

GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/
 Form 10-K
 March 15, 2001

FINANCIAL INFORMATION
2000 Annual Report on Form 10-K

Part I

<u>Item 1. Business</u>	- 4-
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	-15-
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	-15-
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to Vote of Security Holders</u>	-15-

Part II

<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	-16-
<u>Item 6. Selected Consolidated Annual Financial Data</u>	-17-
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis</u>	-19-
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures</u>	-39-
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	-41-
<u>Item 9. Changes and Disagreements with Accountants</u>	-41-

Part III

<u>Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers</u>	-41-
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	-41-
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership</u>	-41-
<u>Item 13. Related Transactions</u>	-41-

Part IV

<u>Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	-42-
<u>Independent Auditors' Report</u>	-F-2-
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	-F-4-
<u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings</u>	-F-5-
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>	-F-6-

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

-F-7-

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

-F-8-

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-23113

GUARANTY BANCSHARES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas
(State or other jurisdiction of
Identification Number)

75-1656431
(I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)

**100 West Arkansas
Mount Pleasant, Texas**
(Address of principal executive offices)

75455
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(903) 572-9881

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value
\$1.00 per share

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

As of February 16, 2001, the number of outstanding shares of Common Stock was 3,037,632. As of such date, the aggregate market value of the shares of Common Stock held by non-affiliates, based on the closing price of the Common Stock on the Nasdaq National Market System on such date, was approximately \$32.3 million.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Portions of the Company's Proxy Statement relating to the 2001 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2000, are incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10-13 of this Form 10-K.

PART I

SPECIAL CAUTIONARY NOTICE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

Statements and financial discussion and analysis contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements describe the Company's future plans, strategies and expectations, are based on assumptions and involve a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the Company's control. The important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements include, without limitation:

changes in interest rates and market prices, which could reduce the Company's net interest margins, asset valuations and expense expectations;

changes in the levels of loan prepayments and the resulting effects on the value of the Company's loan portfolio;

changes in local economic and business conditions which adversely affect the Company's customers and their ability to transact profitable business with the Company, including the ability of its borrowers to repay their loans according to their terms or a change in the value of the related collateral;

increased competition for deposits and loans adversely affecting rates and terms;

the timing, impact and other uncertainties of the Company's potential future acquisitions, including the Company's ability to identify suitable future acquisition candidates, the success or failure in the integration of their operations, and the Company's ability to enter new markets successfully and capitalize on growth opportunities;

increased credit risk in the Company's assets and increased operating risk caused by a material change in commercial, consumer and/or real estate loans as a percentage of the total loan portfolio;

the failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of and provisions made to the allowance for loan losses;

changes in the availability of funds resulting in increased costs or reduced liquidity;

changes in the Company's ability to pay dividends on its Common Stock;

increased asset levels and changes in the composition of assets and the resulting impact on the Company's capital levels and regulatory capital ratios;

the Company's ability to acquire, operate and maintain cost effective and efficient systems without incurring unexpectedly difficult or expensive but necessary technological changes;

the loss of senior management or operating personnel and the potential inability to hire qualified personnel at reasonable compensation levels;

the effects of the Internal Revenue Service's examination regarding the Company's leveraged leasing transactions; and

changes in statutes and government regulations or their interpretations applicable to bank holding companies and the Company's present and future banking and other subsidiaries, including changes in tax requirements and tax rates.

-3-

All written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Company are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements.

Item 1. *Business*

General

Guaranty Bancshares, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated as a business corporation under the laws of the State of Texas in 1980 to serve as a holding company for Guaranty Bank (the Bank), which was chartered in 1913, and for Talco State Bank, which was chartered in 1912 and merged into the Bank in 1997. The Company's headquarters are located at 100 West Arkansas, Mount Pleasant, Texas 75455, and its telephone number is (903) 572-9881.

The Company has grown through a combination of internal growth, the acquisition of community banks and the opening of new community banking offices. In 1992, the Company established its Deport, Texas location by acquiring certain assets and liabilities of the First National Bank of Deport (the Deport Bank). The Deport Bank also had a branch in Paris, Texas, which the Company acquired. To enhance its expansion into the Paris community, in 1994 the Company constructed a new facility to serve as its Paris location. In 2000, the Paris facility was expanded from approximately 5,400 square feet to approximately 9,700 square feet, again to service the expanded customer base. In 1993, the Company purchased a commercial bank in Bogata, Texas and in 1996 opened a second retail-service banking facility in Mount Pleasant. In 1997, the Company merged Talco State Bank into the Bank and opened a full-service location in Texarkana. Texarkana is the center of a trade area encompassing approximately 123,000 people. Management of the Company believes that this trade area provides opportunity for strong continued growth in loans and deposits. Texas Highway 59 (scheduled to become Interstate 69), which serves as the primary NAFTA Highway linking the interior United States and Mexico, is a main artery to Texarkana. The increased traffic along this NAFTA Highway is expected to enhance economic activity in this area and create more opportunities for growth. In 1998, the Company completed a new facility in Texarkana to enhance its expansion in the Texarkana market. In 1999, the Company opened a full-service location in Pittsburg, Texas, a community of approximately 4,500 people located 12 miles from Mount Pleasant. Also in 1999, the Company acquired the First American Financial Corporation, (First American), with locations in Sulphur Springs and Commerce, Texas. The Company also acquired First American's wholly owned mortgage company. In 2000, the operations of the mortgage subsidiary, which were being continued by the Company under the name Guaranty Mortgage Company, were merged into the Bank. Also in August 2000, the Company was granted approval by the Texas Department of Banking to open a loan production office in Fort Stockton, Texas. In December of 2000, the Company was granted approval by the Department to operate this facility as a full service bank location.

The Company has developed a community-banking network, with most of its offices located in separate communities. Lending and investment activities are funded from a strong core deposit base consisting of more than 37,000 deposit accounts. Each of the Company's offices has the authority and flexibility to make pricing decisions within overall ranges developed by the Company as a form of quality control. Management of the Company believes that its responsiveness to local customers and ability to adjust deposit rates and price loans at each location gives it a distinct competitive advantage. Employees are committed to personal service and developing long-term customer relationships, and adequate staffing is provided at each location to ensure that customer's needs are well addressed. The Company provides economic incentives to its officers to develop additional business for the Company and to cross-sell additional products and services to existing customers.

The Company continues to look for additional expansion opportunities, either through acquisitions of existing financial institutions or by establishing de novo offices. The Company intends to consider various strategic acquisitions of banks, banking assets or financial service entities related to banking in those areas that management believes would complement and help grow the Company's existing business. The Company is particularly optimistic about the growth potential in the Texarkana, Sulphur Springs, Paris, and Mount Pleasant market area.

-4-

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

The Bank owns interests in four entities which complement the Company's business: (i) Guaranty Leasing Company (Guaranty Leasing), which finances equipment leases and has engaged in certain transactions which have resulted in the recognition of federal income tax losses deductible by the Company, (ii) GB Com, Inc., a nominee company, (iii) BSC Securities, L.C. (BSC), which provides brokerage services and (iv) Independent Bank Services, L.C. (IBS), which performs compliance, loan review, internal audit and electronic data processing audit functions.

Business

The Company's guiding strategy is to increase shareholder value by providing customers with individualized, responsive, quality service and to augment its existing market share. The Company's main objective is to increase loans and deposits through additional expansion opportunities in Texas, while stressing efficiency and maximizing profitability. In furtherance of this objective, the Company has employed the following operating strategies:

Focus On Community Banking. The Company has developed a reputation of being a premier provider of financial services to small and medium-sized businesses, professionals and individuals in Northeast Texas. Management believes the Company's reputation for providing personal, professional and dependable service is well established in communities located in this area. Each of the Company's full-service branch locations is administered by a local President with knowledge of the community and lending expertise in the specific industries found in the community, whether it is agriculture, manufacturing and commerce or professional services. Decisions regarding loans are made at each location in a timely manner. Indicative of the Company's community banking expertise, the Small Business Administration honored the Company as the top rated small business lender in the State of Texas in 1995, 1996, 1997, and 1998 even though the Company did not participate in the Small Business Administration guaranteed loan program.

