a radius of three miles of any City Office Property, our Advisor is obligated to (i) notify our independent directors and keep them apprised of the status of negotiations with the Tenant and (ii) use its commercially reasonable efforts to provide us with the opportunity to make a proposal to the Tenant to lease space in a City Office Property. Our Advisor is not required to take any such action if it is prohibited from doing so by confidentiality obligations explicitly required by the Tenant or its representatives, provided that our Advisor will use its commercially reasonable efforts to cause the Tenant to waive any such confidentiality restrictions as it relates specifically to notifying our independent directors and allowing us to make a proposal to the Tenant.

In the event that the Tenant signs a lease in respect of a Managed Property, our Advisor must provide to us on a confidential basis, if requested by our independent directors, the compensation committee or the nominating and corporate governance committee, an executed copy of such lease (where our Advisor, acting reasonably, is not prohibited from doing so by confidentiality obligations explicitly required by the Tenant or its representatives).

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Formation Transactions

In connection with our initial public offering and the related formation transactions, certain of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates received material financial and other benefits as shown below. As a result of the Second City Group's contribution of its interest in the Property Ownership Entities to us and our operating partnership in the formation transactions (values shown are based on the public offering price for our common stock in our initial public offering):

James Farrar, our chief executive officer and one of our directors, and his immediate family member, received, through their ownership interests in CIO REIT and a one-time grant of restricted stock under the Equity Incentive Plan (see Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement Grants of Equity Compensation to Employees of Our Advisor), 132,145 shares of our common stock (66,051 are covered by the grant of restricted stock units and will result in issued shares upon settlement of restricted stock units as the applicable vesting criteria is satisfied) with a total value of approximately \$1,651,813, which represented 1.3% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis upon completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions;

Gregory Tylee, our chief operating officer and president, and his immediate family member, received, through their ownership interests in CIO REIT and a one-time grant of restricted stock under the Equity Incentive Plan (see Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement Grants of Equity Compensation to Employees of Our Advisor), 120,078 shares of our common stock (66,051 are covered by the grant of restricted stock units and will result in issued shares upon settlement of restricted stock units as the applicable vesting criteria is satisfied) with a total value of approximately \$1,500,975, which represented 1.0% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis upon completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions;

Anthony Maretic, our chief financial officer, secretary and treasurer, received, through a one-time grant of restricted stock under the Equity Incentive Plan (see Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement Grants of Equity Compensation to Employees of Our Advisor), 17,614 shares of our common stock (17,614 are covered by the grant of restricted stock units and will result in issued shares upon settlement of restricted stock units as the applicable vesting criteria is satisfied) with a value of approximately \$220,175, which represented 0.1% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis upon completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions;

Samuel Belzberg, president of our Advisor and one of our directors, and his immediate family members and associated holding companies, received, through their ownership interests in GCC Amberglen, Gibralt, Second City GP and CIO OP and a one-time grant of restricted stock under the Equity Incentive Plan (see Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement Grants of Equity Compensation to Employees of Our Advisor), 1,806,395 common units and shares of our common stock (202,556 are covered by the grant of restricted stock units and will result in issued shares upon settlement of restricted stock units as the applicable vesting criteria is satisfied) with a total value of approximately \$22,579,938, which represented 15.3% of the total

number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis upon completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions. Mr. Belzberg also received \$10 million of cash through his ownership of Gibralt and GCC Amberglen when Gibralt and GCC Amberglen contributed their interests in the Amberglen property to our operating partnership; and

Stephen Shraiberg, one of our directors, received 80,448 common units with a total value of approximately \$1,005,600, which represented 0.7% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on a fully diluted basis upon completion of our initial public offering and the related formation transactions.

In addition, in connection with the declaration of a dividend of \$0.183 per share on May 12, 2014, and a dividend of \$0.235 per share on September 15, 2014, Mr. Farrar was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units, Mr. Tylee was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units, Mr. Maretic was granted an additional 566 restricted stock units and Mr. Belzberg was granted an additional 6,513 restricted stock units in satisfaction of their dividend equivalent rights granted under our Equity Incentive Plan. These additional restricted stock units vest in accordance with the same vesting schedule, and upon the same conditions, as the underlying restricted stock units as to which the dividend equivalent rights were granted with respect to (generally vesting on the first three annual anniversaries of our initial public offering).

Table of Contents

Also, for so long as the Second City Group and its affiliates collectively own at least 10% or more of our outstanding common stock on a fully-diluted basis (assuming all outstanding common units not owned by us or our subsidiaries are tendered for redemption and exchanged for shares of our common stock, regardless of whether such common units are then eligible for redemption), the Second City Group will have the right from time to time to designate individuals for nomination for election by our stockholders to our board of directors as follows:

For so long as the Second City Group and its affiliates own 30% or more of our fully diluted outstanding common stock, (i) if the number of directors comprising our entire board of directors is six or more, the Second City Group will have the right to designate two nominees and (ii) if the number of directors comprising our entire board of directors is five or fewer, the Second City Group will have the right to designate one nominee;

For so long as the Second City Group and its affiliates own less than 30% but at least 10% of our fully diluted outstanding common stock, regardless of the number of directors comprising our entire board of directors, the Second City Group will have the right to designate one nominee;

If the Second City Group and its affiliates own less than 10% of our fully diluted outstanding common stock, the Second City Group will have no right to designate for nomination any individual to serve on our board of directors. If, subsequent to the time that Second City Group and its affiliates' ownership of our fully diluted outstanding common stock falls below 10%, the Second City Group and entities controlled by the Second City Group again own 10% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock as determined in the same manner as described above, then the Second City Group shall once again be entitled to exercise any designation or other applicable rights of the Second City Group set forth in the partnership agreement;

During any period in which the Second City Group and its affiliates own at least 5% of our fully diluted outstanding shares of our common stock as determined in the same manner as described above, the Second City Group will be entitled to identify an individual to attend and observe meetings of our board of directors; and

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Second City Group and its affiliates own none of our common stock for a period of one year or more, the Second City Group's designation or other applicable rights under the partnership agreement shall terminate.

This Offering

If the underwriters elect to exercise all or any part of their over-allotment option, we may, at the option of the Second City Group, use all or a portion of the additional net proceeds from such exercise to redeem from the Second City Group, for cash, a portion of the shares of common stock and common units held by the Second City Group at a redemption price per common unit or share of common stock equal to the public offering price per share in this offering less the underwriting discount.

Contribution Agreements

In connection with the consummation of our initial public offering, the Second City Group contributed to us and our operating partnership their entire interests in the Property Ownership Entities in the formation transactions pursuant to separate contribution agreements. Pursuant to these contribution agreements with the Second City Group, we assumed, or succeeded to, all of the contributors' rights, obligations and responsibilities with respect to the properties and the property entities contributed. These contribution agreements contained representations and warranties by the contributors to us and our operating partnership with respect to the condition and operations of the properties and interests to be contributed to us and certain other matters. We are not entitled to indemnification under the contribution agreements unless our damages exceed 1% of the consideration paid to the contributors. In such cases, we are indemnified for the portion of our damages that exceeds 1% of the consideration paid to the contributors. In addition, the indemnification in the contribution agreements is capped at 10% of the value of the consideration paid to the contributors. Additionally, we agreed to indemnify (a) Second City and Second City GP with respect to losses resulting from third-party claims arising under an existing guaranty of recourse obligations at the Washington Group Plaza by Second City in favor of Natixis Real Estate Capital LLC from and after the closing of our public offering until we have replaced Second City as guarantor thereunder, (b) the limited partner of the AmberGlen property owner with respect to losses resulting from third-party claims arising under an existing guaranty of

Table of Contents

recourse obligations by such limited partner in favor of RAIT Partnership, L.P. until we have replaced the limited partner as guarantor thereunder and (c) the limited partners of the Central Fairwinds property owner with respect to certain losses resulting from defaults at other properties owned by us that are cross-defaulted to the Central Fairwinds property.

Advisory Agreement

In connection with our initial public offering, we and our operating partnership entered into the Advisory Agreement with our Advisor pursuant to which our Advisor provides management and advisory services to us. The Advisory Agreement requires our Advisor to manage our business affairs in conformity with the policies and the investment guidelines that are approved and monitored by our board of directors. See Our Advisor and the Advisory Agreement Advisory Agreement.

The Administration Agreement

Our Advisor has entered into the Administration Agreement with our Administrator, an affiliate of Second City, pursuant to which our Advisor has access to Second City's employees, infrastructure, business relationships, management expertise, information technologies, capital raising capabilities, legal and compliance functions and accounting, treasury and investor relations capabilities to enable our Advisor to fulfill its responsibilities under the Advisory Agreement. The Administration Agreement will terminate upon termination of the Advisory Agreement.

Partnership Agreement

In connection with our initial public offering and the related formation transactions, we entered into an amended and restated partnership agreement with the various persons. As a result, these persons became limited partners of our operating partnership. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P. Upon the completion of this offering, our directors and executive officers will beneficially own 14.9% of the outstanding common units (14.4% on a fully diluted basis, if the underwriters' over-allotment option is exercised in full).

Pursuant to the partnership agreement, limited partners of our operating partnership and assignees of limited partners have the right, beginning April 21, 2015, to require our operating partnership to redeem part or all of their common units for cash equal to the then-current market value of an equal number of shares of our common stock (determined in accordance with and subject to adjustment under the partnership agreement), or, at our election, to exchange their common units for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to certain adjustments and the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock set forth in our charter and described under the section entitled Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer.

Registration Rights Agreement

Under the registration rights agreement, subject to certain limitations, commencing not later than April 21 2015 and subject to the availability of a registration statement on Form S-3, or a successor short form registration, we must file a registration statement covering the resale of the shares of our common stock issued or issuable, at our option, in exchange for common units issued in the formation transactions related to our initial public offering to the Second City Group and certain of our executive officers (collectively, the applicable holders). Commencing not later than April 21, 2015, the applicable holders are also entitled to require us on one occasion to register their common stock for public sale subject to certain exceptions, limitations and conditions precedent. As promptly as reasonably practicable after we receive a demand notice from the applicable holders, we are required to file with the SEC a

registration statement and must use our commercially reasonable efforts to cause any such registration statement to become and remain effective as promptly as reasonably practicable after the filing thereof.

