

SANDERSON FARMS INC
Form 10-Q
May 25, 2017

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-14977

Sanderson Farms, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Mississippi 64-0615843
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

127 Flynt Road, Laurel, Mississippi 39443
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(601) 649-4030
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: SANDERSON FARMS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common Stock, \$1 Par Value Per Share: 22,742,508 shares outstanding as of May 22, 2017.

Table of Contents

INDEX

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated balance sheets—April 30, 2017 and October 31, 2016</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of operations—Three and six months ended April 30, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows—Six months ended April 30, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements—April 30, 2017</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>13</u>
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>14</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>EXHIBITS</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except number of shares)

	April 30, 2017 (Unaudited)	October 31, 2016 (Note 1)
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$246,281	\$234,111
Accounts receivable, net	138,532	124,348
Inventories	234,796	220,306
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	42,048	34,559
Total current assets	661,657	613,324
Property, plant and equipment	1,593,910	1,505,596
Less accumulated depreciation	(743,844)	(701,605)
	850,066	803,991
Other assets	7,238	5,385
Total assets	\$1,518,961	\$1,422,700
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$75,568	\$72,774
Dividends payable	5,458	—
Accrued expenses	50,264	57,918
Accrued income taxes	1,855	17,497
Total current liabilities	133,145	148,189
Claims payable and other liabilities	9,080	8,501
Deferred income taxes	97,449	75,748
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred Stock:		
Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$100 par value: authorized 500,000 shares, none issued		
Par value to be determined by the Board of Directors: authorized 4,500,000 shares; none issued		
Common Stock, \$1 par value: authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding shares—22,742,508 and 22,693,225 at April 30, 2017 and October 31, 2016, respectively	22,743	22,693
Paid-in capital	135,630	125,855
Retained earnings	1,120,914	1,041,714
Total stockholders' equity	1,279,287	1,190,262
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,518,961	\$1,422,700
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)
 (In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 30,		April 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net sales	\$802,038	\$692,089	\$1,490,384	\$1,297,255
Cost and expenses:				
Cost of sales	655,283	578,276	1,261,674	1,133,337
Selling, general and administrative	43,641	40,039	89,711	70,333
	698,924	618,315	1,351,385	1,203,670
Operating Income	103,114	73,774	138,999	93,585
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	301	—	496	—
Interest expense	(428)	(420)	(860)	(851)
Other	3	7	5	10
	(124)	(413)	(359)	(841)
Income before income taxes	102,990	73,361	138,640	92,744
Income tax expense	36,047	25,759	48,524	34,461
Net income	\$66,943	\$47,602	\$90,116	\$58,283
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$2.94	\$2.11	\$3.96	\$2.58
Diluted	\$2.94	\$2.11	\$3.96	\$2.58
Dividends per share	\$0.24	\$0.22	\$0.48	\$0.44

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)
 (In thousands)

	Six Months Ended	
	April 30,	2016
	2017	
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 90,116	\$ 58,283
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	46,920	40,847
Non-cash stock compensation	9,379	9,128
Deferred income taxes	21,701	28,783
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	(14,184)	(11,189)
Income taxes	(15,642)	31,490
Inventories	(14,490)	(12,169)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(7,525)	(3,711)
Accounts payable	6,714	1,407
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(6,219)	(35,145)
Total adjustments	26,654	49,441
Net cash provided by operating activities	116,770	107,724
Investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(96,635)	(67,326)
Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment	319	401
Net cash used in investing activities	(96,316)	(66,925)
Financing activities		
Principal payments on long-term debt	—	(10,000)
Payments for debt issuance costs	(2,416)	—
Proceeds from issuance of restricted stock under stock compensation plans	475	771
Payments from issuance of common	(3,068)	(4,051)

stock under stock compensation plans Tax benefit on vesting of restricted stock grants	2,183		3,910	
Dividends paid	(5,458)	(4,966)
Net cash used in financing activities	(8,284)	(14,336)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	12,170		26,463	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	234,111		196,659	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 246,281		\$ 223,122	
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activity:				
Dividends payable	\$ (5,458)	\$ (4,966)

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

April 30, 2017

NOTE 1—ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended April 30, 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending October 31, 2017.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet at October 31, 2016 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, reference is made to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance amending the requirements related to accounting for changes to stock compensation awards. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, our fiscal 2019. Early adoption is permitted. The impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements will depend on the nature and extent of future changes, if any, to the terms and conditions of the Company's Stock Incentive Plan.

In March 2017, the FASB issued guidance requiring the service cost component of defined benefit pension plans and other post-retirement benefit plans to be reported in the same line item or items as other compensation costs arising from the services rendered by the pertinent employees during the period. The other components of net benefit cost are required to be reported outside of operating income. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, our fiscal 2019. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect adoption to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued guidance requiring all income tax effects of stock awards to be recognized in the statement of operations when the awards vest or are settled rather than recording excess tax benefits or deficiencies in additional paid-in capital. Additionally, classification of the related amounts in the statement of cash flows will be recognized within operating activities, rather than financing activities. The guidance also changes accounting for an employee's use of shares to satisfy the employer's statutory tax withholding obligation and for forfeitures. The standard becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, our fiscal 2018. Early adoption is permitted. The effect of this new standard's provisions on excess tax benefits or deficiencies in our consolidated financial statements will depend on the changes in the Company's stock prices at vesting dates and grant dates for awards that vest after adoption. The changes in amounts repurchased for tax withholding purposes and changes in the accounting for forfeitures are not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued guidance which is intended to increase transparency and comparability among companies by requiring an entity that is a lessee to recognize on the balance sheet the right-of-use assets and lease liabilities arising from all leases with terms, as defined by the guidance, of greater than twelve months. The guidance also requires disclosures of key information about the leasing arrangements. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, our fiscal 2020. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued guidance that requires an entity to measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual

reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, our fiscal 2018. Early adoption is permitted and the prospective transition method should be applied. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance changing the criteria for recognizing revenue, which was amended in 2015 to defer the effective date by one year. The guidance also modifies the related disclosure requirements, clarifies guidance for multiple-element arrangements and provides guidance for transactions that were not addressed fully in previous guidance. The guidance, as amended, is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, our fiscal 2019. Early adoption is permitted for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2—INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

	April 30,	October
	2017	31, 2016
	(In thousands)	
Live poultry-broilers and breeders	\$ 158,590	\$ 143,554
Feed, eggs and other	37,457	40,834
Processed poultry	18,759	15,378
Prepared chicken	13,172	13,640
Packaging materials	6,818	6,900
	\$ 234,796	\$ 220,306

NOTE 3—STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

Refer to Note 8 and Note 9 of the Company's October 31, 2016 audited financial statements in the Company's 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on our employee benefit plans and stock based compensation plans, respectively. Total stock based compensation expense during the three and six months ended April 30, 2017 was \$3,693,000 and \$9,379,000, respectively, as compared to total stock based compensation expense of \$6,406,000 and \$9,128,000 for the three and six months ended April 30, 2016, respectively.

During the six months ended April 30, 2017, participants in the Company's Management Share Purchase Plan ("MSPP") elected to receive a total of 5,728 shares of restricted stock at an average price of \$98.86 per share instead of a specified percentage of their cash compensation, and the Company issued 1,375 matching restricted shares. During the three and six months ended April 30, 2017, the Company recorded compensation cost for the MSPP shares, included in the total stock based compensation expense above, of \$138,000 and \$198,000, respectively, as compared to \$89,000 and \$178,000 during the three and six months ended April 30, 2016, respectively.

During fiscal 2017, 2016 and 2015, the Company entered into performance share agreements that grant certain officers and key employees the right to receive shares of the Company's common stock, subject to the Company's achievement of certain performance measures. The performance share agreements specify a target number of shares that a participant can receive based upon the Company's average return on equity and average return on sales, as defined, during a two-year performance period beginning November 1 of each performance period. Although the performance share agreements have a two-year performance period, they are subject to an additional one-year period during which the participant must remain employed by the Company before they are paid out. If the Company's average return on equity and average return on sales exceed certain threshold amounts for the performance period, participants will receive 50 percent to 200 percent of the target number of shares, depending upon the Company's level of performance. The target number of shares specified in the performance share agreements executed on November 1, 2016 totaled 68,250. As of April 30, 2017, the Company could not determine that achievement of the applicable performance based criteria is probable for the agreements entered into on November 1, 2016 due to the uncertainties discussed below, and therefore recorded no compensation expense related to those agreements.

The Company also has performance share agreements in place with certain officers and key employees that were entered into on November 1, 2015. During the quarter ended January 31, 2017, the Company determined that achievement of the applicable performance based criteria for the November 1, 2015 agreements is probable at a level between the target and maximum levels. Accordingly, the three and six months ended April 30, 2017 include compensation expense of \$1,193,000 and \$4,484,000, respectively, in the total stock based compensation expense above, as compared to no compensation expense recorded during the three and six months ended April 30, 2016,

related to the agreements entered into on November 1, 2015. As of April 30, 2017, the aggregate number of shares estimated to be awarded related to the performance share agreements entered into on

7

Table of Contents

November 1, 2015 totaled 129,025 shares. The actual number of shares that can be awarded for those agreements could change materially from that estimate due to the Company's actual performance during the remaining six months of the performance period ending October 31, 2017, and due to potential forfeitures.

The Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors has determined that the performance shares granted as of November 1, 2014 have been earned at a level between the target and maximum levels, subject to the satisfaction of the additional one-year service period ending on October 31, 2017. Accordingly, the three and six months ended April 30, 2017 include compensation expense of \$709,000 and \$1,343,000, respectively, related to those agreements, as compared to \$3,943,000 of compensation expense during the three and six months ended April 30, 2016. There was no compensation expense recorded during the quarter ended January 31, 2016 related to the agreements entered into on November 1, 2014, because management's initial determination of probability was made during the second quarter of fiscal 2016. As of April 30, 2017, the aggregate number of shares estimated to be awarded related to the performance share agreements entered into on November 1, 2014 totaled 102,193 shares. Since the performance period for those agreements has ended, the actual number of shares that will be awarded can change only due to potential forfeitures during the remaining six months of the service period ending October 31, 2017. In estimating the compensation expense to record in a period for any outstanding performance share grants, the Company considers, among other factors, current and projected grain costs and chicken volumes and pricing, as well as the amount of the Company's commitments to procure grain at a fixed price throughout the performance period. Due to the high level of volatility of these commodity prices and the impact that the change in pricing can have on the Company's results, the Company's assessment of probability can change from period to period and can result in a significant revision to the amounts accrued related to the arrangements. The accounting for these arrangements requires the Company to accrue over the two-year performance and one-year service periods the estimated amounts that will be earned with changes made during those periods adjusted using the cumulative catch up method. Had the Company determined that it was probable that the maximum amount of those outstanding awards from the agreements entered into on November 1, 2015 and November 1, 2016 would be earned, an additional \$1.1 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, would have been accrued as of April 30, 2017.

The Company's compensation cost related to performance share agreements is summarized as follows (in thousands, except number of shares):

Date of Performance Share Agreement	Number of shares issued (actual (a) or estimated (e))	Three months ended		Six months ended	
		April 30, 2017	April 30, 2016	April 30, 2017	April 30, 2016
November 1, 2013	146,169 (a)	\$—	\$ 799	\$—	\$ 1,566
November 1, 2014	102,193 (e)	709	3,943	1,343	3,943
November 1, 2015	129,025 (e)	1,193	—	4,484	—
November 1, 2016 (1)	— (e)	—	—	—	—
Total compensation cost		\$1,902	\$ 4,742	\$5,827	\$ 5,509

Note (1) - As of April 30, 2017, the Company could not determine that achievement of the applicable performance based criteria is probable for the agreements entered into on November 1, 2016 due to the uncertainties discussed above, and therefore recorded no compensation expense related to those agreements.

On November 1, 2016, the Company granted 68,250 shares of restricted stock to certain officers and key management employees. The restricted stock had a grant date fair value of \$91.61 per share and will vest on November 1, 2020. On February 9, 2017, the Company granted an aggregate of 15,237 shares of restricted stock to all of its non-employee directors. The restricted stock had a grant date fair value of \$88.61 per share and vests one, two or three years from the date of grant. The Company also has unvested restricted stock grants outstanding that were granted during prior fiscal years to its officers, key employees and outside directors. The aggregate number of shares outstanding at April 30, 2017 related to all unvested restricted stock grants totaled 301,229. During the three and six months ended April 30, 2017, the Company recorded compensation cost, included in the total stock based compensation expense above, of \$1,653,000 and \$3,353,000, respectively, related to restricted stock grants, as compared to \$1,575,000 and \$3,441,000

during the three and six months ended April 30, 2016, respectively. The Company had \$13.6 million in unrecognized share-based compensation costs as of April 30, 2017, which will be recognized over a weighted average remaining vesting period of approximately 1 year, 10 months.

NOTE 4—EARNINGS PER SHARE

8

Table of Contents

Certain share-based payment awards described in Note 3 - Stock Compensation Plans above entitling holders to receive non-forfeitable dividends before vesting are considered participating securities and thus are included in the calculation of basic earnings per share, to the extent they are dilutive. These awards are included in the calculation of basic earnings per share under the two-class method. The two-class method allocates earnings for the period between common shareholders and other security holders. The participating awards receiving dividends are allocated the same amount of income as if they were vested shares.

The following tables present earnings per share.

	Three months ended	
	April 30, 2017	April 30, 2016
	(in thousands except per share amounts)	
Net Income	\$ 66,943	\$ 47,602
Distributed and undistributed (earnings) to unvested restricted stock	(1,015)	(735)
Distributed and undistributed earnings to common shareholders—Basic	\$ 65,928	\$ 46,867
Weighted average shares outstanding—Basic	22,396	22,226
Weighted average shares outstanding—Diluted	22,396	22,226
Earnings per common share—Basic	\$ 2.94	\$ 2.11
Earnings per common share—Diluted	\$ 2.94	\$ 2.11

	Six months ended	
	April 30, 2017	April 30, 2016
	(in thousands except per share amounts)	
Net Income	\$ 90,116	\$ 58,283
Distributed and undistributed (earnings) to unvested restricted stock	(1,399)	(919)
Distributed and undistributed earnings to common shareholders—Basic	\$ 88,717	\$ 57,364
Weighted average shares outstanding—Basic	22,387	22,216
Weighted average shares outstanding—Diluted	22,387	22,216
Earnings per common share—Basic	\$ 3.96	\$ 2.58
Earnings per common share—Diluted	\$ 3.96	\$ 2.58

NOTE 5—FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company holds certain items that are required to be disclosed at fair value, primarily cash equivalents. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A three-level hierarchy is followed for disclosure to show the extent and level of judgment used to estimate fair value measurements:

Level 1 – Inputs used to measure fair value are unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date.

Level 2 – Inputs used to measure fair value, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date through correlation with market data, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 also includes assets and liabilities that are valued using models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment since the input assumptions used in the models, such as interest rates and volatility factors, are corroborated by readily observable data from actively quoted markets for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 – Inputs used to measure fair value are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management’s estimates of market participant assumptions.

Table of Contents

At April 30, 2017, and October 31, 2016, the fair value of the Company's cash and cash equivalents approximated their carrying value due to the short maturity of these financial instruments and were categorized as a Level 2 measurement. Inputs used to measure fair value were primarily recent trading prices and prevailing market interest rates.

NOTE 6—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Property, Plant and Equipment

On March 16, 2017, the Company announced the selection of sites in Lindale, Mineola and Smith County, Texas, for the construction of a new poultry processing complex. The completed complex will consist of a hatchery, feed mill, processing plant, and waste water treatment facility. Construction is expected to commence in the third quarter of fiscal 2017, and initial operations of the new complex are expected to begin during the first calendar quarter of 2019. The Company estimates the total investment in the complex will be approximately \$200.5 million. As of May 24, 2017, the Company has not entered into any commitments related to the new complex.

As of April 30, 2017, the Company has outstanding commitments totaling \$46.2 million related to purchase agreements for future delivery of aircraft. These commitments are expected to be paid as follows: \$10.0 million during the remainder of fiscal 2017, \$22.1 million during fiscal 2018, and \$14.1 million during fiscal 2019.

Litigation

Between September 2, 2016 and October 13, 2016, Sanderson Farms, Inc. and our subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with 13 other poultry producers and certain of their affiliated companies, in multiple putative class action lawsuits filed by direct and indirect purchasers of broiler chickens in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The complaints allege that the defendants conspired to unlawfully fix, raise, maintain and stabilize the price of broiler chickens, thereby violating federal and certain states' antitrust laws, and also allege certain related state-law claims. The complaints also allege that the defendants fraudulently concealed the alleged anticompetitive conduct in furtherance of the conspiracy. The complaints seek damages, including treble damages for the antitrust claims, injunctive relief, costs and attorneys' fees. The court has consolidated all of the direct purchaser complaints into one case, and the indirect purchaser complaints into two cases, one on behalf of commercial and institutional indirect purchaser plaintiffs and one on behalf of end-user consumer plaintiffs. On October 28, 2016, the direct and indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed consolidated, amended complaints, and on November 23, 2016, the direct and indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed second amended complaints. On December 16, 2016, the indirect purchaser plaintiffs separated into two cases. On that date, the commercial and institutional indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed a third amended complaint, and the end-user consumer plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. On January 27, 2017 the defendants filed motions to dismiss the amended complaints in all of the cases. These motions are now fully briefed and awaiting decision. The lawsuits are in the earliest stages and we intend to defend them vigorously; however, the Company cannot predict the outcome of these actions. If the plaintiffs were to prevail, the Company could be liable for damages, which could have a material, adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Sanderson Farms, Inc.; Joe F. Sanderson, Jr., the Chairman of the Registrant's Board of Directors and its Chief Executive Officer; and D. Michael Cockrell, director and Chief Financial Officer, were named as defendants in a putative class action lawsuit filed on October 28, 2016, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. On March 30, 2017, the lead plaintiff filed an amended complaint adding Lampkin Butts, director, Chief Operating Officer, and President, as a defendant. The complaint alleges that the defendants made statements in the Company's SEC filings and press releases, and other public statements, that were materially false and misleading in light of the Company's alleged, undisclosed violation of the federal antitrust laws described above. The complaint also alleges that the material misstatements were made in order to, among other things, "artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Sanderson Farms securities." The complaint alleges the defendants thereby violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), including Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and seeks damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees. The lawsuit is in an early stage and the defendants intend to defend it vigorously; however, the Company cannot predict the outcome of these actions. If the plaintiffs were to prevail, the Company could be liable for damages, which could have a material, adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On January 30, 2017, the Company received a letter from a putative shareholder demanding that the Company take action against current and/or former officers and directors of the Company for alleged breach of their fiduciary duties. The shareholder asserted that the officers and directors (i) failed to take any action to stop the alleged antitrust conspiracy described above, despite their alleged knowledge of the conspiracy, and (ii) made and/or caused the Company to make materially false and misleading statements by failing to disclose the alleged conspiracy. He also asserted that certain directors engaged in

Table of Contents

“insider sales” from which they improperly benefited. The shareholder also demanded that the Company adopt unspecified corporate governance improvements. On February 9, 2017, pursuant to statutory procedures available in connection with demands of this type, the Company’s board of directors appointed a special committee of qualified directors to determine, after conducting a reasonable inquiry, whether it is in the Company’s best interests to pursue any of the actions asserted in the shareholder’s letter. On April 26, 2017, the special committee reported to the Company’s board of directors its determination that it is not in the Company’s best interests to take any of the demanded actions at this time, and that no governance improvements related to the subject matter of the demand are needed at this time. On May 5, 2017, the special committee’s counsel informed the shareholder’s counsel of the committee’s determination.

