COASTAL CARIBBEAN OILS & MINERALS LTD Form 424B3 June 21, 2002

### PROSPECTUS

10,867,082 Shares of Common Stock of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd.

This is an offering of 10,867,082 shares of our common stock by subscription right to our existing shareholders. For every four shares of common stock held as of June 17, 2002, each shareholder will be entitled to purchase one share at a price of \$.50 per share. In addition, each shareholder who purchases his/her full allotment of shares will be entitled to purchase additional shares which are unsubscribed by other shareholders. There is no minimum number of shares that must be sold in the offering. Shareholders will not receive any interest for any over subscription payments that are returned.

Duccessie to

The Offering

	Your Price	Commission	Proceeds to Coastal Caribbean
Per Share	\$ .50	\$ O	\$.50
Total	\$5,433,541	\$ 0	\$5,433,541

Our common stock has been quoted and traded in the over-the-counter market on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol COCBF.OB and on the Boston Stock Exchange under the symbol COCBF. On June 14, 2002, the closing sale price of our common stock as reported on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" was \$.70 per share.

There are no underwriters involved with this offering.

The shares of common stock offered hereby involve a high degree of risk. You should purchase shares only if you can afford a complete loss. See "Risk Factors" beginning at page 6 for a discussion of certain factors that you should consider before you purchase any shares of our common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is June 17, 2002.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGI
Prospectus Summary
Summary Financial Data
Risk Factors
Use of Proceeds
Capitalization
Dilution
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial
Condition And Results of Operations14
Market Risk Disclosures
Our Business and Properties
Legal Proceedings
Our Management
Certain Business Relationships
Principal Shareholders
Description of Our Common Stock
Price Range of Our Common Stock
Performance Graph
Terms of the Offering
Material Tax Consequences45
Legal Matters
Experts
Where You Can Find More Information
Index to Financial StatementsF-1

\* \* \* \* \*

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone (including any broker or salesman) to provide you with information different from that contained in this prospectus. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are offering to sell and seeking offers to buy shares of our common stock only in jurisdictions where offers and sales are permitted. You should assume that the information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of June 17, 2002. You should not assume that this prospectus is accurate as of any other date.

#### PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights material information included elsewhere in this prospectus. This prospectus contains forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainties. You should carefully read the entire prospectus, including the risk factors which begin at page 6 and the financial statements, before deciding whether to invest in our common stock.

The Company

o Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd., a

Bermuda corporation, was founded in 1953. Our principal executive office is located at Clarendon House, Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda HM CX. Our telephone number at that address is (441) 295-1422. Our internet web address is www.coastalcarib.com. The contents of our web site are not incorporated into this prospectus. In this prospectus, "Coastal Caribbean," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. and its majority owned subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum Company, unless the context otherwise dictates. References to "dollars" or "\$" are to United States dollars. Our principal asset is our 59% interest in Coastal Petroleum Company. Coastal Petroleum's principal assets are its nonproducing oil, gas and mineral leases and royalty interests in the State of Florida. To date, Coastal Petroleum has made no commercial discoveries on the lands covered by these leases. Coastal Caribbean is a Passive Foreign Investment Company for United States federal income tax purposes. See "Material Tax Consequences" page 45.

Our Operating History

0

Since the denial in 1998 of our permit application to drill an exploration well on our property offshore Florida, we have been engaged in litigation against the State of Florida, at first appealing that denial and subsequently seeking compensation for the alleged taking of Coastal Petroleum's property. Although we have been in business for many years, we are still a development stage company because our exploration for oil, gas and minerals has not yielded any significant revenues or reserves. In recent years our exploration has been extremely limited.

In 1992, Coastal Petroleum filed an application for a permit to drill an exploration well on its Lease 224-A offshore Florida. In 1998 the State of Florida denied the permit application, and Coastal Petroleum commenced litigation against the State appealing the denial. When the permit denial was upheld by a Florida appeals court in July 2000, Coastal Petroleum commenced litigation in January 2001 to obtain compensation from the State of Florida for the alleged taking of Coastal Petroleum's Lease 224-A. On May 21, 2002 Coastal Petroleum filed another lawsuit to obtain compensation from the State of Florida for the alleged taking of its Lease 224-B offshore Florida on property contiguous to Lease 224-A.

We incurred a loss of \$554,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2002, a loss of \$6,585,000 for the year 2001, a loss of \$1,386,000 for the year 2000 and a loss of \$1,105,000 for the year 1999. We had a deficit accumulated during the development stage of

\$36,549,000 at March 31, 2002. You should also see Note 1 of our financial statements regarding the substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

- The Offering o 10,867,082 shares of our common stock, par value \$.12 per share.
- Subscription Privilege o Each shareholder will be entitled to purchase one share for every four shares of common stock held on the record date at a price of \$.50 per share.
- Over Subscription Privilege o Each shareholder who purchases the entire guaranteed allotment of shares will be permitted to subscribe pro rata for additional shares not purchased by other shareholders prior to the expiration date. The number of shares available for purchase by each individual shareholder pursuant to the over subscription privilege will be limited to the aggregate number of shares available after completion of all shareholders' basic subscription purchases and will be subject to the allocation rules described at page 43 under the heading "Terms of the Offering - How the Over-Subscription Privilege Operates."
- Expiration Date o Rights not exercised prior to 4:30, Eastern Daylight Time on July 15, 2002 will be void and of no value.
- How to Purchase Shares o If you wish to purchase shares, you should complete the subscription card and deliver it, accompanied by full payment of the subscription price, prior to the expiration date to our subscription agent.
- Our Subscription Agent o American Stock Transfer & Trust Co., 59 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038, Telephone: (800) 937-5449.
- Common Stock Outstanding o We had 43,468,329 shares of common stock outstanding at March 31, 2002. If all shares offered are sold, there will be 54,335,411 shares outstanding.
- Dividends o We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. We plan to retain any future earnings to reduce our deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$36,549,000 at March 31, 2002 and to finance our operations.
- Use of Proceeds o The proceeds of the offering will be used for general corporate purposes, including working capital and to continue the litigation against the State of Florida.

0

Litigation

Coastal Petroleum is currently involved in litigation with the State of Florida with regard to whether the State's offshore drilling policy and its denial of Coastal Petroleum's application for an oil and gas exploration drilling permit constitute a taking of Coastal Petroleum's property for which the State must compensate Coastal Petroleum. We are also involved in litigation with the State of Florida seeking compensation for confiscation of certain royalty interest acreage off the Florida coast. See "Legal Proceedings" at page 24.

### SUMMARY FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary financial data (in thousands) for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Coastal Caribbean Oils & Minerals, Ltd. The data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information included in this prospectus. The summary financial data for the years ended December 31, 1998 and 1997 have been derived from the consolidated financial statements which are not included in this prospectus. The information as of and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 is unaudited but includes all adjustments which Coastal Caribbean considers necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for those periods.

	Three months ended March 31,			Years ende	
	2002	2001	2001	2000	19
	(\$) (Unaudited)	(\$) (Unaudited) (Restated)	(\$)	 (\$) (Restated)	 (\$ (Re
Net loss	(554)	(692)	(6,585)	(1,386)	(1,1 ====
Net loss per share (basic and diluted)	(.01)	(.02)	(.15)	(.03)	(.0
Cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities	271	2,423	609	2,959	1, ==
Unproved oil, gas and mineral properties (full cost method)	_	4,142	-	4,145	4,
Total assets	815	6,916 =====	1,077	7,497	5, ==

Shareholders' equity:					
Common stock	5,216	5,216	5,216	5,216	4,
Capital in excess of par value	31,498	31,498	31,498	31,498	28,
Deficit accumulated during the					
development stage	(36,549)	(30,102)	(35,996)	(29,410)	(28,0
Total shareholders' equity	164	6,612	718	7,304	 5,
Common stock shares					
outstanding (average)	43,468	43,468	43,468	40,844	40,
	======	======	======		===

As more fully described in Notes 1 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements, we have a limited amount of working capital, have incurred recurring losses and have a deficit accumulated during the development stage. We have been and continue to be involved in several legal proceedings against the State of Florida which limited our ability to commence development activities on our unproved oil and gas properties or obtain compensation for certain property rights we believe have been taken. These situations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities which may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

During the year 2001, the Company concluded that its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, recorded an impairment charge in the amount of approximately \$4.2 million to reflect the write off of these costs. See Item 8. - Note 4. Litigation. During 2001, the Company restated the deficit accumulated during the development stage at December 31, 1997 to reflect a write off of certain costs incurred prior to December 31, 1960 attributable to dry holes on abandoned leases as follows (in thousands):

Deficit accumulated during the development stage	
Balance at December 31, 1997 as previously reported	\$25 <b>,</b> 102
Write off of unproved properties	663
Balance at December 31, 1997 as restated	\$25 <b>,</b> 765

#### RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following risk factors and other information in this prospectus and the documents we incorporate by reference in evaluating our company before you purchase any shares of our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In this case, the trading price of the common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND THE LITIGATION

We have a history of losses and anticipate further losses, which could cause us to discontinue our business.

Our business has never had substantial revenues and has operated at a loss in each year since our inception in 1953. We recorded a loss of \$554,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2002, a loss of \$6,585,000 for the year December 31, 2001, a loss of \$1,386,000 for the year 2000 and a loss of \$1,105,000 for the year 1999. If we continue to sustain losses and are unable to achieve profitability, we may not be able to continue our business and may have to curtail, suspend or cease operations. You should also see Note 1 to our financial statements regarding the uncertainty as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

During the three years ended December 31, 2001 and the three months ended March 31, 2002, we spent approximately \$3.0 million on legal expenses primarily for the lawsuits against the State of Florida relating to drilling permits and royalty interests. If we continue to incur significant expenses and are unable to raise additional funds to meet these expenses, we may have to cease or suspend our lawsuits and/or cease operations entirely.

In the unlikely event that we were to receive drilling permits related to the St. George Island prospect or other exploratory wells, we would be required to incur a significant amount of operating expenditures to commence drilling operations and would need to generate significant revenues to achieve profitability. We may not be able to achieve or sustain revenues, profitability or positive cash flow and cannot assure that profitability, if achieved, will be sustained.