In addition, if we propose to file, at any time following April 21, 2015, a registration statement with respect to a public offering of our common stock for our own account or for the account of others, subject to certain exceptions, we must give notice of the proposed filing to the applicable holders of registrable shares as soon as practicable and offer the applicable holders the opportunity to include in the registration statement such amount of registrable shares as they may request, subject to customary underwriter cutback provisions. We agreed to pay all of the expenses relating to the securities registrations described above. See [Shares Eligible for Future Sale](#) [Registration Rights](#).

Table of Contents

Indemnification and Limitation of Directors and Officers Liability

Our charter and amended and restated bylaws provide certain indemnification rights for our directors and officers and we entered into an indemnification agreement with each of our directors and executive officers, providing for procedures for indemnification and advancements by us of certain expenses and costs relating to claims, suits or proceedings arising from their service to us or, at our request, service to other entities, as officers or directors or otherwise, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. See Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Limitation of Liability and Indemnification of Directors and Officers.

Tax Protection Agreements

Our operating partnership entered into tax protection agreements with certain of the contributors, on behalf of themselves and their direct and indirect owners (the Protected Parties). Pursuant to the tax protection agreements, our operating partnership agreed not to sell, exchange or otherwise dispose of any properties during the four years immediately following our initial public offering and the related formation transactions (the tax protection period) in a transaction that would cause the Protected Parties to realize built-in gain. All of our properties have such built-in gain. Pursuant to the tax protection agreements, we anticipate that the total amount of protected built-in gain to the Protected Parties is approximately \$57 million. If we sell one or more properties during the tax protection period, our operating partnership, subject to certain exceptions, is required to pay to each Protected Party an amount equal to the U.S. federal, state and local taxes that may be imposed on the built-in gain allocated to it or its owners, with the amount of such taxes being computed based on the highest applicable U.S. federal, state and local marginal tax rates, as well as the tax liabilities incurred as a result of such tax protection payment. Consequently, our ability to sell or dispose of our properties is substantially restricted by this obligation to make payments to the Protected Parties during the tax protection period if we sell a property.

The tax protection agreements also require our operating partnership to maintain a minimum level of indebtedness of \$2 million throughout the tax protection period in order to allow an amount of debt to be allocable to the Protected Parties and their owners that is sufficient to avoid certain adverse tax consequences, regardless of whether such debt levels are otherwise required to operate our business. Moreover, if the amount of operating partnership debt allocable to the Protected Parties and their owners at any point during the tax protection period would not otherwise be sufficient to avoid such adverse tax consequences, our operating partnership provides the Protected Parties with the opportunity to guarantee debt upon a future repayment, retirement, refinancing or other reduction of currently outstanding debt prior to the end of the tax protection period. These obligations may require us to maintain more or different indebtedness than we would otherwise require for our business. After the tax protection period, our operating partnership will be required to use commercially reasonable efforts to provide the Protected Parties with an opportunity to guarantee its debt, provided that it will not be required to incur any debt that it otherwise would not have incurred (as it determines in its reasonable discretion). If we fail to maintain such minimum indebtedness throughout the tax protection period or to make such opportunities available, we are required to make indemnifying payments to the Protected Parties intended to approximate the tax liability of the Protected Parties and their direct or indirect owners resulting from such failure, computed in the manner described in the preceding paragraph.

Equity Incentive Plan

In connection with our initial public offering, we adopted a cash and equity-based incentive award plan for our directors and officers and our Advisor. See Executive and Director Compensation Equity Incentive Plan.

Grants of Equity Compensation to Employees of Our Advisor

In connection with the closing of the formation transactions and our initial public offering, we adopted the Equity Incentive Plan in order to provide a mechanism to align the interests of our directors and executive officers and our Advisor with the interests of our stockholders. An aggregate of 869,347 shares of common stock are available for issuance under awards granted pursuant to the Equity Incentive Plan.

At the completion of our initial public offering, pursuant to the Advisory Agreement and under our Equity Incentive Plan, we issued 66,051 restricted stock units to Mr. Farrar, 66,051 restricted stock units to Mr. Tylee, 17,614 restricted stock units

Table of Contents

to Mr. Maretic and 202,556 restricted stock units to Mr. Belzberg. The units vest ratably over three years beginning on the first anniversary of the completion of our initial public offering, generally subject to such person's continued service with the Advisor (but vest in full upon an involuntary termination without cause). The restricted stock units carry the right to receive dividends (through a related grant of dividend equivalent rights), which will be reinvested in shares of our common stock and delivered to the applicable executive upon, and subject to, satisfaction of the vesting criteria applicable to the related restricted stock units. In connection with the declaration of a dividend of \$0.183 per share on May 12, 2014 and a dividend of \$0.235 per share on September 15, 2014. Mr. Farrar was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units, Mr. Tylee was granted an additional 2,124 restricted stock units, Mr. Maretic was granted an additional 566 restricted stock units and Mr. Belzberg was granted an additional 6,513 restricted stock units in satisfaction of their dividend equivalent rights granted under our Equity Incentive Plan. These additional restricted stock units vest in accordance with the same vesting schedule, and upon the same conditions, as the underlying restricted stock units as to which the dividend equivalent rights were granted with respect to (generally vesting on the first three annual anniversaries of our initial public offering).

Future awards will be at the discretion of the compensation committee of our board of directors. The number of total shares will be calculated as if all common units, other than common units held by us, are exchanged for shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES

The following is a discussion of certain of our investment, financing and leverage and other policies. These policies have been determined by our board of directors and, in general, may be amended and revised from time to time at the discretion of our board of directors without notice to or a vote of our stockholders.

Investment Policies

Investment in Real Estate or Interests in Real Estate

On our behalf, our Advisor conducts substantially all of our investment activities through our operating partnership. Our primary investment objectives are to preserve, protect and grow our investors' capital contributions, to maintain a steady return to investors in the form of cash dividends and to realize growth in the value of our properties. For a discussion of our properties and acquisition and other strategic objectives, see *Business*.

Our Advisor pursues our investment objectives primarily through our operating partnership's ownership of our properties and any future office properties that we may acquire. Our Advisor principally focuses on the acquisition and ownership of office properties located in our target markets. In determining the appropriateness of an investment in real estate, our Advisor considers the creditworthiness of the tenants, the location of a property, presence in one of our target markets, our income-producing capacity, the prospects for long-term appreciation and liquidity and tax benefits and liabilities. Our Advisor also takes into account the impact of the acquisition on our portfolio as a whole, especially with respect to diversification by geography, tenants, industry group of tenants and timing of lease expirations. Prior to an acquisition, our Advisor performs an assessment to ensure that the property is in line with our criteria in order to minimize possibility that the acquisition will concentrate our assets in a single geographic area, type of property or industry group of tenants. Additionally, our Advisor monitors and analyzes annual lease expirations in an attempt to minimize the effect of vacancies on the consolidated cash flows from operations of our portfolio as a whole.

We do not have any limit on the amount or percentage of our assets that may be invested in any one property or any one geographic area. Our Advisor intends to engage in future investment activities in a manner that is consistent with our intention to qualify as a REIT. In addition, our Advisor may purchase or lease office properties for long-term investment, expand and improve any properties owned by our operating partnership or subsequently acquire or sell such properties, in whole or in part, when circumstances warrant.

We may also own property jointly with third parties either through joint ventures or other types of co-ownership. These investments may permit us to own interests in larger assets while simultaneously mitigating our risk through diversification and enhancing our flexibility to structure our portfolio. We will not, however, enter into a joint venture or other partnership arrangement to make an investment that would otherwise not meet our investment policies.

We have a policy not to be treated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the Investment Company Act), and our Advisor intends to direct our investment activities accordingly.

Additional criteria with respect to our properties are described in *Business*.

Purchase and Sale of Investments

Our policy is to acquire assets primarily for generation of income and long-term value appreciation. However, our Advisor seeks to sell certain properties if and when it determines that a particular property no longer fits our strategic

objectives. Factors that our Advisor considers when deciding whether to dispose of a property are, among other things, the price being offered for the property, the operating performance of the property and the tax consequences of the sale of the property.

Table of Contents

Securities of or Interests in Persons Primarily Engaged in Real Estate Activities and Other Issuers

Subject to the percentage of ownership limitations and gross income tests necessary for REIT qualification, our Advisor may invest in securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or other issuers, including for the purpose of exercising control over such entities. In any event, our Advisor does not intend that any investments in these types of securities will require us to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, and our Advisor intends to divest securities before any registration would be required.

Investment in Other Securities

Other than as described above, our Advisor does not intend to invest in any additional securities such as bonds, preferred stock or common stock.

Financing and Leverage Policies

Our Advisor uses a number of different sources to finance our acquisitions and operations, including cash flows from operations, asset sales, seller financing, issuance of debt securities, private financings (such as additional bank credit facilities, which may or may not be secured by our assets), property-level mortgage debt, common or preferred equity issuances or any combination of these sources, to the extent available to us, or other sources that may become available from time to time. Any debt that we incur may be recourse or non-recourse and may be secured or unsecured. Our Advisor also may take advantage of joint venture or other partnering opportunities as the same arise in order to acquire properties that would otherwise be unavailable to us. Our Advisor may use the proceeds of our borrowings to acquire assets, to refinance existing debt or for general corporate purposes.

Although we are not required to maintain any particular leverage ratio, our Advisor seeks to employ prudent amounts of leverage and, when appropriate, to use debt as a means of providing additional funds for the acquisition of assets, to refinance existing debt or for general corporate purposes. Our Advisor seeks to use leverage conservatively, assessing the appropriateness of new equity or debt capital based on market conditions, including prudent assumptions regarding future cash flow, the creditworthiness of tenants and future rental rates, with the ultimate objective of becoming an issuer of investment grade debt. Our charter and amended and restated bylaws do not limit the amount of debt that we may incur.

