

Neonode, Inc
Form 10-Q
October 31, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

- Quarterly report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011
- Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-8419

NEONODE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	94-1517641
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Sweden Linnegatan 89, SE-115 23 Stockholm, Sweden
USA 2700 Augustine Drive, Suite 100, Santa Clara, CA. 95054

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

Sweden + 46 8 667 17 17
USA + 1 925 768 0620

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Edgar Filing: Neonode, Inc - Form 10-Q

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “non-accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant’s common stock outstanding as of October 25, 2011, was 27,934,179.

The number of shares of the registrant’s Series A Preferred stock outstanding as of October 25, 2011 was 83.

The number of shares of the registrant’s Series B Preferred stock outstanding as of October 25, 2011 was 114.

Neonode, Inc. effected a 25-to-1 reverse stock split on the opening of business on March 28, 2011. All per share amounts and calculations in this Quarterly Report and the accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split.

NEONODE, INC.

INDEX TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 FORM 10-Q

PART I	Financial Information	
Item 1	Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010 (Audited)		3
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010		4
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010		5
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements		6
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	30
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	30
PART II	Other Information	31
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	31
Item 1A	Risk Factors	31
Item 2	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	31
Item 3	Default Upon Senior Securities	31
Item 4	Removed and Reserved	31
Item 5	Other Information	31
Item 6	Exhibits	31
SIGNATURES		32
EXHIBITS		33

PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

NEONODE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010 (Audited)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$4,146	\$911
Accounts receivable	594	151
Debt issuance costs, net	29	4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	171	161
Total current assets	4,940	1,227
Property and equipment, net	65	24
Total assets	\$5,005	\$1,251
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$307	\$442
Accrued expenses	383	643
Deferred revenue	2,578	540
Convertible debt, net of discounts, current portion	--	2,772
Embedded derivatives of convertible debt	7,662	6,718
Total current liabilities	10,930	11,115
Convertible debt, net of discounts, net of current portion	--	--
Total liabilities	10,930	11,115
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Stockholders' deficit:		
Series A Preferred stock, 889,081 shares authorized with par value \$0.001 per share; 83 and 166 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. (In the event of dissolution, each share of Series A Preferred stock has a liquidation preference equal to par value of \$0.001 over the shares of common stock)	--	--
Series B Preferred stock, 108,850 shares authorized with par value \$0.001 per share; 114 and 141 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. (In the event of dissolution, each share of Series B Preferred stock has a liquidation preference equal to par value of \$0.001 over the shares of common stock)	--	--
Common stock, 848,000,000 shares authorized with par value \$0.001 per share; 27,934,179 and 21,816,602 shares issued and outstanding at		

Edgar Filing: Neonode, Inc - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	28	22
Additional paid-in capital	120,682	102,360
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(79)	(63)
Accumulated deficit	(126,556)	(112,183)
Total stockholders' deficit	(5,925)	(9,864)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$5,005	\$1,251

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEONODE, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
 (In thousands, except per share amounts)
 (Unaudited)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net revenues	\$1,287	\$90	\$2,109	\$359
Cost of revenues	333	47	726	213
Gross margin	954	43	1,383	146
Operating expenses:				
Product research and development	385	225	1,043	855
Sales and marketing	411	123	1,126	210
General and administrative	518	822	2,303	1,525
Amortization of fair value of stock issued to related parties for purchase of Neonode Technologies AB (formerly AB Cypressen)	--	--	--	3,168
Total operating expenses	1,314	1,170	4,472	5,758
Operating loss	(360)	(1,127)	(3,089)	(5,612)
Other income (expense), net:				
Interest expense	(76)	(84)	(211)	(162)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	--	(356)	--	(356)
Non-cash items related to debt discounts and deferred financing fees and the valuation of conversion features and warrants				
	(1,475)	(6,979)	(11,043)	(18,459)
Total other expense, net	(1,551)	(7,419)	(11,254)	(18,977)
Loss before provision for income taxes	(1,911)	(8,546)	(14,343)	(24,589)
Provision for income taxes	19		30	
Net loss	\$(1,930)	\$(8,546)	\$(14,373)	\$(24,589)
Foreign currency translation loss	(34)	(90)	(16)	(74)
Comprehensive loss	\$(1,964)	\$(8,636)	\$(14,389)	\$(24,663)
Loss per common share:				
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.07)	\$(0.47)	\$(0.55)	\$(1.41)
Basic and diluted – weighted average shares used in per share computations	27,934	18,130	26,050	17,391

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEONODE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (14,373)	\$ (24,589)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation expense	517	3,275
Fair value of shares issued in settlement	--	563
Depreciation and amortization	17	7
Loss on troubled debt restructuring	--	356
Debt discounts and deferred financing fees and the valuation of conversion features and warrants	11,043	18,518
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(450)	(51)
Other assets	--	(32)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(12)	(60)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(205)	117
Deferred revenue	2,038	436
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,425)	(1,460)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(59)	(8)
Net cash used in investing activities	(59)	(8)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of convertible debt	4,228	1,597
Repayment of convertible debt	(25)	--
Proceeds from exercise of warrants	515	101
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,718	1,698
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1	13
Net increase in cash	3,235	243
Cash at beginning of period	911	28
Cash at end of period	\$ 4,146	\$ 271
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$ 90	\$ 76
Income taxes paid	\$ 4	\$ --
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash transactions:		
Fair value of shares of common stock and warrants issued to brokers in connection with financing, recorded as debt issuance costs and debt discount		
	\$--	\$ 128
Reclassification of derivative liability to additional paid-in-capital	\$--	\$ 10,417
Debt discount recorded as part of convertible debt financing Transactions, including warrants issued	\$ 4,228	\$ 1,761
Accounts payable converted in 2010 convertible debt offering	\$--	\$ 163

Edgar Filing: Neonode, Inc - Form 10-Q

Exchange of preferred stock for common stock	\$--	\$806
Debt issuance costs related to 2011 financing	\$35	\$--
Debt issuance costs recorded in connection of debt extinguishment	\$--	\$8
Accrued expenses converted to common stock	\$120	\$--
Conversion of debt and accrued interest to common stock	\$2,860	\$93
Reduction of derivative liabilities upon conversion of debt	\$13,379	\$150

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

NEONODE, INC.
Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Interim Period Reporting

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements, include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of expected results for the full 2011 fiscal year.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 have been prepared by us, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally contained in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes contained in our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Operations

Neonode, Inc., “we”, “us”, “our”, the “Company”, provide optical infrared touchscreen solutions for handheld and small to midsized consumer and industrial electronic devices. We license our touchscreen technology to Original Equipment Manufacturers (“OEMs”) and Original Design Manufacturers (“ODMs”) who imbed our touchscreen technology into electronic devices that they develop and sell. The cornerstone of our solution is zForce®, our innovative optical infrared touchscreen technology that offers a number of benefits compared to other touch screen technologies currently on the market, including lower cost and more functional alternatives. zForce® also consumes less power than our competitors’ solutions, is able to function in a wide temperature range, requires no screen overlay, and thus offers a much clearer picture while at the same time accommodating multi-touch functionality. zForce® combines full finger touch capabilities and high resolution pen support in the same solution.

Our technology licensing model allows us to focus on the development of solutions for multi-touch enabled screens, and thus we do not have to contend with the financial and logistical burden of manufacturing products, which is handled by our ODM/OEM clients. We license the right to use zForce® and software which, together with standard components from partners, creates a complete optical touch screen solution. The zForce® multi-touch product is our latest release and is currently being integrated into products such as mobile phones, mobile internet devices, eReaders, household appliances, printers, GPS devices and tablet PC’s. Our licensing model provides the added benefit of allowing us to grow sales without the need of increasing costs at anywhere near the same rate to support the sales growth.

On March 25, 2011, we filed a Certificate of Amendment of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation affecting a reverse stock split of the Company’s issued and outstanding shares of common stock and preferred stock at a ratio of twenty-five-to-one (the “Reverse Split”). The Certificate of Amendment provided that each twenty-five (25) outstanding shares of the Corporation’s common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, was exchanged and combined, automatically, without further action, into one (1) share of common stock, and each twenty-five (25) outstanding shares of the Corporation’s preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, was exchanged and combined, automatically, without further action, into one (1) share of preferred stock. The Reverse Split was declared effective on March 28, 2011 and has been reflected in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Liquidity

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. We have incurred net operating losses and negative operating cash flows since inception. As of September 30, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$126.6 million and a working capital (current assets less current liabilities, not including embedded derivatives of convertible debt) of approximately \$1.7 million. In addition, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we used cash in operating activities of approximately \$1.4 million. Our operations are subject to certain risks and uncertainties frequently encountered by companies in the early stages of operations. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, technical and quality problems in new products, ability to raise additional funds, credit risks and costs for developing new products. Our ability to generate revenues in the future will depend substantially on our ability to enter into contracts with customers and raise additional funds through debt or equity. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we completed a private placement of convertible notes and stock purchase warrants and some of our existing warrant holders exercised outstanding stock purchase warrants raising a total of approximately \$4.7 million in cash (see Note 3).

Our success is dependent on our obtaining sufficient capital or operating cash flows to fund our operations and the development of our technology and on our bringing such technology to the worldwide market. To achieve our objectives, we may be required to raise additional capital through public or private financings or other arrangements. It cannot be assured that such financings will be available on terms attractive to us, if at all. Such financings may be dilutive to stockholders and may contain restrictive covenants. If we are unable to obtain additional funding for operations, we may not be able to continue operations as proposed, requiring us to modify our business plan, curtail various aspects of our operations or cease operations. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recovery of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

2.Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Fiscal Year

Our fiscal year is the calendar year.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include the accounts of Neonode, Inc. and its wholly owned Swedish subsidiary, Neonode Technologies AB. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires making estimates and assumptions that affect, at the date of the financial statements, the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates include, but are not limited to, collectibility of accounts receivable, recoverability of long-lived assets, the valuation allowance related to our deferred tax assets, the fair value of embedded derivatives, and the fair value of securities, such as options and warrants issued for stock-based compensation and in certain financing transactions.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We have not had any liquid investments other than normal cash deposits with bank institutions to date. If in the future the Company purchases cash equivalents, the Company will consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs represent costs incurred in connection with the issuance of the convertible notes payable. Debt issuance costs are amortized over the term of the financing instrument on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our accounts receivable are stated at net realizable value. Our policy is to maintain allowances for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. Credit limits are established through a

process of reviewing the financial history and stability of each customer. Where appropriate, we obtain credit rating reports and financial statements of the customer when determining or modifying its credit limits. We regularly evaluate the collectibility of our trade receivable balances based on a combination of factors. When a customer's account balance becomes past due, we initiate dialogue with the customer to determine the cause. If it is determined that the customer will be unable to meet its financial obligation, such as in the case of a bankruptcy filing, deterioration in the customer's operating results or financial position or other material events impacting its business, we record a specific allowance to reduce the related receivable to the amount we expect to recover. Should all efforts fail to recover the related receivable, we will write-off the account. We also record an allowance for all customers based on certain other factors including the length of time the receivables are past due and historical collection experience with customers. We determined that an allowance for doubtful accounts was not necessary at September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method based upon estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from three to five years as follows:

Computer equipment	3 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years

Equipment purchased under capital leases is amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset or the term of the lease, whichever is shorter.