Our auditors have expressed the view that our negative working capital, negative stockholders' equity and capital deficiencies raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our auditors have included an explanatory paragraph in their report for the year ended December 31, 2001, indicating there is substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus do not include any adjustments to asset values or recorded liability amounts that might be required in the event we are unable to continue as a going concern. If we are in fact unable to continue as a going concern, you may lose your entire investment in our common stock.

Without additional financing, we only have enough liquid assets on hand to continue to operate the Company for part of the year 2002.

We believe that our funds on hand will be sufficient to permit us to continue to operate through the second quarter of 2002 and to pay the remaining expenses related to this offering which are estimated to be approximately \$114,000 (total amount \$350,000). After that time, we may have to suspend or cease operations unless and until we can secure additional financing. Effective February 20, 2002, our directors, officers, legal counsel and administrative consultants have agreed to defer the payment of all of their salaries and fees until we have working capital of at least \$1 million. We currently do not have any commitments for additional financing. We may be unable to obtain additional financing in the future on acceptable terms or at all.

If ultimately the courts rule that the State of Florida may deny us a permit and not compensate us for the taking of our property, we may be unable to continue our business.

In the event that the courts determine that the State of Florida is entitled to deny Coastal Petroleum a permit without compensation, it is likely that we would be unable to continue our business and that shareholders would suffer a complete loss of their investment.

We may be unable to raise the additional financing needed to cover the substantial litigation costs of proving our properties have been taken and their value.

Coastal Petroleum has filed a claim with the Florida Circuit Court that its property has been taken by the State of Florida, and that Coastal Petroleum is owed compensation by the State of Florida. We will need to secure additional financing to cover the costs of this litigation, which we estimate will be substantial. If we are unable to secure the additional financing adequate to fund the costs of such litigation for a lengthy period of time, we might not be able to conclude the litigation and might have to cease the lawsuits against the State of Florida without any meaningful recovery.

The State of Florida has far greater resources than we do to prosecute the litigation.

The State of Florida utilizes lawyers from the Florida Attorney General's Office, the Department of Environmental Protection and at least two private law firms to represent its interests in the litigation. In the event that our funds are exhausted before the conclusion of the litigation, we may be unable to conclude the litigation and might be required to cease business which could result in the complete loss of your investment.

If the amount of money we recover from the State of Florida is inadequate to cover our costs, we may suffer additional losses.

Coastal Petroleum's lawsuits against the State of Florida involve highly specialized technical engineering and legal judgments. Any recovery that Coastal Petroleum may receive as a result of a court judgment against the State of Florida may be insufficient to cover the costs of prosecuting the claims at trial. If this occurs, we may be forced to cease operations, the value of your investment in our common stock could decline significantly, and you may realize a total loss of your investment.

Coastal Caribbean is currently a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which could result in negative tax consequences to you.

If, for any taxable year, our passive income or our assets that produce passive income exceed levels provided by U.S. law, we would be a "passive foreign investment company," or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For the years 1987 through 2001, Coastal Caribbean's passive income and assets that produce passive income exceeded those levels and for those years Coastal Caribbean constituted a PFIC. Based upon Coastal Caribbean's current passive income, it is likely that Coastal Caribbean will be classified as a PFIC in 2002. If Coastal Caribbean is a PFIC for any taxable year, then our U.S. shareholders potentially would be subject to adverse U.S. tax consequences of holding and disposing of shares of our common stock for that year and for future tax years. Any gain from the sale of, and certain distributions with respect to, shares of our common stock, would cause a U.S. holder to become liable for U.S. federal income tax under Code section 1291 (the interest charge regime). The tax is computed by allocating the amount of the gain on the sale or the amount of the distribution, as the case may be, to each day in the U.S. shareholder's holding period. To the extent that the amount is allocated to a year, other than the year of the disposition or distribution, in which the corporation was treated as a PFIC with respect to the U.S. holder, the income will be taxed as ordinary income at the highest rate in effect for that year, plus an interest

charge.

Please see a discussion of these consequences below under the heading "Material Tax Consequences - Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules". We encourage you to consult with a personal tax advisor for advice relating to the potential adverse tax consequences related to an investment in our common shares.

#### RISKS RELATED TO THE OFFERING

The price of our common stock is volatile, which could hinder your ability to sell your stock and avoid a loss on your investment.

Our common stock has been quoted and traded in the over-the-counter market on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol COCBF.OB and on the Boston Stock Exchange under the symbol COCBF. The market price of our common stock has fluctuated in the past and may continue to be volatile in the future. As a result of this volatility, you may find it more difficult to sell our stock in a declining market and avoid a loss on your investment. This volatility is a result of a variety of factors, including our current and anticipated results of operations, and the anticipated outcome of our litigation with the State of Florida.

Because shares purchased in the offering will be distributed on a delayed basis, you are at risk of downward price fluctuations prior to receipt of certificates representing your shares.

Until certificates representing the shares you purchase are delivered upon expiration of the rights offering, you will not be able to resell your shares. Certificates representing the shares you purchase will be delivered as soon as practicable after expiration of the rights offering. However, during this interim time period, you may sustain an immediate unrealized loss on your investment if our stock price declines below the offering price.

> Purchasers in this offering will experience immediate net tangible asset dilution and may experience further dilution from the future exercise of stock options or from future stock offerings.

We expect that the offering price of our common stock in this offering will be substantially higher than the net tangible book value per share of our outstanding common stock. Accordingly, if the offering is successful, purchasers of common stock in the offering will experience immediate and substantial dilution of approximately \$.40 in net tangible book value per share, or approximately 80% of the assumed public offering price of \$.50 per share. Investors will incur additional dilution upon the exercise of outstanding stock options and warrants. See "Dilution" at page 14 for further discussion of the dilution that new investors will incur.

Finally, if we raise additional funds by issuing equity or convertible debt securities, your percentage ownership may be further diluted. Any securities issued could have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our common stock.

Our Bye-laws contain provisions which may limit a shareholder's efforts to influence our policies and prevent or delay a change in control of our Company.

Bye-Law 1 provides that any matter to be voted on at any meeting of shareholders must be approved not only by a simple majority of the shares voted at such meeting, but also by a majority of the shareholders present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. This provision may have the effect

of making it more difficult to take corporate action than customary "one share one vote" provisions, because it may not be possible to obtain the necessary majority of both votes. As a consequence, Bye-Law 1 may make it more difficult that a takeover of the company will be consummated, which could prevent the company's shareholders from receiving a premium for their shares. In addition, an owner of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may be unable to influence our policies and operations through the shareholder voting process (e.g., to elect directors).

Our Bye-Laws also require the approval of 75% of the voting shareholders and of the voting shares for the consummation of any business combination (such as a merger, amalgamation or acquisition proposal) involving our company. This higher vote requirement may deter business combination proposals which shareholders may consider favorable.

You may face obstacles to bringing suit in Bermuda against our officers and directors.

We are a Bermuda company and certain of our directors and officers are residents of Bermuda and are not citizens of the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process on us or on these directors and officers within the United States or to enforce against these directors and officers judgments of U. S. courts predicated on the civil liabilities under the federal securities laws. If investors are unable to bring such suits, they may be unable to recover a loss on their investment resulting from any violations of the federal securities laws.

There is no precedent for, and therefore no assurance that, the courts in Bermuda would enforce civil liabilities, whether in original actions in Bermuda or in the form of final judgments of U. S. courts, arising under the federal securities laws against us or the persons signing this registration statement. In addition, there is no treaty in effect between the U. S. and Bermuda providing for the enforcement of civil liabilities and there are grounds upon which Bermuda Courts may not enforce judgments of U. S. courts. In addition, some remedies available under the laws of U. S. jurisdictions, including some remedies available under the United States federal securities laws, may not be allowed in Bermuda courts as contrary to that nation's public policy.

Our dividend policy could depress our stock price.

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. We plan to retain any future earnings to reduce our deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$36,549,000 at March 31, 2002 and to finance our operations. As a result, our dividend policy could depress the market price for our common stock.

Any dividends are subject to a 30% withholding tax.

We are a Bermuda corporation. Bermuda currently imposes no taxes on corporate income or capital gains realized outside of Bermuda. However, any dividends we receive from Coastal Petroleum are subject to a 30% United States withholding tax. As a result, our investors may realize a smaller rate of return on their investment in our common stock.

Our common stock may be delisted from the Boston Stock Exchange.

We are currently not in compliance with certain of the listing requirements of the Boston Stock Exchange. We currently fails to meet the Exchange's requirements of total assets of \$1,000,000 and shareholders' equity of \$500,000. The Exchange has advised us that if these deficiencies are not remedied it will result in the suspension of trading in the Company's common stock and delisting of the stock from the Exchange. If this occurs, the market for and liquidity of our common stock will be adversely affected.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY

The State of Florida has stated that its policy is not to permit oil and gas drilling offshore Florida and the State has denied Coastal Petroleum a permit with respect to its St. George's Island prospect. Consequently, we do not believe that the State of Florida will grant drilling permits to Coastal Petroleum with respect to its leases. In the unlikely event that the State ever does grant Coastal Petroleum a drilling permit, Coastal Petroleum would have to contend with other risks.

After obtaining a state drilling permit, Coastal Petroleum would have to do the following:

- o obtain a federal drilling permit;
- o finance drilling of the well (including the cost of the recommended surety), which is currently estimated to cost approximately \$5.5 million; and
- o begin drilling the well within one year of the date the state permit is issued.

We may be unable to obtain the necessary federal permits or we may be unable to finance and commence drilling operations in a timely manner.

If we fail to discover and develop sufficient oil and gas reserves, we would be unable to generate sufficient revenues to cover our costs and might have to curtail, suspend or cease our business operations.

Drilling activities involve numerous risks, including the risk that no commercially productive natural gas or oil reservoirs will be discovered. The cost of drilling, completing and operating wells is often uncertain, and drilling operations may be curtailed, delayed or canceled as a result of adverse conditions beyond our control. Poor results from our exploration and drilling activities could prevent us from developing sufficient oil and gas reserves at a commercially acceptable cost.

