

SYNALLOY CORP  
Form 10-K  
March 14, 2017

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-19687

SYNALLOY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

57-0426694

(State of incorporation)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4510 Cox Road, Suite 201, Richmond, Virginia, 23060

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (864) 585-3605

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act Name of each exchange on which registered:

Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value

NASDAQ Global Market

(Title of Class)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the

Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if

any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Based on the closing price as of June 30, 2016, which was the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$63.3 million. Based on the closing price as of March 10, 2017, the aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$89.7 million. The registrant did not have any non-voting common

equity outstanding at either date.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of March 10, 2017 was 8,678,622.

**Documents Incorporated By Reference**

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2017 annual shareholders' meeting are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

---

Synalloy Corporation  
Form 10-K  
For Period Ended December 31, 2016  
Table of Contents

	Page #
<u>Part I</u>	
<u>Item 1 Business</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Item 2 Properties</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 3 Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Part II</u>	
<u>Item 5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Security</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Item 6 Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Segment Information</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Management's Annual Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Consolidated Financial Statements - KPMG LLP</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Internal Control - KPMG LLP</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Consolidated Financial Statements - Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Item 9A Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Item 9B Other Information</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Part III</u>	
<u>Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Item 11 Executive Compensation</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Item 14 Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>63</u>

<u>Part</u>		
<u>IV</u>		
	<u>Item</u>	
	15	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>
		64
<u>Signatures</u>		66
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>		67

### Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes and incorporates by reference "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All statements that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements. The words "estimate," "project," "intend," "expect," "believe," "should," "anticipate," "hope," "optimistic," "plan," "outlook," "should," "could," "may" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including without limitation those identified below, which could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those anticipated. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The following factors could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those anticipated: adverse economic conditions; the impact of competitive products and pricing; product demand and acceptance risks; raw material and other increased costs; raw materials availability; employee relations; ability to maintain workforce by hiring trained employees; labor efficiencies; customer delays or difficulties in the production of products; new fracking regulations; a prolonged decrease in nickel and oil prices; unforeseen delays in completing the integrations of acquisitions; risks associated with mergers, acquisitions, dispositions and other expansion activities; financial stability of our customers; environmental issues; unavailability of debt financing on acceptable terms and exposure to increased market interest rate risk; inability to comply with covenants and ratios required by our debt financing arrangements; ability to weather an economic downturn; loss of consumer or investor confidence and other risks detailed from time-to-time in Synalloy Corporation's Securities and Exchange Commission filings. Synalloy Corporation assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## PART I

### Item 1 Business

Synalloy Corporation, a Delaware corporation, was incorporated in 1958 as the successor to a chemical manufacturing business founded in 1945. Its charter is perpetual. The name was changed on July 31, 1967 from Blackman Uhler Industries, Inc. On June 3, 1988, the state of incorporation was changed from South Carolina to Delaware. The Company's executive office is located at 4510 Cox Road, Suite 201, Richmond, Virginia 23060 with an additional corporate and shared services office at 775 Spartan Boulevard, Suite 102, Spartanburg, South Carolina 29301. Unless indicated otherwise, the terms "Company," "we" "us," and "our" refer to Synalloy Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

The Company's business is divided into two reportable operating segments, the Metals Segment and the Specialty Chemicals Segment. The Metals Segment operates as three reporting units, all International Organization for Standardization ("ISO") certified manufacturers, including Bristol Metals, LLC ("BRISMET"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Synalloy Metals, Inc., Palmer of Texas Tanks, Inc. ("Palmer") and Specialty Pipe & Tube, Inc. ("Specialty"). BRISMET manufactures stainless steel and other alloy pipe. Palmer manufactures liquid storage solutions and separation equipment, and Specialty is a master distributor of seamless carbon pipe and tube. The Metals Segment's markets include the oil and gas, chemical, petrochemical, pulp and paper, mining, power generation (including nuclear), water and waste water treatment, liquid natural gas ("LNG"), brewery, food processing, petroleum, pharmaceutical and other heavy industries. The Specialty Chemicals Segment operates as one reporting unit which includes Manufacturers Chemicals, LLC ("MC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Manufacturers Soap and Chemical Company ("MS&C"), and CRI Tolling, LLC ("CRI Tolling"). The Specialty Chemicals Segment produces specialty chemicals for the chemical, paper, metals, mining, agricultural, fiber, paint, textile, automotive, petroleum, cosmetics, mattress, furniture, janitorial and other industries. MC manufactures lubricants, surfactants, defoamers, reaction intermediaries and sulfated fats and oils. CRI Tolling provides chemical tolling manufacturing resources to global and regional chemical companies and contracts with other chemical companies to manufacture certain, pre-defined products.