Upon retirement or sale of property and equipment, cost and accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the accounts and any gains or losses are reflected in the consolidated statement of operations. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

Long-lived Assets

We assess any impairment by estimating the future cash flow from the associated asset in accordance with relevant accounting guidance. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flow related to these assets decreases or the useful life is shorter than originally estimated, we may incur charges for impairment of these assets. At September 30, 2011, we believe there is no impairment of our long-lived assets. There can be no assurance, however, that market conditions will not change or sufficient commercial demand for our products and services will materialize, which could result in impairment of long-lived assets in the future.

Foreign Currency Translation and Transaction Gains and Losses

The functional currency of our foreign subsidiary is the applicable local currency, the Swedish Krona. The translation from Swedish Krona to U.S. Dollars is performed for balance sheet accounts using current exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date and for income statement accounts using a weighted-average exchange rate during the period. Gains or losses resulting from translation are included as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. Gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in general and administrative expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. For the period ended September 30, 2011, we recorded approximately \$15,500 related to foreign currency transaction losses. For the period ended September 30, 2010, our foreign currency transaction losses totaled approximately \$5,500.

Liability for Warrants and Embedded Derivatives

We do not enter into derivative contracts for purposes of risk management or speculation. However, from time to time, we enter into contracts that are not considered derivative financial instruments in their entirety but that include embedded derivative features, such as conversion features. Such embedded derivatives are assessed at inception of the contract and every reporting period, depending on their characteristics, are accounted for as separate derivative financial instruments pursuant to accounting guidance, if such embedded conversion features, if freestanding, would meet the classification of a liability. Accounting guidance requires that we analyze all material contracts and determine whether or not they contain embedded derivatives. Any such embedded conversion features that meet the above criteria are then bifurcated from their host contract and recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value and the changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recorded each period in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss as an increase or decrease to non-cash charges for derivatives associated with conversion features.

Similarly, if warrants meet the criteria in accordance with accounting guidance to be classified as liabilities, then the fair value of the warrants are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair values, and any changes in such fair values are recorded each period in the consolidated statements of operations as an increase or decrease to non-cash charges for derivatives associated with warrants.

Concentration of Credit and Business Risks

In the short term, we anticipate that we will depend on a limited number of customers for substantially all of our future revenue. Failure to anticipate or respond adequately to technological developments in our industry, changes in customer or supplier requirements or changes in regulatory requirements or industry standards, or any significant delays in the development or introduction of products or services, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and cash flows.

Our accounts receivable as of September 30, 2011 was due from five customers. Our net revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was earned from six customers, three of which accounted for approximately 94% of our total net revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Our net revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was earned from eight customers, three of which accounted for approximately 89% of our net revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Our customers are located in the US, Europe and Asia.

Our accounts receivable as of December 31, 2010 was due from five customers, three of which represented more than 10% of our accounts receivable balance. Our net revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was earned from two customers, one of which accounted for approximately 97% of our net revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Our net revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was earned from three customers, two of which accounted for approximately 94% of our net revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Cash balances are maintained at various banks in the US and Sweden. At times, deposits held with financial institutions in the United States of America may exceed the amount of insurance provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), which provides basic deposit coverage with limits up to \$250,000 per owner. In addition to the basic insurance deposit coverage, the FDIC is providing temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest bearing transaction accounts through December 31, 2012. As of September 30, 2011, the Company has \$2.7 million in excess of the insurance limits. The Swedish government deposit insurance covers 100% of a customer's cash deposits at a bank or securities company.

Risk and Uncertainties

Our long-term success is dependent on our obtaining sufficient capital to fund our operations and to develop our products, and on our bringing such products to the worldwide market and obtaining sufficient sales volume to be profitable. To achieve these objectives, we will be required to raise additional capital through public or private financings or other arrangements. It cannot be assured that such financings will be available on terms attractive to us, if at all. Such financings may be dilutive to our stockholders and may contain restrictive covenants.

We are subject to certain risks common to technology-based companies in similar stages of development. Principal risks include risks relating to the uncertainty of market acceptance for our products, a history of losses since inception, our ability to remain competitive in response to new technologies, the costs to defend, as well as risks of losing patents and intellectual property rights, a reliance on a limited number of suppliers, the concentration of our operations in a limited number of facilities, the uncertainty of demand for our products in certain markets, our ability to manage growth effectively, our dependence on key members of our management and development team, our limited experience in conducting operations internationally, and our ability to obtain adequate capital to fund future operations.

We are exposed to a number of economic and industry factors that could result in portions of our technology becoming obsolete or not gaining market acceptance. These factors include, but are not limited to, technological changes in our markets, our ability to meet changing customer requirements, competitive pressures in products and prices, and the ability of our customers to manufacture and sell their products that incorporate our technology.

A significant portion of our business is conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar (the currency in which its financial statements are reported), primarily the Swedish Krona and, to a lesser extent, the Euro. We incur a significant portion of our expenses in Swedish Krona, including a significant portion of our product development expenses and a substantial portion of our general and administrative expenses. As a result, appreciation of the value of the Swedish Krona relative to the other currencies, particularly the U.S. dollar, could adversely affect operating results. We do not currently undertake hedging transactions to cover our currency exposure, but we may choose to hedge a portion of our currency exposure in the future as deemed appropriate.

Our future success depends on market acceptance of our technology as well as our ability to introduce new versions of our technology to meet the evolving needs of our customers.

Revenue Recognition

Engineering Services:

We may sell engineering consulting services to our customers on a flat rate or hourly rate basis. We recognize revenue from these services when all of the following conditions are met: (1) evidence existed of an arrangement with the customer, typically consisting of a purchase order or contract; (2) our services were performed and risk of loss passed to the customer; (3) we completed all of the necessary terms of the contract; (4) the amount of revenue to which we

were entitled was fixed or determinable; and (5) we believed it was probable that we would be able to collect the amount due from the customer. To the extent that one or more of these conditions has not been satisfied, we defer recognition of revenue. Generally, we recognize revenue as the engineering services stipulated under the contact are completed and accepted by our customers.

Licensing Revenues:

We also derive revenue from the licensing of internally developed intellectual property (“IP”). We enter into IP licensing agreements that generally provide licensees the right to incorporate our IP components in their products with terms and conditions that vary by licensee. The IP licensing agreements generally include a nonexclusive license for the underlying IP. Fees under these agreements may include license fees relating to our IP and royalties payable following the sale by our licensees of products incorporating the licensed technology. The license for our IP has standalone value and can be used by the licensee without maintenance and support. As of September 30, 2011, Neonode meets all the accounting requirements for revenue recognition as per unit royalty products are distributed or licensed by the Company’s customers. For technology license arrangements that do not require significant modification or customization of the underlying technology, we recognize new technology license revenue when: (1) we enter into a legally binding arrangement with a customer for the license of technology; (2) customer distributes or license the products; (3) customer payment is deemed fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (4) collection is reasonably assured.

Prior to September 30, 2011, we deferred the technology license fee revenue until such time as the warranty period stipulated in the license agreement expired because we did not have sufficient historical experience in estimating potential warranty costs. From June 2010 to September 30, 2011, we entered into 11 technology license contracts with customers. To date there have been no warranty related costs incurred for any customer products after they have been released to market. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company performed an analysis and determined that it has sufficient historical evidence regarding estimated warranty costs and therefore began recognizing technology license fee revenues, net of warranty costs, if any, as the products incorporating the Neonode technology are distributed or licensed by our customers, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria has been met. Our customers report to us the quantities of products distributed by them after the end of the reporting period stipulated in the contract, generally 30 to 45 days after the end of the month or quarter. The effect of this change in accounting estimate was to increase net revenues by \$236,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and decrease net loss per share by \$0.01 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Explicit return rights are not offered to customers. There have been no returns through September 30, 2011.

Hardware Products:

We may from time-to-time develop custom hardware products for our customers that incorporate our touchscreen technology. Our policy is to recognize revenue from hardware product sales when title transfers and risk of loss has passed to the customer, which is generally upon shipment of our hardware products to our customers. We will estimate expected sales returns and record the amount as a reduction of revenue and cost of hardware and other revenue at the time of shipment. To date, we have not sold any hardware products.

Software Products:

We may derive revenues from software licensing sales. We will account for the licensing of software in accordance with accounting guidance and such guidance requires judgment, including whether a software arrangement includes multiple elements, and if so, whether vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) of fair value exists for those elements.

For software license arrangements that do not require significant modification or customization of the underlying software, we will recognize new software license revenue when: (1) we enter into a legally binding arrangement with a customer for the license of software; (2) we deliver the products; (3) customer payment is deemed fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (4) collection is reasonably assured.

On January 1, 2011, we adopted new authoritative guidance on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements containing multiple deliverables. This guidance requires us to allocate revenues to all deliverables based on their relative selling price using a specific hierarchy. The hierarchy is as follows: vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”), third-party evidence of selling price (“TPE”) or best estimate of selling price (“BESP”).