 $\label{eq:compliance} \mbox{Compliance with environmental and other governmental regulations could} be costly.$ 

Our operations and right to obtain interests in and hold properties or to conduct our business might be affected to an unpredictable extent by limitations imposed by the laws and regulations which are now in effect or which might be adopted by the jurisdictions in which we carry on our business.

Further measures that have been or might be imposed include increased bond requirements, conservation, proration, curtailment, cessation or other forms of limiting or controlling production of hydrocarbons or minerals, as well as price controls or rationing or other similar restrictions. In particular, environmental control and energy conservation laws and regulations adopted by federal, state and local authorities may have to be complied with by leaseholders such as Coastal Petroleum.

We face strong competition from larger oil and gas companies that may impair our ability to carry on operations.

If we receive the necessary state and federal permits to conduct operations, we will operate in the highly competitive areas of oil and gas exploration, development and production. We might not be able to compete with, or enter into cooperative relationships with, our potential competitors, which include major integrated oil companies, substantial independent energy companies, affiliates of major interstate and intrastate pipelines and national and local gas gatherers. If we were unable to establish and maintain competitiveness, our business would be threatened.

Many of our competitors possess greater financial, technical and other resources than we do. Factors which affect our ability to successfully compete in the marketplace include:

- o the financial resources of our competitors;
- o the availability of alternate fuel sources; and
- o the costs related to the extraction and transportation of oil and gas.

••

#### USE OF PROCEEDS

The proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes, including working capital and continuation of the Florida litigation. See "Legal Proceedings" and "Our Business and Properties." Assuming all of the shares offered by this prospectus are sold at a price of \$.50 per share, we will realize net proceeds (after estimated expenses of the offering of \$350,000) of approximately \$5,083,000. The net proceeds of the offering would be added to our general funds and would not be expressly designated for any particular purpose. However, we currently expect that the net proceeds would be used for the following purposes, assuming that we received 25%, 50%, 75% and 100%, respectively, of the offered proceeds:

	25%	50%	75%
Litigation (including legal fees and			
experts' costs) Administrative, accounting, legal	\$ 537,635	\$1,466,771	\$2,775,156
and other expenses	470,750	900,000	950,000
Total	\$1,008,385	\$2,366,771	\$3,725,156

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Effective February 20, 2002, our directors, officers, legal counsel and administrative consultants have agreed to defer the payment of all of their salaries and fees until the Company has working capital of at least \$1 million. The amount of this deferral, which is included in the 2002 working capital requirements of approximately \$2,400,000, amounts to approximately \$1,300,000 on an annual basis.

Litigation expenses may vary depending on the progress of the cases in which we are involved. If the net proceeds are substantially less than the estimated amounts, and if we and Coastal Petroleum are unable to obtain additional funds, Coastal Petroleum may be unable to pursue the Florida litigation. If the gross proceeds of the offering do not exceed the costs of this offering, we would attempt to pay the excess costs over gross proceeds from our current assets.

Coastal Caribbean has been making loans to Coastal Petroleum, its majority owned subsidiary, in order for Coastal Petroleum to continue the Florida Litigation and pay its operating expenses. At December 31, 2001, the amount of these loans totaled \$20,826,287 (March 31, 2002 - \$21,038,089) and the accumulated interest on the loans totaled \$5,758,768 (March 31, 2002 - \$6,161,397) for a total indebtedness of \$26,585,055 (March 31, 2002 - \$27,199,486).

#### CAPITALIZATION

The following table shows our cash and cash equivalents, investments and total capitalization:

- o on an actual basis as of March 31, 2002; and
- o as adjusted to reflect the sale of 10,867,082 shares of common stock offered by this prospectus at an assumed public offering price of \$.50 per share, after deducting the estimated offering expenses of approximately \$350,000 payable by us.

You should read this information together with our financial statements and the notes relating to those statements and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Use of Proceeds" appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

Actual

\$271,475

Cash and cash equivalents Marketable Securities

Short-Term Debt	-
Long-Term Debt	-
Minority Interests	-
Shareholders Equity:	
Common stock, par value \$.12 per share:	
250,000,000 shares authorized	
43,468,329 shares outstanding	
54,335,411 shares outstanding as adjusted	
Common stock	\$ 5,216,199
Capital in excess of par value	31,497,362
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(36,549,070)
Total Shareholders Equity	\$ 164,491 =======

The number of shares as adjusted for this offering excludes:

925,000 shares which may be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options held by our directors and officers as of March 31, 2002; and
7,800,000 shares which may be issued in the event that Lykes Minerals Corp. exercises its rights to exchange Coastal Petroleum shares for shares of our common stock.

#### DILUTION

You will experience immediate and substantial dilution in net tangible book value of your common stock as a result of this offering. The following table illustrates the per share dilution to purchasers of shares giving effect to the sale of all of the shares in the offering at a price of \$.50 per share:

Offering price attributable to each share of common stock	\$.50
Net tangible book value before the offering (1)	\$
Increase attributable to payments for shares of common stock	.10
Pro forma net tangible book value per share after the offering	.10
Dilution to purchasers of the shares (2)(3)	\$.40
	====

(1) We calculate net tangible book value per share by dividing the number of shares of common stock outstanding into the tangible net worth of Coastal Caribbean (tangible assets less liabilities and minority interest) outstanding at March 31, 2002.

(2) We calculate dilution to new investors by subtracting net tangible book value per share of common stock after the offering from the per share price attributable to a share of common stock purchased.

(3) This estimate of dilution does not reflect the results of operations since March 31, 2002 nor does it give any effect to the outstanding options to purchase shares of our common stock. There are 7,800,000 shares of common stock reserved which may be issued in exchange for 78 Coastal Petroleum shares. There are also 980,000 shares reserved for stock options granted to our officers, directors and consultants. Of these options, 925,000 were exercisable at March

31, 2002. If the options to acquire the 8,725,000 shares had been exercised at March 31, 2002, the dilution to purchasers would be \$.39 per share. The dilution of the 7,800,000 shares does not reflect any value for the increase in ownership of Coastal Petroleum from approximately 59% to 86%.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Overview

The following is a discussion of certain factors affecting our results for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 and the three fiscal years ending December 31, 2001 and our liquidity and capital resources. This discussion should be read along with our consolidated financial statements and their notes, which can be found at page F-1 of this prospectus.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Short Term Liquidity

At March 31, 2002, Coastal Caribbean had approximately \$271,000 of cash and cash equivalents available and this amount should be sufficient to fund the Company's operations through the second quarter of 2002 and to pay the remaining expenses associated with the proposed rights offering of approximately \$114,000 (total amount of \$350,000). After June 30, 2002, the Company may have to suspend or cease operations unless and until the Company can secure additional financing. In addition, an estimated minimum amount of \$500,000 would be necessary to fund the Company's operations through December 31, 2002. These funds are expected to be used for general corporate purposes, including lease rental payments of approximately \$60,000 annually and to continue the litigation against the State of Florida. The estimated working capital necessary to fund the Company's operations, including the costs of the litigation and the proposed rights offering, for 2002 is approximately \$2,400,000. In the event that the offering of the Company's common stock is inadequate to fund the Company's capital needs, the Company intends to explore other possible funding sources, particularly the other shareholders of Coastal Petroleum. Effective February 20, 2002, the Company's directors, officers, legal counsel and administrative consultants have agreed to defer the payment of all of their salaries and fees until the Company has working capital of at least \$1 million. The amount of this deferral, which is included in the 2002 working capital requirements of approximately \$2,400,000, amounts to approximately \$1,300,000 on an annual basis.

Coastal Caribbean has a limited amount of working capital, has incurred recurring losses and has a deficit accumulated during the development stage. On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court in Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its Lease 224-A. The cost of that litigation has been substantial and will require the Company to obtain additional capital. On January 10, 2002, the Company filed a preliminary registration statement for the sale of its common stock. The terms of the offering have not yet been determined.

As more fully described in Notes 1 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements, we have a limited amount of working capital, have incurred recurring losses and have a deficit accumulated during the development stage. We have been

and continue to be involved in several legal proceedings against the State of Florida which has limited our ability to commence development activities on our unproved oil and gas properties or obtain compensation for certain property rights we believe have been taken. These situations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or amounts and classification of liabilities which may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

#### Long Term Liquidity

On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum Company filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. The Company expects that the cost of the litigation will be substantial.

In the event that the offering of the Company's common stock referred to above is inadequate to fund the Company's capital needs, the Company intends to explore other possible funding sources, particularly the other shareholders of Coastal Petroleum.

#### Results of Operations

The Company, a development stage enterprise, has never had substantial revenues and has operated at a loss each year since its inception in 1953. During the three years ended December 31, 2001 and the three months ended March 31, 2002, we spent approximately \$3.0 million on legal expenses primarily for the lawsuits against the State of Florida relating to drilling permits and royalty interests.

Three month period ended March 31, 2002 vs. March 31, 2001

The Company incurred a loss of \$554,000 for the 2002 quarter, compared to a loss of \$692,000 for the comparable 2001 quarter.

Interest and other income decreased 89% from \$37,000 in the 2001 quarter to \$4,000 in the 2002 quarter because of the lack of funds to invest.

Legal fees and costs decreased 40% to \$316,000 for the 2002 quarter, compared to \$525,000 in the prior period. Legal fees and costs had increased in the 2001 period because on January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum Company filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. In addition, Coastal Petroleum responded to the State's motions to dismiss the lawsuit. The Company expects that the cost of the litigation will continue to be substantial in the year 2002.

Administrative expenses increased 29% to \$194,000 in the 2002 period compared to \$150,000 in the 2001 period. Auditing and accounting expenses increased during the 2002 period to \$88,000 compared to \$63,000 in the 2001 period because of the costs associated with various filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Salaries did not change during the periods and remained at \$38,000 in the 2002 quarter.

Shareholder communications decreased 40% during the 2002 period to \$9,000 from \$15,000 in the 2001 period because during the 2001 period the

Company's public relations costs increased as a result of the filing of the taking case in January 2001.

Exploration costs decreased from \$500 in the 2001 quarter to \$0 in the 2002 quarter.