#### General

**Metals Segment** – This segment is comprised of three wholly-owned subsidiaries: Synalloy Metals, Inc., which owns 100 percent of BRISMET, located in Bristol, Tennessee; Palmer, located in Andrews, Texas; and Specialty, located in

Mineral Ridge, Ohio and Houston, Texas.

BRISMET manufactures welded pipe, primarily from stainless steel, but also from other corrosion-resistant metals. Pipe is produced in sizes from one-half inch to 120 inches in diameter and wall thickness up to one and one-half inches. Eighteen-inch and smaller diameter pipe is made on equipment that forms and welds the pipe in a continuous process. Pipe larger than 18 inches in diameter is formed on presses or rolls and welded on batch welding equipment. Pipe is normally produced in standard 20-foot lengths. However, BRISMET has unusual capabilities in the production of long length pipe without circumferential welds. This can reduce the installation cost for the customer. Lengths up to 60 feet can be produced in sizes up to 18 inches in diameter. In larger sizes, BRISMET has a unique ability among domestic producers to make 48-foot lengths in diameters up to 36 inches. Over the past four years, BRISMET has made substantial capital improvements, installing an energy efficient furnace to anneal pipe quicker

2

---

while minimizing natural gas usage; system improvements in pickling to maintain the proper chemical composition of the pickling acid; and converting the former Bristol Fabrication facility into a heavy wall/quick turn welded pipe production shop by adding a 4,000 ton press along with all necessary ancillary processes.

Palmer is a manufacturer of fiberglass and steel storage tanks for the oil and gas, waste water treatment and municipal water industries. Located in Andrews, Texas, Palmer is ideally located in the heart of a significant oil and gas production territory. Palmer produces made-to-order fiberglass tanks, utilizing a variety of custom mandrels and application specific materials. Its fiberglass tanks range from two feet to 30 feet in diameter at various heights. The majority of these tanks are used for oil field waste water capture and are an integral part of the environmental regulatory compliance of the drilling process. Each fiberglass tank is manufactured to American Petroleum Institute Q1 standards to ensure product quality. Palmer's steel storage tank facility enables efficient, environmentally compliant production with designed-in expansion capability to support future growth. Finished steel tanks range in size predominantly from 50 to 1,500 barrels and are used to store extracted oil. During 2014, Palmer obtained all of the necessary certifications to produce certified pressure vessels. These certifications allow Palmer to sell all of the separator and storage equipment needed at a well site.

Specialty is a leading master distributor of hot finish, seamless, carbon steel pipe and tubing, with an emphasis on large outside diameters and exceptionally heavy wall thickness. Specialty's products are primarily used for mechanical and high pressure applications in the oil and gas, capital goods manufacturing, heavy industrial, construction equipment, paper and chemical industries. Operating from facilities located in Mineral Ridge, Ohio and Houston, Texas, Specialty is well-positioned to serve the major industrial and energy regions and successfully reach other target markets across the United States. Specialty performs value-added processing on approximately 80 percent of products shipped, which would include cutting to length, heat treatment, testing, boring and end finishing and typically processes and ships orders in 24 hours or less. Based upon its short lead times, Specialty plays a critical role in the supply chain, supplying long lead-time items to markets that demand fast deliveries, custom lengths and reliable execution of orders.

In order to establish stronger business relationships, the Metals Segment uses only a few raw material suppliers. Nine suppliers furnish approximately 77 percent of total dollar purchases of raw materials, with one supplier furnishing 42 percent of material purchases. However, the Company does not believe that the loss of this supplier would have a materially adverse effect on the Company as raw materials are readily available from a number of different sources, and the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its requirements.

Specialty Chemicals Segment – This segment consists of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary MS&C. MS&C owns 100 percent of the membership interests of MC, which has a production facility in Cleveland, Tennessee. This segment also includes CRI Tolling which is located in Fountain Inn, South Carolina. MC and CRI Tolling are aggregated as one reporting unit and comprise the Specialty Chemicals Segment. Both facilities are fully licensed for chemical manufacture. MC manufactures lubricants, surfactants, defoamers, reaction intermediaries and sulfated fats and oils. CRI Tolling provides chemical tolling manufacturing resources to global and regional companies and contracts with other chemical companies to manufacture certain pre-defined products.

MC produces over 1,100 specialty formulations and intermediates for use in a wide variety of applications and industries. MC's primary product lines focus on the areas of defoamers, surfactants and lubricating agents. These three fundamental product lines find their way into a large number of manufacturing businesses. Over the years, the customer list has grown to include end users and chemical companies that supply paper, metal working, surface coatings, water treatment, paint, mining, oil and gas and janitorial applications. MC's capabilities also include the sulfation of fats and oils. These products are used in a wide variety of applications and represent a renewable resource, animal and vegetable derivatives, as alternatives to more expensive and non-renewable petroleum derivatives.