When a sale involves multiple elements, we will allocate the entire fee from the arrangement to each respective element based on VSOE of fair value and recognize revenue when each element’s revenue recognition criteria are met. VSOE of fair value for each element is established based on the price charged when the same element is sold separately. We have established VSOE for our software licenses based on historical stand-alone sales to third parties or from the stated renewal rates contained in the customer contracts. Maintenance service revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the support period.

We have not yet demonstrated VSOE for the professional services that are rendered in conjunction with our software license sales. In accordance with the hierarchy we would attempt to establish the selling price of professional services

using TPE. Our product contains significant differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. We are typically not able to obtain TPE for professional services.

When we are unable to establish selling prices using VSOE or TPE, we use BESP. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if professional services were sold on a stand-alone basis. BESP is generally used for offerings that are not typically sold on a stand-alone basis or for highly customized offerings.

We will also continue to defer revenues that represent undelivered post-delivery engineering support until the engineering support has been completed and the software product is accepted. To date, we have not sold any software products.

The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 amounted to approximately \$100,000 and \$9,000, respectively.

Product Research and Development

Research and development (“R&D”) costs are expensed as incurred. R&D costs consist mainly of personnel related costs in addition to some external consultancy costs such as testing, certifying and measurements.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

We measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments, including share options, based on the fair value of the award on the grant date, and recognize the value as compensation expense over the period the employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award, usually the vesting period, net of estimated forfeitures.

We account for equity instruments issued to non-employees at their fair value and the unvested portion is re-measured each reporting period as long as the instrument requires variable accounting.

When determining stock-based compensation expense involving options and warrants, we determine the estimated fair value of options and warrants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Accounting for Debt Issued with Detachable Stock Purchase Warrants and Beneficial Conversion Features

We account for debt issued with stock purchase warrants by allocating the proceeds of the debt between the debt and the detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the debt security without the warrants and the warrants themselves, if the warrants are equity instruments. The relative fair value of the warrants are recorded as a debt discount and amortized to expense over the life of the related debt using the effective interest method. At each balance sheet date, we make a determination if these warrant instruments should be classified as liabilities or equity, and reclassify them if the circumstances dictate.

In certain instances, the Company enters into convertible notes that provide for an effective or actual rate of conversion that is below market value, and the embedded conversion feature does not qualify for derivative treatment (a “BCF”). In these instances, we account for the value of the BCF as a debt discount, which is then amortized to expense over the life of the related debt using the straight-line method which approximates the effective interest method.

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of items that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. We estimate income taxes based on rates in effect in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The realization of deferred tax assets is based on historical tax positions and expectations about future taxable income. Valuation allowances are recorded against net deferred tax assets where, in our opinion, realization is uncertain based on the “not more likely than not” criteria of the accounting guidance.

Based on the uncertainty of future pre-tax income, we fully reserved our net deferred tax assets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. In the event we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such a determination was made. The provision for income taxes represents the net change in deferred tax amounts, plus income taxes paid or payable for the current period. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had no unrecognized tax benefits.

Net Loss Per Share

Net loss per share amounts have been computed based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Net loss per share, assuming dilution amounts from common stock equivalents, is computed based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock and potential common stock equivalents outstanding during the period. The weighted-average number of shares of common stock and potential common stock equivalents used in computing the net loss per share for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 exclude the potential common stock equivalents, as the effect would be anti-dilutive (See Note 8).

Comprehensive Loss

Our comprehensive loss includes foreign currency translation gains and losses which are reflected as a separate component in total stockholders' deficit.

Cash Flow Information

Cash flows in foreign currencies have been converted to U.S. dollars at an approximate weighted-average exchange rate for the respective reporting periods. The weighted-average exchange rate for the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss was 6.48 and 7.27 Swedish Krona to one U.S. Dollar for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The weighted-average exchange rate for the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss was 6.41 and 7.32 Swedish Krona to one U.S. Dollar for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The exchange rate for the condensed consolidated balance sheets was 6.86 and 6.78 Swedish Krona to one U.S. Dollar as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We disclose the estimated fair values for all financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. Financial instruments including cash, payables, accrued expenses, derivative liabilities and current portions of long-term debt are deemed to approximate fair value due to their short maturities. The carrying amounts of long-term debt cannot be reasonably determined since no quoted market prices exist for these instruments and quoted prices for similar instruments cannot be located (see Note 5).

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU 2009-13”), Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements (“ASU 2009-13”), which amends the revenue guidance under ASC Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, which describes the accounting for multiple-element arrangements. ASU 2009-13 addresses how to determine whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting and how arrangement consideration shall be measured and allocated to the separate units of accounting in the arrangement. ASU 2009-13 is effective on a prospective basis for the Company’s fiscal year 2011, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2009-13 on January 1, 2011 and determined that the adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-14, Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements (“ASU 2009-14”), which excludes tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver product’s essential functionality from scope of ASC Topic 985, Software, which describes the accounting for software revenue recognition. ASU 2009-14 is effective on a prospective basis for the Company’s fiscal year 2011, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2009-14 on January 1, 2011 and determined that the adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement(s) are required to be presented with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders’ equity but does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. Certain provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 are effective for the Company’s interim reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application required. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 is expected to result in presentation changes to the Company’s consolidated statements

of operations and comprehensive loss and the addition of a consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss). The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 will have no impact on the Company's statements of condition.

Deferred Revenue

Engineering development fees are recorded as deferred revenue until such time as the engineering services have been provided.

As of September 30, 2011, we have \$2.3 million of deferred license fee revenue related to a prepayment for future license fee from one customer and a total of \$0.3 million of deferred engineering development fees from four customers. We are deferring the engineering development fee revenue until such time as the engineering work has been completed. We expect to complete all services under these contracts by the fourth quarter of 2011.

3. Convertible Debt

Our convertible debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, December 31,	
	2011	2010
Senior Convertible Secured Notes - 2011	\$4,228	\$--
Senior Convertible Secured Notes - 2010	--	1,750
Senior Convertible Secured Notes - 2009	--	937
Senior Convertible Secured Notes - 2007	--	85
Total	4,228	2,772
Less: unamortized debt discounts	(4,228)	--
Total convertible debt, net of unamortized debt discount	--	--
Less: short-term convertible debt	--	(2,772)
Long-term convertible debt	\$--	\$--

Future maturities of notes payable (in thousands):

Year ended December 31,	Future Maturities of Convertible Notes Payable
2011	\$ --
2012	--
2013	--
2014	4,228
Total principal payments	\$ 4,228

Senior Convertible Secured Notes- 2007

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$0 and \$85,000, respectively, of convertible promissory notes (“Senior Convertible Secured Notes-2007”) bearing the greater of 8% or LIBOR plus 3% interest per annum, convertible into shares of our common stock at an original conversion price of \$87.50 per share. The Senior Convertible Secured Notes – 2007 were originally due August 26, 2010. On September 22, 2010, we entered into a debt modification agreement with the two holders of an aggregate of \$126,362 of convertible promissory notes that were due on August 26, 2010. Based on the terms of the modification, this transaction qualified as a debt extinguishment under the relevant accounting guidance. As part of the debt extinguishment, the maturity date of the convertible promissory notes was extended until April 26, 2011. We entered into a debt-for-equity repayment plan whereby we retired the convertible promissory notes in equal installments by issuing our common stock priced at a 15% discount from the average market closing price for the five days ending on the 25th of each month over the eight month period beginning September 26, 2010 ending on April 26, 2011. The holders of the notes had the right to convert the

outstanding balance priced at \$2.25 per share if the market value of our common stock was greater than \$2.50 per share for five consecutive days.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we issued the note holders 31,570 shares of our common stock pursuant to the debt-for-equity repayment plan and retired the remaining note principal balance on April 26, 2011. We recorded \$84,657 of note principal reduction and \$1,054 of interest payment.

September 2009 Senior Convertible Secured Notes Financing transaction

During the period from August 25, 2009 through December 15, 2009, we completed a private placement of convertible notes totaling \$987,000 that were converted, at the holder's option, into shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$0.50 per share (the "Convertible Notes - 2009"). The convertible note holders had the right to have the conversion price adjusted to equal the lower stock price if we issued common stock or convertible notes at a lower conversion price than \$0.50 per share during the period that the notes were outstanding. The Convertible Notes - 2009 that were originally due on December 31, 2010 were extended to June 30, 2011 (see below under Warrant Repricing and Debt Extension Financing Transaction – 2010) and bore an annual interest rate of 7%, payable on June 30 and December 31 of each year that the convertible notes were outstanding. In addition, we issued 986,983 three-year warrants to the convertible note holders with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The warrants may be exercised and converted to common stock, at the warrant holder's option, beginning on the six-month anniversary of the date of issuance until the warrant expiration date. We are not obligated to register the common stock related to the convertible debt or the warrants. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 we issued 1,851,486 shares of our common stock to holders of Convertible Notes – 2009 who converted principal of \$912,036 and \$13,707 of related accrued interest. On June 30, 2011, we paid the remaining outstanding principal balance of \$25,000 of Convertible Notes – 2009. As of September 30, 2011, all of the Convertible Notes – 2009 have been paid in full with cash or have been converted to shares of our common stock.

The embedded conversion feature of the Convertible Notes – 2009 met the definition of a derivative financial instrument and was classified as a liability in accordance with relevant accounting guidance. The note holders had the right to convert the debt into shares of our common stock, and the notes included price protection whereby these notes were protected for as long as the notes remained outstanding against future private placements made at lower share prices, and therefore, the total number of shares of our common stock that the convertible notes could be convertible into was not fixed. The embedded conversion features were revalued on each balance sheet date and marked-to-market with the change recorded to non-cash items related to debt discounts, deferred financing fees and the valuation of conversion features and warrants on the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. As a result of the conversions and repayments during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company reclassified approximately \$4.6 million of the related derivative liabilities to additional paid-in capital. As of September 30, 2011, the fair value of the remaining embedded conversion features was \$0 due to the repayment or conversion of all the Convertible Notes - 2009. The Company recorded a loss of \$2.3 million due to the change in the fair value of the embedded conversion features of these Convertible Notes – 2009 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recorded a total of \$15,000 and \$17,000, respectively, in interest expense related to the principal balance of the Convertible Notes – 2009.