Continue Strong Core Growth. In recent years, the Company has increased its market share in each of the communities in which it maintains a full-service banking facility. In its principal location of Mount Pleasant, the Company's market share of financial institution deposits represent approximately 45.0% for the year ended December 31, 2000. Deposits at the Paris location grew 27.9% in 1999 and 24.1% in 2000. Deposits at the Texarkana location, which opened in August of 1997, grew 95.9% in 1999, and 9.6% in 2000. Deposits at the Pittsburg location, which opened in May 1999, grew \$4.5 million in 1999 and \$9.5 million in 2000 representing 8.2% of the market share in Camp County. Deposits at the Sulphur Springs location represent an approximate market share of 13.4%. The Company is well known in its geographic area as a result of its longevity and reputation for service. The Company intends to grow by continuing to seek strategic acquisitions and branching opportunities.

Enhance Technology. The Company has embraced technological change as a way to remain competitive, manage operational costs associated with growth and offer superior products to its customers. Recent technological implementations include end-user Internet Banking, electronic bill and note payment, check imaging, credit file imaging, optical report archival and an automated voice response system. Currently, the Company is evaluating several additional enhancements that will improve its ability to deliver information internally to improve productivity and externally to provide convenience and timeliness to its growing customer base. Such enhancements include high-speed wireless communications between all locations combining data and voice traffic, and on-line account reconciliation and internal transfers. The Company has made significant investments in technology, and has become a technological leader in its market.

Offer Competitive Products. The Company recognizes its competition is not solely banks, but brokerage houses, insurance companies, credit unions and various other competitors, and that in order to thrive it must be competitive in the products that it offers. The Company offers a full range of commercial loan products, including term loans, lines of credit, fixed asset loans and working capital loans. The Company also offers consumers a full range of personal loan products including automobile loans, home improvement loans, consumer loans and mortgage loans. The Company also has a wide variety of deposit products, including a Premier Money Market Account that pays a rate competitive with most brokerage investment accounts and has been very attractive to customers. This product, coupled with certificates of deposit, NOW accounts, savings accounts, Internet banking, free checking, debit cards and overdraft protection, gives the customer a full compliment of deposit products at competitive rates.

-5-

Expand Revenue Sources. In order to provide service to its customers and to augment revenues, the Company offers brokerage and trust services. BSC is a full-service brokerage company that offers a complete array of investment options including stocks, bonds, mutual funds, financial and retirement planning, tax advantaged investments and asset allocations.

BSC offers securities through Southwest Securities; a Texas based independent clearing firm. BSC is licensed and regulated through the National Association of Securities Dealers, the Securities and Exchange Commission and various state and federal banking authorities. The Company's Trust Department offers complete trust services, including estate administration, custody, trust and asset management services. Management believes that an aging affluent population will foster an increase in the need for professional estate administration services. The maturing of the baby boomer generation is creating a market for asset management services. The Trust Department is in a unique position since there is little competition for trust services in the Company's markets. Because of the Company's strong presence in its markets, management believes that banking relationships can be leveraged into growth for the Trust Department. Growth in trust assets and corresponding management fees will result from expanding estate administration, traditional trust services, asset management services and custodial services in the Company's markets.

Improve Operating Efficiencies. In order to control overhead expenses, the Company seeks to provide a full range of services as effectively as possible. Through BSC, the Company is able to provide its customers with full brokerage services without having to carry the entire cost itself due to a shared cost agreement with other banks. Similarly, the Company enjoys the compliance, loan review, internal audit and electronic data processing audit functions provided by IBS on a shared cost basis with a group of other banks participating in this arrangement. The Company has spent the last nine years and considerable revenue expanding its market and improving the delivery of its financial products, which has resulted in a higher than desired efficiency ratio. Beginning with the acquisition of the Deport Bank in 1992, the Company has added nine locations. As a result, it has taken longer for the Company to achieve the desired economies of scale, but with its growth rate, those economies are beginning to be realized and the efficiency ratio is expected to show declining trends in the future. The Company has the support staff and related fixed asset investments to accommodate additional growth and enjoy additional economies of scale.

Recent Acquisition

In August 2000, the Bank was granted approval by the Texas Department of Banking to open a loan production office in Fort Stockton, Texas. In December 2000 the Department approved operating this facility as a full service bank location.

Competition

The banking business is highly competitive, and the profitability of the Company depends principally on the Company's ability to compete in the market areas in which its banking operations are located. The Company competes with other commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, brokerage and investment banking firms, asset-based non-bank lenders and certain other non-financial entities, including retail stores which may maintain their own credit programs and certain governmental organizations which may offer more favorable financing than the Company. The Company has been able to compete effectively with other financial institutions by emphasizing customer service, technology and local office decision-making, by establishing long-term customer relationships and building customer loyalty, and by providing products and services designed to address the specific needs of its customers. Competition from both financial and non-financial institutions is expected to continue.

Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, effective March 11, 2000, securities firms and insurance companies that elect to become financial holding companies may acquire banks and other financial institutions. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act may significantly change the competitive environment in which the Company and its subsidiaries conduct business. See -Supervision and Regulation The Company . The financial services industry is also likely to become even more competitive as further technological advances enable more companies to provide financial services. These technological advances may diminish the importance of depository institutions and other financial intermediaries in the transfer of funds between parties.

-6-

Leveraged Lease Transactions

In a series of transactions in 1992, 1994 and 1995, Guaranty Leasing acquired limited partnership interests in certain partnerships (collectively, the Partnerships or individually, a Partnership) engaged in the equipment leasing business. The investments were structured by TransCapital Corporation (TransCapital) through various subsidiaries and controlled partnerships.

Generally, in each of the transactions the Partnership became the lessee of equipment from an equipment owner (pursuant to a sale and leaseback transaction) and the sublessor of the equipment to the equipment user. Each Partnership receives note

payments from the equipment owner under a purchase money note given to purchase the equipment from that Partnership. The Partnership makes lease payments to the equipment owner pursuant to the master lease of the equipment. In most instances, payments under the purchase money note equals lease payments under the master lease. Rental payments from the equipment used under these equipment subleases were sold in advance subject to existing liens for purchase of the equipment.

The Partnership incurs a tax loss while the master lease/sublease structure is in place; primarily because deductions for rentals paid under the master lease exceed taxable interest income under the purchase money note. Consequently, Guaranty Leasing has reported tax losses as a result of its investments in the Partnerships, which were deductible by the Company. In November 1998, Guaranty Leasing was informed by the Internal Revenue Service (the Service) that it has taken the position that certain losses taken by a Partnership during 1994, 1995 and 1996 of \$302,000, \$410,000 and \$447,000, respectively, would be disallowed. The Company believes that it has correctly reported these transactions for tax purposes and that it has obtained appropriate legal, accounting and appraisal opinions and authority to support its positions. The Partnership plans to appeal the Service's determination with the Service's Appellate Division. If the appeal is unsuccessful, the Partnership plans to litigate the matter in Tax Court. Any final determination with respect to the Partnership will be binding on the Company. If the Service is ultimately successful in redetermining the Partnership's tax liability, the Company's tax deductions taken in 1994, 1995 and 1996 may be disallowed and its tax liability may be adjusted, which may have a material adverse affect on the Company. The Partnership is actively contesting the position of the Service in connection with this matter, and will take appropriate steps necessary to protect its legal position.

Also, there can be no assurance that the Service will not contest, and ultimately disallow, similar types of deductions and losses taken during these and other open tax years by this Partnership or other similar Partnerships (as discussed above) in which Guaranty Leasing has ownership. If the Service were to be successful, the potential tax liability to the Company could be material to its consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended December 31, 2000, Guaranty Leasing acquired for approximately \$2.8 million, a 2.5% ownership in an Aircraft Finance Trust (AFT), a special purpose business trust formed to acquire, finance, refinance, own, lease, sublease, sell and maintain aircraft. AFT was created by General Electric Capital Corporation, and is a financing transaction through which airlines lease aircraft. AFT is a business trust formed in 1999 under the laws of the state of Delaware, and it leases aircraft to airlines around the world. The senior notes issued to AFT are rated AA by Standard and Poors and the notes are secured by the cash flow from the aircraft leases. The notes mature in 2024. The AFT has no material impact on the financials.

-7-

Employees

As of December 31, 2000, the Company had 198 full-time equivalent employees, 77 of whom were officers of the Bank. The Company provides medical and hospitalization insurance to its full-time employees. The Company considers its relations with employees to be excellent.