As of the date of filing of this report, and to the Company’s knowledge, no legal proceedings related to the shareholder’s demand have been filed. However, we are voluntarily disclosing the existence of the shareholder demand for the sake of completeness, in light of its relationship to the putative antitrust and securities class action lawsuits described above.

On January 27, 2017, Sanderson Farms, Inc. and our subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with four other poultry producers and certain of their affiliated companies, in a putative class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma. On March 27, 2017, Sanderson Farms, Inc. and our subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with four other poultry producers and certain of their affiliated companies, in a second putative class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma. The complaints allege that the defendants unlawfully conspired by sharing data on compensation paid to broiler farmers, with the purpose and effect of suppressing the farmers’ compensation below competitive levels. The complaints also allege that the defendants unlawfully conspired to not solicit or hire the broiler farmers who were providing services to other defendants. The complaints seek treble damages, costs and attorneys’ fees. On April 25, 2017, the Court issued a Joint Order in both cases, in which it stated that it was inclined, pending a hearing, to consolidate the two cases and to order the filing of a consolidated complaint. That hearing is presently scheduled for June 9, 2017. The lawsuits are in their early stages, and we intend to defend them vigorously. If the plaintiffs were to prevail, the Company could be liable for damages, which could have a material, adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On February 21, 2017, Sanderson Farms, Inc. received an antitrust civil investigative demand from the Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs, of the State of Florida. Among other things, the demand seeks information related to the Georgia Dock Index and other information on poultry and poultry products published by the Georgia Department of Agriculture and its Poultry Market News division. The Company is cooperating fully with the investigative demand, and we are unable to predict its outcome at this time.

The Company is involved in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome of currently pending matters, other than those discussed above, should not have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company’s assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company’s control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations in these legal proceedings.

NOTE 7—CREDIT AGREEMENT

The Company entered into a new revolving credit facility on April 28, 2017 to, among other things, increase the available credit to \$900.0 million from \$750.0 million. The facility has annual capital expenditure limitations of \$100.0 million, \$105.0 million, \$110.0 million, \$115.0 million, \$120.0 million and \$125.0 million for fiscal years 2017 through 2022, respectively, and permits up to \$15.0 million of the unused capital expenditure limitation from fiscal year 2016 to be carried over to the fiscal year 2017; thereafter, up to \$20.0 million of the unused limitation for any fiscal year starting with fiscal year 2017 may be carried over to the next fiscal year. The facility also permits capital expenditures up to \$200.5 million on the construction of a new poultry complex in and around Tyler, Texas, up

to \$210.0 million on the construction of a potential additional new poultry complex, up to \$15.0 million on expansion of the Company's existing prepared chicken facility in Flowood, Mississippi, up to \$60.0 million on a potential new further processing complex, and up to \$70.0 million on the purchase of three new aircraft. Under the facility, the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 50%. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the term of the agreement, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by five percentage points in connection with the construction of any of the three aforementioned new complexes for the four fiscal

Table of Contents

quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The facility also sets a minimum net worth requirement that at April 30, 2017, was \$887.2 million. The credit is unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on April 28, 2022. As of April 30, 2017, and May 24, 2017, the Company had no outstanding draws under the facility, and had approximately \$19.7 million outstanding in letters of credit, leaving \$880.3 million of borrowing capacity available under the facility.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sanderson Farms, Inc.

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2017, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three-month and six-month periods ended April 30, 2017 and 2016 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended April 30, 2017 and 2016. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole.

Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended not presented herein and we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements in our report dated December 15, 2016. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of October 31, 2016, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

May 25, 2017

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

The following Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Item 7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

This Quarterly Report, and other periodic reports filed by the Company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and other written or oral statements made by it or on its behalf, may include forward-looking statements within the meaning of the "Safe Harbor" provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. These forward-looking statements are based on a number of assumptions about future events and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from the views, beliefs and estimates expressed in such statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to, the risks described in the "Risk Factors" section of our latest 10-K and 10-Q reports, and to the following:

- (1) Changes in the market price for the Company's finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets.
- (2) Changes in economic and business conditions, monetary and fiscal policies or the amount of growth, stagnation or recession in the global or U.S. economies, any of which may affect the value of inventories, the collectability of accounts receivable or the financial integrity of customers, and the ability of the end user or consumer to afford protein.
- (3) Changes in the political or economic climate, trade policies, laws and regulations or the domestic poultry industry of countries to which the Company or other companies in the poultry industry ship product, and other changes that might limit the Company's or the industry's access to foreign markets.
- (4) Changes in laws, regulations, and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations applicable to the Company and the poultry industry and changes in laws, regulations and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations related to food safety.
- (5) Various inventory risks due to changes in market conditions, including, but not limited to, the risk that market values of live and processed poultry inventories might be lower than the cost of such inventories, requiring a downward adjustment to record the value of such inventories at the lower of cost or market as required by generally accepted accounting principles.
- (6) Changes in and effects of competition, which is significant in all markets in which the Company competes, and the effectiveness of marketing and advertising programs. The Company competes with regional and national firms, some of which have greater financial and marketing resources than the Company.
- (7) Changes in accounting policies and practices adopted voluntarily by the Company or required to be adopted by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.
- (8) Disease outbreaks affecting the production, performance and/or marketability of the Company's poultry products, or the contamination of its products.
- (9) Changes in the availability and cost of labor and growers.
- (10) The loss of any of the Company's major customers.
- (11) Inclement weather that could hurt Company flocks or otherwise adversely affect its operations, or changes in global weather patterns that could affect the supply of feed grains.
- (12) Failure to respond to changing consumer preferences and negative media campaigns.
- (13) Failure to successfully and efficiently start up and run a new plant or integrate any business the Company might acquire.
- (14) Unfavorable results from currently pending litigation and proceedings, or litigation and proceedings that could arise in the future.

Table of Contents

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of Sanderson Farms. Each such statement speaks only as of the day it was made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or to revise any forward-looking statements. The factors described above cannot be controlled by the Company. When used in this report, the words “believes,” “estimates,” “plans,” “expects,” “should,” “outlook,” and “anticipates” and similar expressions as they relate to the Company or its management are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements about management’s beliefs about future earnings, production levels, capital expenditures, grain prices, supply and demand factors and other industry conditions.

GENERAL

The Company’s poultry operations are integrated through its control of all functions relative to the production of its chicken products, including hatching egg production, hatching, feed manufacturing, raising chickens to marketable age (“grow out”), processing, marketing and distribution. The Company’s prepared chicken product line includes approximately 80 institutional and consumer packaged chicken items that it sells nationally, primarily to distributors and food service establishments. A majority of the prepared chicken items are made to the specifications of food service users.

Consistent with the poultry industry, the Company’s profitability is substantially affected by the market prices for its finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets. Other costs, excluding feed grains, related to the profitability of the Company’s poultry operations, including hatching egg production, hatching, growing, and processing cost, are responsive to efficient cost containment programs and management practices.