Our Advisor considers a number of factors in evaluating the amount of debt that we may incur. Our Advisor may from time to time modify its views regarding the appropriate amount of debt financing in light of then-current economic conditions, relative costs of debt and equity capital, market values of our properties, general conditions in the market for debt and equity securities, fluctuations in the market price of our common stock, growth and acquisition opportunities and other factors. Our Advisor's decision for us to use leverage in the future to finance our assets is at the discretion of our Advisor and board of directors and will not be subject to the approval of our stockholders.

Lending Policies

Except with respect to related party transactions, we do not have a policy limiting our ability to make loans to other persons. Our Advisor may consider having us offer purchase money financing in connection with the sale of properties in which the provision of that financing will increase the value to be received by us for the property sold. We may also make loans to joint ventures in which we participate. However, we do not intend to engage in significant lending activities. Any loan that we make will be consistent with qualifying as a REIT.

Policies with Respect to Issuance and Underwriting of Securities

We have authority to, and may, offer common stock, preferred stock or options to purchase stock in exchange for property. Our board of directors has the power, without further stockholder approval, to amend our charter to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock or preferred stock, or otherwise raise capital, including through the issuance of senior securities, in any manner and on such terms and for such consideration, it deems appropriate, including in exchange for property. See Description of Stock. We have not engaged in trading, underwriting or agency distribution or sale of securities of other issuers and do not intend to do so.

Table of Contents

Reporting Policies

We intend to make available to our stockholders our annual reports, including our audited financial statements. We are subject to the information reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. Pursuant to those requirements, we are required to file annual and periodic reports, proxy statements and other information, including audited financial statements, with the SEC.

Policies with Respect to Conflicts of Interest

We adopted a code of ethics that prohibits transactions involving conflicts of interest between us on the one hand, and our officers, employees, directors and Advisor on the other hand, except for such transactions that are approved by a majority of our directors (including a majority of our independent directors) in compliance with the code of ethics. A conflict of interest arises when the private interest of a person covered by the code interferes in any material respect with our interests or his or her service to us. Waivers of our code of ethics for certain covered persons must be disclosed in accordance with NYSE and SEC requirements. In addition, our board of directors is subject to certain provisions of Maryland law, which are also designed to eliminate or minimize conflicts. However, we cannot assure you that these policies or provisions of law will always succeed in eliminating the influence of such conflicts. If they are not successful, decisions could be made that might fail to reflect fully the interests of all stockholders.

Other than in connection our initial public offering and the related formation transactions or as approved by a majority of the independent directors of our board of directors so voting, we will not purchase portfolio assets from, or sell them to, our directors, officers or our Advisor, or any of our or their affiliates, or engage in any transaction in which they have a direct or indirect pecuniary interest (other than the Advisory Agreement) in any circumstances other than as described above in Conflicts of Interest Preferential Acquisition Rights and Conflicts of Interest Restrictions on Leasing. We have a right of first opportunity to purchase any property or property interest that is a suitable property and is being considered for potential acquisition by Second City, any fund managed by Second City, our Advisor or any of their affiliates, as discussed in Conflicts of Interest Preferential Acquisition Rights.

Except as provided above and in Conflicts of Interest Preferential Acquisition Rights and Conflicts of Interest Restrictions on Leasing, we do not have a policy that expressly prohibits our directors, officers, security holders or any of our affiliates from engaging for their own account in business activities of the types conducted by us.

Interested Director and Officer Transactions

Pursuant to the MGCL, a contract or other transaction between us and a director, or between us and any other corporation or other entity in which any of our directors is a director or has a material financial interest, is not void or voidable solely on the grounds of such common directorship or interest. The common directorship or interest, the presence of such director at the meeting at which the contract or transaction is authorized, approved or ratified or the counting of the director's vote in favor thereof will not render the contract or transaction void or voidable if:

the material facts relating to the common directorship or interest and as to the contract or transaction are disclosed to our board of directors or a committee of our board, and our board or a duly authorized committee authorizes, approves or ratifies the transaction or contract by the affirmative vote of a majority of disinterested directors, even if the disinterested directors constitute less than a quorum; or

the material facts relating to the common directorship or interest and as to the transaction or contract are disclosed to our stockholders entitled to vote thereon, and the transaction or contract is authorized, approved or ratified by a majority of the votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote, other than the votes of shares owned of record or beneficially by the interested director or corporation or other entity; or

the transaction or contract is fair and reasonable to us at the time it is authorized, ratified or approved. Furthermore, under Maryland law (where our operating partnership is formed), we, as general partner, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its partners and, consequently, such transactions also are subject to the fiduciary duties of care and loyalty and the obligation of good faith and fair dealing that we, as general partner, owe to our

Table of Contents

operating partnership and its limited partners (to the extent such duties have not been modified or reduced pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement). We adopted a policy requiring all contracts and transactions between us, our operating partnership or any of our subsidiaries, on the one hand, and any of our directors or executive officers or any entity in which such director or executive officer is a director or has a material financial interest, on the other hand, to be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the disinterested directors, even if less than a quorum. Where appropriate, in the judgment of the disinterested directors, our board of directors may obtain a fairness opinion or engage independent counsel to represent the interests of non-affiliated security holders, although our board of directors will have no obligation to do so.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table sets forth certain information, regarding the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock and shares of common stock into which common units are exchangeable as of the time immediately following the completion of this offering for (i) each person who is expected to be the beneficial owner of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock immediately following the completion of this offering, (ii) each of our directors and executive officers and (iii) all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership for the purposes of the following table is determined in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC. These rules generally provide that a person is the beneficial owner of securities if he or she has or shares the power to vote or direct the voting thereof, or to dispose or direct the disposition thereof or have the right to acquire such powers within 60 days. Accordingly, the following table does not include options to purchase our common stock that are not exercisable within the next 60 days nor does it reflect any shares that any of the named individuals may acquire in the offering, as described in Underwriting Reserved Share Program.

The address of each director and executive officer shown in the table below is the address of the company, 1075 West Georgia Street, Suite 2600, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 3C9. Except as indicated by the footnotes below, we believe, based on the information furnished to us, that the persons and entities named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock that they beneficially own, subject to community property laws where applicable.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Immediately Prior to this Offering ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾				Immediately After this Offering ⁽³⁾			
	Shares Owned	Percentage of All Shares	Common Units Owned	Percentage of All Shares	Shares Owned	Percentage of All Shares	Common Units Owned	Percentage of All Shares
				and Common Units				and Common Units
James Farrar ⁽⁴⁾	152,269	1.8%		1.3%	152,169	1.3%		1.0%
Gregory Tylee ⁽⁴⁾	122,202	1.4		1.0	122,202	1.1		0.8
Anthony Maretic	18,180	0.2		0.2	18,180	0.2		0.1
Samuel Belzberg ⁽⁴⁾	209,069	2.4	1,603,839	15.3	209,069	1.8	1,603,839	12.2
John McLernon	7,527	0.1		0.1	7,527	0.1		0.1
William Flatt	3,027	0.04		0.03	3,027	0.03		0.02
Mark Murski	4,000	0.1		0.03	4,000	0.03		0.03
Stephen Shraiberg	6,527	0.1	80,448	0.7	6,527	0.1	80,448	0.6
All directors and executive officers as a group (8 persons)	522,801	6.1%	1,684,287	18.6%	522,801	4.5%	1,684,287	14.9%

* Represents less than 1% of our shares of common stock outstanding upon the closing of this offering.

(1) Based on 8,192,915 shares of common stock outstanding and 3,251,904 common units outstanding as of the date of this prospectus.

- (2) Share amounts include Mr. Farrar's 66,990, Mr. Tylee's 66,990, Mr. Maretic's 17,864, Mr. Belzberg's 205,436, Mr. McLernon's 1,500, Mr. Flatt's 1,500 and Mr. Shraiberg's 1,500 restricted common stock units, which have been granted but have not vested under the Equity Incentive Plan.
- (3) Does not include any shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment option.
- (4) Share amount includes his immediate family members.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF STOCK

The following summary describes certain provisions of Maryland law and the material terms of our charter and our amended and restated bylaws. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. This description is not complete and is subject to, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our charter and our amended and restated bylaws, copies of which are filed as exhibits to the registration statement from our initial public offering, and applicable law.

Please note that, with respect to any of our shares held in book-entry form through The Depository Trust Company or any other share depository, the depository or its nominee will be the sole registered and legal owner of those shares, and references in this prospectus to any stockholder or holder of those shares means only the depository or its nominee. Persons who hold beneficial interests in our shares through a depository will not be registered or legal owners of those shares and will not be recognized as such for any purpose. For example, only the depository or its nominee will be entitled to vote the shares held through it, and any dividends or other distributions to be paid, and any notices to be given, in respect of those shares will be paid or given only to the depository or its nominee. Owners of beneficial interests in those shares will have to look solely to the depository with respect to any benefits of share ownership, and any rights that they may have with respect to those shares will be governed by the rules of the depository, which are subject to change from time to time. We have no responsibility for those rules or their application to any interests held through the depository.

General

Our charter provides that we may issue up to 100,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, and up to 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share. Our charter authorizes our board of directors, with the approval of a majority of the entire board of directors and without any action by our stockholders, to amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of authorized shares of stock or the number of authorized shares of any class or series of our stock. Upon completion of this offering, 11,587,148 shares of our common stock will be issued and outstanding on a fully diluted basis, including the 394,233 restricted stock units granted to our directors, executive officers and employees of our Advisor under the Equity Incentive Plan, or 12,037,148 shares of common stock if the underwriters' over-allotment option is exercised in full, and no shares of preferred stock will be issued and outstanding. Our Equity Incentive Plan provides for grants of equity-based awards up to an aggregate of 1,263,580 shares of common stock. We have issued 394,233 restricted stock units under our Equity Incentive Plan.

Under the MGCL, stockholders generally are not personally liable for our debts or obligations solely as a result of their status as stockholders.