Supervision and Regulation

The supervision and regulation of bank holding companies and their subsidiaries is intended primarily for the protection of depositors, the deposit insurance funds of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the banking system as a whole, and not for the protection of the bank holding company shareholders or creditors. The banking agencies have broad enforcement power over bank holding companies and banks including the power to impose substantial fines and other penalties for violations of laws and regulations.

The following description summarizes some of the laws to which the Company and the Bank are subject. References herein to applicable statutes and regulations are brief summaries thereof, do not purport to be complete, and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations.

The Company. The Company is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act), and it is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve). The BHC Act and other federal laws subject bank holding companies to particular restrictions on the types of activities in which they may engage, and to a range of supervisory requirements and activities, including regulatory enforcement actions for violations of laws and regulations.

Regulatory Restrictions on Dividends; Source of Strength. It is the policy of the Federal Reserve that bank holding companies should pay cash dividends on common stock only out of income available over the past year and only if prospective earnings retention is consistent with the organization's expected future needs and financial condition. The policy provides that bank holding companies should not maintain a level of cash dividends that undermines the bank holding company's ability to serve as a source of strength to its banking subsidiaries.

Under Federal Reserve policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to each of its banking subsidiaries and commit resources to their support. Such support may be required at times when, absent this Federal Reserve policy, a holding company may not be inclined to provide it. As discussed below, a bank holding company in certain circumstances could be required to guarantee the capital plan of an undercapitalized banking subsidiary.

In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, the trustee will be deemed to have assumed and is required to cure immediately any deficit under any commitment by the debtor holding company to any of the federal banking agencies to maintain the capital of an insured depository institution, and any claim for breach of such obligation will generally have priority over most other unsecured claims.

Financial Modernization. Under the BHC Act, bank holding companies generally may not acquire a direct or indirect interest in or control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company that is not a bank or bank holding company or from engaging in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks or furnishing services to or performing services for its subsidiaries, except that it may engage in, directly or indirectly, certain activities that the Federal Reserve determined to be closely related to banking or managing and controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

However, on November 12, 1999, President Clinton signed into law the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act that eliminated the barriers to affiliations among banks, securities firms, insurance companies and other financial service providers. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act permits bank holding companies to become financial holding companies and thereby affiliate with securities firms and insurance companies and engage in other activities that are financial in nature. No regulatory approval will be required for a financial holding company to acquire a company, other than a bank or savings association, engaged in activities that are financial in nature or incidental to activities that are financial in nature, as determined by the Federal Reserve.

-8-

Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, a bank holding company may become a financial holding company by filing a declaration with the Federal Reserve if each of its subsidiary banks is well capitalized under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA) prompt corrective action provisions, is well managed, and has at least a satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA). The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act defines "financial in nature" to include securities underwriting, dealing and market making; sponsoring mutual funds and investment companies; insurance underwriting and agency; merchant banking activities; and activities that the Federal Reserve has determined to be closely related to banking.

While the Federal Reserve will serve as the "umbrella" regulator for financial holding companies and has the power to examine banking organizations engaged in new activities, regulation and supervision of activities which are financial in nature or determined to be incidental to such financial activities will be handled along functional lines. Accordingly, activities of subsidiaries of a financial holding company will be regulated by the agency or authorities with the most experience regulating that activity as it is conducted in a financial holding company.

Safe and Sound Banking Practices. Bank holding companies are not permitted to engage in unsafe and unsound banking practices. The Federal Reserve's Regulation Y, for example, generally requires a holding company to give the Federal Reserve prior notice of any redemption or repurchase of its own equity securities, if the consideration to be paid, together with the consideration paid for any repurchases or redemptions in the preceding year, is equal to 10% or more of the company's consolidated net worth. The Federal Reserve may oppose the transaction if it believes that the transaction would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice or would violate any law or regulation. Depending upon the circumstances, the Federal Reserve could take the position that paying a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice.

The Federal Reserve has broad authority to prohibit activities of bank holding companies and their non-banking subsidiaries which represent unsafe and unsound banking practices or which constitute violations of laws or regulations, and can assess civil money penalties for certain activities conducted on a knowing and reckless basis, if those activities caused a substantial loss to a depository institution. The penalties can be as high as \$1.0 million for each day the activity continues.

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

Anti-Tying Restrictions. Bank holding companies and their affiliates are prohibited from tying the provision of certain services, such as extensions of credit, to other services offered by a holding company or its affiliates.

Capital Adequacy Requirements. The Federal Reserve has adopted a system using risk-based capital guidelines to evaluate the capital adequacy of bank holding companies. Under the guidelines, specific categories of assets are assigned different risk weights, based generally on the perceived credit risk of the asset. These risk weights are multiplied by corresponding asset balances to determine a risk-weighted asset base. The guidelines require a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of 8.0% (of which at least 4.0% is required to consist of Tier 1 capital elements). Total capital is the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. As of December 31, 2000, the Company's ratio of Tier 1 capital to total risk-weighted assets was 11.79% and its ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets was 12.69%. See **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**.

In addition to the risk-based capital guidelines, the Federal Reserve uses a leverage ratio as an additional tool to evaluate the capital adequacy of bank holding companies. The leverage ratio is a company's Tier 1 capital divided by its average total consolidated assets. Certain highly rated bank holding companies may maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 3.0%, but other bank holding companies may be required to maintain a leverage ratio of up to 200 basis points above the regulatory minimum. As of December 31, 2000, the Company's leverage ratio was 8.60%.

-9-

The federal banking agencies' risk-based and leverage ratios are minimum supervisory ratios generally applicable to banking organizations that meet certain specified criteria, assuming that they have the highest regulatory rating. Banking organizations not meeting these criteria are expected to operate with capital positions well above the minimum ratios. The federal bank regulatory agencies may set capital requirements for a particular banking organization that are higher than the minimum ratios when circumstances warrant. Federal Reserve guidelines also provide that banking organizations experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels, without significant reliance on intangible assets.

Imposition of Liability for Undercapitalized Subsidiaries. Bank regulators are required to take prompt corrective action to resolve problems associated with insured depository institutions whose capital declines below certain levels. In the event an institution becomes undercapitalized, it must submit a capital restoration plan. The capital restoration plan will not be accepted by the regulators unless each company having control of the undercapitalized institution guarantees the subsidiary's compliance with the capital restoration plan up to a certain specified amount. Any such guarantee from a depository institution's holding company is entitled to a priority of payment in bankruptcy.

The aggregate liability of the holding company of an undercapitalized bank is limited to the lesser of 5% of the institution's assets at the time it became undercapitalized or the amount necessary to cause the institution to be adequately capitalized. The bank regulators have greater power in situations where an institution becomes significantly or critically undercapitalized or fails to submit a capital restoration plan. For example, a bank holding company controlling such an institution can be required to obtain prior Federal Reserve approval of proposed dividends, or might be required to consent to a consolidation or to divest the troubled institution or other affiliates.

Acquisitions by Bank Holding Companies. The BHC Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before it may acquire all or substantially all of the assets of any bank, or ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank, if after such acquisition it would own or control, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of the voting shares of such bank. In approving bank acquisitions by bank holding companies, the Federal Reserve is required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the bank holding company and the banks concerned, the convenience and needs of the communities to be served, and various competitive factors.

Control Acquisitions. The Change in Bank Control Act prohibits a person or group of persons from acquiring control of a bank holding company unless the Federal Reserve has been notified and has not objected to the transaction. Under a rebuttable presumption established by the Federal Reserve, the acquisition of 10% or more of a class of voting stock of a bank holding company with a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act, such as the Company, would, under the circumstances set forth in the presumption, constitute acquisition of control of the Company.

In addition, any company is required to obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve under the BHC Act before acquiring 25% (5% in the case of an acquirer that is a bank holding company) or more of the outstanding Common Stock of the Company, or

otherwise obtaining control or a controlling influence over the Company.

The Bank. The Bank is a Texas-chartered banking association, the deposits of which are insured by the Bank Insurance Fund (BIF) of the FDIC. The Bank is not a member of the Federal Reserve System; therefore, the Bank is subject to supervision and regulation by the FDIC and the Texas Department of Banking (TDB). Such supervision and regulation subjects the Bank to special restrictions, requirements, potential enforcement actions and periodic examination by the FDIC and the TDB. Because the Federal Reserve regulates the bank holding company parent of the Bank, the Federal Reserve also has supervisory authority, which directly affects the Bank.