2001 vs. 2000

We incurred a loss of 6,585,000 for the year 2001 compared to a loss of 1,386,000 for the year 2000.

Interest income and other income increased 24% from \$63,000 in 2000 to \$78,000 in 2001 because of the funds realized and invested from the October 2000 sale of common stock to our shareholders.

Legal fees and costs increased 164% to \$1,670,000 for 2001 from \$634,000 in 2000. Legal fees and costs increased in 2001 in connection with Coastal Petroleum Company's lawsuit against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's alleged taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. We expect that the cost of the litigation will be substantial.

Administrative expenses decreased in 2001 to \$534,000 from \$535,000 in 2000.

Salaries did not change during the periods and remained at \$152,000 in 2001.

Shareholder communications costs decreased slightly to \$106,000 in 2001 compared to \$108,000 in 2000.

Exploration costs decreased from \$20,000 in 2000 to \$500 in 2001 because of the State of Florida's denial of the Company's application for a permit to drill on Lease 224-A. In 2000, Coastal Petroleum incurred expenses associated with drilling permit applications in connection with shallow test wells to comply with Coastal Petroleum's drilling obligations.

Write off of unproved properties totaled \$4,202,000 in 2001. During 2001, the Company concluded that the value of its leases has been taken and its property interests were impaired by the actions taken by the State of Florida and therefore, has recorded an impairment charge to reflect the write off of these costs. All future costs incurred in connection with the Company's Florida leases will be expensed as incurred.

2000 vs. 1999

We recorded a loss of 1,386,000 for 2000, compared to a loss of 1,105,000 in 1999.

Interest income and other income increased 13% to \$63,000 in 2000 from \$55,000 in 1999 because more funds were available for investment during 2000 after our sale of common stock was completed in October 2000.

Legal fees and costs increased 56% in 2000 to \$634,000 compared to \$405,000 in 1999 because in 2000 the we prepared our complaint to file in the Florida Circuit Court to claim that the Coastal Petroleum's Lease 224-A had been taken by the State of Florida. We also recorded a noncash charge to legal expense in the amount of \$75,000 in connection with the issuance of a stock

option grant in 2000.

Administrative expenses increased 13% during 2000 to \$535,000 compared to \$474,000 in 1999. During December 1999, we increased our Directors and Officers liability insurance coverage from \$6.2 million to \$12.2 million which increased insurance costs.

Salaries decreased 4% to \$152,000 during 2000 compared to \$158,000 during 1999. An employee who has not been replaced left Coastal Petroleum during 1999.

Shareholder communications costs increased 5% from \$103,000 in 1999 to \$108,000 in 2000 because of the cost of various listing fees.

Exploration costs decreased 6% from \$21,000 in 1999 to \$20,000 in 2000. These miscellaneous exploration expenses do not include the exploration expenditures totaling \$48,000 that were capitalized in 2000 (\$24,000 in 1999).

### MARKET RISK DISCLOSURES

We do not have any significant exposure to market risk as the only market risk sensitive instruments are its investments in marketable securities which are classified as cash and cash equivalents. At March 31, 2002, the carrying value of such investments was approximately \$200,000, the fair value was \$200,000 and the face value was \$200,000.

#### OUR BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES

### General

Coastal Caribbean is a company organized under the laws of Bermuda, with its principal executive offices at Clarendon House, Church Street, Hamilton, Bermuda (telephone number: 441-295-1422). Shares of our common stock are listed on the Boston Stock Exchange and also are traded over-the-counter on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" marketplace of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. We rely heavily on consultants for legal, accounting and administrative services. Our principal asset is our 59.25% owned subsidiary, Coastal Petroleum, a Florida corporation.

#### Operations

Coastal Petroleum is the lessee under leases with the State of Florida relating to the exploration for and production of oil, gas and minerals on approximately 3,700,000 acres of submerged lands along the Gulf Coast and under certain inland lakes and rivers. The leases provide for a working interest in approximately 1,250,000 acres and a royalty interest in approximately 2,450,000 acres covered by the leases. Coastal Petroleum has made no commercial discoveries on its leaseholds.

Coastal Petroleum is currently involved in litigation with the State of Florida with regard to whether the State's policy against offshore drilling and denial of Coastal Petroleum's application for an oil and gas exploration drilling permit constitutes a taking of Coastal Petroleum's property for which the State must compensate Coastal Petroleum. In addition, Coastal Caribbean is a party to one additional action in which Coastal Caribbean claims that certain of its royalty interests have been confiscated by the State. During 2001, the Company actively pursued the Florida Litigation. See "Legal Proceedings".

In 1990, the State of Florida enacted legislation that prohibits drilling or exploration for oil or gas on Florida's offshore acreage. The law does not apply to areas where Coastal Petroleum is entitled to conduct exploration. However, in those areas where Coastal Petroleum has only a royalty

interest, the law effectively prohibits production of oil and gas, rendering it impossible for Coastal Petroleum to collect royalties from those areas.

#### Business

Coastal Caribbean was organized in Bermuda on February 14, 1962. We are the successor to Coastal Caribbean Oils, Inc., a Panamanian corporation organized on January 31, 1953 to be the holding company for Coastal Petroleum.

We own 59.25% of Coastal Petroleum. We are considered to be a development stage company since our exploration for oil, gas and minerals has not yielded any significant revenues. Coastal Petroleum's principal assets are its nonproducing oil, gas and mineral leases and royalty interests. In 1992, Coastal Petroleum filed an application for a permit to drill an exploration well on its property offshore Florida. In 1998, the State of Florida denied the permit application and Coastal Petroleum commenced litigation against the State appealing the denial. The permit denial was upheld by a Florida appeals court in July 2000 and Coastal Petroleum in January 2001 filed a complaint against the State of Florida seeking compensation from the State of Florida. Coastal Petroleum believes that its leases have been confiscated by the State of Florida. Coastal Petroleum also believes the leases or the potential recovery from the State of Florida are properly considered to be assets, although we have written off the costs related to its oil, gas and mineral properties. If we continue to incur significant expenses and are unable to raise additional funds to meet these expenses, we may have to cease or suspend our lawsuits and/or cease operations entirely.

In the event that Coastal Petroleum is unsuccessful in its effort to establish that its property has been taken without compensation, the Company would likely consider the sale of its interest in Coastal Petroleum Company and a subsequent liquidation of the Company.

#### Properties

Coastal Petroleum holds certain working interests in nonproducing oil, gas and mineral leases covering approximately 1,250,000 acres, and a royalty interest in approximately 2,450,000 acres, in and offshore the State of Florida. No commercial oil or gas discoveries have been made on the properties covered by these leases and Coastal Petroleum has no proved reserves of oil or gas and has had no significant production.

In 1941, Arnold Oil Explorations, Inc., renamed Coastal Petroleum Company in 1947, entered into a contract with the Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida, in whom title to publicly owned lands in the State of Florida, including bottoms of salt and fresh waters, is irrevocably vested, for the exploration of oil, gas and minerals on such lands. The Trustees and Coastal Petroleum entered into three leases in late 1944 and early 1946. The acreage covered by these leases is located for the most part along offshore areas on the Gulf Coast of Florida and in submerged lands under certain bays, inlets, riverbeds and lakes, of which Lake Okeechobee is the largest.

In 1968, Coastal Petroleum sued the Secretary of the Army of the United States in a permitting dispute. In 1969, as part of that litigation, the Trustees claimed that the leases were invalid and had been forfeited. Coastal Petroleum and the Trustees settled their disagreement on January 6, 1976.

Under the terms of the 1976 settlement agreement, the two leases (224-A and 224-B) bordering the Gulf Coast were divided into three areas, each running the entire length of the coastline from Apalachicola Bay to the Naples area:

0

The inner area, including rivers, bays, and harbors, extends seaward

from the Florida shoreline a distance of 4.36 statute miles (5,280 feet per statute mile) into the Gulf, covers approximately 2.25 million acres, and is subject to a royalty interest payable to Coastal Petroleum. This interest is a 6.25% royalty on the wellhead value of all oil and gas, 25 cents per long ton on sulphur, receivable in cash or in kind at Coastal Petroleum's option, and a 5% royalty on production or the market value of other minerals.

- o The middle area, which was three statute miles wide and contained more than 800,000 acres, was released by Coastal Petroleum to the Trustees, and Coastal Petroleum has no further interest in the area.
- Coastal Petroleum presently owns a 100% working interest in the outside area, which extends seaward an additional three statute miles and borders federal offshore acreage. This area, exceeding 800,000 acres, remains subject to royalties payable to the State of Florida of 12.50% on oil and gas, \$.50 per long ton of sulphur and 10% on other minerals. The Florida legislature has enacted statutes designed to protect the Big Bend Seagrass Aquatic Preserve, an area covering approximately one quarter of Coastal Petroleum's working interest area. However, the legislation and legislative history recognize and preserve Coastal Petroleum's prior rights as granted by the leases.

Coastal Petroleum retains a 100% working interest in 450,000 acre Lake Okeechobee which is a part of Lease 248 and which is also subject to royalties payable to the State of Florida of 12.50% on oil and gas, \$.50 per long ton of sulphur and 10% on other minerals. Under the settlement with the State of Florida in 1976, Coastal Petroleum agreed not to conduct exploration, drilling or mining operations on Lake Okeechobee without the prior approval of the State. As to the balance of this lease, covering approximately 200,000 acres, Coastal Petroleum retains royalty interests of 6.25% on oil, gas and sulphur and 5% on other minerals.

Under the 1976 settlement agreement with the Trustees, the three leases have a term of 40 years beginning from January 6, 1976 and require the payment of an annual rental of \$59,247; if oil, gas or minerals are being produced in economically sustainable quantities at January 6, 2016, these operations will be allowed to continue until they become uneconomic. Further, the settlement agreement provides that the drilling requirements shall be governed by Chapter 20680, Laws of Florida, Acts of 1941, and that all other drilling requirements are waived. Under the 1941 Act, a lessee is required to drill at least one test well on lands leased in each five year period under the term of the lease. Coastal Petroleum believes it is current in fulfilling its drilling requirements. Drilling requirements of Lease 224-A have been satisfied through the five year obligation period ending August 2, 2004. The State of Florida has refused Coastal Petroleum the right to drill on Lease 248 since August 10, 1986. Drilling requirements of Lease 224-B have been satisfied through the five year obligation period ended October 31, 2000. The next obligation period expires on October 31, 2005.