Common Stock

All of the shares of our common stock offered in this offering, when issued in exchange for the consideration therefor, will be duly authorized, validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable. Subject to the preferential rights of any other class or series of our stock and to the provisions of our charter regarding the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock, holders of shares of our common stock are entitled to receive dividends and other distributions on such shares if, as, and when authorized by our board of directors, out of assets legally available therefor and declared by us and to share ratably in the assets of our company legally available for distribution to our stockholders in the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up after payment or establishment of reserves for all known debts and liabilities of our company.

Subject to the provisions of our charter regarding the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock and except as may otherwise be specified in the terms of any class or series of our common stock, each outstanding share of our common stock entitles the holder to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders, including the election of directors, and, except as provided with respect to any other class or series of stock, the holders of shares of common stock will possess the exclusive voting power. There is no cumulative voting in the election of our directors. Directors are elected by a plurality of all of the votes cast in the election of directors.

Table of Contents

Holders of shares of our common stock have no preference, conversion, exchange, sinking fund or redemption rights, and have no preemptive rights to subscribe for any securities of our company. Our charter provides that holders of our common stock generally have no appraisal rights unless our board of directors determines prospectively that appraisal rights will apply to one or more transactions in which holders of our common stock would otherwise be entitled to exercise appraisal rights. Subject to the provisions of our charter regarding the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock, holders of our common stock will have equal dividend, liquidation and other rights.

Under the MGCL, a Maryland corporation generally cannot dissolve, amend its charter, merge, consolidate, convert, sell all or substantially all of its assets or engage in a statutory share exchange unless declared advisable by its board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast at least two-thirds of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter unless a lesser percentage (but not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter) is set forth in the corporation's charter. Our charter provides for approval of any of these matters by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast a majority of the votes entitled to be cast on such matters, except that the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors is required to remove a director (and such removal must be for cause) and the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on such matter is required to amend the provisions of our charter relating to the removal of directors, the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock and the vote required to amend such provisions. Maryland law also permits a Maryland corporation to transfer all or substantially all of its assets without the approval of the stockholders of the corporation to an entity if all of the equity interests of the entity are owned, directly or indirectly, by the corporation. Because our operating assets may be held by our operating partnership or its subsidiaries, these subsidiaries may be able to merge or transfer all or substantially all of their assets to the operating partnership or other subsidiary without the approval of our stockholders.

Our charter authorizes our board of directors to reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock into other classes or series of stock, to establish the designation and number of shares of each class or series and to set, subject to the provisions of our charter relating to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock, the preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and other distributions, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption of each such class or series.

Preferred Stock

Our charter authorizes our board of directors to classify any unissued shares of preferred stock and to reclassify any previously classified but unissued shares into one or more classes or series of preferred stock. Prior to issuance of shares of each new class or series, our board of directors is required by the MGCL and our charter to set, subject to the provisions of our charter regarding the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock, the preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and other distributions, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption of each such class or series. As a result, our board of directors could authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock that have priority over shares of our common stock with respect to dividends or other distributions or rights upon liquidation, exclusive or class voting rights or with respect to other terms and conditions that could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change of control of our company that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or that holders of our common stock otherwise believe to be in their best interests. As of the date hereof, no shares of preferred stock are outstanding and we have no present plans to issue any preferred stock.

Power to Increase or Decrease Authorized Shares of Common Stock and Issue Additional Shares of Common and Preferred Stock

We believe that the power of our board of directors to amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of authorized shares of stock, to authorize us to issue additional authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to classify or reclassify unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and thereafter to authorize us to issue such classified or reclassified shares of stock will provide us with increased flexibility in structuring possible future financings and acquisitions and in meeting other needs that might arise. The additional classes or series, as well as the additional authorized shares of common stock, will be available for issuance without further action by our

Table of Contents

stockholders, unless such action is required by applicable law, the terms of any class or series of preferred stock we may issue in the future or the rules of any stock exchange or automated quotation system on which our securities may be listed or traded. Although our board of directors does not currently intend to do so, it could authorize us to issue a class or series of stock that could, depending upon the terms of the particular class or series, delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control of our company that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or that our common stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests. See Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Our Charter and Bylaws Anti-Takeover Effect of Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and Our Charter and Bylaws.

Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer

In order for us to qualify as a REIT under the Code, our stock must be beneficially owned by 100 or more persons during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months (other than the first year for which an election to be a REIT has been made) or during a proportionate part of a shorter taxable year. Also, not more than 50% of the value of the outstanding shares of stock (after taking into account options to acquire shares of stock) may be owned, directly, indirectly or through attribution, by five or fewer individuals (for this purpose, the term individual includes certain entities such as a supplemental unemployment compensation benefit trust and a private foundation or a portion of a trust permanently set aside or used exclusively for charitable purposes, among others) at any time during the last half of a taxable year (other than the first year for which an election to be a REIT has been made). Finally, in certain circumstances we could be treated as being related to one or more of our tenants if we own, directly or indirectly, an interest in a tenant that exceeds the limits provided in Section 856(d)(2)(B) of the Code. If such a relationship were deemed to exist, any rents we receive from the related tenant would not be treated as rents from real property and could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

Our charter contains restrictions on the ownership and transfer of our stock that are intended to assist us in complying with these requirements and qualifying as a REIT, among other purposes. The relevant sections of our charter provide that, subject to the exceptions described below, no person or entity may beneficially own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the applicable constructive ownership provisions of the Code, more than 9.8% (in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock, or 9.8% (in value) of the aggregate of the outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. We refer to each of these restrictions as an ownership limit and collectively as the ownership limits. A person or entity that would have acquired actual, beneficial or constructive ownership of our stock but for the application of the ownership limits or any of the other restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock discussed below is referred to as a prohibited owner.

The constructive ownership rules under the Code are complex and may cause stock owned actually, beneficially or constructively by a group of related individuals and/or entities to be owned beneficially or constructively by one individual or entity. As a result, the acquisition of less than 9.8% in value or in number of shares (whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock, or less than 9.8% in value of the outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock (or the acquisition by an individual or entity of an interest in an entity that owns, actually, beneficially or constructively, shares of our stock) could, nevertheless, cause that individual or entity, or another individual or entity, to own beneficially or constructively in excess of 9.8% in value or in number of shares (whichever is more restrictive) of our stock and in violation of the applicable ownership limit.

Our board of directors may, in its sole and absolute discretion, prospectively or retroactively, waive either or both of the ownership limits with respect to a particular stockholder or establish a different limit on ownership (the excepted holder limit) if it determines that:

no person's beneficial or constructive ownership of our stock would result in our being "closely held" within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code (without regard to whether the ownership interest is held during the last half of a taxable year) or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT; and

such stockholder does not and will not own, actually or constructively, an interest in a tenant of ours (or a tenant of any entity controlled or owned in whole or in part by us) that would cause us to own, actually or constructively, more than a 9.8% interest (as set forth in Section 856(d)(2)(B) of the Code) in such tenant (or our board of directors determines that revenue derived from such tenant will not affect our ability to qualify as a REIT).

Table of Contents

As a condition of our waiver, our board of directors may require an opinion of counsel or IRS ruling satisfactory to our board of directors in its sole and absolute discretion in order to determine or ensure our status as a REIT or such representations and/or undertakings from the person requesting the waiver as our board of directors may require in its sole and absolute discretion to make the determinations above. Notwithstanding the receipt of any ruling or opinion, our board of directors may impose such conditions or restrictions as it deems appropriate in connection with granting such an exception. Our board of directors granted one or more waivers of the ownership limits to Second City and certain other parties to our initial public offering and the related formation transactions, or their affiliates.

In connection with a waiver of an ownership limit or at any other time, our board of directors may increase or decrease one or both of the ownership limits, except that a decreased ownership limit will not be effective for any person whose actual, beneficial or constructive ownership of our stock exceeds the decreased ownership limit at the time of the decrease until the person's actual, beneficial or constructive ownership of our stock equals or falls below the decreased ownership limit, although any further acquisition of our stock will violate the decreased ownership limit. Our board of directors may not increase or decrease any ownership limit if the new ownership limit would allow five or fewer persons to beneficially own more than 49% in value of our outstanding stock or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

Our charter provisions further prohibit any transfer (as defined therein) that would result in:

any person beneficially or constructively owning shares of our stock if such ownership would result in our being "closely held" under Section 856(h) of the Code (without regard to whether the ownership interest is held during the last half of a taxable year) or otherwise cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT (including, but not limited to, beneficial or constructive ownership that would result in us, actually or constructively, owning an interest in a tenant that exceeds the limits provided in Section 856(d)(2)(B) of the Code); and

shares of our stock being beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons (determined without reference to any rules of attribution), subject to the exception described below.

Any person who acquires or attempts or intends to acquire beneficial or constructive ownership of shares of our stock that will or may violate the ownership limits or any of the other restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock described above must give written notice immediately to us or, in the case of a proposed or attempted transaction, provide us at least 15 days prior notice, and provide us with such other information as we may request in order to determine the effect of such transfer on our status as a REIT.

The ownership limits and other restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock described above will not apply if our board of directors determines that it is no longer in our best interest to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT or that compliance is no longer required in order for us to qualify as a REIT.