-10-

Equivalence to National Bank Powers. The Texas Constitution, as amended in 1986, provides that a Texas-chartered bank has the same rights and privileges that are or may be granted to national banks domiciled in Texas. To the extent that the Texas laws and regulations may have allowed state-chartered banks to engage in a broader range of activities than national banks, the FDICIA has operated to limit this authority. FDICIA provides that no state bank or subsidiary thereof may engage as principal in any activity not permitted for national banks, unless the institution complies with applicable capital requirements and the FDIC determines that the activity poses no significant risk to the insurance fund. In general, statutory restrictions on the activities of banks are aimed at protecting the safety and soundness of depository institutions.

Financial Modernization. Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, a national bank may establish a financial subsidiary and engage, subject to limitations on investment, in activities that are financial in nature, other than insurance underwriting as principal, insurance company portfolio investment, real estate development, real estate investment and annuity issuance. To do so, a bank must be well capitalized, well managed and have a CRA rating of satisfactory or better. Subsidiary banks of a financial holding company or national banks with financial subsidiaries must remain well capitalized and well managed in order to continue to engage in activities that are financial in nature without regulatory actions or restrictions, which could include divestiture of the financial in nature subsidiary or subsidiaries. In addition, a financial holding company or a bank may not acquire a company that is engaged in activities that are financial in nature unless each of the subsidiary banks of the financial holding company or the bank has a CRA rating of satisfactory or better.

Although the powers of state chartered banks with respect to engaging in financial activities are not specifically addressed in the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, state banks such as the Bank, will have the same if not greater powers as national banks through the parity provision contained in the Texas Constitution.

Branching. Texas law provides that a Texas-chartered bank can establish a branch anywhere in Texas provided that the branch is approved in advance by the TDB. The branch must also be approved by the FDIC, which considers a number of factors, including financial history, capital adequacy, earnings prospects, character of management, needs of the community and consistency with corporate powers.

Restrictions on Transactions With Affiliates and Insiders. Transactions between the Bank and its nonbanking subsidiaries, including the Company, are subject to Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act. In general, Section 23A imposes limits on the amount of such transactions, and also requires certain levels of collateral for loans to affiliated parties. It also limits the amount of advances to third parties, which are collateralized by the securities, or obligations of the Company or its subsidiaries.

Affiliate transactions are also subject to Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act which generally requires that certain transactions between the Bank and its affiliates be on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the Bank, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with or involving other nonaffiliated persons.

The restrictions on loans to directors, executive officers, principal shareholders and their related interests (collectively referred to herein as insiders) contained in the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation O apply to all insured institutions and their subsidiaries and holding companies. These restrictions include limits on loans to one borrower and conditions that must be met before such a loan can be made. There is also an aggregate limitation on all loans to insiders and their related interests. These loans cannot exceed the institution's total unimpaired capital and surplus, and the FDIC may determine that a lesser amount is appropriate. Insiders are subject to enforcement actions for knowingly accepting loans in violation of applicable restrictions.

Restrictions on Distribution of Subsidiary Bank Dividends and Assets. Dividends paid by the Bank have provided a substantial part of the Company's operating funds and it is anticipated that dividends paid by the Bank to the Company will continue to be the Company's principal source of operating funds. Capital adequacy requirements serve to limit the amount of

dividends that may be paid by the Bank. Under federal law, the Bank cannot pay a dividend if, after paying the dividend, the Bank will be undercapitalized. The FDIC may declare a dividend payment to be unsafe and unsound even though the Bank would continue to meet its capital requirements after the dividend.

-11-

Because the Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiaries, its right to participate in the distribution of assets of any subsidiary upon the subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization will be subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. In the event of a liquidation or other resolution of an insured depository institution, the claims of depositors and other general or subordinated creditors are entitled to a priority of payment over the claims of holders of any obligation of the institution to its shareholders, including any depository institution holding company (such as the Company) or any shareholder or creditor thereof.

Examinations. The FDIC periodically examines and evaluates insured banks. Based upon such an evaluation, the FDIC may revalue the assets of the institution and require that it establish specific reserves to compensate for the difference between the FDIC-determined value and the book value of such assets. The TDB also conducts examinations of state banks but may accept the results of a federal examination in lieu of conducting an independent examination.

Audit Reports. Insured institutions with total assets of \$500 million or more must submit annual audit reports prepared by independent auditors to federal and state regulators. In some instances, the audit report of the institution's holding company can be used to satisfy this requirement. Auditors must receive examination reports, supervisory agreements and reports of enforcement actions. In addition, financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, management's certifications concerning responsibility for the financial statements, internal controls and compliance with legal requirements designated by the FDIC, and an attestation by the auditor regarding the statements of management relating to the internal controls must be submitted. For institutions with total assets of more than \$3 billion, independent auditors may be required to review quarterly financial statements. FDICIA requires that independent audit committees be formed, consisting of outside directors only. The committees of such institutions must include members with experience in banking or financial management, must have access to outside counsel, and must not include representatives of large customers.

Capital Adequacy Requirements. The FDIC has adopted regulations establishing minimum requirements for the capital adequacy of insured institutions. The FDIC may establish higher minimum requirements if, for example, a bank has previously received special attention or has a high susceptibility to interest rate risk.

The FDIC's risk-based capital guidelines generally require state banks to have a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total risk-weighted assets of 4.0% and a ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets of 8.0%. The capital categories have the same definitions for the Bank as for the Company. As of December 31, 2000, the Bank's ratio of Tier 1 capital to total risk-weighted assets was 10.93% and its ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets was 11.84%. **See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .**

The FDIC's leverage guidelines require state banks to maintain Tier 1 capital of no less than 5.0% of average total assets, except in the case of certain highly rated banks for which the requirement is 3.0% of average total assets. The TDB has issued a policy, which generally requires state chartered banks to maintain a leverage ratio (defined in accordance with federal capital guidelines) of 6.0%. As of December 31, 2000, the Bank's ratio of Tier 1 capital to average total assets (leverage ratio) was 7.88%. **See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .**

Corrective Measures for Capital Deficiencies. The federal banking regulators are required to take prompt corrective action with respect to capital-deficient institutions. Agency regulations define, for each capital category, the levels at which institutions are well capitalized, adequately capitalized, under capitalized, significantly under capitalized and critically under capitalized. A well capitalized bank has a total risk based capital ratio of 10.0% or higher; a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 6.0% or higher; a leverage ratio of 5.0% or higher; and is not subject to any written agreement, order or directive requiring it to maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. An adequately capitalized bank has a total risk based capital ratio of 8.0% or higher; a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 4.0% or higher; a leverage ratio of 4.0% or higher (3.0% or higher if the bank was rated a composite 1 in its most recent examination report and is not experiencing significant growth); and does not meet the criteria for a well capitalized bank. A bank is under capitalized if it fails to meet any one of the ratios required to be adequately capitalized. The Bank is classified as well capitalized for purposes of the FDIC's prompt corrective action regulations.

-12-

In addition to requiring undercapitalized institutions to submit a capital restoration plan, agency regulations contain broad restrictions on certain activities of undercapitalized institutions including asset growth, acquisitions, branch establishment, and expansion into new lines of business. With certain exceptions, an insured depository institution is prohibited from making capital distributions, including dividends, and is prohibited from paying management fees to control persons if the institution would be undercapitalized after any such distribution or payment.

As an institution's capital decreases, the FDIC's enforcement powers become more severe. A significantly undercapitalized institution is subject to mandated capital raising activities, restrictions on interest rates paid and transactions with affiliates, removal of management, and other restrictions. The FDIC has only very limited discretion in dealing with a critically undercapitalized institution and is virtually required to appoint a receiver or conservator.

Banks with risk-based capital and leverage ratios below the required minimums may also be subject to certain administrative actions, including the termination of deposit insurance upon notice and hearing, or a temporary suspension of insurance without a hearing in the event the institution has no tangible capital.