Senior Convertible Secured Notes- 2010

During the period from January through June 30, 2010, we received \$1,597,000 in cash proceeds and converted \$163,000 of accounts payable related to a private placement of convertible notes and stock purchase warrants that were convertible, at the holder's option, into shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$0.50 per share and we issued 1,760,712 stock purchase warrants that had an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The convertible note holders had the right to have the conversion price adjusted to equal the lower stock price if we issued stock or convertible notes at a lower conversion price than \$0.50 during the period that the notes were outstanding. These convertible notes were originally due on December 31, 2010 and were extended to June 30, 2011 (see below under Warrant Repricing and Debt Extension Financing Transaction – 2010) and bore an annual interest rate of 7%, payable on June 30 and December 31 of each year that the convertible notes were outstanding. The warrants may be exercised and converted to common stock, at the warrant holder's option, beginning on the six-month anniversary date of issuance until the warrant expiration date. We are not obligated to register the common stock related to the convertible debt or the warrants. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we issued 3,557,171 shares of our common stock to holders of Convertible Notes – 2010 who converted principal of \$1,750,143 and \$28,442 of related accrued interest.

The embedded conversion feature of the convertible debt issued in the 2010 convertible debt financing transaction met the definition of a derivative financial instrument and was classified as a liability in accordance with accounting guidance. The note holders had the right to convert the debt into shares of our common stock, and the notes include price protection whereby these notes were protected for as long as the notes remained outstanding against future private placements made at lower share prices, and therefore, the total number of shares of our common stock that the convertible notes could be convertible into was not fixed. The embedded conversion features was revalued on each balance sheet date and marked to market with the increase or decrease in fair value to non-cash items related to debt discounts and deferred financing fees and the valuation of conversion features and warrants on the consolidated statements of operations. As a result of the conversions during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company reclassified approximately \$8.7 million of the related derivative liabilities to additional paid-in capital. As of September 30, 2011, all of the Convertible Notes – 2010 have been converted to shares of our common stock. As of September 30, 2011, the fair value of the remaining embedded conversion features is \$0 as all the Convertible Notes – 2010 were converted. The Company recorded a loss of approximately \$4.4 million due to the change in the fair value of the embedded conversion feature of these Convertible Notes – 2010 during the nine months ended September 30,

2011.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recorded a total of approximately \$28,000 and \$11,000, respectively, in interest expense related to the principal balance of the Convertible Notes – 2010.

Warrant Repricing and Debt Extension Financing Transaction - 2010

14

During September and October 2010, all of the holders of the convertible notes and the holders of the stock purchase warrants issued in the 2009 and 2010 Senior Secured Convertible Debt Financing Transactions agreed to extend the maturity date of their convertible debt from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011 under the same terms and conditions as the original notes. Holders of 2,766,857 stock purchase warrants also agreed to exercise their previously granted three-year warrants with an exercise price of \$1.00 for a discounted exercise price of \$0.88 per share. They received 2,766,857 shares of our common stock and 2,766,857 replacement three-year warrants with an exercise price of \$1.38 for each warrant exercised. We raised a total of \$2.4 million by these warrant exercises. In accordance with relevant accounting guidance, we noted that the transaction qualified for debt extinguishment accounting. As a result, the remaining debt discounts were written off and charged to interest expense and fair value of the replacement warrants were recorded to loss on extinguishment of debt, which was deemed to be the date that the convertible debt holder signs the modification agreement. As the Company did not have sufficient unissued authorized shares to cover all dilutive instruments until the increase in authorized shares on December 13, 2010, these replacement warrants were initially recorded as derivative liabilities at their grant date fair value. On December 13, 2010, the Company increased its authorized shares and then had sufficient authorized shares to cover all dilutive instruments and as such the replacement warrants were re-valued on that date (totaling \$4,335,785) and the Company reclassified the replacement warrants to additional paid-in-capital in accordance with relevant accounting guidance.

Senior Convertible Secured Notes- 2011

In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we received approximately \$4.2 million in cash proceeds related to a private placement of convertible notes, bearing interest at a rate of seven percent (7%) per annum, that mature on March 1, 2014, and that can be converted at the holder's option into 1,691,320 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$2.50 per share. The notes will automatically be converted into restricted shares of the Company's common stock in the event that on or before the note due date either (a) the Company's common stock is traded at a price per share of \$6.25 or higher for five (5) consecutive trading days, or (b) the Company consummates a financing in the amount of at least \$5 million. In the event the loan principal and accrued interest is not repaid by the Company by the due date, and the investor has not previously converted the note, the investor's sole remedy for such non-payment shall be the payment of additional annual interest at a rate of 10% per year. The accrued interest will be payable in stock, using the \$2.50 conversion price, or cash, at the holder's option, on June 30 and December 31 of each year.

On June 30, 2011, the Company issued 27,851 shares of unregistered common stock to note holders of the Senior Convertible Secured Notes – 2011 private placement financing transaction in lieu of cash for their \$69,628 accrued interest payment that was due June 30, 2011. In addition, the Company paid \$10,277 of the accrued interest in cash to note holders who declined to convert their interest payment to stock.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company issued 422,830 new five-year common stock purchase warrants, with an exercise price of \$3.13 per share (the "March 2011 Warrants"), with each investor receiving a number of March 2011 Warrants that is equal to twenty-five percent (25%) of the investor's note to the Company. The March 2011 Warrants may be exercised by cash payment or through cashless exercise by the surrender of warrant shares having a value equal to the exercise price of the portion of the warrants being exercised.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 we recorded a total of approximately \$75,000 and \$211,000, respectively, in interest expense related to the principal balance of the Senior Convertible Secured Notes – 2011. The \$75,000 interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 is included in our balance sheet under accrued expenses.

In connection with the March and April 2011 financing transactions the Company valued the warrants issued to the convertible note holders on a relative fair value basis using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, totaling \$938,000.

This relative fair value of the warrants was recorded as a debt discount. The embedded conversion features to the notes has been determined to meet the definition of a derivative liability and as of the date of issuance was valued at \$4.8 million. In accordance with relevant accounting guidance, the Company recorded an additional debt discount up to the full amount of the notes, recorded the derivative liability for the embedded conversion feature at \$4.8 million and recorded the fair value in excess of face amount of debt as interest expense on the issuance date of \$1.5 million. The debt discount will be amortized using the effective interest method resulting in interest expense of \$74, \$10,488, \$1.6 million and \$2.6 million in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 the amount of interest expense recorded related to the amortization of the debt discount was not significant. As of September 30, 2011, the fair value of the embedded conversion feature was \$7.7 million and as such, the Company recorded a loss on the change in fair value of the embedded conversion feature of \$2.9 million and \$1.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, which is included in the accompanying consolidated condensed statement of operations and comprehensive loss as a component on non-cash items related to debt discounts and deferred financing fees and the valuation of conversion features and warrants.

4. Stockholders' Deficit

Common Stock

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Series A Preferred stockholders exchanged 82.79 shares of Series A Preferred stock for 39,790 shares of our common stock, and Series B Preferred stockholders exchanged 25.79 shares of Series B Preferred stock for 6,282 shares of our common stock.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company issued a total of 5,408,657 shares of common stock as a result of the conversion of approximately \$2.7 million of the Senior Convertible Secured Notes – 2009 and 2010 private placement financing transactions debt principal and accrued interest.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$515,000 from the exercise of 493,426 warrants for shares of common stock.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we issued the note holders of Senior Convertible Secured Notes-2007 31,570 shares of our common stock pursuant to the debt-for-equity repayment plan and retired the remaining note principal balance on April 26, 2011.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company issued 60,000 shares of common stock and issued a 3-year warrant to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share in satisfaction of certain accrued expenses totaling \$120,000.

On April 25, 2011, Davisa Ltd exercised warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock. The Company recorded an additional \$50,000 as stock based compensation to compensate Davisa Ltd by waiving the \$1.00 exercise price and issued 50,000 shares of our common stock to Davisa Ltd for services provided for the private placement of convertible notes and warrants in the March 2011 financing transaction.

On June 30, 2011, the Company issued 27,851 shares of unregistered common stock to note holders of the Senior Convertible Secured Notes – 2011 private placement financing transaction in lieu of cash for their \$69,628 accrued interest payment that was due June 30, 2011 (see Note 3).

Preferred Stock

The terms of the Series A and Series B Preferred stock are as follows:

- Dividends and Distributions.

Series A Preferred: The holders of shares of Series A Preferred stock are entitled to participate with the holders of our common stock with respect to any dividends declared on the common stock in proportion to the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the shares of Series A Preferred stock held by them.

Series B Preferred: The holders of shares of Series B Preferred stock are entitled to participate with the holders of our common stock with respect to any dividends declared on the common stock in proportion to the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the shares of Series B Preferred stock held by them.

- Liquidation Preference.

Series A Preferred: In the event of any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our operations, either voluntary or involuntary, subject to the rights of any other series of Preferred stock to be established by the Board of Directors (the “Senior Preferred Stock”), the holders of Series A Preferred stock shall be entitled to receive, after any distribution to the holders of Senior

Edgar Filing: Neonode, Inc - Form 10-Q

Preferred Stock and prior to and in preference to any distribution to the holders of common stock, \$0.001 for each share of Series A Preferred stock then outstanding.

Series B Preferred: In the event of any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our operations, either voluntary or involuntary, subject to the rights of the Series A Preferred stock and Senior Preferred Stock, the holders of Series B Preferred stock shall be entitled to receive, after any distribution to the holders of Senior Preferred Stock and prior to and in preference to any distribution to the holders of common stock, \$0.001 for each share of Series B Preferred stock then outstanding.

· Voting.

The holders of shares of Series A Preferred stock and Series B Preferred stock shall have one vote for each share of Series A Preferred stock and Series B Preferred stock held by them.

· Conversion.

Initially, each share of Series A Preferred stock and each share of Series B Preferred stock was convertible into one share of our common stock. Any modification to the conversion rate requires shareholder approval (see below). On March 31, 2009, our shareholders approved a resolution to increase the authorized share capital, and to increase the conversion ratio to 480.63 shares of common stock for each share of Series A Preferred stock and to 132.07 shares of our common stock for each shares of Series B Preferred stock, thus completing the restructuring begun in December 2008.