Pursuant to our charter, if any purported transfer of our stock or any other event would otherwise result in any person violating the ownership limits or such other limit established by our board of directors, or would result in us being "closely held" within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code (without regard to whether the ownership interest is held during the last half of a taxable year) or otherwise failing to qualify as a REIT, then the number of shares causing the violation (rounded up to the nearest whole share) will be automatically transferred to, and held by, a trust for the exclusive benefit of one or more charitable organizations selected by us. Our charter provides that the prohibited owner will not benefit economically from ownership of any shares of our stock held in trust and will have no rights to distributions and no rights to vote or other rights attributable to the shares of our stock held by the trustee. The

automatic transfer will be effective as of the close of business on the business day prior to the date of the violative transfer or other event that results in the transfer to the trust. Any dividend or other distribution paid to the prohibited owner, prior to our discovery that the shares had been automatically transferred to a trust as described above, must be repaid to the trustee upon demand. Our charter provides that if the transfer to the trust as described above is not automatically effective, for any reason, to prevent violation of the applicable ownership limit or our being closely held (without regard to whether the ownership interest is held during the last half of a taxable year) or otherwise failing to qualify as a REIT, then the transfer of the number of shares that otherwise would cause any person to violate the above restrictions will be void and of no force or effect and the intended transferee will acquire no rights in the shares. In addition, our charter provides that if any transfer of our stock would result in shares of our stock being

Table of Contents

beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons (determined without reference to any rules of attribution), then any such purported transfer will be void and of no force or effect and the intended transferee will acquire no rights in the shares, provided that our board of directors may waive this provision if, in its opinion, such a transfer would not adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

Our charter provides that shares of our stock transferred to the trustee are deemed offered for sale to us, or our designee, at a price per share equal to the lesser of (1) the price paid by the prohibited owner for the shares (or, if the prohibited owner did not give value in connection with the transfer or other event that resulted in the transfer to the trust (e.g., a gift, devise or other such transaction), the last sales price reported on the NYSE on the day of the transfer or other event that resulted in the transfer of such shares to the trust) and (2) the market price on the date we, or our designee, accepts such offer. We will reduce the amount payable to the trust by the amount of dividends and distributions paid to the prohibited owner and owed by the prohibited owner to the trustee. We will pay the amount of such reduction to the trustee for the benefit of the charitable beneficiary. We have the right to accept such offer until the trustee has sold the shares of our stock held in the trust. Upon a sale to us, the interest of the charitable beneficiary in the shares sold terminates and the trustee must distribute the net proceeds of the sale to the prohibited owner. Any dividends or other distributions held by the trustee with respect to such stock will be paid to the charitable beneficiary.

If we do not buy the shares, the trustee must, within 20 days of receiving notice from us of the transfer of shares to the trust, sell the shares to a person or persons, designated by the trustee, who could own the shares without violating the ownership limits or other restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock. Upon such sale, the trustee must distribute to the prohibited owner an amount equal to the lesser of (1) the price paid by the prohibited owner for the shares (or, if the prohibited owner did not give value in connection with the transfer or other event that resulted in the transfer to the trust (e.g., a gift, devise or other such transaction), the last sales price reported on the NYSE on the day of the event that resulted in the transfer of such shares to the trust) and (2) the sales proceeds (net of commissions and other expenses of sale) received by the trustee for the shares. The trustee will reduce the amount payable to the prohibited owner by the amount of dividends and other distributions paid to the prohibited owner and owed by the prohibited owner to the trustee. Any net sales proceeds in excess of the amount payable to the prohibited owner will be immediately paid to the charitable beneficiary, together with any dividends or other distributions thereon. In addition, if, prior to discovery by us that shares of our stock have been transferred to the trustee, such shares of stock are sold by a prohibited owner, then our charter provides that such shares shall be deemed to have been sold on behalf of the trust and, to the extent that the prohibited owner received an amount for or in respect of such shares that exceeds the amount that such prohibited owner was entitled to receive, such excess amount shall be paid to the trustee upon demand.

The trustee will be designated by us and will be unaffiliated with us and with any prohibited owner. Prior to the sale of any shares by the trust, the trustee will receive, in trust for the beneficiary, all dividends and other distributions paid by us with respect to such shares, and may exercise all voting rights with respect to such shares for the exclusive benefit of the charitable beneficiary.

Subject to Maryland law, effective as of the date that the shares have been transferred to the trust, our charter provides that the trustee shall have the authority, at the trustee's sole discretion:

to rescind as void any vote cast by a prohibited owner prior to our discovery that the shares have been transferred to the trust; and

to recast the vote in accordance with the desires of the trustee acting for the benefit of the beneficiary of the trust.

However, if we have already taken irreversible corporate action, then the trustee may not rescind and recast the vote.

If our board of directors or a committee thereof determines in good faith that a proposed transfer or other event has taken place that violates the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock set forth in our charter, our board of directors or such committee may take such action as it deems advisable in its sole discretion to refuse to give effect to or to prevent such transfer, including, but not limited to, causing the company to redeem shares of stock, refusing to give effect to the transfer on our books or instituting proceedings to enjoin the transfer.

Table of Contents

Every owner of 5% or more (or such lower percentage as required by the Code or the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder) of the outstanding shares of our stock, within 30 days after the end of each taxable year, must give written notice to us stating the name and address of such owner, the number of shares of each class and series of our stock that the owner beneficially owns and a description of the manner in which the shares are held. Each such owner also must provide us with any additional information that we request in order to determine the effect, if any, of the person's beneficial ownership on our status as a REIT and to ensure compliance with the ownership limits. In addition, any person that is a beneficial owner or constructive owner of shares of our stock and any person (including the stockholder of record) who is holding shares of our stock for a beneficial owner or constructive owner must, on request, disclose to us in writing such information as we may request in good faith in order to determine our status as a REIT and comply with the requirements of any taxing authority or governmental authority or determine such compliance and to ensure compliance with the ownership limits.

Our charter provides that each purchaser of our capital stock that purchases such stock from us or any underwriter, placement agent or initial purchaser that participates in a public offering, a private placement or other private offering of such stock will be deemed to have represented, warranted and agreed that its purchase and holding of such stock will not constitute or result in (i) a non-exempt prohibited transaction under Section 406 of ERISA or Section 4975 of the Code or (ii) a violation of any applicable other federal, state, local, non-U.S. or other laws or regulations that contain one or more provisions that are substantially similar to the provisions of Title I of ERISA or Section 4975 of the Code.

Any certificates representing shares of our stock will bear a legend referring to the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock described above.

These restrictions on ownership and transfer could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control of our company that might involve a premium price for our common stock that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, LLC.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW

AND OF OUR CHARTER AND BYLAWS

The following summary describes certain provisions of Maryland law and the material terms of our charter and our amended and restated bylaws. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. This description is not complete and is subject to, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to, our charter and our amended and restated bylaws, copies of which are filed as exhibits to the registration statement from our initial public offering, and applicable law.

Our Board of Directors

Our charter and bylaws provide that the number of directors of our company may be established, increased or decreased by our board of directors, but may not be less than the minimum number required under the MGCL (which is one), nor, unless our bylaws are amended, more than 15. Our charter provides that, at such time as we have a class of securities registered under the Exchange Act and at least three independent directors, which we currently have, we are subject to a provision of Maryland law requiring that, subject to the rights of holders of one or more classes or series of preferred stock, any vacancy may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors, even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum, and any director elected to fill a vacancy will serve for the full term of the directorship in which such vacancy occurred and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies. Our amended and restated bylaws require that nominees for election as a director (including directors appointed by our board to fill vacancies), whether by the stockholders or by the board of directors, shall include among them, as applicable, those persons designated for nomination for election to, or those persons approved to fill a vacancy on, the board of directors in accordance with or as provided pursuant to the partnership agreement. Our amended and restated bylaws provide that it will be a qualification of each of the directors serving from time to time on our board of directors that he or she will be, or will have been at any time since most recently joining (or rejoining) the board of directors, elected to or appointed to fill a vacancy on the board of directors in compliance in all material respects with the provisions of the partnership agreement affording director designation or approval rights, so long as applicable, provided that our failure to so comply, or the failure of the directors to be so qualified, will not affect the validity of any actions of the board of directors. Our amended and restated bylaws further provide that each committee of our board of directors will be composed as required by the partnership agreement. See Description of the Partnership Agreement of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P. Purpose, Business and Management.

Each member of our board of directors is elected by our stockholders to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies. Holders of shares of our common stock will have no right to cumulative voting in the election of directors, and directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast in the election of directors. Consequently, at each annual meeting of stockholders, the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock will be able to elect all of our directors.

Removal of Directors

Our charter provides that, subject to the rights of holders of one or more classes or series of preferred stock to elect or remove one or more directors, a director may be removed only for cause (as defined in our charter) and only by the affirmative vote of holders of shares entitled to cast at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors. This provision, when coupled with the exclusive power of our board of directors to fill vacant directorships, may preclude stockholders from removing incumbent directors, except for cause and by a substantial affirmative vote and from filling the vacancies created by such removal with their own nominees.

Business Combinations

Under the MGCL, certain business combinations (including a merger, consolidation, share exchange or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities) between a Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder (i.e., any person (other than the corporation or any subsidiary) who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of the corporation's outstanding voting stock after the date on which the

Table of Contents

corporation had 100 or more beneficial owners of its stock, or an affiliate or associate of the corporation who, at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the then outstanding stock of the corporation after the date on which the corporation had 100 or more beneficial owners of its stock) or an affiliate of an interested stockholder are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Thereafter, any such business combination between the Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder generally must be recommended by the board of directors of such corporation and approved by the affirmative vote of at least (1) 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of voting stock of the corporation and (2) two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting stock of the corporation other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom (or with whose affiliate) the business combination is to be effected, or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder, unless, among other conditions, the corporation's common stockholders receive a minimum price (as defined in the MGCL) for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares. A person is not an interested stockholder under the statute if the board of directors approved in advance the transaction by which the person otherwise would have become an interested stockholder. The board of directors may provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by it.

The statute permits various exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder. As permitted by the MGCL, our board of directors has adopted a resolution exempting any business combination between us and any other person from the provisions of this statute, provided that the business combination is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of directors who are not affiliates or associates of such persons). However, our board of directors may repeal or modify this resolution at any time in the future, in which case the relevant provisions of this statute will become applicable to business combinations between us and interested stockholders.

