

Revance Therapeutics, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 04, 2017

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2017

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File No. 001-36297

Revance Therapeutics, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 77-0551645
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification Number)

7555 Gateway Boulevard
Newark, California 94560
(510) 742-3400
(Address, including zip code, and telephone number,
including area code, of registrant's principal executive
offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Emerging growth company ☒

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial statement accounting standards provide pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, as of July 27, 2017:
30,819,189

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>19</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>31</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>57</u>
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>57</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>57</u>
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>57</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	<u>59</u>

“Revance Therapeutics,” the Revance logos and other trademarks or service marks of Revance appearing in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are the property of Revance Therapeutics, Inc. This Form 10-Q contains additional trade names, trademarks and service marks of others, which are the property of their respective owners. We do not intend our use or display of other companies’ trade names, trademarks or service marks to imply a relationship with, or endorsement or sponsorship of us by, these other companies.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$53,693	\$ 63,502
Short-term investments	111,852	122,026
Restricted cash, current portion	502	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	8,306	7,167
Total current assets	174,353	192,695
Property and equipment, net	11,255	10,585
Restricted cash, net of current portion	580	580
Other non-current assets	888	500
TOTAL ASSETS	\$187,076	\$ 204,360
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$4,079	\$ 3,754
Accruals and other current liabilities	14,347	12,418
Financing obligations, current portion	3,550	3,475
Total current liabilities	21,976	19,647
Financing obligations, net of current portion	—	1,872
Derivative liability associated with Medicis settlement	2,189	2,022
Deferred rent	3,497	3,648
Other non-current liabilities	—	100
TOTAL LIABILITIES	27,662	27,289
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share — 95,000,000 shares authorized both as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016; 30,416,028 and 28,648,954 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively	30	29
Additional paid-in capital	635,108	598,630
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(114)	(45)
Accumulated deficit	(475,610)	(421,543)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	159,414	177,071
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$187,076	\$ 204,360

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Revenue	\$75	\$75	\$150	\$150
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	18,307	15,192	37,714	27,556
General and administrative	8,609	7,018	16,363	14,473
Loss on impairment	—	1,949	—	1,949
Total operating expenses	26,916	24,159	54,077	43,978
Loss from operations	(26,841)	(24,084)	(53,927)	(43,828)
Interest income	347	324	658	635
Interest expense	(141)	(286)	(335)	(601)
Change in fair value of derivative liability associated with Medicis settlement	(107)	(413)	(167)	(428)
Other expense, net	(132)	(143)	(258)	(268)
Net loss	(26,874)	(24,602)	(54,029)	(44,490)
Unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale securities	(17)	(38)	(69)	188
Comprehensive loss	\$(26,891)	\$(24,640)	\$(54,098)	\$(44,302)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders:				
Basic and Diluted	\$(26,874)	\$(24,602)	\$(54,029)	\$(44,490)
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders (Note 12):				
Basic and Diluted	\$(0.90)	\$(0.88)	\$(1.84)	\$(1.59)
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing net loss per share attributable to common stockholders:				
Basic and Diluted	29,776,893	28,089,731	29,295,220	28,047,671

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net loss	\$(54,029)	\$(44,490)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	722	699
Amortization of premium on investment	326	786
Change in fair value of derivative liability associated with Medicis settlement	167	428
Stock-based compensation expense	6,674	6,229
Capitalized interest	(16)	—
Effective interest on financing obligations	155	217
Loss on impairment	—	1,949
Acquisition of in-process research and development	—	2,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,139)	(74)
Other non-current assets	(504)	—
Accounts payable	469	279
Accruals and other liabilities	1,693	(33)
Net cash used in operating activities	(45,482)	(32,010)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,507)	(994)
Proceeds from maturities of investments	45,655	46,050
Proceeds from sales of investments	—	1,000
Purchases of investments	(36,028)	(159,755)
Payment for acquisition of in-process research and development	(100)	(1,800)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	8,020	(115,499)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of at-the-market offering commissions	29,100	—
Principal payments made on financing obligations	(1,842)	(1,725)
Net settlement of restricted stock awards to settle employee taxes	(397)	(341)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase plan	1,561	938
Payment of registration statement and at-the-market offering costs	(267)	(182)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	28,155	(1,310)
NET DECREASE IN CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND RESTRICTED CASH	(9,307)	(148,819)
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND RESTRICTED CASH — Beginning of period	64,082	202,050
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND RESTRICTED CASH — End of period	54,775	53,231
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid for interest	196	384
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING INFORMATION:		
	68	90

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Property and equipment purchases included in accounts payable and accruals and other current liabilities

Deferred at-the-market offering costs	115	32
Holdback related to acquisition of in-process research and development	—	200

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. The Company and Basis of Presentation

Revance Therapeutics, Inc., or the Company, was incorporated in Delaware on August 10, 1999 under the name Essentia Biosystems, Inc. The Company commenced operations in June 2002 and on April 19, 2005, changed its name to Revance Therapeutics, Inc. The Company is a clinical-stage biotechnology company focused on the development, manufacturing and commercialization of novel botulinum toxin products for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic indications. The Company is leveraging its proprietary portfolio of botulinum toxin type A compounds, formulated with its patented and proprietary peptide technology, to address unmet needs in large and growing neurotoxin markets. The Company's proprietary peptide technology enables delivery of botulinum toxin type A through two investigational drug product candidates, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection (RT002), or RT002 injectable, and DaxibotulinumtoxinA Topical Gel (RT001), or RT001 topical. The Company is pursuing clinical development for RT002 injectable in a broad spectrum of aesthetic and therapeutic indications and is planning to conduct additional preclinical development for RT001 topical. The Company holds worldwide rights to RT002 injectable, RT001 topical and the pharmaceutical uses of its proprietary peptide technology.

Since commencing operations in 2002, the Company has devoted substantially all of its efforts to identifying and developing product candidates for the aesthetics and therapeutic pharmaceutical markets, recruiting personnel and raising capital, and preclinical and clinical development of, and manufacturing development for, RT002 injectable and RT001 topical. The Company has never been profitable and has not yet commenced commercial operations.

Since the Company's inception, the Company has incurred losses and negative cash flows from operations. The Company has not generated significant revenue from product sales to date and will continue to incur significant research and development and other expenses related to its ongoing operations. During the six months ended June 30, 2017, the Company had a net loss of \$54.0 million and used \$45.5 million of cash for operating activities. As of June 30, 2017, the Company had a working capital surplus of \$152.4 million and an accumulated deficit of \$475.6 million. The Company has funded its operations since inception primarily through the issuance and sale of common stock, convertible preferred stock, notes payable, and convertible notes. As of June 30, 2017, the Company had capital resources consisting of cash, cash equivalents, and investments of \$165.5 million. The Company believes that its existing cash, cash equivalents and investments will allow the Company to fund its operations through at least the next 12 months following the filing of this Form 10-Q.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments which the Company considers necessary for the fair statement of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the interim periods covered and the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of the Company at the date of the balance sheets. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet for the year ended December 31, 2016 was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or US GAAP. The interim results presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the full fiscal year ending December 31, 2017, or any other future period.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, on February 28, 2017. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company include the Company's accounts and those of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary and have been prepared in conformity with US GAAP. The Company operates in

one segment and there were no intercompany transactions to be eliminated during consolidation.

6

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

At-The-Market Offering

In March 2016, the Company entered into an At-The-Market Issuance Sales Agreement, or the 2016 ATM agreement, with Cowen and Company, LLC, or Cowen, under which the Company may offer and sell common stock having aggregate proceeds of up to \$75.0 million from time to time through Cowen, the Company's sales agent. Sales of common stock through Cowen under the 2016 ATM agreement will be made by means of ordinary brokers' transactions on the NASDAQ Global Market or otherwise at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, in block transactions, or as otherwise agreed upon by the Company and Cowen. Cowen will sell the common stock from time to time, based upon instructions from the Company. The Company agreed to pay Cowen a commission of up to 3.0% of the gross sales proceeds of any common stock sold through Cowen under the ATM agreement. From January through April 2017, the Company sold and completed a placement of 1,413,051 shares of its common stock under the 2016 ATM Agreement at a weighted average price of \$21.23 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$28.6 million, which was comprised of gross proceeds after commissions of \$29.1 million net of offering expenses of \$0.5 million of which \$0.2 million was paid in 2016.

On June 29, 2017, the Company traded 389,600 shares of its common stock primarily to one investor under the 2016 ATM agreement at a price of \$25.56 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$9.6 million, after commissions and offering expenses. The cash proceeds were received on July 5, 2017, at which time the transaction settled and common stock was considered issued and outstanding.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 15 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. There have been no changes to the Company's significant accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2017, except as described below.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Such management estimates include accruals, stock-based compensation, the fair value of derivative liability, impairment of long-lived assets, and the valuation of deferred tax assets. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that it believes are reasonable, however, actual results could significantly differ from those estimates.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

On March 30, 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting (Topic 718). The amendments in ASU 2016-09 affect all entities that issue share-based payment awards to their employees and involve multiple aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statements of cash flows. The ASU is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted for any entity in any interim or annual period. If an entity early adopts the amendments in an interim period, any adjustments should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. An entity that elects early adoption must adopt all of the amendments in the same period. As of January 1, 2017, the Company adopted ASU 2016-09 on a modified retrospective basis for the income

statement impact of forfeitures and income taxes. Accordingly, the Company recognized a cumulative charge of less than \$0.1 million to the Company's Accumulated Deficit balance as of January 1, 2017 from a change in the forfeiture rate methodology to account for forfeitures as they occur. The Company also adopted the accounting methodology related to stock-based compensation for deferred tax assets and liabilities balances; however, given the Company has a full valuation allowance, it did not have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. The new guidance had no impact to classification on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

On November 18, 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-18, Statements of Cash Flows (Topic 230), which requires restricted cash to be included in the beginning-of-period and end-of-period totals with cash and cash equivalents. The Company early adopted this amendment as of December 31, 2016. The adoption of this standard required the Company to reclassify its restricted cash balances from investing activities to the cash and cash equivalents section of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for all periods presented.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, Scope of Modification Accounting (Topic 718) ("ASU 2017-09"), which amends the scope of modification accounting for share-based payment arrangements. The amendment provides guidance about which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting. ASU 2017-09 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect this standard will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

On February 25, 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-02 Leases (Topic 842), which requires an entity to recognize assets and liabilities arising from a lease for both financing and operating leases. The ASU also requires new qualitative and quantitative disclosures to help investors and other financial statement users better understand the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect this standard will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

On January 5, 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-01, Financial Instruments - Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which addresses certain aspects of recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial instruments. The updated standard is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2017 and early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the standard will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

3. In-Process Research and Development

On June 2, 2016, the Company entered into an asset purchase agreement with Botulinum Toxin Research Associates, Inc., or BTRX (the "BTRX Purchase Agreement"). Under the BTRX Purchase Agreement, the Company acquired all rights, title and interest in a portfolio of botulinum toxin-related patents and patent applications from BTRX and was granted the right of first negotiation and first refusal with respect to other botulinum toxin-related patents owned or controlled by BTRX. In exchange, the Company agreed to an upfront expenditure of \$2.0 million of which \$1.8 million was paid immediately, \$0.1 million was paid in June 2017, and the remaining \$0.1 million is due and payable next year. The Company also agreed to pay up to an additional \$16.0 million in aggregate upon satisfaction of specified milestones relating to the Company's product revenue, intellectual property, and clinical and regulatory events (the "BTRX milestone payments"). As of June 30, 2017, the Company did not record a liability in connection with the BTRX milestone payments. The Company accrues for milestones when it is probable that a contractual milestone will be met.

The Company concluded that the BTRX Purchase Agreement did not meet the criteria of a business combination pursuant to the guidance prescribed in Accounting Standards Codification Topic 805, Business Combinations. During 2016, the Company accounted for the initial \$2.0 million expenditure as research and development expense, as future

alternative use of the acquired assets was deemed contingent upon the successful outcome of existing research and development activities as of the transaction date.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

4. Medicis Settlement

In July 2009, the Company and Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation, or Medicis, entered into a license agreement granting Medicis worldwide aesthetic and dermatological rights to the Company's investigational botulinum toxin type A product candidates. In October 2012, the Company entered into a settlement and termination agreement with Medicis. The terms of the settlement provided for the reacquisition of the rights related to all territories of RT002 injectable and RT001 topical from Medicis and for consideration payable by the Company to Medicis of up to \$25.0 million, comprised of (i) an upfront payment of \$7.0 million, which was paid in 2012, (ii) a proceeds sharing arrangement payment of \$14.0 million due upon specified capital raising achievements by the Company, of which \$6.9 million was paid in 2013 and \$7.1 million in 2014, and (iii) \$4.0 million to be paid upon the achievement of regulatory approval for RT002 injectable or RT001 topical by the Company, or Product Approval Payment. Medicis was subsequently acquired by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc. in December 2012.

The Company determined that the settlement provisions related to the proceeds sharing arrangement payment in (ii) above and Product Approval Payment in (iii) above were derivative instruments that require fair value accounting as a liability and periodic fair value remeasurements until settled.

As of June 30, 2017, the Company determined the fair value of its liability for the Product Approval Payment was \$2.2 million, which was measured by assuming a term of 3 years, a risk-free rate of 1.55% and a credit risk adjustment of 7.00%. The Company's assumption for the expected term is based on an expected Biologics License Application, or BLA, approval in 2020. The Company did not make any payments under the Product Approval Payment during the six months ended June 30, 2017.

5. Cash Equivalents and Investments

The Company's cash equivalents and investments consist of money market funds, U.S. treasury securities, and U.S. government agency obligations, which are classified as available-for-sale securities.

The following table is a summary of amortized cost, unrealized gain and loss, and fair value (in thousands):

	June 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Gross Unrealized			Gross Unrealized		
	Cost	Gains	Fair Value	Cost	Gains	Fair Value
Money market funds	\$50,358	\$—	\$50,358	\$60,639	\$—	\$60,639
U.S. treasury securities	96,048	(88)	95,960	81,103	(28)	81,079
U.S. government agency obligations	15,918	(26)	15,892	40,968	(22)	40,947
Total cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities	\$162,324	\$(114)	\$162,210	\$182,710	\$5	\$182,665

Classified as:

Cash equivalents	\$50,358	\$60,639
Short-term investments	111,852	122,026
Total cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities	\$162,210	\$182,665

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

There have been no significant realized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities for the periods presented. No significant available-for-sale securities held as of June 30, 2017 have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for more than 12 months, and unrealized gains and losses are included in “accumulated other comprehensive loss” within shareholders’ equity on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of June 30, 2017, unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments are not attributed to credit risk and are considered temporary. The Company believes that it is more-likely-than-not that investments in an unrealized loss position will be held until maturity or the cost basis of the investment will be recovered. The Company believes it has no other-than-temporary impairments on its securities as it does not intend to sell these securities and does not believe it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis. To date, the Company has not recorded any impairment charges on marketable securities related to other-than-temporary declines in fair value. Our cash equivalents and short-term investments are due within one year.

Related Party Transactions

Of the Company's total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments of \$165.5 million and \$185.5 million as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, the Company held cash equivalents and short-term investments with a total fair value of \$75.2 million and \$86.0 million, respectively, in an investment account with a related party, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC. As of June 30, 2017, JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its wholly owned subsidiaries JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (NA), J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc., and JPMorgan Asset Management (UK) Limited held approximately 3.7 million shares of the Company's common stock, which represents approximately 12.2% of the Company's outstanding common stock. J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, who acts as a custodian and trustee for certain Company investments, is an affiliate of JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA.

6. Fair Value Measurements

The Company determines the fair value of certain financial assets and liabilities using three levels of inputs as follows:

- Level 1 — Observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 — Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and
- Level 3 — Valuations based on unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology and including data about assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available under the circumstances.

The carrying values of cash, other current assets, accounts payables, accruals, and other current liabilities approximate fair value due to the short maturities of these instruments.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The Company measures and reports certain financial instruments as assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of these instruments was as follows (in thousands):

As of June 30, 2017				
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets				
Money market funds	\$50,358	\$50,358	\$—	\$—
U.S. treasury securities	95,960	95,960	—	—
U.S. government agency obligations	15,892	—	15,892	—
Total assets measured at fair value	\$162,210	\$146,318	\$15,892	\$—
Liabilities				
Derivative liability associated with the Medicis settlement	\$2,189	\$—	\$—	\$2,189
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$2,189	\$—	\$—	\$2,189
As of December 31, 2016				
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets				
Money market funds	\$60,639	\$60,639	\$—	\$—
U.S. treasury securities	81,079	81,079	—	—
U.S. government agency obligations	40,947	—	40,947	—
Total assets measured at fair value	\$182,665	\$141,718	\$40,947	\$—
Liabilities				
Derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement	\$2,022	\$—	\$—	\$2,022
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$2,022	\$—	\$—	\$2,022

The fair value of the U.S. government agency obligations is estimated by taking into consideration valuations obtained from third-party pricing services. The pricing services utilize industry standard valuation models, including both income and market-based approaches, for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly, to estimate fair value. These inputs include reported trades of and broker/dealer quotes on the same or similar securities, issuer credit spreads, benchmark securities, prepayment/default projections based on historical data, and other observable inputs. Changes in the ability to observe valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of levels of certain securities within the fair value hierarchy. The Company did not transfer any assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis between Level 1 and Level 2 during the six months ended June 30, 2017 and the year ended December 31, 2016.

