

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Form 10-Q

November 06, 2014

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-35108

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in our charter)

Delaware

No. 81-0578975

(State or Other Jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

634 Second Street

94107

San Francisco, California

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(415) 901-6030

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date:

| Class | Outstanding as of October 31, 2014 |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Common Stock | 83,786,927 |

Table of Contents

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
 Form 10-Q
 INDEX

| | Page No. |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>39</u> |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Default Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>60</u> |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | <u>61</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$98,922 | \$170,132 |
| Short-term investments | 125,001 | 105,001 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 59,988 | 73,113 |
| Deferred income taxes | 433 | 412 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 6,583 | 6,295 |
| Total current assets | 290,927 | 354,953 |
| Property and equipment, net | 27,672 | 27,998 |
| Deferred income taxes, net of current portion | 2,152 | 2,035 |
| Goodwill and intangibles, net | 15,443 | 6,334 |
| Other assets, net | 8,177 | 8,626 |
| Total assets | \$344,371 | \$399,946 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$2,765 | \$3,610 |
| Accrued taxes | 684 | 1,134 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 19,238 | 19,610 |
| Deferred revenue | 6,109 | 5,905 |
| Accrued liabilities and other | 11,884 | 9,509 |
| Total current liabilities | 40,680 | 39,768 |
| Convertible notes, net | 118,958 | 113,915 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 4,892 | 5,566 |
| Total liabilities | 164,530 | 159,249 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 10) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock; \$0.0001 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; 83,519 shares issued and 83,398 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2014; 82,086 shares issued and 81,965 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2013 | 8 | 8 |
| Treasury stock | (441 |) (441 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 307,178 | 286,526 |
| Accumulated deficit | (127,868 |) (46,250 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 964 | 854 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 179,841 | 240,697 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$344,371 | \$399,946 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (In thousands, except per share amounts)
 (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net revenue | \$64,713 | \$66,482 | \$197,526 | \$195,300 |
| Cost of revenue | 49,218 | 39,730 | 145,331 | 116,848 |
| Gross profit | 15,495 | 26,752 | 52,195 | 78,452 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 14,343 | 13,731 | 47,225 | 43,906 |
| Research and development | 6,402 | 5,500 | 19,999 | 18,542 |
| General and administrative | 10,932 | 11,177 | 36,053 | 33,182 |
| Restructuring and other | 1,937 | — | 1,937 | — |
| Goodwill impairment | 21,000 | — | 21,000 | — |
| Total operating expenses | 54,614 | 30,408 | 126,214 | 95,630 |
| Loss from operations | (39,119 |) (3,656 |) (74,019 |) (17,178 |
| Other (income) expense: | | | | |
| Interest expense | (2,495 |) (1,272 |) (7,356 |) (1,376 |
| Other, net | (372 |) 179 | (282 |) (119 |
| Loss before income taxes | (41,986 |) (4,749 |) (81,657 |) (18,673 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | (200 |) 753 | (39 |) 2,190 |
| Net loss | \$(41,786 |) \$(5,502 |) \$(81,618 |) \$(20,863 |
| Net loss per share, basic and diluted | \$(0.50 |) \$(0.07 |) \$(0.99 |) \$(0.27 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted | 83,131 | 79,740 | 82,668 | 77,557 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsSERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net loss | \$(41,786 |) \$(5,502 |) \$(81,618 |) \$(20,863 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 155 | (180 |) 489 | 32 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on short-term investments, net of tax | (235 |) 254 | (136 |) 134 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | (80 |) 74 | 353 | 166 |
| Total comprehensive loss, net of tax | \$(41,866 |) \$(5,428 |) \$(81,265 |) \$(20,697 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (In thousands)
 (Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net loss | \$(81,618 |) \$(20,863 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,670 | 9,010 |
| Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs | 5,536 | 960 |
| Accretion of premium on short-term investments and other | 72 | 569 |
| Deferred income taxes | (177 |) 504 |
| Stock-based compensation | 16,006 | 17,301 |
| Income tax (benefit) charge from stock-based compensation | (267 |) 249 |
| Restructuring and other | 910 | — |
| Goodwill impairment | 21,000 | — |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of impact of acquisition: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 14,567 | 1,527 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | (108 |) (174 |
| Accounts payable | (831 |) 2,581 |
| Accrued taxes | (593 |) 1,110 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | (822 |) 1,227 |
| Accrued liabilities and other | 461 | 763 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (16,194 |) 14,764 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (7,625 |) (3,108 |
| Cash paid for acquisition, net of cash acquired | (32,550 |) — |
| Purchases of short-term investments | (70,430 |) (78,502 |
| Sales of short-term investments | 46,181 | 5,336 |
| Maturities of short-term investments | 4,043 | 2,000 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (60,381 |) (74,274 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of convertible notes | — | 150,000 |
| Issuance costs related to the issuance convertible senior notes | — | (4,350 |
| Payments of convertible note hedges | — | (31,408 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of warrants | — | 21,763 |
| Repayment on capital leases obligations | (321 |) (245 |
| Proceeds from common stock issuances | 4,380 | 21,969 |
| Income tax benefit (charge) from stock-based compensation | 267 | (249 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 4,326 | 157,480 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (72,249 |) 97,970 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 1,039 | 136 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 170,132 | 76,568 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$98,922 | \$174,674 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 — Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

ServiceSource International, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, the “Company”) is a global leader in recurring revenue management, partnering with technology and technology-enabled companies to optimize maintenance, support and subscription revenue streams, while also improving customer relationships and loyalty. The Company delivers these results via cloud-based solutions and dedicated service teams, leveraging benchmarks and best practices derived from its rich database of service and renewal behavior. By integrating software, managed services and data, the Company provides end-to-end management and optimization of the service-contract renewals process, including data management, quoting, selling and recurring revenue business intelligence. The Company receives commissions from its customers based on renewal sales that the Company generates on their behalf under a pay-for-performance model. In addition, the Company also offers a purpose-built Software-As-A-Service (SaaS) application to maximize the renewal of subscriptions, maintenance and support contracts and a SaaS application that enables information services, media publishing, and SaaS companies to understand how customers engage with their online content. The Company’s corporate headquarters are located in San Francisco, California. The Company has offices in Colorado, Tennessee, Washington, United Kingdom, Ireland, Malaysia, Singapore and Japan.

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements (“condensed consolidated financial statements”) include the accounts of ServiceSource International Inc. and its subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“U.S. GAAP” or “GAAP”) for interim financial information, rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for interim financial statements, and accounting policies, consistent in all material respects with those applied in preparing our audited annual consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. These condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes should be read in conjunction with our annual consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2013, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. In the opinion of management, these condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, management considers necessary for a fair statement of our financial position, operating results, and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year.

The December 31, 2013 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2013 included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2013, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (“FASB”) determined that an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented as a reduction of a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss (“NOL”) carryforward or other tax credit carryforward when settlement in this manner is available under applicable tax law. This guidance is effective for the Company’s interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2014. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605, Revenue Recognition. This ASU is based on the principle that revenue is recognized to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The ASU also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. The effective date will be the first quarter of fiscal year

2017 using one of two retrospective application methods. The Company has not determined the potential effects on the consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued new guidance related to the disclosures around going concern. The new standard provides guidance around management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The new standard is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

Note 2 — Business Acquisition

On January 22, 2014, the Company acquired Scout Analytics, Inc. (“Scout”), a privately held company. Scout provides cloud-based recurring revenue management solutions that enable information services, media publishing, and SaaS companies to understand how customers engage with their online content.

The acquisition has been accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the FASB's Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 805, Business Combinations. As such, the Scout assets acquired and liabilities assumed are recorded at their acquisition-date fair values. Acquisition-related transaction costs are not included as a component of consideration transferred, but are accounted for as an expense in the period in which the costs are incurred. Any excess of the acquisition consideration over the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed is allocated to goodwill, which is not deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill is attributable primarily to expected synergies and other benefits from combining Scout with the Company including the hiring of Scout's workforce, all of which was allocated to the Cloud and Business Intelligence reporting unit. Refer to Note 3 regarding goodwill impairment during the third quarter of 2014.

The Company's allocation of the total purchase consideration of \$32.5 million, net of cash acquired is summarized below (in thousands)

| | | |
|--|----------|---|
| Acquired intangible assets: | | |
| Developed technology | \$4,330 | |
| Customer relationships | 3,400 | |
| Trade name | 1,290 | |
| Total acquired intangible assets | 9,020 | |
| Goodwill | 22,653 | |
| Accounts receivable | 2,679 | |
| Other assets (including cash of \$211) | 520 | |
| Deferred revenue | (1,350) |) |
| Capital lease | (283) |) |
| Other liabilities | (477) |) |
| Net Assets Acquired | \$32,762 | |

The fair value measurements for purchase price allocation were based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market and thus represent Level 3 measurements as defined in the accounting standard for fair value measurements.

The developed technology, customer relationships and trade names are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 4 years, 4 years and 4 years, respectively, with a combined weighted-average useful life of 4 years.

Pro-forma results of operations for the acquisition have not been presented because they are not material to the consolidated results of operations.

Note 3 — Goodwill Impairment and Intangible Assets

Goodwill Impairment

The Company performs its annual impairment analysis of goodwill at the reporting unit level in the fourth quarter of each year and between annual tests if events or circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired according to the guidance within ASC 350 Intangibles - Goodwill and Other. The guidance requires that the Company perform a two-step impairment test of its goodwill. In the first step, the fair value of each reporting unit is compared to its carrying value. The Company's reporting units, Cloud and Business Intelligence and Managed Services, are consistent with segments identified in Note 13 of Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assigning assets and liabilities to reporting units, assigning goodwill to reporting units, and determining the fair value

of each reporting unit. Significant judgments required to estimate the fair value of reporting units include estimating future cash flows and

8

Table of Contents

determining appropriate discount rates, growth rates and other assumptions. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value of each reporting unit which could trigger impairment.

The fair value is determined based upon the income approach. Under the income approach, the Company estimates the fair value of the reporting unit based upon the present value of estimated future cash flows. Cash flow projections are determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in current business model. Key assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the reporting units include the discount rate, compounded annual revenue growth rates, operating expense assumptions, and terminal value capitalization rate. The discount rate used is based on the weighted-average cost of capital adjusted for the relevant risk associated with business-specific characteristics and the uncertainty related to the reporting unit's ability to execute on the projected cash flows. The discount rate and terminal value capitalization rate are derived from the use of market data and are classified as a Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit, goodwill is not impaired and the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, then step two of the impairment testing must be performed to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is calculated by deducting the fair value of all tangible and intangible assets of the reporting unit, excluding goodwill, from the fair value of the reporting unit as determined in the first step. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then an impairment charge equal to the difference is recorded. The inputs used to measure the estimated fair value of goodwill are classified as level 3.

During the third quarter of 2014, the Company's market capitalization had a significant decline, the Company experienced slowing revenue growth for the Cloud and Business Intelligence ("CBI") reporting unit in the near term and the company experienced churn of the CBI customer base. Therefore, the Company determined that there were sufficient indicators to require the Company to perform an interim impairment analysis in the third quarter of 2014. The Company compared the fair value of CBI reporting unit as determined under the income approach to its carrying value and determined that the fair value was less than the carrying value. The Company then performed step two of the impairment analysis.

Based on the result of the interim impairment analysis as described above, the Company concluded that an impairment of some of the CBI goodwill had occurred, resulting in a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$21.0 million during the third quarter of 2014. We continue to monitor the recoverability of our goodwill. Additionally, the continued decline in the Company's market capitalization, or other events or circumstances could require additional impairment charges to be recorded in future periods for the remaining goodwill.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by operating segment as of September 30, 2014 were as follows:

| | Managed Services | Cloud and Business Intelligence | Total |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2013 | \$6,334 | \$— | \$6,334 |
| Addition due to acquisition | — | 22,653 | 22,653 |
| Impairment | \$— | \$(21,000) | \$(21,000) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2014 | \$6,334 | \$1,653 | \$7,987 |

Intangible Assets

Intangible Assets consisted of the following:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Developed technology | \$4,330 | \$(751) | \$3,579 |
| Customer relationships | 3,400 | (589) | 2,811 |
| Trade name | 1,290 | (224) | 1,066 |

\$9,020

\$(1,564

) \$7,456

9

Table of Contents

Amortization expense for intangibles assets recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense of purchased intangible assets as of September 30, 2014 was as follows:

| | September 30, 2014 (in thousands) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Years ending December 31, | |
| 2014 (remaining three months) | \$564 |
| 2015 | 2,255 |
| 2016 | 2,255 |
| 2017 | 2,255 |
| 2018 | 127 |
| Total | \$7,456 |

The Company evaluates the recoverability of its long-lived assets with finite useful lives, including intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Based on the assessment of various factors noted above in the Cloud and Business Intelligence (“CBI”) reporting unit, the Company determined that there were sufficient indicators to require the Company to perform an impairment analysis of its long-lived assets with finite useful lives, including intangible assets during the third quarter of 2014. We are required to assess impairment at the asset group level where there is identifiable cashflow. Our asset group where we have identifiable cashflows are CBI and Managed Services.

The impairment analysis includes comparing the sum of the undiscounted cash flows to the book value of the assets within the asset group. If the sum of undiscounted cash flows is less than the book value of the assets within the asset group, then the assets within the asset group are impaired. The impairment charge is measured as the difference between the book value and the fair value.

Based on the analysis, the Company concluded that there was no impairment to its developed technology, customer relationships, trade name and property and equipment during the third quarter of 2014.

Note 4 — Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid fixed-income investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase, including money market funds. The Company has cash and cash equivalents held on its behalf by a third party of \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Short-term investments consist of readily marketable securities with a remaining maturity of more than three months from time of purchase. The Company classifies all of its cash equivalents and short-term investments as “available for sale,” as these investments are free of trading restrictions. These marketable securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as accumulated other comprehensive income and included as a separate component of stockholders’ equity. Gains and losses are recognized when realized. When the Company determines that an other-than-temporary decline in fair value has occurred, the amount of the decline that is related to a credit loss is recognized in earnings. Gains and losses are determined using the specific identification method. The Company’s realized gains and losses in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were insignificant.

Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments consisted of the following as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

Table of Contents

September 30, 2014

| Description | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Cash | \$98,287 | \$— | \$— | \$98,287 |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market mutual funds | 635 | — | — | 635 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 98,922 | — | — | 98,922 |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | 47,938 | 60 | (54 |) 47,944 |
| U.S. agency securities | 39,267 | 36 | (26 |) 39,277 |
| Asset-backed securities | 22,035 | 12 | (39 |) 22,008 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 15,740 | 44 | (12 |) 15,772 |
| Total short-term investments | 124,980 | 152 | (131 |) 125,001 |
| Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$223,902 | \$152 | \$(131 |) \$223,923 |

December 31, 2013

| Description | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Cash | \$169,968 | \$— | \$— | \$169,968 |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market mutual funds | 164 | — | — | 164 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 170,132 | — | — | 170,132 |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | 40,503 | 90 | (10 |) 40,583 |
| U.S. agency securities | 31,720 | 40 | (13 |) 31,747 |
| Asset-backed securities | 15,880 | 14 | (12 |) 15,882 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 16,742 | 50 | (3 |) 16,789 |
| Total short-term investments | 104,845 | 194 | (38 |) 105,001 |
| Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$274,977 | \$194 | \$(38 |) \$275,133 |

The following table summarizes the cost and estimated fair value of short-term fixed income securities classified as short-term investments based on stated maturities as of September 30, 2014:

| | Amortized Cost (in thousands) | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Less than 1 year | \$15,028 | \$15,047 |
| Due in 1 to 3 years | 109,952 | 109,954 |
| Total | \$124,980 | \$125,001 |

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company did not consider any of its investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Note 5 — Fair value of financial instruments

Table of Contents

The Company measures certain financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company uses a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value: Level 1 valuations are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 valuations are based on inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, other than quoted prices included within Level 1. Such inputs used in determining fair value for Level 2 valuations include quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 valuations are based on information that is unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. All of the Company's cash equivalents and short-term investments are classified within Level 1 or Level 2.

The following table presents information about the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value as of September 30, 2014 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation (in thousands):

| Description | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |
|---|-----------|---|---|
| Cash equivalents: | | | |
| Money market mutual funds | \$635 | \$635 | \$— |
| Total cash equivalents | 635 | 635 | — |
| Short-term investments: | | | |
| Corporate bonds | 47,944 | — | 47,944 |
| U.S. agency securities | 39,277 | — | 39,277 |
| Asset-backed securities | 22,008 | — | 22,008 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 15,772 | — | 15,772 |
| Total short-term investments | 125,001 | — | 125,001 |
| Cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$125,636 | \$635 | \$125,001 |

The following table presents information about the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation (in thousands):

| Description | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |
|---------------------------|--------|---|---|
| Cash equivalents: | | | |
| Money market mutual funds | \$164 | \$164 | \$— |
| Total cash equivalents | 164 | 164 | — |
| Short-term investments: | | | |
| Corporate bonds | 40,583 | — | 40,583 |
| U.S. agency securities | 31,747 | — | 31,747 |
| Asset-backed securities | 15,882 | — | 15,882 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 16,789 | — | 16,789 |

Edgar Filing: SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Total short-term investments | 105,001 | — | 105,001 |
| Cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$105,165 | \$164 | \$105,001 |

12

Table of Contents

The convertible notes issued by the Company in August 2013 are shown in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at their original issuance value, net of unamortized discount, and are not marked to market each period. The approximate fair value of the convertible notes as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$113.4 million and \$141.2 million respectively. The fair value of the convertible notes was determined using quoted market prices for similar securities, which, due to limited trading activity, are considered Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company did not have any financial liabilities measured at fair value or any long-term debt other than the Convertible debt as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Note 6 — Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment balances were comprised of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Computers and equipment | \$16,414 | \$14,675 |
| Software | 37,062 | 34,467 |
| Leasehold improvements | 12,906 | 11,493 |
| Furniture and Fixture | 9,737 | 9,078 |
| | 76,119 | 69,713 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (48,447 |) (41,715 |
| Property and equipment – net | \$27,672 | \$27,998 |

Depreciation and amortization expense for property and equipment during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, was \$3.3 million, \$9.6 million, \$3.0 million and \$9.0 million respectively.

Total property and equipment assets under capital lease at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were \$3.3 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. Accumulated depreciation related to assets under capital lease as of these dates was \$3.0 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

The Company capitalized internal-use software development costs of \$1.0 million and \$0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively and \$2.0 million and \$0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the net value of capitalized costs related to internal-use software, net of accumulated amortization, was \$10.6 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. Amortization of capitalized costs related to internal-use software for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$0.6 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$1.3 million and \$3.8 million, respectively.