Management believes that the Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject at December 31, 2000. The Bank's capital ratios exceeded the minimum requirements for well capitalized institutions under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action at December 31, 2000. As a result, the Company does not believe that FDICIA's prompt corrective action regulations will have any material effect on the activities or operations of the Bank. It should be noted, however, that a bank's capital category is determined solely for the purpose of applying the FDIC's prompt corrective action regulations and that the capital category may not constitute an accurate representation of the Bank's overall financial condition or prospects.

Deposit Insurance Assessments. The Bank must pay assessments to the FDIC for federal deposit insurance protection. The FDIC has adopted a risk-based assessment system as required by FDICIA. Under this system, FDIC-insured depository institutions pay insurance premiums at rates based on their risk classification. Institutions assigned to higher-risk classifications (that is, institutions that pose a greater risk of loss to their respective deposit insurance funds) pay assessments at higher rates than institutions that pose a lower risk. An institution's risk classification is assigned based on its capital levels and the level of supervisory concern the institution poses to the regulators. In addition, the FDIC can impose special assessments in certain instances. The current range of BIF assessments is between 0% and 0.27% of deposits.

The FDIC established a process for raising or lowering all rates for insured institutions semi-annually if conditions warrant a change. Under this new system, the FDIC has the flexibility to adjust the assessment rate schedule twice a year without seeking prior public comment, but only within a range of five cents per \$100 above or below the premium schedule adopted. Changes in the rate schedule outside the five-cent range above or below the current schedule can be made by the FDIC only after a full rulemaking with opportunity for public comment.

On September 30, 1996, President Clinton signed into law an act that contained a comprehensive approach to recapitalize the Savings Association Insurance Fund (SAIF) and assure the payment of the Financing Corporation's (FICO) bond obligations. Under this new act, banks insured under the BIF are required to pay a portion of the interest due on bonds that were issued by FICO to help shore up the ailing Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation in 1987. The FDIC also applies an assessment against BIF-assessable deposits to be paid to the Financing Corporation (FICO) to assist in paying interest of FICO bonds, which financed the resolution of the thrift industry crisis. The FICO assessment is approximately 1.22 basis points, on an annual basis, on BIF-insured deposits.

Enforcement Powers. The FDIC and the other federal banking agencies have broad enforcement powers, including the power to terminate deposit insurance, impose substantial fines and other civil and criminal penalties and appoint a conservator or receiver. Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and supervisory agreements could subject the Company or its banking subsidiaries, as well as officers, directors and other institution-affiliated parties of these organizations, to administrative sanctions and potentially substantial civil money penalties. The appropriate federal banking agency may appoint the FDIC as conservator or receiver for a banking institution (or the FDIC may appoint itself, under certain circumstances) if any one or more of a number of circumstances exist, including, without limitation, the fact that the banking institution is undercapitalized and has no reasonable prospect of becoming adequately capitalized; fails to become adequately capitalized when required to do so; fails to submit a timely and acceptable capital restoration plan; or materially fails to implement an accepted capital restoration plan. The TDB also has broad enforcement powers over the Bank, including the power to impose orders, remove officers and directors, impose fines and appoint supervisors and conservators.

Brokered Deposit Restrictions. Adequately capitalized institutions cannot accept, renew or roll over brokered deposits except with a waiver from the FDIC, and are subject to restrictions on the interest rates that can be paid on such deposits. Undercapitalized institutions may not accept, renew or roll over brokered deposits.

Cross-Guarantee Provisions. The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA) contains a cross-guarantee provision which generally makes commonly controlled insured depository institutions liable to the FDIC for any losses incurred in connection with the failure of a commonly controlled depository institution.

Community Reinvestment Act. The CRA and the regulations issued there under are intended to encourage banks to help meet the credit needs of their service area, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operations of the banks. These regulations also provide for regulatory assessment of a bank's record in meeting the needs of its service area when considering applications to establish branches, merger applications and applications to acquire the assets and assume the liabilities of another bank. FIRREA requires federal banking agencies to make public a rating of a bank's performance under the CRA. In the case of a bank holding company, the CRA performance record of the banks involved in the transaction are reviewed in connection with the filing of an application to acquire ownership or control of shares or assets of a bank or to merge with any other bank holding company. An unsatisfactory record can substantially delay or block the transaction.

Consumer Laws and Regulations. In addition to the laws and regulations discussed herein, the Bank is also subject to certain consumer laws and regulations that are designed to protect consumers in transactions with banks. While the list set forth herein is not exhaustive, these laws and regulations include the Truth in Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, the Expedited Funds Availability Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, and the Fair Housing Act, among others. These laws and regulations mandate certain disclosure requirements and regulate the manner in which financial institutions must deal with customers when taking deposits or making loans to such customers. The Bank must comply with the applicable provisions of these consumer protection laws and regulations as part of their ongoing customer relations.

Instability of Regulatory Structure. Various legislation, such as the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which expanded the powers of banking institutions and bank holding companies, and proposals to overhaul the bank regulatory system and limit the investments that a depository institution may make with insured funds, is from time to time introduced in Congress. Such legislation may change banking statutes and the operating environment of the Company and the Bank in substantial and unpredictable ways. The Company cannot determine the ultimate effect that the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act will have or the effect that potential legislation, if enacted, or implementing regulations with respect thereto, would have, upon the financial condition or results of operations of the Company or its subsidiaries.

Expanding Enforcement Authority. One of the major additional burdens imposed on the banking industry by FDICIA is the increased ability of banking regulators to monitor the activities of banks and their holding companies. In addition, the Federal Reserve and FDIC are possessed of extensive authority to police unsafe or unsound practices and violations of applicable laws and regulations by depository institutions and their holding companies. For example, the FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any institution, which it determines has engaged in an unsafe or unsound practice. The agencies can also assess civil money penalties, issue cease and desist or removal orders, seek injunctions, and publicly disclose such actions. FDICIA, FIRREA and other laws have expanded the agencies' authority in recent years, and the agencies have not yet fully tested the limits of their powers.

Effect on Economic Environment. The policies of regulatory authorities, including the monetary policy of the Federal Reserve, have a significant effect on the operating results of bank holding companies and their subsidiaries. Among the means available to the Federal Reserve to affect the money supply are open market operations in U.S. Government securities, changes in the discount rate on member bank borrowings, and changes in reserve requirements against member bank deposits. These means are used in varying combinations to influence overall growth and distribution of bank loans, investments and deposits, and their use may affect interest rates charged on loans or paid for deposits.

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

Federal Reserve monetary policies have materially affected the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to do so in the future. The nature of future monetary policies and the effect of such policies on the business and earnings of the Company and the Bank cannot be predicted.

Item 2. *Properties*

The Company conducts business at eleven banking locations, two of which are located in Mount Pleasant, eight are located in the Northeast Texas communities of Bogata, Commerce, Deport, Paris, Pittsburg, Sulphur Springs, Talco, Texarkana and one location in the West Texas community of Fort Stockton. The Company's headquarters are located at 100 West Arkansas in Mount Pleasant in a two-story office building. The Company owns all of its locations except its Fort Stockton facility. The following table sets forth specific information on each of the Company's locations:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Deposits at December 31, 2000</u>
		(Dollars in thousands)
Bogata	110 Halesboro St., Bogata, Texas 75417	\$ 14,576
Commerce	1108 Park St., Commerce, Texas 75429	17,100
Deport	111 Main St., Deport, Texas 75435	10,243
Fort Stockton	# 1 Spring Drive, Fort Stockton, Texas 79735	0(1)
Mount Pleasant-Downtown	100 W. Arkansas, Mount Pleasant, Texas 75455	155,953
Mount Pleasant-South	2317 S. Jefferson, Mount Pleasant, Texas 75455	3,551
Paris	3250 Lamar Ave., Paris, Texas 75460	57,937
Pittsburg	116 S. Greer Blvd., Pittsburg, Texas 75686	14,068
Sulphur Springs	919 Gilmer St., Sulphur Springs, Texas 75482	52,372
Talco	104 Broad St., Talco, Texas 75487	13,219
Texarkana	2202 St. Michael Dr., Texarkana, Texas 75503	19,246

(1) Opened for business as a loan production office in August 2000. Approved for branching in December 2000.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Neither the Company nor the Bank is currently a party to any material legal proceeding. However, the Bank is involved in routine litigation in the normal course of its business, which, in the opinion of management, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company or the Bank.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2000.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Shareholder Matters**

The Common Stock began trading on May 21, 1998 and is listed on the Nasdaq National Market System (Nasdaq NMS) under the symbol GNTY . Prior to that date, the Company's Common Stock was privately held and not listed on any public exchange or actively traded. The Company had a total of 3,250,016 shares outstanding at December 31, 2000. As of December 31, 2000, there were 418 registered shareholders of record. The number of beneficial shareholders is unknown to the Company at this time.