Control Share Acquisitions

The MGCL provides that holders of control shares (as defined below) of a Maryland corporation acquired in a control share acquisition (as defined below) have no voting rights with respect to those shares, except to the extent approved by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by stockholders entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, excluding votes cast by (1) the person who makes or proposes to make a control share acquisition, (2) any officer of the corporation or (3) any employee of the corporation who is also a director of the corporation. Control shares are voting shares of stock which, if aggregated with all other such shares of stock previously acquired by the acquirer or in respect of which the acquirer is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power: (1) one-tenth or more but less than one-third, (2) one-third or more but less than a majority or (3) a majority or more of all voting power. Control shares do not include shares the acquiring person is then entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained stockholder approval. A control share acquisition means the acquisition of issued and outstanding control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

A person who has made or proposes to make a control share acquisition, upon satisfaction of certain conditions (including an undertaking to pay expenses), may compel the board of directors to call a special meeting of stockholders to be held within 50 days of demand to consider the voting rights of the shares. If no request for a meeting is made, the corporation may itself present the question at any stockholders meeting.

If voting rights are not approved at the meeting or if the acquiring person does not deliver an acquiring person statement as required by the statute, then, subject to certain conditions and limitations, the corporation may redeem

any or all of the control shares (except those for which voting rights have previously been approved) for fair value determined, without regard to the absence of voting rights for the control shares, as of the date of the last control share acquisition by the acquirer or of any meeting of stockholders at which the voting rights of such shares are considered and not approved. If voting rights for control shares are approved at a stockholders meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares entitled to vote, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights. The fair value of the shares as determined for purposes of such appraisal rights may not be less than the highest price per share paid by the acquirer in the control share acquisition.

Table of Contents

The control share acquisition statute does not apply to, among other things, (1) shares acquired in a merger, consolidation or share exchange if the corporation is a party to the transaction or (2) acquisitions approved or exempted by the charter or amended and restated bylaws of the corporation.

Our amended and restated bylaws contain a provision exempting from the control share acquisition statute any acquisition by any person of shares of our stock. There can be no assurance that such provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future by our board of directors.

Subtitle 8

Subtitle 8 of Title 3 of the MGCL permits a Maryland corporation with a class of equity securities registered under the Exchange Act and at least three independent directors to elect to be subject, by provision in its charter or amended and restated bylaws or a resolution of its board of directors, without stockholder approval, and notwithstanding any contrary provision in the charter or amended and restated bylaws, to any or all of five provisions of the MGCL which provide, respectively, that:

the corporation's board of directors will be divided into three classes;

the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors generally is required to remove a director;

the number of directors may be fixed only by vote of the directors;

a vacancy on its board of directors must be filled only by the remaining directors and that directors elected to fill a vacancy will serve for the remainder of the full term of the class of directors in which the vacancy occurred; and

the request of stockholders entitled to cast at least a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the meeting is required for stockholders to require the calling of a special meeting of stockholders.

We have elected by a provision in our charter to be subject to the provisions of Subtitle 8 relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of directors. In addition, without our having elected to be subject to Subtitle 8, our charter and amended and restated bylaws already (1) require the affirmative vote of holders of shares entitled to cast at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors to remove a director from our board of directors, (2) vest in our board of directors the exclusive power to fix the number of directors and (3) require, unless called by our chairman, our president, chief executive officer or our board of directors, the request of stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the meeting to call a special meeting. We have not elected to create a classified board. In the future, our board of directors may elect, without stockholder approval, to classify our board of directors or elect to be subject to any of the other provisions of Subtitle 8.

Meetings of Stockholders

Pursuant to our amended and restated bylaws, an annual meeting of our stockholders for the purpose of the election of directors and the transaction of any business will be held on a date and at the time and place set by our board of directors. Each of our directors is elected by our stockholders to serve until the next annual meeting and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies under Maryland law. In addition, our chairman, our president, chief executive officer or our board of directors may call a special meeting of our stockholders. Subject to the provisions of our amended and restated bylaws, a special meeting of our stockholders to act on any matter that may properly be considered by our stockholders will also be called by our secretary upon the written request of stockholders entitled to cast a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the meeting on such matter, accompanied by the information required by our amended and restated bylaws. Our secretary will inform the requesting stockholders of the reasonably estimated cost of preparing and mailing the notice of meeting (including our proxy materials), and the requesting stockholder must pay such estimated cost before our secretary may prepare and mail the notice of the special meeting.

Table of Contents

Amendments to Our Charter and Bylaws

Under the MGCL, a Maryland corporation generally cannot amend its charter unless approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter unless a lesser percentage (but not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter) is set forth in the corporation's charter. Except for certain amendments related to the removal of directors, the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock and the vote required to amend those provisions (which must be declared advisable by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast not less than two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter), our charter generally may be amended only if the amendment is declared advisable by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Our board of directors, with the approval of a majority of the entire board, and without any action by our stockholders, may also amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series we are authorized to issue.

Our board of directors has the exclusive power to adopt, alter or repeal any provision of our amended and restated bylaws and to make new bylaws, provided that, for so long as the Second City Group has the right or prospective right to exercise the designation rights set forth in the partnership agreement, any amendment to, or alteration or repeal of, the provisions of our amended and restated bylaws relating to (a) the Second City Group's director designation and approval rights pursuant to the partnership agreement, (b) the composition of committees of our board of directors as required by the partnership agreement or (c) the amendment of our amended and restated bylaws (other than in a manner that further limits the power of our board of directors to adopt, alter or repeal any provision of our amended and restated bylaws), must be approved by the Second City Group.

Extraordinary Transactions

Under the MGCL, a Maryland corporation generally cannot dissolve, merge, consolidate, convert, sell all or substantially all of its assets, engage in a statutory share exchange or engage in similar transactions outside the ordinary course of business unless approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter unless a lesser percentage (but not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter) is set forth in the corporation's charter. As permitted by the MGCL, our charter provides that any of these actions may be approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Many of our operating assets will be held by our subsidiaries, and these subsidiaries may be able to merge or sell all or substantially all of their assets without the approval of our stockholders.

Appraisal Rights

Our charter provides that our stockholders generally will not be entitled to exercise statutory appraisal rights.

Dissolution

Our dissolution must be declared advisable by a majority of our entire board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders entitled to cast a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter.

Advance Notice of Director Nominations and New Business

Our amended and restated bylaws provide that, with respect to an annual meeting of stockholders, nominations of individuals for election to our board of directors and the proposal of other business to be considered by our

stockholders at an annual meeting of stockholders may be made only (1) pursuant to our notice of the meeting, (2) by or at the direction of our board of directors or (3) by a stockholder who was a stockholder of record both at the time of giving of notice and at the time of the meeting, who is entitled to vote at the meeting on the election of the individual so nominated or such other business and who has complied with the advance notice procedures set forth in our amended and restated bylaws, including a requirement to provide certain information about the stockholder and its affiliates and the nominee or business proposal, as applicable.

Table of Contents

With respect to special meetings of stockholders, only the business specified in our notice of meeting may be brought before the meeting. Nominations of individuals for election to our board of directors may be made at a special meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected only (1) by or at the direction of our board of directors or (2) provided that the special meeting has been properly called in accordance with our amended and restated bylaws for the purpose of electing directors, by a stockholder who is a stockholder of record both at the time of giving of notice and at the time of the meeting, who is entitled to vote at the meeting on the election of each individual so nominated and who has complied with the advance notice provisions set forth in our amended and restated bylaws, including a requirement to provide certain information about the stockholder and its affiliates and the nominee.

Anti-Takeover Effect of Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and Our Charter and Bylaws

Our charter and amended and restated bylaws and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change in control or other transaction that might involve a premium price for our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders, including:

supermajority vote and cause requirements for removal of directors;

requirement that stockholders holding at least a majority of our outstanding common stock must act together to make a written request before our stockholders can require us to call a special meeting of stockholders;

provisions that vacancies on our board of directors may be filled only by the remaining directors for the full term of the directorship in which the vacancy occurred;

the power of our board of directors, without stockholder approval, to increase or decrease the aggregate number of authorized shares of stock or the number of shares of any class or series of stock or to reclassify our stock;

the power of our board of directors to cause us to issue additional shares of stock of any class or series and to fix the terms of one or more classes or series of stock without stockholder approval;

the restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock; and

advance notice requirements for director nominations and stockholder proposals.

Likewise, if the resolution opting out of the business combination provisions of the MGCL was repealed, or if the business combination is not approved by our board of directors, or if the provision in the amended and restated bylaws opting out of the control share acquisition provisions of the MGCL were rescinded, or if we opted in to any of the other provisions of Subtitle 8, these provisions of the MGCL could have similar anti-takeover effects.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification of Directors and Officers

Maryland law permits a Maryland corporation to include in its charter a provision limiting the liability of its directors and officers to the corporation and its stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from (1) actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services or (2) active and deliberate dishonesty that is established by a final judgment and is material to the cause of action. Our charter contains a provision that eliminates such liability to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law.

Our charter and amended and restated bylaws provide for indemnification of our officers and directors against liabilities to the maximum extent permitted by the MGCL, as amended from time to time.

The MGCL requires a corporation (unless its charter provides otherwise, which our charter does not) to indemnify a director or officer who has been successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any proceeding, or any claim, issue or matter in any proceeding, to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service in that capacity. The MGCL permits a corporation to indemnify its present and former directors and officers, among others,

Table of Contents

against judgments, penalties, fines, settlements and reasonable expenses actually incurred by them in connection with any proceeding to which they may be made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of their service in those or other capacities unless it is established that:

the act or omission of the director or officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and (1) was committed in bad faith or (2) was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty;

the director or officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services; or

in the case of any criminal proceeding, the director or officer had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful.

However, under the MGCL, a Maryland corporation may not indemnify for an adverse judgment in a suit by or in the right of the corporation or for a judgment of liability on the basis that personal benefit was improperly received, unless in either case a court orders indemnification if it determines that the director or officer is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification, and then only for expenses. In addition, the MGCL permits a Maryland corporation to advance reasonable expenses to a director or officer upon its receipt of:

a written affirmation by the director or officer of his or her good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification by the corporation; and

a written undertaking by the director or officer or on the director's or officer's behalf to repay the amount paid or reimbursed by the corporation if it is ultimately determined that the director or officer did not meet the standard of conduct.