Conversion of Preferred Stock Issued to Common Stock

On April 24, 2009, we initiated the process of allowing the shareholders of our preferred stock to convert the Series A and B Preferred stock to shares of our common stock. In order to convert the preferred stock to common stock each preferred stock shareholder is required to submit the preferred stock certificate to our transfer agent and request conversion to common stock. The conversion to common stock is not mandatory and shareholders who own preferred stock may choose not to convert their preferred stock to shares of our common stock. The following table summarizes the preferred stock not yet converted as of September 30, 2011.

	Shares of Preferred Stock Not Exchanged as of September 30, 2011	Conversion Ratio	Shares of Common Stock after Conversion of all Outstanding Shares of Preferred Stock Not yet Exchanged at September 30, 2011
Series A Preferred stock	83	480.63	39,892
Series B Preferred stock	114	132.07	15,056
Total remaining not exchanged	197		54,948

5. Fair Value Measurement of Assets and Liabilities

Accounting guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. The accounting guidance does not mandate any new fair-value measurements and is applicable to assets and liabilities that are required to be recorded at fair value under other accounting pronouncements.

The three levels of the fair-value hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets and liabilities. We had no level 1 assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly. We had no level 2 assets or liabilities.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs. We valued warrants and embedded conversion features that were without observable market values and the valuation required a high level of judgment to determine fair value (level 3 inputs). The Company estimates the fair value of these warrants and embedded conversion features using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions.

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
Annual dividend yield	--
Expected life (years)	0.08 – 3.0
Risk-free interest rate	0.08% - 1.27%
Expected volatility	110% - 263%

The following table shows the classification of our liabilities that are subject to fair value measurements and the roll-forward of these liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

Fair Value of Embedded Conversion Features and Warrants

December 31, 2010	6,718
Derivatives added in connection with issuance of convertible debt	4,762
Derivatives reclassified to equity in connection with repayment and conversions of debt	(13,379)
Net increase in fair value	9,561
September 30, 2011	\$ 7,662

6. Stock-Based Compensation

We have several approved stock option plans for which stock options and restricted stock awards are available to grant to employees, consultants and directors. All employee and director stock options granted under our stock option plans have an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date. There are no vesting provisions tied to performance conditions for any options, as vesting for all outstanding option grants was based only on continued service as an employee, consultant or director. All of our outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards are classified as equity instruments.

Stock Options

As of September 30, 2011, we had four equity incentive plans:

- The 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan), which expired in January 2006;
- The 1998 Non-Officer Stock Option Plan (the 1998 Plan), which expired in June 2008 ;
- The 2007 Neonode Stock Option Plan (the Neonode Plan), under which we will not grant any additional equity awards; and
- The 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan).

We also had one non-employee director stock option plan as of September 30, 2011:

- The 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the Director Plan).

A summary of the combined activity under all of the stock option plans is set forth below:

	Number of Options Outstanding	Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	19,804	\$ 35.39	\$102.75
Granted	---	---	---
Cancelled or expired	480	\$ 135.00 -	\$521.67
Exercised	---	---	---
Outstanding at September 30, 2011	19,324	\$ 35.39 - \$687.50	\$92.19

The aggregate intrinsic value of the 19,324 stock options that are outstanding, vested and expected to vest at September 30, 2011 is \$0.

The 1996 Plan terminated effective January 17, 2006, and the 1998 Plan terminated effective June 15, 2008. Although we can no longer issue stock options out of the plans, the outstanding options at the date of termination will remain outstanding and vest in accordance with their terms. Options granted under the Director Plan vest over a one to four-year period, expire five to seven years after the date of grant and have exercise prices reflecting market value of the shares of our common stock on the date of grant. Stock options granted under the 1996, 1998 and 2006 Plans are exercisable over a maximum term of ten years from the date of grant, vest in various installments over a one to four-year period and have exercise prices reflecting the market value of the shares of common stock on the date of grant.

The Neonode Plan has been designed for participants (i) who are subject to Swedish income taxation (each, a Swedish Participant) and (ii) who are not subject to Swedish income taxation (each, a Non-Swedish Participant). The options issued under the plan to the Non-Swedish Participant are five year options. We will not grant any additional equity awards out of the Neonode Plan.

We did not grant any options to purchase shares of our common stock to employees or members of our Board of Directors during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Warrants

We issued 80,000 five-year stock purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share to our legal advisor during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. We also issued 20,000 three-year stock purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share to one of our US based employees during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. In addition, we issued 20,000 three-year stock purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$4.05 per share to an engineering consultant during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The warrants to purchase an aggregate of 120,000 shares of our common stock vested on the date of grant. We issued 20,000 three-year stock purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$3.90 per share with a vesting period over 24 months to an employee during the three months ended September 30, 2011. The stock underlying the stock purchase warrants granted to our employee, consultant and legal advisor has not been registered for resale. The vested warrant granted to our legal advisor has a fair value on the date of grant of \$193,000 and is included in general and administrative expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The vested warrant granted to our employee has a fair value on the date of grant of \$37,000 and is included in product research and development expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The vested warrant granted to our engineering consultant has a fair value on the date of grant of \$73,000 and is included in product research and development expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The unvested warrant granted to an employee has a fair value on the date of grant of \$75,000. This amount will be expensed over the vesting period and \$2,350 of expense related to this warrant is included in product research and development expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The fair value of stock-based compensation related to the employee warrants is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model as of the grant date of the underlying warrant.

The stock-based compensation expense reflects the fair value of the vested portion of options and warrants for the recipients at the date of issuance plus the amortization of the unvested portion of the stock options, and warrants and the cost of warrant exercises paid by the Company. Employee and director stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and warrants, the amortization of the fair value of common stock and the cost of warrant exercises in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss is as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30, 2010	Three months ended September 30, 2011	
Stock-based compensation	\$36	\$45	
	Nine months ended September 30, 2010	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	Remaining unamortized expense at September 30, 2011
Stock-based compensation	\$3,275	\$517	\$ 188

The remaining unamortized expense related to stock options and warrants will be recognized on a straight line basis monthly as compensation expense over the remaining vesting period which approximates two years.

See Note 5 for assumptions used to value warrants and embedded conversion features during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

A summary of all warrant activity is set forth below:

	September 30, 2011		
	Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
Outstanding and exercisable			
January 1, 2011	5,139,489	\$ 0.57	3.56
Issued	809,543	\$ 3.08	4.31
Expired/forfeited	--	--	--
Exercised	(543,426)	\$ 1.04	2.99
Outstanding and exercisable, September 30, 2011	5,405,606	\$ 1.57	2.70

In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company issued 422,830 new five-year common stock purchase warrants, with an exercise price of 3.13 per share (see Note 3).

In March 2011, the Company entered into a warrant agreement with investors who participated in the Company's 2009 and 2010 financing transactions and who had been issued common stock purchase warrants with exercise prices of \$0.50 per share, \$1.00 per share, and \$1.38 per share (the "2009 and 2010 Warrants"). Pursuant to the warrant agreement, each warrant holder who exercised some or all of its outstanding 2009 and 2010 Warrants at the applicable exercise price (\$0.50 per share, \$1.00 per share, and/or \$1.38 per share), received a number of March 2011 Warrants equal to fifty percent (50%) of the number of 2009 and 2010 Warrants exercised by such warrant holder. The warrant holders exercised an aggregate of 493,426 outstanding 2009 and 2010 Warrants, for an aggregate investment of \$515,000 and received 493,426 shares of common stock and 246,713 new five-year common stock purchase warrants, with an exercise price of \$3.13 per share. The March 2011 Warrants may be exercised by cash payment or through cashless exercise by the surrender of warrant shares having a value equal to the exercise price of the portion of the warrants being exercised.

On April 25, 2011, Davisa Ltd. exercised warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock. The Company recorded an additional \$50,000 as stock based compensation to compensate Davisa Ltd. by waiving the \$1.00 exercise price, and issued 50,000 shares of our common stock to Davisa Ltd. for services provided for the private placement of convertible notes and warrants in the March 2011 financing transaction.

The fair value of stock-based awards to employees is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, even though this model was developed to estimate the fair value of freely tradable, fully transferable options without vesting restrictions, which differ significantly from our stock options. The Black-Scholes model also requires subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. The expected term and forfeiture rate of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior, as well as expected behavior on outstanding options. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury rates in effect during the corresponding period of grant. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock price. These factors could change in the future, which would affect fair values of stock options granted in such future periods, and could cause volatility in the total amount of the stock-based compensation expense reported in future periods.

Below is a summary of Outstanding Warrants to Purchase Common Stock as of September 30, 2011:

Description	Issue Date	Exercise Price	Shares	Expiration Date
-------------	------------	----------------	--------	-----------------

Edgar Filing: Neonode, Inc - Form 10-Q

September 2007 Investor Warrant	9/26/2007	\$	31.75	233	9/26/2012
August 2009 Employee Warrants	8/25/2009	\$	0.50	440,000	8/25/2016
January 2010 Investor Warrant	1/28/2010	\$	1.00	40,000	1/28/2013
2007 Debt Extension Warrants	9/22/2010	\$	1.00	16,000	9/22/2015
September 2010 Repricing Warrant	9/28/2010	\$	1.38	25,000	9/28/2013
October 2010 Repricing Warrants	10/18/2010	\$	1.38	2,434,830	10/18/2013
October 2010 Employee Warrants	10/15/2010	\$	1.38	1,440,000	10/15/2013
December 2010 Employee Warrants	12/3/2010	\$	1.63	200,000	12/3/2015
January 2011 Employee Warrant	1/21/2011	\$	2.00	20,000	1/21/2014
February 2011 Legal Advisor Warrant	2/22/2011	\$	2.50	80,000	2/22/2016
March 2011 Investor Warrants	3/9/2011	\$	3.13	620,443	3/9/2016
March 2011 Investor Warrants	4/7/2011	\$	3.13	49,100	4/7/2016
May 2011 Consultant Warrant	5/17/2011	\$	4.05	20,000	5/17/2014
September 2011 Employee Warrant	9/12/2011	\$	3.90	20,000	9/12/2014
Total Warrants Outstanding				5,405,606	

7. Commitments and Contingencies

Indemnities and Guarantees

We have agreed to indemnify each of our executive officers and directors for certain events or occurrences arising as a result of the officer or director serving in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. However, we have a directors' and officers' liability insurance policy that should enable us to recover a portion of future amounts paid. As a result of our insurance policy coverage, we believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal and have no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

We enter into indemnification provisions under our agreements with other companies in the ordinary course of business, typically with business partners, contractors, customers and landlords. Under these provisions we generally indemnify and hold harmless the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party as a result of our activities or, in some cases, as a result of the indemnified party's activities under the agreement. These indemnification provisions often include indemnifications relating to representations made by us with regard to intellectual property rights. These indemnification provisions generally survive termination of the underlying agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification provisions is unlimited. We have not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, we believe the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

8. Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was computed by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders for the relevant period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding.