In February 2015, the Company began initial operations at a new poultry processing complex in Palestine, Texas. The complex consists of a feed mill, hatchery, poultry processing plant and wastewater facility with the capacity to process 1.25 million chickens per week. During the second quarter of fiscal 2017, the Palestine processing plant processed approximately 129.6 million pounds of dressed poultry meat, as compared to approximately 71.5 million pounds during the second quarter of fiscal 2016. See “The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties” in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

On March 12, 2015, the Company announced the selection of St. Pauls and Robeson County, North Carolina, for the construction of a new poultry processing complex. The completed complex consists of a hatchery, processing plant, waste water treatment facility, and an expansion of the Company's existing feed mill in Kinston, North Carolina. Construction began in July 2015, and initial operations of the new complex began during the first quarter of fiscal 2017. At full capacity, the new complex will process 1.25 million chickens per week. Before the complex can reach full production, we will need to enter into contracts with a sufficient number of independent contract poultry producers to house the live inventory and hire and train our workforce. See "The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties" in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

On March 16, 2017, the Company announced the selection of sites in Lindale, Mineola and Smith County, Texas, for the construction of a new poultry processing complex. The completed complex will consist of a hatchery, feed mill, processing plant and waste water treatment facility. Construction is expected to commence in the third quarter of fiscal 2017, and initial operations of the new complex are expected to begin during the first calendar quarter of 2019. At full capacity, the new complex will process 1.25 million chickens per week. Before the complex can become operational, we will need to identify and purchase or lease the necessary sites, obtain the necessary licenses and permits, enter into construction contracts, enter into contracts with a sufficient number of independent contract poultry producers to house the live inventory and hire and train our workforce. See "The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties" in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

The Company entered into a new revolving credit facility on April 28, 2017 to, among other things, increase the available credit to \$900.0 million from \$750.0 million. The facility has annual capital expenditure limitations of \$100.0 million, \$105.0 million, \$110.0 million, \$115.0 million, \$120.0 million and \$125.0 million for fiscal years 2017 through 2022, respectively, and permits up to \$15.0 million of the unused capital expenditure limitation from

fiscal year 2016 to be carried over to the fiscal year 2017; thereafter, up to \$20.0 million of the unused limitation for any fiscal year starting with fiscal year 2017 may be carried over to the next fiscal year. The facility also permits capital expenditures up to \$200.5 million on the construction of a new poultry complex in and around Tyler, Texas, up to \$210.0 million on the construction of a potential additional new poultry complex, up to \$15.0 million on expansion of the Company's existing prepared chicken facility in Flowood, Mississippi, up to \$60.0 million on a potential new further processing complex, and up to \$70.0 million on the purchase of three new aircraft. Under the facility, the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 50%. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the term of the agreement, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by five

Table of Contents

percentage points in connection with the construction of any of the three aforementioned new complexes for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The facility also sets a minimum net worth requirement that at April 30, 2017, was \$887.2 million. The credit is unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on April 28, 2022. As of April 30, 2017, and May 24, 2017, the Company had no outstanding draws under the facility, and had approximately \$19.7 million outstanding in letters of credit, leaving \$880.3 million of borrowing capacity available under the facility. For more information about the facility, see Item 1.01 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 4, 2017, which is incorporated herein by reference.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW OF RESULTS

The Company's margins improved during the second quarter of fiscal 2017 when compared to the same period a year ago, reflecting higher average sales prices and only slightly higher feed costs per pound of chicken processed. Driving our second quarter results were continued strong demand for chicken at retail grocery stores, increased volume, and improved export markets. In addition to strong demand at retail grocery stores, market prices for chicken wings improved counter-seasonally during the quarter, and market prices for boneless skinless breast meat produced at our plants that produce a larger sized bird moved up during April and have continued that trend into May. The improvement in export markets reflects oil prices that have moved above their previous lows, giving more buying power to those countries heavily dependent on oil revenue to fuel their economy. Additionally, the presence of avian-influenza in Europe has caused some export partners to increase their purchases of chicken from the United States.

While overall prices paid for feed grains were higher during the second quarter of fiscal 2017 as compared to the same period a year ago, feed formulation changes and improved broiler performance partially offset the higher prices. As a result, the average feed cost in broiler flocks processed was essentially flat, increasing by only 0.4%. The Company has priced only a small portion of its grain needs past May 2017. Had it priced its remaining fiscal year 2017 needs at May 23, 2017 cash market prices, its costs of feed grains would be approximately \$24.5 million higher during fiscal 2017 as compared to fiscal 2016.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net sales for the second quarter ended April 30, 2017 were \$802.0 million as compared to \$692.1 million for the second quarter ended April 30, 2016, an increase of \$109.9 million, or 15.9%. Net sales of poultry products for the second quarter ended April 30, 2017 and 2016, were \$758.3 million and \$647.9 million, respectively, an increase of \$110.4 million, or 17.0%. The increase in net sales of poultry products resulted from a 5.0% increase in the average sales price of poultry products sold and an 11.5% increase in the pounds of poultry products sold. During the second quarter of fiscal 2017, the Company sold 1,035.6 million pounds of poultry products, up from 928.9 million pounds during the second quarter of fiscal 2016. The increased pounds of poultry products sold resulted from a 9.0% increase in the number of head processed. The new St. Pauls processing facility, which began initial operations in January 2017, processed approximately 5.5 million head during the second quarter of fiscal 2017, or 4.0% of the Company's total head processed during the period, and sold approximately 47.6 million pounds of poultry products during the second quarter of fiscal 2017, or 4.6% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold during the quarter. The Palestine processing facility, which began initial operations during February 2015, processed approximately 15.1 million head during the second quarter of fiscal 2017, or 11.0% of the Company's total head processed during the period, and sold approximately 131.2 million pounds of poultry products during the second quarter of fiscal 2017, or 12.7% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold during the period. By comparison, the Palestine facility processed approximately 8.4 million head during the second quarter of fiscal 2016, or 6.6% of the Company's total head processed during the period, and sold approximately 72.8 million pounds of poultry products during the second quarter of fiscal 2016, or approximately 7.8% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold during the period. Overall, market prices for poultry products increased during the second quarter of fiscal 2017 as compared to the same quarter of fiscal 2016. When compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2016, Urner Barry average market prices for boneless breast meat, tenders, jumbo wings and bulk leg quarters increased by 9.1%, 4.7%, 5.1% and 17.2%, respectively. Average market prices for chicken products sold to retail grocery store customers remained relatively strong during the second quarter of fiscal 2017, and continue to reflect good demand. Net sales of prepared chicken products for the second quarter ended

April 30, 2017 and 2016 were \$43.8 million and \$44.2 million, respectively, or a decrease of 1.0%. This decrease resulted from a 1.2% decrease in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold, partially offset by a slight 0.1% increase in the average sales price of prepared chicken products sold. During the second quarter of fiscal 2017, the Company sold 22.2 million pounds of prepared chicken products, down from 22.4 million pounds during the second quarter of fiscal 2016.

Net sales for the first six months of fiscal 2017 were \$1,490.4 million as compared to \$1,297.3 million for the first six months of fiscal 2016, an increase of \$193.1 million, or 14.9%. Net sales of poultry products for the first six months of fiscal 2017 and 2016 were \$1,405.8 million and \$1,208.6 million, respectively, an increase of \$197.2 million or 16.3%. The increase in net sales of poultry products resulted from an 11.9% increase in the pounds of poultry products sold and a 3.9% increase in the average sales price of poultry products. During the first six months of fiscal 2017, the Company sold 2,002.7 million pounds of

Table of Contents

poultry products, up from 1,789.2 million pounds during the first six months of fiscal 2016. The increased pounds of poultry products resulted from a 10.4% increase in the number of head processed and a 1.3% increase in the average live weight of poultry processed. During the first half of fiscal 2017, the new St. Pauls processing facility, which began initial operations in January 2017, processed approximately 6.0 million head, or 2.3% of the Company's total head processed during the period, and sold approximately 56.4 million pounds of poultry products, or 2.8% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold during the period. During the first half of fiscal 2017, the Palestine processing facility, which began initial operations during February 2015, processed approximately 30.3 million head, or 11.4% of the Company's total head processed during the period, and sold approximately 264.8 million pounds of poultry products, or 13.2% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold during the period. By comparison, during the first half of fiscal 2016, the Palestine facility processed approximately 15.7 million head, or 6.5% of the Company's total head processed during the period, and sold approximately 135.7 million pounds of poultry products, or 7.6% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold during the period. Overall, market prices for poultry products increased during the first half of fiscal 2017 as compared to the same period during fiscal 2016. When compared to the first half of fiscal 2016, Urner Barry average market prices for boneless breast meat, tenders, jumbo wings and bulk leg quarters increased by 2.3%, 8.5%, 9.4% and 23.5%, respectively. Average market prices for chicken products sold to retail grocery store customers remained relatively strong during the first half of fiscal 2017, and continue to reflect good demand. Net sales of prepared chicken products for the six months ended April 30, 2017 and 2016 were \$84.6 million and \$88.7 million, respectively, or a decrease of 4.6%. This decrease resulted from a 4.0% decrease in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold and a 0.6% decrease in the average sales price of prepared chicken products sold. During the first six months of fiscal 2017, the Company sold 42.9 million pounds of prepared chicken products, down from 44.7 million pounds during the first six months of fiscal 2016.

Cost of sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2017 was \$655.3 million as compared to \$578.3 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2016, an increase of \$77.0 million, or 13.3%. Cost of sales of poultry products during the second quarter of fiscal 2017, as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2016, was \$616.3 million and \$538.0 million, respectively, which represents a 2.8% increase in the average cost of sales of poultry products. As illustrated in the table below, which for comparative purposes includes poultry products sold to the Company's prepared chicken plant, and excludes poultry products processed and sold under our agreement with House of Raeford Farms as described in "Note (2)," the increase in the average cost of sales of poultry products resulted from a \$0.0154 per pound increase in other costs of sales of poultry products and an increase in the cost of feed per pound of broilers processed of \$0.0009, or 0.4%.