Note 7 — Accrued Liabilities and Other

Accrued liabilities and other balances were comprised of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Accrued professional fees | \$4,523 | \$2,527 |
| Deferred rent | 865 | 834 |
| Other employee related | 536 | 374 |
| ESPP contributions | 339 | 892 |
| Obligations under capital lease | 191 | 270 |
| Accrued other | 5,430 | 4,612 |
| | \$11,884 | \$9,509 |

Note 8 — Credit Facility and Capital Leases

Revolving Credit Facility

Table of Contents

On July 5, 2012, the Company, entered into a three-year credit agreement which provides for a secured revolving line of credit based on eligible accounts receivable of up to \$25.0 million on and before July 5, 2013 and up to \$30.0 million thereafter, in each case with a \$2.0 million letter of credit sublimit. On June 18, 2013, the Company elected to maintain the revolving commitment at \$25.0 million. Proceeds available under the credit agreement may be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes. The Company may prepay borrowing under the agreement in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty. The Company may terminate the commitments under the credit agreement in whole at any time. Effective June 30, 2013, the quarterly commitment fee, payable in arrears, based on the available commitments is 0.30% per annum.

On August 6, 2013, the Company entered into a second amendment (“Amendment No. 2”) to the credit agreement. Amendment No. 2, among other things, allowed the Company to issue certain unsecured convertible notes and enter into related agreements.

On January 21, 2014, the Company entered into a third amendment to the credit agreement which amended the financial covenants to allow the Company to acquire Scout.

On May 5, 2014, the Company entered into a fourth amendment to the credit agreement which reduced the secured revolving line of credit from \$25.0 million to \$10.0 million. The amendment also increased the consideration the Company may pay in connection with an acquisition before it is required to seek prior lender approval under the credit agreement.

Amounts outstanding on the facility at September 30, 2014 consisted of a letter of credit for \$575,000 required under an operating lease agreement for office space at the Company’s San Francisco headquarters. Any outstanding loans bear interest, at the Company’s option, at a base rate determined in accordance with the credit agreement, minus 0.5%, or at a LIBOR rate plus 2.0%. Principal, together with all accrued and unpaid interest, is due and payable on July 5, 2015, the maturity date. At September 30, 2014, the interest rate for borrowings under the facility was 2.2%.

The credit agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, as well as financial covenants. Affirmative covenants include, among others, delivery of financial statements, compliance certificates and notices of specified events, maintenance of properties and insurance, preservation of existence, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Negative covenants include, among others, limitations on the ability of the Company to grant liens, incur indebtedness, engage in mergers, consolidations, sales of assets and affiliate transactions. The credit agreement requires the Company to maintain a maximum leverage ratio and a minimum liquidity amount, each as defined in the credit agreement.

The credit agreement also contains customary events of default including, among other things, payment defaults, breaches of covenants or representations and warranties, cross-defaults with certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency events and a change in control of the Company, subject to grace periods in certain instances. Upon an event of default, the lender may declare the outstanding obligations of the Company under the credit agreement to be immediately due and payable and exercise other rights and remedies provided for under the credit agreement.

On August 1, 2014 and October 29, 2014, the Company entered into a waiver under the Credit Agreement that waived its failure to comply with the consolidated funded debt to EBITDA ratio for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2014 respectively.

On November 1, 2014, the Company entered into a fifth amendment to the credit agreement where the parties agreed to remove a financial covenant requiring a certain level of consolidated funded debt to EBITDA ratio for the previous four quarters. The Company agreed to a new financial covenant requiring the Company to have an EBITDA loss not exceeding a specified target.

The Company’s obligations under the credit agreement are guaranteed by its subsidiary, ServiceSource Delaware, Inc. (the “Guarantor”) and are collateralized by substantially all of the assets of the Company and the Guarantor.

Capital Leases

The Company has capital lease agreements that are collateralized by the underlying property and equipment and expire through September 2019. The weighted-average imputed interest rates for the capital lease agreements were 5.8% and 2.6% at September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Future minimum annual payments under capital lease obligations as of September 30, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2014 |
| Years Ending December 31, | |
| 2014 (remaining three months) | \$ 197 |
| 2015 | 408 |
| 2016 | 342 |
| 2017 | 76 |
| 2018 | 79 |
| Thereafter | 59 |
| Total | \$ 1,161 |

Note 9 — Debt

Senior Convertible Notes

In August 2013, the Company issued senior convertible notes (the “Notes”) raising gross proceeds of \$150.0 million. The Notes are governed by an Indenture, dated August 13, 2013 (the “Indenture”), between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2018, unless earlier repurchased or converted, and bear interest at a rate of 1.50% per year payable semi-annually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing February 1, 2014.

The Notes are convertible at an initial conversion rate of 61.6770 of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes, which represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$16.21 per share of common stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments upon certain specified events, including in certain circumstances, upon a make-whole fundamental change (as defined in the Indenture). Upon conversion, the Notes will be settled in cash, shares of the Company’s common stock, or any combination thereof, at the Company’s option.

Prior to February 1, 2018, the Notes are convertible only upon the following circumstances:

- during any calendar quarter commencing after December 31, 2013, (and only during such calendar quarter), if for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter, the last reported sale price of common stock on such trading day is greater than or equal to 130% of the applicable conversion price on such trading day.

- during the five business day period after any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes for each trading day of that five consecutive trading day period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of common stock and the applicable conversion rate on each such trading day; or

- upon the occurrence of specified corporate events described in the Indenture.

Holders of the Notes may convert their Notes at any time on or after February 1, 2018, until the close of business on the second schedule trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. The holders of the Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes at a cash repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes being repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, upon a fundamental change (as defined in the Indenture). In addition, upon certain events of default (as defined in the Indenture), the trustee by notice to the Company, or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the outstanding Notes by notice to the Company and the trustee, may, and the trustee at the request of such holders shall, declare 100% of the principal amount of the Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, on all the Notes to be due and payable. In case of certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization involving the Company, 100% of the principal of and accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes will automatically become due and payable.

To account for the Notes at issuance, the Company separated the Notes into debt and equity components pursuant to the accounting standards for convertible debt instruments that may be fully or partially settled in cash upon conversion. The fair value of debt component was estimated using an interest rate for nonconvertible debt, with terms similar to the Notes, excluding the conversion feature. The carrying amount of the liability component was calculated by measuring the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated convertible feature. The excess of the principal amount of the Notes over the fair value of the debt component was recorded as a debt discount and a corresponding increase in additional paid-in capital. The debt discount is accreted to interest expense over the term of

the Notes using the interest method. The amount recorded to additional

15

paid-in capital is not to be remeasured as long as it continues to meet the conditions of equity classification. Upon issuance of the \$150.0 million of Notes, the Company recorded \$111.5 million to debt and \$38.5 million to additional paid-in capital.

The Company incurred transaction costs of approximately \$4.9 million related to the issuance of the Notes. In accounting for these costs, the Company allocated the costs to the debt and equity components in proportion to the allocation of proceeds from the issuance of the Notes to such components. Transaction costs allocated to the debt component of \$3.6 million are deferred as an asset and amortized to interest expense over the term of the Notes. The transaction costs allocated to the equity component of \$1.3 million were recorded to additional paid-in capital. The transactions costs allocated to the debt component were recorded as deferred offering costs in other non-current assets. The net carrying amount of the liability component of the Notes as of September 30, 2014 consists of the following (in thousands):

| | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|---|
| Principal amount | \$150,000 | |
| Unamortized debt discount | (31,042 |) |
| Net carrying amount | \$118,958 | |

The following table presents the interest expense recognized related to the Notes for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

| | |
|--|---------|
| Contractual interest expense at 1.5% per annum | \$1,688 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 470 |
| Accretion of debt discount | 5,043 |
| Total | \$7,201 |

The net proceeds from the Notes were approximately \$145.1 million after payment of the initial purchasers' offering expense. The Company used approximately \$31.4 million of the net proceeds from the Notes to pay the cost of the Note Hedges described below, which was partially offset by \$21.8 million of the proceeds from the Company's sale of the Warrants also described below.

Note Hedges

Concurrent with the issuance of the Notes, the Company entered into note hedges ("Note Hedges") with certain bank counterparties, with respect to its common stock. The Company paid \$31.4 million for the Note Hedges. The Note Hedges cover approximately 9.25 million shares of the Company's common stock at a strike price of \$16.21 per share. The Note Hedges will expire upon the maturity of the Notes. The Note Hedges are intended to reduce the potential dilution to the Company's common stock upon conversion of the Notes and/or offset the cash payment in excess of the principal amount of the Notes the Company is required to make in the event that the market value per share of the Company's common stock at the time of exercise is greater than the conversion price of the Notes.

Warrants

Separately, the Company entered into warrant transactions, whereby it sold warrants to the same bank counterparties as the Note Hedges to acquire approximately 9.25 million shares of the Company's common stock at an initial strike price of \$21.02 per share ("Warrants"), subject to anti-dilution adjustments. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$21.8 million from the sale of the Warrants. If the fair value per share of the Company's common stock exceeds the strike price of the Warrants, the Warrants will have a dilutive effect on earnings per share, unless the Company elects, subject to certain conditions, to settle the Warrants in cash.

The amounts paid and received for the Note Hedges and the Warrants have been recorded in additional paid-in capital. The fair value of the Note Hedges and the Warrants are not remeasured through earnings each reporting period.

Note 10 — Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

The Company leases its office space and certain equipment under noncancelable operating lease agreements with various expiration dates through September 30, 2022. Rent expense for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$2.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$6.9 million and \$6.4

Table of Contents

million, respectively. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the lease period and accrues for rent expense incurred but not paid.

Future annual minimum lease payments under all noncancelable operating leases as of September 30, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2014 |
|---|-----------------------|
| Years Ending December 31, 2014 (remaining three months). | \$2,125 |
| 2015 | 7,244 |
| 2016 | 5,077 |
| 2017 | 4,795 |
| 2018 | 4,450 |
| Thereafter | 9,787 |
| Total | \$33,478 |

Other Contractual Obligations

In August 2013, the Company issued the Notes raising gross proceeds of \$150.0 million. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2018, unless earlier repurchased or converted, and bear interest at a rate of 1.50% per year payable semi-annually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing February 1, 2014.

Litigation

Bionet Systems, LLC, et al. v. Scout Analytics, Inc., et al., in Superior Court, King County, Washington State, and related claims

On January 10, 2014, certain now-former shareholders of Scout Analytics, Inc. (“Scout”) filed a lawsuit against Scout and some of its directors and their employers regarding the then-pending acquisition of Scout by the Company. The plaintiffs have asserted claims against all defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, minority shareholder oppression, corporate waste, injunctive relief and unjust enrichment. In their complaint, the plaintiffs seek damages and payment of their attorneys’ fees and costs. On April 17, 2014, the plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint, in which they added the Company as a defendant, and asserted claims for tortious interference.

Certain now-former Scout shareholders have also asserted dissenter's rights claims related to the Scout acquisition. On June 13, 2014, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court for King County, Washington, in which it seeks a determination by the Court as to the fair value of the shares, and that such shareholder claims have no merit.

The costs of such litigation and dissenter's rights claims are expected to be covered by insurance and/or by either the escrow amounts held back from the merger consideration paid for Scout, or the indemnification obligations of the former Scout shareholders.

The Company may be subject to litigation or other claims in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the Company’s ultimate liability, if any, related to any currently pending or threatened litigation or claims is remote, not reasonably possible or not probable, and in any instance, any such liability would not materially affect its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Note 11 — Stockholders’ Equity**Stock Option Plans**

The Company maintains the following stock plans: the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2011 Plan”), and the 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The Company’s board of directors and, as delegated to its compensation committee, administers the 2011 Plan and has authority to determine the directors, officers, employees and consultants to whom options or restricted stock may be granted, the option price or restricted stock purchase price, the timing of when each share is exercisable and the duration of the exercise period and the nature of any restrictions or vesting periods

applicable to an option or restricted stock grant

Under the 2011 Plan, options granted are generally subject to a four-year vesting period whereby options become 25% vested after a one-year period and the remainder then vests monthly through the end of the vesting period. Vested options may

17

Table of Contents

be exercised up to ten years from the vesting commencement date, as defined in the 2011 Plan. Vested but unexercised options expire three months after termination of employment with the Company. The restricted stock units typically vest over four years with a yearly cliff contingent upon employment with the Company on the applicable vesting dates.

The Company has elected to recognize the compensation cost of all stock-based awards on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the award. Further, the Company applies an estimated forfeiture rate to unvested awards when computing the share compensation expenses. The Company estimates the forfeiture rate for unvested awards based on its historical experience on employee turnover behavior and other factors.

At the end of each fiscal year, the share reserve under the 2011 Plan increases automatically by an amount equal to 4% of the outstanding shares as of the end of that most recently completed fiscal year or 3.8 million shares, whichever is less. On January 1, 2014, 3.3 million additional shares were reserved under the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to the automatic increase.

Determining Fair Value of Stock Awards

The Company estimates the fair value of stock option awards at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Options are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the common stock as of the date of grant. Compensation expense is amortized net of estimated forfeitures on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the options, which is generally four years. Restricted stock, upon vesting, entitles the holder to one share of common stock for each restricted stock and has a purchase price of \$0.0001 per share, which is equal to the par value of the Company's common stock, and vests over four years. The fair value of the restricted stock is based on the Company's closing stock price on the date of grant, and compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period.

The weighted average Black-Scholes model assumptions for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Expected term (in years) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| Expected volatility | 41 | % 43 | % 41 | % 44 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.68 | % 1.48 | % 1.63 | % 0.95 | % |
| Expected dividend yield | — | — | — | — | |

Option and restricted stock activity under the 2011 Plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was as follows (shares in thousands)

| | Shares and Units Available for Grant | Options Outstanding | | Restricted Stock Outstanding |
|---|--|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares |
| Outstanding — December 31, 2013 | 5,755 | 8,908 | \$5.89 | 5,431 |
| Additional shares reserved under the 2011 equity incentive plan | 3,279 | — | | 2,845 |
| Granted | (4,602) | 1,757 | 5.13 | (927) |
| Options exercised/ Restricted stock released | — | (514) | 5.17 | — |
| Canceled/Forfeited | 3,739 | (1,842) | 6.46 | (1,892) |
| Outstanding — September 30, 2014 | 8,171 | 8,309 | | 5,457 |

The weighted average grant-date fair value of employee stock options granted during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.53 and \$4.86 per share, respectively and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.96 and \$3.03 per share, respectively.

The following table summarizes the consolidated stock-based compensation expense included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands):

18

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cost of revenue | \$1,034 | \$802 | \$3,168 | \$2,222 |
| Sales and marketing | 1,497 | 2,414 | 4,917 | 7,396 |
| Research and development | 695 | 753 | 2,131 | 1,758 |
| General and administrative | 1,848 | 1,989 | 5,790 | 5,925 |
| Total stock-based compensation | \$5,074 | \$5,958 | \$16,006 | \$17,301 |

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company's 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP") is intended to qualify under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Under the ESPP, employees are eligible to purchase common stock through payroll deductions of up to 10% of their eligible compensation, subject to any plan limitations. The purchase price of the shares on each purchase date is equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the first and last trading days of each twelve month offering period.

The ESPP provides that additional shares are reserved under the plan annually on the first day of each fiscal year in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) 1.5 million shares, (ii) one percent of the outstanding shares of common stock on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year, or (iii) an amount determined by the board of directors and/or the compensation committee of the board of directors. On January 1, 2014, 0.8 million additional shares were reserved under the ESPP pursuant to the plan's automatic increase provision. As of September 30, 2014, 1.1 million shares had been issued under the ESPP and 2.1 million shares were available for future issuance.

Note 12 — Income Taxes

The Company files U.S. federal and state and foreign income tax returns in jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations. In the normal course of business the Company is subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world. These audits include questioning the timing and amount of deductions, the allocation of income among various tax jurisdictions and compliance with federal, state, local and foreign tax laws. The Company is currently undergoing examination of the California Franchise Tax Returns relating to California state income taxes of its U.S. operating subsidiary for the years 2008 through 2010. Accordingly, tax years 2008 through 2013 remain subject to examination in California. The 2009 through 2013 tax years generally remain subject to examination by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. The Company's gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2013 and as of September 30, 2014, \$0.1 million of which, if recognized, would affect the Company's effective tax rate. It is difficult to predict the final timing and resolution of any particular uncertain tax position. Based on the Company's assessment of many factors, the Company does not expect that changes in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits for the next twelve months will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2014, consistent with the Company's practice in prior periods, management reassessed the realizability of deferred tax assets based on the available evidence, including a history of taxable income and estimates of future taxable income. In performing its evaluation, management placed significant emphasis on guidance in ASC 740, which states that "[a] cumulative loss in recent years is a significant piece of negative evidence that is difficult to overcome." Based upon available evidence, management concluded on a more-likely-than-not basis that most of the Company's U.S. deferred tax assets were not realizable. Significant negative evidence included U.S. pretax losses (as calculated consistent with ASC 740) in each of the Company's 2014 quarters and for the cumulative twelve-quarter period ended September 30, 2014. Additionally, Company forecasts indicated a continuation of U.S. pretax losses for the remainder of calendar year 2014. The Company also concluded on a more-likely-than-not basis that its Singapore and Ireland deferred tax assets were not realizable, based on cumulative pretax losses incurred for the cumulative twelve-quarter period ended September 30, 2014 and forecast pretax losses for the remainder of the year.

At September 30, 2014 management concluded that the cumulative losses for the most recent three years, as well as the forecast losses for calendar 2014, represented significant negative evidence as to why a valuation allowance was warranted. Management also considered the Company's near-term financial forecast on a jurisdictional basis which

indicated that three-year cumulative loss estimates in the U.S., Singapore and Ireland would not reverse in the near future. Assessing these factors and the fact that the most objective and verifiable data were the cumulative three-year losses in each jurisdiction through September 30, 2014, management concluded that, on a more-likely-than-not basis, the Company's U.S., Singapore and Ireland tax deferred tax assets would not be realized. As a result, the Company continued to provide a valuation allowance for

Table of Contents

all US federal deferred tax assets, net of liabilities, for all Ireland and Singapore net deferred tax assets, and for substantially all of the Company's state deferred tax assets. The remaining deferred tax assets at September 30, 2014 relate to jurisdictions in which the Company has net adjusted historical pretax profits and sufficient forecast profitability to assure future realization of such deferred tax assets.

Management will continue to assess the realization of the Company's deferred tax assets at each balance sheet date by applying the provisions of ASC 740. These evaluations will consider all positive and negative factors identified by management at each reporting date.

The Company considers the undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries permanently reinvested in foreign operations and has not provided for U.S. income taxes on such earnings.

Note 13 — Segments

The Company has two operating segments. The information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 has been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Managed Services- The Company's managed services solution consists of end-to-end management and optimization of the recurring revenue process, including quoting, selling and business intelligence. The Company's managed services business is built on its pay-for-performance model, whereby customers pay the Company a commission based on renewal sales that it generates on their behalf. The Company's managed services offerings include quoting and selling services, in which dedicated service teams have specific expertise in the customers' businesses, are deployed under the Company's customers' brands and follow a sales process tailored specifically to increase service contract renewals.