The following table presents the high and low Common Stock prices reported on the Nasdaq NMS by quarter during the two years ended December 31, 2000:

	2000		1999	
	High	Low	High	Low
Fourth quarter	\$ 11.13	\$ 10.00	\$ 11.00	\$ 7.75
Third quarter	11.63	9.50	11.63	7.25
Second quarter	12.63	10.00	11.13	9.38
First quarter	10.25	8.75	10.63	8.75

Holders of Common Stock are entitled to receive dividends when, as and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefore. While the Company has declared dividends on its Common Stock since 1980, and paid semi-annual dividends aggregating \$0.25 per share per annum in 2000, there is no assurance that the Company will continue to pay dividends in the future.

The principal source of cash revenues to the Company is dividends paid by the Bank with respect to the Bank's capital stock. There are certain restrictions on the payment of such dividends imposed by federal and state banking laws, regulations and authorities. See **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Supervision and Regulation - The Bank** .

The cash dividends paid per share by quarter were as follows:

	2000	1999
Fourth quarter	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.13
Third quarter		
Second quarter	0.12	0.12
First quarter		

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA OF THE COMPANY

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the information contained in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The selected historical consolidated financial data as of and for the five years ended December 31, 2000 are derived from the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been audited by independent certified public accountants.

	As of and for the Years Ended December 31,				
	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)					
Income Statement Data:					
Interest income	\$ 29,017	\$ 21,568	\$ 18,368	\$ 17,009	\$ 14,851
Interest expense	16,742	10,506	8,951	8,192	6,919
Net interest income	12,275	11,062	9,417	8,817	7,932
Provision for loan losses	595	310	540	355	206
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	11,680	10,752	8,877	8,462	7,726
Noninterest income	3,723	3,374	2,826	1,657	2,390
Noninterest expense	12,140	10,259	8,488	7,446	7,073
Earnings before taxes	3,263	3,867	3,215	2,673	3,043
Provision for income tax expense	755	745	541	273	165
Net earnings	2,508	3,122	2,674	2,400	2,878
Preferred stock dividend			37	74	74
Net earnings available to common shareholders.	\$ 2,508	\$ 3,122	\$ 2,637	\$ 2,326	\$ 2,804

Common Share Data: (1)

Net earnings (basic and diluted) (2)	\$ 0.80	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.08
Book value	9.67	8.77	8.21	6.84	6.06
Tangible book value	8.85	7.81	8.14	6.74	5.95
Cash dividends	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.22	0.21
Dividend payout ratio	30.70%	24.58%	26.38%	24.24%	18.81%
Weighted average common shares outstanding					
(in thousands)	3,126	3,045	2,782	2,547	2,592
Period end shares outstanding (in thousands)	3,044	3,232	2,898	2,548	2,545

Balance Sheet Data:

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

As of and for the Years Ended December 31,

Total assets	\$411,031	\$370,438	\$272,906	\$244,157	\$213,932
Securities	81,620	79,761	51,367	58,139	30,382
Loans	287,335	255,209	185,886	157,395	139,289
Allowance for loan losses	2,578	2,491	1,512	1,129	1,055
Total deposits	358,265	328,637	242,325	222,961	194,855
Total common shareholders' equity	29,425	28,496	23,796	17,426	15,423

(Table continues on next page.)

-17-

As of and for the Years Ended December 31

2000	1999	1998	1997	1996
------	------	------	------	------

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Average Balance Sheet Data:

Total assets	\$394,496	\$309,247	\$253,633	\$228,782	\$203,056
Securities	84,933	58,308	47,972	50,089	29,520
Loans	267,996	213,737	169,754	146,061	132,400
Allowance for loan losses	2,519	1,876	1,397	1,070	1,029
Total deposits	345,342	276,525	227,919	208,401	183,896
Total common shareholders' equity	28,266	25,989	21,363	16,508	15,164

Performance Ratios:

Return on average assets	0.64%	1.01%	1.05%	1.05%	1.42%
Return on average common equity	8.87%	12.01%	12.34%	14.09%	18.49%
Net interest margin	3.44%	3.93%	4.07%	4.24%	4.32%
Efficiency ratio (3)	75.72%	71.12%	69.33%	71.09%	68.52%

Asset Quality Ratios (4):

Nonperforming assets to total loans and other real estate	1.73%	0.43%	0.67%	1.22%	1.49%
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	0.19	0.08	0.09	0.19	0.12
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.90	0.98	0.81	0.72	0.76
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans (5)	54.83	244.94	130.80	92.85	93.12

Capital Ratios (4):

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

As of and for the Years Ended December 31

Leverage ratio	8.60%	8.21%	9.30%	7.87%	7.87%
Average shareholders equity to average total assets	7.17	8.40	8.59	7.58	7.88
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	11.79	9.86	12.29	11.16	11.07
Total risk-based capital ratio	12.69	10.83	13.08	11.86	11.80

- (1) Adjusted for a seven for one stock split effective March 24, 1998.
- (2) Net earnings per share are based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.
- (3) Calculated by dividing total noninterest expenses by net interest income plus noninterest income, excluding securities losses or gains.
- (4) At period end, except net loan charge-offs to average loans, and average shareholders equity to average total assets.
- (5) Nonperforming loans consist of nonaccrual loans, loans contractually past due 90 days or more and restructured loans.

-18-

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Certain statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include forward-looking information within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbor created by those sections. These forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the factors listed above and those described in this discussion and analysis. **See Special Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward Looking Information.** Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations analyzes the major elements of the Company's balance sheets and statements of earnings. This section should be read in conjunction with the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes and other detailed information appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

Net earnings available to common shareholders were \$2.5 million, \$3.1 million and \$2.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively, and net earnings per common share were \$0.80, \$1.03 and \$0.95 for these same periods. The decrease in earnings from 1999 to 2000 resulted primarily from an increase in interest expense caused by higher cost of funds and a growth in interest bearing liabilities and an increase in noninterest expenses offset by an increase in noninterest income. Average costing liabilities increased \$77.9 million from \$230.8 million in 1999 to \$308.8 million in 2000. Average cost of funds were 4.55% for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 compared to 5.42% for the same period in 2000, an increase of 19.1%. Noninterest income increased \$349,000 or 10.3% from \$3.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$3.7 million for the same period in 2000. This increase was generated primarily from an increase in service charge income of \$495,000 or 26.0% offset by a decrease in gain on sale of assets from \$330,000 in 1999 to \$38,000 in 2000. The increase in earnings from 1998 to 1999 resulted primarily from higher net interest income generated by a significant growth in average earning assets during the year. Average earning assets increased \$50.0 million from \$231.2 million in 1998 to \$281.2 million in 1999. Noninterest income increased \$548,000 in 1999 compared with 1998. Additional income was generated in 1999 by the sale of assets of \$353,000 and an increase in service charge income of \$565,000. The Company posted returns on average assets of 0.64%, 1.01% and 1.05% and returns on average common equity of 8.87%, 12.01% and 12.34% for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively.

Total assets at December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 were \$411.0 million, \$370.4 million and \$272.9 million, respectively. Total deposits at December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 were \$358.3 million, \$328.6 million and \$242.3 million, respectively. Deposits increased by \$29.7 million or 9.0% in 2000 compared with fiscal 1999 and by \$86.3 million or 35.6 % in 1999 compared with fiscal 1998. These increases were primarily attributable to the First American acquisition in September 1999

and the growth in public funds deposit monies, certificates of deposits, and the Premier Money Market Account. At December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, investment securities totaled \$81.6 million, \$79.8 million and \$51.4 million, respectively. The increase of investment securities in 2000 was primarily attributable to the increase in unrealized gain on securities available for sale of \$1.9 million from December 31, 1999 to December 31, 2000. The increase in 1999 compared with 1998 was primarily attributable to the investment of additional deposit funds and the deposits and investment securities acquired from First American. Common shareholders' equity was \$29.4 million, \$28.5 million and \$23.8 million at December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively. The increase in common shareholders' equity for the year ended December 31, 2000 reflects earnings retention and an increase in the unrealized gain on securities available for sale offset by the purchase of treasury stock and payment of dividends.