Poultry Cost of Sales

(In thousands, except per pound data)

Description	Second Quarter 2017		Second Quarter 2016		Incr/(Decr)	
	Dollars	Per lb.	Dollars	Per lb.	Dollars	Per lb.
Beginning Inventory	\$21,300	\$0.3925	\$7,662	\$0.2415	\$13,638	\$0.1510
Feed in broilers processed	259,906	0.2549	240,225	0.2540	19,681	0.0009
All other cost of sales	353,926	0.3471	313,716	0.3317	40,210	0.0154
Less: Ending Inventory	18,758	0.3822	12,229	0.3094	6,529	0.0728
Total poultry cost of sales	\$616,374 ^{(1) (2)}	\$0.6018	\$549,374 ⁽¹⁾	\$0.5860	\$67,000	\$0.0158
Pounds:						
Beginning Inventory	54,273		31,727			
Poultry processed	1,019,655 ⁽²⁾		945,772			
Poultry sold	1,024,246 ^{(1) (2)}		937,576 ⁽¹⁾			
Ending Inventory	49,080		39,528			

Note (1) - For comparative purposes, includes the costs and pounds of product sold to the Company's prepared chicken plant.

Note (2) - On April 17, 2017, the Company announced that it had agreed to process chickens grown by House of Raeford Farms at the Company's processing facility located in St. Pauls, North Carolina. House of Raeford Farms, a private company headquartered in Rose Hill, North Carolina, operates poultry grow-out operations and processing

facilities in four southeastern states. The House of Raeford Farms Teachey, North Carolina, facility was severely damaged by a fire in late February. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will purchase, process and sell chickens grown by House of Raeford Farms through mid-December 2017. During the second quarter of fiscal 2017, the Company processed and sold approximately 17.1 million pounds of chicken as a result of this agreement. For comparative purposes, those pounds and the associated direct and indirect costs have been excluded from the data set forth in this chart. Under this agreement, the Company estimates it will process approximately 20.2 million pounds during the third quarter of fiscal 2017, 26.3 million pounds during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2017 and 12.4 million pounds during the first quarter of fiscal 2018.

Table of Contents

Other costs of sales of poultry products include labor, contract grower pay, packaging, freight and certain fixed costs, among other costs. These non-feed related costs of poultry products sold increased by \$0.0154 per pound processed, or 4.6%, during this year's second fiscal quarter compared to the same quarter a year ago, primarily attributable to relative inefficiencies at the Company's new St. Pauls, North Carolina facilities, which began initial operations during the first quarter of fiscal 2017, partially offset by increased efficiencies realized at the Company's Palestine, Texas facilities as the volume of pounds processed at that facility increased. A new facility's other costs of sales per pound processed will be higher compared to similar complexes until the complex reaches full capacity. Excluding St. Pauls, other costs of sales would have increased by \$0.0083 per pound processed, or 2.5%.

Costs of sales of the Company's prepared chicken products during the second quarter of fiscal 2017 were \$39.0 million as compared to \$40.3 million during the same quarter a year ago, a decrease of \$1.3 million, or 3.2%, primarily attributable to a 1.2% decrease in the pounds of prepared chicken sold and a 2.1% decrease in the average costs per pound of prepared chicken sold.

Cost of sales for the first six months of fiscal 2017 was \$1,261.7 million as compared to \$1,133.3 million during the first six months of fiscal 2016, an increase of \$128.3 million, or 11.3%. Cost of sales of poultry products during the first six months of fiscal 2017, as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2016, was \$1,187.4 million and \$1,053.8 million, respectively, which represents a 0.7% increase in the average cost of sales of poultry products. As illustrated in the table below, which for comparative purposes includes poultry products sold to the Company's prepared chicken plant, and excludes poultry products processed and sold under our agreement with House of Raeford Farms as described in "Note (2)," the increase resulted from a \$0.0050 per pound increase in other costs of sales of poultry products, partially offset by a decrease in the cost of feed per pound of broilers processed of \$0.0057, or 2.2%.

Poultry Cost of Sales

(In thousands, except per pound data)

Description	Six Months Ended April 30, 2017		Six Months Ended April 30, 2016		Incr/(Decr)	
	Dollars	Per lb.	Dollars	Per lb.	Dollars	Per lb.
Beginning Inventory	\$15,378	\$0.3397	\$10,158	\$0.2171	\$5,220	\$0.1226
Feed in broilers processed	505,054	0.2521	464,326	0.2578	40,728	(0.0057)
All other cost of sales	693,899	0.3464	614,875	0.3414	79,024	0.0050
Less: Ending Inventory	18,758	0.3822	12,229	0.3094	6,529	0.0728
Total poultry cost of sales	\$1,195,573 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$0.5983	\$1,077,130 ⁽¹⁾	\$0.5959	\$118,443	\$0.0024
Pounds:						
Beginning Inventory	45,272		46,800			
Poultry processed	2,003,055 ⁽²⁾		1,800,792			
Poultry sold	1,998,120 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		1,807,563 ⁽¹⁾			
Ending Inventory	49,080		39,528			

Note (1) - For comparative purposes, includes the costs and pounds of product sold to the Company's prepared chicken plant.

Note (2) - On April 17, 2017, the Company announced that it had agreed to process chickens grown by House of Raeford Farms at the Company's processing facility located in St. Pauls, North Carolina. House of Raeford Farms, a private company headquartered in Rose Hill, North Carolina, operates poultry grow-out operations and processing facilities in four southeastern states. The House of Raeford Farms Teachey, North Carolina, facility was severely damaged by a fire in late February. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will purchase, process and sell chickens grown by House of Raeford Farms through mid-December 2017. During the six months ended April 30, 2017, the Company processed and sold approximately 17.1 million pounds of chicken as a result of this agreement. For comparative purposes, those pounds and the associated direct and indirect costs have been excluded from the data set forth in this chart. Under this agreement, the Company estimates it will process approximately 20.2 million pounds during the third quarter of fiscal 2017, 26.3 million pounds during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2017 and 12.4 million pounds during the first quarter of fiscal 2018.

Other costs of sales of poultry products include labor, contract grower pay, packaging, freight and certain fixed costs, among other costs. These non-feed related costs of poultry products sold increased by \$0.0050 per pound processed, or 1.5%, during the first six months of fiscal 2017 as compared to the same period a year ago, primarily attributable to relative inefficiencies at the Company's new St. Pauls, North Carolina facilities, which began initial operations during the first quarter of fiscal 2017, partially offset by increased efficiencies realized at the Company's Palestine, Texas facilities as the volume of pounds processed

18

Table of Contents

at that facility increased. A new facility's other costs of sales per pound processed will be higher compared to similar complexes until the complex reaches full capacity. Excluding St. Pauls, other costs of sales would have been essentially flat.

Costs of sales of the Company's prepared chicken products during the first six months of fiscal 2017 were \$74.3 million as compared to \$79.5 million during the same period a year ago, a decrease of \$5.2 million, or 6.6%, primarily attributable to a 4.0% decrease in the pounds of prepared chicken sold and a 2.6% decrease in the average costs per pound of prepared chicken sold.

The Company recorded the value of live broiler inventories on hand at April 30, 2017 at cost. When market conditions are favorable, the Company values the broiler inventories on hand at cost, and accumulates costs as the birds are grown to a marketable age subsequent to the balance sheet date. In periods where the Company estimates that the cost to grow live birds in inventory to a marketable age, process, and distribute those birds will be higher in the aggregate than the anticipated sales price, the Company will make an adjustment to lower the value of live birds in inventory to the market value. No such charge was required at April 30, 2017 or April 30, 2016.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") costs during the second quarter of fiscal 2017 were \$43.6 million, an increase of \$3.6 million compared to the \$40.0 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2016. SG&A costs during the six months ended April 30, 2017 were \$89.7 million, an increase of \$19.4 million compared to the \$70.3 million during the six months ended April 30, 2016. The following tables include the components of SG&A costs for the three and six months ended April 30, 2017 and 2016.

Table of ContentsSelling, General and Administrative Costs
(in thousands)

Description	Three Months Ended April 30, 2017	Three Months Ended April 30, 2016	Increase/(Decrease)
Administrative salaries	\$8,811	\$8,139	\$ 672
Marketing expense	8,775	7,235	1,540
Trainee expense	3,910	2,895	1,015
Stock compensation expense	3,580	6,301	(2,721)
Legal expenses	2,245	391	1,854
Start-up expense (St. Pauls)	—	1,462	(1,462)
All other SG&A	16,320	13,616	2,704
Total SG&A	\$43,641	\$40,039	\$ 3,602

As illustrated in the table above, the majority of the \$3.6 million increase in SG&A costs during the second quarter of fiscal 2017 as compared to the same period a year ago resulted from increases in legal, marketing, trainee and all other SG&A expenses, partially offset by decreases in start-up and stock compensation expenses. The increase in legal expenses is primarily attributable to our ongoing defense of the litigation described in "Part II Other Information, Item 1. Legal Proceedings" of this Form 10-Q. The increase in marketing expenses is the result of an advertising campaign launched during the third quarter of fiscal 2016, the increase in trainee expense is attributable to an increase in trainee staff, and the change in start-up expense in any particular period relates to the stage of the start-up process in which a facility under construction is in during the period. Non-construction related expenses, such as labor, training and office-related expenses for a facility under construction are recorded as start-up expense until the facility begins operations. As a facility moves closer to actual start-up, the expenses incurred for labor, training, etc. increase. As a result, amounts classified as start-up expenses will increase period over period until the facility begins production. Once production begins, the expenses from that point forward are recorded as costs of goods sold. The change in stock compensation expense is largely attributable to the timing of accruals related to the Company's performance share agreements with key employees, as described in "Note 3 - Stock Compensation Plans" in the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Selling, General and Administrative Costs
(in thousands)

Description	Six Months Ended April 30, 2017	Six Months Ended April 30, 2016	Increase/(Decrease)
Marketing expense	\$17,509	\$8,318	\$ 9,191
Administrative salaries	17,279	15,929	1,350
Stock compensation expense	9,187	8,961	226
Trainee expense	7,222	5,819	1,403
Start-up expense (St. Pauls)	4,022	2,512	1,510
Legal expenses	3,801	893	2,908
All other SG&A	30,691	27,901	2,790
Total SG&A	\$89,711	\$70,333	\$ 19,378

As illustrated in the table above, the majority of the \$19.4 million increase in SG&A costs during the first six months of fiscal 2017 as compared to the same period a year ago resulted from increases in marketing, legal and all other SG&A expenses. The increase in legal expenses is primarily attributable to our ongoing defense of the litigation described in "Part II Other Information, Item 1. Legal Proceedings" of this Form 10-Q. The increase in marketing expenses is the result of an advertising campaign launched during the third quarter of fiscal 2016, and the increase in all other SG&A expenses is the result of a net increase in various other categories of SG&A costs.