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial instruments as follows (in thousands):

	Derivative Liability Associated with the Medicis Settlement
Fair value as of December 31, 2016	\$ 2,022
Change in fair value	167

Fair value as of June 30, 2017 \$ 2,189

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The fair value of the derivative liability resulting from the Medicis litigation settlement was determined by estimating the timing and probability of the related regulatory approval and multiplying the payment amount by this probability percentage and a discount factor based primarily on the estimated timing of the payment and a credit risk adjustment (Note 4). Generally, increases or decreases in these unobservable inputs would result in a directionally similar impact to the fair value measurement of this derivative instrument. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Product Approval Payment derivative are the expected timing and probability of the payments at the valuation date and the credit risk adjustment.

7. Notes Payable and Financing Obligations

Essex Capital Notes

On December 20, 2013, the Company signed a Loan and Lease Agreement to borrow up to \$10.8 million in the form of Secured Promissory Notes from Essex Capital, or the Essex Notes, to finance the completion and installation of the Company's RT001 topical commercial fill/finish line, or the Fill/Finish Line. In May 2014, pursuant to the terms of this agreement, the Company sold equipment to Essex Capital, resulting in partial settlement of the outstanding loan balance of \$1.1 million, and sold and leased the equipment back from Essex Capital for fixed monthly payments to be paid over 3 years. The lease provides for the option to purchase the leased equipment for 10% of the original purchase amount, and in May 2017, the Company paid \$0.1 million to purchase the equipment sold and leased back from Essex Capital. This transaction did not qualify for sale-leaseback accounting due to the Company's continuing involvement in the equipment. Therefore, the Company accounted for this transaction as a financing obligation using the effective interest rate method.

On December 17, 2014, the Company entered into the First Amendment to the Loan and Lease Agreement with Essex Capital. Under the terms of this Amendment, the Company agreed to repay the outstanding debt balance of \$3.9 million and issued a warrant to purchase 44,753 shares of common stock. In February 2015, the Company executed the Second Amendment to the Loan and Lease Agreement, under which the term of the facility was extended to April 15, 2015 and the purchase price for the remainder of the equipment was increased by \$0.1 million to approximately \$9.8 million. Concurrently with this sale, the Company will lease the equipment from Essex Capital for a fixed monthly payment to be paid monthly over 3 years. The lease provides for the option to purchase the leased equipment for 10% of the original purchase amount. This transaction also did not qualify for sale-leaseback accounting due to the Company's continuing involvement in the equipment. Therefore, the Company accounted for this transaction as a financing obligation using the effective interest rate method. In June 2015, the Company exercised its option to purchase the remainder of the equipment sold and leased back from Essex Capital for 10% of the original purchase amount, or approximately \$1.0 million, at the conclusion of the lease terms in 2018.

As of June 30, 2017, the aggregate total future minimum lease payments under the financing obligations were as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2017	\$1,897
2018	949
Total payments	\$2,846

8. Interest Expense

Interest expense, includes cash and non-cash components with the non-cash components consisting of effective interest recognized on the financing obligations and interest capitalized for assets constructed for use in operations.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The interest expense by cash and non-cash components is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017		Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
Interest expense				
Cash related interest expense (1)	\$86	\$181	\$196	\$384
Non-cash interest expense				
Effective interest on financing obligations	71	105	155	217
Non-cash capitalized interest expense (2)	(16)	—	(16)	—
Non-cash effective interest expense	55	105	139	217
Total interest expense	\$141	\$286	\$335	\$601

(1) Cash related interest expense includes interest payments on the Essex Notes.

(2) Interest expense capitalized pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification Topic 835, Interest.

9. Loss on Impairment

Long-lived assets such as the Company's fill/finish line are reviewed for impairment whenever adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets are measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. The Company determines the fair value of its long-lived assets using the market, cost or income approach.

The Company constructed a fill/finish line for the future commercial manufacturing of RT001 topical and to support its clinical trials and regulatory license applications. In June 2016, following the results of the REALISE 1 Phase 3 clinical trial, the Company discontinued its RT001 topical clinical development programs for the treatment of crow's feet and primary axillary hyperhidrosis. The Company performed an impairment analysis of the RT001 topical fill/finish line to determine fair value based on highest and best use. Based on the analysis, the Company determined that the fair value of certain equipment, which was calculated using the market approach, was lower than the carrying value. Accordingly, during the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded a loss on impairment of \$1.9 million.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no additional indicators of or loss on impairment recorded for the RT001 topical fill/finish line and other fixed assets. Nonetheless, it is reasonably possible that our estimate of the recoverability of the equipment's carrying value could change. As of June 30, 2017, the fill/finish line and these other fixed assets had net book values of \$5.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

Facility Lease

In January 2010, the Company entered into a non-cancelable facility lease that requires monthly payments through January 2022. This facility is used for research, manufacturing, and administrative functions.

In February 2014, the Company extended the term of the Lease by thirty-six (36) months to January 2025. Under the terms of the lease agreement, the payments escalate over the term of the lease with the exception of a decrease in payments at the beginning of 2022. However, the Company recognizes the expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Rent expense was \$1.3 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$2.6 million for each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. As of June 30, 2017, the aggregate total future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases were as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2017	\$2,700
2018	5,578
2019	5,763
2020	5,947
2021 and thereafter	20,644
Total payments	\$40,632
Other Milestone-Based Commitments	

The Company has one remaining obligation to make a future milestone payment to List Laboratories that becomes due and payable on the achievement of a certain regulatory milestone. The Company is obligated to pay royalties to List Laboratories on future sales of botulinum toxin products. The Company also has one remaining future milestone payment of \$4.0 million due and payable to Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc., which acquired Medicis in December 2012, upon the achievement of regulatory approval for RT002 injectable or RT001 topical (Note 4).

The Company has obligations to pay Botulinum Toxin Research Associates, Inc. (BTRX) up to \$16.0 million upon the satisfaction of specified milestones relating to the Company's product revenue, intellectual property, and clinical and regulatory events (Note 3).

In April 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with BioSentinel, Inc. to in-license their technology and expertise for research and development and manufacturing purposes. In addition to minimum quarterly use fees, the Company is obligated to make a one-time future milestone payment of \$0.3 million payable to BioSentinel, Inc. upon the achievement of regulatory approval. The Company accrues for contingencies when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company expects that contingencies related to regulatory approval milestones will only become probable once such regulatory outcome is achieved.

Purchase Commitments

On March 14, 2017, the Company entered into a Technology Transfer, Validation and Commercial Fill/Finish Services Agreement (the "Services Agreement") and Statement of Work ("SoW") with Ajinomoto Althea, Inc., a contract development and manufacturing organization ("Althea"). Under the Services Agreement, Althea has agreed, among other things, to provide the Company with a future source of commercial fill/finish services for the Company's neuromodulator products. The Services Agreement has an initial term that will expire in 2024, unless terminated sooner by either party. In accordance with the Services Agreement, the Company is obligated to make minimum purchases based on its production forecasts. In June 2017, the Company made a non-refundable advanced payment of \$1.0 million in accordance with the terms of this arrangement. The remaining services are cancellable at any time, with the Company required to pay costs incurred through the cancellation date.

Contingencies

From time to time, the Company may have certain contingent liabilities that arise in the ordinary course of business. As of May 2015, the Company became subject to a securities class action complaint, captioned City of Warren Police

and Fire Retirement System v. Revance Therapeutics Inc., et al, CIV 533635, which was filed on behalf of City of Warren Police and Fire Retirement System in the Superior Court for San Mateo County, California against the Company and certain of its directors and executive officers at the time of the June 2014 follow-on public offering, and the investment banking firms that acted as the underwriters in the follow-on public offering. In general, the complaint alleges that the defendants misrepresented the then-present status of the RT001 topical clinical program and made false and misleading statements regarding the formulation,

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

manufacturing and efficacy of its drug candidate, RT001 topical, for the treatment of crow's feet at the time of the follow-on public offering. The complaint has been brought as a purported class action on behalf of those who purchased common stock in the follow-on public offering and seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On November 6, 2016, the action was transferred to the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara (the "Court") and assigned the following case number, 15-CV-287794. On October 31, 2016, the parties executed a stipulation of settlement ("the Stipulation"). Under the Stipulation, in exchange for a release of all claims by the plaintiff class, the Company has agreed to settle the litigation. The Stipulation maintains that the defendants, including the Company, deny all wrongdoing and liability related to the litigation. Plaintiff's counsel filed a motion for preliminary approval of the settlement on November 11, 2016 and hearing regarding preliminary approval was set for January 6, 2017. On January 6, 2017, the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara (the "Court") issued an order (the "Order") preliminarily approving the settlement proposed in the Stipulation by and among the plaintiff class and all named defendants in the action, including the Company (the "Settlement"), and directing that notice of the proposed settlement be given to all members of the plaintiff class. The Court scheduled a hearing ("Settlement Fairness Hearing") on May 19, 2017 to, among other things, make a final determination whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved by the Court. Following the hearing on May 19, 2017, the Court issued an order ruling that, while it appeared that the claims process was proceeding as expected, it was not yet complete. The Court therefore postponed its decision regarding final approval of the settlement to a later hearing, set for July 28, 2017. At the hearing on July 28, 2017, the Court granted final approval of the Settlement and indicated that Judgment dismissing the action with prejudice would be entered forthwith, thereby ending the litigation. This litigation did not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

The Company accrues a liability for such matters when it is probable that future expenditures will be made and such expenditures can be reasonably estimated. As a result of the Settlement, as set forth proposed in the Stipulation, the Company began accruing for a loss contingency and recorded an undiscounted liability of \$6.4 million in October 2016, which continues to be included in Accruals and other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2017. In October 2016, the Company also recorded an undiscounted insurance recovery asset of \$5.9 million within prepaid expenses and other current assets, which remains on its Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2017. In January 2017, the Company paid \$0.5 million, which was recorded in restricted cash on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, and its insurance company paid \$5.9 million, both of which were held in an escrow account until final approval of the Settlement on July 28, 2017.

Indemnification

The Company enters into standard indemnification agreements in the ordinary course of business. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company indemnifies, holds harmless, and agrees to reimburse the indemnified parties for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, in connection with any trade secret, copyright, patent or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to its technology. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual after the execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these agreements is not determinable because it involves claims that may be made against the Company in the future, but have not yet been made. The Company has not incurred costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and officers that may require the Company to indemnify them against liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers, other than liabilities arising from willful misconduct of the individual.

No amounts associated with such indemnifications have been recorded to date, except as noted above.

11. Stockholders' Equity

Convertible Preferred Stock

As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company had 5,000,000 shares of convertible preferred stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share authorized and no preferred stock issued and outstanding.

15

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Warrants

As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company had outstanding warrants to purchase 61,595 shares of common stock. In July 2017, a warrant to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock was net exercised for 9,388 shares of common stock at an exercise price per share of \$14.40 in accordance with the terms of the warrant agreement.

Stock Option Plan

2014 Equity Incentive Plan and 2014 Inducement Plan

On January 1, 2017, the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Company's 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2014 EIP, automatically increased by 4% of the total number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding on December 31, 2016, or 1,145,958 shares. During the six months ended June 30, 2017, the Company granted stock options for 763,675 shares of common stock and 276,150 restricted stock awards under the 2014 EIP. As of June 30, 2017, there were 911,271 shares available for issuance under the 2014 EIP.

During the six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no stock options or restricted stock awards granted under the 2014 Inducement Plan (the "2014 IN"). As of June 30, 2017, there were 418,492 shares available for issuance under the 2014 IN. The grant-date fair value of the employee stock options under the 2014 EIP and 2014 IN was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months		Six Months	
	Ended		Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Expected term (in years)	6.0	5.7	6.0	6.0
Expected volatility	67.0%	61.9%	68.1%	61.2%
Risk-free interest rate	2.0 %	1.4 %	2.1 %	1.4 %
Expected dividend rate	— %	— %	— %	— %

Fair Value of Common Stock. The fair value of the shares of common stock is based on the Company's stock price as quoted by the NASDAQ.

Expected Term. The expected term for employees and non-employee directors is based on the simplified method, as the Company's stock options have the following characteristics: (i) granted at-the-money; (ii) exercisability is conditioned upon service through the vesting date; (iii) termination of service prior to vesting results in forfeiture; (iv) limited exercise period following termination of service; and (v) options are non-transferable and non-hedgeable, or "plain vanilla" options, and the Company has a limited history of exercise data. The expected term for non-employee consultants is based on the remaining contractual term.

Expected Volatility. As of January 1, 2017, the expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of a group of similar entities combined with the historical volatility of the Company, whereas prior to 2017, the expected volatility was based solely on the historical volatility of a group of similar entities. In evaluating similarity, the Company considered factors such as industry, stage of life cycle, capital structure, and size.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury constant maturity rates with remaining terms similar to the expected term of the options.

Expected Dividend Rate. The Company has never paid dividends and does not plan to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, and therefore used an expected dividend rate of zero percent in the valuation model.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Forfeitures. As of January 1, 2017, the Company adopted the forfeiture rate methodology change in accordance with ASC 2016-09 to account for forfeitures as they occur (Note 2). Prior to the adoption of ASC 2016-09, the Company was required to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revised those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differed from those estimates. The Company used historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and record stock-based compensation expense only for those awards that were expected to vest. To the extent actual forfeitures differed from the estimates, the difference was recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period that the estimates were revised.

In June 2017, an employee converted to a non-employee consultant and the individual's options and awards continued to vest in accordance with the 2014 EIP. There were no stock option grants made to non-employee consultants during 2017. The fair value of the stock options granted to non-employee consultants is calculated at each reporting date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017		Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	
Expected term (in years)	9.0	7.5	9.0	7.6
Expected volatility	70.0%	69.2%	70.0%	71.3%
Risk-free interest rate	2.2 %	1.5 %	2.2 %	1.6 %
Expected dividend rate	— %	— %	— %	— %

2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On January 1, 2017, the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Company's 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or 2014 ESPP, automatically increased by 1% of the total number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding on December 31, 2016, or 286,489 shares. As of June 30, 2017, there were 931,181 shares available for issuance under the 2014 ESPP.

The fair value of the option component of the shares purchased under the 2014 ESPP was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		2016	
Expected term (in years)	0.5	0.5		
Expected volatility	72.4%	50.9%		
Risk-free interest rate	0.7 %	0.5 %		
Expected dividend rate	— %	— %		

Fair Value of Common Stock. The fair value of the shares of common stock is based on the Company's stock price.

Expected Term. The expected term is based on the term of the purchase period under the 2014 ESPP.

Expected Volatility. As of January 1, 2017 the expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock. Prior to January 1, 2017, the expected volatility was based on volatility of a group of similar entities. In evaluating similarity, the Company considered factors such as industry, stage of life cycle, capital structure, and size.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury constant maturity rates with remaining terms similar to the expected term.

Expected Dividend Rate. The Company has never paid dividends and does not plan to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, and therefore used an expected dividend rate of zero percent in the valuation model.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Total Stock-Based Compensation

Total stock-based compensation expense related to options, restricted stock awards, and ESPP for employees, non-employee directors, and non-employee consultants was allocated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Research and development	\$1,504	\$1,797	\$2,808	\$3,201
General and administrative	2,015	1,455	3,866	3,028
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$3,519	\$3,252	\$6,674	\$6,229

12. Net Loss per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders

The following table sets forth the computation of the Company's basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net loss attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$(26,874)	\$(24,602)	\$(54,029)	\$(44,490)
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$(0.90)	\$(0.88)	\$(1.84)	\$(1.59)
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	29,776,893	28,089,731	29,295,220	28,047,671

The following common stock equivalents were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share for the periods presented as their inclusion would have been antidilutive:

	As of June 30,	
	2017	2016
Outstanding common stock options	3,372,698	2,815,913
Outstanding common stock warrants	61,595	61,595
Unvested restricted stock awards	553,283	336,684

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on this Form 10-Q and in our other Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, filings, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2017. The words "believe," "will," "may," "would," "estimate," "anticipate," "intend," "should," "plan," "expect," "predict," "potentially," and similar expressions that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning the following:

- our expectations regarding the results, timing and completion of our clinical trials and regulatory submissions needed for the approval of RT002 injectable for the treatment of glabellar (frown) lines, muscle movement disorders including cervical dystonia, and plantar fasciitis in the United States, Europe and other countries;
- our expectations regarding our future development of RT002 injectable and RT001 topical for other indications;
- our expectations regarding the development of future product candidates;
- the potential for commercialization by us of RT002 injectable, if approved;
- our expectations regarding the potential market size, opportunity and growth potential for RT002 injectable and RT001 topical, if approved for commercial use;
- our belief that RT002 injectable and RT001 topical can expand the overall botulinum toxin market;
- our ability to build our own sales and marketing capabilities, or seek collaborative partners including distributors, to commercialize our product candidates, if approved;
- our ability to manufacture in our facility and to scale up our manufacturing capabilities and those of our current and future third-party manufacturers if our product candidates are approved;
- estimates of our expenses, future revenue, capital requirements and our needs for additional financing;
- the timing or likelihood of regulatory filings and approvals;
- our ability to advance product candidates into, and successfully complete, clinical trials;
- the implementation of our business model and strategic plans for our business, product candidates and technology;
- the initiation, timing, progress and results of future preclinical studies and clinical trials and our research and development programs;
- the scope of protection we are able to establish and maintain for intellectual property rights covering our product candidates and technology;
- our ability to establish collaborations or obtain additional funding;
- our expectations regarding the time during which we will be an emerging growth company under the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act;
- our financial performance; and
- developments and projections relating to our competitors and our industry.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, including those described in "Risk Factors" included in Part II, Item 1A and elsewhere in this report. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment, and new risks emerge from time to time. It is neither possible for management to predict all risks nor assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make. In light of these risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report may not occur, and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or publicly

release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements, except as required by law. Given these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

Overview

Revance Therapeutics, Inc. is a clinical-stage biotechnology company focused on the development, manufacturing, and commercialization of novel botulinum toxin products for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic indications. We are leveraging our proprietary portfolio of botulinum toxin type A compounds, formulated with our patented and proprietary peptide technology, to address unmet needs in large and growing neurotoxin markets. Our proprietary peptide technology enables delivery of botulinum toxin type A through two investigational drug product candidates, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection (RT002), or RT002 injectable, and DaxibotulinumtoxinA Topical Gel (RT001), or RT001 topical. We are pursuing clinical development for RT002 injectable in a broad spectrum of aesthetic and therapeutic indications and are planning to conduct additional preclinical development for RT001 topical. Neither formulation of our product candidates contains albumin or any other animal or human-derived materials. We believe this reduces the risk of the transmission of certain viral diseases. We hold worldwide rights to RT002 injectable and RT001 topical, and the pharmaceutical uses of our proprietary peptide technology.

DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection (RT002 or RT002 Injectable)

RT002 injectable is a novel, injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A designed to be a targeted and long-lasting injectable botulinum toxin treatment. We believe RT002 injectable may provide targeted delivery of botulinum toxin to intended treatment sites. We believe, and our preclinical and clinical studies indicate, that this targeted delivery, enabled by our proprietary peptide technology, may permit safe administration of higher doses of botulinum toxin and may result in high response rates and long duration of effect. We are studying RT002 injectable for aesthetic indications, such as glabellar (frown) lines and therapeutic indications, such as cervical dystonia and plantar fasciitis. We believe RT002 injectable has the potential to expand into additional aesthetic and therapeutic indications in the future.

Glabellar Lines

Glabellar or frown lines are the result of the gathering of the tissue between the eyebrows into a fold. They are caused by the repeated action of underlying muscles associated with facial expression. Years of squinting and frowning tend to leave deep wrinkles in the skin between the eyebrows and on the bridge of the nose, across the forehead and at the corners of the eyes. On many people, frown lines produce an angry or sad look that detracts from a pleasant facial appearance. Physical, emotional and social reasons for treating frown lines and forehead furrows include improved appearance and enhanced self-esteem.

We are in Phase 3 clinical development for RT002 injectable in North America for the treatment of glabellar lines. During the fourth quarter of 2016, we initiated subject dosing in our SAKURA Phase 3 program. In the first quarter of 2017, we completed patient enrollment in the two pivotal trials (SAKURA 1 and SAKURA 2). We expect to report topline results from those trials in the fourth quarter of 2017. Assuming successful completion of our SAKURA Phase 3 program, we plan to file marketing applications in the United States, European Union and Canada followed by submissions in certain Latin American and Asian countries. If approved, we believe RT002 injectable has the potential to address significant unmet needs in these markets.

In October 2015, we reported results from BELMONT, a Phase 2 active comparator, placebo-controlled clinical trial for the treatment of glabellar lines against the market leader BOTOX® Cosmetic. The 24-week results from the trial showed that RT002 injectable achieved its primary efficacy measurement at four weeks for all doses of RT002 injectable and that such efficacy was highly statistically significant as compared to placebo. In addition, the 40 Unit dose of RT002 injectable demonstrated a 23.6-week median duration versus BOTOX Cosmetic with an 18.8-week median duration. Across all cohorts, RT002 injectable appeared to be generally safe and well-tolerated.

Table of Contents

Cervical Dystonia

We have also been developing RT002 for the treatment of cervical dystonia, a muscle movement disorder. Muscle movement disorders, such as cervical dystonia, are neurological conditions that affect a person's ability to control muscle activity in one or more areas of the body. In 2015, we initiated a Phase 2 dose-escalating, open-label clinical study of RT002 injectable for the treatment of cervical dystonia. The Phase 2 study evaluated the safety, preliminary efficacy, and duration of effect of RT002 injectable in subjects with moderate to severe isolated cervical dystonia. The trial enrolled 37 subjects and followed three sequential treatment cohorts for up to a total of 24 weeks after treatment for each cohort. The trial's first cohort of 12 subjects received a single dose of up to 200 units of RT002 injectable, the second cohort of 12 subjects received between 200 and 300 units, and the third cohort of 13 subjects received from 300 to 450 units.

In May 2017, we announced positive 24 week topline results in all three cohorts from the Phase 2 trial. The topline data demonstrated a median duration of at least 24 weeks for each of all three cohorts. Duration of effect was defined as the number of weeks from treatment until the return of signs and symptoms that warrant retreatment, based on subjects reaching their target Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS) score. The topline data also displayed clinically significant impact on cervical dystonia signs and symptoms. At Week 4, RT002 injectable showed a clinically significant mean reduction of 38% from baseline across all three cohorts. This reduction continued to increase to 50% at Week 6 for all subjects, was 42% at Week 12 and was maintained at or above 30% through Week 24. The topline data also showed that RT002 injectable appeared to be generally safe and well-tolerated through Week 24 in all three cohorts. There were no serious adverse events and no dose-dependent increase in adverse events. The treatment-related adverse events were generally transient and mild to moderate in severity, with one case of neck pain reported as severe. The most common adverse events were dysphagia, or difficulty in swallowing (14%), of which all cases were mild in severity, injection site redness (8%), injection site bruising (5%), injection site pain (5%), muscle tightness (5%) and muscle weakness (5%).

Plantar Fasciitis

We are also developing RT002 for the treatment of plantar fasciitis. Plantar fasciitis is a painful affliction caused by inflammation of the ligament running along the bottom of the foot and is the most common cause of heel pain for patients who visit podiatrists and orthopedic foot and ankle surgeons. In 2016, we initiated a Phase 2 prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial of RT002 injectable in the therapeutic indication of plantar fasciitis. This study is evaluating the safety and efficacy of a single administration of RT002 injectable in reducing the signs and symptoms of plantar fasciitis. In April 2017, we expanded our plantar fasciitis Phase 2 program from a single-site to a multi-center study with protocol updates. This study is expected to enroll approximately 60 subjects in the United States, and the primary efficacy endpoint is the reduction in the visual analog scale (VAS) for pain in the foot. Subjects will be followed for 16 weeks following treatment. Topline results from this study are expected in the fourth quarter of 2017.

DaxibotulinumtoxinA Topical Gel (RT001 or RT001 Topical)

RT001 topical is a topical gel formulation of botulinum toxin type A. The botulinum toxin in RT001 topical blocks neuromuscular transmission by binding to receptor sites on motor or sympathetic nerve terminals, entering the nerve terminals and inhibiting the release of specific neurotransmitters. RT001 topical is designed to provide treatment with no needles, no downtime, no bruising and no pain.

We plan to study RT001 topical in a preclinical setting for therapeutic and aesthetic applications where topical administration of botulinum toxin provides a meaningful advantage over injection. In accordance with international guidelines and in consultation with the FDA, we previously conducted a nonclinical development program for RT001 topical. The program included preclinical efficacy, safety bioavailability and single and repeat dose toxicity studies of RT001 topical, including chronic studies of up to nine months' duration. Genotoxicity, local tolerance and formulation bridging studies were also conducted, along with reproductive toxicity testing. Together, these studies supported prior and possible future clinical development of RT001 topical.

Based on the results of additional preclinical studies, we will determine further development of indications for RT001 topical, such as hyperhidrosis, neuropsychiatric disorders, and chronic inflammatory diseases.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Revenue

The following table presents our revenue for the periods indicated and related changes from the prior period.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		
	2016	Change		2016	Change	
(In thousands, except percentages)						
Relastin Royalty	\$75	\$75	—%	\$150	\$150	—%

Our total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 remained unchanged, compared to the same period in 2016, due to minimum royalty payment obligations pursuant to the Relastin royalty agreement. In August 2011, we entered into an agreement to sell the business related to our Relastin product line, to Precision Dermatology, Inc., or PDI. In accordance with the agreement, we expect to receive royalties equal to at least \$0.3 million per year per the minimum royalty requirements included within the agreement or an amount equal to the actual royalty based on sales of Relastin if greater than the minimum royalty requirements, for a period up to fifteen years from the date of the agreement. PDI was subsequently acquired by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc., or Valeant, in July 2014. On April 23, 2015, we received notice from Valeant terminating the royalty agreement effective as of July 23, 2015. As of June 30, 2017, reversion of the Relastin intellectual property rights had not been completed and we are entitled to the minimum royalty payment until such rights are reverted back to us. We recognized the annual minimum royalty payment on a pro rata basis in the amount of \$75,000 for each of the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 as set forth in the Relastin royalty agreement.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development Expenses

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		
	2016	Change		2016	Change	
(In thousands, except percentages)						
Research and development (inclusive of stock-based compensation noted below)	\$18,307	\$15,192	21%	\$37,714	\$27,556	37%
Stock-based compensation	1,504	1,797	(16)%	2,808	3,201	(12)%

Research and development expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 increased by 21% and 37%, respectively, compared to the same period in 2016, primarily due to increased clinical activity for RT002 injectable, including the SAKURA Phase 3 program, the Phase 2 plantar fasciitis trial, the Phase 2 cervical dystonia trial, along with increased pre-commercial manufacturing activities. We expect our research and development expenditures to continue to increase in the near term to initiate and complete clinical trials and other associated programs relating to RT002 injectable for the treatment of glabellar lines, cervical dystonia, plantar fasciitis and other indications. Our research and development expenses fluctuate as projects transition from one development phase to the next. Depending on the stage of completion and level of effort related to each development phase undertaken, we may reflect variations in our research and development expense. We expense both internal and external research and development expenses as they are incurred.

Stock-based compensation for research and development decreased for the periods presented primarily due to option cancellations, offset by higher stock valuations in 2017.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative Expenses

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			Six Months Ended June 30, 2016		
	2017	2016	Change	2017	2016	Change
	(In thousands, except percentages)					

General and administrative expenses (inclusive of stock-based compensation noted below)	8,609	7,018	23%	\$16,363	\$14,473	13%
---	-------	-------	-----	----------	----------	-----

Stock-based compensation	2,015	1,455	38%	3,866	3,028	28%
--------------------------	-------	-------	-----	-------	-------	-----

General and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 increased by 23% and 13%, respectively, compared to the same period in 2016, primarily due to increased costs related to personnel, pre-commercial, and information technology expenses. We expect our general and administrative expenses to continue to increase as the Company approaches commercialization.

Stock-based compensation for general and administration increased for the periods presented primarily due to an increase in employee headcount, grants to existing employees, and higher stock valuations in 2017.

Loss on Impairment

We constructed a large capacity Fill/Finish Line dedicated to the manufacture of RT001 topical and to support our regulatory license applications. We discontinued clinical development of RT001 topical for the treatment of crow's feet and axillary hyperhidrosis in June 2016, following results from our REALISE 1 Phase 3 clinical trial. Under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, long-lived assets, such as our RT001 topical Fill/Finish Line, are required to be reviewed for impairment whenever adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate a possible impairment. If business conditions or other factors indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable, we may be required to record additional non-cash impairment charges. Additionally, if the carrying value of our capital equipment exceeds current fair value as determined based on the discounted future cash flows of the related product, the capital equipment would be considered impaired and would be reduced to fair value by a non-cash charge to earnings, which could negatively affect our operating results. During the three months ended June 30, 2016, we recorded a loss on impairment of \$1.9 million related to certain components of the RT001 topical Fill/Finish Line. There was no loss on impairment recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017. Nonetheless, it is reasonably possible that our estimate of the recoverability of the equipment's carrying value could change.

Total Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, were \$26.9 million and \$54.1 million and \$24.2 million and \$44.0 million, respectively. Total operating expenses include non-cash stock-based compensation and depreciation expenses. Stock-based compensation was \$3.5 million and \$6.7 million and \$3.3 million and \$6.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Depreciation expense was \$0.4 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and \$0.7 million for each of the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Net Non-Operating Expenses

Interest Income

Interest income consists primarily of interest income earned on our deposit, money market fund, and investment balances. We expect interest income to vary each reporting period depending on our average deposit, money market fund, and investment balances during the period and market interest rates. Interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 is materially consistent with the same periods last year.

Interest Expense

Interest expense, includes cash and non-cash components with the non-cash components consisting of effective interest recognized on the financing obligations and interest capitalized for assets constructed for use in operations.

Table of Contents

The interest expense by cash and non-cash components is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		
	2017	2016	Change	2017	2016	Change
	(In thousands, except percentages)					
Interest expense						
Cash related interest expense ⁽¹⁾	\$86	\$181	(52)%	\$196	\$384	(49)%
Non-cash interest expense						
Effective interest on financing obligations	71	105	(32)%	155	217	(29)%
Non-cash capitalized interest expense ⁽²⁾	(16)	—	(100)%	(16)	—	(100)%
Non-cash effective interest expense	55	105	(48)%	139	217	(36)%
Total interest expense	\$141	\$286	(51)%	\$335	\$601	(44)%

(1) Cash related interest expense included interest payments on the Essex Notes.

(2) Interest expense capitalized pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification Topic 835, Interest.

Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 decreased by 51% and 44%, compared to the same periods in 2016, primarily due to the decreasing interest on the equipment leases with Essex Capital as the leases approach maturity.

Change in Fair Value of Derivative Liability Associated with the Medicis Settlement

The Product Approval Payment associated with the Medicis settlement is classified as a liability on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. This liability is remeasured to fair value at each balance sheet date with the corresponding gain or loss from the adjustment recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. We will continue to record adjustments to the fair value of the Medicis settlement derivative liability until the Product Approval Payment has been paid. The loss recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2017 reflects an increase to the valuation of the derivative liability based on assumptions related to the development of RT002 injectable for glabellar lines.

Total Net Non-Operating Expenses

The total net non-operating expenses is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2017			Six Months Ended June 30, 2017		
	2017	2016	Change	2017	2016	Change
	(In thousands, except percentages)					
Interest income	\$347	\$324	7%	\$658	\$635	4%
Interest expense	(141)	(286)	(51)%	(335)	(601)	(44)%
Change in fair value of derivative liability associated with the Medicis settlement	(107)	(413)	(74)%	(167)	(428)	(61)%
Other expense, net	(132)	(143)	(8)%	(258)	(268)	(4)%
Total net non-operating expenses	\$(33)	\$(518)	(94)%	\$(102)	\$(662)	(85)%

Our total net non-operating expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 decreased by 94% and 85%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2016, primarily due to a decrease in interest expense, as described above, and the change in fair value of the derivative liability associated with the Medicis settlement. The decrease in the change in the fair value of derivative liability associated with the Medicis settlement is primarily due to recording additional expense in 2016 to increase to the valuation of the derivative liability based on time-based discounting and interest rates.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Through June 30, 2017, we have funded substantially all of our operations through the sale and issuance of our common stock, preferred stock, venture debt, and convertible debt. On March 7, 2016, we entered into an at-the-market sales agreement, or the 2016 ATM Agreement, with Cowen and Company, LLC, or Cowen, under which we may offer and sell shares of our common stock having aggregate gross proceeds of up to \$75 million through Cowen as our sales agent. In 2017, we sold 1,802,651 shares of our common stock under the 2016 ATM Agreement at a weighted average price of \$22.17 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$38.2 million, after commissions and other offering expenses, of which \$9.6 million in net proceeds, after commissions and other offering expenses was received on July 5, 2017.

We have never been profitable and, as of June 30, 2017, had an accumulated deficit of \$475.6 million. We incurred net losses of \$26.9 million and \$54.0 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2017, respectively. We incurred net losses of \$24.6 million and \$44.5 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. As of June 30, 2017, we had cash, cash equivalents, and investments of \$165.5 million. We expect to continue to incur net operating losses for at least the next several years as we advance RT002 injectable through clinical development, seek regulatory approval, prepare for and, if approved, proceed to commercialization.

Cash Flows

We derived the following summary of our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the periods indicated from our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2017	2016
Net cash used in operating activities	\$(45,482)	\$(32,010)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	8,020	(115,499)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	28,155	(1,310)

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Our cash used in operating activities is primarily driven by personnel, manufacturing, clinical development, and facility costs. Our cash flows from operating activities will continue to be affected principally by our working capital requirements and the extent to which we increase spending on personnel and research and development activities as our business grows.

Cash used in operating activities of \$45.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2017 resulted primarily from our net loss of \$54.0 million offset by stock-based compensation expense of \$6.7 million, depreciation expense of \$0.7 million, amortization on investment premiums of \$0.3 million, and other adjustments of \$0.3 million. The increase in our net operating assets and liabilities by \$0.5 million was primarily due to an increase in accounts payable, accruals and other liabilities by \$2.2 million offset by decreases in prepaid and other current and non-current assets by \$1.6 million.

Cash used in operating activities of \$32.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016 resulted primarily from our net loss of \$44.5 million offset by stock-based compensation expense of \$6.2 million, amortization on investment premiums of \$0.8 million, depreciation expense of \$0.7 million, a loss on the impairment of assets of \$1.9 million, the acquisition of in-process research and development of \$2.0 million (Note 3), and other adjustments of \$0.7 million. The decrease in our net operating assets and liabilities by \$0.2 million was primarily due to an increase in accounts payable by \$0.3 million offset by decreases in prepaid and other current assets and accruals and other current liabilities by \$0.1 million.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash provided by investing activities was \$8.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 consisting of \$36.0 million for purchases of investments, \$1.5 million for purchases of property and equipment, and \$0.1 million payment for the acquisition of in-process research and development (Note 3) offset by maturities of short-term investments of \$45.7 million.

Cash used in investing activities was \$115.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 consisting of \$159.8 million for purchases of investments, \$1.8 million payment for the acquisition of in-process research and development (Note 3), and purchases of property and equipment of \$1.0 million offset by sales and maturities of short-term investments of \$47.1 million.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities was \$28.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 comprised of proceeds from issuance of common stock net of commissions of \$29.1 million, proceeds from the exercise of stock options and ESPP purchases of \$1.6 million offset by principal payments on our financing obligations of \$1.8 million and other adjustments of \$0.7 million.