The following charts reflect the acreage and annual rental obligations resulting from the 1976 settlement agreement with the Trustees and the approximate acreage under lease at March 31, 2002:

Acreage		
Working	Royalty	Annual
Interest	Interest	Rental

224-A and 224-B	800,000	2,250,000		\$39 <b>,</b> 261
248	450,000	200,000		19,986
	1,250,000	2,450,000		\$59,247
				=======
	Gross	Acres (*)Net	March 31, 2002 Acres (**) Undeveloped	
Working Interest	1,250,000	-0-	1,250,000	-0-
Royalty interest	2,450,000	-0-	153,125	-0-
Total	3,700,000	-0-	1,403,125	-0-

A gross acre is an acre in which a working interest is owned.
A net acre is when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross acres equals one. The number of net acres is the sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross acres expressed as whole numbers and fractions.

===

\_\_\_\_\_

===

Competitive Conditions in the Business.

\_\_\_\_\_

Competition in the oil and gas industry is intense. We must compete with companies which have substantially greater resources available to them. In addition, the industry as a whole must compete with other industries in supplying the energy needs of commerce and the general public. Furthermore, competitive conditions may be substantially affected by energy legislation which may be adopted in the future.

#### Environmental Regulation.

The operations of Coastal Caribbean and its right to obtain interests in and hold properties or to do business may be affected to an unpredictable extent by limitations imposed by the laws and regulations which are now in effect or which may be adopted by the jurisdictions in which the Coastal Petroleum carries on its business. Further measures that have been or might be imposed include increased bond requirements, conservation, proration, curtailment, cessation or other forms of limiting or controlling production of hydrocarbons or minerals, as well as price controls or rationing or other similar restrictions. In particular, environmental control and energy conservation laws and regulations adopted by federal, state and local authorities may have to be complied with by leaseholders such as Coastal Petroleum. It is not possible to predict the nature of any further legislation or regulation that might ultimately be adopted or its effects upon the future operations of Coastal Caribbean or Coastal Petroleum.

#### Employees

We currently have only two employees. We rely heavily on consultants for legal, accounting, geological and administrative services. We use consultants because it is more cost effective than employing a larger full time staff.

The following graphic presentation has been omitted, but the following is a description of the omitted material:

Map showing Coastal Petroleum's Lease Areas in the State of Florida

#### Disclosure Concerning Oil and Gas

Undeveloped Acreage

The Company's undeveloped acreage as of December 31, 2001 and March 31, 2002 was as follows:

	Gross Acres	Net Acres
Working Interest Royalty Interest	1,250,000 2,450,000	1,250,000 153,125
Total	3,700,000	1,403,125

#### Drilling Activity

There was no drilling activity on our properties during the year 2001 or during the three months ended March 31, 2002.

### Royalties and Other Interests

In addition to royalties payable to the State of Florida as set forth above, Coastal Petroleum's leases are subject to several royalties and other interests. The leases are presently subject to overriding royalties aggregating 1/16 as to oil, gas and sulphur and 13/600ths as to minerals other than oil, gas and sulphur.

We also have granted to certain officers, directors, counsel and consultants of Coastal Petroleum and Coastal Caribbean the right to receive a percentage of the net recoveries from the Florida Litigation. See "Legal Proceedings" at page 24 and "Certain Business Relationships" at page 33.

#### Mineral Rights

Coastal Petroleum's Leases 224-A, 224-B and 248 were determined by a Florida State court in 1960 to cover not only oil, gas and sulphur, but also all other minerals. Subsequent litigation has held that these other minerals do not embrace certain deposits of shell accumulated on water bottoms which had not yet become mineral, and that Lake Hancock is not within the area covered by Lease 224-B. Under the 1976 settlement agreement with the State of Florida, Coastal Petroleum retains a 5% royalty with respect to mineral production. However, it cannot conduct mining operations in 450,000-acre Lake Okeechobee without the prior approval of the State of Florida. Although Coastal Petroleum had conducted limited mineral exploration activities on its leases, the courts during the 1980's limited its rights to mine minerals. Coastal Petroleum has no independent knowledge of commercial deposits on its leases. Furthermore, Coastal Petroleum does not anticipate that the State would allow the open pit mining and heavy industrial activity that would be necessary to remove any minerals if they were to be present, given the State's objection to a single bore hole for an

exploratory oil and gas well.

### LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Florida Litigation

Coastal Petroleum has been involved in various lawsuits for many years. Coastal Petroleum's current litigation now involves one basic claim: whether the State's offshore drilling policy and its denial of a permit constitute a taking of Coastal Petroleum's property. In addition, Coastal Caribbean is a party to another action in which Coastal Caribbean claims that certain of its royalty interests have been confiscated by the State.

### Lease Taking Case (224-A)

On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. The lease encompasses more than 400,000 acres off the West coast of Florida in the Gulf of Mexico.

Coastal Petroleum claims that the State of Florida has taken Lease 224-A by denying Coastal Petroleum a permit to drill an offshore exploration well near St. George Island in the Gulf of Mexico. The history of the litigation between Coastal Petroleum and the State of Florida relating to the denial of the drilling permit is set forth under the caption "Drilling Permit Litigation." Coastal Petroleum maintains that the State has effectively taken Coastal Petroleum's lease by depriving Coastal Petroleum of all or substantially all of the economically viable use of its constitutionally protected property.

The State claims that there has been no taking of Coastal Petroleum's property which justifies compensation. The State asserts several affirmative defenses, including that:

(a) Coastal Petroleum is barred from litigating issues which it has litigated in prior cases against the State and other parties;

(b) Coastal Petroleum's leases are not constitutionally protected property which can be the subject of an inverse condemnation claim, relying in part on earlier litigation;

(c) Coastal Petroleum's claim that its property has been taken is not ripe for legal consideration because Coastal Petroleum has not applied to drill on other locations on its leaseholds;

(d) The statute of limitations bars any allegation by Coastal Petroleum that an action taken by any state entity prior to January 16, 1997 constitutes a taking of Coastal Petroleum's alleged property interest;

(e) Coastal Petroleum has no right to drill for oil on Lease 224-A which can be taken because it does not have the permit which it agreed to obtain pursuant to the 1976 Memorandum of Settlement.

On March 5, 2001, the State filed a Motion to Dismiss Coastal Petroleum's complaint, which was denied by the Court on April 26, 2001. After the Motion was denied, discovery, which had been suspended pending the outcome of the Motion to Dismiss, resumed. Some depositions have now been taken, documents have been exchanged and discovery is expected to continue until the court mandated cutoff date of August 25, 2002.

On November 27, 2001, the Leon County Circuit Court set a trial date of September 30, 2002. The Court has set aside two weeks for the trial on the issue

of whether the State has taken Coastal Petroleum's lease. If the Court rules in Coastal Petroleum's favor, there will then be a second trial before a jury to determine the amount of compensation to be awarded. Both the decision of the Court and any decision of a jury are subject to appeals by any of the parties to the litigation.

A motion for summary judgment by the State of Florida in the lawsuit was denied by the Leon County Circuit Court trial judge on June 13, 2002.

Ancillary Matters to Lease Taking Case (224-A)

On February 13, 2001, certain holders of royalties pertaining to Lease 224-A filed a Motion to Intervene as Additional Plaintiffs. On April 24, 2001, the Leon County Circuit trial judge granted certain royalty holders with overriding royalties, which aggregate approximately 4% on State Lease 224-A, the right to intervene on a limited basis in the takings lawsuit. On May 22, 2001, the royalty holders appealed the Circuit Court's order granting them limited intervention to the First District Court of Appeal, claiming the order denied them the right to fully participate in the case until after final judgment and that the court erroneously found that the royalty holders lack an ownership interest in Coastal Petroleum's lease. On June 12, 2001, the Court of Appeal ordered the royalty holders to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. The royalty holders filed a response to the Court of Appeal on June 21, 2001, Coastal Petroleum filed its reply on July 2, 2001 and the State of Florida filed its reply on July 5, 2001. The Court of Appeal is currently considering the matter.

Counsel for the appealing royalty holders has advised Coastal Petroleum that the royalty holders' position is that their interest is worth substantially more than 4% of whatever judgment may be awarded to Coastal Petroleum in the litigation and that they intend to make a claim against any recovery Coastal Petroleum may obtain in the litigation. Coastal Petroleum has informed the Circuit Court and counsel for the royalty holders that Coastal Petroleum is not making any claim in the litigation on behalf of any interest the royalty holders may have.

#### No Assurances

There is no assurance that Coastal Petroleum will be successful on the merits of its claims, which the State of Florida is vigorously defending. There is also no assurance that Coastal Petroleum will receive a ruling that its Lease 224-A has been taken or that if compensation is awarded it will be awarded in the amount sought by Coastal Petroleum.

#### Drilling Permit Litigation

In 1992, Coastal Petroleum applied to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (the "DEP") for a permit to drill an exploratory oil and gas well off Apalachicola, Florida. The proposed well would be located in an area included within Lease 224-A. The DEP subsequently denied the application for issuance of a drilling permit for various reasons and imposed a \$1.9 billion bond. Coastal Petroleum appealed the actions of the DEP to the Florida First District Court of Appeal ("Court of Appeal"). After two decisions by the Court of Appeal in favor of Coastal Petroleum, the Florida Supreme Court in July 1996 denied the DEP's petition to review an April 1996 Court of Appeal decision. The Florida Supreme Court had also refused to review an earlier Court of Appeal decision.

On August 16, 1996, the DEP notified Coastal Petroleum that it was prepared to issue the drilling permit subject to Coastal Petroleum publishing a Notice of Intent to Issue ("Notice") the permit. The Notice allowed interested parties to request administrative hearings on the permit.