Cloud and Business Intelligence- The Company's cloud and business intelligence solution consist of its subscription sales and professional services to deploy the Company's solutions. Subscription sales consists of selling subscriptions to Renew OnDemand and Scout Analytics, both SaaS applications. The foundation of the Company's cloud solution is Renew OnDemand, a SaaS-based renewal management system based on its data warehouse of transactional, analytical and industry data that grows with each service renewal transaction and customer.

The Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM), its Chief Executive Officer, evaluates the performance of its operating segments based on net revenue and gross profit. Gross profit for each segment includes revenues and the related cost of revenue directly attributable to the segment. The Company does not allocate sales and marketing, research and development, or general and administrative expenses to its operating segments because management does not include the information in its measurement of the performance of the operating segments. The Company does not evaluate its operating segments using discrete asset information.

Summarized financial information by reporting segments based on the Company's internal management reporting and as utilized by the Company's CODM, is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net Revenue | | | | |
| Managed Services | \$56,629 | \$61,946 | \$173,773 | \$183,329 |
| Cloud and Business Intelligence | 8,084 | 4,536 | 23,753 | 11,971 |
| | 64,713 | 66,482 | 197,526 | 195,300 |
| Gross Profit | | | | |
| Managed Services | 12,896 | 26,558 | 46,143 | 75,945 |
| Cloud and Business Intelligence | 2,599 | 194 | 6,052 | 2,507 |
| | 15,495 | 26,752 | 52,195 | 78,452 |
| Unallocated operating expenses | 54,614 | 30,408 | 126,214 | 95,630 |
| Loss from operations | \$(39,119) | \$(3,656) | \$(74,019) | \$(17,178) |

Table of Contents

The Company's business is geographically diversified. During the third quarter of 2014, 67% of our net revenue was earned in North America and Latin America ("NALA"), 23% in Europe, Middle East and Africa ("EMEA") and 10% in Asia Pacific-Japan ("APJ"). Net revenue for a particular geography generally reflects commissions earned from sales of service contracts managed from our sales centers in that geography and subscription sales and professional services to deploy the Company's solutions. Predominantly all of the service contracts sold and managed by our sales centers relate to end customers located in the same geography. All of NALA net revenue represents revenue generated in the United States.

Summarized financial information by geographic location based on the Company's internal management reporting is as follows (in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net revenue | | | | |
| NALA | \$43,477 | \$45,068 | \$129,434 | \$125,357 |
| EMEA | 15,156 | 15,625 | 49,599 | 51,383 |
| APJ | 6,080 | 5,789 | 18,493 | 18,560 |
| Total net revenue | \$64,713 | \$66,482 | \$197,526 | \$195,300 |

Table of Contents

Note 14 — Restructuring and Other

The Company announced at the beginning of the third quarter of 2014 a restructuring effort to better align our cost structure with current revenue levels. The restructuring plans are accounted for in accordance with ASC 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations. The Company recognized restructuring and other charges of \$1.9 million during the quarter. Restructuring costs include severance related expenses which includes severance payments, related employee benefits and retention bonuses. Other costs include severance related expenses and \$0.4 million of charges related to cancellation of contracts with outside vendors. The Company expects to have restructuring and other expenses through 2015, as restructuring activities targeted at reducing the overall cost structure of the business will continue over several quarters.

Restructuring and other reserve activities for the period ended September 30, 2014 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Restructuring | Other | Total |
|---|---------------|-------|-------|
| Restructuring and other liability at January 1, 2014 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Restructuring and other charge | 835 | 1,102 | 1,937 |
| Cash paid | 329 | 894 | 1,223 |
| Restructuring and other liability at September 30, 2014 | \$506 | \$208 | \$714 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”) should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains “forward-looking statements” that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements related to changes in market conditions that impact our ability to generate service revenue on behalf of our customers; errors in estimates as to the service revenue we can generate for our customers; our ability to attract new customers and retain existing customers; risks associated with material defects or errors in our software or the effect of data security breaches; our ability to adapt our solution to changes in the market or new competition; our ability to improve our customers’ renewal rates, margins and profitability; our ability to increase our revenue and contribution margin over time from new and existing customers, including as a result of sales of our next-generation technology platform, Renew OnDemand, on a stand-alone subscription basis; our ability to implement Renew OnDemand or our other SaaS offerings; our strategy with respect to our business services and SaaS businesses and cost allocation and management efforts; the potential effect of mergers and acquisitions on our customer base; business strategies and new sales initiatives; technology development; protection of our intellectual property; investment and financing plans; liquidity, our leverage consisting of convertible notes and related matters concerning our note hedges and warrants; our competitive position; the effects of competition; industry environment; potential growth opportunities; and our expected benefits from the acquisition of Scout. Forward-looking statements are also often identified by the use of words such as, but not limited to, “anticipate,” “believe,” “can,” “continue,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “project,” “seek,” “should,” “target,” “will,” “would,” and similar expressions or variations identify forward-looking statements. These statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of our management based on information currently available to management. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause actual results and the timing of certain events to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below, and those discussed in the section of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q titled “Risk Factors.” Furthermore, such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

All dollar amounts expressed as numbers in this MD&A (except per share amounts) are in millions.

OVERVIEW

We are the global leader in recurring revenue management. We offer a cloud application, Renew OnDemand, to automate this highly valuable but typically manual business process. Renew OnDemand and our proven managed services, drive higher subscription, maintenance and support revenue while improving customer retention and increasing business predictability. We manage the service contract renewals process for renewals of maintenance, support and subscription agreements on behalf of our customers. Our integrated solution consists of dedicated service sales teams working under our customers’ brands and our proprietary Renew OnDemand platform and applications. By integrating software, managed services and data, we address the critical steps of the renewals process including data management, quoting, selling and recurring revenue business intelligence. Our business is built on our pay-for-performance model, whereby our revenues are based on the service renewals customers achieve with our solution, although we have been establishing a base of subscription revenue agreements to our technology platform and applications. We also offer our Scout Analytics suite of role-based products that leverage the Scout Platform, a recurring revenue management solution designed to maximize customer value and accelerate growth in revenue and profits. All of the products in the Scout Platform help companies integrate customer data, predict opportunities, and automate customer engagement processes, but each product comes with its own specialized workflows, automation, and reports, designed to solve specific recurring revenue challenges.

Over the past two years, we have devoted significant resources to developing Renew OnDemand. With its launch in December 2012, we transitioned from a Managed Service business to a software and services company where we unbundled the two product offerings. We have expanded our professional services, research and development and sales team capabilities to develop and market our SaaS based solution in addition to our existing managed service offering. In addition, our acquisition of Scout Analytics in January 2014 has expanded our product offerings of SaaS based solutions.

Despite a number of customer expansions and additions, our revenue and profitability was adversely impacted in

23

Table of Contents

2014 due to execution challenges in our bundled solutions offering. In July 2014, we announced plans to create two business units for our Managed Services (MS) and Cloud & Business Intelligence and to align our customer-facing teams along those different efforts. We also plan to focus on driving improved execution and reducing inefficiencies and cost-to-serve in our core Managed Services business and scaling our Cloud & Business Intelligence business. Finally, we aim to lower overall operating costs by reducing our global expense infrastructure. We expect these improvements to have little impact on our expected financial results for the remainder of 2014, but these efforts are designed to enable us to run our business more efficiently and with more scale across our two business units in 2015 and beyond.

Key Business Metrics

In assessing the performance of our business, we consider a variety of business metrics in addition to the financial metrics discussed below under, “Basis of Presentation.” These key metrics include recurring revenue opportunity under management and number of engagements.

Recurring Revenue Opportunity Under Management. At December 31, 2013, we estimated our opportunity under management to be over \$11.6 billion. Recurring revenue opportunity under management (“opportunity under management”) is a forward-looking metric and is our estimate, as of a given date, of the value of all end customer service contracts that we will have the opportunity to sell or provide support on behalf of our customers over the subsequent twelve-month period. Opportunity under management is not a measure of our expected revenue. In addition, opportunity under management reflects our estimate for a forward twelve-month period and should not be used to estimate our opportunity for any particular quarter within that period. The value of end customer contracts actually delivered during a twelve-month period should not be expected to occur in even quarterly increments due to seasonality and other factors impacting our customers and their end customers. We estimate the value of such end customer contracts based on a combination of factors, including the value of end customer contracts made available to us by customers in past periods, the minimum value of end customer contracts that our customers are required to give us the opportunity to sell pursuant to the terms of their contracts with us, periodic internal business reviews of our expectations as to the value of end customer contracts that will be made available to us by customers, the value of end customer contracts included in the Service Performance Analysis (“SPA”) and collaborative discussions with our customers assessing their expectations as to the value of service contracts that they will make available to us for sale. While the minimum value of end customer contracts that our customers are required to give us represents a portion of our estimated opportunity under management, a significant portion of the opportunity under management is estimated based on the other factors described above. As our experience with our business, our customers and their contracts has grown, we have continually refined the process, improved the assumptions and expanded the data related to our calculation of opportunity under management. When estimating recurring revenue opportunity under management, we must, to a large degree, rely on the assumptions described above, which may prove incorrect. These assumptions are inherently subject to significant business and economic uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. Our estimates therefore may prove inaccurate, causing the actual value of end customer contracts delivered to us in a given twelve-month period to differ from our estimate of opportunity under management. These factors include:

- the extent to which customers deliver a greater or lesser value of end customer contracts than may be required or otherwise expected;
- roll-overs of unsold service contract renewals from prior periods to the current period or future periods;
- changes in the pricing or terms of service contracts offered by our customers;
- increases or decreases in the end customer base of our customers;
- the extent to which the renewal rates we achieve on behalf of a customer early in an engagement affect the amount of opportunity that the customer makes available to us later in the engagement;
- customer cancellations of their contracts with us; and
- changes in our customers’ businesses, sales organizations, management, sales processes or priorities.

Our managed services revenue also depends on our close rates and commissions. Our close rate is the percentage of opportunity under management that we renew on behalf of our customers. Our commission rate is an agreed-upon percentage of the renewal value of end customer contracts that we sell on behalf of our customers.

Our close rate is impacted principally by our ability to successfully sell service contracts on behalf of our customers. Other factors impacting our close rate include: the manner in which our customers price their service contracts for sale to their end customers; the stage of life-cycle associated with the products and underlying technologies covered by the service contracts offered to the end customer; the extent to which our customers or their competitors introduce new products or underlying technologies; the nature, size and age of the service contracts; and the extent to which we have managed the renewals process for similar products and underlying technologies in the past.

Table of Contents

In determining commission rates for an individual engagement, various factors, including our close rates, as described above, are evaluated. These factors include: historical, industry-specific and customer-specific renewal rates for similar service contracts; the magnitude of the opportunity under management in a particular engagement; the number of end customers associated with these opportunities; and the opportunity to receive additional performance commissions when we exceed certain renewal levels. We endeavor to set our commission rates at levels commensurate with these factors and other factors that may be relevant to a particular engagement. Accordingly, our commission rates vary, often significantly, from engagement to engagement. In addition, we sometimes agree to lower commission rates for engagements with significant opportunity under management.

Number of Engagements. We track the number of engagements we have with our customers. We often have multiple engagements with a single customer, particularly where we manage the sales of service renewals relating to different product lines, technologies, types of contracts or geographies for the customer. When the set of renewals we manage on behalf of a customer is associated with a separate customer contract or a distinct product set, type of end customer contract or geography and therefore requires us to assign a service sales team to manage the renewals, we designate the set of renewals, and associated revenues and costs to us as a unique engagement. For example, we may have one engagement consisting of a service sales team selling maintenance contract renewals of a particular product for a customer in the United States and another engagement consisting of a sales team selling warranty contract renewals of a different product for the same customer in Europe. These would count as two engagements. We had approximately 150, 145 and 120 engagements as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

Factors Affecting our Performance

Sales Cycle. We sell our integrated solution through our sales organization. At the beginning of the sales process, our quota-carrying sales representatives contact prospective customers and educate them about our offerings. Educating prospective customers about the benefits of our solution can take time, as many of these prospects have not historically relied upon integrated solutions like ours for service revenue management, nor have they typically put out a formal request for proposal or otherwise made a decision to focus on this area. As part of our sales process, we utilize our solutions design team to perform a

SPA of our prospect's service revenue. The SPA includes an analysis of best practices and benchmarks the prospect's service revenue against industry peers. Through the SPA process, which typically takes several weeks, we are able to assess the characteristics and size of the prospect's service revenue, identify potential areas of performance improvement, and formulate our proposal for managing the prospect's service revenue. The length of our sales cycle for a new customer, inclusive of the SPA process and measured from our first formal discussion with the customer until execution of a new customer contract, is typically longer than six months and has increased in recent periods.

We generally contract with new customers to manage a specified portion of their service revenue opportunity, such as the opportunity associated with a particular product line or technology, contract type or geography. We negotiate the engagement-specific terms of our customer contracts, including commission rates, based on the output of the SPA, including the areas identified for improvement. Once we demonstrate success to a customer with respect to the opportunity under contract, we seek to expand the scope of our engagement to include other opportunities with the customer. For some customers, we manage all or substantially all of their service contract renewals.

For SaaS offerings, the SPA may be more limited and focused on the benefits of the respective technology and therefore may take less time.

Implementation Cycle. After entering into an engagement with a new customer, and to a lesser extent after adding an engagement with an existing customer, we incur sales and marketing expenses related to the commissions owed to our sales personnel. The commissions are based on the estimated total contract value, with a material portion of the commission expensed upfront with the remaining portion expensed ratably over a period of twelve to fourteen months. We also make upfront investments in technology and personnel to support the engagement. These expenses are typically incurred one to three months before we begin generating sales and recognizing revenue. Accordingly, in a given quarter, an increase in new customers, and, to a lesser extent, an increase in engagements with existing customers, or a significant increase in the contract value associated with such new customers and engagements, will negatively impact our gross margin and operating margins until we begin to achieve anticipated sales levels associated with the new engagements, which is typically two-to-three quarters after we begin selling contracts on behalf of our

customers.

Although we expect new customer engagements to contribute to our operating profitability over time, in the initial periods of a customer relationship, the near term impact on our profitability can be negatively impacted by slower-than anticipated growth in revenues for these engagements as well as the impact of the upfront costs we incur, the lower initial level of associated service sales team productivity and lack of mature data and technology integration with the customer. As a result, an increase in the mix of new customers as a percentage of total customers may initially have a negative impact on our

25

Table of Contents

operating results. Similarly, a decline in the ratio of new customers to total customers may positively impact our near-term operating results.

Contract Terms. Substantially all of our revenue comes from our pay-for-performance model. Under our pay-for-performance model, we earn commissions based on the value of service contracts we sell on behalf of our customers. In some cases, we earn additional performance-based commissions for exceeding pre-determined service renewal targets.

Our new customer contracts have typically had a term of approximately 36 months, although we sometimes have contract terms of up to 60 months. Our contracts generally require our customers to deliver a minimum value of qualifying service revenue contracts for us to renew on their behalf during a specified period. To the extent that our customers do not meet their minimum contractual commitments over a specified period, they may be subject to fees for the shortfall. Our customer contracts are cancelable on relatively short notice, subject in most cases to the payment of an early termination fee by the customer. The amount of this fee is based on the length of the remaining term and value of the contract.

We invoice our customers on a monthly basis based on commissions we earn during the prior month, and with respect to performance-based commissions, on a quarterly basis based on our overall performance during the prior quarter. Amounts invoiced to our customers are recognized as revenue in the period in which our services are performed or, in the case of performance commissions, when the performance condition is determinable. Because the invoicing for our services generally coincides with or immediately follows the sale of service contracts on behalf of our customers, we do not generate or report a significant deferred revenue balance. However, the combination of minimum contractual commitments, our success in generating improved renewal rates for our customers, our customers' historical renewal rates and the performance improvement potential identified by our SPA process, provides us with revenue visibility.

M&A Activity. Our customers, particularly those in the technology sector, participate in an active environment for mergers and acquisitions. Large technology companies have maintained active acquisition programs to increase the breadth and depth of their product and service offerings and small and mid-sized companies have combined to better compete with large technology companies. A number of our customers have merged, purchased other companies or been acquired by other companies. We expect merger and acquisition activity to continue to occur in the future.

The impact of these transactions on our business can vary. Acquisitions of other companies by our customers can provide us with the opportunity to pursue additional business to the extent the acquired company is not already one of our customers.

Similarly, when a customer is acquired, we may be able to use our relationship with the acquired company to build a relationship with the acquirer. In some cases we have been able to maintain our relationship with an acquired customer even where the acquiring company handles its other service contract renewals through internal resources. In other cases, however, acquirers have elected to terminate or not renew our contract with the acquired company. For example, Oracle terminated our contracts with Sun Microsystems effective as of September 30, 2010.

Economic Conditions and Seasonality. An improving economic outlook generally has a positive, but mixed, impact on our business. As with most businesses, improved economic conditions can lead to increased end customer demand and sales. In particular, within the technology sector, we believe that the recent economic downturn led many companies to cut their expenses by choosing to let their existing maintenance, support and subscription agreements lapse. An improving economy may have the opposite effect.

However, an improving economy may also cause companies to purchase new hardware, software and other technology products, which we generally do not sell on behalf of our customers, instead of purchasing maintenance, support and subscription services for existing products. To the extent this occurs, it would have a negative impact on our opportunities in the near term that would partially offset the benefits of an improving economy.

We believe the current uncertainty in the economy, combined with shifting market forces toward subscription-based models, is impacting a number of our customers and prospective customers, particularly in the traditional enterprise software and hardware segments. These forces have placed pressure on end customer demand for their renewal contracts and also have led to some slower decision making in general. This economic and industry environment has adversely affected the conversion rates for end customers and contracts. To the extent these conditions continue they will impact our future revenues.

In addition to the uncertainty in the macroeconomic environment, we experience a seasonal variance in our revenue typically for the third quarter of the year as a result of lower or flat renewal volume corresponding to the timing of our customers' product sales particularly in the international regions. The impact of this seasonal fluctuation can be amplified if the economy as a whole is experiencing disruption or uncertainty, leading to deferral of some renewal decisions. As we increase our subscription revenue base, this seasonality will become less apparent. However for at least the next couple years, we would expect this pattern to continue.

Table of Contents

Establishment of “Software-as-a-Service” Business unit. Within the software industry, there is a growing trend toward providing software to customers using a software-as-a-service (“SaaS”) model. Under this model, SaaS companies provide access to software applications to customers on a remote basis, and provide their customers with a subscription to use the software, rather than licensing software to their customers.

We have two SaaS-based applications that we develop and support -Renew OnDemand (our purpose-built SaaS offering to manage and maximize recurring revenue) and Scout (our SaaS offering to help companies with predictive analytics for recurring revenue). Our Research and development costs are primarily related to these two SaaS based applications. We intend to maintain customer support, training and professional service organizations to support deployments of our solutions. Our current spending incorporates a level of investment required for development of our products and are targeted at improving the tools and infrastructure that will make the product easier to deploy and support in the future. We believe that the level of effort to deploy and maintain these applications will decline over time, due to product development investments made this year to improve the application layer of the solutions and to improve the underlying database architecture and reduce the overall cost of our cloud infrastructure. As a result, we expect costs to remain flat for the remainder of 2014 and rise more slowly or at the same pace as revenue growth in future years.