Cash used in financing activities was \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016 comprised of proceeds from the exercise of stock options and ESPP of \$0.9 million offset by principal payments on our financing obligations of \$1.7 million, payment of registration costs of \$0.2 million, and net settlement of restricted stock awards to settle employee tax obligations of \$0.3 million.

Operating and Capital Expenditure Requirements

We have not achieved profitability on a quarterly or annual basis since our inception and we expect to continue to incur net losses for the foreseeable future. We expect our cash expenditures to increase in the near term to initiate and complete clinical trials and other associated programs relating to RT002 injectable for the treatment of glabellar lines, cervical dystonia, plantar fasciitis and other indications. We believe that our existing capital resources, the net proceeds from our IPO, net proceeds from our follow-on public offerings, and net proceeds from our at-the-market offerings will be sufficient to fund our operations for at least the next 12 months following the filing of this Form 10-Q. However, we anticipate that we will need to raise substantial additional financing in the future to fund our operations. In order to meet these additional cash requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity or convertible debt securities that may result in dilution to our stockholders. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of convertible debt securities, these securities could have rights senior to those of our common stock and could contain covenants that restrict our operations. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional equity or debt financing on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Debt financing, if available, would result in increased fixed payment obligations and may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions such as incurring debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends. Our failure to obtain sufficient funds on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

If adequate funds are not available to us on a timely basis, or at all, we may be required to delay or terminate clinical trials or other development activities for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and any future product candidates, or delay our establishment of sales and marketing capabilities or other activities that may be necessary to commercialize our product candidates, if we obtain marketing approval. We may elect to raise additional funds even before we need them if the conditions for raising capital are favorable. Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including:

- the results of our clinical and preclinical trials for RT002 injectable and RT001 topical;
- the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for RT002 injectable or any future product candidates;
- the number and characteristics of any additional product candidates we develop or acquire;
- the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, and conducting preclinical and clinical trials;
- the cost of commercialization activities if RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates that are approved for sale, including marketing, sales and distribution costs;

- the cost of manufacturing RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates or any products we successfully commercialize, and the cost of maintaining our related facilities;

- our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations, licensing or other arrangements and the terms of and timing for such arrangements;

Table of Contents

• the degree and rate of market acceptance of any future approved products;

• the emergence, approval, availability, perceived advantages, relative cost, relative safety and relative efficacy of alternative and competing products or treatments;

• any product liability or other lawsuits related to our products;

• the expenses needed to attract and retain skilled personnel;

• any litigation, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation;

• the costs associated with being a public company;

• the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation; and

• the timing, receipt and amount of sales of, or royalties on, future approved products, if any.

Please see Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" for additional risks associated with our substantial capital requirements.

We have not generated product revenue from RT002 injectable or RT001 topical and we do not know when, or if, we will generate such revenue. We do not expect to generate significant revenue unless or until we obtain marketing approval of, and commercialize RT002 injectable or RT001 topical. We expect our continuing operating losses to result in increases in cash used in operations over the next several years.

We have based our estimates of future capital requirements on a number of assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and changing circumstances beyond our control may cause us to consume capital more rapidly than we currently anticipate. For example, our ongoing clinical trials of RT002 injectable may encounter technical or other difficulties that could increase our development costs more than we currently expect or the FDA may require us to conduct additional clinical trials prior to approving RT002 injectable or future products we may develop. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development and commercialization of our product candidates, we are unable to estimate the amounts of increased capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with our current and anticipated clinical trials beyond 2017.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2017, as compared to those disclosed in Item 7 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2017, except as described in Footnote 2 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Contractual Obligations

Our minimum contractual commitments were reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, as filed with the SEC. Our future minimum contractual commitments have not changed materially from the amounts previously reported, except as described below.

On March 14, 2017, the Company entered into a Technology Transfer, Validation and Commercial Fill/Finish Services Agreement (the "Services Agreement") and Statement of Work ("SoW") with Ajinomoto Althea, Inc., a contract development and manufacturing organization ("Althea"). Under the Services Agreement, Althea has agreed, among other things, to provide the Company with a future source of commercial fill/finish services for the Company's neuromodulator products. The Services Agreement has an initial term that will expire in seven years, unless terminated sooner by either party. In accordance with the Services Agreement, the Company is obligated to make minimum purchases based on its production forecasts. During June 2017, the Company made a non-refundable advanced payment of \$1.0 million in accordance with the terms of the arrangement. The remaining services are cancellable at any time, with the Company required to pay costs incurred through the cancellation date.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" in Note 2 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2017, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements or any relationships with any entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities that would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Overview

We are exposed to market risk in the ordinary course of our business. Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial position due to adverse changes in financial market prices and rates. Our market risk exposure is primarily a result of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our cash, cash equivalents, and investments. We had cash, cash equivalents, and investments of \$165.5 million and \$185.5 million as of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. As of June 30, 2017, our cash, cash equivalents, and investments were held in deposit, money market fund accounts, and U.S. government agency and treasury obligations. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest income sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of the interest rates in the United States. A hypothetical 10% movement in interest rates would not be expected to have a material impact on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We mitigate market risk for changes in interest rates by holding our investments in U.S. treasury and government agency obligations to maturity.

Foreign Exchange

Our operations are primarily conducted in the United States using the U.S. Dollar. However, we conduct limited operations in foreign countries, primarily for clinical and regulatory services, whereby settlement of our obligations are denominated in the local currency. Transactional exposure arises when transactions occur in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. Transactions denominated in foreign currencies are recorded at the exchange rate prevailing at the date of the transaction with the resulting liabilities being translated into the U.S. Dollar at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. The resulting gains and losses, which were insignificant for the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, are included in other expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. We do not use currency forward exchange contracts to offset the related effect on the underlying transactions denominated in a foreign currency.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2017. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2017, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the six months ended June 30, 2017 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company may have certain contingent liabilities that arise in the ordinary course of business. As of May 2015, the Company became subject to a securities class action complaint, captioned City of Warren Police and Fire Retirement System v. Revance Therapeutics Inc., et al, CIV 533635, which was filed on behalf of City of Warren Police and Fire Retirement System in the Superior Court for San Mateo County, California against the Company and certain of its directors and executive officers at the time of the June 2014 follow-on public offering, and the investment banking firms that acted as the underwriters in the follow-on public offering. In general, the complaint alleges that the defendants misrepresented the then-present status of the RT001 topical clinical program and made false and misleading statements regarding the formulation, manufacturing and efficacy of its drug candidate, RT001 topical, for the treatment of crow's feet at the time of the follow-on public offering. The complaint has been brought as a purported class action on behalf of those who purchased common stock in the follow-on public offering and seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief. On November 6, 2016, the action was transferred to the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara (the "Court") and assigned the following case number, 15-CV-287794. On October 31, 2016, the parties executed a stipulation of settlement (the "Stipulation"), pursuant to which, in exchange for a release of all claims by the plaintiff class, the Company has agreed to settle the litigation for \$6.4 million in cash, of which the Company expects \$5.9 million to be covered by its insurance policies. The Stipulation maintains that the defendants, including the Company, deny all wrongdoing and liability related to the litigation.

On January 6, 2017, the Court issued an order (the "Order") preliminarily approving the settlement proposed in the Stipulation by and among the plaintiff class and all named defendants in the action, including the Company (the "Settlement"), and directing that notice of the proposed settlement be given to all members of the plaintiff class (the "Class Members"). The Court scheduled a hearing ("Settlement Fairness Hearing") on May 19, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Time at the Court, located at 191 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95113, to, among other things, make a final determination whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved by the Court. The Order provides that Class Members may opt out of the Settlement and that they may object to the Settlement in advance of and/or at the Settlement Fairness Hearing. Following the Settlement Fairness Hearing on May 19, 2017, the Court issued an order ruling that, while it appeared that the claims process was proceeding as expected, it was not yet complete. The Court therefore postponed its decision regarding final approval of the settlement to a later hearing, set for July 28, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. At the hearing on July 28, 2017, the Court granted final approval of the Settlement and indicated that Judgment dismissing the action with prejudice would be entered forthwith, thereby ending the litigation. This litigation did not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Except as provided above, we are not currently involved in any material legal proceedings.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below as well as all other information included in this Form 10-Q, including our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the notes thereto and the section entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” before you decide to purchase shares of our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results could be materially harmed. As a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations and stock price.

We have marked with an asterisk (*) those risks described below that reflect substantive changes from, or additions to, the risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Risks Related to Our Business and Strategy

We are substantially dependent on the clinical and commercial success of our injectable product candidate RT002 injectable.*

To date, we have invested substantial efforts and financial resources in the research and development of botulinum toxin-based product candidates. Our success as a company is substantially dependent on the clinical and commercial success of RT002 injectable.

We completed RT001 topical Phase 3 clinical trials for the treatment of lateral canthal lines (crow’s feet) and initial Phase 2 clinical trials for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis (excessive under arm sweating). However, we discontinued clinical development of RT001 topical for the treatment of crow’s feet and for the treatment of axillary hyperhidrosis in June 2016, following results from our REALISE 1 Phase 3 clinical trial, which was designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of RT001 topical compared to placebo in subjects with moderate to severe crow’s feet.

We have invested substantial efforts and financial resources in the research and development of RT002 injectable. We are in Phase 3 clinical development for RT002 injectable in North America for the treatment of glabellar lines. During the fourth quarter of 2016, we initiated subject dosing in our SAKURA Phase 3 program. In the first quarter of 2017, we completed patient enrollment in the two pivotal trials of our SAKURA Phase 3 program. We expect to report topline results from those trials in the fourth quarter of 2017. In October 2015, we reported results from BELMONT, a Phase 2 active comparator clinical trial against the market leader BOTOX® Cosmetic. The data from the BELMONT trial showed that all doses of RT002 injectable achieved highly statistically significant efficacy at four weeks as compared to placebo. In addition, the 40 Unit dose of RT002 injectable demonstrated a 23.6-week median duration versus BOTOX® Cosmetic with an 18.8-week median duration. Across all cohorts, RT002 injectable appeared to be generally safe and well-tolerated. These results may not be indicative of results from future trials.

In September 2015, we initiated a Phase 2 dose-escalating, open-label clinical study of RT002 injectable for the treatment of cervical dystonia. The Phase 2 study evaluated the safety, preliminary efficacy, and duration of effect of RT002 injectable in subjects with moderate to severe isolated cervical dystonia. The trial was designed to enroll 37 subjects following three sequential treatment cohorts for up to a total of 24 weeks after treatment for each cohort. The trial’s first cohort of 12 subjects received a single dose of up to 200 units of RT002 injectable, the second cohort of 12 subjects received between 200 and 300 units, and the third cohort of 13 subjects received from 300 to 450 units. In May 2017, we announced positive topline results from the Phase 2 trial. The topline data showed that in all three cohorts RT002 injectable appeared to be generally safe and well-tolerated, demonstrated a median duration of at least 24 weeks, and displayed a clinically significant impact on cervical dystonia and symptoms. Based on these Phase 2 results, we expect to discuss next steps in this clinical program with the US and EU regulatory agencies later this year. These results may not be indicative of results from future trials.

In 2016, we also initiated a Phase 2 prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial of RT002 injectable in the therapeutic indication of plantar fasciitis. This study is evaluating the safety and efficacy of a single administration of RT002 injectable in reducing the signs and symptoms of plantar fasciitis. In April 2017, we

expanded our plantar fasciitis Phase 2 program from a single-site to a multi-center study with protocol updates. This study is expected to enroll approximately 60 subjects in the United States, and the primary efficacy endpoint is the reduction in the visual analog scale (VAS) for pain in the foot. Subjects will be followed for 16 weeks following treatment. Topline results from this study are expected in the fourth quarter of 2017.

Table of Contents

Our near-term prospects, including our ability to finance our company and generate revenue, will depend heavily on the successful development, regulatory approval and commercialization of RT002 injectable. Our longer-term prospects will depend on the successful development, regulatory approval and commercialization of RT002 injectable, as well as any future product candidates. The preclinical, clinical and commercial success of our product candidates will depend on a number of factors, including the following:

- timely completion of, or need to conduct additional, clinical trials, including our clinical trials for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and any future product candidates, which may be significantly slower or cost more than we currently anticipate and will depend substantially upon the number and design of such trials and the accurate and satisfactory performance of third-party contractors;
- our ability to demonstrate the effectiveness and differentiation of our products on a consistent basis as compared to existing or future therapies;
- our ability to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, the safety and efficacy of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates through clinical trials;
- whether we are required by the FDA or other similar foreign regulatory agencies to conduct additional clinical trials to support the approval of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates;
- our success in educating physicians and patients about the benefits, administration and use of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, if approved;
- the prevalence and severity of adverse events experienced with our product candidates or future approved products;
- the timely receipt of necessary marketing approvals from the FDA and similar foreign regulatory authorities;
- the ability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms and in the time frames necessary to achieve our goals;
- achieving and maintaining compliance with all regulatory requirements applicable to RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates or approved products;
- the availability, perceived advantages, relative cost, relative safety and relative efficacy of alternative and competing treatments;
- the effectiveness of our own or our future potential strategic collaborators' marketing, sales and distribution strategy and operations;
- our ability to manufacture clinical trial supplies of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates and to develop, validate and maintain a commercially viable manufacturing process that is compliant with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP;
- our ability to successfully commercialize RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, if approved for marketing and sale, whether alone or in collaboration with others;
- our ability to enforce our intellectual property rights in and to RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates;
- our ability to avoid third-party patent interference or intellectual property infringement claims;
- acceptance of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, if approved, as safe and effective by patients and the medical community; and
- the continued acceptable safety profile of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates following approval.

If we do not achieve one or more of these factors, many of which are beyond our control, in a timely manner or at all, we could experience significant delays or an inability to successfully commercialize our product candidates. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to generate sufficient revenue through the sale of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidate to continue our business.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or future product candidates under applicable regulatory requirements. The denial or delay of any such approval would delay commercialization and have a material adverse effect on our potential to generate revenue, our business prospects, and our results of operations.*

To gain approval to market a biologic product such as RT002 injectable or RT001 topical, we must provide the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities with data that adequately demonstrate the safety, efficacy and quality of the product for the intended indication applied for in the BLA or other respective marketing applications. The development of biologic products is a long, expensive and uncertain process, and delay or failure can occur at any stage of any of our clinical trials. A number of companies in the pharmaceutical industry, including biotechnology companies, have suffered significant setbacks in clinical trials, including in Phase 3 development, even after promising results in earlier preclinical studies or clinical trials. These setbacks have been caused by, among other things, findings made while clinical trials were underway, safety or efficacy observations, including previously unreported adverse events; and the need to conduct further supportive or unanticipated studies, even after initiating Phase 3 trials. Success in preclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful or that additional supportive studies will not be required, and the results of clinical trials by other parties may not be indicative of the results in trials we may conduct.

Specifically, we completed RT001 topical clinical trials for the treatment of lateral canthal lines (crow's feet) and primary axillary hyperhidrosis. We discontinued clinical development of RT001 topical for the treatment of crow's feet and hyperhidrosis in 2016 following the results from our REALISE 1 Phase 3 clinical trial. The trial, designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of RT001 topical compared to placebo in subjects with moderate to severe crow's feet, did not achieve its co-primary or other endpoints.

Our business currently depends substantially on the successful development, regulatory approval and commercialization of our product candidates. Based on discussion with the FDA at a Pre-Phase 3 meeting in the second quarter of 2016 and the minutes received following the meeting, we submitted an IND in the United States and initiated subject dosing in Phase 3 clinical studies of RT002 injectable for the treatment of glabellar lines in 2016. In the first quarter of 2017, we completed patient enrollment in the two pivotal trials of our SAKURA Phase 3 program. We also plan to move forward with studies required for submission of a BLA. Such studies may increase the time, expense and uncertainty of our RT002 injectable development program, including, for example, because results of such studies may indicate to us a further need to refine the RT002 injectable product candidate.

We currently have no drug or biologic products approved for sale, and we may never obtain regulatory approval to commercialize RT002 injectable or RT001 topical. The research, testing, manufacturing, labeling, approval, sale, marketing and distribution of drug and biologic products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries, and such regulations differ from country to country. We are not permitted to market RT002 injectable in the United States until we receive approval of a BLA from the FDA. We are also not permitted to market RT002 injectable in any foreign countries until we receive the requisite approval from the regulatory authorities of such countries.

The FDA or any foreign regulatory body can delay, limit or deny approval of our product candidates, including RT002 injectable, for many reasons, including:

- our inability to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA or an applicable foreign regulatory body that RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates are safe and effective for the requested indication;
- our inability to demonstrate preclinical proof of concept of RT001 topical or other products in future, new indications;
- the FDA's or an applicable foreign regulatory agency's disagreement with the trial protocol or the interpretation of data from preclinical studies or clinical trials;
- our inability to demonstrate that clinical and other benefits of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates outweigh any safety or other perceived risks;
- the FDA's or an applicable foreign regulatory agency's requirement for additional preclinical or clinical studies;
- the FDA's or an applicable foreign regulatory agency's non-approval of the formulation, labeling or the specifications of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates;

the FDA's or an applicable foreign regulatory agency's failure to approve our manufacturing processes or facilities, or the manufacturing processes or facilities of third-party manufacturers with which we contract; or the potential for approval policies or regulations of the FDA or an applicable foreign regulatory agency to significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval.

Table of Contents

Of the large number of drugs, including biologics, in development, only a small percentage successfully complete the FDA or other regulatory approval processes and are commercialized.