On May 28, 1997, the Oil and Gas Drilling Bill (SB550) was enacted in Florida. The legislation requires that a surety be based on the projected cleanup costs and possible natural resource damage associated with offshore drilling as estimated by the DEP and as established by the Administration Commission (the "Commission") which is comprised of the Governor of Florida and the Cabinet. Previously, the required surety was satisfied by a payment of \$4,000 to the Mineral Trust Fund in the first year, with a maximum \$30,000 per year and a payment of \$1,500 per well for each subsequent year. On September 9, 1997, the State of Florida set a new surety amount of \$4.25 billion as a precondition for the issuance of the drilling permit.

On October 20, 1997, a public hearing on the permit application convened and concluded on November 6, 1997. The hearing included the Company's appeal of the \$4.25 billion surety requirement. On April 8, 1998, a Florida Administrative Law Judge recommended that Coastal Petroleum was entitled to a drilling permit with the requirement of a \$225 million surety. On May 13, 1998, the Commission rejected the \$225 million surety and remanded the proceedings to the Administrative Law Judge with instructions to recalculate the surety amount.

On May 22, 1998, the DEP denied the permit to Coastal Petroleum to drill an offshore exploration well near St. George's Island. Coastal Petroleum appealed both the denial of the permit by the DEP and the imposition of the surety to the Court of Appeal.

On October 6, 1999, the Court of Appeal ruled that the DEP has the authority to deny Coastal Petroleum's drilling permit for its St. George Island prospect, provided that Coastal Petroleum receives just compensation for what has been taken. The State of Florida and certain Florida environmental groups filed on November 1, 1999 a joint motion for clarification, rehearing, or certification with respect to that decision, asking the Court of Appeal, among other things, to clarify that the question of whether there has been a taking of Coastal Petroleum's leases should be determined in the Circuit Court. On June 26, 2000, the Court of Appeal denied all of the State's motions and stated that the issue of whether the denial of a permit constituted a "taking" was not before the Court. The Court declined to rule on the merits of the taking issue and stated that the issue was a matter for the Circuit Court. On January 16, 2001, Coastal Petroleum Company filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its state Lease 224-A. That complaint is described above under the caption. Lease Taking Case (224-A).

### Other Permit Applications

On February 25, 1997 Coastal Petroleum filed 12 additional applications for drilling permits. Coastal Petroleum objected to certain requests for additional data by the Florida DEP. On March 26, 1999, an administrative law judge upheld the DEP's requirements. The First District Court of Appeal affirmed the decision of the administrative law judge on February 29, 2000.

In order to more fully permit the Apalachicola Reef Play, which includes the St. George Island prospect, on October 29, 1998, Coastal Petroleum filed four additional permit applications (1310-1313). The DEP also requested additional data for these applications. As of May 29, 2002, Coastal Petroleum has not yet submitted the requested data. Although these applications are still pending, Coastal Petroleum does not believe the DEP will ever grant these

permits.

Lease Taking Case (224-B)

On May 21, 2002, Coastal Petroleum filed a complaint in the Leon County Circuit Court, Florida against the State of Florida seeking compensation for the State's taking of its property rights to explore for oil and gas within its State Lease 224-B. The lease encompasses more than 400,000 acres off the West Coast of Florida in the Gulf of Mexico.

#### Coastal Caribbean Royalty Litigation

The offshore areas covered by Coastal Petroleum's original leases (prior to the 1976 Settlement Agreement) are subject to certain other royalty interests held by third parties, including Coastal Caribbean. On April 20, 1994, several of those third parties, including Coastal Caribbean, which has approximately a 12% interest in any recovery, have instituted a separate lawsuit against the State of Florida in the 5th Judicial Circuit in Fernando County. That lawsuit claims that the royalty holders' interests have been confiscated as a result of the State's actions discussed above and that they are entitled to compensation for that taking. The royalty holders were not parties to the 1976 Settlement Agreement, and the royalty holders contend that the terms of the Settlement Agreement do not protect the State from taking claims by those royalty holders. The case was subsequently transferred to the 2nd Judicial Circuit in Leon County and it is currently pending before the Circuit Court in Tallahassee. On December 2, 1999, the Circuit Court denied the State's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' claim of inverse condemnation but dismissed several other claims.

On May 10, 2000, the State filed a motion for summary judgment but no hearing date has been set for the motion. Discovery is proceeding.

Any recovery made in the royalty holders' lawsuit would be shared among the various plaintiffs in that lawsuit, including Coastal Caribbean, but not Coastal Petroleum.

#### Counsel

The Tampa, Florida law firm of Gaylord Merlin Ludovici Diaz & Bain is Coastal Petroleum's principal trial counsel in Coastal Petroleum's inverse condemnation claim against the State of Florida in Florida Circuit Court. Mr. Cary Gaylord is the lead attorney for Gaylord Merlin. Mr. Gaylord, age 55, has extensive experience in eminent domain and property rights matters. He is a 1969 graduate of the United States Military Academy and a 1974 graduate of the University of Florida Law School.

In addition, Mr. Robert J. Angerer of Angerer & Angerer of Tallahassee, Florida is assisting Gaylord Merlin in the litigation. Mr. Angerer, age 55, is a 1969 graduate of the University of Michigan and received his law degree with high honors from Florida State University in 1974.

#### Statutory Attorneys' Fees

Chapter 73 of Florida law provides in eminent domain proceedings (which would include Coastal Petroleum's taking claim) that, in addition to the award made to the property owner, the court shall award attorneys' fees based on the difference between the final judgment or settlement and the first written offer made to the property owner by the State in accordance with the following schedule:

- 1. Thirty-three percent of any difference up to \$250,000; plus
- 2. Twenty-five percent of any portion of the difference between

\$250,000 and \$1 million; plus3. Twenty percent of any portion of the difference exceeding \$1

million.

#### Contingency Fees

In 1990, Coastal Petroleum considered that the following firms or individuals were important to the success of the litigation against the State of Florida and agreed to pay them an aggregate of 8.65% in contingent fees based on any net recovery from execution on or satisfaction of judgment or from settlement of the Florida litigation:

	Relationship to	Net Recovery
	Coastal Petroleum	Percentage
Holder	at Date of Grant	
Reasoner, Davis & Fox	Special Counsel	2.00
Robert J. Angerer	Litigation Counsel	1.50
Benjamin W. Heath	Chairman of the Board	1.25
Phillip W. Ware	President	1.25
Murtha Cullina LLP	Corporate Counsel	1.00
Ausley & McMullen, P.A. (*)	Special Counsel	.75
James R. Joyce	Assistant Treasurer	.30
Arthur B. O'Donnell	Vice President /Treasurer	.30
James J. Gaughran	Secretary	.30
Total		8.65
		====

(\*) Interest was granted in 1996.

In addition, Coastal Petroleum has agreed to pay Gaylord Merlin a contingent fee equal to the greater of:

 (a) approximately 90% of the statutory award of attorneys' fees (discussed above), less the hourly fees paid to Gaylord Merlin,

or

(b) ten percent of the first \$100 million or portion thereof of the compensation received by Coastal Petroleum from the State as compensation for the taking of its property, plus five percent of such compensation in excess of \$100 million, less the hourly fees paid to Gaylord Merlin and other costs of the litigation.

Each of the individuals and entities to whom contingent fees were granted were, at the time of grant, actively involved in the litigation. The contingencies were granted as an incentive to, and in consideration of, the continued involvement of those individuals and entities.

### Uncertainty

Coastal Petroleum or Coastal Caribbean may not prevail on any of the issues set forth above and may not recover compensation for any of their claims. In addition, even if Coastal Petroleum were to prevail on any or all of the issues to be decided, Coastal Caribbean or Coastal Petroleum may not have

sufficient financial resources to survive until such decisions become final. In the unlikely event that the State of Florida were to grant a permit to drill any wells for which applications have been filed, the wells drilled may not be successful and may not lead to production of any oil or gas in commercial quantities.

### OUR MANAGEMENT

Our Directors and Executive Officers

Our board of directors includes six members, two of whom, Messrs. Heath and Ware, also serve as executive officers. The board is divided into three classes, with each class serving a term of office of three years.

Name	Position	Biographical Informati
Class of 2002		
Benjamin W. Heath	Director President	Mr. Heath has been a director since 1962 as Chairman and a director of Coastal Pe also a director of Canada Southern Petro eighty-six.
Phillip W. Ware	Director Vice President	Mr. Ware, a geologist, has been Presiden Coastal Petroleum since 1985. Mr. Ware since 1985. Age fifty.
Class of 2003		Since 1965. Age filey.
Graham B. Collis	Director Secretary Audit Committee	Mr. Collis, a director since 1998, has b law firm of Conyers Dill & Pearman, Hami Bermuda counsel since 1995. Age forty-o
John D. Monroe	Director Audit Committee	Mr. Monroe is a real estate broker and w President of a real estate brokerage and in Naples, Florida. Mr. Monroe, a direct also a director of our subsidiary, Coast Age seventy-five.
Class of 2004		Age sevency live.
Nicholas B. Dill	Director	Mr. Dill has been a consultant to the la Dill & Pearman, Hamilton, Bermuda, our B since 2000. Previous, Mr. Dill had been firm for many years. Mr. Dill, a direct also a director of Worldwide Securities Electric Light Co. Ltd., Bermuda Waterwo Ltd. Age sixty-eight.
Timothy L. Largay	Director Vice President and Assistant Secretary	Timothy L. Largay has been a partner in Murtha Cullina LLP, Hartford, Connecticu was elected a director and Vice Presiden January 15, 2001. Mr. Largay is also a Secretary of Canada Southern Petroleum L of Magellan Petroleum Corporation. Murt retained by the Company for more than fi

being retained during the current year.

Our other executive officer is the Chief Financial Officer. All of the officers of Coastal Caribbean are elected annually by the board and report directly to it.

James R. Joyce

Financial

Treasurer, Assistant Mr. Joyce has been our Treasurer, Assist Secretary and Chief Chief Financial Officer since 1994. He i Officer Chief Financial Officer and a di of Magellan Petroleum Corporation. Mr. of G&O'D INC, a firm that provides accou administrative services, office faciliti to Coastal Caribbean and other clients.