MC's strategy has been to focus on industries and markets that have good prospects for sustainability in the U.S. in light of global trends. MC's marketing strategy relies on sales to end users through its own sales force, but it also sells chemical intermediates to other chemical companies and distributors. It also has close working relationships with a significant number of major chemical companies that outsource their production for regional manufacture and distribution to companies like MC. MC has been ISO registered since 1995.

CRI Tolling was acquired by the Company in 2013. CRI Tolling had underutilized manufacturing capacity which allowed the Specialty Chemicals Segment to expand production from MC's Cleveland, Tennessee facility to further penetrate existing markets, as well as develop new ones, including those in the energy industry, and provides redundant production capabilities for key products. The Company invested approximately \$3,500,000 in equipment at CRI Tolling during 2014. The new equipment provided CRI Tolling with production capabilities similar to those currently in place at MC's facility and increased the production capacity of the Specialty Chemicals Segment by 60 percent.

The Specialty Chemicals Segment maintains three laboratories for applied research and quality control which are staffed by eleven employees.

Most raw materials used by the segment are generally available from numerous independent suppliers and approximately 46 percent of total purchases are from its top eleven suppliers. While some raw material needs are met by a sole supplier or only a few suppliers, the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its raw material requirements.

Please see Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, for financial information about the Company's segments.

#### Sales and Distribution

**Metals Segment** – The Metals Segment utilizes separate sales organizations for its different product groups. Stainless steel pipe is sold worldwide under the BRISMET trade name through authorized stocking distributors at warehouse locations throughout the country. Producing sales and providing service to the distributors and end-user customers are BRISMET's President, two outside sales employees, seven independent manufacturers' representatives and eight inside sales employees. Additionally, BRISMET operates international offices in Brussels, Belgium and Shanghai, China, with one person in each office.

Palmer employs three sales professionals that manage the relationship with customers and partnerships to identify and secure new sales. Additionally, the Metals Segment President assists in account relationship management with large customers. Customer feedback and in-field experience generate product enhancements and new product development. Approximately 80 percent of Specialty's pipe and tube sales are to North American pipe and tube distributors with the remainder comprised of sales to end use customers. In addition to Specialty's President, Specialty utilizes two manufacturers' representatives and eleven inside sales employees, whom are located at both locations, to obtain sales orders and service its customers.

The Metals Segment had one domestic customer that accounted for approximately 14 percent of the segment's revenues for 2015. There were no customers representing more than ten percent of the Metals Segment's revenues for 2016 or 2014.

**Specialty Chemicals Segment** – Specialty chemicals are sold directly to various industries nationwide by five full-time outside sales employees and eleven manufacturers' representatives. The Specialty Chemicals Segment has one customer that accounted for approximately 25 percent of the segment's revenues for 2016 and the same customer accounted for 31 percent of revenues for 2015 and 2014. The concentration of sales to this customer declined in 2016 as a result of this customer moving production of the products previously produced and sold by the Specialty Chemicals Segment in house.

#### Competition

**Metals Segment** – Welded stainless steel pipe is the largest sales volume product of the Metals Segment. Although information is not publicly available regarding the sales of most other producers of this product, management believes that the Company is one of the largest domestic producers of such pipe. This commodity product is highly competitive with nine known domestic producers, including the Company, and imports from many different countries.

Due to the size of the tanks produced and shipped to its customers, the majority of Palmer's products are sold within a 300 mile radius from its plant in Andrews, Texas. There are currently 18 tank producers, with similar capabilities, servicing that same area.

Specialty is a leader in the specialized products segment of the pipe and tube market by offering an industry-leading in-stock inventory of a broad range of high quality products, including specialized products with limited availability. Specialty's dual branches have both common and regional-specific products and capabilities. There are four known significant pipe and tube distributors with similar capabilities to Specialty.

**Specialty Chemicals Segment** – The Company is the sole producer of certain specialty chemicals manufactured for other companies under processing agreements and also produces proprietary specialty chemicals. The Company's sales of specialty products are insignificant compared to the overall market for specialty chemicals. The market for most of the products is highly competitive and many competitors have substantially greater resources than does the Company.



### Mergers, Acquisitions and Dispositions

The Company is committed to a long-term strategy of (a) reinvesting capital in our current business segments to foster their organic growth, (b) disposing of underperforming business segments with negative projected cash flows and (c) completing acquisitions that expand our current business segments or establish new manufacturing platforms.

Targeted acquisitions are priced to be economically feasible and focus on achieving positive long-term benefits. These acquisitions may be paid for in the form of cash, stock, debt or a combination thereof. The amount and type of consideration and deal charges paid could have a short-term dilutive effect on the Company's earnings per share.

However, such transactions are anticipated to provide long-term economic benefit to the Company.