Even if we eventually complete clinical testing and receive approval of any regulatory filing for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, the FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agency may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly additional post-approval clinical trials. The FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agency also may approve RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates for a more limited indication or a narrower patient population than we originally requested, and the FDA or applicable foreign regulatory agency may not approve the labeling that we believe is necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of our product candidates. Any delay in obtaining, or inability to obtain, applicable regulatory approval for any of our product candidates, and RT002 injectable in particular, would delay or prevent commercialization of RT002 injectable and would materially adversely impact our business, results of operations and prospects.

We will require substantial additional financing to achieve our goals, and a failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed on acceptable terms, or at all, could force us to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development, other operations or commercialization efforts.*

Since our inception, most of our resources have been dedicated to the research and preclinical and clinical development of our botulinum toxin product candidates, RT002 injectable and RT001 topical. In particular, our clinical programs for RT002 injectable and RT001 topical will require substantial additional funds to complete. We have recorded net losses of \$26.9 million and \$54.0 million, and \$24.6 million and \$44.5 million, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, had an accumulated deficit through June 30, 2017 of \$475.6 million and had a working capital surplus of \$152.4 million as of June 30, 2017, primarily as a result of our IPO, June 2014 and November 2015 follow-on public offerings, and at-the-market, or ATM, offerings in 2015 and 2017. We have funded our operations primarily through the sale and issuance of convertible preferred stock, common stock, notes payable and convertible notes. As of June 30, 2017, we had capital resources consisting of cash, cash equivalents, and investments of \$165.5 million. We raised aggregate net proceeds of \$98.6 million in our IPO in February 2014, aggregate net proceeds of \$131.3 million and \$126.2 million in our follow-on public offerings in June 2014 and November 2015, respectively. In addition, we raised net proceeds of approximately \$10.0 million by selling an aggregate of 352,544 shares of our common stock under the 2015 ATM agreement, which was effectively terminated on March 7, 2016. On March 7, 2016, we entered into the 2016 ATM Agreement with Cowen, under which we may offer and sell shares of our common stock having aggregate gross proceeds of up to \$75 million through Cowen as our sales agent. In 2017, we sold 1,802,651 shares of our common stock under the 2016 ATM Agreement at a weighted average price of \$22.17 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$38.2 million, after commissions and other offering expenses. We believe that we will continue to expend substantial resources for the foreseeable future for the clinical development of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and development of any other indications and product candidates that we may choose to pursue. These expenditures will include costs associated with research and development, conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials, and manufacturing and supply as well as marketing and selling any products approved for sale. In addition, other unanticipated costs may arise. Because the outcome of any clinical trial is highly uncertain, we cannot reasonably estimate the actual amounts necessary to successfully complete the development and commercialization of RT002 injectable and any future product candidates.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents, and investments including the net proceeds from our IPO, follow-on public offerings, and ATM offerings will allow us to fund our operations for at least 12 months following the filing of this Form 10-Q. However, our operating plan may change as a result of many factors currently unknown to us, and we may need to seek additional capital sooner than planned, through public or private equity or debt financings or other sources, such as strategic collaborations. Such financings may result in dilution to stockholders, imposition of debt covenants and repayment obligations or other restrictions that may affect our business. In addition, we may seek additional capital due to favorable market conditions or strategic considerations even if we believe that we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans.

Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including:

- the results of our clinical trials for RT002 injectable and preclinical trials of RT001 topical or any future product candidates;
- the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates;
- the number and characteristics of any additional product candidates we develop or acquire;
- the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing and conducting preclinical and clinical trials of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates;

Table of Contents

- the cost of commercialization activities if RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates are approved for sale, including marketing, sales and distribution costs;
- the cost of manufacturing RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates and any products we successfully commercialize and maintaining our related facilities;
- our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations, licensing or other arrangements and the terms of and timing such arrangements;
- the degree and rate of market acceptance of any future approved products;
- the emergence, approval, availability, perceived advantages, relative cost, relative safety and relative efficacy of alternative and competing products or treatments;
- any product liability or other lawsuits related to our products;
- the expenses needed to attract and retain skilled personnel;
- any litigation, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation;
- the costs associated with being a public company;
- the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation; and
- the timing, receipt and amount of sales of, or royalties on, future approved products, if any.

Additional capital may not be available when needed, on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. If adequate funds are not available to us on a timely basis, we may be required to delay, limit, reduce or terminate preclinical studies, clinical trials, research, development, manufacturing, sales, marketing or other commercial activities for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidate.

If we raise additional capital through marketing and distribution arrangements or other collaborations, strategic alliances or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish certain valuable rights to our product candidates, technologies, future revenue streams or research programs or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we raise additional capital through public or private equity offerings, the ownership interest of our existing stockholders will be diluted and the terms of any new equity securities may have a preference over our common stock. If we raise additional capital through debt financing, we may be subject to covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt or making capital expenditures or specified financial ratios, any of which could restrict our ability to commercialize our product candidates or operate as a business.

Even if our product candidates receive regulatory approval, they may fail to achieve the broad degree of physician adoption and use necessary for commercial success.

The commercial success of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and any future product candidates, if approved, will depend significantly on the broad adoption and use of the resulting product by physicians for approved indications. The degree and rate of physician adoption of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and any future product candidates, if approved, will depend on a number of factors, including:

- the effectiveness and duration of effect of our product as compared to existing therapies;
- physician willingness to adopt a new therapy to treat glabellar lines, cervical dystonia, plantar fasciitis or other aesthetic or therapeutic indications;
- patient satisfaction with the results and administration of our product and overall treatment experience;
- patient demand for the treatment of glabellar lines, cervical dystonia, plantar fasciitis or other aesthetic or therapeutic indications;
- the willingness of third-party payors to reimburse physicians or patients for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and any future products we may commercialize for therapeutic indications; and
- the revenue and profitability that our product will offer a physician as compared to alternative therapies.

If RT002 injectable or any future product candidates are approved for use but fail to achieve the broad degree of physician adoption necessary for commercial success, our operating results and financial condition will be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Our product candidates, if approved, will face significant competition and our failure to effectively compete may prevent us from achieving significant market penetration and expansion.

We expect to enter highly competitive pharmaceutical and medical device markets. Successful competitors in the pharmaceutical and medical device markets have the ability to effectively discover therapies, obtain patents, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for products, and have the ability to effectively commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical staff. Numerous companies are engaged in the developing, patenting, manufacturing and marketing healthcare products which compete with those that we are developing. Many of these potential competitors are large, experienced companies that enjoy significant competitive advantages, such as substantially greater financial, research and development, manufacturing, personnel and marketing resources, greater brand recognition and more experience and expertise in obtaining marketing approvals from the FDA and other regulatory authorities.

Upon marketing approval, the first expected use of our products will be in aesthetic medicine. The aesthetic product market, and the facial aesthetic market in particular, is highly competitive and dynamic, and is characterized by rapid and substantial technological development and product innovations. This market is also characterized by competitors obtaining patents to protect what they consider to be their intellectual property.

In aesthetic medicine, we plan to seek regulatory approval of RT002 injectable for the treatment of glabellar lines. We anticipate that RT002 injectable, if approved, will face significant competition from existing injectable botulinum toxins as well as unapproved and off-label treatments. Further, if approved, in the future we may face competition for RT002 injectable from biosimilar products and products based upon botulinum toxin. To compete successfully in the aesthetic market, we will have to demonstrate that the treatment of glabellar lines with RT002 injectable is a worthwhile aesthetic treatment and has advantages over existing therapies. Competing in the aesthetic market could result in price-cutting, reduced profit margins and limited market share, any of which would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Due to less stringent regulatory requirements, there are many more aesthetic products and procedures available for use in a number of international markets than are approved for use in the United States. There are also fewer limitations on the claims that our competitors in certain international markets can make about the effectiveness of their products and the manner in which they can market them. As a result, we face more competition in these markets than in the United States.

We currently make our RT002 injectable clinical drug product exclusively in one internal manufacturing facility. We plan to utilize internal and external facilities, including through one or more third-party contractors, in the future to support commercial production if our product candidates are approved. If these or any future facility or our equipment were damaged or destroyed, or if we experience a significant disruption in our operations for any reason, our ability to continue to operate our business would be materially harmed.*

We currently manufacture our own clinical drug product to support RT002 injectable in one internal manufacturing facility. In March 2017, we entered into a Technology Transfer, Validation and Commercial Fill/Finish Services Agreement, or the Services Agreement, with Ajinomoto Althea, Inc., or Althea, a contract development and manufacturing organization. Under the Services Agreement, Althea will provide us commercial fill/finish services and will serve as a second source of manufacturing for RT002 injectable. We plan to utilize our internal and external Althea facility to support commercial production of RT002 injectable, if approved. If these or any future facility were to be damaged, destroyed or otherwise unable to operate, whether due to earthquakes, fire, floods, hurricanes, storms, tornadoes, other natural disasters, employee malfeasance, terrorist acts, power outages or otherwise, or if performance of such manufacturing facilities is disrupted for any other reason, such an event could delay our clinical trials or, if our product candidates are approved, jeopardize our ability to manufacture our products as promptly as our customers expect or possibly at all. If we experience delays in achieving our development objectives, or if we are unable to manufacture an approved product within a timeframe that meets our customers' expectations, our business, prospects, financial results and reputation could be materially harmed.

Currently, we maintain insurance coverage totaling \$23.0 million against damage to our property, equipment and tenant improvements, \$2.0 million in general liability coverage, a \$9.0 million umbrella policy, and an additional

\$45.0 million to cover business interruption and research and development restoration expenses, subject to deductibles and other limitations. If we have underestimated our insurance needs with respect to an interruption, or if an interruption is not subject to coverage under our insurance policies, we may not be able to cover our losses.

Table of Contents

Impairment in the carrying value of long-lived assets could negatively affect our operating results.*

We constructed a fill/finish line dedicated to the manufacture of RT001 topical and to support our regulatory license applications. We discontinued clinical development of RT001 topical for the treatment of crow's feet and for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis in June 2016, following the results from our REALISE 1 Phase 3 clinical trial. During the year ended December 31, 2016 we recorded a loss on impairment of \$9.1 million related to certain components of the RT001 topical fill/finish line and other long-lived assets. There was no loss on impairment during the six months ended June 30, 2017. As of June 30, 2017, the fill/finish line and these other long-lived assets had net book values of \$5.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. Under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, long-lived assets, such as our fill/finish line, are required to be reviewed for impairment whenever adverse events or changes in circumstances indicate a possible impairment. If business conditions or other factors indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable, we may be required to record additional non-cash impairment charges. Additionally, if the carrying value of our capital equipment exceeds current fair value as determined based on the discounted future cash flows of the related product, the capital equipment would be considered impaired and would be reduced to fair value by a non-cash charge to earnings, which could negatively affect our operating results. Events and conditions that could result in impairment in the value of our long-lived assets include adverse clinical trial results, changes in operating plans, unfavorable changes in competitive landscape, adverse changes in the regulatory environment, or other factors leading to reduction in expected long-term sales or profitability. We will evaluate the recoverability and fair value of our long-lived assets, including those related to other components of the fill/finish line, each reporting period to determine the extent to which further non-cash charges to earnings are appropriate. Additional impairment in the value of our long-lived assets may materially and negatively impact our operating results.

We have a limited operating history and have incurred significant losses since our inception and we anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future. Currently, we have only one product candidate in clinical trials and no commercial sales, which, together with our limited operating history, make it difficult to assess our future viability.*

We are a clinical-stage biotechnology company with a limited operating history. Biotechnology product development is a highly speculative undertaking and involves a substantial degree of risk. We are not profitable and have incurred losses in each year since we commenced operations in 2002. We have only a limited operating history upon which you can evaluate our business and prospects. In addition, we have limited experience and have not yet demonstrated an ability to successfully overcome many of the risks and uncertainties frequently encountered by companies in new and rapidly evolving fields, particularly in the biotechnology industry. To date, we have not obtained any regulatory approvals for any of our product candidates or generated any revenue from product sales relating to RT002 injectable or RT001 topical. We continue to incur significant research and development and other expenses related to our ongoing clinical trials and operations. We have recorded net losses of \$26.9 million and \$54.0 million, and \$24.6 million and \$44.5 million, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, had an accumulated deficit through June 30, 2017 of \$475.6 million and had a working capital surplus of \$152.4 million as of June 30, 2017, primarily as a result of our February 2014 IPO, June 2014 and November 2015 follow-on public offerings, and 2015 ATM offering and 2016 ATM offering. The net proceeds from the sale of the shares in our IPO, June 2014 and November 2015 follow-on public offerings, and ATM offerings in 2015 and 2017, after deducting the underwriters' discount, commissions, and other offering expenses related to the IPO and follow-on offerings, were approximately \$98.6 million, \$131.3 million, \$126.2 million, \$10.0 million, and \$38.2 million, respectively. Our capital requirements to implement our business strategy are substantial, including our capital requirements to develop and commercialize RT002 injectable. We believe that our currently available capital is sufficient to fund our operations through at least the next 12 months following the filing of this Form 10-Q.

We expect to continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future, and we anticipate that these losses will increase as we continue our development of, seek regulatory approval for and begin to commercialize RT002 injectable. Our ability to achieve revenue and profitability is dependent on our ability to complete the development of our product candidates, obtain necessary regulatory approvals and manufacture, market and commercialize our products successfully. Even if we achieve profitability in the future, we may not be able to sustain profitability in subsequent

periods. Our prior losses, combined with expected future losses, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to raise capital and continue operations.

Even if RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates obtain regulatory approval, they may never achieve market acceptance or commercial success.

Even if we obtain FDA or other regulatory approvals, RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates may not achieve market acceptance among physicians and patients, and may not be commercially successful.

Table of Contents

The degree and rate of market acceptance of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates for which we receive approval depends on a number of factors, including:

- the safety and efficacy of the product as demonstrated in clinical trials;
- the clinical indications for which the product is approved;
- acceptance by physicians, major operators of clinics and patients of the product as a safe and effective treatment;
- the proper training and administration of our products by physicians and medical staff;
- the potential and perceived advantages of our products over alternative treatments;
- the cost of treatment in relation to alternative treatments and willingness to pay for our products, if approved, on the part of payors and patients;
- the willingness of patients to pay for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and other aesthetic treatments in general, relative to other discretionary items, especially during economically challenging times;
- the willingness of third-party payors to reimburse physicians or patients for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and any future products we may commercialize for therapeutic indications;
- the relative convenience and ease of administration;
- the prevalence and severity of adverse events; and
- the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts.

Any failure by our product candidates that obtain regulatory approval to achieve market acceptance or commercial success would materially adversely affect our results of operations and delay, prevent or limit our ability to generate revenue and continue our business.

Clinical drug development involves a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome, and results of earlier studies and trials may not be predictive of future trial results.

Clinical testing is expensive and can take many years to complete, and its outcome is inherently uncertain.

Furthermore, we rely on contract research organizations, or CROs, and clinical trial sites to ensure the proper and timely conduct of our clinical trials. While we have agreements governing the committed activities of our CROs, we have limited influence over their actual performance. A failure of one or more of our clinical trials can occur at any time during the clinical trial process. The results of preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials. Furthermore, final results may differ from interim results. For example, any positive results generated to date in clinical trials for RT002 injectable do not ensure that later clinical trials, including any RT002 injectable clinical trials for the treatment of glabellar lines, will demonstrate similar results. Product candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety profile and efficacy despite having progressed through preclinical studies and initial clinical trials.

A number of companies in the biotechnology industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials due to a lack of efficacy or adverse safety profiles, notwithstanding promising results in earlier clinical trials. We have suffered similar setbacks with the clinical development of RT001 topical and we cannot be certain that we will not face other similar setbacks in the future for RT002 injectable or other clinical development programs. Even if our clinical trials are completed, the results may not be sufficient to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates. We have in the past and may in the future experience delays in our ongoing clinical trials, and we do not know whether future clinical trials, if any, will begin on time, need to be redesigned, enroll an adequate number of subjects on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. Clinical trials can be delayed or aborted for a variety of reasons, including delay or failure to:

- obtain regulatory approval to commence a trial;
- reach agreement on acceptable terms with prospective CROs and clinical trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;
- obtain institutional review board, or IRB, approval at each site;
- recruit suitable subjects to participate in a trial;
- have subjects complete a trial or return for post-treatment follow-up;
- ensure clinical sites observe trial protocol or continue to participate in a trial;
- address any patient safety concerns that arise during the course of a trial;

- address any conflicts with new or existing laws or regulations;
- add a sufficient number of clinical trial sites; or
- manufacture sufficient quantities of product candidate for use in clinical trials.

Table of Contents

Subject enrollment is a significant factor in the timing of clinical trials and is affected by many factors, including the size and nature of the patient population, the proximity of patients to clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for the trial, the design of the clinical trial, competing clinical trials and clinicians' and patients' perceptions as to the potential advantages of the drug being studied in relation to other available therapies, including any new drugs or treatments that may be approved for the indications we are investigating.

We could also encounter delays if a clinical trial is suspended or terminated by us, by the IRBs of the institutions in which such trials are being conducted, by the data safety monitoring board, for such trial or by the FDA or other regulatory authorities. Such authorities may suspend or terminate a clinical trial due to a number of factors, including failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols, failure of inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial site by the FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold, discovery of unforeseen safety issues or adverse side effects, failure to demonstrate a benefit from using a drug, changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions or lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial.