Our charter authorizes us, and our amended and restated bylaws obligate us, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law in effect from time to time, to indemnify and, without requiring a preliminary determination of the ultimate entitlement to indemnification, pay or reimburse reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of such a proceeding to:

any present or former director or officer of our company who is made, or threatened to be made, a party to the proceeding by reason of his or her service in that capacity; or

any individual who, while a director or officer of our company and at our request, serves or has served as a director, officer, partner, trustee, member or manager of another corporation, real estate investment trust, limited liability company, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise and who is made, or threatened to be made, a party to the proceeding by reason of his or her service in that capacity.

Our charter and amended and restated bylaws also permit us to indemnify and advance expenses to any individual who served our predecessor in any of the capacities described above and to any employee or agent of our company or our predecessor.

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers that provide for indemnification to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law.

Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer

Subject to certain exceptions, our charter provides that no person or entity may actually or beneficially own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the applicable constructive ownership provisions of the Code, more than 9.8% (in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock or more than 9.8% in value of the aggregate outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. For a fuller description of this and other restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock, see [Description of Stock Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer](#).

REIT Qualification

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interests to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT.

Table of Contents

**DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF CITY OFFICE REIT OPERATING
PARTNERSHIP, L.P.**

*A summary of the material terms and provisions of the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of City Office REIT Operating Partnership, L.P. is set forth below. This summary is not complete and is subject to and qualified in its entirety by reference to the applicable provisions of Maryland law and the partnership agreement. For more detail, please refer to the partnership agreement itself, a copy of which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is part. See *Where You Can Find More Information*.*

General

Substantially all of our assets are held by, and substantially all of our operations are conducted through, our operating partnership, either directly or through its subsidiaries. We are the sole general partner of our operating partnership and currently own 71.7% of outstanding common units. Upon completion of this offering, 14,839,052 common units will be outstanding and we will own 77.5% of the outstanding common units. In connection with our initial public offering, we entered into the partnership agreement and the Second City Group and other transferors that contributed directly or indirectly their interest in properties to our operating partnership were issued common units as partial consideration for their contribution and admitted as limited partners of our operating partnership. Our operating partnership is also authorized to issue a class of units of partnership interest designated as LTIP Units having the terms described below and is authorized to issue additional partnership interests in one or more additional classes, or one or more series of any of such classes, with such designations, preferences, conversion and other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications and terms and conditions of redemption (including, without limitation, terms that may be senior or otherwise entitled to preference over existing units) as we may determine, in our sole and absolute discretion, without the approval of any limited partner or any other person. We will not list the common units on any exchange nor will they be quoted on any national market system.

Provisions in the partnership agreement may delay or make more difficult unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes in our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or change of our control, although some stockholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable. These provisions also make it more difficult for third parties to alter the management structure of our operating partnership without the concurrence of our board of directors. These provisions include, among others:

redemption rights of limited partners and assignees of common units;

transfer restrictions on common units and other partnership interests;

a requirement that we may not be removed as the general partner of our operating partnership without our consent;

our ability in some cases to amend the partnership agreement and to cause our operating partnership to issue preferred partnership interests in our operating partnership with terms that we may determine, in either case, without the approval or consent of any limited partner; and

the right of the limited partners to consent to certain transfers of our general partnership interest (whether by sale, disposition, statutory merger or consolidation, liquidation or otherwise).

Purpose, Business and Management

Our operating partnership was formed for the purpose of conducting any business, enterprise or activity permitted by or under the Maryland Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act (the "Act"). Our operating partnership may (i) conduct the business of ownership, construction, reconstruction, development, redevelopment, alteration, improvement, maintenance, operation, sale, leasing, transfer, encumbrance, conveyance and exchange of any assets or properties of our operating partnership, (ii) acquire and invest in any securities or loans relating to any assets or properties of the operating partnership, (iii) enter into any partnership, joint venture, business trust arrangement, limited liability company or other similar arrangement to engage in any business permitted by or under the Act, and may own interests in any other entity engaged in any business

Table of Contents

permitted by or under the Act, subject to any consent rights set forth in our partnership agreement, (iv) conduct the business of providing property and asset management and brokerage services, whether directly or through one or more partnerships, joint ventures, subsidiaries, business trusts, limited liability companies or similar arrangements, and (v) do anything necessary or incidental to the foregoing.

In general, our board of directors manages the business and affairs of our operating partnership by directing our business and affairs, in our capacity as the sole general partner of our operating partnership. Except as otherwise expressly provided in the partnership agreement and subject to the rights of holders of any class or series of partnership interest, all management powers over the business and affairs of our operating partnership are exclusively vested in us, in our capacity as the sole general partner of our operating partnership. No limited partner, in its capacity as a limited partner, has any right to participate in or exercise management power over our operating partnership's business, transact any business in our operating partnership's name or sign documents for or otherwise bind our operating partnership. We may not be removed as the general partner of our operating partnership, with or without cause, without our consent, which we may give or withhold in our sole and absolute discretion. In addition to the powers granted to us under applicable law or any provision of the partnership agreement, but subject to certain rights of holders of common units or any other class or series of partnership interest, we, in our capacity as the general partner of our operating partnership, have the full and exclusive power and authority to do or authorize all things to conduct the business and affairs of our operating partnership, to exercise or direct the exercise of all of the powers of our operating partnership and the general partner of our operating partnership under Maryland law and the partnership agreement and to effectuate the purposes of our operating partnership, without the approval or consent of any limited partner. We may authorize our operating partnership to incur debt and enter into credit, guarantee, financing or refinancing arrangements for any purpose, including, without limitation, in connection with any acquisition of properties, on such terms as we determine to be appropriate, and to acquire or dispose of any, all or substantially all of its assets (including goodwill), dissolve, merge, consolidate, convert, reorganize or otherwise combine with another entity, without the approval or consent of any limited partner. With limited exceptions, we may execute, deliver and perform agreements and transactions on behalf of our operating partnership without the approval or consent of any limited partner.

Our amended and restated bylaws require that nominees for election as a director (including directors appointed by our board to fill vacancies), whether by the stockholders or by the board of directors, shall include among them, as applicable, those persons designated for nomination for election to, or those persons approved to fill vacancies on, our board of directors in accordance with or pursuant to the partnership agreement. The partnership agreement provides that so long as the Second City Group and entities controlled by the Second City Group own 30% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock (assuming all outstanding common units of our operating partnership not held by us or any of our wholly-owned subsidiaries that own common units of our operating partnership are tendered for redemption and exchanged for shares of our common stock, regardless of whether such common units are then eligible for redemption), the Second City Group will have the right from time to time to designate individuals for nomination for election by the stockholders to our board of directors, such that the number of directors serving (or who would serve upon election), and who are or had been designated for nomination or nominated to serve by the Second City Group, shall equal (i) if the number of directors comprising the entire board of directors is six or more, two; or (ii) if the number of directors comprising the entire board of directors is five or fewer, one. With our board of directors having six members, this would enable the Second City Group to designate two nominees for election as directors, although the election of each such nominee will be subject to the vote of our stockholders. Such rights to designate nominees for election as directors would also decrease as follows:

if the Second City Group and entities controlled by the Second City Group own less than 30% but at least 10% of our fully diluted outstanding common stock (assuming all outstanding common units of our operating partnership not owned by us or any of our wholly-owned subsidiaries that own common units of our operating partnership are tendered for redemption and exchanged for shares of our common stock, regardless of whether such common units are then eligible for redemption), then the Second City Group will have the right from time to time to designate individuals for nomination for election by the stockholders to our board of directors, such that the number of directors serving (or who would serve upon election), and who are or had been designated for nomination or nominated to serve by the Second City Group, shall equal one; and

if the Second City Group and entities controlled by the Second City Group own less than 10% of our fully diluted outstanding common stock (assuming all outstanding common units of our operating partnership not held by us or

Table of Contents

any of our wholly-owned subsidiaries that own common units of our operating partnership are tendered for redemption and exchanged for shares of our common stock, regardless of whether such common units are then eligible for redemption), then the Second City Group shall have no right under the partnership agreement to designate for nomination any individual to serve on our board of directors. If, subsequent to such period, the Second City Group and entities controlled by the Second City Group own 10% or more of our fully diluted outstanding common stock as determined in the same manner as described above, then the Second City Group shall again be entitled to exercise any designation or other applicable rights of the Second City Group set forth in the partnership agreement. The partnership agreement also provides that during any period in which the Second City Group and entities controlled by the Second City Group own at least 5% of our fully diluted outstanding common stock as determined in the same manner as described above, the Second City Group shall be entitled to identify an individual to attend and observe meetings of our board of directors. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Second City Group and entities controlled by the Second City Group own none of our common stock for a period of one year or more, the Second City Group's designation or other applicable rights under the partnership agreement shall terminate.

The partnership agreement provides that we, acting through our board of directors, will recommend and use all commercially reasonable good faith efforts to cause the election of each nominee of the Second City Group designated as described above. Our amended and restated bylaws require that each committee of the board of directors shall be composed as required by the partnership agreement. The partnership agreement provides that, for so long as the Second City Group shall retain designation rights under the partnership agreement to provide for at least one Second City Group nominee serving as a director, then at least one of the Second City Group nominees shall be appointed to each committee of our board of directors (provided that such nominee is qualified as independent under the rules, regulations and listing qualifications of the NYSE for service on any applicable committee) other than any committee whose purpose is to evaluate or negotiate any transaction with the Second City Group.

In addition, the partnership agreement provides that if a vacancy on the board of directors arises as a result of the death, disability, retirement, resignation or removal (with or without cause) of a Second City Group nominee, or as a result of an increase in the size of the entire board of directors, and such vacancy results in the number of the Second City Group nominees then on the board being less than the number that the Second City Group would then be entitled to designate for nomination under the partnership agreement if there were an election of directors at a time which no Second City Group nominees are incumbent members of our board of directors, then any individual(s) appointed by our board of directors to fill such vacancy or vacancies must be approved to do so by a majority of the Second City Group nominees then serving on our board of directors, and any director so elected or appointed shall be deemed a Second City Group nominee.