Potential common stock equivalents of approximately 19,324 and 19,800 outstanding stock options, 5.4 million and 3.6 million outstanding stock warrants, 55,000 and 100,000 shares issuable upon conversion of preferred stock and 1.7 million and 5.5 million shares issuable upon conversion of notes are excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation for the periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, due to their anti-dilutive effect.

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
BASIC AND DILUTED		
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	27,934	18,130
Number of shares for computation of net loss per share	27,934	18,130
Net loss	\$(1,930)	\$(8,546)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$(0.07)	\$(0.47)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Nine Months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
BASIC AND DILUTED		
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	26,050	17,391
Number of shares for computation of net loss per share	26,050	17,391
Net loss	\$(14,373)	\$(24,589)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$(0.55)	\$(1.41)

21

9. Segment Information

The Company has one reportable segment, which is comprised of the touch screen technology licensing business. All of our sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were to customers located in the US, Europe and Asia

The following table presents net revenues by geographic region for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	2011		2010	
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage
Net revenues made in the U.S.	\$ 741	58%	\$ --	--%
Net revenues made outside of the U.S.	\$ 546	42%	\$ 90	100%
	\$ 1,287	100%	\$ 90	100%

The following table presents net revenues by geographic region for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	2011		2010	
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage
Net revenues made in the U.S.	\$ 955	45%	\$ --	--%
Net revenues made outside of the U.S.	\$ 1,154	55%	\$ 359	100%
	\$ 2,109	100%	\$ 359	100%

10. Related Party Transactions

Mr. Per Bystedt, our Chairman and former Chief Executive Officer, invested a total of \$75,000 of the \$4.2 million raised in March and April 2011 private placement financing transaction and received a convertible note that may be converted into 30,000 shares of our common stock and 7,500 March 2011 Warrants to purchase our common stock at \$3.13 per share.

On March 31, 2011, Mr. Bystedt and Iwo Jima SARL, a company controlled by Mr. Bystedt, converted \$295,434 of 2009 and 2010 convertible notes that were due on June 30, 2010 plus \$5,084 of accrued interest to 600,941 shares of our common stock.

On June 30, 2011, the Company issued Mr. Bystedt 572 shares of restricted common stock in lieu of a \$1,429 cash interest payment related to the convertible note he purchased in March 2011 (see Note 3).

Employees and relatives of employees of the Company, other than Mr. Bystedt, invested a total of \$218,000 of the \$4.2 million raised in the March and April 2011 private placement financing transaction and received convertible notes that may be converted into 87,364 shares of our common stock and a total of 8,735 March 2011 Warrants to purchase our common stock at \$3.13 per share.

Davisa Ltd, a company controlled by Mr. Mats Dahlin who is a member of the board of directors of our wholly owned subsidiary Neonode Technologies AB, participated in the March 2011 warrant exercise agreement and exercised 186,400 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share for \$93,200. Davisa Ltd. received 186,400 shares of our common stock and 93,200 March 2011 Warrants to purchase our common stock at \$3.13 per share.

On March 9, 2011, Davisa Ltd converted \$215,724 of 2009 and 2010 convertible notes that were due on June 30, 2010 plus \$2,811 of accrued interest to 437,070 shares of our common stock.

On April 25, 2011, Davisa Ltd. exercised warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock. The Company recorded an additional \$50,000 as stock based compensation to compensate Davisa Ltd. by waiving the \$1.00 exercise price, and issued 50,000 shares of our common stock to Davisa Ltd. for services provided for the private placement of convertible notes and warrants in the March 2011 financing transaction.

11. Subsequent Events

We have evaluated subsequent events through the filing date of this Form 10-Q, and have determined that no subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition in the consolidated financial statements or disclosure in the notes thereto.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward Looking Statements

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Words such as "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "intends" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. Readers are cautioned that the forward-looking statements reflect our analysis only as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update these statements. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results discussed in or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those risks and uncertainties set forth under the caption "Risk Factors" below.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We provide optical infrared touchscreen solutions for handheld and small to mid-sized consumer and industrial electronic devices. We license our touchscreen technology to Original Equipment Manufacturers ("OEMs") and Original Design Manufacturers ("ODMs") who imbed our touchscreen technology into electronic devices that they develop and sell. The cornerstone of our solution is zForce®, our innovative optical infrared touchscreen technology that offers a number of benefits compared to other touch screen technologies currently on the market, including lower cost and more functional alternatives. zForce® also consumes less power than our competitor's solutions, is able to function in a wide temperature range, requires no screen overlay, and thus offers a much clearer picture while at the same time accommodating multi-touch functionality. zForce® combines full finger touch capabilities and high resolution pen support in the same solution.

Our technology licensing model allows us to focus on the development of solutions for multi-touch enabled screens, and thus we do not have to contend with the financial and logistical burden of manufacturing products, which is handled by our ODM/OEM clients. We license the right to use zForce® and software which, together with standard components from partners, creates a complete optical touch screen solution. The zForce® multi-touch product is our latest release and is currently being integrated into products such as mobile phones, mobile internet devices, eReaders, digital picture frames, printers, GPS devices and tablet PC's. Our licensing model provides the added benefit of allowing us to grow sales without the need of increasing costs at anywhere near the same rate to support the sales growth.

On March 25, 2011, we filed a Certificate of Amendment of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation affecting a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of common stock and preferred stock at a ratio of twenty-five-to-one (the "Reverse Split"). The Certificate of Amendment provided that each twenty-five (25) outstanding shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, was exchanged and combined, automatically, without further action, into one (1) share of common stock, and each twenty-five (25) outstanding shares of the Company's preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, was exchanged and combined, automatically, without further action, into one (1) share of preferred stock. The Reverse Split was declared effective on March 28, 2011 and has been reflected in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business.

We have incurred net operating losses and negative operating cash flows since inception. As of September 30, 2011, we had an accumulated deficit of \$126.6 million and a working capital (current assets less current liabilities, not including non-cash embedded derivatives of convertible debt and warrants) of \$1.7 million. In addition, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we used cash in operating activities of \$1.4 million. Our operations are subject to certain risks and uncertainties frequently encountered by companies in the early stages of operations. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, technical and quality problems in new products, , uncertainty regarding our ability to raise additional funds, credit risks and costs for developing new products. Our ability to generate revenues in the future will depend substantially on our ability to enter into contracts with customers and raise additional funds through debt or equity. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we completed a private placement of convertible notes and stock purchase warrants totaling \$4.2 million. In addition, we received \$515,000 in proceeds from the exercise of stock purchase warrants.

If additional capital is needed there is no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining sufficient funding on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to secure additional funding and/or our stockholders, if required, do not approve such financing, we would have to curtail certain expenditures which we consider necessary for optimizing the probability of success of developing new products and executing our business plan. If we are unable to obtain additional funding for operations, we may not be able to continue operations as proposed, requiring us to modify our business plan, curtail various aspects of our operations or cease operations. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recovery of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

In addition to the immediate risks relating to our ability to continue as a going concern and to obtain funding under the current market conditions, we are subject to certain risks common to technology-based companies in similar stages of development. See “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Principal risks include risks relating to the uncertainty of growth in market acceptance for our technology, a history of losses since inception, our ability to remain competitive in response to new technologies, the costs to defend, as well as risks of losing, patents and intellectual property rights, a reliance on our future customers’ ability to develop and sell products that incorporate our technology, the concentration of our operations in a limited number of facilities, the uncertainty of demand for our technology in certain markets, our ability to manage growth effectively, our dependence on key members of our management and development team, our limited experience in conducting operations internationally, and our ability to obtain adequate capital to fund future operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 include the accounts of Neonode, Inc. and our wholly owned subsidiary, Neonode Technologies AB. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our net accounts receivable are stated at net realizable value. Our policy is to maintain allowances for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. Credit limits are established through a process of reviewing the financial history and stability of each customer. Where appropriate, we obtain credit rating reports and financial statements of the customer when determining or modifying its credit limits. We regularly evaluate the collectibility of our trade receivable balances based on a combination of factors. When a customer’s account balance becomes past due, we initiate dialogue with the customer to determine the cause. If it is determined that the customer will be unable to meet its financial obligation, such as in the case of a bankruptcy filing, deterioration in the customer’s operating results or financial position or other material events impacting its business, we record a specific allowance to reduce the related receivable to the amount we expect to recover. Should all efforts fail to recover the related receivable, we will write-off the account. We also record an allowance for all customers based on certain other factors including the length of time the receivables are past due and historical collection experience with customers. We did not record an allowance for doubtful accounts at September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Long-lived Assets

We assess any impairment by estimating the future cash flow from the associated asset in accordance with relevant accounting guidance. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flow related to these assets decreases or the useful life is shorter than originally estimated, we may incur charges for impairment of these assets. At September 30, 2011, we believe there is no impairment of our long-lived assets. There can be no assurance, however, that market conditions will not change or sufficient commercial demand for our products and services will materialize, which could result in impairment of long-lived assets in the future.

Liability for Warrants and Embedded Derivatives

We do not enter into derivative contracts for purposes of risk management or speculation. However, from time to time, we enter into contracts that are not considered derivative financial instruments in their entirety but that include embedded derivative features, such as conversion features. Such embedded derivatives are assessed at inception of the

contract and every reporting period, depending on their characteristics, are accounted for as separate derivative financial instruments pursuant to accounting guidance, if such embedded conversion features, if freestanding, would meet the classification of a liability. Accounting guidance requires that we analyze all material contracts and determine whether or not they contain embedded derivatives. Any such embedded conversion features that meet the above criteria are then bifurcated from their host contract and recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value and the changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recorded each period in the consolidated statements of operations as an increase or decrease to non-cash charges for derivatives associated with conversion features.