If we experience delays in the completion or termination of any clinical trial of our product candidates, the commercial prospects of our product candidates may be harmed, and our ability to generate product revenues from any of these product candidates will be delayed. In addition, any delays in completing our clinical trials will increase our costs, slow down our product candidate development and approval process and jeopardize our ability to commence product sales and generate revenues. Any of these occurrences may significantly harm our business, financial condition and prospects. In addition, many of the factors that cause or lead to a delay in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of our product candidates. We have no experience manufacturing our product candidates at full commercial scale. If our product candidates are approved, we will face certain risks associated with scaling up our manufacturing capabilities to support commercial production.*

We have developed an integrated manufacturing, research and development facility located at our corporate headquarters. We manufacture drug substance and finished dose forms of the drug product at this facility that we use for research and development purposes and clinical trials. We do not have experience in manufacturing our product candidates at commercial scale. If our product candidates are approved, we may need to expand our manufacturing facilities, add manufacturing personnel and ensure that validated processes are consistently implemented in our facilities and potentially enter into relationships with third-party manufacturers. The upgrade and expansion of our facilities will require additional regulatory approvals. In addition, it will be costly and time-consuming to expand our facilities and recruit necessary additional personnel. If we are unable to expand our manufacturing facilities in compliance with regulatory requirements or to hire additional necessary manufacturing personnel, we may encounter delays or additional costs in achieving our research, development and commercialization objectives, including obtaining regulatory approvals of our product candidates, which could materially damage our business and financial position.

We currently contract with third-party manufacturers for certain components and services necessary to produce RT002 injectable and expect to continue to do so to support further clinical trials and commercial scale production if RT002 injectable is approved. This increases the risk that we will not have sufficient quantities of RT002 injectable or be able to obtain such quantities at an acceptable cost, which could delay, prevent or impair our development or commercialization efforts.*

We currently rely on third-party manufacturers for certain components such as bulk peptide and services such as fill/finish services, necessary to produce RT002 injectable for our clinical trials, and we expect to continue to rely on these or other manufacturers to support our commercial requirements if RT002 injectable is approved. In particular, in March 2017, we entered into the Services Agreement with Althea, a contract development and manufacturing organization to provide us commercial fill/finish services and a second source of manufacturing for RT002 injectable. We plan to utilize our internal and external Althea facility to support commercial production of RT002 injectable, if approved. Some of our contracts with our manufacturers contain minimum order and pricing provisions and provide for early termination based on regulatory approval milestones.

Table of Contents

Reliance on third-party manufacturers entails additional risks, including the reliance on the third party for regulatory compliance and quality assurance, the possible breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third party, and the possible termination or nonrenewal of the agreement by the third party at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us. In addition, third-party manufacturers may not be able to comply with cGMP or Quality System Regulation, or QSR, or similar regulatory requirements outside the United States. Our failure or the failure of our third-party manufacturers to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of RT002 injectable, or any other product candidates or products that we may develop. Any failure or refusal to supply the components or services for RT002 injectable or any other product candidates or products that we may develop could delay, prevent or impair our clinical development or commercialization efforts.

We depend on single-source suppliers for the raw materials necessary to produce our product candidates. The loss of these suppliers, or their failure to supply us with these raw materials, would materially and adversely affect our business.

We and our manufacturers purchase the materials necessary to produce RT002 injectable for our clinical trials from single-source third-party suppliers. There are a limited number of suppliers for the raw materials that we use to manufacture our product candidates, and we may need to assess alternate suppliers to prevent a possible disruption of the manufacture of the materials necessary to produce our product candidates for our clinical trials and, if approved, ultimately for commercial sale. In particular, we outsource the manufacture of bulk peptide through American Peptide Company, Inc., or American Peptide, which was recently acquired by Bachem. We do not have any control over the process or timing of the acquisition of raw materials by our manufacturers. Although we generally do not begin a clinical trial unless we believe that we have a sufficient supply of a product candidate to complete the clinical trial, any significant delay in the supply of RT002 injectable or any future product candidates, or the raw material components thereof, for an ongoing clinical trial due to the need to replace a third-party supplier could considerably delay completion of our clinical trials, product testing and potential regulatory approval of RT002 injectable or any future product candidates. If we or our manufacturers are unable to purchase these raw materials on acceptable terms and at sufficient quality levels or in adequate quantities if at all, the development of RT002 injectable and any future product candidates, or the commercial launch of any approved products, would be delayed or there would be a shortage in supply, which would impair our ability to meet our development objectives for our product candidates or generate revenues from the sale of any approved products.

Furthermore, if there is a disruption to our or our third-party suppliers' relevant operations, we will have no other means of producing RT002 injectable or any future product candidates until they restore the affected facilities or we or they procure alternative facilities. Additionally, any damage to or destruction of our or our third party or suppliers' facilities or equipment may significantly impair our ability to manufacture our product candidates on a timely basis. We or the third parties upon whom we depend may be adversely affected by earthquakes or other natural disasters and our business continuity and disaster recovery plans may not adequately protect us from a serious disaster.* Our corporate headquarters and other facilities, including our internal manufacturing facility, are located in the San Francisco Bay Area, which has experienced severe earthquakes. We do not carry earthquake insurance. Earthquakes or other natural disasters could severely disrupt our operations, and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

If a natural disaster, power outage or other event occurred that prevented us from using all or a significant portion of our headquarters, that damaged critical infrastructure, such as our manufacturing facility, enterprise financial systems or manufacturing resource planning and enterprise quality systems, or that otherwise disrupted operations, it may be difficult or, in certain cases, impossible for us to continue our business for a substantial period of time. In particular, because we manufacture botulinum toxin in our facilities, we would be required to obtain further clearance and approval by state, federal or other applicable authorities to continue or resume manufacturing activities. The disaster recovery and business continuity plans we have in place currently are limited and may not be adequate in the event of

a serious disaster or similar event. We may incur substantial expenses as a result of the limited nature of our disaster recovery and business continuity plans, which, particularly when taken together with our lack of earthquake insurance, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Furthermore, integral parties in our supply chain are geographically concentrated and operating from single sites, thereby increasing their vulnerability to natural disasters or other sudden, unforeseen and severe adverse events. If such an event were to affect our supply chain, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

We currently rely on third parties and consultants to conduct all our preclinical studies and clinical trials. If these third parties or consultants do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize RT002 injectable or any future product candidates. *

We do not have the ability to independently conduct preclinical studies or clinical trials. We rely on medical institutions, clinical investigators, contract laboratories, collaborative partners and other third parties, such as CROs and clinical data management organizations, to conduct clinical trials on our product candidates. The third parties with whom we contract for execution of our clinical trials play a significant role in the conduct of these trials and the subsequent collection and analysis of data. However, these third parties are not our employees, and except for contractual duties and obligations, we have limited ability to control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our programs. Although we rely on these third parties to conduct our preclinical studies and clinical trials, we remain responsible for ensuring that each of our preclinical studies and clinical trials is conducted in accordance with its investigational plan and protocol. Moreover, the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities require us to comply with regulations and standards, commonly referred to as good clinical practices, or GCPs and good laboratory practices or GLPs, for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting the results of clinical and preclinical trials to ensure that the data and results are scientifically credible and accurate, and that the trial subjects are adequately informed of the potential risks of participating in clinical trials. We also rely on consultants to assist in the execution, including data collection and analysis, of our clinical trials.

In addition, the execution of preclinical studies and clinical trials, and the subsequent compilation and analysis of the data produced, requires coordination among various parties. In order for these functions to be carried out effectively and efficiently, it is imperative that these parties communicate and coordinate with one another. Moreover, these third parties may also have relationships with other commercial entities, some of which may compete with us. These third parties may terminate their agreements with us upon as little as 30 days' prior written notice of a material breach by us that is not cured within 30 days. Many of these agreements may also be terminated by such third parties under certain other circumstances, including our insolvency or our failure to comply with applicable laws. In general, these agreements require such third parties to reasonably cooperate with us at our expense for an orderly winding down of services of such third parties under the agreements. If the third parties or consultants conducting our clinical trials do not perform their contractual duties or obligations, experience work stoppages, do not meet expected deadlines, terminate their agreements with us or need to be replaced, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical trial protocols or GCP, or for any other reason, we may need to conduct additional clinical trials or enter into new arrangements, which could be difficult, costly or impossible, and our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated or may need to be repeated. We may be unable to recover unused funds from these third-parties. If any of the foregoing were to occur, we may not be able to obtain, or may be delayed in obtaining, regulatory approval for, and will not be able to, or may be delayed in our efforts to, successfully commercialize the product candidate being tested in such trials.

If RT002 injectable is approved for marketing, and we are found to have improperly promoted off-label uses, or if physicians misuse our products or use our products off-label, we may become subject to prohibitions on the sale or marketing of our products, significant fines, penalties, and sanctions, product liability claims, and our image and reputation within the industry and marketplace could be harmed.

The FDA and other regulatory agencies strictly regulate the marketing and promotional claims that are made about drug products, such as RT002 injectable, if approved. In particular, a product may not be promoted for uses or indications that are not approved by the FDA or such other regulatory agencies as reflected in the product's approved labeling. If we are found to have promoted such off-label uses, we may receive warning letters and become subject to significant liability, which would materially harm our business. The federal government has levied large civil and criminal fines against companies for alleged improper promotion and has enjoined several companies from engaging in off-label promotion. If we become the target of such an investigation or prosecution based on our marketing and promotional practices, we could face similar sanctions, which would materially harm our business. In addition, management's attention could be diverted from our business operations, significant legal expenses could be incurred, and our reputation could be damaged. The FDA has also requested that companies enter into consent decrees or permanent injunctions under which specified promotional conduct is changed or curtailed. If we are deemed by the

FDA to have engaged in the promotion of our products for off-label use, we could be subject to FDA prohibitions on the sale or marketing of our products or significant fines and penalties, and the imposition of these sanctions could also affect our reputation and position within the industry.

Table of Contents

Physicians may also misuse our products or use improper techniques, potentially leading to adverse results, side effects or injury, which may lead to product liability claims. If our products are misused or used with improper technique, we may become subject to costly litigation by our customers or their patients. Product liability claims could divert management's attention from our core business, be expensive to defend, and result in sizable damage awards against us that may not be covered by insurance. Furthermore, the use of our products for indications other than those cleared by the FDA may not effectively treat such conditions, which could harm our reputation in the marketplace among physicians and patients.

Any of these events could harm our business and results of operations and cause our stock price to decline.

Even if RT002 injectable or any future product candidate is approved for commercialization, if there is not sufficient patient demand for such procedures, our financial results and future prospects will be harmed.

Treatment of glabellar lines with RT002 injectable is an elective procedure, the cost of which must be borne by the patient, and we do not expect it to be reimbursable through government or private health insurance. The decision by a patient to elect to undergo the treatment of glabellar lines with RT002 injectable or the treatment of other aesthetic indications we may pursue may be influenced by a number of factors, including:

- the success of any sales and marketing programs that we, or any third parties we engage, undertake, and as to which we have limited experience;

- the extent to which physicians recommend RT002 injectable to their patients;

- the extent to which RT002 injectable satisfies patient expectations;

- our ability to properly train physicians in the use of RT002 injectable or such that their patients do not experience excessive discomfort during treatment or adverse side effects;

- the cost, safety and effectiveness of RT002 injectable versus other aesthetic treatments;

- consumer sentiment about the benefits and risks of aesthetic procedures generally and RT002 injectable in particular;

- the success of any direct-to-consumer marketing efforts we may initiate; and

- general consumer confidence, which may be impacted by general economic and political conditions.

Our business, financial results and future prospects will be materially harmed if we cannot generate sufficient demand for RT002 injectable or for any other future product candidate, once approved.

We are subject to uncertainty relating to third-party reimbursement policies which, if not favorable for RT002 injectable or any future product candidates, could hinder or prevent their commercial success.

Our ability to commercialize RT002 injectable or any future product candidates for therapeutic indications such as cervical dystonia or plantar fasciitis will depend in part on the coverage and reimbursement levels set by governmental authorities, private health insurers and other third-party payors. As a threshold for coverage and reimbursement, third-party payors generally require that drug products have been approved for marketing by the FDA. Third-party payors also are increasingly challenging the effectiveness of and prices charged for medical products and services. We may not obtain adequate third-party coverage or reimbursement for RT002 injectable or any future product candidates, or we may be required to sell them at a discount.

We expect that private insurers will consider the efficacy, cost effectiveness and safety of RT002 injectable in determining whether to approve reimbursement for RT002 injectable and at what level. Obtaining these approvals can be a time-consuming and expensive process. Our business would be materially adversely affected if we do not receive approval for reimbursement of RT002 injectable from private insurers on a timely or satisfactory basis. Our business could also be adversely affected if private insurers, including managed care organizations, the Medicare program or other reimbursing bodies or payors limit the indications for which RT002 injectable will be reimbursed to a smaller patient set than we believe they are effective in treating.

In some foreign countries, particularly Canada and European countries, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to strict governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take six to 12 months or longer after the receipt of regulatory approval and product launch. To obtain favorable reimbursement for the indications sought or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost-effectiveness of our products, including RT002 injectable, to other available therapies. If reimbursement for our product is unavailable in any country in which reimbursement is sought, limited in

scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, our business could be materially harmed.

Table of Contents

We currently have limited marketing capabilities and no sales organization. If we are unable to establish sales and marketing capabilities on our own or through third parties, we will be unable to successfully commercialize RT002 injectable or any other future product candidates, if approved, or generate product revenue.

We currently have limited marketing capabilities and no sales organization. To commercialize RT002 injectable or any other future product candidates, if approved, in the United States, Europe and other jurisdictions we seek to enter, we must build our marketing, sales, distribution, managerial and other non-technical capabilities or make arrangements with third parties to perform these services, and we may not be successful in doing so. If RT002 injectable receives regulatory approval, we expect to market RT002 injectable as applicable, through our own sales force in North America, and in Europe and other countries through either our own sales force or a combination of our internal sales force and distributors or partners, which may be expensive and time consuming. We have no prior experience in the marketing, sale and distribution of pharmaceutical products and there are significant risks involved in building and managing a sales organization, including our ability to hire, retain and incentivize qualified individuals, generate sufficient sales leads, provide adequate training to sales and marketing personnel and effectively manage a geographically dispersed sales and marketing team. Any failure or delay in the development of our internal sales, marketing and distribution capabilities would adversely impact the commercialization of these products. We may choose to collaborate with third parties that have direct sales forces and established distribution systems, either to augment our own sales force and distribution systems or in lieu of our own sales force and distribution systems. If we are unable to enter into such arrangements on acceptable terms or at all, we may not be able to successfully commercialize RT002 injectable or any future product candidates. If we are not successful in commercializing RT002 injectable or any future product candidates, either on our own or through collaborations with one or more third parties, our future product revenue will suffer and we would incur significant additional losses.

To establish our sales and marketing infrastructure and expand our manufacturing capabilities, we will need to increase the size of our organization and we may experience difficulties in managing this growth.*

As of June 30, 2017, we had 126 full-time employees. We will need to continue to expand our managerial, operational, and other resources to manage our operations and clinical trials, continue our development activities and commercialize RT002 injectable or any other product candidates, if approved. Our management, personnel, systems and facilities currently in place may not be adequate to support this future growth. Our need to effectively execute our growth strategy requires that we:

- manage our clinical trials and manufacturing operations effectively;
- identify, recruit, retain, incentivize and integrate additional employees;
- manage our internal development efforts effectively while carrying out our contractual obligations to third parties; and
- continue to improve our operational, financial and management controls, reporting systems and procedures.

Due to our limited financial resources and our limited experience in managing a company with such anticipated growth, we may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations or recruit and train additional qualified personnel. The physical expansion of our operations may lead to significant costs and may divert our management and business development resources. Any inability to manage growth could delay the execution of our development and strategic objectives, or disrupt our operations.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of any future products we develop.

We face an inherent risk of product liability lawsuits as a result of the clinical testing of our product candidates and we will face an even greater risk if we commercialize any products. For example, we may be sued if any product we develop allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability and a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our products. Even a successful defense would require significant financial and management resources. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

Table of Contents

decreased demand for RT002 injectable or any future product candidates or products we develop;
 injury to our reputation and significant negative media attention;
 withdrawal of clinical trial participants or cancellation of clinical trials;
 costs to defend the related litigation;
 a diversion of management's time and our resources;
 substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;
 regulatory investigations, product recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions;
 loss of revenue; and
 the inability to commercialize any products we develop.

Our inability to obtain and maintain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost and scope of coverage to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of RT002 injectable or any future products we develop. We currently carry product liability insurance covering our clinical trials in the amount of \$10.0 million in the aggregate. Although we maintain such insurance, any claim that may be brought against us could result in a court judgment or settlement in an amount that is not covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance or that is in excess of the limits of our insurance coverage. Our insurance policies also have various exclusions and deductibles, and we may be subject to a product liability claim for which we have no coverage. We will have to pay any amounts awarded by a court or negotiated in a settlement that exceed our coverage limitations or that are not covered by our insurance, and we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient capital to pay such amounts. Moreover, in the future, we may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect us against losses. If and when we obtain approval for marketing RT002 injectable we intend to expand our insurance coverage to include the sale of RT002 injectable as applicable; however, we may be unable to obtain this liability insurance on commercially reasonable terms.

We have been, and in the future may be, subject to securities class action and shareholder derivative actions. These, and potential similar or related litigation, could result in substantial damages and may divert management's time and attention from our business.*

We have been, and may in the future be, the target of securities class actions or shareholder derivative claims. On May 1, 2015, a securities class action complaint was filed on behalf of City of Warren Police and Fire Retirement System against us and certain of our directors and executive officers at the time of our follow-on public offering, and the investment banking firms that acted as the underwriters in our follow-on public offering. The Court granted final approval of the Settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, on July 28, 2017. While the litigation has ended, we may be subject to future securities class action and shareholder derivation actions, which may adversely impact our business, results of operations, financial position or cash flows and divert management's time and attention from the business.