Basis of Presentation

Net Revenue

Substantially all of our net revenue is attributable to commissions we earn from the sale of renewals of maintenance, support and subscription agreements on behalf of our customers. We generally invoice our customers for our services in arrears on a monthly basis for sales commissions, and on a quarterly basis for certain performance sales commissions; accordingly, we typically have no deferred revenue related to these services. We do not set the price, terms or scope of services in the service contracts with end customers and do not have any obligations related to the underlying service contracts between our customers and their end customers.

We also earn revenue from the sale of subscriptions to our cloud based applications. To date, subscription revenue has been a small percentage of total revenue, but we expect revenues generated from subscriptions to Renew OnDemand and our new Scout suite to increase in 2014. Subscription fees are accounted for separately from commissions, and they are billed in advance over a monthly, quarterly or annual basis. Subscription revenue is recognized ratably over the related subscription term.

We have generated a significant portion of our revenue from a limited number of customers. Our top ten customers accounted for 51% and 49% of our net revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit

Our cost of revenue expenses include employee compensation, technology costs, including those related to the delivery of our cloud-based solutions, and allocated overhead costs. Compensation includes salary, bonus, benefits and stock-based compensation for our dedicated service sales teams. Our allocated overhead includes costs for facilities, information technology and depreciation, including amortization of internal-use software associated with our service revenue technology platform and cloud applications. Allocated costs for facilities consist of rent, maintenance and compensation of personnel in our facilities departments. Our allocated costs for information technology include costs associated with third-party data centers where we maintain our data servers, compensation of our information technology personnel and the cost of support and maintenance contracts associated with computer hardware and software. To the extent our customer base or opportunity under management expands, we may need to hire additional service sales personnel and invest in infrastructure to support such growth. We currently expect that our cost of revenue will fluctuate significantly and may increase on an absolute basis and as a percentage of revenue in the near term, including for the reasons discussed above under, “—Factors Affecting Our Performance—Implementation Cycle”. We are currently taking measures to reduce the costs to deliver our solutions and support our customer engagements, in order to improve our gross profit. We are also evaluating additional measures to further reduce our costs of revenue over the next several quarters.

Operating Expenses

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses are the largest component of our operating expenses and consist primarily of compensation and sales commissions for our sales and marketing staff, allocated expenses and marketing

programs and events. We sell our solutions through our global sales organization, which is organized across three geographic regions: NALA, EMEA and APJ. Our commission plans provide that payment of commissions to our sales representatives is contingent on their continued employment, and we recognize expense over a period that is generally between twelve and fourteen months

27

Table of Contents

following the execution of the applicable contract. We currently expect sales and marketing expenses to increase for 2014 as we build out our SaaS sales team and decrease or remain flat as a percentage of revenue in 2015.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses consist primarily of compensation, allocated costs and the cost of third-party service providers. We focus our research and development efforts on developing new products, including Renew OnDemand, our next-generation technology platform, and adding new features to our existing technology platform. In connection with the development and enhancements of our SaaS applications, we capitalize certain expenditures related to the development and enhancement of internal-use software related to our technology platform. We expect research and development spending to remain flat for the remainder of 2014 and decrease or remain flat as a percentage of revenue in future years.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation for our executive, human resources, finance and legal functions, and related expenses for professional fees for accounting, tax and legal services, as well as allocated expenses. We expect that our general and administrative expenses will remain flat on an absolute basis as we streamline our operations across the two business segments.

Restructuring and Other. Restructuring and other expenses consist primarily of employees' severance payments, related employee benefits, retention bonuses and cancellation of contracts. We expect to have restructuring and other expenses through 2015 as restructuring activities targeted at reducing the overall cost structure of the business continue.

Goodwill impairment. The goodwill impairment charge consists of impairment based on the outcome of an impairment analysis. The Company performs its annual impairment analysis of goodwill in the fourth quarter of each year and between annual tests if events or circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. We recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$21.0 million in the third quarter of 2014. Additionally, the further decline in the Company's market capitalization or other events or circumstances may require additional impairment charges to be recorded in future periods against any remaining goodwill.

Other (Income) Expense

Interest expense. Interest expense consists primarily of interest expense associated with fees related to our credit facility, our convertible debt; capital lease payments; accretion of debt discount; and amortization of debt issuance costs. We recognize accretion of debt discount and amortization of interest costs using the effective interest method. In fiscal 2014 we expect our interest expense to increase compared to fiscal 2013 from accretion of debt discount, amortization of deferred financing costs and contractual interest costs as a result of our August 2013 issuance of \$150 million aggregate principal amount of convertible notes.

Other, Net. Other, net consists primarily of the interest income earned on our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities investments and foreign exchange gains and losses. We expect other, net to vary depending on the movement in foreign currency exchange rates and the related impact on our foreign exchange gain (loss) and the return of interest on our investments.

Income Tax Provision

We account for income taxes using an asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences that currently exist between the tax basis and the financial reporting basis of our taxable subsidiaries' assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in operations in the period that includes the enactment date. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

We evaluate the need for and amount of any valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets on a jurisdictional basis. This evaluation utilizes the framework contained in ASC 740, Income Taxes, wherein management analyzes all positive and negative evidence available at the balance sheet date to determine whether all or some portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized. Under this guidance, a valuation allowance must be established for deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not (a probability level of more than 50 percent) that they will not be realized. In assessing the realization of our deferred tax assets, we consider all available evidence, both positive and negative.

In performing our evaluation, we place significant emphasis on guidance contained in ASC 740, which states that “[a] cumulative loss in recent years is a significant piece of negative evidence that is difficult to overcome.”

Table of Contents

We account for unrecognized tax benefits using a more-likely-than-not threshold for financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. We establish reserves for tax-related uncertainties based on estimates of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. We record an income tax liability, if any, for the difference between the benefit recognized and measured and the tax position taken or expected to be taken on our tax returns. To the extent that the assessment of such tax positions change, the change in estimate is recorded in the period in which the determination is made. The reserves are adjusted in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the outcome of a tax audit. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate.

Results of Operations

The table below sets forth our consolidated results of operations for the periods presented. The period-to-period comparison of financial results presented below is not necessarily indicative of financial results to be achieved in future periods.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | September 30, 2013 | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| | (in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$64,713 | \$66,482 | \$197,526 | \$195,300 | | | | |
| Cost of revenue | 49,218 | 39,730 | 145,331 | 116,848 | | | | |
| Gross profit | 15,495 | 26,752 | 52,195 | 78,452 | | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 14,343 | 13,731 | 47,225 | 43,906 | | | | |
| Research and development | 6,402 | 5,500 | 19,999 | 18,542 | | | | |
| General and administrative | 10,932 | 11,177 | 36,053 | 33,182 | | | | |
| Restructuring and other | 1,937 | — | 1,937 | — | | | | |
| Goodwill impairment | 21,000 | — | 21,000 | — | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | 54,614 | 30,408 | 126,214 | 95,630 | | | | |
| Loss from operations | (39,119 |) (3,656 |) (74,019 |) (17,178 |) |) | | |
| Other (income) expense: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | (2,495 |) (1,272 |) (7,356 |) (1,376 |) |) | | |
| Other, net | (372 |) 179 | (282 |) (119 |) |) | | |
| Loss before income taxes | (41,986 |) (4,749 |) (81,657 |) (18,673 |) |) | | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | (200 |) 753 | (39 |) 2,190 | | | | |
| Net loss | \$(41,786 |) \$(5,502 |) \$(81,618 |) \$(20,863 |) |) | | |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | September 30, 2013 | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| | (in thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Includes stock-based compensation of: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of revenue | \$1,034 | \$802 | \$3,168 | \$2,222 | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 1,497 | 2,414 | 4,917 | 7,396 | | | | |
| Research and development | 695 | 753 | 2,131 | 1,758 | | | | |
| General and administrative | 1,848 | 1,989 | 5,790 | 5,925 | | | | |
| Total stock-based compensation | \$5,074 | \$5,958 | \$16,006 | \$17,301 | | | | |

The following table sets forth our operating results as a percentage of net revenue:

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|----|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| | (as % of net revenue) | | | | |
| Net revenue | 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % |
| Cost of revenue | 76 | % 60 | % 74 | % 60 | % |
| Gross profit | 24 | % 40 | % 26 | % 40 | % |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 22 | % 21 | % 24 | % 22 | % |
| Research and development | 10 | % 8 | % 10 | % 9 | % |
| General and administrative | 17 | % 17 | % 18 | % 17 | % |
| Restructuring and other | 3 | % — | % 1 | % — | % |
| Goodwill impairment | 32 | % — | % 11 | % — | % |
| Total operating expenses | 84 | % 46 | % 64 | % 48 | % |
| Loss from operations | (60) |)% (6 |)% (38 |)% (8 |)% |

Three months ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013

Net Revenue

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | 2013 | % of Net Revenue | Change | % Change |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2014 | % of Net Revenue | | | | |
| | Amount | | Amount | | | |
| | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Net revenue | | | | | | |
| Managed Services | \$56,629 | 88 | % \$61,946 | 93 | % \$(5,317 |) (9 |
| Cloud and Business Intelligence | 8,084 | 12 | % 4,536 | 7 | % 3,548 | 78 |
| Total net revenue | \$64,713 | 100 | % \$66,482 | 100 | % \$(1,769 |) (3 |

Net revenue decreased \$1.8 million, or 3%, for the third quarter of 2014, compared to the third quarter of 2013. The overall decrease in revenue in the third quarter of 2014 was due to customer cancellations and reductions in excess of new customer additions in 2014. The customer cancellations and reductions during the third quarter of 2014 were higher than our historical averages.

Managed services revenue decreased by 9% for the third quarter of 2014, compared to the third quarter of 2013 due to the restructuring of certain of the managed services revenue contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our Renew OnDemand SaaS platform, which resulted in a shift of some revenue from managed services to cloud and business intelligence. For the third quarter of 2014, EMEA experienced a decline of revenues of 3% as compared to the third quarter of 2013 due primarily to slow acquisition of new business compounded by certain EMEA customers deciding to bring the renewals inhouse. APJ grew 5% for the third quarter of 2014 compared to the third quarter of 2013 on a relatively small base.

The increase in revenue from our cloud and business intelligence for the third quarter of 2014, compared to the third quarter of 2013, was attributable to the restructuring of certain of the managed services revenue contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our Renew OnDemand SaaS platform and revenue attributable to Scout SaaS solution acquired in January 2014.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|---|
| | Amount (in thousand) | % of Net Revenue | Amount | % of Net Revenue | | | |
| Cost of revenue | | | | | | | |
| Managed Services | \$43,733 | 68 | % \$35,388 | 53 | % \$8,345 | 24 | % |
| Clouds and Business Intelligence | 5,485 | 8 | % 4,342 | 7 | % 1,143 | 26 | % |
| Total cost of revenue | \$49,218 | 76 | % \$39,730 | 60 | % \$9,488 | 24 | % |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|----------|---|
| | Amount (in thousand) | Gross Profit % | Amount | Gross Profit % | | | |
| Gross profit | | | | | | | |
| Managed Services | 12,896 | 20 | % 26,558 | 40 | % (13,662) | (51) | % |
| Clouds and Business Intelligence | 2,599 | 4 | % 194 | — | % 2,405 | 1,240 | % |
| Total gross profit | 15,495 | 24 | % 26,752 | 40 | % (11,257) | (42) | % |

The 24% increase in our cost of revenue for our managed services business in the third quarter of 2014 compared to 2013 reflects \$7.6 million increase in the compensation of service sales personnel as a result of increased headcount and higher average salaries, and a \$0.6 million increase in allocation for information technology and depreciation.

The \$1.1 million increase in our cost of revenue for our cloud and business intelligence business in the third quarter of 2014 compared to 2013, reflected a \$0.6 million increase in compensation partially due to incremental employees of Scout that was acquired January 2014, and a \$0.6 million increase in allocation for information technology, depreciation and amortization.

Gross profit in the third quarter of 2014 was adversely impacted for our managed services business due to the restructuring of some of the managed services contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our SaaS platform. This change had the effect of reducing the revenue for our Managed Services business, without reducing the cost structure to serve the customer in many cases. In addition, to better support our customers, we have increased our investment across several key accounts, both in terms of increased Managed Services and professional services personnel. This increased allocation of resources has continued to compress our gross margins across both of our business segments. The reduction in gross profit driven by the lower revenue, operational challenges faced by Managed Services, and salary increases in primarily in the NALA region.

Gross profit in the third quarter of 2014 increased for our cloud and business intelligence business due to the improved scale in our SaaS business, increase in revenue from restructuring of certain managed services contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our Renew OnDemand SaaS platform and revenue from Scout SaaS solution acquired in January 2014, offset by a shift in expenses from research and development to cost of revenue, as we transitioned from development to customer deployment of these products.

Table of Contents

Operating Expenses

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change | |
|--|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|----------|---|
| | Amount (in thousands) | % of Net Revenue | Amount | % of Net Revenue | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | \$14,343 | 22 | % \$13,731 | 21 | % \$612 | 4 | % |
| Research and development | 6,402 | 10 | % 5,500 | 8 | % 902 | 16 | % |
| General and administrative | 10,932 | 17 | % 11,177 | 17 | % (245) | (2) | % |
| Restructuring and other | 1,937 | 3 | % — | — | % 1,937 | 100 | % |
| Goodwill impairment | 21,000 | 32 | % — | — | % 21,000 | 100 | % |
| Total operating expenses | \$54,614 | 84 | % \$30,408 | 46 | % \$24,206 | 80 | % |
| Includes stock-based compensation of: | | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | \$1,497 | | \$2,414 | | \$(917) | | |
| Research and development | 695 | | 753 | | (58) | | |
| General and administrative | 1,848 | | 1,989 | | (141) | | |
| Total stock-based compensation | \$4,040 | | \$5,156 | | \$(1,116) | | |

Sales and marketing expenses

The 4% increase in sales and marketing expenses in the third quarter of 2014 resulted primarily from headcount growth resulting in increased compensation of \$1.3 million. The increase was partially offset by lower stock-based compensation of \$0.9 million due to true up of expenses for terminations.

Research and development expenses

The increase in research and development expense in the third quarter of 2014 was primarily due to headcount growth due to the acquisition of Scout as well as hiring engineers to support our SaaS products. The increase was partially offset due to capitalization of \$1.0 million of labor and third party costs for enhancement of Renew OnDemand and a new Salesforce application developed in the third quarter of 2014 as compared to no capitalized costs in the third quarter of 2013. We expect research and development spending to remain flat for the remainder of 2014 and rise more slowly or at the same pace as revenue growth in future years.

General and administrative expenses

The 2% decrease in general and administrative expense in the third quarter of 2014 as compared to the third quarter of 2013 reflected a \$0.3 million decrease in compensation due to slower headcount growth in the third quarter across all geographies and investments in our information technology infrastructure to support our global operations.

Restructuring and other expenses

The increase in restructuring and other expenses in the third quarter of 2014 is related to the Company recognizing restructuring and other charges of \$1.9 million. The charge consists primarily of employees' severance payments, related employee benefits, retention bonuses and cancellation of contracts. We expect to have restructuring and other expenses through 2015, as restructuring activities targeted at reducing the overall cost structure of the business will continue over several quarters.

Goodwill impairment

The goodwill impairment charge recorded in the third quarter of 2014 is related to the outcome of interim impairment analysis which resulted in a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$21.0 million relating to our Cloud and Business Intelligence reporting unit. The Company performs its annual impairment analysis of goodwill in the fourth quarter of each year and between annual tests if events or circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. Additionally, the further decline in the Company's market capitalization or other event or circumstances may require additional impairment charges to be recorded in future periods remaining goodwill.

Table of Contents

Other Expense, Net

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change | |
|------------------|---|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|----------|----|
| | Amount (in thousands) | % of Net Revenue | Amount | % of Net Revenue | | | |
| Interest expense | \$2,495 | 4 | % \$1,272 | 2 | % \$1,223 | 96 | % |
| Other, net | \$372 | 1 | % \$(179 |) — | % \$551 | (308 |)% |

Interest expense for the third quarter of 2014 increased by \$1.2 million as compared to the same period in 2013 due to accretion of debt discount and the amortization of debt issuance costs of \$1.9 million and interest expense of \$0.6 million for the convertible notes issued in August 2013.

Income Tax Provision

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | % Change |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-----------|------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | (in thousands) | | \$ (200) | \$ 753) (127)% |

For the third quarter of 2014, we recorded a benefit to income tax expense of \$0.2 million. This amount primarily represents anticipated taxes in jurisdictions where we have profitable operations, including certain U.S. states and foreign jurisdictions, offset by benefits available from foreign losses. No benefit was otherwise provided for losses incurred in U.S. and Singapore, because those losses are offset by a full valuation allowance. Anticipated tax charges were offset by adjustments for prior year tax filings and for benefits realized from certain US state tax credits. In the third quarter of 2013, we recorded a charge to income tax expense of \$0.8 million. No benefit was otherwise provided for losses incurred in U.S., Singapore and Japan, because those losses were offset by a full valuation allowance.

Nine months ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013

Net Revenue

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|----------|----|
| | Amount (in thousands) | % of Net Revenue | Amount | % of Net Revenue | | | |
| Net revenue | | | | | | | |
| Managed Services | \$173,773 | 88 | % \$183,329 | 94 | % \$(9,556 |) (5 |)% |
| Cloud and Business Intelligence | 23,753 | 12 | % 11,971 | 6 | % 11,782 | 98 | % |
| Total net revenue | \$197,526 | 100 | % \$195,300 | 100 | % \$2,226 | 1 | % |

Net revenue increased \$2.2 million, or 1%, in the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Managed services revenue decreased by 5% in the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 due to the restructuring of certain of the managed services revenue contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our Renew OnDemand SaaS platform, which resulted in a shift of some revenue from managed services to cloud and business intelligence. EMEA showed a decline with revenues decreasing 3% and APJ was approximately flat in the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to

the nine months ended September 30, 2013, due primarily to slow acquisition of new business compounded by certain international customers deciding to bring the renewals inhouse.

33

Table of Contents

The increase in revenue from our cloud and business intelligence in the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was attributable to the restructuring of certain of the managed services revenue contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our Renew OnDemand SaaS platform and revenue attributable to Scout SaaS solution acquired in January 2014.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| | Amount | % of Net Revenue | Amount | % of Net Revenue | | |
| | (in thousand) | | | | | |
| Cost of revenue | | | | | | |
| Managed Services | \$ 127,629 | 65 | % \$ 107,384 | 55 | % \$ 20,245 | 19 % |
| Clouds and Business Intelligence | 17,702 | 9 | % 9,464 | 5 | % 8,238 | 87 % |
| Total cost of revenue | \$ 145,331 | 74 | % \$ 116,848 | 60 | % \$ 28,483 | 24 % |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| | Amount | Gross Profit % | Amount | Gross Profit % | | |
| | (in thousand) | | | | | |
| Gross profit | | | | | | |
| Managed Services | 46,144 | 23 | % 75,945 | 39 | % (29,801) | (39)% |
| Clouds and Business Intelligence | 6,051 | 3 | % 2,507 | 1 | % 3,544 | 141 % |
| Total gross profit | 52,195 | 26 | % 78,452 | 40 | % (26,257) | (33)% |

The 19% increase in our cost of revenue for our managed services business in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013, reflected a \$17.8 million increase in the compensation of service sales personnel as a result of increased headcount and higher average salaries, and a \$2.3 million increase in allocation for information technology and depreciation.