Similarly, if warrants meet the criteria in accordance with accounting guidance to be classified as liabilities, then the fair value of the warrants are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at their fair values, and any changes in such fair values are recorded each period in the consolidated statements of operations as an increase or decrease to non-cash charges for derivatives associated with warrants.

Revenue Recognition

Engineering Services:

We may sell engineering consulting services to our customers on a flat rate or hourly rate basis. We recognize revenue from these services when all of the following conditions are met: (1) evidence existed of an arrangement with the customer, typically consisting of a purchase order or contract; (2) our services were performed and risk of loss passed to the customer; (3) we completed all of the necessary terms of the contract; (4) the amount of revenue to which we were entitled was fixed or determinable; and (5) we believed it was probable that we would be able to collect the amount due from the customer. To the extent that one or more of these conditions has not been satisfied, we defer recognition of revenue. Generally, we recognize revenue as the engineering services stipulated under the contact are completed and accepted by our customers.

Licensing Revenues:

We also derive revenue from the licensing of internally developed intellectual property ("IP"). We enter into IP licensing agreements that generally provide licensees the right to incorporate our IP components in their products with terms and conditions that vary by licensee. The IP licensing agreements generally include a nonexclusive license for the underlying IP. Fees under these agreements may include license fees relating to our IP and royalties payable following the sale by our licensees of products incorporating the licensed technology. The license for our IP has standalone value and can be used by the licensee without maintenance and support. As of September 30, 2011, Neonode meets all the accounting requirements for revenue recognition as per unit royalty products are distributed or licensed by the Company's customers. For technology license arrangements that do not require significant modification or customization of the underlying technology, we recognize new technology license revenue when: (1) we enter into a legally binding arrangement with a customer for the license of technology; (2) customer distributes or license the products; (3) customer payment is deemed fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (4) collection is reasonably assured.

Prior to September 30, 2011, we deferred the technology license fee revenue until such time as the warranty period stipulated in the license agreement expired because we did not have sufficient historical experience in estimating potential warranty costs. From June 2010 to September 30, 2011, we entered into 11 technology license contracts with customers. To date there have been no warranty related costs incurred for any customer products after they have been released to market. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company performed an analysis and determined that it has sufficient historical evidence regarding estimated warranty costs and therefore began recognizing technology license fee revenues, net of warranty costs, if any, as the products incorporating the Neonode technology are distributed or licensed by our customers, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria has been met. Our customers report to us the quantities of products distributed by them after the end of the reporting period stipulated in the contract, generally 30 to 45 days after the end of the month or quarter. The effect of this change in accounting estimate was to increase net revenues by \$236,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and decrease net loss per share by \$0.01 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Explicit return rights are not offered to customers. There have been no returns through September 30, 2011.

Hardware Products:

We may from time-to-time develop custom hardware products for our customers that incorporate our touchscreen technology. Our policy is to recognize revenue from hardware product sales when title transfers and risk of loss has passed to the customer, which is generally upon shipment of our hardware products to our customers. We will estimate expected sales returns and record the amount as a reduction of revenue and cost of hardware and other

revenue at the time of shipment. To date, we have not sold any hardware products.

Software Products:

We may derive revenues from software licensing sales. We will account for the licensing of software in accordance with accounting guidance and such guidance requires judgment, including whether a software arrangement includes multiple elements, and if so, whether vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) of fair value exists for those elements.

For software license arrangements that do not require significant modification or customization of the underlying software, we will recognize new software license revenue when: (1) we enter into a legally binding arrangement with a customer for the license of software; (2) we deliver the products; (3) customer payment is deemed fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties; and (4) collection is reasonably assured.

On January 1, 2011, we adopted new authoritative guidance on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements containing multiple deliverables. This guidance requires us to allocate revenues to all deliverables based on their relative selling price using a specific hierarchy. The hierarchy is as follows: vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”), third-party evidence of selling price (“TPE”) or best estimate of selling price (“BESP”).

When a sale involves multiple elements, we will allocate the entire fee from the arrangement to each respective element based on VSOE of fair value and recognize revenue when each element's revenue recognition criteria are met. VSOE of fair value for each element is established based on the price charged when the same element is sold separately. We have established VSOE for our software licenses based on historical stand-alone sales to third parties or from the stated renewal rates contained in the customer contracts. Maintenance service revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the support period.

We have not yet demonstrated VSOE for the professional services that are rendered in conjunction with our software license sales. In accordance with the hierarchy we would attempt to establish the selling price of professional services using TPE. Our product contains significant differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. We are typically not able to obtain TPE for professional services.

When we are unable to establish selling prices using VSOE or TPE, we use BESP. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if professional services were sold on a stand-alone basis. BESP is generally used for offerings that are not typically sold on a stand-alone basis or for highly customized offerings.

We will also continue to defer revenues that represent undelivered post-delivery engineering support until the engineering support has been completed and the software product is accepted. To date, we have not sold any software products.

Product Research and Development

Research and development ("R&D") costs are expensed as incurred. R&D costs consist mainly of personnel related costs in addition to some external consultancy costs such as testing, certifying and measurements.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

We measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments, including share options, based on the fair value of the award on the grant date, and recognize the value as compensation expense over the period the employee is required to provide services in exchange for the award, usually the vesting period, net of estimated forfeitures.

We account for equity instruments issued to non-employees at their fair value and the unvested portion is re-measured each reporting period as long as the instrument requires variable accounting.

When determining stock-based compensation expense involving options and warrants, we determine the estimated fair value of options and warrants using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Accounting for Debt Issued with Detachable Stock Purchase Warrants and Beneficial Conversion Features

We account for debt issued with stock purchase warrants by allocating the proceeds of the debt between the debt and the detachable warrants based on the relative fair values of the debt security without the warrants and the warrants themselves, if the warrants are equity instruments. The relative fair value of the warrants are recorded as a debt discount and amortized to expense over the life of the related debt using the effective interest method. At each balance sheet date, we make a determination if these warrant instruments should be classified as liabilities or equity, and reclassify them if the circumstances dictate.

In certain instances, the Company enters into convertible notes that provide for an effective or actual rate of conversion that is below market value, and the embedded conversion feature does not qualify for derivative treatment (a "BCF"). In these instances, we account for the value of the BCF as a debt discount, which is then amortized to expense over the life of the related debt using the straight-line method which approximates the effective interest method.

Results of Operations

Net Revenue

Net revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.3 million and \$2.1 million compared to net revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 of \$90,000 and \$359,000, respectively. Our net revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included \$1.2 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, from technology license fees. Prior to September 30, 2011, we deferred the technology license fee revenue until such time as the warranty period stipulated in the license agreement expired because we did not have sufficient historical experience in estimating potential warranty costs. From June 2010 to September 30, 2011, we entered into 11 technology license contracts with customers. To date there have been no warranty related costs incurred for any customer products after they have been released to market. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company performed an analysis and determined that it has sufficient historical evidence regarding estimated warranty costs and therefore began recognizing technology license fee revenues, net of warranty costs, if any, as the products incorporating the Neonode technology are distributed or licensed by our customers, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria has been met. Our customers report to us the quantities of products distributed by them after the end of the reporting period stipulated in the contract, generally 30 to 45 days after the end of the month or quarter. The effect of this change in accounting estimate was to increase net revenues by \$236,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and decrease net loss per share by \$0.01 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. Net revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 also included \$82,000 and \$299,000, respectively, in fees for engineering design services related to our touch screen solution for various customers. Our net revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 included \$82,000 and \$309,000, respectively, in fees for engineering design services and \$8,000 and \$50,000, respectively, for the sale of components.

As of September 30, 2011, we have eleven signed technology license agreements with global OEMs. Five of our customers are currently shipping products and we anticipate others will initiate product shipments as they complete their final product development and manufacturing cycle throughout 2012. Sony Corporation is currently shipping the "Pocket Edition" - 5 inch, "Touch Edition" - 6 inch and "Daily Edition" - 7 inch eReaders and recently announced the release of the new Sony Reader Wi-Fi PRS-T1 model. Koobe Inc. began shipping the "Jin Yong eReader" in limited quantities to the Chinese market in December 2010. Kobo Inc. is shipping the "Kobo eReader Touch" and Barnes & Noble Inc. is shipping "The Simple Touch Reader" Nook eReader. All of these products incorporate Neonode's zForce®

touch technology.

We are currently developing prototype products and are engaged in product engineering design discussions with several global OEM and ODMs that are in the process of qualifying our touch screen technology for incorporation in various products such as printer products, GPS devices, eReaders, Tablet PCs, touch panels for automobiles, household appliances, mobile phones and mobile internet devices. The development and product release cycle for these products typically take from six to eighteen months.

Current and future drivers of the touch screen market include mobile phones, printers, laptops, tablet PCs, eReaders, navigation screens, etc. The proliferation and mass market acceptance of touch screens have prompted new applications and uses for existing and new offerings, thus making the production and utilization of these modules one of the fastest growing tech segments. The typical sales cycle is nine to eighteen months with new customers while existing customer lead times are typically six to nine months. During the initial cycle, there are three phases: evaluation, design, and commercialization. In the evaluation phase, prospects validate the Neonode technology using a Neonode evaluation kit and may produce short runs of prototype products. During the design phase, true product development and solution definition begins. This phase tends to be the longest and delays typically occur which may extend the term of the overall cycle. In the final phase, commercialization, the customer enters into full production mode, ships products to the market and Neonode earns its license revenue.

Gross Margin

Gross margin was \$945,000 and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$43,000 and \$146,000 for the same periods in 2010, respectively. Our cost of revenues include the direct cost of production of the components plus the costs of engineering consultants to complete engineering design contracts.