Only Messrs. Heath and Ware received direct compensation for their services as officers of Coastal Caribbean or Coastal Petroleum. Mr. Heath and Mr. Ware devote approximately 50% and 100% respectively of their professional time to the business and affairs of Coastal Caribbean and Coastal Petroleum. The other non-executive officers devote a small percentage of their professional time as officers on behalf of the companies except for Mr. Joyce, who devoted approximately 27% of his time during the year 2001.

All of the named companies are engaged in oil, gas or mineral exploration and/or development except where noted. The business experience described for each director or executive officer above covers the past five years.

We are not aware of any arrangements or understandings between any of the individuals named above and any other person by which any of the individuals named above was selected as a director and/or executive officer. We are not aware of any family relationship among the officers and directors of Coastal Caribbean or its subsidiary.

Committees of Our Board of Directors

Board of Directors; Committees; Attendance

The only standing committee of the Board is the Audit Committee which is comprised of Mr. Graham B. Collis and Mr. John D. Monroe. The Audit Committee is governed by an Audit Committee Charter which requires the committee to perform the following functions:

- to recommend the particular persons or firm to be employed by Coastal (1) Caribbean as its independent auditors;
- (2) to consult with the persons or firm so chosen to be the independent auditors with regard to the plan of audit;
- to review, in consultation with the independent auditors, their report (3)of audit, or proposed report of audit, and the accompanying management letter, if any; and
- (4) to consult with the independent auditors (periodically, as appropriate, out of the presence of management) with regard to the adequacy of internal controls.

The full Board of Directors performs the functions that would be performed by the nominating and compensation committees. A stock option committee is appointed periodically.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Board of Directors of Coastal Petroleum consists of three persons, Mr. Heath, Mr. Ware and Mr. Monroe. The entire Coastal Petroleum Board of Directors performs the functions that would be performed by a compensation committee. Benjamin W. Heath is President and a director of Coastal Caribbean. He is also Chairman and a director of Coastal Petroleum and is compensated only by Coastal Petroleum. Phillip W. Ware is Vice President and a director of Coastal Caribbean. He is also President and a director of Coastal Petroleum and is compensated only by Coastal Petroleum.

### Compensation of Directors

All of our directors except for directors who are also executive officers receive annual directors' fees in the amount of \$22,500. For the year 2001, Messrs. Collis, Dill, and Monroe each received directors' fees of \$22,500 and Mr. Largay received \$21,263 in fees. Effective February 20, 2002, Messrs. Collis, Dill, Largay and Monroe will defer the payment of all of their directors' fees until our working capital is at least \$1 million.

#### Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth certain summary information concerning the compensation of our two executive officers. No other executive officer earned compensation in excess of \$100,000 during the year 2001.

	Summary Com	pensation Table	
Annual	Compensation Long Term		
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Compensation Award Options/SARs (#)
Benjamin W. Heath, President and Chief Executive Officer	2001 2000 1999	40,000 40,000 40,000	 100,000 _
Phillip W. Ware, Vice President	2001 2000 1999	92,000 92,000 92,000 92,000	100,000

(1) Reimbursement for office expenses \$12,075 in 2001, \$9,600 in 2000, and \$9,550 in 1999, and payments to a SEP-IRA pension plan \$6,000 in 2001, 2000 and 1999.
(0) Description of the second se

(2) Payment to SEP-IRA pension plan.

#### Stock Options

The following table provides information about unexercised stock options held by the Named Executive Officers at December 31, 2001:

Aggregate	÷		and December 31,	2001
Shares Acquired	Value			Value I
On Exercise	Realized (\$)	Options/	/SARs (#)	Opt
(#)		-		at De
		Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisab
-0-	-0-	100,000	-	9,000
-0-	-0-	45,000	-	-0-
-0-	-0-	100,000	-	9,000
-0-	-0-	72,000	_	-0-
	Shares Acquired On Exercise (#) -0- -0- -0-	Opt Shares Acquired Value On Exercise Realized (\$) (#) -00- -00- -00- -00-	Option/SAR Values       Shares     Number of       Acquired     Value     Underlying       On Exercise     Realized (\$)     Options/       (#)     at December       Exercisable       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-     100,000       -0-     -0-     45,000	Shares     Number of Securities       Acquired     Value     Underlying Unexercised       On Exercise     Realized (\$)     Options/SARs (#)       (#)     at December 31, 2001       Exercisable       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-       -0-     -0-

#### Certain Business Relationships

#### G&O'D INC

During the year 2001, G&O'D INC charged us a total of \$135,573 for accounting and administrative services, office facilities and support staff which includes two full time and two part time persons. During the three months ended March 31, 2002, G&O'D billed us fees in the amount of \$60,128. Mr. James R. Joyce, the Company's Treasurer and Assistant Secretary, owns the firm of G&O'D whose fees are based on the time spent in performing services for us.

There is no written agreement between G&O'D and the Company. The Company believes that the arrangement with G&O'D is as favorable to the Company as could be obtained from an unaffiliated third party.

### Royalty Interests

The State of Florida oil, gas and mineral leases held by Coastal Petroleum on approximately 3,700,000 acres of submerged lands along the Gulf Coast and certain inland lakes and rivers are subject to certain overriding royalties aggregating 1/16th as to oil, gas and sulphur, and 13/600ths as to minerals other than oil, gas and sulphur. Of the overriding royalties as to oil, gas and sulphur, a 1/90th overriding royalty, and of the overriding royalties on minerals other than oil, gas and sulphur, a 1/60th overriding royalty, is held by Johnson & Company, a Connecticut partnership which is used as a nominee by the members of the family of the late William F. Buckley. A trust, in which Mr. Heath has a 54.4% beneficial interest, has a beneficial interest in such royalty interest held by Johnson & Company. No payments have been made to Johnson & Company (or to the beneficial owners of such royalty interests) in more than forty years.

In 1990, Coastal Petroleum granted to our current officers the following percentages of any net recovery from execution on or satisfaction of judgment or from settlement of the lawsuit against the State of Florida as follows:

	Percent of	Coastal Petroleum
Name	Net Recovery	Position

Benjamin W. Heath	1.25	Chairman of Board
Phillip W. Ware	1.25	President
James R. Joyce	0.30	Treasurer

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table provides information as to the number of shares of our stock owned beneficially at May 29, 2002 by each person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Held Directly	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership Shares Subject to Option	Percent
Leon S. Gross 3900 Ford Road Philadelphia, PA 19131	4,499,688	-	10.
Lykes Minerals Corp. 111 East Madison Street P.O. Box 1690 Tampa, FL 33601	_	7,800,000*	15.

- \* Lykes Minerals Corp. has purchased a total of 78 shares of Coastal Petroleum which are convertible into 7,800,000 of our shares.
- \*\* Assumes all outstanding options held by Lykes Mineral Corp are exercised to acquire our shares.

Security Ownership of Management

The following table sets forth information as to the number of shares of the Company's common stock owned beneficially at May 29, 2002 by each director of the Company and by all directors and executive officers as a group: Amount and Nature of Beneficial

		Ownership	
	Shares Held Directly		Percent of
Name of Individual or Group	or Indirectly	Options	Class
Graham B. Collis	25,000 (1)	112,000	*
Nicholas B. Dill	(2) -	124,000	*
Benjamin W. Heath	20,000	145,000	*
John D. Monroe	400	136,000	*
Timothy L. Largay	49,200	100,000	*
Phillip W. Ware	3,791	172,000	*
Directors and executive officers			
as a group (a total of 7 persons)	111,579	925,000	2.3%

\* Less than 1%.

(1) Director of Lane Enterprises (Bermuda) Limited, a Bermuda company, which also owns 17,758 shares. (2) Director of Brackish Pond Company Limited, a Bermuda company, which owns 3,355 shares.

DESCRIPTION OF OUR COMMON STOCK

General

Our Memorandum of Association provides that we may issue up to 250,000,000 shares of common stock. We only have one class of stock. As of December 31, 2001 and March 31, 2002, we had approximately 9,000 shareholders of record with a total of 43,468,329 shares of common stock outstanding. Below is a brief description of our common stock and the rights of shareholders as determined under Bermuda law. Neither Bermuda law, nor our Memorandum of Association or Bye-laws impose any limitations on the rights of non-residents of Bermuda to vote and hold our shares of common stock. Set forth below is a summary of the principal terms of our Memorandum and Bye-laws governing our common stock.

#### Common Stock

Dividend Rights. The holders of common stock are entitled to receive dividends, if and when the Board of Directors declares them. Each share outstanding is entitled to share equally with every other share in every dividend distribution. Current Bermuda law does not restrict the remittance of dividends to Bermuda non-residents, and any dividends paid to U.S. shareholders would not be subject to a withholding tax. We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate declaring or paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. We plan to retain any future earnings to reduce our deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$36,549,000 at March 31, 2002 and to finance our operations.

Classified Board of Directors. Bye-Law 81 provides that the Board of Directors is divided into three classes. See "Our Management - Our Directors and Executive Officers" above.

Liquidation Rights. Subject to the rights of creditors, all rights to the assets of Coastal Caribbean available for distribution upon liquidation are vested in the holders of common stock and each share is entitled to participate equally with every other share in such liquidation.

Pre-emptive Rights, Conversion Rights, Redemption Provisions, Sinking Fund and Further Assessments. The holders of common stock have no pre-emptive rights. There are no conversion rights attached to the common stock and there are no provisions for sinking funds or redemption of shares. Under Bermuda law unless authorized by our Memorandum of Association or Bye-Laws, we may not repurchase our own common stock, and our Memorandum of Association and Bye-Laws do not permit us to redeem shares of common stock. The holders of outstanding common stock are not liable to any further calls or assessments by us on their shares.

Shareholder Suits. Class actions and derivative actions are generally not available to shareholders under the laws of Bermuda. However, the Bermuda courts ordinarily would be expected to follow English case law precedent, which would permit a shareholder to commence an action in our name to remedy a wrong done to us where the act complained of is alleged to be beyond our corporate power or is illegal or would result in the violation of our Memorandum of Association or Bye-Laws. Furthermore, consideration would be given by the court to acts that are alleged to constitute a fraud against the minority shareholders or where an act requires the approval of a greater percentage of shareholders than actually approved it. The winning party in such an action generally would be able to recover a portion of attorneys' fees incurred in connection with such action.