The Company's operating income for the three and six months ended April 30, 2017 was \$103.1 million and \$139.0 million, respectively, as compared to an operating income for the three and six months ended April 30, 2016 of \$73.8 million and \$93.6 million, respectively. The increase in operating income for the three months ended April 30, 2017, as compared to the same period a year ago, resulted primarily from higher average selling prices during the three months ended April 30, 2017, and an

Table of Contents

11.2% increase in pounds sold during the same comparative period. The increase in operating income for the six months ended April 30, 2017, as compared to the same period a year ago, resulted primarily from higher average selling prices during the six months ended April 30, 2017, and an 11.5% increase in pounds sold during the same comparative period.

Interest expense during the second quarter and first six months of fiscal 2017 was \$0.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, as compared to \$0.4 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, during the same period a year ago.

The Company's effective tax rate for each of the three and six months ended April 30, 2017 was 35.0%, as compared to 35.1% and 37.2%, respectively, for the three and six months ended April 30, 2016. During the first fiscal quarter of 2016, the Company took advantage of legislation enacted during the quarter, which allowed for bonus depreciation to be taken on qualifying assets placed in service during the 2015 calendar year. The legislation, and the Company's election to accelerate depreciation on these items, resulted in a favorable impact on the Company's cash tax refund, but had an unfavorable effect on tax deductions that are based on levels of pre-tax income, most especially the Internal Revenue Code Section 199 Domestic Production Activities Deduction. Had the Company not elected to take advantage of the legislation, the effective tax rate for the first six months of fiscal 2016 would have been approximately 35.1%. The Company expects its effective tax rate for the full fiscal 2017 to be approximately 35.0%. As of April 30, 2017, the Company's long-term deferred income tax liability was \$97.4 million as compared to \$75.7 million at October 31, 2016, an increase of \$21.7 million. This increase is primarily attributable to the Company's decision to take bonus depreciation on qualifying assets placed in service during the first six months of fiscal 2017. The value of assets placed in service during the first half of fiscal 2017 is significant due to the start-up of the Company's new St. Pauls, North Carolina facilities.

During the three and six months ended April 30, 2017, the Company's net income was \$66.9 million, or \$2.94 per share, and \$90.1 million, or \$3.96 per share, respectively. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2016, the Company's net income was \$47.6 million, or \$2.11 per share, and \$58.3 million, or \$2.58 per share, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's working capital, calculated by subtracting current liabilities from current assets, at April 30, 2017 was \$528.5 million, and its current ratio, calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities, was 5.0 to 1. The Company's working capital and current ratio at October 31, 2016 were \$465.1 million and 4.1 to 1. These measures reflect the Company's ability to meet its short term obligations and are included here as a measure of the Company's short term market liquidity. The Company's principal sources of liquidity during fiscal 2017 include cash on hand at October 31, 2016, cash flows from operations, and funds available under the Company's revolving credit facility. As described below, the Company is a party to a revolving credit facility dated April 28, 2017, with a maximum available borrowing capacity of \$900.0 million. As of April 30, and May 24, 2017, the Company had no outstanding draws under the facility and had approximately \$19.7 million outstanding in letters of credit, leaving \$880.3 million of borrowing capacity available under the facility.

The Company's cash position at April 30, 2017 and October 31, 2016 consisted of \$246.3 million and \$234.1 million, respectively, in cash and short-term cash investments. The Company's ability to invest cash is limited by covenants in its revolving credit agreement to short term investments. All of the Company's cash at April 30, 2017 and October 31, 2016 was held in bank accounts and highly-liquid investment accounts. There were no restrictions on the Company's access to its cash, and such cash was available to the Company on demand to fund its operations.

Cash flows provided by operating activities during the six months ended April 30, 2017 and 2016, were \$116.8 million and \$107.7 million, respectively. Cash flows from operating activities increased by \$9.1 million, resulting primarily from a reduction in cash bonuses paid by the Company, which were \$30.4 million during the first six months of fiscal 2016, as compared to \$0.4 million during the first six months of fiscal 2017. Additionally, an increase in market prices for poultry products during the first six months of fiscal 2017 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2016 benefited cash flows provided by operating activities. Partially offsetting the increases in operating cash flows outlined above was a net increase of \$70.0 million in cash paid for income taxes during the first six months of fiscal 2017, as compared to the same period in fiscal 2016. During the first six months of fiscal 2017, the Company paid approximately \$40.3 million in income taxes compared to approximately \$29.7 million in net cash received from income taxes during the first six months of fiscal 2016.

Cash flows used in investing activities during the first six months of fiscal 2017 and 2016 were \$96.3 million and \$66.9 million, respectively. The Company's capital expenditures during the first six months of fiscal 2017 were approximately \$96.6 million, and included approximately \$19.0 million related to progress payments made under purchase agreements for future delivery of new aircraft as described below and \$24.2 million related to construction at the St. Pauls, North Carolina complex.

Table of Contents

Capital expenditures for the first six months of fiscal 2016 were \$67.3 million, including approximately \$23.6 million for construction of the St. Pauls, North Carolina complex, and approximately \$5.0 million for construction of a new office building on the site of the Company's headquarters in Laurel, Mississippi.

Cash flows used in financing activities during the six months ended April 30, 2017 and 2016 were \$8.3 million and \$14.3 million, respectively. During the six months ended April 30, 2016, the Company made the final of five \$10.0 million annual installments on its Farm Credit Services term loan, and during the six months ended April 30, 2017, the Company paid approximately \$2.4 million in debt issuance costs to renegotiate its revolving credit facility described below. The Company made no change to the net outstanding borrowings under its revolving credit facility in either of the comparative periods.

As of May 22, 2017, the Company's capital budget for fiscal 2017, excluding operating leases and construction-related items for the Lindale, Mineola and Smith County, Texas facilities, is approximately \$156.9 million. The 2017 capital budget will be funded by cash on-hand at October 31, 2016, internally generated working capital, cash flows from operations and, as needed, funds available under the Company's revolving credit facility. The Company had \$880.3 million available under the revolving line of credit at April 30, 2017. The fiscal 2017 capital budget includes \$29.0 million for commitments related to the purchase agreements for future delivery of aircraft described below, approximately \$18.2 million for construction of the Company's new St. Pauls, North Carolina complex and \$10.5 million for expansion at the Company's prepared chicken facility in Flowood, Mississippi. Excluding the budget for the aircraft commitments, St. Pauls, North Carolina construction and the prepared chicken facility expansion, the fiscal 2017 capital budget is approximately \$99.2 million. These amounts are estimates and are subject to change as we move through fiscal 2017.

On December 22, 2016, the Company entered into three separate purchase agreements for three new aircraft to be delivered over the next three calendar years. The new aircraft will replace aircraft currently owned by the Company that are scheduled to be retired and removed from service in the ordinary course of business. The agreements require that the Company make periodic payments, with final payments due upon delivery of each aircraft. During the first six months of fiscal 2017, the Company made payments of \$19.0 million under the agreements, and will make payments of an additional \$10.0 million during the remaining six months of fiscal 2017. The Company expects to make payments of approximately \$22.1 million and \$14.1 million during fiscal 2018 and 2019, respectively. These payments and commitments are not reflected in the capital budget figures described above, and during the recent negotiation of the revolving credit facility, the Company was successful in its request that the banks party to the facility exclude the aircraft expenditures from its capital budget limitations as with past aircraft purchases.

The Company has a Form S-3 "shelf" registration statement on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register, for possible future sale, shares of the Company's common and/or preferred stock at an aggregate offering price not to exceed \$1.0 billion. The stock may be offered by the Company in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by the board of directors if and when shares are issued.