-19-

Results of Operation

Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the amount by which interest income on interest-earning assets, including securities and loans, exceeds interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities, including deposits and other borrowed funds. Net interest income is the principal source of the Company's earnings. Interest rate fluctuations, as well as changes in the amount and type of earning assets and liabilities, combine to affect net interest income.

2000 versus 1999. Net interest income increased from \$11.1 million in 1999 to \$12.3 million in 2000, an increase of \$1.2 million or 11.0%, primarily due to a growth in interest income of \$7.4 million, or 34.5%, offset by an increase in interest expense of \$6.2 million, or 59.4%. This resulted in net interest margins of 3.44% and 3.93% and net interest spreads of 2.72% and 3.12% for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

The increase in net interest income for 2000 was primarily due to growth in average loans of \$54.3 million or 25.4% and growth in average investment securities of \$26.6 million or 45.7%, which contributed \$5.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, to the increase in total interest income. Net interest income was negatively affected by lower yields on both loans and securities. The increase in interest expense was due primarily to an increase in average interest-bearing deposits of \$64.0 million or 28.2%, along with an increase in cost of funds from 4.55% in 1999 to 5.42% in 2000. For the year ended December 31, 2000 the Company also had an increase in average other borrowed funds and long-term debt of \$8.5 million and \$5.4 million respectively.

1999 versus 1998. Net interest income increased from \$9.4 million in 1998 to \$11.1 million in 1999, an increase of \$1.7 million or 17.5%, primarily due to growth in interest income of \$3.2 million. This increase was partially offset by an increase in interest expense of \$1.6 million. This resulted in net interest margins of 3.93% and 4.07% and net interest spreads of 3.12% and 3.18% for 1999 and 1998, respectively.

The increase in net interest income for 1999 was primarily due to growth in average loans of \$44.0 million or 25.9% and growth in average investment securities of \$10.3 million or 21.5%, which contributed \$2.9 million and \$522,000, respectively, to the increase in total interest income. Net interest income was negatively affected by lower yields on both loans and securities. The Company also decreased federal funds from an average \$12.9 million in 1998 to \$7.7 million in 1999, causing a decrease in federal funds interest income of \$304,000.

-20-

The following table presents for the periods indicated the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates. No tax equivalent adjustments were made and all average balances are yearly average balances. Nonaccruing loans have been included in the tables as loans carrying a zero yield.

Years Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

Years Ended December 31,

	2000			1999			1998		
	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/ Paid	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/ Paid	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest Earned/ Paid	Average Yield/ Rate
(Dollars in thousands)									
Assets									
Interest-earning assets:									
Loans	\$267,996	\$ 23,218	8.66%	\$213,737	\$ 17,481	8.18%	\$169,754	\$ 14,544	8.57%
Securities	84,933	5,589	6.58	58,308	3,626	6.22	47,972	3,104	6.47
Federal funds sold	3,434	209	6.09	7,725	385	4.98	12,867	689	5.35
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	23	1	4.35	1,428	76	5.32	572	31	5.42
Total interest-earning assets	356,386	29,017	8.14%	281,198	21,568	7.67%	231,165	18,368	7.95%
Less allowance for loan losses	(2,519)			(1,876)			(1,397)		
Total interest-earning assets, net of allowance	353,867			279,322			229,768		
Non-earning assets:									
Cash and due from banks	12,083			9,926			8,579		
Premises and equipment	13,187			8,617			6,687		
Interest receivable and other assets	15,066			11,230			8,209		
Other real estate owned	293			152			390		
Total assets	\$394,496			\$309,247			\$253,633		
Liabilities and shareholders equity									
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
NOW, savings, and money market accounts	\$ 97,328	\$ 4,039	4.15%	\$ 74,898	\$ 2,569	3.43%	\$ 59,276	\$ 2,013	3.40%
Time deposits	193,475	11,291	5.84	151,924	7,723	5.08	127,472	6,913	5.42
Total interest-bearing deposits	290,803	15,330	5.27	226,822	10,292	4.54	186,748	8,926	4.78

Edgar Filing: GUARANTY BANCSHARES INC /TX/ - Form 10-K

Years Ended December 31,

FHLB advances and federal funds purchased	12,529	812	6.48	4,027	214	5.31	809	25	3.09				
Long-term debt	5,423	600	11.06			0.00			0.00				
Total interest-bearing liabilities	308,755	16,742	5.42%	230,849	10,506	4.55%	187,557	8,951	4.77%				
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:													
Demand deposits	54,539			49,702			41,171						
Accrued interest, taxes and other liabilities	2,936			2,707			3,129						
Total liabilities	366,230			283,258			231,857			\$	2.34	\$2.28	\$2.62
NPI oil sales (\$/bbl)	\$ 56.83	\$	41.51	\$	56.55	\$	40.30						

Both oil and natural gas sales price changes reflected in the table above resulted from changing market conditions.

Oil sales volumes attributable to our Royalty properties during the third quarter remained constant from 207 mbbls in 2017 to 206 mbbls in the same period of 2018. Oil sales volumes attributable to the first nine months of 2018 increased 7% to 608 mbbls from 569 mbbls in the same period of 2017. The increase in sales volumes during the first nine months of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 is mainly a result of increased Permian Basin and Bakken production. Natural gas sales volumes attributable to our Royalty properties during the third quarter decreased 21% from 967 mmcf in 2017 to 767 mmcf in the same period of 2018. Natural gas sales volumes during the first nine months decreased 4% from 2,681 mmcf in 2017 to 2,585 mmcf in the same period of 2018. The decrease in sales volumes during the third quarter of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 is mainly a result of decreased production in the Fayetteville Shale. The slight decrease in sales volumes during the first nine months of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 is mainly a result of increased production in the Permian Basin offset by decreased production in the Fayetteville Shale.

Table of Contents

Oil sales volumes attributable to our NPIs during the third quarter and first nine months of 2017 were 60 mbbbls and 200 mbbbls, respectively, resulting in increases of 147% and 70% to 148 mbbbls and 339 mbbbls during the same periods of 2018. Increases in oil sales volumes during the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 compared to the same periods of 2017 is mainly due to new Bakken and Permian Basin well activity. Natural gas sales volumes attributable to our NPIs during the third quarter increased 11% from 631 mmcf in 2017 to 702 mmcf in the same period of 2018. During the first nine months of 2018, NPI natural gas sales volumes increased 9% from 1,799 mmcf in 2017 to 1,963 mmcf in the same period of 2018. The increase in natural gas sales volumes is mainly due to higher amount of Permian Basin production in the first nine months of 2018 as compared to the first nine months of 2017.

The nine months ended lease bonus revenue increased 150% from \$1.8 million during 2017 to \$4.5 million during 2018. The increase is primarily a result of a transaction in the second quarter of 2018 assigning a non-producing leasehold interest recorded as lease bonus revenue as the Partnership retained an overriding royalty interest.

The third quarter net operating revenues increased 11% from \$12.5 million during 2017 to \$13.9 million during the same period of 2018. This increase in net operating revenues is primarily due to higher oil prices partially offset by a decrease in NPI income. The first nine months net operating revenues increased 35% from \$37.8 million during 2017 to \$51.0 million during the same period of 2018. This increase is primarily a result of higher oil prices realized in both our Royalty and NPI revenues, and higher lease bonus revenues.

Third quarter operating costs, including production taxes, increased 8% from \$1.3 million in 2017 to \$1.4 million in 2018. The first nine months operating costs increased 18% from \$3.4 million during 2017 to \$4.0 million during the same period of 2018. The increases in both periods are primarily a result of higher production taxes due to higher oil prices.

General and administrative expenses decreased by 24% from \$1.1 million to \$0.9 million during the third quarter of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017. General and administrative expenses also decreased by 7% from \$3.8 million to \$3.5 million during the first nine months of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017. Decreases as compared to both periods of 2017 were mainly driven by completion of our 2017 remodel.

Depletion and amortization costs of \$2.8 million during the third quarter of 2017 decreased 14% to \$2.4 million during the same period of 2018. Depletion and amortization costs of \$6.4 million during the first nine months of 2017 increased 8% compared to \$6.9 million during the same period of 2018. We adjust our depletion rate each quarter for significant changes in our estimates of oil and natural gas reserves.