The \$8.2 million increase in our cost of revenue for our cloud and business intelligence business in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 reflects a \$ 5.1 million increase in compensation, a \$1.6 million increase in allocations for information technology, depreciation and amortization of intangibles originated from the Scout acquisition and a \$0.7 million increase in professional services due to the shift in some of these expenses from research and development to cost of revenue, as we transitioned from developing the products to having deployed customers on Renew OnDemand.

Gross profit was adversely impacted for our managed services business due to the restructuring of some of the managed services contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our SaaS platform. This change had the effect of reducing the revenue for our Managed Services business, without necessarily reducing the cost structure. The reduction in gross profit driven by the lower revenue, operational challenges faced by Managed Services, and salary increases in primarily in the NALA region.

Gross profit in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 improved for our cloud and business intelligence business by the improved scale in our SaaS business, increase in revenue from the restructuring of a certain of the managed services revenue contracts with our installed base customers to include a subscription to our Renew OnDemand SaaS platform and revenue attributable to Scout SaaS solution acquired in January 2014 and offset by a shift in some of these expenses from research and development to cost of revenue, as we transitioned from development to deployment

of these products.

34

Table of Contents

Operating Expenses

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change | |
|--|--|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|----------|---|
| | Amount (in thousands) | % of Net Revenue | Amount | % of Net Revenue | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | \$47,225 | 24 | % \$43,906 | 22 | % \$3,319 | 8 | % |
| Research and development | 19,999 | 10 | % 18,542 | 9 | % 1,457 | 8 | % |
| General and administrative | 36,053 | 18 | % 33,182 | 17 | % 2,871 | 9 | % |
| Restructuring and other | 1,937 | 1 | % — | — | % 1,937 | 100 | % |
| Goodwill impairment | 21,000 | 11 | % — | — | % 21,000 | 100 | % |
| Total operating expenses | \$126,214 | 64 | % \$95,630 | 48 | % \$30,584 | 32 | % |
| Includes stock-based compensation of: | | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | \$4,917 | | \$7,396 | | \$(2,479) |) | |
| Research and development | 2,131 | | 1,758 | | 373 | | |
| General and administrative | 5,790 | | 5,925 | | (135) |) | |
| Total stock-based compensation | \$12,838 | | \$15,079 | | \$(2,241) |) | |
| Sales and marketing expenses | | | | | | | |

The 8% increase in sales and marketing expenses in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 reflected headcount growth resulting in increased compensation of \$2.9 million. The increase also resulted from a \$1.2 million increase in consulting fees for third-party consultants related to the strategy work around go-to-market. The increase was partially offset by lower stock-based compensation of \$2.5 million due to true up of expenses for terminations.

Research and development expenses

The increase in research and development expense in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to headcount growth due to the acquisition of Scout as well as hiring engineers to support our SaaS products. The increase was partially offset due to capitalization of \$2.0 million of labor and third party costs for enhancement of Renew OnDemand and a new Salesforce application developed in the first nine months of 2014 as compared to no capitalized costs in the first nine months of 2013. We expect research and development spending to remain flat for the remainder of 2014 and rise more slowly or at the same pace as revenue growth in future years.

General and administrative expenses

The 9% increase in general and administrative expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 reflected a \$2.7 million increase in compensation due to headcount growth across all geographies and investments in our information technology infrastructure to support our global operations.

Restructuring and other expenses

The increase in restructuring and other expense in the third quarter of 2014 is related to the Company recognizing restructuring and other charges of \$1.9 million. The charge consists primarily of employees' severance payments, related employee benefits, retention bonuses and cancellation of contracts. We expect to have restructuring and other expenses through 2015, as restructuring activities targeted at reducing the overall cost structure of the business will continue over several quarters.

Goodwill impairment

The goodwill impairment charge in the third quarter of 2014 is related to the outcome of interim impairment analysis which resulted in a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$21.0 million relating to our Cloud and Business Intelligence reporting unit. The Company performs its annual impairment analysis of goodwill in the fourth quarter of each year and between annual tests if events or circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. Additionally,

Table of Contents

the further decline in the Company's market capitalization or other events or circumstances may require additional impairment charges to be recorded in future periods remaining goodwill.

Other Expense, Net

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | 2013 | | Change | % Change | |
|------------------|--|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|----------|---|
| | Amount (in thousands) | % of Net Revenue | Amount | % of Net Revenue | | | |
| Interest expense | \$7,356 | 4 | % \$1,376 | 1 | % \$5,980 | 435 | % |
| Other, net | \$282 | — | % \$119 | — | % \$163 | 137 | % |

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 increased by \$6.0 million as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013 due to accretion of debt discount and the amortization of debt issuance costs of \$5.5 million and interest expense of \$1.7 million for the convertible notes issued in August 2013.

Income Tax Provision

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | % Change |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | (in thousands) | | | |
| | \$ (39) | \$ 2,190 | \$ (2,229) | (102)% |

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 we recorded a benefit to income tax expense of \$0.4 thousands. This amount primarily represents anticipated taxes in jurisdictions where we have profitable operations, including certain U.S. states and foreign jurisdictions, offset by benefits available from foreign losses. No benefit was otherwise provided for losses incurred in U.S. and Singapore, because those losses are offset by a full valuation allowance. Anticipated tax charges were offset by adjustments for prior year tax filings and for benefits realized from certain U.S. state tax credits.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a charge to income tax expense of \$2.2 million. This charge represents anticipated taxes in jurisdictions where we have profitable operations, including certain U.S. states, offset by limited benefits available from losses in Ireland. No benefit was otherwise provided for losses incurred in U.S. and Singapore, because these losses are offset by a full valuation allowance.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At September 30, 2014, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$223.9 million, which primarily consisted of money market mutual funds, corporate bonds and U.S. government obligations. At September 30, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$5.7 million held outside of the U.S. by our foreign subsidiaries that was generated by such subsidiaries and which is used to satisfy their current operating requirements. We consider the undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries to be permanently reinvested in foreign operations and our current plans do not require us to repatriate these earnings to fund our U.S. operations as we have sufficient cash, cash-equivalents and short-term investments held in the U.S. and have access to external funding under our credit agreement.

In August 2013, we issued \$150 million aggregate principal amount of 1.50% convertible notes due August 1, 2018 (the "Notes") and concurrently entered into convertible notes hedges and separate warrant transactions. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2018, unless earlier converted. Upon conversion, the Notes will be settled in cash, shares of our stock, or any combination thereof, at our option. We received proceeds of \$145.6 million from the issuance of the convertible notes, net of associated fees, received \$21.8 million from the issuance of the warrants and paid \$31.4 million for the note hedges. The Notes are classified as a noncurrent liability on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2014.

Our primary operating cash requirements include the payment of compensation and related costs, working capital requirements related to accounts receivable and accounts payable, as well as costs for our facilities and information

technology infrastructure. Historically, we have financed our operations principally from cash provided by our operating activities, proceeds from stock offerings and the exercise of stock options, and to a lesser extent, from borrowings under various credit facilities, with no such borrowings in 2014. We believe our existing cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments and

Table of Contents

our currently available credit facility will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs for at least the next twelve months.

Credit Facility

On July 5, 2012, we entered into a three-year credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement provides for a secured revolving line of credit based on eligible accounts receivable in an amount up to \$25.0 million on and before July 5, 2013 and up to \$30.0 million thereafter, in each case with a \$2.0 million letter of credit sublimit. On June 18, 2013, we elected to reduce our revolving commitment by \$5 million from \$30 million to \$25 million. Proceeds available under the Credit Agreement may be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes. We have the option to prepay the loans under the Credit Agreement in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty. We also have the option to terminate the commitments under the Credit Agreement in whole at any time, and may reduce the commitments by up to \$10.0 million between July 1, 2013 and June 30, 2014.

On August 6, 2013, we entered into a second amendment ("Amendment No. 2") to the Credit Agreement. Amendment No. 2, among other things, allowed us to issue the convertible notes and enter into certain related agreements.

The loans under the Credit Agreement bear interest, at our option, at a base rate determined in accordance with the Credit Agreement, minus 0.50%, or at a LIBOR rate plus 2.00%. Principal, together with all accrued and unpaid interest, is due and payable on July 5, 2015, the maturity date. We are also obligated to pay a quarterly commitment fee, payable in arrears, based on the available commitments.

On January 21, 2014, we entered into a third amendment to the credit agreement which amended the financial covenants to allow us to acquire Scout.

On May 5, 2014, we entered into a fourth amendment to the credit agreement which reduced the secured revolving line of credit from \$25.0 million to \$10.0 million. The amendment also increased the consideration we may pay in connection with an acquisition before we are required to seek prior lender approval under the credit agreement.

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, as well as financial covenants. Affirmative covenants include, among others, delivery of financial statements, compliance certificates and notices of specified events, maintenance of properties and insurance, preservation of existence, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Negative covenants include, among others, limitations on our ability and our subsidiaries' ability to grant liens, incur indebtedness, engage in mergers, consolidations, sales of assets and affiliate transactions. The Credit Agreement requires us to maintain a maximum leverage ratio and a minimum liquidity amount, each as defined in the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement also contains customary events of default including, among other things, payment defaults, breaches of covenants or representations and warranties, cross-defaults with certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency events and change in control of the Company, subject to grace periods in certain instances. Upon an event of default, the lender may declare the outstanding obligations of the Company under the Credit Agreement to be immediately due and payable and exercise other rights and remedies provided for under the Credit Agreement.

On August 1, 2014 and October 29, 2014, we entered into a waiver under the Credit Agreement that waived our failure to comply with consolidated funded debt to EBITDA ratio for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2014.

On November 1, 2014, the Company entered into a fifth amendment to the credit agreement where the parties agreed to remove a financial covenant requiring a certain level of consolidated funded debt to EBITDA ratio for the previous four quarters. The Company agreed to a new financial covenant requiring the Company to have an EBITDA loss not exceeding a specified target.

Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by our subsidiary, ServiceSource Delaware, Inc., and are collateralized by substantially all of our assets and our subsidiary's assets.

Summary Cash Flows

The following table sets forth a summary of our cash flows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | \$(16,194 |) \$14,764 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (60,381 |) (74,274 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 4,326 | 157,480 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents, net of impact of foreign exchanges on cash | (71,210 |) 98,106 |

Operating Activities

Net cash used by operating activities was \$16.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Net loss during the period was \$81.6 million adjusted by non-cash charges of \$9.7 million for depreciation and amortization, \$16.0 million for stock-based compensation and \$21.0 million for goodwill impairment. Cash generated from operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 resulted from sequential changes in our working capital including a \$14.6 million decrease in accounts receivable, a \$0.8 million increase in accounts payable and a \$0.8 million increase in accrued compensation and benefits.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$14.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Our net loss during the period was \$20.9 million adjusted by non-cash charges of \$9.0 million for depreciation and amortization and \$17.3 million for stock-based compensation. Cash generated from operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulted from sequential changes in our working capital including a \$1.5 million decrease in accounts receivable, a \$2.6 million increase in accounts payable and a \$1.2 million increase in accrued compensation and benefits.

Investing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 cash used in investing activities was principally for the purchases of short-term investments, net of sales and maturities of \$20.2 million, acquisition of Scout Analytics of \$32.6 million and to a lesser extent for property and equipment purchases of \$7.6 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 cash used by investing activities was principally from the purchases of short-term investments, net of sales and maturities, of \$71.2 million. Use of cash related to purchases of property and equipment was \$3.1 million, including costs capitalized for development of internal-use software.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities of \$4.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 primarily resulted from the exercise of common stock options and the purchase of common stock under our employee stock purchase plan of \$4.4 million offset by payment of capital leases obligations.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$157.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to net proceeds from our convertible notes of \$145.6 million and proceeds from the issuance of warrants of \$21.8 million, and proceeds from stock option exercises and the purchase of common stock under our employee stock purchase plan of \$22.0 million. These proceeds were partially offset by our payment of \$31.4 million for the convertible note hedges.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any relationships with other entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special-purpose entities, which have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Our principal commitments consist of obligations under operating leases for office space and computer equipment. At September 30, 2014, the future minimum payments under these commitments were as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| Obligations under capital leases | \$1,161 | \$343 | \$739 | \$79 | \$— |
| Operating lease obligations | 33,478 | 7,919 | 14,673 | 6,118 | 4,768 |
| | \$34,639 | \$8,262 | \$15,412 | \$6,197 | \$4,768 |

The contractual commitment amounts in the table above are associated with agreements that are enforceable and legally binding, which specify significant terms including payment terms, related services and the approximate timing of the transaction. Obligations under contracts that we can cancel without a significant penalty are not included in the table above.

Additionally in August 2013, we issued the Notes raising gross proceeds of \$150.0 million, which are excluded from the table above. The Notes will mature on August 1, 2018, unless earlier repurchased or converted, and bear interest at a rate of 1.50% per year payable semi-annually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing February 1, 2014.

Also excluded from the table above is the income tax liability we recorded for the difference between the benefit recognized and measured and the tax position taken or expected to be taken on our tax returns (“unrecognized tax benefits”). As of September 30, 2014, our liability for unrecognized tax benefits was \$0.1 million. Reasonably reliable estimates of the amounts and periods of related future payments cannot be made at this time.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management has determined that our most critical accounting policies are those related to revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, debt and marketable securities, goodwill, and income taxes. There have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies and estimates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the critical accounting policies and estimates disclosed in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 7, 2014.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The information contained in Note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 under the heading, “Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements,” is incorporated by reference into this Item 2.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We believe that there have been no significant changes in our market risk exposures for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared with those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) of the end of the period covered by this report (the “Evaluation Date”). In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In addition, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and that management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the benefits of possible controls and procedures relative to their costs.

Based on management’s evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to, and are effective to, provide assurance at a reasonable level that the information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Table of Contents

(b)Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that there has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter covered by this report that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Bionet Systems, LLC, et al. v. Scout Analytics, Inc., et al., in Superior Court, King County, Washington State

On January 10, 2014, certain now-former shareholders of Scout Analytics, Inc. (“Scout”) filed a lawsuit against Scout and some of its directors and their employers regarding the then-pending acquisition of Scout by us, and on April 17, 2014, the Company was added as an additional defendant in the case. The plaintiffs have asserted claims against all defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, minority shareholder oppression, corporate waste, injunctive relief and unjust enrichment. In their complaint, the plaintiffs seek damages and payment of their attorneys’ fees and costs. Such shareholders have also asserted dissenter's right claims, related to the acquisition.

The costs of such litigation and dissenter's rights claims are expected to be covered by insurance and/or from the escrow amounts held back from the merger consideration paid for Scout and the other indemnification obligations of the former Scout shareholders.

From time to time, we may be subject to other litigation or threatened litigation in the general nature of business. We do not believe the resolution of the Scout litigation or any other matters will have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below and the other information in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. If any of the following risks are realized, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and the trading price of our common stock could be materially and adversely affected. The risks described below are not the only risks facing us. Risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and the trading price of our common stock.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Our quarterly results of operations may fluctuate as a result of numerous factors, many of which may be outside of our control.

Our quarterly operating results are likely to fluctuate. Some of the important factors that may cause our revenue, operating results and cash flows to fluctuate from quarter to quarter include:

- our ability to attract new customers;
- our ability to retain existing customers and/or maintain the size of our engagements with those customers;
- the renewal rates we achieve early in an engagement and the time it takes to achieve the close rates expected for the term of the engagement;
- our ability to effectively sell and implement Renew OnDemand and Scout Analytics;
- fluctuations in the value of end customer contracts delivered to us;
- fluctuations in close rates;
- changes in our commission rates;
- seasonality;
- loss of customers for any reason including due to acquisition;
- the mix of new customers as compared to existing customers;
- the length of the sales cycle for our solution, and our level of upfront investments prior to the period we begin generating revenue associated with such investments;
- the timing of customer payments and payment defaults by customers;
- the amount and timing of operating costs and capital expenditures related to the operations of our business, including the development of new products such as Renew OnDemand;
- the rate of expansion, productivity and realignment of our direct sales force;

Table of Contents

the cost and timing of the introduction of new technologies or new services, including additional investments in Renew OnDemand and Scout Analytics;

- general economic conditions;
- technical difficulties or interruptions in delivery of our solution;
- changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
- changes in tax rates;
- regulatory compliance costs, including with respect to data privacy;
- costs associated with acquisitions of companies and technologies;
- changes in our stock price and the impact of such changes on our convertible notes and related note hedges and warrants;
- extraordinary expenses such as litigation or other dispute-related settlement payments; and
- the impact of new accounting pronouncements.

Many of the above factors are discussed in more detail elsewhere in these Risk Factors. Many of these factors are outside our control, and the variability and unpredictability of such factors could result in our failing to meet our revenue or operating results expectations for a given period. In addition, the occurrence of one or more of these factors might cause our operating results to vary widely which could lead to negative impacts on our margins, short-term liquidity or ability to retain or attract key personnel, and could cause other unanticipated issues. Accordingly, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our revenue, operating results and cash flows may not be meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance.

Our customer relationships and overall business will suffer if our new Renew OnDemand platform does not meet expectations or if we encounter significant problems migrating customers to it.

In the fall of 2012, we introduced Renew OnDemand, our next-generation service revenue management platform. This new platform is offered on a subscription basis and serves as the core foundation for our customer-facing cloud applications, in addition to applications we use for our internal operations. Renew OnDemand remains relatively new and we have limited experience selling and/or implementing it for customers and migrating customers from our traditional platform to Renew OnDemand. Given the complexity and significance of this ongoing transition, including as a result of the amount of customer data within our systems that will need to be accessed and migrated, our customer relationships, our reputation, and our overall business could be severely damaged if our implementations or migrations are poorly executed. In addition, we expect to incur additional expenses as a result of our near term plans to run dual technology platforms as we move toward broad adoption of Renew OnDemand while maintaining our existing technology platform. Additionally, if we experience any delay or technical problems as a result of moving to Renew OnDemand, we may incur such expenses for much longer than anticipated. Similarly our business operations and customer relationships will be at high risk if Renew OnDemand does not meet our performance expectations, or those of our customers. This could harm our business in numerous ways including, without limitation, a loss of revenue and customer contracts and damage to our reputation.

Our revenue will decline if there is a decrease in the overall demand for our customers' products and services for which we provide service revenue management.