On March 12, 2015, the Company announced selection of St. Pauls and Robeson County, North Carolina, for the construction of a new poultry processing complex. The completed complex consists of a hatchery, processing plant, waste water treatment facility, and an expansion of the Company's current feed mill in Kinston, North Carolina. Construction began in July 2015, and initial operations of the new complex began during the first quarter of fiscal 2017. At full capacity, the new complex will process 1.25 million chickens per week. As of April 30, 2017, the Company has spent approximately \$159.9 million on the project, of which \$24.2 million was spent during the first six months of fiscal 2017. Before the complex can reach full capacity, we will need to enter into contracts with a sufficient number of independent contract poultry producers to house the live inventory and hire and train our workforce. See "The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties" in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

On March 16, 2017, the Company announced the selection of sites in Lindale, Mineola and Smith County, Texas, for the construction of a new poultry processing complex. The completed complex will consist of a hatchery, feed mill, processing plant and waste water treatment facility. Construction is expected to commence in the third quarter of fiscal 2017, and initial operations of the new complex are expected to begin during the first calendar quarter of 2019. The Company estimates the total investment in the complex will be approximately \$200.5 million, and as of April 30,

2017, it has spent approximately \$7.1 million on the initial stages of the project. At full capacity, the new complex will process 1.25 million chickens per week. Before the complex can become operational, we will need to identify and purchase or lease the necessary sites, obtain the necessary licenses and permits, enter into construction contracts, enter into contracts with a sufficient number of independent contract poultry producers to house the live inventory and hire and train our workforce. See "The construction and potential benefits of our new facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties" in the Risk Factors section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

The Company entered into a new revolving credit facility on April 28, 2017 to, among other things, increase the available credit to \$900.0 million from \$750.0 million. The facility has annual capital expenditure limitations of \$100.0 million, \$105.0 million, \$110.0 million, \$115.0 million, \$120.0 million and \$125.0 million for fiscal years 2017 through 2022, respectively, and permits up to \$15.0 million of the unused capital expenditure limitation from fiscal year 2016 to be carried over to the fiscal year 2017; thereafter, up to \$20.0 million of the unused limitation for any fiscal year starting with fiscal year 2017 may be carried over to the next fiscal year. The facility also permits capital expenditures up to \$200.5 million on the construction of a new poultry complex in and around Tyler, Texas, up to \$210.0 million on the construction of a potential additional new poultry complex, up to \$15.0 million on expansion of the Company's existing prepared chicken facility in Flowood, Mississippi, up to \$60.0 million on a potential new further processing complex, and up to \$70.0 million on the purchase of three new aircraft. Under the facility, the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 50%. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the term of the agreement, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by five percentage points in connection with the construction of any of the three aforementioned new complexes for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The Company has not exercised this right. The facility also sets a minimum net worth requirement that at April 30, 2017, was \$887.2 million. The credit is unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on April 28, 2022. As of April 30, 2017 and May 24, 2017, the Company had no outstanding draws under the facility, and had approximately \$19.7 million outstanding in letters of credit, leaving \$880.3 million of borrowing capacity available under the facility. For more information about the facility, see Item 1.01 of our Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 4, 2017, which is incorporated herein by reference. The Company regularly evaluates both internal and external growth opportunities, including acquisition opportunities and the possible construction of new production assets, and conducts due diligence activities in connection with such opportunities. The cost and terms of any financing to be raised in conjunction with any growth opportunity, including the Company's ability to raise debt or equity capital on terms and at costs satisfactory to the Company, and the effect of such opportunities on the Company's balance sheet, are critical considerations in any such evaluation.

Critical Accounting Estimates

We consider accounting policies related to allowance for doubtful accounts, inventories, long-lived assets, accrued self-insurance, performance share plans, income taxes and contingencies to be critical accounting estimates. These policies are summarized in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2016.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance amending the requirements related to accounting for changes to stock compensation awards. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, our fiscal 2019. Early adoption is permitted. The impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements will depend on the nature and extent of future changes, if any, to the terms and conditions of the Company's Stock Incentive Plan.

In March 2017, the FASB issued guidance requiring the service cost component of defined benefit pension plans and other post-retirement benefit plans to be reported in the same line item or items as other compensation costs arising from the services rendered by the pertinent employees during the period. The other components of net benefit cost are required to be reported outside of operating income. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, our fiscal 2019. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect adoption to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued guidance requiring all income tax effects of stock awards to be recognized in the statement of operations when the awards vest or are settled rather than recording excess tax benefits or deficiencies in additional paid-in capital. Additionally, classification of the related amounts in the statement of cash flows will be recognized within operating activities, rather than financing activities. The guidance also changes accounting for an

employee's use of shares to satisfy the employer's statutory tax withholding obligation and for forfeitures. The standard becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, our fiscal 2018. Early adoption is permitted. The effect of this new standard's provisions on excess tax benefits or deficiencies in our consolidated financial statements will depend on the changes in the Company's stock prices at vesting dates and grant dates for awards that vest after adoption. The changes in amounts repurchased for tax withholding purposes and changes in the accounting for forfeitures are not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In February 2016, the FASB issued guidance which is intended to increase transparency and comparability among companies by requiring an entity that is a lessee to recognize on the balance sheet the right-of-use assets and lease liabilities arising from all leases with terms, as defined by the guidance, of greater than twelve months. The guidance also requires disclosures of key information about the leasing arrangements. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, our fiscal 2020. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued guidance that requires an entity to measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, our fiscal 2018. Early adoption is permitted and the prospective transition method should be applied. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance changing the criteria for recognizing revenue, which was amended in 2015 to defer the effective date by one year. The guidance also modifies the related disclosure requirements, clarifies guidance for multiple-element arrangements and provides guidance for transactions that were not addressed fully in previous guidance. The guidance, as amended, is effective for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, our fiscal 2019. Early adoption is permitted for annual reporting periods and interim periods within those annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company is a purchaser of certain commodities, primarily corn and soybean meal, for use in manufacturing feed for its chickens. As a result, the Company's earnings are affected by changes in the price and availability of such feed ingredients. Feed grains are subject to volatile price changes caused by factors described below that include weather, size of harvest, transportation and storage costs and the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments. The price fluctuations of feed grains have a direct and material effect on the Company's profitability. Generally, the Company commits to purchase feed ingredients for deferred delivery from one month to nine months after the time of the commitment. The grain purchases are made directly with our usual grain suppliers, which are companies in the business of regularly supplying grain to end users, and do not involve options to purchase. Such purchases occur when our chief operating decision maker concludes that market factors indicate that prices at the time the grain is needed are likely to be higher than current prices, or where, based on current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products, our chief operating decision maker believes the Company can purchase feed ingredients at prices that will allow the Company to earn a reasonable return for its shareholders. The Company sometimes purchases its feed ingredients for prompt delivery to its feed mills at market prices at the time of such purchases. Market factors considered by our chief operating decision maker in determining whether or not and to what extent to commit to buy grain for deferred delivery include:

- Current market prices;

- Current and predicted weather patterns in the United States, South America, China and other grain producing areas, as such weather patterns might affect the planting, growing, harvesting and yield of feed grains;

- The expected size of the harvest of feed grains in the United States and other grain producing areas of the world as reported by governmental and private sources;

- Current and expected changes to the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments;

- The relative strength of United States currency and expected changes therein as it might affect the ability of foreign countries to buy United States feed grain commodities;

- The current and expected volumes of export of feed grain commodities as reported by governmental and private sources;

- The current and expected use of available feed grains for uses other than as livestock feed grains (such as the use of corn for the production of ethanol, which use is affected by the price of crude oil); and

- Current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products.

The Company purchases physical grain, not financial instruments such as puts, calls or straddles that derive their value from the value of physical grain. Thus, the Company does not use derivative financial instruments as defined in ASC 815, "Accounting for Derivatives for Instruments and Hedging Activities," or any market risk sensitive instruments of the type contemplated by Item 305 of Regulation S-K. The Company does not enter into any derivative transactions or purchase any grain-related contracts other than the physical grain contracts described above.

Although the Company does not use derivative financial instruments as defined in ASC 815 or purchase market risk sensitive instruments of the type contemplated by Item 305 of Regulation S-K, the commodities that the Company does purchase for physical delivery, primarily corn and soybean meal, are subject to price fluctuations that have a direct and material effect on the Company's profitability as mentioned above. During the second quarter of fiscal 2017, the Company purchased approximately 26.6 million bushels of corn and approximately 245,776 tons of soybean meal for use in manufacturing feed for its live chickens. A \$1.00 change in the average market price paid per bushel for corn would have affected the Company's cash outlays for corn by approximately \$26.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2017. Likewise, a \$10.00 change in the price paid per ton for soybean meal would affect the Company's cash outlays by approximately \$2.5 million.

Although changes in the market price paid for feed grains affect cash outlays at the time the Company purchases the grain, such changes do not immediately affect cost of sales. The cost of feed grains is recognized in cost of sales, on a first-in-first-out basis, at the same time that the sales of the chickens that consume the feed grains are recognized. Thus, there is a lag between the time cash is paid for feed ingredients and the time the cost of such feed ingredients is reported in cost of goods sold. For example, corn delivered to a feed mill and paid for one week might be used to manufacture feed the following week. However, the chickens that eat that feed might not be processed and sold for

another 48-62 days, and only at that time will the costs of the feed consumed by the chicken become included in cost of goods sold.

25

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of fiscal 2017, the Company's average feed cost per pound of broilers processed totaled \$0.2549 per pound. Feed costs per pound of broilers processed consist primarily of feed grains, but also include other feed ingredients such as vitamins, fat and mineral feed supplements. The average feed cost per pound is influenced not only by the price of feed ingredients, but also by the efficiency with which live chickens convert feed into body weight. Factors such as weather, poultry husbandry, quality of feed ingredients and the quality, size and health of the bird, among others, affect the quantity of feed necessary to mature chickens to the target live weight and the efficiency of that process. Generally, however, a \$1.00 change in the average price paid per bushel of corn fed to a chicken during its life would have affected average feed cost per pound of broilers processed by \$0.0261, based on the quantity of grain used during the second quarter of fiscal 2017. Similarly, a \$10.00 change in the average price paid per ton of soybean meal would have influenced the average feed cost per pound of broilers processed by \$0.0025 during the second quarter of fiscal 2017.