Third quarter net income allocable to common units increased 27% from \$7.0 million during 2017 to \$8.9 million during the same period of 2018. The first nine months net income allocable to common units increased by 52% from

\$23.3 million in 2017 compared to \$35.3 million during the same period of 2018. The increase in the third quarter of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 is primarily due to higher royalty income due to higher oil prices. The increase in the first nine months of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 is mainly due to higher royalty and NPI income due to higher oil prices and sales volumes in addition to higher lease bonus revenues.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased 49% from \$31.3 million during the first nine months of 2017 to \$46.7 million during the same period of 2018. The change is mainly driven by higher oil sales prices. Net cash provided by investing activities decreased \$0.4 million due to the cash contributed with the acquisition of royalty interests in 2017.

Table of Contents

In an effort to provide the reader with information concerning prices of oil and natural gas sales that correspond to our quarterly distributions, management calculates the weighted average price by dividing gross revenues received by the net volumes of the corresponding product without regard to the timing of the production to which such sales may be attributable. This “indicated price” does not necessarily reflect the contract terms for such sales and may be affected by transportation costs, location differentials, and quality and gravity adjustments. While the relationship between our cash receipts and the timing of the production of oil and natural gas may be described generally, actual cash receipts may be materially impacted by purchasers’ release of suspended funds and by purchasers’ prior period adjustments.

Cash receipts attributable to our Royalty properties during the third quarter of 2018 totaled \$13.6 million. These receipts generally reflect oil sales during June 2018 through August 2018 and natural gas sales during May 2018 through July 2018. The weighted average indicated prices for oil and natural gas sales received during the third quarter of 2018 attributable to the Royalty properties were \$55.71/bbl and \$2.29/mcf, respectively.

Cash receipts attributable to our NPIs during the third quarter of 2018 totaled approximately \$0.4 million. These receipts generally reflect oil and natural gas sales from the properties underlying the NPIs during May 2018 through July 2018. The weighted average indicated prices for oil and natural gas sales received during the third quarter of 2018 attributable to our NPIs were \$40.60/bbl and \$2.03/mcf, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Capital Resources

Our primary sources of capital are our cash flows from the NPIs and the Royalty properties. Our only cash requirements are the distributions to our unitholders, the payment of oil and natural gas production and property taxes not otherwise deducted from gross production revenues and general and administrative expenses incurred on our behalf and allocated to the Partnership in accordance with our partnership agreement. Because the distributions to our unitholders are, by definition, determined after the payment of all expenses actually paid by us, the only cash requirements that may create liquidity concerns for us are the payment of expenses. Because most of these expenses vary directly with oil and natural gas sales prices and volumes, we anticipate that sufficient funds will be available at all times for payment of these expenses. See Note 3 to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the amounts and dates of cash distributions to unitholders.

We are not directly liable for the payment of any exploration, development or production costs. We do not have any transactions, arrangements or other relationships that could materially affect our liquidity or the availability of capital resources. We have not guaranteed the debt of any other party, nor do we have any other arrangements or relationships with other entities that could potentially result in unconsolidated debt.

Pursuant to the terms of our partnership agreement, we cannot incur indebtedness, other than trade payables, (i) in excess of \$50,000 in the aggregate at any given time or (ii) which would constitute “acquisition indebtedness” (as defined in Section 514 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended).

Expenses and Capital Expenditures

The Operating Partnership continues to assess the opportunity to increase production based on prevailing market conditions in Oklahoma with techniques that may include fracture treating, deepening, recompleting, and drilling. Costs vary widely and are not predictable as each effort requires specific engineering. Such activities by the operating partnership could influence the amount we receive from the NPIs.

The Operating Partnership owns and operates the wells, pipelines and natural gas compression and dehydration facilities located in Oklahoma. The Operating Partnership does not anticipate incurring significant expense to replace these facilities at this time. These capital and operating costs are reflected in the NPI payments we receive from the Operating Partnership.

Table of Contents

In 1998, Oklahoma regulations removed production quantity restrictions in the Guymon-Hugoton field and did not address efforts by third parties to persuade Oklahoma to permit infill drilling in the Guymon-Hugoton field. Infill drilling could require considerable capital expenditures. The outcome and the cost of such activities are unpredictable and could influence the amount we receive from the NPIs. The operating partnership believes it now has sufficient field compression and permits for vacuum operation for the foreseeable future.

Liquidity and Working Capital

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$15.7 million at September 30, 2018 and \$13.8 million at December 31, 2017.

Critical Accounting Policies

As of September 30, 2018, there have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies and related estimates previously disclosed in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K, except for Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (ASU 2014-09), which was implemented in 2018.

item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The following information provides quantitative and qualitative information about our potential exposures to market risk. The term “market risk” refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in oil and natural gas prices, interest rates and currency exchange rates. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses but, rather, indicators of possible losses.

Market Risk Related to Oil and Natural Gas Prices

Essentially all of our assets and sources of income are from Royalty properties and NPIs, which generally entitle us to receive a share of the proceeds based on oil and natural gas production from those properties. Consequently, we are subject to market risk from fluctuations in oil and natural gas prices. Pricing for oil and natural gas production has been unpredictable for several years. We do not anticipate entering into financial hedging activities intended to reduce our exposure to oil and natural gas price fluctuations.

Absence of Interest Rate and Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We do not anticipate having a credit facility or incurring any debt other than trade debt. Therefore, we do not expect interest rate risk to be material to us. We do not anticipate engaging in transactions in foreign currencies that could expose us to foreign currency related market risk.

item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation, they have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Controls

There were no changes in our internal controls (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the quarter ended September 30, 2018 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Partnership and the operating partnership are involved in legal and/or administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of their businesses, none of which have predictable outcomes, and none of which are believed to have any significant effect on consolidated financial position, cash flows, or operating results.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

<u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
3.1	<u>Certificate of Limited Partnership of Dorchester Minerals, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Dorchester Minerals' Registration Statement on Form S-4, Registration Number 333-88282)</u>
3.2	<u>Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Dorchester Minerals, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Dorchester Minerals' Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ended December 31, 2002)</u>
3.3	<u>Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement of Dorchester Minerals, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Dorchester Minerals' Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 22, 2017)</u>
3.4	<u>Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement of Dorchester Minerals, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Dorchester Minerals' Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 6, 2018)</u>
3.5	<u>Certificate of Limited Partnership of Dorchester Minerals Management LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Dorchester Minerals' Registration Statement on Form S-4, Registration Number 333-88282)</u>
3.6	<u>Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Dorchester Minerals Management LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Dorchester Minerals' Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002)</u>
3.7	<u>Certificate of Formation of Dorchester Minerals Management GP LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.7 to Dorchester Minerals' Registration Statement on Form S-4, Registration Number 333-88282)</u>
3.8	<u>Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Dorchester Minerals Management GP LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to Dorchester Minerals' Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002)</u>
3.9	<u>Certificate of Formation of Dorchester Minerals Operating GP LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.10 to Dorchester Minerals' Registration Statement on Form S-4, Registration Number 333-88282)</u>
3.10	<u>Limited Liability Company Agreement of Dorchester Minerals Operating GP LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.11 to Dorchester Minerals' Registration Statement on Form S-4, Registration Number 333-88282)</u>
3.11	<u>Certificate of Limited Partnership of Dorchester Minerals Operating LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.12 to Dorchester Minerals' Registration Statement on Form S-4, Registration Number 333-88282)</u>

- 3.12 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Dorchester Minerals Operating LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.10 to Dorchester Minerals' Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002)
- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer of the Partnership pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer of the Partnership pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- 32.1** Certification of Chief Executive Officer of the Partnership pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350
- 32.2** Certification of Chief Financial Officer of the Partnership pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350 (contained within Exhibit 32.1 hereto)
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Document
- 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- * Filed herewith

**Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DORCHESTER MINERALS, L.P.

By: Dorchester Minerals Management LP
its General Partner

By: Dorchester Minerals Management GP LLC
its General Partner

By: /s/ William Casey McManemin
William Casey McManemin

Date: November 1, 2018 Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Leslie Moriyama
Leslie Moriyama

Date: November 1, 2018 Chief Financial Officer