Our revenue is based on a pay-for-performance model under which we are paid a commission based on the service contracts we sell on behalf of our customers. If a particular customer's products or services fail to appeal to its end customers, our revenue may decline. In addition, if end customer demand decreases for other reasons, such as negative news regarding our customers or their products, unfavorable economic conditions, shifts in strategy by our customers away from promoting the service contracts we sell in favor of selling their other products or services to their end customers, or if end customers experience financial constraints and fail to renew the service contracts we sell, we may experience a decrease in our revenue as the demand for our customers' service contracts declines. Similarly, if our customers come under economic pressure, they may be more likely to terminate their contracts with us and/or seek to restructure those contracts, and for customers whose contracts are up for renewal, they may seek to renew those contracts on less favorable terms.

The market for our solution is relatively undeveloped and may not grow.

The market for service revenue management is still relatively undeveloped, has not yet achieved widespread acceptance and may not grow quickly or at all. Our success will depend to a substantial extent on the willingness of companies to engage a third party such as us to manage the sales of their support, maintenance and subscription contracts. Many companies have invested substantial personnel, infrastructure and financial resources in their own internal service revenue organizations—or in

Table of Contents

some cases have built or modified software applications to help manage renewals—and therefore may be reluctant to switch to a solution such as ours. Companies may not engage us for other reasons, including a desire to maintain control over all aspects of their sales activities and customer relations, concerns about end customer reaction, a belief that they can sell their support, maintenance and subscription services more cost-effectively using their internal sales organizations, perceptions about the expenses associated with changing to a new approach and the timing of expenses once they adopt a new approach, general reluctance to adopt any new and different approach to old ways of doing business, or other considerations that may not always be evident. New concerns or considerations may also emerge in the future. Particularly because our market is relatively undeveloped, we must address our potential customers' concerns and explain the benefits of our approach in order to convince them to change the way that they manage the sales of support, maintenance and subscription contracts. If companies are not sufficiently convinced that we can address their concerns and that the benefits of our solution are compelling, then the market for our solution may not develop as we anticipate and our business will not grow.

Delayed or unsuccessful investment in new technology, services and markets may harm our financial results.

We plan to continue investing significant resources in research and development in order to enhance Renew OnDemand, our managed services offerings, Scout Analytics and other new offerings that will appeal to customers and potential customers. We have undertaken the development of Renew OnDemand as our new technology to offer improved and more scalable service revenue management, including enhancements to our applications. The development of new products and services entails a number of risks that could adversely affect our business and operating results, including:

- the risk of diverting the attention of our management and our employees from the day-to-day operations of the business;

- insufficient revenue to offset increased expenses associated with research, development, operational and marketing activities; and

- write-offs of the value of such technology investments as a result of unsuccessful implementation or otherwise.

If Renew OnDemand, Scout Analytics or any of our other new or modified technology does not work as intended, is not responsive to user preferences or industry or regulatory changes, is not appropriately timed with market opportunity, or is not effectively brought to market, we may lose existing and potential customers or related service revenue opportunities, in which case our results of operations may suffer. The cost of future development of new service revenue management offerings or technologies also could require us to raise additional debt or equity financing. These actions could be dilutive to our stockholders and negatively impact our financial condition or our results of operations.

Our business and growth depend substantially on customers renewing their agreements with us and expanding their use of our solution for additional available markets. Any decline in our customer renewals or failure to expand their relationships with us could harm our future operating results.

In order for us to improve our operating results and grow, it is important that our customers renew their agreements with us when the initial contract term expires and that we expand our customer relationships to add new market opportunities and the related service revenue opportunity under management. Our customers may elect not to renew their contracts with us after their initial terms have expired, and we cannot assure you that our customers will renew service contracts with us at the same or higher level of service, if at all, or provide us with the opportunity to manage additional opportunity. Although our renewal rates have been historically higher than those achieved by our customers prior to their using our solution, some customers have elected not to renew their agreements with us. Our customers' renewal rates may decline or fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, including their satisfaction or dissatisfaction with our solution and results, our pricing, mergers and acquisitions affecting our customers or their end customers, the effects of economic conditions or reductions in our customers' or their end customers' spending levels. If our customers do not renew their agreements with us, renew on less favorable terms or fail to contract with us for additional service revenue management opportunities, our revenue may decline and our operating results may be adversely affected.

We sell subscriptions to our cloud applications separately from our integrated solution, which may not be successful and could impact revenue from our existing solution.

We currently derive a portion of our revenue from subscriptions to our cloud applications for a few customers, and we package and price the applications we offer on such applications on a subscription model. We may not be able to fully develop a successful market for our subscription applications. In addition, because we have limited prior experience selling technology subscriptions on a stand-alone basis, we may encounter technical and execution challenges that undermine the quality of the technology offering or cause us to fall short of customer expectations. We also have little experience pricing our technology subscriptions separately, which could result in underpricing that damages our profit margins and financial performance. It is also possible that selling a technology solution separately from our integrated solution will result in a reduction in sales of our

Table of Contents

current offerings that we might otherwise have sold. An unsuccessful expansion of our business to promote a stand-alone subscription model for any of the foregoing reasons or otherwise would lead to a diversion of financial and managerial resources from our existing business and an inability to generate sufficient revenue to offset our investment costs.

We have undertaken a plan to separate our business units and such plan may not produce anticipated benefits and may lead to charges that will adversely affect our results of operations.

Following our recent strategic review, we have undertaken a plan to separate the Cloud & Business Intelligence business unit and the Managed Services unit. The establishment of these two separate business units may not be achieved in an efficient manner, and may not fully realize the anticipated growth and costs savings for a variety of reasons. Some of the risks relating to this plan include potential disruption of our operations, diverting management from other important work, failure to obtain anticipated growth rates, a loss of employee morale and productivity, including the effects of employee attrition, and costs relating to such plans exceeding the costs previously estimated. Our estimates of service revenue opportunity under management and other metrics may prove inaccurate.

We use various estimates in formulating our business plans and analyzing our potential and historical performance, including our estimate of service revenue opportunity under management. We base our estimates upon a number of assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business and economic uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. Our estimates therefore may prove inaccurate.

Recurring revenue opportunity under management (“opportunity under management”) is a forward-looking metric and is our estimate, as of a given date, of the value of all end customer service contracts that we will have the opportunity to sell on behalf of our customers over the subsequent twelve-month period. Opportunity under management is not a measure of our expected revenue. We estimate the value of such end customer contracts based on a combination of factors, including the value of end-customer contracts made available to us by customers in past periods; the minimum value of end-customer contracts that our customers are required to give us the opportunity to sell pursuant to the terms of their contracts with us; periodic internal business reviews of our expectations as to the value of end customer contracts that will be made available to us by customers; the value of end customer contracts included in the SPA; and collaborative discussions with our customers assessing their expectations as to the value of service contracts that they will make available to us for sale. While the minimum value of end customer contracts that our customers are required to give us represents a portion of our estimated opportunity under management, a significant portion of the opportunity under management is estimated based on the other factors described above.

When estimating recurring revenue opportunity under management and other similar metrics, we must, to a large degree, rely on the assumptions described above, which may prove incorrect. These assumptions are inherently subject to significant business and economic uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. Our estimates therefore may prove inaccurate, causing the actual value of end customer contracts delivered to us in a given twelve-month period to differ from our estimate of opportunity under management. These factors include:

- the extent to which customers deliver a greater or lesser value of end customer contracts than may be required or otherwise expected;
- roll-overs of unsold service contract renewals from prior periods to the current period or future periods;
- changes in the pricing or terms of service contracts offered by our customers;
- increases or decreases in the end customer base of our customers;
- the extent to which the renewal rates we achieve on behalf of a customer early in an engagement affect the amount of opportunity that the customer makes available to us later in the engagement;
- customer cancellations of their contracts with us due to acquisitions or otherwise; and
- changes in our customers’ businesses, sales organizations, sales processes or priorities, including changes in executive support for our partnership.

In addition, opportunity under management reflects our estimate for a forward twelve-month period and should not be used to estimate our opportunity for any particular quarter within that period.

If our security measures are breached or fail, resulting in unauthorized access to customer data, our solution may be perceived as insecure, the attractiveness of our solution to current or potential customers may be reduced and we may incur significant liabilities.

Table of Contents

Our solution involves the storage and transmission of the proprietary information and protected data that we receive from our customers. We rely on proprietary and commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring, as well as other processes, to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of such information. If our security measures are breached or fail as a result of third-party action, employee negligence, error, malfeasance or otherwise, unauthorized access to customer or end customer data may occur. Improper activities by third parties, advances in computer and software capabilities and encryption technology, new tools and discoveries and other events or developments may facilitate or result in a compromise or breach of our computer systems. Techniques used to obtain unauthorized access or to sabotage systems change frequently and generally are not recognized until launched against a target, and we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or implement adequate protective measures. Our security measures may not be effective in preventing these types of activities, and the security measures of our third-party data centers and service providers may not be adequate.

Our customer contracts generally provide that we will indemnify our customers for data privacy breaches. If such a breach occurs, we could face contractual damages, damages and fees arising from our indemnification obligations, penalties for violation of applicable laws or regulations, possible lawsuits by affected individuals and significant remediation costs and efforts to prevent future occurrences. In addition, whether there is an actual or a perceived breach of our security, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed significantly and we could lose current or potential customers.

We may be liable to our customers or third parties if we make errors in providing our solution or fail to properly safeguard our customers' confidential information.

The solution we offer is complex, and we make errors from time to time. These may include human errors made in the course of managing the sales process for our customers as we interact with their end customers, or errors arising from our technology solution as it interacts with our customers' systems and the disparate data contained on such systems. Errors may also arise from the launch of and migration of our offerings to Renew OnDemand. The costs incurred in correcting any material errors may be substantial. In addition, as part of our business, we collect, process and analyze confidential information provided by our customers and prospective customers. Although we take significant steps to safeguard the confidentiality of customer information, we could be subject to claims that we disclosed their information without appropriate authorization or used their information inappropriately. Any claims based on errors or unauthorized disclosure or use of information could subject us to exposure for damages, significant legal defense costs, adverse publicity and reputational harm, regardless of the merits or eventual outcome of such claims.

If close rates fall short of our estimates, our customer relationships will be at risk, our revenue will suffer and our ability to grow and achieve broader market acceptance of our solution could be harmed.

Given our pay-for-performance pricing model, our revenue is directly tied to close rates. Close rates represent the percentage of the actual opportunity delivered that we renew on behalf of our customers. If the close rate for a particular customer is lower than anticipated, then our revenue for that customer will also be lower than projected. If close rates fall short of expectations across a broad range of customers, or if they fall below expectations for a particularly large customer, then the impact on our revenue and our overall business will be significant. In the event close rates are lower than expected for a given customer, our margins will suffer because we will have already incurred a certain level of costs in both personnel and infrastructure to support the engagement. This risk is compounded by the fact that many of our customer relationships are terminable if we fail to meet certain specified sales targets over a sustained period of time. If actual close rates fall to a level at which our revenue and customer contracts are at risk, then our financial performance will decline and we will be severely compromised in our ability to retain and attract new customers. Increasing our customer base and achieving broader market acceptance of our solution depends, to a large extent, on how effectively our solution increases service sales. As a result, poor performance with respect to our close rates, in addition to causing our revenue, margins and earnings to suffer, will likely damage our customer relationships and overall reputation, and prevent us from effectively developing and maintaining awareness of our brand or achieving widespread acceptance of our solution, in which case we could fail to grow our business and our revenue, margins and earnings would suffer.

If we are unable to compete effectively against current and future competitors, our business and operating results will be harmed.

The market for service revenue management is evolving. Historically, technology companies have managed their service renewals through internal personnel and relied upon technology ranging from Excel spreadsheets to internally-developed software to customized versions of traditional business intelligence tools and CRM or ERP software from vendors such as Oracle, SAP, salesforce.com and NetSuite. Some companies have made further investments in this area using firms such as Accenture and McKinsey for technology consulting and education services focused on service renewals. These internally-

45

Table of Contents

developed solutions represent the primary alternative to our offerings. We also face direct competition from smaller companies that offer specialized service revenue management solutions, typically providing technology for use by their customers' internal sales personnel. With our acquisition of Scout in January 2014, we also face competition from other SaaS and enterprise software providers and service providers that offer products and services that analyze recurring revenue management.

We believe the principal competitive factors in our markets include the following:

- recurring revenue industry expertise, best practices, and benchmarks;
- quality and reliability of software offerings, including convenience and efficacy of cloud-based offerings;
- marketing resources and capabilities;
- performance-based pricing of solutions;
- ability to increase recurring revenue, renewal rates, and close rates;
- global capabilities;
- completeness of solution;
- ability to effectively represent customer brands to end customers and channel partners;
- size of upfront investment; and
- size and financial stability of operations.

We believe that more competitors will emerge. These competitors may have greater name recognition, longer operating histories, well-established relationships with customers in our markets and substantially greater financial, technical, personnel and other resources than we have. Potential competitors of any size may be able to respond more quickly and effectively than we can to new or changing opportunities, technologies, standards or customer or end customer requirements. Even if our solution is more effective than competing solutions, potential customers might choose new entrants unless we can convince them of the advantages of our integrated solution. We expect competition and competitive pressure, from both new and existing competitors, to increase in the future.

If there is a widespread shift away from business customers purchasing maintenance and support service contracts, we could be adversely impacted if we are not able to adapt to new trends or expand our target markets.

As a result of our historical concentration in the software and hardware industries, a significant portion of our revenue comes from the sale of maintenance and support service contracts for the software and hardware products used by our customers' end customers. Although we also sell other types of renewals, such as subscriptions to software-as-a-service offerings, those sales have to date constituted a relatively small portion of our revenue. The emergence of cloud computing and other alternative technology purchasing models, in which technology services are provided on a remote-access basis, may have a significant impact on the size of the market for traditional maintenance and support contracts. If these alternative models continue gaining traction and reduce the size of our traditional market, we will need to continue to adapt our solution to capitalize on these trends or our results of operations will suffer.

The loss of one or more of our key customers could slow our revenue growth or cause our revenue to decline.

A substantial portion of our revenue has to date come from a relatively small number of customers. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, our top ten customers accounted for 54% and 51% of our revenue respectively, with one customer representing over 11% of our revenue. A relatively small number of customers may continue to account for a significant portion of our revenue for the foreseeable future. The loss of any of our significant customers for any reason, including the failure to renew our contracts, a change of relationship with any of our key customers or their acquisition as discussed below, may cause a significant decrease in our revenue.

Supporting our existing and growing customer base could strain our personnel resources and infrastructure, and if we cannot scale our operations and increase productivity, we may be unsuccessful in implementing our business plan.

Anticipated growth in our customer base will place a strain on our management, administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. We expect that additional investments in sales personnel, information technology, infrastructure and research and development spending will be required to:

- further develop and enhance Renew OnDemand and our other offerings;
- address the needs of our customers;
- scale our operations and increase productivity;

develop new technology; and

46

Table of Contents

expand our markets and opportunity under management, including into new industry verticals and geographic areas. Our success will depend in part upon our ability to manage our growth effectively. To do so, we must continue to increase the productivity of our existing employees and to hire, train and manage new employees as needed. To manage domestic and international growth of our operations and personnel, we will need to continue to improve our operational, financial and management controls and our reporting processes and procedures, and implement more extensive and integrated financial and business information systems. These additional investments will increase our operating costs, which will make it more difficult for us to offset any future revenue shortfalls by reducing expenses in the short term. Moreover, if we fail to scale our operations successfully and increase productivity, our overall business will be at risk.

Consolidation in the technology sector is continuing at a rapid pace, which could harm our business in the event that our customers are acquired and their contracts are cancelled.

Consolidation among technology companies in our target market has been robust in recent years, and this trend poses a risk for us. Acquisitions of our customers could lead to cancellation of our contracts with those customers by the acquiring companies and could reduce the number of our existing and potential customers. For example, Oracle has acquired a number of our customers in recent years, including our then-largest customer, Sun Microsystems, in January 2010. Oracle has elected to terminate our service contracts with each customer because Oracle conducts its service revenue management internally. If mergers and acquisitions continue, we expect that some of the acquiring companies, and Oracle in particular, will terminate, renegotiate and/or elect not to renew our contracts with the companies they acquire, which would reduce our revenue.

We enter into long-term, commission-based contracts with our customers, and our failure to correctly price these contracts may negatively affect our profitability.

We enter into long-term contracts with our customers that are priced based on multiple factors determined in large part by the SPA we conduct for our customers. These factors include opportunity size, anticipated close rates and expected commission rates at various levels of sales performance. Some of these factors require forward-looking assumptions that may prove incorrect. If our assumptions are inaccurate, or if we otherwise fail to correctly price our customer contracts, particularly those with lengthy contract terms, then our revenue, profitability and overall business operations may suffer. Further, if we fail to anticipate any unexpected increase in our cost of providing services, including the costs for employees, office space or technology, we could be exposed to risks associated with cost overruns related to our required performance under our contracts, which could have a negative effect on our margins and earnings.

Many of our customer contracts allow termination for our failure to meet certain performance conditions.

Although most of our customer contracts are subject to multi-year terms, these agreements often have termination rights if we fail to meet specified sales targets. During the SPA and contract negotiation phase with a customer, we typically negotiate minimum performance levels for the engagement. If we fail to meet our required targets and our customers choose to exercise their termination rights, our revenue could decline. These termination rights may also create instability in our revenue forecasts and other forward-looking financial metrics.

Our business may be harmed if our customers rely upon our service revenue forecasts in their business and actual results are materially different.

The contracts that we enter into with our customers provide for sharing of information with respect to forecasts and plans for the renewal of maintenance, support and subscription agreements of our customers. Our customers may use such forecasted data for a variety of purposes related to their business. Our forecasts are based upon the data our customers provide to us, and are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, these forecasted expectations are based upon historical trends and data that may not be true in subsequent periods. Any material inaccuracies related to these forecasts could lead to claims on the part of our customers related to the accuracy of the forecasted data we provide to them, or the appropriateness of our methodology. Any liability that we incur or any harm to our brand that we suffer because of inaccuracies in the forecasted data we provide to our customers could impact our ability to retain existing customers and harm our business.

Changing global economic conditions and large scale economic shifts may impact our business.

Our overall performance depends in part on worldwide economic conditions that impact the technology sector and other technology-enabled industries such as healthcare, life sciences and industrial systems. For example, the recent economic

47

Table of Contents

downturn resulted in many businesses deferring technology investments, including purchases of new software, hardware and other equipment, and purchases of additional or supplemental maintenance, support and subscription services. To a certain extent, these businesses also slowed the rate of renewals of maintenance, support and subscription services for their existing technology base. A future downturn could cause business customers to stop renewing their existing maintenance, support and subscription agreements or contracting for additional maintenance services as they look for ways to further cut expenses, in which case our business could suffer.

Conversely, a significant upturn in global economic conditions could cause business purchasers to purchase new hardware, software and other technology products, which we generally do not sell, instead of renewing or otherwise purchasing maintenance, support and subscription services for their existing products. A general shift toward new product sales could reduce our near term opportunities for these contracts, which could lead to a decline in our revenue.

Our inability to expand our target markets could adversely impact our business and operating results.