If we fail to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may be unable to successfully develop RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, conduct our clinical trials and commercialize RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future products we develop. *

Our success depends in part on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified management, clinical and scientific personnel. We believe that our future success is highly dependent upon the contributions of our senior management, particularly L. Daniel Browne, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Abhay Joshi, Ph.D., our Chief Operating Officer, Lauren P. Silvernail, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Business Officer, and Todd Zavodnick, our Chief Commercial Officer and President, Aesthetics and Therapeutics, as well as our senior scientists and other members of our senior management team. The loss of services of any of these individuals could delay or prevent the successful development of our product pipeline, the completion of our planned clinical trials or the commercialization of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future products we develop.

Leadership transitions can be inherently difficult to manage. Resignations of executive officers may cause disruption in our business, strategic and employee relationships, which may significantly delay or prevent the achievement of our business objectives. Leadership changes may also increase the likelihood of turnover in other key officers and

employees and may cause declines in the productivity of existing employees. The search for a replacement officer may take many months or more, further exacerbating these factors. Identifying and hiring an experienced and qualified executive officer are typically difficult. Periods of transition in senior management leadership are often difficult as the new executives gain detailed knowledge of our operations and may result in cultural differences and friction due to changes in strategy and style. During the transition periods, there may be uncertainty among investors, employees, creditors and others concerning our future direction and performance.

Table of Contents

Although we have not historically experienced unique difficulties attracting and retaining qualified employees, we could experience such problems in the future. For example, competition for qualified personnel in the biotechnology and pharmaceuticals field is intense and the turnover rate can be high due to the limited number of individuals who possess the skills and experience required by our industry. We will need to hire additional personnel as we expand our clinical development and commercial activities. We may not be able to attract and retain quality personnel on acceptable terms, or at all. In addition, to the extent we hire personnel from competitors, we may be subject to allegations that they have been improperly solicited or that they have divulged proprietary or other confidential information, or that their former employers own their previous research output.

If we are not successful in discovering, developing, acquiring and commercializing additional product candidates, our ability to expand our business and achieve our strategic objectives would be impaired.

Although a substantial amount of our effort will focus on the continued clinical testing and potential approval of RT002 injectable, a key element of our strategy is to discover, develop and commercialize a portfolio of botulinum toxin products to serve both the aesthetic and therapeutic markets. We are seeking to do so through our internal research programs and may explore strategic collaborations for the development or acquisition of new products. While RT002 injectable is in the clinical development stage, RT001 topical and all of our other potential product candidates remain in the discovery or preclinical stage. Research programs to identify product candidates require substantial technical, financial and human resources, whether or not any product candidates are ultimately identified. Our research programs may initially show promise in identifying potential product candidates, yet fail to yield product candidates for clinical development for many reasons, including the following:

- the research methodology used may not be successful in identifying potential product candidates;
- competitors may develop alternatives that render our product candidates obsolete or less attractive;
- product candidates we develop may nevertheless be covered by third parties' patents or other exclusive rights;
- a product candidate may on further study be shown to have harmful side effects or other characteristics that indicate it is unlikely to be effective or otherwise does not meet applicable regulatory criteria;
- a product candidate may not be capable of being produced in commercial quantities at an acceptable cost, or at all;
- a product candidate may not be accepted as safe and effective by patients, the medical community or third-party payors, if applicable; and
- intellectual property rights of third parties may potentially block our entry into certain markets or make such entry economically impracticable.

If we fail to develop and successfully commercialize other product candidates, our business and future prospects may be harmed and our business will be more vulnerable to problems that we encounter in developing and commercializing RT002 injectable.

The requirements of being a public company may strain our resources, divert management's attention and affect our ability to attract and retain qualified members of our board of directors.

We are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, the Dodd-Frank Act, the NASDAQ listing rules and other applicable securities rules and regulations. Compliance with these rules and regulations has increased and will continue to increase our legal and financial compliance costs, make some activities more difficult, time-consuming or costly, and increase demand on our systems and resources. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. In order to maintain and, if required, improve our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting to meet this standard, significant resources and management oversight may be required. As a result, management's attention may be diverted from other business concerns, which could harm our business and operating results. Although we have hired additional employees to comply with these requirements, we may need to hire more employees in the future, which will increase our costs and expenses.

Table of Contents

In addition, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure are creating uncertainty for public companies, increasing legal and financial compliance costs and making some activities more time-consuming. These laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. We intend to invest resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management's time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and our business may be harmed.

As a public company that is subject to these rules and regulations we may find it is more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain coverage. These factors could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of our board of directors and qualified executive officers.

Our business involves the use of hazardous materials and we and our third-party manufacturers and suppliers must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive and restrict how we do business.

Our research and development and manufacturing activities and our third-party manufacturers' and suppliers' activities involve the controlled storage, use and disposal of hazardous materials owned by us, including botulinum toxin type A, a key component of our product candidates, and other hazardous compounds. We and our manufacturers and suppliers are subject to laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these hazardous materials. We are licensed with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or CDC and with the California Department of Health, Food and Drug Branch for use of botulinum toxin and to manufacture both the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, and the finished product in topical and injectable dose forms. In some cases, these hazardous materials and various wastes resulting from their use are stored at our and our manufacturers' facilities pending their use and disposal. We cannot eliminate the risk of contamination, which could cause an interruption of our commercialization efforts, research and development efforts and business operations, environmental damage resulting in costly clean-up and liabilities under applicable laws and regulations governing the use, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and specified waste products. Although we believe that the safety procedures utilized by us and our third-party manufacturers for handling and disposing of these materials generally comply with the standards prescribed by these laws and regulations, we cannot guarantee that this is the case or eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. In such an event, we may be held liable for any resulting damages and such liability could exceed our resources and state or federal or other applicable authorities may curtail our use of certain materials and interrupt our business operations. Furthermore, environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent. We cannot predict the impact of such changes and cannot be certain of our future compliance.

We may use third-party collaborators to help us develop, validate or commercialize any new products, and our ability to commercialize such products could be impaired or delayed if these collaborations are unsuccessful.

We may license or selectively pursue strategic collaborations for the development, validation and commercialization of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and any future product candidates. In any third-party collaboration, we would be dependent upon the success of the collaborators to perform their responsibilities with continued cooperation. Our collaborators may not cooperate with us or perform their obligations under our agreements with them. We cannot control the amount and timing of our collaborators' resources that will be devoted to performing their responsibilities under our agreements with them. Our collaborators may choose to pursue alternative technologies in preference to those being developed in collaboration with us. The development, validation and commercialization of our product candidates will be delayed if collaborators fail to conduct their responsibilities in a timely manner or in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements or if they breach or terminate their collaboration agreements with us. Disputes with our collaborators could also impair our reputation or result in development delays, decreased revenues and litigation expenses.

Table of Contents

Unfavorable global economic conditions could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our results of operations could be adversely affected by general conditions in the global economy and in the global financial markets. Furthermore, the market for aesthetic medical procedures may be particularly vulnerable to unfavorable economic conditions. We do not expect sales of RT002 injectable for the treatment of glabellar lines to be reimbursed by any government or third-party payor and, as a result, demand for the first indications of each of our product candidates will be tied to discretionary spending levels of our targeted patient population. Future global financial crises may cause extreme volatility and disruptions in capital and credit markets. A severe or prolonged economic downturn could result in a variety of risks to our business, including weakened demand for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, if approved, and our ability to raise additional capital when needed on acceptable terms, if at all. A weak or declining economy could also strain our suppliers, possibly resulting in supply disruption, or cause our customers to delay making payments for our services. Any of the foregoing could harm our business and we cannot anticipate all of the ways in which the current or future economic climate and financial market conditions could adversely impact our business.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If our efforts to protect our intellectual property related to RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates are not adequate, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market. *

We rely upon a combination of patents, trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect the intellectual property related to RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, and our development programs. Any disclosure to or misappropriation by third parties of our confidential proprietary information could enable competitors to quickly duplicate or surpass our technological achievements, thereby eroding our competitive position in our market.

The strength of patents in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical field involves complex legal and scientific questions and can be uncertain. This uncertainty includes changes to the patent laws through either legislative action to change statutory patent law or court action that may reinterpret existing law in ways affecting the scope or validity of issued patents. The patent applications that we own or license may fail to result in issued patents in the United States or foreign countries. Competitors in the field of cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, and botulinum toxin have created a substantial amount of prior art, including scientific publications, patents and patent applications. Our ability to obtain and maintain valid and enforceable patents depends on whether the differences between our technology and the prior art allow our technology to be patentable over the prior art. Even if the patents do successfully issue, third parties may challenge the validity, enforceability or scope of such issued patents or any other issued patents we own or license, which may result in such patents being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable. For example, patents granted by the European Patent Office may be opposed by any person within nine months from the publication of their grant. Furthermore, even if they are unchallenged, our patents and patent applications may not adequately protect our intellectual property or prevent others from designing around our claims. In addition, recent changes to the patent laws of the United States provide additional procedures for third parties to challenge the validity of issued patents. Patents issued from applications filed after March 15, 2013 may be challenged by third parties using the post-grant review procedure which allows challenges for a number of reasons, including prior art, sufficiency of disclosure, and subject matter eligibility.

Under the inter partes review procedure, any third party may challenge the validity of any issued U.S. Patent in the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, on the basis of prior art. Because of a lower evidentiary standard necessary to invalidate a patent claim in USPTO proceedings as compared to the evidentiary standard relied on in U.S. federal court, a third party could potentially provide evidence in a USPTO proceeding sufficient for the USPTO to hold a claim invalid even though the same evidence would be insufficient to invalidate the claim if first presented in a district court action. Accordingly, a third party may attempt to use the USPTO procedures to invalidate our patent claims that would not have been invalidated if first challenged by the third party as a defendant in a district court action. If the breadth or strength of protection provided by the patents and patent applications we hold or pursue with respect to RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates is challenged, then it could threaten our ability to commercialize RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, and could threaten our ability to prevent competitive products from being marketed. Further, if we encounter delays in our clinical trials,

the period of time during which we could market RT002 injectable, or any future product candidates under patent protection would be reduced. The results of our REALISE 1 Phase 3 clinical trial may be relevant to our patent strategy for our RT001 program.

Table of Contents

Since patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, we cannot be certain that we were the first to either (i) file any patent application related to our product candidates or (ii) invent any of the inventions claimed in our patents or patent applications. Furthermore, for applications filed before March 16, 2013, or patents issuing from such applications, an interference proceeding can be provoked by a third party, or instituted by the USPTO to determine who was the first to invent any of the subject matter covered by the patent claims of our applications and patents. As of March 16, 2013, the United States transitioned to a “first-to-file” system for deciding which party should be granted a patent when two or more patent applications are filed by different parties claiming the same invention. A third party that files a patent application in the USPTO before us could therefore be awarded a patent covering an invention of ours even if we had made the invention before it was made by the third party. The change to “first-to-file” from “first-to-invent” is one of the changes to the patent laws of the United States resulting from the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act signed into law on September 16, 2011. Among some of the other changes to the patent laws are changes that limit where a patentee may file a patent infringement suit and providing opportunities for third parties to challenge any issued patent in the USPTO.

Even where laws provide protection, costly and time-consuming litigation could be necessary to enforce and determine the scope of our proprietary rights, and the outcome of such litigation would be uncertain. Moreover, any actions we may bring to enforce our intellectual property against our competitors could provoke them to bring counterclaims against us, and some of our competitors have substantially greater intellectual property portfolios and financial resources than we have.

We also rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect proprietary know-how that may not be patentable, processes for which patents may be difficult to obtain or enforce and any other elements of our product development processes that involve proprietary know-how, information or technology that is not covered by patents.

In an effort to protect our trade secrets and other confidential information, we require our employees, consultants, collaborators and advisors to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of their relationships with us. These agreements require that all confidential information developed by the individual or made known to the individual by us during the course of the individual’s relationship with us be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties. These agreements, however, may not provide us with adequate protection against improper use or disclosure of confidential information, and these agreements may be breached. Adequate remedies may not exist in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of our confidential information. A breach of confidentiality could significantly affect our competitive position. In addition, in some situations, these agreements may conflict with, or be subject to, the rights of third parties with whom our employees, consultants, collaborators or advisors have previous employment or consulting relationships. To the extent that our employees, consultants or contractors use any intellectual property owned by others in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in any related or resulting know-how and inventions. Also, others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets and other confidential information.

If we infringe or are alleged to infringe intellectual property rights of third parties, our business could be harmed. * Our research, development and commercialization activities may infringe or otherwise violate or be claimed to infringe or otherwise violate patents owned or controlled by other parties. Competitors in the field of cosmetics, pharmaceuticals and botulinum toxin have developed large portfolios of patents and patent applications in fields relating to our business. For example, there are patents held by third parties that relate to the treatment with botulinum toxin-based products for indications we are currently developing. There may also be patent applications that have been filed but not published that, when issued as patents, could be asserted against us. These third parties could bring claims against us that would cause us to incur substantial expenses and, if successful against us, could cause us to pay substantial damages. Further, if a patent infringement suit were brought against us, we could be forced to stop or delay research, development, manufacturing or sales of the product or product candidate that is the subject of the suit.

As a result of patent infringement claims, or to avoid potential claims, we may choose or be required to seek licenses from third parties. These licenses may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Even if we are able to obtain a license, the license would likely obligate us to pay license fees or royalties or both, and the rights granted to us might be nonexclusive, which could result in our competitors gaining access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product based on our current or future indications, or be forced to

cease some aspect of our business operations, if, as a result of actual or threatened patent infringement claims, we are unable to enter into licenses on acceptable terms.

Table of Contents

There has been substantial litigation and other proceedings regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the pharmaceutical industry. In addition to infringement claims against us, we may become a party to other patent litigation and other proceedings, including interference, derivation or post-grant proceedings declared or granted by the USPTO and similar proceedings in foreign countries, regarding intellectual property rights with respect to our current or future products. The cost to us of any patent litigation or other proceeding, even if resolved in our favor, could be substantial. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial resources. Patent litigation and other proceedings may also absorb significant management time. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could impair our ability to compete in the marketplace. The occurrence of any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may become involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our patents or other intellectual property or the patents of our licensors, which could be expensive and time-consuming.

Competitors may infringe upon our intellectual property, including our patents or the patents of our licensors. As a result, we may be required to file infringement claims to stop third-party infringement or unauthorized use. This can be expensive, particularly for a company of our size, and time-consuming. In addition, in an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent of ours is not valid or is unenforceable, or may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our patent claims do not cover its technology or that the factors necessary to grant an injunction against an infringer are not satisfied.

An adverse determination of any litigation or other proceedings could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and could put our patent applications at risk of not issuing.

Interference, derivation, inter partes review, post-grant review or other proceedings brought at the USPTO may be necessary to determine the priority or patentability of inventions with respect to our patents or patent applications or those of our licensors or collaborators. Litigation or USPTO proceedings brought by us may fail or may be invoked against us by third parties. Even if we are successful, domestic or foreign litigation or USPTO or foreign patent office proceedings may result in substantial costs and distraction to our management. We may not be able, either alone or with our licensors or collaborators, to prevent misappropriation of our proprietary rights, particularly in countries where the laws may not protect such rights as fully as in the United States.

Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation or other proceedings, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation or proceedings. In addition, during the course of this kind of litigation or proceedings, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments or public access to related documents. If investors perceive these results to be negative, the market price for our common stock could be significantly harmed.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights throughout the world.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on product candidates in all countries throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive, and our intellectual property rights in some countries outside the United States can be less extensive than those in the United States. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as federal and state laws in the United States and in some cases may even force us to grant a compulsory license to competitors or other third parties. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our inventions in all countries outside the United States, or from selling or importing products made using our inventions in and into the United States or other jurisdictions. Competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we have not obtained patent protection to develop their own products and further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection, but where enforcement is not as strong as that in the United States. These products may compete with our products and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from competing.

Table of Contents

Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property protection, particularly those relating to biotechnology, which could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents or marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions could result in substantial costs and divert our efforts and attention from other aspects of our business, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent applications at risk of not issuing and could provoke third parties to assert claims against us. We may not prevail in any lawsuits that we initiate and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially meaningful. Accordingly, our efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights around the world may be inadequate to obtain a significant commercial advantage from the intellectual property that we develop or license.

In addition, our ability to protect and enforce our intellectual property rights may be adversely affected by unforeseen changes in domestic and foreign intellectual property laws.

Risks Related to Government Regulation

Our business and products are subject to extensive government regulation.

We are subject to extensive, complex, costly and evolving regulation by federal and state governmental authorities in the United States, principally by the FDA, the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, or DEA, the CDC, and foreign regulatory authorities. Failure to comply with all applicable regulatory requirements, including those promulgated under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FFDCA, the Public Health Service Act, or PHSA, and Controlled Substances Act, may subject us to operating restrictions and criminal prosecution, monetary penalties and other disciplinary actions, including, sanctions, warning letters, product seizures, recalls, fines, injunctions, suspension, revocation of approvals, or exclusion from future participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

After our products receive regulatory approval or clearance, we, and our direct and indirect suppliers, remain subject to the periodic inspection of our plants and facilities, review of production processes, and testing of our products to confirm that we are in compliance with all applicable regulations. Adverse findings during regulatory inspections may result in the implementation of Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS) programs, completion of government mandated clinical trials, and government enforcement action relating to labeling, advertising, marketing and promotion, as well as regulations governing manufacturing controls noted above.

The regulatory approval process is highly uncertain and we may not obtain regulatory approval for the commercialization of RT002 injectable or any future product candidates.