Taxes. Bermuda currently imposes no taxes on corporate income or

capital gains realized outside of Bermuda, nor is there any withholding tax on any dividends that we might pay to you. Any amounts received by us from U. S. sources as dividends, interest, or other fixed or determinable annual or periodic gains, profits and income, will be subject to a 30% U. S. withholding tax. In addition, any dividends from Coastal Petroleum will not be eligible for the 100% dividends received deduction, which is allowable in the case of a U. S. parent corporation. U. S. residents or citizens holding shares are subject to federal estate and gift and local inheritance taxation. Any dividends received by U. S. resident or citizens will also be subject to federal, state and local income taxation. These rules are of general application only and reflect law in force as of the date of this prospectus. We encourage shareholders to seek professional advice for the current rules applicable to their particular circumstances.

Under current Bermuda law, we are not required to pay any income tax or capital gains tax. We have obtained from the Bermuda Minister of Finance an assurance under The Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda, to the effect that in the event of there being enacted in Bermuda any legislation imposing tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of any such tax shall not be applicable to us or to any of our operations, shares, debentures or other obligations until 2016. These assurances are subject to the condition that they are not interpreted so as to prevent the application of any tax or duty to such persons as are ordinarily resident in Bermuda or to prevent the application of any tax payable in accordance with the provisions of The Land Tax Act 1967 of Bermuda or otherwise payable in relation to any property we lease. We are required to pay annual Bermuda government fees.

Foreign Exchange Control Regulations. We have been designated as a non-resident for exchange control purposes by the Bermuda Monetary Authority whose permission for the issue of shares of common stock pursuant to this Offering has been obtained. This designation allows us to engage in transactions, or to pay dividends to non-residents of Bermuda who are holders of our shares, in currencies other than the Bermuda Dollar.

The transfer of shares between persons regarded as resident outside Bermuda for exchange control purposes and the issue of shares after the completion of this offering to or by such persons may take place without specific consent under the Exchange Control Act 1972. Issues and transfers of shares involving any person regarded as resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes require specific prior approval under the Exchange Control Act 1972.

Non-Bermuda owners of our shares of common stock are not restricted in the exercise of the rights to hold or vote their shares. Because we have been designated as a non-resident for Bermuda exchange control purposes, there are no restrictions on our ability to transfer funds in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to U. S. residents who are holders of our common stock, other than in respect of local Bermuda currency.

Under Bermuda law, share certificates are only issued in the names of corporations or individuals. In the case of an applicant acting in a special capacity (for example as a trustee), certificates may, at the request of the applicant, record the capacity in which the applicant is acting, but we are not bound to investigate or incur any responsibility in respect of the proper administration of any such trust. We will take no notice of any trust applicable to any of our shares whether or not we have notice of the trust.

As an "exempted company", we are exempt from Bermuda laws which restrict the percentage of share capital that may be held by non-Bermudans, but as an exempted company we may not participate in certain business transactions including: (1) the acquisition or holding of land in Bermuda (except that

required for their business and held by way of lease or tenancy for terms of not more than 50 years) without the express authorisation of the Bermuda legislature, (2) the taking of mortgages on land in Bermuda to secure an amount in excess of \$50,000 without the consent of the Minister of Finance, (3) the acquisition of any bonds or debentures secured by any land in Bermuda, other than certain types of Bermuda government securities or (4) the carrying on of business of any kind in Bermuda, except in furtherance of their business carried on outside Bermuda.

We will be required to comply with the provisions of the Companies Act regulating the payment of dividends and making distributions from contributed surplus. Pursuant to the Companies Act, a company shall not declare or pay a dividend, or make a distribution out of contributed surplus, if there are reasonable grounds for believing that: (1) the company is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due; or (2) the realisable value of the company's assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities and its issued share capital and share premium accounts.

Voting Rights. All voting rights are vested in the holders of common stock, each share voting equally with every other share. Shareholders are not entitled to cumulative voting. The holders of 25% of the total number of shares entitled to be voted at the meeting, present in person or by proxy constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business. Bye-Law 1 provides that any matter to be voted upon at any meeting of shareholders must be approved, not only by a simple majority of the shares voted at such meeting, but also by a simple majority of the shareholders voting.

Bye-Law 1 provides in part that shareholder approval requires:

a resolution passed by both (i) simple majority of votes cast by such Members as, being entitled so to do, vote in person or, in the case of any Member being a corporation, by its duly authorized representative or, where proxies are allowed, by proxy and (ii) a simple majority in number of the Members present in person or in the case of any Member being a corporation by its duly authorized representative or where proxies are allowed, by proxy, at a general meeting of which not less than fourteen (14) clear days' Notice (save where a longer period is required by these Bye-laws) has been duly given PROVIDED THAT when shares are held by members of another company, firm, partnership, association or other body corporate or unincorporated and such persons act in concert, or when shares are held by or for a group of Members who act in concert, such persons shall be deemed to be one Member.

Bye-Law 163 requires that a resolution adopted by the holders of 75% or more of the outstanding common stock and adopted by not less than 75% of the shareholders is required to approve any business combination (defined as any "arrangement, reconstruction, amalgamation, takeover, or similar business combination") involving the Company and any other person.

Limitation of Director Liability and Indemnification

Director liability. The Companies Act imposes two basic duties on each director and officer:

Duty of loyalty. A director or officer must act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the company. This means that in conflict of interest situations, a director must place the best interests of the company above his own personal interests. It also means that a director may not use his position as a director to make a

personal profit from opportunities that rightfully belong to the company.

Duty of care. A director or officer must exercise the care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances. Based on English case law precedent, this means that a director must act reasonably in accordance with the level of skill expected from a person of his knowledge and experience. A director must attend diligently to the company's affairs, but is permitted to do so on an intermittent rather than a continuous basis. A director may delegate management functions to suitably qualified persons, although he will not avoid his duty by delegation to others.

A Bermuda court is unlikely to interfere with decisions of directors unless one of these two duties is breached. The court must find that the directors acted in bad faith or that no reasonable board of directors could have come to the decision that was reached.

Director indemnification. We may under Bermuda law indemnify our directors and officers for any loss or liability that they may incur in their capacity as our directors and officers. The loss or liability may result from any law that finds them guilty of negligence, default, breach of duty or breach of trust. A director or officer may not be indemnified for his own fraud or dishonesty.

Legal expenses. We are required to pay all expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by a director in defending any legal proceeding as they are incurred in advance of final disposition if the director agrees to repay those amounts if it is proved by clear and convincing evidence that the director's action or omission was undertaken with deliberate intent to cause injury to the company or with reckless disregard for our best interests and if the director reasonably cooperates with the corporation during the proceeding.

Bye-Laws. Our Bye-Laws provide for indemnification of our director and officers which is coextensive with that permitted under Bermuda law.

D&O Insurance. Coastal Caribbean has directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage in the amount of \$12,200,000 at an annual cost of \$208,000.

#### Transfer Agent and Registrar

Our transfer agent and registrar is American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, 59 Maiden Lane, New York, New York 10038. Telephone: 800-937-5449, or 718-921-8200. Website: http://www.amstock.com.

#### Price Range of Our Common Stock

The principal market for our common stock is the Boston Stock Exchange under the symbol COCBF. Presently, our stock does not meet the Boston Stock Exchange's minimum maintenance requirement of total assets of \$1 million or its shareholder equity minimum requirement of \$500,000. Unless, we are able to remedy the maintenance deficiency, the Boston Exchange will delist us from trading on the Exchange. The Exchange has also advised us that if this offering raises sufficient funds to cause us to be in compliance with the Exchange's requirements, no suspension of trading or delisting will occur. Our common stock is also traded in the over-the-counter market on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol

COCBF.OB. The quarterly high and low closing prices on the Boston Stock Exchange (1999-2001) and "Electronic Bulletin Board" (2002) were as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

2002	1st quarter	2nd quarter (1)		
High Low	1.02	.91 .60		
2001	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
High Low	1.81 .85	1.75 .90	1.32 .85	1.05 .80
2000	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
High Low	1.19 0.88	1.19 0.53	2.50 1.00	1.13 0.69
1999 	1st quarter	2nd quarter	3rd quarter	4th quarter
High Low	1.88 1.00	2.00 1.50	1.81 1.31	2.06 1.06

(1) Through June 14, 2002

On June 14 2002, the closing price of our common stock on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" was \$.70. On March 31, 2002, approximately 9,000 shareholders of record owned our outstanding common stock.

The total share trading volume on the Boston Stock Exchange was 1,625,800 for 2001, 3,914,100 for 2000 and 4,279,100 for 1999. The total share trading volume on the "Electronic Bulletin Board" from January 1, 2002 to June 14, 2002 was 2,329,600.

#### PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The graph below compares the cumulative total returns, including reinvestment of dividends, if applicable, of our stock with the companies in the NASDAQ Market Index Media General's Independent Oil & Gas Industry Group. The chart displayed below is presented in accordance with SEC requirements. Shareholders are cautioned against drawing any conclusions from the data contained therein, as past results are not necessarily indicative of future.

> COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2001

> > [OBJECT OMITTED]

1996	1997	

Coastal Caribbean	100	62.50	41.07	39.29	30.2
Independent Oil & Gas	100	93.10	60.30	84.48	122.6
NASDAQ Market Index	100	122.32	172.52	304.29	191.2

#### TERMS OF THE OFFERING

Basic Subscription Privilege

We are offering for sale, to our shareholders only, a total of 10,867,082 shares of our common stock. For every four shares that you hold on the record date, June 17, 2002, you are guaranteed the right to purchase one share for a purchase price of \$.50 per share. If you purchase all of the shares that you are guaranteed the right to buy, you will also have the right to purchase additional shares which are contingent on the number of shares that are not purchased by the other shareholders.

The shares being offered for sale will first be allocated to satisfy the shareholders' guaranteed right to purchase shares. If there are unsold shares remaining after these allocations, then any