We derive substantially all of our revenue from customers in certain sectors in the technology and technology-enabled healthcare and life sciences industries, and an important part of our strategy is to expand our existing customer base and win new customers in these industries. In addition, because of the service revenue opportunities that we believe exist beyond these industries, we intend to target new customers in additional industry vertical markets, such as technology-enabled building services. In connection with the expansion of our target markets, we may not have familiarity with such additional industry verticals, and our execution of such expansion could face risks where our experience base is less developed within a particular new vertical. We may encounter customers in these previously untapped markets that have different pricing and other business sensitivities than we are used to managing. As a result of these and other factors, our efforts to expand our solution to additional industry vertical markets may not succeed, may divert management resources from our existing operations and may require us to commit significant financial resources to unproven parts of our business, all of which may harm our financial performance.

A substantial portion of our business consists of supporting our customers' channel partners in the sale of service contracts. If those channel partners become unreceptive to our solution, our business could be harmed.

Many of our customers, including some of our largest customers, sell service contracts through their channel partners and engage our solution to help those channel partners become more effective at selling service contract renewals. These channel partners may have access to some of our cloud applications, such as our Channel Sales Cloud, in addition to other sales support services we provide. In this context, the ultimate buyers of the service contracts are end customers of those channel partners, who then receive the actual services from our customers. In the event our customers' channel partners become unreceptive to our involvement in the renewals process, those channel partners could discourage our current or future customers from engaging our solution to support channel sales. This risk is compounded by the fact that large channel partners may have relationships with more than one of our customers or prospects, in which case the negative reaction of one or more of those large channel partners could impact multiple customer relationships. Accordingly, with respect to those customers and prospective customers who sell service contracts through channel partners, any significant resistance to our solution by their channel partners could harm our ability to attract or retain customers, which would damage our overall business operations.

We face long sales cycles to secure new customer contracts, making it difficult to predict the timing of specific new customer relationships.

We face a variable selling cycle to secure new customer agreements, typically spanning a number of months and requiring our effort to obtain and analyze our prospect's business through the SPA, for which we are not paid. We recently have also experienced a lengthening of our sales cycles reflecting the hiring of a number of new sales personnel in the past eighteen months who are new to selling our solution as well as slower decision making by a few end customers as well as other end customers considering renewals of large, multi-year contracts. This has adversely affected the conversion rates of new customer contracts. Moreover, even if we succeed in developing a relationship with a potential new customer, the scope of the potential subscription or service revenue management engagement frequently changes over the course of the business discussions and, for a variety of reasons, our sales discussions may fail to result in new customer acquisitions. Consequently, we have only a limited ability to predict the timing and size of specific new customer relationships.

If we experience significant fluctuations in our anticipated growth rate and fail to balance our expenses with our revenue forecasts, our results could be harmed.

Due to our evolving business model, the uncertain size of our markets and the unpredictability of future general economic and financial market conditions, we may not be able to accurately forecast our growth rate. We plan our expense levels and investments based on estimates of future sales performance for our customers with respect to their end customers, future

Table of Contents

revenue and future customer acquisition. If our assumptions prove incorrect, we may not be able to adjust our spending quickly enough to offset the resulting decline in growth and revenue. Consequently, we expect that our gross margins, operating margins and cash flows may fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis.

If we cannot efficiently implement our offering for customers, we may be delayed in generating revenue, fail to generate revenue and/or incur significant costs.

In general, our customer engagements are complex and may require lengthy and significant work to implement our offerings. We also have limited experience implementing our Renew OnDemand platform. As a result, we generally incur sales and marketing expenses related to the commissions owed to our sales representatives and make upfront investments in technology and personnel to support the engagements one to three months before we begin selling end customer contracts. Each customer's situation may be different, and unanticipated difficulties and delays may arise as a result of our failure, or that of our customer, to meet respective implementation responsibilities. If the customer implementation process is not executed successfully or if execution is delayed, we could incur significant costs without yet generating revenue, and our relationships with some of our customers may be adversely impacted. Because competition for our target employees is intense, we may be unable to attract and retain the highly skilled employees we need to support our planned growth.

To continue to execute on our growth plan, we must attract and retain highly qualified sales representatives, engineers and other key employees in the markets in which we have operations. Competition for these personnel is intense, especially for highly educated, qualified sales representatives. We have from time to time in the past experienced, and we expect to continue to experience in the future, difficulty in hiring and retaining highly skilled key employees with appropriate qualifications. In addition, declines in the trading price of our common stock may make attracting and retaining our employees more difficult given the competitive compensatory environment we face recruiting technology employees in the San Francisco Bay Area. If we fail to attract new sales representatives, engineers and other key employees, or fail to retain and motivate our most successful employees, our business and future growth prospects could be harmed.

The length of time it takes our newly-hired sales representatives to become productive could adversely impact our success rate, the execution of our overall business plan and our costs.

It can take twelve months or longer before our internal sales representatives are fully trained and productive in selling our solution to prospective customers. This long ramp period presents a number of operational challenges as the cost of recruiting, hiring and carrying new sales representatives cannot be offset by the revenue such new sales representatives produce until after they complete their long ramp periods. Further, given the length of the ramp period, we often cannot determine if a sales representative will succeed until he or she has been employed for a year or more. If we cannot reliably develop our sales representatives to a productive level, or if we lose productive representatives in whom we have heavily invested, our future growth rates and revenue will suffer.

If we continue to see turnover of our top executives, or if we are unable to attract, hire, integrate and retain key personnel and other necessary employees, our business will be harmed.

Our future success depends on the continued contributions of our executives, each of whom may be difficult to replace. Our future success also depends in part on our ability to attract, hire, integrate and retain qualified service sales personnel, sales representatives and management-level employees to oversee such sales forces in addition to marketing, research and development and general and administrative personnel to support our global operation. We have experienced increased turnover in key executive positions during the last twelve months. During the third quarter of 2014, our chief executive officer, Michael Smerklo, announced his resignation as chief executive officer. Although Mr. Smerklo continues to serve as the chairman of the board, his involvement in the Company's business has decreased as a result of his resignation. Ashley Johnson, our chief financial officer, is currently serving as acting chief executive officer while the board of directors conducts a search for Mr. Smerklo's successor. Any protracted delay in identifying Mr. Smerklo's successor may cause disruption to our business and adversely affect our operating results. The loss of Ms. Johnson's services or those of our other key executives, or our inability to continue to attract and retain high-quality talent, could harm our business.

We depend on revenue from sources outside the United States, and our international business operations and expansion plans are subject to risks related to international operations, and may not increase our revenue growth or enhance our business operations.

Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, approximately 33% and 34% of our revenue respectively was generated outside of the United States. As a result of our continued focus on international markets, we expect that revenue derived from international sources will continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenue.

A portion of the sales commissions earned from our international customers is paid in foreign currencies. As a result, fluctuations in the value of these foreign currencies may make our solution more expensive or cause resulting fluctuations in cost for international customers, which could harm our business. We currently do not undertake hedging activities to manage these currency fluctuations. In addition, if the effective price of the contracts we sell to end customers were to increase as a result of fluctuations in the exchange rate of the relevant currencies, demand for such contracts could fall, which in turn would reduce our revenue.

Our growth strategy includes further expansion into international markets. Our international expansion may require significant additional financial resources and management attention, and could negatively affect our financial condition, cash flows and operating results. In addition, we may be exposed to associated risks and challenges, including:

- the need to localize and adapt our solution for specific countries, including translation into foreign languages and associated expenses;
- difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;
- different pricing environments, longer sales cycles and longer accounts receivable payment cycles and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;
- new and different sources of competition;
- weaker protection for our intellectual property than in the United States and practical difficulties in enforcing our rights abroad;
- laws and business practices favoring local competitors;
- compliance obligations related to multiple, conflicting and changing foreign governmental laws and regulations, including employment, tax, privacy and data protection laws and regulations;
- increased financial accounting and reporting burdens and complexities;
- restrictions on the transfer of funds;
- adverse tax consequences; and
- unstable regional economic and political conditions.

We cannot assure you we will succeed in creating additional international demand for our solution or that we will be able to effectively sell service agreements in the international markets we enter.

We incur increased costs and demands upon management as a result of complying with the laws and regulations affecting public companies, which could adversely affect our operating results.

As a public company, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses, and greater expenditures may be necessary in the future with the advent of new laws, regulations and stock exchange listing requirements pertaining to public companies. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010, as well as rules subsequently implemented by the Securities and Exchange Commission and The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, impose various requirements on public companies, including establishing effective internal controls and certain corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives, and additional laws and regulations may divert further management resources. Moreover, if we are not able to meet new compliance requirements in a timely manner, the market price of our stock could decline, and we could be subject to investigations and other actions by The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources.

While we believe we currently have adequate internal control over financial reporting, we are required to evaluate our internal control over financial reporting under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and any adverse results from such evaluation could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports and have an adverse effect on our stock price.

Under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we are required to furnish a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting. The report contains, among other matters, an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our fiscal year, including a statement as to whether or not our

internal control over financial reporting is effective. This assessment must include disclosure of any material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting identified by management.

50

Table of Contents

We monitor and assess our internal control over financial reporting, and if our management identifies one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting and such weakness remains uncorrected at year-end, we will be unable to assert such internal control is effective at such time. If we are unable to assert that our internal control over financial reporting is effective at year-end (or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to express an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting or concludes that we have a material weakness in our internal controls), we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which would likely have an adverse effect on our business and stock price. Changes in the U.S. and foreign legal and regulatory environment that affect our operations, including those relating to privacy, data security and cross-border data flows, could pose a significant risk to us by disrupting our business and increasing our expenses.

We are subject to a wide variety of laws and regulations in the United States and the other jurisdictions in which we operate, and changes in the level of government regulation of our business have the potential to materially alter our business practices with resultant increases in costs and decreases in profitability. Depending on the jurisdiction, those changes may come about through new legislation, the issuance of new regulations or changes in the interpretation of existing laws and regulations by a court, regulatory body or governmental official. Sometimes those changes have both prospective and retroactive effect, which is particularly true when a change is made through reinterpretation of laws or regulations that have been in effect for some time.

Privacy and data security are rapidly evolving areas of regulation, and additional regulation in those areas, some of it potentially difficult and costly for us to accommodate, is frequently proposed and occasionally adopted. Laws in many countries and jurisdictions, particularly in the European Union and Canada, govern the requirements related to how we store, transfer or otherwise process the private data provided to us by our customers. In addition, the centralized nature of our information systems at the data and operations centers that we use requires the routine flow of data relating to our customers and their respective end customers across national borders, both with respect to the jurisdictions within which we have operations and the jurisdictions in which we provide services to our customers. If this flow of data becomes subject to new or different restrictions, our ability to serve our customers and their respective customers could be seriously impaired for an extended period of time. For example, we participate in the U.S. Department of Commerce Safe Harbor Framework to govern our treatment of data and data flow with respect to our customers and their respective customers across various jurisdictions. We also have entered into various model contracts and related contractual provisions to enable these data flows. For any jurisdictions in which these measures are not recognized or otherwise not compliant with the laws of the countries in which we process data, or where more stringent data privacy laws are enacted irrespective of international treaty arrangements or other existing compliance mechanisms, we could face increased compliance expenses and face penalties for violating such laws or be excluded from those markets altogether, in which case our operations could be materially damaged.

If we do not adequately protect our intellectual property rights, our competitive position and our business may suffer. We rely upon a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret law and contractual terms to protect our intellectual property rights, all of which provide only limited protection. Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to establish, protect and enforce our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. If we fail to protect or effectively enforce our intellectual property rights, others may be able to compete against us using intellectual property that is the same as or similar to our own. In addition, we cannot assure you that our intellectual property rights are sufficient to provide us with a competitive advantage against others who offer services similar to ours. While we have filed patent applications to protect our intellectual property, we cannot assure you that any patents will issue or that any issued patents arising from our applications will provide the protection we seek, or that any future patents issued to us will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Also, we cannot assure you that we will obtain any copyright or trademark registrations from our pending or future applications or that any of our trademarks will be enforceable or provide adequate protection of our proprietary rights. We also rely in some circumstances on trade secrets to protect our technology. Trade secrets may lose their value if not properly protected. We endeavor to enter into non-disclosure agreements with our employees, customers, contractors and business partners to limit access to and disclosure of our proprietary information. The steps we have taken, however, may not prevent unauthorized use of our technology, and adequate remedies may not be available in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of our

trade secrets and proprietary technology. However, trade secret protection does not prevent others from reverse engineering or independently developing similar technologies. In addition, reverse engineering, unauthorized copying or other misappropriation of our trade secrets could enable third parties to benefit from our technology without paying for it.

Accordingly, despite our efforts, we may be unable to prevent third parties from infringing or misappropriating our intellectual property and using our technology for their competitive advantage. Any such infringement or misappropriation

Table of Contents

could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Monitoring infringement of our intellectual property rights can be difficult and costly, and enforcement of our intellectual property rights may require us to bring legal actions against infringers. Infringement actions are inherently uncertain and therefore may not be successful, even when claims are meritorious. Even if such actions are successful, they may require a substantial amount of resources and divert our management's attention.

Claims by others that we infringe or violate their intellectual property could force us to incur significant costs and require us to change the way we conduct our business.

Numerous technology companies including potential competitors protect their intellectual property rights by means such as patents, trade secrets, copyrights and trademarks. We have not conducted an independent review of patents issued to third parties. Additionally, because patent applications in the United States and many other jurisdictions are kept confidential for some period of time before they are published, we may be unaware of pending patent applications that relate to our proprietary technology. From time to time we may receive letters from other parties alleging, or inquiring about, possible breaches of their intellectual property rights.

Any party asserting that we infringe its proprietary rights would force us to defend ourselves, and possibly our customers, against the alleged infringement. The technology industry is characterized by the existence of a large number of patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secrets and by frequent litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. Moreover, the risk of such a lawsuit will likely increase as we become larger, the scope of our solution and technology expands and the number of competitors in our market increases. Any such claims or litigation could:

- be time-consuming and expensive to defend, and deplete our financial resources, whether meritorious or not;
 - require us to stop providing the services that use the technology that infringes the other party's intellectual property;
 - divert the attention of our technical and managerial resources away from our business;
 - require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements with third parties, which may not be available on terms that we deem acceptable, if at all;
 - prevent us from operating all or a portion of our business or force us to redesign our technology, which could be difficult and expensive and may make the performance or value of our solution less attractive;
 - subject us to significant liability for damages or result in significant settlement payments;
- or

require us to indemnify our customers as we are required by contract to indemnify some of our customers for certain claims based upon the infringement or alleged infringement of any third party's intellectual property rights resulting from our customers' use of our intellectual property.

During the course of any intellectual property litigation, confidential information may be disclosed in the form of documents or testimony in connection with discovery requests, depositions or trial testimony. Disclosure of our confidential information and our involvement in intellectual property litigation could harm us. In addition, any uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of any litigation could significantly limit our ability to continue our operations and could harm our relationships with current and prospective customers. Any of the foregoing could disrupt our business and have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

In addition, we may incorporate open source software into our technology solution. The terms of many open source licenses have not been interpreted by United States or foreign courts, and there is a risk that such licenses could be construed in a manner that imposes unanticipated conditions or restrictions on our commercialization of any of our solutions that may include open source software. As a result, we will be required to analyze and monitor our use of open source software closely. As a result of the use of open source software, we could be required to seek licenses from third parties in order to develop such future products, re-engineer our products, discontinue sales of our solutions or release our software code under the terms of an open source license to the public. Given the nature of open source software, there is also a risk that third parties may assert copyright and other intellectual property infringement claims against us based on any use of such open source software, as more generally discussed with respect to general intellectual property claims.

Various risks could affect our worldwide operations, including numerous events outside of our control, exposing us to significant costs that could adversely affect our operations and customer confidence.

We conduct operations throughout the world, including our headquarters in the United States and operations in Ireland, Malaysia, Singapore and the United Kingdom. Such worldwide operations expose us to potential operational disruptions and costs as a result of a wide variety of events, including local inflation or economic downturn, currency exchange fluctuations, political turmoil, labor issues, terrorism, natural disasters and pandemics. Any such disruptions or costs could have a negative

Table of Contents

effect on our ability to provide our solution or meet our contractual obligations, the cost of our solution, customer satisfaction, our ability to attract or maintain customers, and, ultimately, our profits.

Natural disasters or other catastrophic events may cause damage or disruption to our operations, international commerce and the global economy, and thus could have a strong negative effect on us. Our business operations are subject to interruption by natural disasters, fire, power shortages, pandemics and other events beyond our control. Such events could make it difficult or impossible for us to deliver our solution to our customers, and could decrease demand for our solution. The majority of our research and development activities, corporate headquarters, information technology systems and other critical business operations are located near major seismic faults in the San Francisco Bay Area. Because we may not have insurance coverage that would cover quake-related losses, and significant recovery time could be required to resume operations, our financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected in the event of a major earthquake or catastrophic event.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may adversely affect worldwide financial markets and could potentially lead to economic recession, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. These events could adversely affect our customers' levels of business activity and precipitate sudden significant changes in regional and global economic conditions and cycles.

The technology we currently use may not operate properly, which could damage our reputation, give rise to claims against us or divert application of our resources from other purposes, any of which could harm our business and operating results.

The technology we currently use, which includes our Renew OnDemand platform, may contain or develop unexpected defects or errors. There can be no assurance that performance problems or defects in our technology will not arise in the future. Errors may result from receipt, entry or interpretation of customer or end customer information or from the interface of our technology with legacy systems and data that are outside of our control. Despite testing, defects or errors may arise in our solution. Any defects and errors that we discover in our technology and any failure by us to identify and effectively address them could result in loss of revenue or market share, liability to customers or others, failure to achieve market acceptance or expansion, diversion of development resources, injury to our reputation, and increased costs. Defects or errors in our technology may discourage existing or potential customers from contracting with us. Correction of defects or errors could prove impossible or impracticable. The costs incurred in correcting any defects or errors or in responding to resulting claims or liability may be substantial and could adversely affect our operating results.

Disruptions in service or damage to the data center that hosts our data and our locations could adversely affect our business.

Our operations depend on our ability to maintain and protect our data servers and cloud applications, which are located in data centers operated for us by third parties. We cannot control or assure the continued or uninterrupted availability of these third-party data centers. In addition, our information technologies and systems, as well as our data center, are vulnerable to damage or interruption from various causes, including natural disasters, war and acts of terrorism and power losses, computer systems failures, Internet and telecommunications or data network failures, operator error, losses of and corruption of data and similar events. Although we conduct business continuity planning and maintain certain insurance for certain events, the situations for which we plan, and the amount of insurance coverage we maintain, may prove inadequate in any particular case. In addition, the occurrence of any of these events could result in interruptions, delays or cessations in the delivery of the solutions we offer to our customers. Any of these events could impair or prohibit our ability to provide our solution, reduce the attractiveness of our solution to current or potential customers and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, despite the implementation of security measures, our infrastructure, data centers, operations and other centers or systems that we interface with, including the Internet and related systems, may be vulnerable to physical intrusions, hackers, improper employee or contractor access, computer viruses, programming errors, denial-of-service attacks or other attacks by third parties.