The following table shows the impact of hypothetical changes in the price of corn and soybean meal on both the Company's cash flow and cost of goods sold, based on quantities actually purchased in the second quarter of fiscal 2017:

Feed Ingredient	Quantity Purchased during the Second Fiscal Quarter of 2017	Hypothetical Price Change	Impact on Cash Outlay	Ultimate Impact on Feed Cost per Pound of broilers Processed
Corn	26.6 million bushels	\$1.00 per bushel	\$26.6 million	\$0.0261/lb processed
Soybean meal	245,776 tons	\$10.00 per ton	\$2.5 million	\$0.0025/lb processed

Typically, the Company's interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates in the United States. At April 30, 2017, the Company had no outstanding debt on its balance sheet.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of April 30, 2017.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2017 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Between September 2, 2016 and October 13, 2016, Sanderson Farms, Inc. and our subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with 13 other poultry producers and certain of their affiliated companies, in multiple putative class action lawsuits filed by direct and indirect purchasers of broiler chickens in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The complaints allege that the defendants conspired to unlawfully fix, raise, maintain and stabilize the price of broiler chickens, thereby violating federal and certain states' antitrust laws, and also allege certain related state-law claims. The complaints also allege that the defendants fraudulently concealed the alleged anticompetitive conduct in furtherance of the conspiracy. The complaints seek damages, including treble damages for the antitrust claims, injunctive relief, costs and attorneys' fees. The court has consolidated all of the direct purchaser complaints into one case, and the indirect purchaser complaints into two cases, one on behalf of commercial and institutional indirect purchaser plaintiffs and one on behalf of end-user consumer plaintiffs. On October 28, 2016, the direct and indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed consolidated, amended complaints, and on November 23, 2016, the direct

and indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed second amended complaints. On December 16, 2016, the indirect purchaser plaintiffs separated into two cases. On that date, the commercial and institutional indirect purchaser plaintiffs filed a third amended complaint, and the end-user consumer plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. On January 27, 2017 the

Table of Contents

defendants filed motions to dismiss the amended complaints in all of the cases. These motions are now fully briefed and awaiting decision. The lawsuits are in the earliest stages and we intend to defend them vigorously; however, the Company cannot predict the outcome of these actions. If the plaintiffs were to prevail, the Company could be liable for damages, which could have a material, adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. Sanderson Farms, Inc.; Joe F. Sanderson, Jr., the Chairman of the Registrant's Board of Directors and its Chief Executive Officer; and D. Michael Cockrell, director and Chief Financial Officer, were named as defendants in a putative class action lawsuit filed on October 28, 2016, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. On March 30, 2017, the lead plaintiff filed an amended complaint adding Lampkin Butts, director, Chief Operating Officer, and President, as a defendant. The complaint alleges that the defendants made statements in the Company's SEC filings and press releases, and other public statements, that were materially false and misleading in light of the Company's alleged, undisclosed violation of the federal antitrust laws described above. The complaint also alleges that the material misstatements were made in order to, among other things, "artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Sanderson Farms securities." The complaint alleges the defendants thereby violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), including Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and seeks damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees. The lawsuit is in an early stage and the defendants intend to defend it vigorously; however, the Company cannot predict the outcome of these actions. If the plaintiffs were to prevail, the Company could be liable for damages, which could have a material, adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On January 30, 2017, the Company received a letter from a putative shareholder demanding that the Company take action against current and/or former officers and directors of the Company for alleged breach of their fiduciary duties. The shareholder asserted that the officers and directors (i) failed to take any action to stop the alleged antitrust conspiracy described above, despite their alleged knowledge of the conspiracy, and (ii) made and/or caused the Company to make materially false and misleading statements by failing to disclose the alleged conspiracy. He also asserted that certain directors engaged in "insider sales" from which they improperly benefited. The shareholder also demanded that the Company adopt unspecified corporate governance improvements. On February 9, 2017, pursuant to statutory procedures available in connection with demands of this type, the Company's board of directors appointed a special committee of qualified directors to determine, after conducting a reasonable inquiry, whether it is in the Company's best interests to pursue any of the actions asserted in the shareholder's letter. On April 26, 2017, the special committee reported to the Company's board of directors its determination that it is not in the Company's best interests to take any of the demanded actions at this time, and that no governance improvements related to the subject matter of the demand are needed at this time. On May 5, 2017, the special committee's counsel informed the shareholder's counsel of the committee's determination.

As of the date of filing of this report, and to the Company's knowledge, no legal proceedings related to the shareholder's demand have been filed. However, we are voluntarily disclosing the existence of the shareholder demand for the sake of completeness, in light of its relationship to the putative antitrust and securities class action lawsuits described above.

On January 27, 2017, Sanderson Farms, Inc. and our subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with four other poultry producers and certain of their affiliated companies, in a putative class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma. On March 27, 2017, Sanderson Farms, Inc. and our subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with four other poultry producers and certain of their affiliated companies, in a second putative class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma. The complaints allege that the defendants unlawfully conspired by sharing data on compensation paid to broiler farmers, with the purpose and effect of suppressing the farmers' compensation below competitive levels. The complaints also allege that the defendants unlawfully conspired to not solicit or hire the broiler farmers who were providing services to other defendants. The complaints seek treble damages, costs and attorneys' fees. On April 25, 2017, the Court issued a Joint Order in both cases, in which it stated that it was inclined, pending a hearing, to consolidate the two cases and to order the filing of a consolidated complaint. That hearing is presently scheduled for June 9, 2017. The lawsuits are in their early stages, and we intend to defend them vigorously. If the plaintiffs were to prevail, the Company could be liable for damages, which could have a material, adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

On February 21, 2017, Sanderson Farms, Inc. received an antitrust civil investigative demand from the Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs, of the State of Florida. The Company intends to cooperate fully with the investigative demand. Among other things, the demand seeks information related to the Georgia Dock Index and other information on poultry and poultry products published by the Georgia Department of Agriculture and its Poultry Market News division. We are unable to predict the outcome of the investigative demand at this time.

The Company is involved in various other claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome

Table of Contents

of currently pending matters, other than those discussed above, should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company's assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company's control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations in these legal proceedings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this quarterly report, you should carefully consider the risks discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016, including under the heading "Item 1A. Risk Factors", which, along with risks described in this report, are risks we believe could materially affect the Company's business, financial condition and future results. These are not the only risks facing the Company. Other risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and future results. Risks we have identified but currently deem to be immaterial could still materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and future results if our assumptions with respect to such risks prove incorrect or if circumstances change.

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2016.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the second quarter of fiscal 2017, the company repurchased shares of its common stock as follows:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased ¹	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ²	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ³
Feb. 1 - Feb. 28, 2017	10,529	\$ 92.22	10,529	1,000,000
Mar. 1 - Mar. 31, 2017	1,258	103.84	1,258	1,000,000
Apr. 1 - Apr. 30, 2017	—	—	—	1,000,000
Total	11,787	\$ 93.46	11,787	1,000,000

¹ All purchases were made pursuant to the Company's Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on February 11, 2016, under which shares were withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations.

² On April 23, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors expanded and extended the share repurchase program originally approved on October 22, 2009, under which the Company may purchase up to one million shares of its common stock in open market transactions or negotiated purchases, subject to market conditions, share price and other considerations. The authorization will expire on April 23, 2018. The Company's repurchase of vested restricted stock to satisfy tax withholding obligations of its Stock Incentive Plan participants will not be made under the 2015 general repurchase plan.

³ Does not include vested restricted shares that may yet be repurchased under the Stock Incentive Plan as described in Note 1. In March 2015, the Company repurchased 700,003 shares of its common stock in open market transactions, and on April 23, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors expanded the share repurchase program by 700,003 shares to authorize the repurchase of up to 1,000,000 additional shares.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this report.

Exhibit 3.1 Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended on July 31, 2015.)

Exhibit 3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant, amended and restated as of February 13, 2014. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 20, 2014.)

Exhibit 10.1 Credit Agreement dated April 28, 2017 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and BMO Harris Bank N.A. as agent for the banks defined therein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on May 4, 2017.)

Exhibit 10.2 Guaranty Agreement dated April 28, 2017 of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division), Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on May 4, 2017.)

Exhibit 15* Accountants' Letter re: Unaudited Financial Information.

Exhibit 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer.

Exhibit 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

Exhibit 32.1** Section 1350 Certification.

Exhibit 32.2** Section 1350 Certification.

Exhibit 101.INS XBRL Instance Document

Exhibit 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

Exhibit 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

Exhibit 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

Exhibit 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

Exhibit 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SANDERSON FARMS, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: May 25, 2017 By: /s/ D. Michael Cockrell
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 25, 2017 By: /s/ Tim Rigney
Secretary, Corporate Controller and
Chief Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 filed with the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended on July 31, 2015.)
3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant amended and restated as of February 13, 2014. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 20, 2014.)
10.1	Credit Agreement dated April 28, 2017 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and BMO Harris Bank N.A. as agent for the banks defined therein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on May 4, 2017.)
10.2	Guaranty Agreement dated April 28, 2017 of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division), Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on May 4, 2017.)
15*	Accountants' Letter re: Unaudited Financial Information.
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1**	Section 1350 Certification.
32.2**	Section 1350 Certification.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.