Product Research and Development

Product research and development expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$385,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively, compared to \$225,000 and \$855,000 for the same periods in 2010, respectively. Factors that contributed to the increase in R&D costs include an increase in the headcount of our engineering staff and an increase in the amount of time our engineering department spent engaged in customer specific activities. These costs related to customer specific activities are included in our cost of revenues. These costs increased to \$726,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$213,000 for the same period in 2010.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$411,000 and \$1.1 million compared, respectively, to \$123,000 and \$210,000 for the same periods in 2010, respectively. This increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 is primarily related to an increase in sales and marketing staff and travel related costs.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$518,000 and \$2.3 million, respectively, compared to \$882,000 and \$1.5 million for the same periods in 2010, respectively. This increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 is primarily related to salary expense, expense for stock purchase warrants issued to employees and legal expenses related to patent filings, corporate and SEC compliance and customer contracts.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$76,000 and \$211,000, respectively, compared to \$84,000 and \$162,000 for the same periods in 2010, respectively. The increase is primarily due to an increase in the debt outstanding from \$2.9 million at September 30, 2010 to \$4.2 million at September 30, 2011.

Non-cash items related to debt discounts, deferred financing fees and the valuation of conversion features and warrants

In connection with the March and April 2011 financing transactions the Company determined that the embedded conversion feature to the notes meet the definition of a derivative liability, and as of the date of issuance was valued at \$4.8 million. In accordance with relevant accounting guidance, the Company recorded a full debt discount up to the face amount of the notes (\$4.2 million), recorded the derivative liability for the embedded conversion feature of \$4.8 million and recorded the excess value of \$1.5 million on the issuance as non-cash interest expense.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recorded an aggregate loss of \$9.6 million as a change in fair value of derivative liabilities.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded an aggregate loss of \$9.2 million as a change in fair value of derivative liabilities.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 0% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We recorded valuation allowances for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 for deferred tax assets related to net operating losses due to the uncertainty of realization. In the event of future taxable income, our effective income tax rate in future periods could be lower than the statutory rate as such tax assets are realized.

Net Loss

As a result of the factors discussed above, we recorded a net loss of \$1.9 million and \$14.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to a net loss of \$8.5 million and \$24.6 million in the comparable periods in 2010, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any transactions, arrangements, or other relationships with unconsolidated entities that are reasonably likely to affect our liquidity or capital resources other than the operating leases noted above. We have no special purpose or limited purpose entities that provide off-balance sheet financing, liquidity, or market or credit risk support; or engage in leasing, hedging, research and development services, or other relationships that expose us to liability that is not reflected on the face of the financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity is dependent on many factors, including sales volume, operating profit and the efficiency of asset use and turnover. Our future liquidity will be affected by, among other things:

- actual versus anticipated licensing of our technology;
 - our actual versus anticipated operating expenses;
 - the timing of our OEM customer product shipments;
- the timing of payment for our technology licensing agreements;
 - our actual versus anticipated gross profit margin;
 - our ability to raise additional capital, if necessary; and
 - our ability to secure credit facilities, if necessary.

The consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates continuity of operations and the realization of assets and repayment of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm in respect of the 2010 fiscal year includes an explanatory going concern paragraph which raises substantial doubt to continue as a going concern, which indicates an absence of obvious or reasonably assured sources of future funding that will be required by us to maintain ongoing operations. Although we have been able to fund our operations to date, there is no assurance that cash flow from our operations or our capital raising efforts will be able to attract the additional capital or other funds needed to sustain our operations. The going concern qualification from our auditors may make it more difficult for us to raise funds, if needed. If we are unable to obtain additional funding for operations, we may not be able to continue operations as proposed, requiring us to modify our business plan, curtail various aspects of our operations or cease operations. In such event, investors may lose a portion or all of their investment.

Our cash is subject to interest rate risk. We invest primarily on a short-term basis. Our financial instrument holdings at September 30, 2011, were analyzed to determine their sensitivity to interest rate changes. The fair values of these instruments were determined by net present values. In our sensitivity analysis, the same change in interest rate was used for all maturities and all other factors were held constant. If interest rates increased by 10%, the expected effect on net loss related to our financial instruments would be immaterial. The functional currency of our foreign subsidiary is the applicable local currency, the Swedish Krona, and is subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk. Any increase or decrease in the exchange rate of the U.S. Dollar compared to the Swedish Krona will impact Neonode's future operating results.

Edgar Filing: Neonode, Inc - Form 10-Q

At September 30, 2011, we had cash of \$4.1 million compared to \$911,000 at December 31, 2010. In the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, \$1.4 million of cash was used in operating activities, primarily as a result of our net loss and the following non-cash items (in thousands):

Depreciation and amortization	\$	17
Stock-based compensation expense		517
Debt discounts, deferred financing fees and the valuation of conversion features and warrants		11,043
Total net non-cash items included in cash used in our operations	\$	11,577

Working capital was \$1.7 million (current assets less current liabilities, not including embedded derivatives of convertible debt and warrants) at September 30, 2011, compared to a working capital deficit of \$3.2 million at December 31, 2010.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we purchased \$59,000 of equipment, primarily computers and test equipment.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we received \$4.2 million in cash proceeds related to a private placement of convertible notes, bearing interest at a rate of seven percent (7%) per annum, that matures on March 1, 2014, and that can be converted at the holder's option into 1,691,320 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$2.50 per share. The loan will automatically be converted into restricted shares of the Company's common stock in the event that on or before the loan due date either (a) the Company's common stock is traded at a price per share of \$6.25 or higher for five (5) consecutive trading days, or (b) the Company consummates a financing in the amount of at least \$5 million. In the event that the loan principal and accrued interest is not repaid by the Company by the due date, and the Investor has not previously converted the loan, the Investor's sole remedy for such non-payment shall be the payment of additional annual interest at a rate of 10% per year. The accrued interest will be payable in stock, using the \$2.50 conversion price, or cash, at the holder's option, on September 30 and December 31 of each year.

In March 2011, the Company entered into a warrant agreement with investors who participated in the Company's 2009 and 2010 financing transactions and who had been issued common stock purchase warrants with exercise prices of \$0.50 per share, \$1.00 per share, and \$1.38 per share (the "2009 and 2010 Warrants"). Pursuant to the warrant agreement, each warrant holder who exercised some or all of its outstanding 2009 and 2010 Warrants at the applicable exercise price (\$0.50 per share, \$1.00 per share, and/or \$1.38 per share), received a number of March 2011 Warrants equal to fifty percent (50%) of the number of 2009 and 2010 Warrants exercised by such warrant holder. The warrant holders exercised an aggregate of 493,426 outstanding 2009 and 2010 Warrants, for an aggregate investment of \$515,000 and received 493,426 shares of common stock and 251,713 new five-year common stock purchase warrants, with an exercise price of \$3.13 per share. The March 2011 Warrants may be exercised by cash payment or through cashless exercise by the surrender of warrant shares having a value equal to the exercise price of the portion of the warrants being exercised.

Historically, the majority of our cash has been provided by borrowings from senior secured notes and bridge notes that have been or are convertible into shares of our common stock or from the sale of our common stock and common stock purchase warrants to private investors. In the nine months of 2011, we raised approximately \$4.7 million from the sale of our securities and convertible debt. We believe we now have sufficient cash to operate for the remainder of 2011. We also expect to receive sufficient cash from customer license agreements to operate for the next twelve months. In addition, one of our customers paid \$3.0 million to pre-purchasing technology licenses.

We may require sources of capital in addition to cash on hand to continue operations and to implement our strategy. Our operations are not cash flow positive and we may be forced to seek credit line facilities from financial institutions, additional private equity investment or debt arrangements. No assurances can be given that we will be successful in obtaining such additional financing on reasonable terms, or at all. If adequate funds are not available on acceptable terms, or at all, we may be unable to adequately fund our business plans and it could have a negative effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, if funds are available, the issuance of equity securities or securities convertible into equity could dilute the value of shares of our common stock and cause the market price to fall, and the issuance of debt securities could impose restrictive covenants that could impair our ability to engage in certain business transactions.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

See disclosure in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K as of December 31, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of September 30, 2011. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective for the reasons described below.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, management determined that we had the following material weakness: we have no formalized documentation of our internal control policies and procedures, primarily due to the small size of our accounting department. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Because these material weaknesses as to internal control over financial reporting also bear upon our disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer were unable to conclude that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Despite the conclusion that disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of the end of period covered by this report, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer believe that the financial statements and other information contained in this quarterly report present fairly, in all material respects, our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting in the nine months of 2011 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting.

Nevertheless, as we move towards complete integration and consolidation of business and financial operations of Neonode Technologies AB and Neonode, Inc., we expect to take steps to both remedy the material weaknesses described above and facilitate our management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and Commission rules. Our planned steps include:

- adding personnel to our financial department, consultants, or other resources (including those with public company reporting experience) to enhance our policies and procedures, including those related to revenue recognition;
- exploring the suitability of further upgrades to our accounting system to complement the new management reporting system software described above; and
- performing an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting; implementing appropriate internal controls on weaknesses determined, if any; and documenting and testing the effectiveness of those controls.

PART II. Other Information

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

None

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Please refer to Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

On September 12, 2011, we issued an employee a 3-year warrant to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$3.90 per share.

ITEM 3. Default Upon Senior Securities

None

ITEM 4. Removed and Reserved

ITEM 5. Other Information

None

ITEM 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

Exhibit # Description

Edgar Filing: Neonode, Inc - Form 10-Q

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization between SBE, Inc. and Neonode, Inc., dated January 19, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 22, 2007) (In accordance with Commission rules, we will furnish a copy of any omitted schedule to the Commission upon request)
- 2.2 Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization between SBE, Inc. and Neonode, Inc., dated May 18, 2007, effective May 25, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007)
- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Neonode, Inc., dated April 17, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 4, 2009).
- 3.1.1 Certificate of Amendment, dated December 13, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2011)
- 3.1.2 Certificate of Amendment, dated March 18, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 28, 2011)
- 3.2 Bylaws, as amended through December 5, 2007 (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 3.2 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on April 15, 2008)
- 4.1 Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of the Series A and Series B Preferred Stock dated 29 December 2008 (incorporated by reference as Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 31, 2008)
- 4.2 Certificate of Increase of Designation of Series B Preferred Stock dated 2 January 2009
- 4.3 Certificate of Increase of Designation of Series B Preferred Stock dated 28 January 2009
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002
- 32 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, on October 28, 2011.

Neonode, Inc.
Registrant

Date: October 28, 2011

By: /s/ David W. Brunton
David W. Brunton
Chief Financial Officer,
Vice President, Finance
and Secretary
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)