The research, testing, manufacturing, labeling, approval, selling, import, export, marketing and distribution of drug and biologic products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries, which regulations differ from country to country. Neither we nor any collaboration partner are permitted to market RT002 injectable or any future product candidates in the United States until we receive approval of a BLA from the FDA. We have not submitted an application or obtained marketing approval for RT002 injectable anywhere in the world. Obtaining regulatory approval of a BLA can be a lengthy, expensive and uncertain process. In addition, failure to comply with FDA and other applicable U.S. and foreign regulatory requirements may subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions or other actions, including:

- warning letters;
- civil and criminal penalties;
- injunctions;
- withdrawal of approved products;
- product seizure or detention;
- product recalls;
- total or partial suspension of production; and
- refusal to approve pending BLAs or supplements to approved BLAs.

Table of Contents

Prior to obtaining approval to commercialize a product candidate in the United States or abroad, we or our collaborators must demonstrate with substantial evidence from well controlled clinical trials, and to the satisfaction of the FDA or other foreign regulatory agencies, that such product candidates are safe and effective for their intended uses. Results from preclinical studies and clinical trials can be interpreted in different ways. Even if we and our collaborator believe the preclinical and clinical data for our product candidates are promising, such data may not be sufficient to support approval by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. Administering product candidates to humans may produce undesirable side effects, which could interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials and result in the FDA or other regulatory authorities denying approval of a product candidate for any or all targeted indications. Regulatory approval of a BLA or BLA supplement is not guaranteed, and the approval process is expensive and may take several years. The FDA also has substantial discretion in the approval process. Despite the time and expense expended, failure can occur at any stage, and we could encounter problems that cause us to abandon or repeat clinical trials, or perform additional preclinical studies and clinical trials. The number of preclinical studies and clinical trials that will be required for FDA approval varies depending on the product candidate, the disease or the condition that the product candidate is designed to address and the regulations applicable to any particular product candidate. The FDA can delay, limit or deny approval of a product candidate for many reasons, including the following:

- a product candidate may not be deemed safe, effective, or of required quality;
- FDA officials may not find the data from preclinical studies and clinical trials sufficient;
- the FDA might not approve our third-party manufacturers' processes or facilities; or
- the FDA may change its approval policies or adopt new regulations.

If RT002 injectable or any future product candidates fail to demonstrate safety and efficacy in clinical trials or do not gain approval, our business and results of operations will be materially and adversely harmed.

Even if we receive regulatory approval for RT002 injectable or any future product candidates, we will be subject to ongoing regulatory obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense, may limit or delay regulatory approval and may subject us to penalties if we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

Once regulatory approval has been granted, RT002 injectable or any approved product will be subject to continual regulatory review by the FDA and/or non-U.S. regulatory authorities. Additionally, any product candidates, if approved, will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements, including labeling and other restrictions and market withdrawal and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our products.

Any regulatory approvals that we or our collaborators receive for RT002 injectable or any future product candidates may also be subject to limitations on the approved indications for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing testing, including Phase 4 clinical trials, and surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the product candidate. In addition, if the applicable regulatory agency approves RT002 injectable or any future product candidates, the manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping for the product will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration, as well as continued compliance with cGMP and cGCP for any clinical trials that we conduct post-approval. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with RT002 injectable or any future product candidates, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with our third-party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in, among other things:

- restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product, withdrawal of the product from the market, or voluntary or mandatory product recalls;
- fines, warning letters or holds on clinical trials;
- refusal by the FDA to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications submitted by us or our strategic collaborators, or suspension or revocation of product license approvals;

- product seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of products;
and
injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Table of Contents

Our ongoing regulatory requirements may also change from time to time, potentially harming or making costlier our commercialization efforts. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or other countries. If we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business.

If we fail to obtain regulatory approvals in foreign jurisdictions for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates, we will be unable to market our products outside of the United States.

In addition to regulations in the United States, we will be subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing manufacturing, clinical trials, commercial sales and distribution of our future products. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product candidate, we must obtain approval of the product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before commencing clinical trials or marketing in those countries. The approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional clinical testing, or the time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. Clinical trials conducted in one country may not be accepted by regulatory authorities in other countries. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and approval by one or more foreign regulatory authorities does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA. The foreign regulatory approval process may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals or to do so on a timely basis, and even if we do file, we may not receive the necessary approvals to commercialize our products in markets outside of the United States.

If approved, RT002 injectable or any other products may cause or contribute to adverse medical events that we are required to report to regulatory agencies and if we fail to do so, we could be subject to sanctions that would materially harm our business.

Some participants in our clinical trials have reported adverse events after being treated with RT002 injectable. If we are successful in commercializing RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any other products, the FDA and foreign regulatory agency regulations require that we report certain information about adverse medical events if those products may have caused or contributed to those adverse events. The timing of our obligation to report would be triggered by the date we become aware of the adverse event as well as the nature of the event. We may fail to report adverse events we become aware of within the prescribed timeframe. We may also fail to appreciate that we have become aware of a reportable adverse event, especially if it is not reported to us as an adverse event or if it is an adverse event that is unexpected or removed in time from the use of our products. If we fail to comply with our reporting obligations, the FDA or a foreign regulatory agency could take action including criminal prosecution, the imposition of civil monetary penalties, seizure of our products, or delay in approval or clearance of future products. We may in the future be subject to various U.S. federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse, including anti-kickback, self-referral, false claims and fraud laws, and any violations by us of such laws could result in fines or other penalties.

While we do not expect that RT002 injectable, if approved for the treatment of glabellar lines, will subject us to the various U.S. federal and state laws intended to prevent healthcare fraud and abuse, we may in the future become subject to such laws for treatment of other indications. The federal anti-kickback statute prohibits the offer, receipt, or payment of remuneration in exchange for or to induce the referral of patients or the use of products or services that would be paid for in whole or part by Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. Remuneration has been broadly defined to include anything of value, including cash, improper discounts, and free or reduced price items and services. Many states have similar laws that apply to their state healthcare programs as well as private payors. Violations of the anti-kickback laws can result in exclusion from federal healthcare programs and the levying of substantial civil and criminal penalties.

The federal False Claims Act, or FCA, imposes liability on persons who, among other things, present or cause to be presented false or fraudulent claims for payment by a federal healthcare program. The FCA has been used to prosecute persons submitting claims for payment that are inaccurate or fraudulent, for services not provided as claimed, or for services that are not medically necessary. The FCA includes a whistleblower provision that allows individuals to bring

actions on behalf of the federal government and share a portion of the recovery of successful claims. If our marketing or other arrangements were determined to violate anti-kickback or related laws, including the FCA, then our revenues could be adversely affected, which would likely harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Table of Contents

State and federal authorities have aggressively targeted medical technology companies for alleged violations of these anti-fraud statutes, based on improper research or consulting contracts with doctors, certain marketing arrangements that rely on volume-based pricing, off-label marketing schemes, and other improper promotional practices. Companies targeted in such prosecutions have paid substantial fines in the hundreds of millions of dollars or more, have been forced to implement extensive corrective action plans, and have often become subject to consent decrees severely restricting the manner in which they conduct business. If we become the target of such an investigation or prosecution based on our contractual relationships with providers or institutions, or our marketing and promotional practices, we could face similar sanctions, which would materially harm our business.

Also, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar worldwide anti-bribery laws generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to non-U.S. officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. We cannot assure you that our internal control policies and procedures will protect us from reckless or negligent acts committed by our employees, future distributors, partners, collaborators or agents.

Violations of these laws, or allegations of such violations, could result in fines, penalties or prosecution and have a negative impact on our business, results of operations and reputation.

Legislative or regulatory healthcare reforms in the United States may make it more difficult and costly for us to obtain regulatory clearance or approval of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates and to produce, market, and distribute our products after clearance or approval is obtained.*

From time to time, legislation is drafted and introduced in Congress that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the regulatory clearance or approval, manufacture, and marketing of regulated products or the reimbursement thereof. In addition, FDA regulations and guidance are often revised or reinterpreted by the FDA in ways that may significantly affect our business and our products, as discussed in more detail in the risk factors in Part II, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K titled "We may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or future product candidates under applicable regulatory requirements. The denial or delay of any such approval would delay commercialization and have a material adverse effect on our potential to generate revenue, our business and our results of operations." Any new regulations or revisions or reinterpretations of existing regulations may impose additional costs or lengthen review times of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates. We cannot determine what effect changes in regulations, statutes, legal interpretation or policies, when and if promulgated, enacted or adopted may have on our business in the future. Such changes could require, among other things:

- changes to manufacturing methods;

- recall, replacement, or discontinuance of one or more of our products; and

- additional recordkeeping.

Each of these would likely entail substantial time and cost and could materially harm our business and our financial results. In addition, delays in receipt of or failure to receive regulatory clearances or approvals for any future products would harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Risks Related to the Ownership of Our Common Stock

The trading price of our common stock is volatile, and purchasers of our common stock could incur substantial losses. The trading price of our common stock is highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. The stock markets in general and the markets for pharmaceutical biopharmaceutical and biotechnology stocks in particular have experienced extreme volatility that may have been for reasons that are related or unrelated to the operating performance of the issuer. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including:

- regulatory or legal developments in the United States and foreign countries;

- results from or delays in clinical trials of our product candidates, including our ongoing SAKURA Phase 3 clinical program in glabellar lines and our continuing Phase 2 study in plantar fasciitis, all with RT002 injectable;

-

announcements of regulatory approval or disapproval of RT002 injectable, RT001 topical, or any future product candidates;

FDA or other U.S. or foreign regulatory actions or guidance affecting us or our industry;

introductions and announcements of new products by us, any commercialization partners or our competitors, and the timing of these introductions and announcements;

Table of Contents

- variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us;
- changes in the structure of healthcare payment systems;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, licenses, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors and issuance of securities analysts' reports or recommendations;
- quarterly variations in our results of operations or those of our future competitors;
- changes in financial estimates or guidance, including our ability to meet our future revenue and operating profit or loss estimates or guidance;
- sales of substantial amounts of our stock by insiders and large stockholders, or the expectation that such sales might occur;
- general economic, industry and market conditions;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- intellectual property, product liability or other litigation against us;
- expiration or termination of our potential relationships with customers and strategic partners; and
- other factors described in this "Risk Factors" section.

These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price or liquidity of our common stock. In addition, in the past, stockholders have initiated class actions against pharmaceutical companies, including us, following periods of volatility in their stock prices. Such litigation instituted against us could cause us to incur substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or publish unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

As a smaller company, it may be difficult for us to attract or retain the interest of equity research analysts. A lack of research coverage may adversely affect the liquidity and market price of our common stock. We will not have any control of the equity research analysts or the content and opinions included in their reports. The price of our stock could decline if one or more equity research analysts downgrade our stock or issue other unfavorable commentary or research. If one or more equity research analysts ceases coverage of our company, or fails to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public markets, or the perception that such sales might occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to drop significantly, even if our business is doing well.*

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could occur at any time. On March 7, 2016, we entered into an ATM agreement, or the 2016 ATM Agreement, with Cowen, under which we may offer and sell shares of our common stock having aggregate gross proceeds of up to \$75.0 million through Cowen as our sales agent. In 2017, we sold 1,802,651 shares of our common stock under the 2016 ATM Agreement at a weighted average price of \$22.17 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$38.2 million, after commissions and other offering expenses.

If our stockholders sell, or the market perceives that our stockholders intend to sell, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, the market price of our common stock could decline significantly.

On October 16, 2015, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3, registering the resale of the 8,414,711 shares held by certain selling stockholders identified therein. The shares covered thereby may be offered from time to time by the selling stockholders. Any sales of securities by these stockholders could have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Provisions in our corporate charter documents and under Delaware law could discourage takeover attempts and lead to management entrenchment, and the market price of our common stock may be lower as a result.

Certain provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may make it difficult for a third party to acquire, or attempt to acquire, control of our company, even if a change in control was considered favorable by you and other stockholders. For example, our board of directors has the authority to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Our board of directors can fix the price, rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions of the preferred stock without any further vote or action by our stockholders. The issuance of shares of preferred stock may delay or prevent a change in control transaction. As a result, the market price of our common stock and the voting and other rights of our stockholders may be adversely affected. An issuance of shares of preferred stock may result in the loss of voting control to other stockholders.

Our charter documents also contain other provisions that could have an anti-takeover effect, including:

- only one of our three classes of directors will be elected each year;
- no cumulative voting in the election of directors;
- the ability of our board of directors to issue shares of preferred stock and determine the price and other terms of those shares, including preferences and voting rights, without stockholder approval;
- the exclusive right of our board of directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy or newly created directorship;
- stockholders will not be permitted to take actions by written consent;
- stockholders cannot call a special meeting of stockholders;
- stockholders must give advance notice to nominate directors or submit proposals for consideration at stockholder meetings;
- the ability of our board of directors, by a majority vote, to amend the bylaws; and
- the requirement for the affirmative vote of at least 66 2/3% or more of the outstanding common stock to amend many of the provisions described above.

In addition, we are subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which regulates corporate acquisitions. These provisions could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control transaction. They could also have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our common stock, including transactions that may be in your best interests. These provisions may also prevent changes in our management or limit the price that certain investors are willing to pay for our stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation also provides that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the exclusive forum for substantially all disputes between us and our stockholders.

Insiders have substantial control over us, which could limit your ability to influence the outcome of key transactions, including a change of control.*

As of June 30, 2017, our directors, executive officers and each of our stockholders who own greater than 5% of our outstanding common stock and their affiliates, in the aggregate, beneficially owned approximately 76.3% of our common stock. As a result, these stockholders, if acting together, would be able to influence or control matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers, acquisitions or other extraordinary transactions. They may have interests that differ from yours and may vote in a way with which you disagree and that may be adverse to your interests. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change of control of our company, could deprive our stockholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their common stock as part of a sale of our company and might affect the market price of our common stock.

Claims for indemnification by our directors and officers may reduce our available funds to satisfy successful third-party claims against us and may reduce the amount of money available to us.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers, in each case to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law.

Table of Contents

In addition, as permitted by Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our amended and restated bylaws and our indemnification agreements that we have entered into with our directors and officers provide that:

We will indemnify our directors and officers for serving us in those capacities, or for serving other business enterprises at our request, to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. Delaware law provides that a corporation may indemnify such person if such person acted in good faith and in a manner such person reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the registrant and, with respect to any criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe such person's conduct was unlawful.

We may, in our discretion, indemnify employees and agents in those circumstances where indemnification is permitted by applicable law.

We are required to advance expenses, as incurred, to our directors and officers in connection with defending a proceeding, except that such directors or officers shall undertake to repay such advances if it is ultimately determined that such person is not entitled to indemnification.

We will not be obligated pursuant to our amended and restated bylaws to indemnify a person with respect to proceedings initiated by that person against us or our other indemnitees, except with respect to proceedings authorized by our board of directors or brought to enforce a right to indemnification.

The rights conferred in our amended and restated bylaws are not exclusive, and we are authorized to enter into indemnification agreements with our directors, officers, employees and agents and to obtain insurance to indemnify such persons.

- We may not retroactively amend our amended and restated bylaw provisions to reduce our indemnification obligations to directors, officers, employees and agents.

Because we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future, capital appreciation, if any, will be your sole source of gains.

We have not declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock to date. We currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business. In addition, the terms of any existing or future debt agreements may preclude us from paying dividends. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our common stock will be your sole source of gain for the foreseeable future.

We are an "emerging growth company," and if we decide to comply only with reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies, our common stock could be less attractive to investors.

We are an "emerging growth company," as defined in the JOBS Act and, for as long as we continue to be an "emerging growth company," we may choose to take advantage of exemptions from various reporting requirements applicable to other public companies but not to "emerging growth companies," including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. We will remain an "emerging growth company" until the earlier of (1) the last day of the fiscal year (a) following the fifth anniversary of the closing of our IPO, (b) in which we have total annual gross revenues of over \$1.07 billion or (c) in which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer, which means the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the prior June 30th, and (2) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt during the prior three-year period. We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive if we choose to rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result of any choices to reduce future disclosure, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

Under the JOBS Act, emerging growth companies that become public can delay adopting new or revised accounting standards until such time as those standards apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption from new or revised accounting standards and, therefore, we will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We have not and do not currently intend to retire or repurchase any of our shares other than providing our employees with the option to withhold shares to satisfy tax withholding amounts due from employees upon the vesting of restricted stock awards in connection with our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (i)	Weighted-Average Price Paid per Share (ii)	Total Number of Share Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Programs (in thousands)
April 1 through April 30, 2017	5,288	\$ 20.95	—	—
May 1 through May 31, 2017	—	—	—	—
June 1 through June 30, 2017	382	22.80	—	—
Total	5,670	\$ 21.08	—	—

(i) Consists solely of shares that were withheld to satisfy tax withholding amounts due from employees upon the vesting of previously issued restricted stock awards.

(ii) The weighted-average price paid per share is the weighted-average of the fair market prices at which we calculated the number of shares withheld to cover tax withholdings for the employees.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The documents listed in the Exhibit Index of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are herein incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

Date: August 4, 2017 By: /s/ L. Daniel Browne
L. Daniel Browne
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Duly Authorized Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 4, 2017 By: /s/ Lauren P. Silvernail
Lauren P. Silvernail
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Business Officer
(Duly Authorized Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference to the Company's				Filed Herewith
		Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filed On	
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation	8-K	001-36297	3.1	February 11, 2014	
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws	S-1	333-193154	3.4	December 31, 2013	
4.2	Form of Common Stock Certificate	S-1/A	333-193154	4.4	February 3, 2014	
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Exchange Act					X
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Exchange Act					X
32.1†	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
32.2†	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document					X
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document					X
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					X
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document					X
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document					X
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document					X

The certifications attached as Exhibit 32.1 and 32.2 that accompany this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, and shall not be deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Such certifications shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing of Revance Therapeutics, Inc. under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

Users of this data are advised that, pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.