Our board of directors currently consists of six directors. Our charter and amended and restated bylaws provide that the number of directors constituting our board of directors may be increased or decreased by a majority vote of our board of directors, provided that the number of directors may not be decreased to fewer than the minimum number required under the MGCL, which is currently one.

Restrictions on General Partner's Authority

The partnership agreement prohibits us, in our capacity as general partner, from taking any action that would make it impossible to carry out the ordinary business of our operating partnership or performing any act that would subject a limited partner to liability as a general partner in any jurisdiction or any other liability, except as provided under the partnership agreement or under the Act. We may not, without the prior consent of the partners of our operating partnership (including us), amend, modify or terminate the partnership agreement, except for certain amendments that we may approve without the approval or consent of any limited partner, described in Amendment of the Partnership Agreement, and certain amendments described below that require the approval of each affected partner. Certain

amendments to the partnership agreement also require the consent of limited partners holding LTIP Units, as described in LTIP Units Voting Rights. We may not, in our capacity as the general partner of our operating partnership, without the consent of a majority in interest of the limited partners (excluding us and any limited partner 50% or more of whose equity is owned, directly or indirectly, by us):

take any action in contravention of an express provision or limitation of the partnership agreement;

Table of Contents

transfer all or any portion of our general partner interest in our operating partnership or admit any person as a successor general partner, subject to the exceptions described in Transfers and Withdrawals Restrictions on Transfers by the General Partner; or

voluntarily withdraw as the general partner.

Without the consent of each affected limited partner or in connection with a transfer of all of our interests in our partnership in connection with a merger, consolidation or other combination of our assets with another entity, a sale of all or substantially all of our assets or a reclassification, recapitalization or change in our outstanding stock permitted without the consent of the limited partners as described in Transfers and Withdrawals Restrictions on Transfers by the General Partner, or a Permitted Termination Transaction (as defined below in Transfers and Withdrawals Restrictions on Transfers by the General Partner), we may not enter into any contract, mortgage, loan or other agreement that expressly prohibits or restricts us or our operating partnership from performing our or its specific obligations in connection with a redemption of units or expressly prohibits or restricts a limited partner from exercising its redemption rights in full. In addition to any approval or consent required by any other provision of the partnership agreement, we may not, without the consent of each affected partner, amend the partnership agreement or take any other action that would:

convert a limited partner interest into a general partner interest (other than as a result of our acquisition of that interest);

adversely modify in any material respect the limited liability of a limited partner;

alter the rights of any partner to receive the distributions to which such partner is entitled, or alter the allocations specified in the partnership agreement, except to the extent permitted by the partnership agreement, including in connection with the creation or issuance of any new class or series of partnership interest or to effect or facilitate a Permitted Termination Transaction;

alter or modify the redemption rights of holders of common units or the related definitions specified in the partnership agreement (except as permitted under the partnership agreement to effect or facilitate a Permitted Termination Transaction);

alter or modify the provisions governing the transfer of our general partner interest in our operating partnership (except as permitted under the partnership agreement to effect or facilitate a Permitted Termination Transaction);

remove certain provisions of the partnership agreement relating to the requirements for us to qualify as a REIT or permitting us to avoid paying tax under Sections 857 or 4981 of the Code; or

amend the provisions of the partnership agreement requiring the consent of each affected partner before taking any of the actions described above (except as permitted under the partnership agreement to effect or facilitate a Permitted Termination Transaction).

Additional Limited Partners

We may cause our operating partnership to issue additional units in one or more classes or series or other partnership interests and to admit additional limited partners to our operating partnership from time to time, on such terms and conditions and for such capital contributions as we may establish in our sole and absolute discretion, without the approval or consent of any limited partner, including:

upon the conversion, redemption or exchange of any debt, units or other partnership interests or securities issued by our operating partnership;

for less than fair market value;

for no consideration;

in connection with any merger of any other entity into our operating partnership; or

upon the contribution of property or assets to our operating partnership.

Table of Contents

The net capital contribution need not be equal for all limited partners. Each person admitted as an additional limited partner must make certain representations to each other partner relating to, among other matters, such person's ownership of any tenant of us or our operating partnership. No person may be admitted as an additional limited partner without our consent, which we may give or withhold in our sole and absolute discretion, and no approval or consent of any limited partner is required in connection with the admission of any additional limited partner.

The partnership agreement authorizes our operating partnership to issue common units and LTIP Units and such other units as we may determine in our sole and absolute discretion. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, we may specify, as to any such class or series of partnership interest, the allocations of items of partnership income, gain, loss, deduction and credit to each such class or series of partnership interest.

Ability to Engage in Other Businesses; Conflicts of Interest

The partnership agreement provides that we may not conduct any business other than in connection with the ownership, acquisition and disposition of partnership interests, the management of the business and affairs of our operating partnership, our operation as a reporting company with a class (or classes) of securities registered under the Exchange Act, our operations as a REIT, the offering, sale, syndication, private placement or public offering of stock, bonds, securities or other interests, financing or refinancing of any type related to our operating partnership or its assets or activities and such activities as are incidental to those activities discussed above. In general, we must contribute any assets or funds that we acquire to our operating partnership in exchange for additional partnership interests. We may, however, in our sole and absolute discretion, from time to time hold or acquire assets in our own name or otherwise other than through our operating partnership so long as we take commercially reasonable measures to ensure that the economic benefits and burdens of such property are otherwise vested in our operating partnership.

The limited partners of our operating partnership expressly agree that we are acting for the benefit of the operating partnership, the limited partners of our operating partnership and our stockholders collectively. We are under no obligation to give priority to the separate interests of the limited partners in deciding whether to cause our operating partnership to take or decline to take any actions. If there is a conflict between the interests of us or our stockholders, on the one hand, and the limited partners of our operating partnership, on the other, the partnership agreement provides that any action or failure to act by us that gives priority to the separate interests of our stockholders or us that does not result in a violation of the contractual rights of the limited partners of our operating partnership under the partnership agreement will not violate the duty of loyalty that we owe to our operating partnership and its partners.

Distributions

Our operating partnership will distribute such amounts, at such times, as we may in our sole and absolute discretion determine:

first, with respect to any partnership interests that are entitled to any preference in distribution, in accordance with the rights of the holders of such class(es) of partnership interest, and, within each such class, among the holders of such class pro rata in proportion to their respective percentage interests of such class; and

second, with respect to any partnership interests that are not entitled to any preference in distribution, including the common units and, except as described below under Special Allocations and Liquidating Distributions on LTIP Units with respect to liquidating distributions and as may be provided in any incentive

award plan or any applicable award agreement, the LTIP Units, in accordance with the rights of the holders of such class(es) of partnership interest, and, within each such class, among the holders of each such class, pro rata in proportion to their respective percentage interests of such class.

Distributions payable with respect to any units that were not outstanding during the entire quarterly period in respect of which a distribution is made, other than units issued to us in connection with the issuance of shares of our common stock, will be prorated based on the portion of the period that such units were outstanding.

Table of Contents**Allocations**

Except for the special allocations to holders of LTIP Units described below under Special Allocations and Liquidating Distributions on LTIP Units, and subject to the rights of the holders of any other class or series of partnership interest, net income or net loss of our operating partnership will generally be allocated to our company, as the general partner, and to the limited partners in accordance with the partners' respective percentage ownership of the aggregate outstanding common units and LTIP Units. Allocations to holders of a class or series of partnership interest will generally be made proportionately to all such holders in respect of such class or series. However, in some cases gain or loss may be disproportionately allocated to partners who have contributed appreciated property or guaranteed debt of our operating partnership. The allocations described above are subject to special rules relating to depreciation deductions and to compliance with the provisions of Sections 704(b) and 704(c) of the Code and the associated Treasury Regulations.

Special Allocations and Liquidating Distributions on LTIP Units

A partner's initial capital account balance is equal to the amount the partner paid (or contributed to our operating partnership) for its units and is subject to subsequent adjustments, including as the result of allocations of the partner's share of income or loss of our operating partnership. Because a holder of LTIP Units generally will not pay for the LTIP Units, the initial capital account balance attributable to such LTIP Units will be zero. However, the partnership agreement provides that holders of LTIP Units will receive special allocations of income in the event of a sale or hypothetical sale of the assets of our operating partnership, prior to the allocation of income to our company or other holders of common units with respect to our company's or their common units. Such income will be allocated to holders of LTIP Units to the extent necessary to cause the capital account of a holder of LTIP Units to be economically equivalent to our company's capital account with respect to an equal number of common units. The term hypothetical sale does not refer to an actual sale of our operating partnership's assets, but refers to certain adjustments to the value of our operating partnership's assets and the partners' capital account balances, determined as if there had been a sale of such assets at their fair market value, as required by applicable Treasury Regulations. A hypothetical sale generally will occur upon (i) the acquisition of an interest or an additional interest in the operating partnership by any new or existing partner in exchange for more than a *de minimis* capital contribution; (ii) the distribution by the operating partnership to a partner of more than a *de minimis* amount of property or money as consideration for an interest in the operating partnership; and (iii) the grant of an interest in the operating partnership (including an LTIP Unit) as consideration for the provision of services to or for the benefit of the operating partnership. Further, we may delay or accelerate allocations to holders of LTIP Units, or adjust the allocation of income or loss among the holders of LTIP Units, so that, for the year during which each LTIP Unit's distribution participation date falls, the ratio of the income and loss allocated to the LTIP Unit to the total amounts distributed with respect to each such LTIP Unit is more nearly equal to the ratio of the income and loss allocated to our company's common units to the amounts distributed to our company with respect to its common units. Because distributions upon liquidation of our operating partnership will be made in accordance with the partners' respective capital account balances, not numbers of units, LTIP Units will not have full parity with common units with respect to liquidating distributions until the special allocations of income to the holders of LTIP Units in the event of a sale or hypothetical sale of our operating partnership's assets causes the capital account of a holder of LTIP Units to be economically equivalent to our company's capital account with respect to an equal number of common units. To the ext