Any failure or interruptions in the Internet infrastructure, bandwidth providers, data center providers, other third parties or our own systems for providing our solution to customers could negatively impact our business.

Our ability to deliver our solution is dependent on the development and maintenance of the infrastructure of the Internet and other telecommunications services by third parties. Such services include maintenance of a reliable network backbone with the necessary speed, data capacity and security for providing reliable Internet access and services and reliable telecommunications systems that connect our global operations. While our solution is designed to operate without interruption, we have experienced and expect that we will in the future experience interruptions and delays in services and availability from time to time. We rely on internal systems as well as third-party vendors, including data center, bandwidth, and

Table of Contents

telecommunications equipment providers, to provide our solution. We do not maintain redundant systems or facilities for some of these services. In the event of a catastrophic event with respect to one or more of these systems or facilities, we may experience an extended period of system unavailability, which could negatively impact our relationship with our customers.

Additional government regulations may reduce the size of the market for our solution, harm demand for our solution and increase our costs of doing business.

Any changes in government regulations that impact our customers or their end customers could have a harmful effect on our business by reducing the size of our addressable market or otherwise increasing our costs. For example, with respect to our technology-enabled healthcare and life sciences customers, any change in U.S. Food and Drug Administration or foreign equivalent regulation of, or denial, withholding or withdrawal of approval of, our customers' products could lead to a lack of demand for service revenue management with respect to such products. Other changes in government regulations, in areas such as privacy, export compliance or anti-bribery statutes, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, could require us to implement changes in our services or operations that increase our cost of doing business and thereby hurt our financial performance.

The future success of our business depends upon the continued use of the Internet as a primary medium for commerce, communication and business applications. Federal, state or foreign government bodies or agencies have in the past adopted, and may in the future adopt, laws or regulations affecting data privacy and the use of the Internet as a commercial medium. In addition, government agencies or private organizations may begin to impose taxes, fees or other charges for accessing the Internet. These laws or charges could limit the growth of Internet-related commerce or communications generally, result in a decline in the use of the Internet and the viability of Internet-based applications such as ours and reduce the demand for our solution.

We operate and offer our services in many jurisdictions and, therefore, may be subject to state, local and foreign taxes that could harm our business.

We operate service sales centers in multiple locations. Some of the jurisdictions in which we operate, such as Ireland, give us the benefit of either relatively low tax rates, tax holidays or government grants, in each case, that are dependent on how we operate or how many jobs we create and employees we retain. We plan on utilizing such tax incentives in the future as opportunities are made available to us. Any failure on our part to operate in conformity with applicable requirements to remain qualified for any such tax incentives or grants may result in an increase in our taxes. In addition, jurisdictions may choose to increase rates at any time due to economic or other factors, such as the current economic situation in Ireland. Any such rate increases may harm our results of operations.

In addition, we may lose sales or incur significant costs should various tax jurisdictions impose taxes on either a broader range of services or services that we have performed in the past. We may be subject to audits of the taxing authorities in the jurisdictions where we do business that would require us to incur costs in responding to such audits. Imposition of such taxes on our services could result in substantial unplanned costs, would effectively increase the cost of such services to our customers and may adversely affect our ability to retain existing customers or to gain new customers in the areas in which such taxes are imposed.

As we acquire companies or technologies in the future, they could prove difficult to integrate, disrupt our business, dilute stockholder value and adversely affect our operating results and the value of our common stock.

As part of our business strategy, we may acquire, enter into joint ventures with, or make investments in companies, services and technologies that we believe to be complementary. Acquisitions and investments involve numerous risks, including:

- difficulties in identifying and acquiring technologies or businesses that will help our business;
- difficulties in integrating operations, technologies, services and personnel;
- diversion of financial and managerial resources from existing operations;
- the risk of entering new markets in which we have little to no experience;
- risks related to the assumption of known and unknown liabilities;
- potential litigation by third parties, such as claims related to intellectual property or other assets acquired or liabilities assumed;
- the risk of write-offs of goodwill and other intangible assets;

Table of Contents

- delays in customer engagements due to uncertainty and the inability to maintain relationships with customers of the acquired businesses;
- inability to generate sufficient revenue to offset acquisition or investment costs;
- incurrence of acquisition-related costs;
- harm to our existing business relationships with business partners and customers as a result of the acquisition;
 - the key personnel of the acquired entity or business may decide not to work for us or may not perform according to our expectations; and
- use of substantial portions of our available cash or dilutive issuances of equity securities or the incurrence of debt to consummate the acquisition.

We may record impairment charges related to our acquisitions or strategic investments. In assessing goodwill for impairment, we make significant estimates and assumptions, including estimates and assumptions about market penetration, anticipated growth rates and risk-adjusted discount rates based on our budgets, business plans, economic projections, anticipated future cash flows and industry data. The estimates and assumptions used by management have a high degree of subjectivity and require significant judgment on the part of management. Changes in estimates and assumptions in the context of our impairment testing may have a material impact on us. Any losses or impairment charges that we incur related to acquisitions or strategic investments may have a negative impact on our financial results, and we may continue to incur new or additional losses related to acquisitions or strategic investments that we have not fully impaired or exited.

As a result, if we fail to properly evaluate acquisitions or investments, we may not achieve the anticipated benefits of any such acquisitions, we may incur costs in excess of what we anticipate and management resources and attention may be diverted from other necessary or valuable activities.

We may be exposed to various risks related to legal proceedings or claims that could adversely affect our operating results.

From time to time, we may be party to lawsuits in the normal course of our business. Such litigation may include claims, suits, government investigations and other proceedings involving intellectual property rights, commercial, corporate and securities, labor and employment, wage and hour, and other matters. Litigation in general can be expensive, lengthy and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of complex legal proceedings are difficult to predict. Responding to lawsuits brought against us, or legal actions initiated by us, can often be expensive and time-consuming. Unfavorable outcomes from any claims and/or lawsuits could adversely affect our business, results of operations, or financial condition, and we could incur substantial monetary liability and/or be required to change our business practices. Our business and technology acquisition activity could also result in litigation in connection with such acquired companies. For example, refer to Item 1 of this Form 10-Q which discusses ongoing litigation related to our acquisition of Scout Analytics, Inc.

Risks Relating to Owning Our Common Stock and Capitalization Matters

Our share price has been volatile and is likely to be volatile in the future.

The trading price of our common stock is likely to be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors. In addition to the risks described in this section, factors that may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate include:

- fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us as discussed in more detail elsewhere in these “Risk Factors;”
- failure to achieve our revenue or earnings expectations, or those of investors or analysts, such as we experienced for the first quarter of 2014;
- changes in estimates of our financial results or recommendations by securities analysts;
- recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- investors’ general perception of us;
- volatility inherent in prices of technology company stocks;
- adverse publicity;

the volume of trading in our common stock, including sales upon exercise of outstanding options;
sales of shares of our common stock by existing stockholders;
regulatory developments in our target markets affecting us, our customers or our competitors;

55

Table of Contents

terrorist attacks or natural disasters or other such events impacting countries where we or our customers have operations; and

actual or perceived changes in general economic, industry and market conditions.

In addition, if the stock market in general experiences a loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Some companies that have had volatile market prices for their securities have had securities class actions filed against them. If a suit were filed against us, regardless of its merits or outcome, it would likely result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our actual results may differ significantly from any guidance that we may issue in the future.

From time to time, we may release financial guidance or other forward-looking statements in our earnings releases, earnings conference calls or otherwise, regarding our future performance that represent our management's estimates as of the date of release. If given, this guidance will be based on forecasts prepared by our management. These forecasts are not prepared with a view toward compliance with published accounting guidelines, and neither our independent registered public accounting firm nor any other independent expert or outside party compiles or examines the forecasts and, accordingly, no such person expresses any opinion or any other form of assurance with respect to such forecasts. The principal reason that we may release guidance is to provide a basis for our management to discuss our business outlook with analysts and investors. We do not accept any responsibility for any projections or reports published by any third persons. Guidance is necessarily speculative in nature, and it can be expected that some or all of the assumptions of any future guidance furnished by us may not materialize or may vary significantly from actual future results.

Concentration of ownership among our existing executive officers, directors and their affiliates may prevent new investors from influencing significant corporate decisions.

Our directors and executive officers and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, over 10% of our outstanding common stock as of September 30, 2014. As a result, these stockholders will have substantial influence over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or its assets. This concentration of ownership could limit the ability of other stockholders to influence corporate matters and may have the effect of delaying or preventing a third party from acquiring control over us.

Anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt.

Our certificate of incorporation, by laws and Delaware law contain provisions that could have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging an acquisition deemed undesirable by our board of directors. Our corporate governance documents include provisions:

- authorizing blank check preferred stock, which could be issued by our board of directors without stockholder approval, with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;
- classifying our board of directors, staggered into three classes, only one of which is elected at each annual meeting;
- limiting the liability of, and providing indemnification to, our directors and officers;
- limiting the ability of our stockholders to call and bring business before special meetings and to take action by written consent in lieu of a meeting;
- requiring advance notice of stockholder proposals for business to be conducted at meetings of our stockholders and for nominations of candidates for election to our board of directors;
- controlling the procedures for the conduct and scheduling of stockholder meetings;
- providing the board of directors with the express power to postpone previously scheduled annual meetings and to cancel previously scheduled special meetings;
- limiting the determination of the number of directors on our board and the filling of vacancies or newly created seats on the board to our board of directors then in office; and
- providing that directors may be removed by stockholders only for cause.

These provisions, alone or together, could delay hostile takeovers and changes in control or changes in our management.

56

Table of Contents

As a Delaware corporation, we are also subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation law, which limits the ability of stockholders owning in excess of 15% of our outstanding common stock to merge or combine with us.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation, by laws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of our common stock, and could also affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for our common stock. Our business could be negatively affected as a result of actions of activist stockholders.

Campaigns by stockholders to effect changes at publicly traded companies are sometimes led by investors seeking to increase short-term stockholder value through various corporate actions. We have recently seen some activist investors take ownership positions in our common stock and initiate communications with us. Altai Capital Management is the beneficial owner of approximately 14% of our common stock. As a general matter, if we become engaged in a proxy contest with an activist stockholder in the future, our business could be adversely affected as such contests could be costly and time-consuming, disrupt our operations and divert the attention of management and our employees from executing our strategic plan. Additionally, perceived uncertainties as to our future direction as a result of stockholder activism or changes to the composition of our board of directors may lead to the perception of a change in the direction of our business, instability or lack of continuity which may be exploited by our competitors, cause concern to current or potential buyers and sellers on our platform, and make it more difficult to attract and retain qualified personnel. If buyers and/or sellers choose to delay, defer or reduce transactions with us or through our platform or transact with our competitors instead of us because of any such issues, then our revenue, earnings and operating cash flows could be adversely affected.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish or cease publishing research or reports about us, our business or our market, or if they change their recommendations regarding our stock, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will depend in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If any of these analysts cease coverage of us, the trading price and trading volume of our stock could be negatively impacted. If analysts downgrade our stock or publish unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would also likely decline.

We are leveraged financially, which could adversely affect our ability to adjust our business to respond to competitive pressures and to obtain sufficient funds to satisfy our future growth, business needs and development plans.

We have substantial existing indebtedness. In August 2013, we issued \$150.0 million aggregate principal amount of our convertible notes. We also have entered into a credit agreement providing for a secured revolving line of credit based on eligible accounts receivable of up to \$10.0 million, with a \$2.0 million letter of credit sublimit, under which we had amounts outstanding at September 30, 2014 consisting solely of a letter of credit for \$575,000.

The degree to which we are leveraged could have negative consequences, including, but not limited to, the following:

• we may be more vulnerable to economic downturns, less able to withstand competitive pressures and less flexible in responding to changing business and economic conditions;

- our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate or other purposes may be limited;
- a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations in the future may be required for the payment of the principal amount of our existing indebtedness when it becomes due; and

• we may be required to make cash payments upon any conversion of the convertible notes, which would reduce our cash on hand.

A failure to comply with the covenants and other provisions of our debt instruments could result in events of default under such instruments, which could permit acceleration of all of our outstanding convertible notes and credit facilities. We have in the past been able to obtain waivers from our lender under our credit agreement for our failure to comply with certain financial covenants. In addition, on November 3, 2014, we entered into Amendment 5 to the credit agreement to, among other things, amend certain financial covenants under the credit agreement. We may not be able to obtain waivers or enter into further amendments to our credit agreement in the future, and the failure to comply with the covenants and other provisions of the

57

Table of Contents

credit agreement could result in a lack of availability for borrowing under the credit facility or events of default, which could permit acceleration of repayment of all amounts due under the credit agreement, and may lead to a cross default under any other outstanding indebtedness.

Any required repurchase of the convertible notes as a result of a fundamental change or acceleration of the convertible notes would reduce our cash on hand such that we would not have those funds available for use in our business. If we are at any time unable to generate sufficient cash flows from operations to service our indebtedness when payment is due, we may be required to attempt to renegotiate the terms of the instruments relating to the indebtedness, seek to refinance all or a portion of the indebtedness or obtain additional financing. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully renegotiate such terms, that any such refinancing would be possible or that any additional financing could be obtained on terms that are favorable or acceptable to us.

Conversion of our convertible notes will dilute the ownership interest of existing stockholders and may depress the price of our common stock.

The conversion of some or all of our convertible notes will dilute the ownership interests of then-existing stockholders to the extent we deliver shares upon conversion of any of the notes. Any sales in the public market of the common stock issuable upon such conversion could adversely affect prevailing market prices of our common stock. In addition, the existence of the notes may encourage short selling by market participants because the conversion of the notes could be used to satisfy short positions, or anticipated conversion of the notes into shares of our common stock could depress the price of our common stock.

The conditional conversion feature of the notes, if triggered, may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

In the event the conditional conversion feature of the notes is triggered, holders of notes will be entitled to convert the notes at any time during specified periods at their option. If one or more holders elect to convert their notes, unless we elect to satisfy our conversion obligation by delivering solely shares of our common stock (other than paying cash in lieu of delivering any fractional share), we would be required to settle a portion of our conversion obligation through the payment of cash, which could adversely affect our liquidity. In addition, even if holders do not elect to convert their notes, we could be required under applicable accounting rules to reclassify all or a portion of the outstanding principal of the notes as a current rather than long-term liability, which would result in a material reduction of our net working capital.

The accounting method for convertible debt securities that may be settled in cash, such as the convertible notes, may have a material effect on our reported financial results.

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, which we refer to as FASB, issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement), which has subsequently been codified as Accounting Standards Codification 470-20, Debt with Conversion and Other Options, which we refer to as ASC 470-20. Under ASC 470-20, an entity must separately account for the liability and equity components of the convertible debt instruments (such as the notes) that may be settled entirely or partially in cash upon conversion in a manner that reflects the issuer's economic interest cost. The effect of ASC 470-20 on the accounting for the notes is that the equity component is included in the additional paid-in capital section of stockholders' equity on our consolidated balance sheet, and the value of the equity component is treated as original issue discount for purposes of accounting for the debt component of the notes. As a result, we will record a greater amount of non-cash interest expense in current periods presented as a result of the amortization of the discounted carrying value of the notes to their face amount over the term of the notes. We will report lower net income (or greater net loss) in our financial results because ASC 470-20 will require interest to include both the current period's amortization of the debt discount and the instrument's coupon interest, which could adversely affect our reported or future financial results, the market price of our common stock and the trading price of the notes. In addition, convertible debt instruments (such as the notes) that may be settled entirely or partly in cash are currently accounted for utilizing the treasury stock method, the effect of which is that the shares issuable upon conversion of the

notes are not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share except to the extent that the conversion value of the notes exceeds their principal amount. Under the treasury stock method, for diluted earnings per share purposes, the transaction is accounted for as if the number of shares of common stock that would be necessary to settle such excess, if we elected to settle such excess in shares, are issued. We cannot be sure that the accounting standards in the future will continue to permit the use of the treasury stock method. If we are unable to use the treasury stock method in accounting for the shares issuable upon conversion of the notes, then our diluted earnings per share would be adversely affected.

We have incurred and may in the future incur impairments to goodwill or long-lived assets.

Table of Contents

We perform an annual impairment analysis of goodwill in the fourth quarter of each year and between annual tests if events or circumstances indicate that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. Negative industry or economic trends, including reduced market prices of our common stock, reduced estimates of future cash flows, disruptions to our business, slower growth rates, or lack of growth in our relevant business units, could lead to impairment charges against our long-lived assets, including goodwill and other intangible assets. During the third quarter of 2014 the value of our common stock declined and we experienced slowing revenue growth, which led us to perform an impairment analysis. Based on the outcome of this analysis, we incurred a goodwill impairment charge of \$21.0 million during the third quarter of 2014. If in any future period the Company's market capitalization declines, this could indicate a potential impairment, and we may be required to record an impairment charge in that period against any remaining goodwill.

Our restructuring plans may not produce anticipated benefits and may lead to charges that will adversely affect our results of operations.

We are currently implementing restructuring and other cost-reduction plans designed to reduce our overhead and our operating expenses. These restructuring efforts may result in significant restructuring charges that may adversely affect our results of operations for the periods in which such charges occur. Additionally, actual costs related to such restructuring plans may exceed the amounts that we previously estimated, leading to additional charges as actual costs are incurred. We incurred restructuring and other charges of \$1.9 million during the third quarter of 2014.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Default Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

On August 4, 2014 and October 29, 2014, we entered into a waiver under our revolving credit facility that waived our failure to comply with a consolidated funded debt to EBITDA for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2014 respectively.

On November 3, 2014, we entered into Amendment 5 to the to our revolving credit facility to remove a financial covenant requiring a certain level of consolidated funded debt to EBITDA ratio for the previous four quarters and to add a new financial covenant requiring the Company to have EBITDA loss not exceeding a specified target.

Item 6. Exhibits

See the Exhibit Index, which follows the signature page to this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SERVICESOURCE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: November 5, 2014

By: /s/ SIMON BIDDISCOMBE
Simon Biddiscombe
(Principal Financial Officer and Duly Authorized
Officer)

60

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description of Document |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1(1) | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company filed March 24, 2011. |
| 3.2(1) | Bylaws of the Company dated March 24, 2011. |
| 10.1 | Amendment 5 to Credit Agreement dated as of November 3, 2014 between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. |
| 10.2+ | Employment and Confidential Information Agreement dated as of September 29, 2014, between the Company and Simon Biddiscombe. |
| 10.3+ | Separation Agreement dated as of July 30, 2014, between the Company and Jay Ackerman. |
| 10.4+ | Separation Agreement dated as of August 19, 2014, between the Company and Michael Smerklo. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification of Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2* | Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | Interactive data files (XBRL) pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. ** |

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2011.

+ Indicates a management contract or compensation plan.

* In accordance with Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K and SEC Release No. 33-8238 and 34-47986, Final Rule: Management's Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Certification of Disclosure in Exchange Act Periodic Reports, the certifications furnished in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 hereto are deemed to accompany this

Form 10-Q and will not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Such certifications will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filings under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed herewith, is not a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.