On December 9, 2016, the Company's subsidiary BRISMET, entered into a definitive agreement to acquire the stainless steel pipe and tube assets of Marcegaglia USA, Inc. ("MUSA") located in Munhall, PA to enhance its on-going business with additional capacity and technological advantages. The transaction closed on February 28, 2017. The agreement is structured as an asset purchase and excludes galvanized product and ornamental tubing products. The purchase price for the transaction, which excludes real estate and certain other assets, totaled \$14,954,000; the assets purchased from MUSA include inventory, equipment and a non-compete agreement. In accordance with the agreement, on December 9, 2016, BRISMET entered into an escrow agreement and deposited \$3,000,000 into the escrow fund. This deposit is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The deposit was remitted to MUSA at the close of the transaction and was reflected as a credit against the purchase price. Since the transaction closed on February 28, 2017, the purchase accounting is not complete as of the time of this filing. As part of the MUSA transaction, BRISMET assumed all of MUSA's rights and obligations pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement between MUSA and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union AFL-CIO, on behalf of Local Union 5852-22 (the "Union") dated October 1, 2013 (the "CBA"). At the closing of the transaction, BRISMET and the Union amended the CBA to include a modest wage increase and to extend the CBA's termination date to September 30, 2018.

On November 21, 2014, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with The Davidson Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Davidson"), to purchase all of the issued and outstanding stock of Specialty. The purchase price for the all-cash acquisition was \$31,500,000. Davidson had the potential to receive earn-out payments up to a total of \$5,000,000 if Specialty achieved targeted sales revenue over a two-year period following closing. Sales revenues did not reach minimum earn-out levels. Therefore, Davidson did not receive any earn-out payments. The purchase price for the acquisition was funded through a combination of cash on hand, a new term loan with the Company's bank and an increase to the Company's credit facility. The financial results for Specialty are reported as a part of the Company's Metals Segment.

On August 29, 2014, the Company completed the sale of all of the issued and outstanding membership interests of its wholly owned subsidiary, Ram-Fab, LLC, a South Carolina limited liability company ("Ram-Fab"), to a subsidiary of Primoris Services Corporation. The transaction was valued at less than \$10 million, which consideration included cash at closing, Synalloy's ability to receive potential future earn-out payment(s) and the retention of specified Ram-Fab current assets. The Company did not receive any earn-out payments due to the profitability realized by Primoris on the job that was in process at the time of sale. The Company realized a one-time charge in the third quarter of 2014 of \$1,996,000 for costs associated with the sale plus a \$947,000 charge to write off the Company's investment in Ram-Fab. These charges, along with all non-recurring revenues and expenses associated with Ram-Fab are included in the respective consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations. Ram-Fab was reported as a part of the Metals Segment.

On June 27, 2014, the Company completed the planned closure of the Bristol Fabrication unit of Synalloy Fabrication, LLC ("Bristol Fab"). Bristol Fab's collective bargaining agreement with the United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipe Fitting Industry of the United States and Canada Local Union No. 538 (the "Union") expired on February 15, 2014. After lengthy negotiations with the Union, Bristol Fab was unable to reach an agreement. Also, upon closure of the operation, the Company was legally obligated to pay a withdrawal liability to the Union's pension fund of over \$1.9 million. The Company realized pre-tax charges in the second quarter of 2016, fourth quarter of 2015 and second quarter of 2014 of \$150,000, \$1,902,000 and \$6,988,000, respectively, for costs

associated with the closure of Bristol Fab. These costs, along with all non-recurring revenues and expenses associated with Bristol Fab, are included in the respective consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations. Bristol Fab was reported as a part of the Metals Segment.

#### Environmental Matters

Environmental expenditures that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations and do not contribute to future revenue generation are expensed. Liabilities are recorded when environmental assessments and/or cleanups are probable and the costs of these assessments and/or cleanups can be reasonably estimated. Changes to laws and environmental issues, including climate change, are made or proposed with some frequency and some of the proposals, if adopted, might directly or indirectly result in a material reduction in the operating results of one or more of our operating units. We are presently unable to foresee the future well enough to quantify such risks. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, for further discussion.

#### Research and Development Activities

The Company spent approximately \$603,000 in 2016, \$548,000 in 2015 and \$531,000 in 2014 on research and development activities that were expensed in its Specialty Chemicals Segment. Five individuals, all of whom are graduate chemists, are engaged primarily in research and development of new products and processes, the improvement of existing products and processes, and the development of new applications for existing products.

#### Seasonal Nature of the Business

With the exception of Palmer and Specialty's Houston location, which primarily serves the oil and gas industry, the Company's businesses and products are generally not subject to any seasonal impact that results in significant variations in revenues from one quarter to another. Fourth quarter revenue and profit for Palmer and Specialty Houston can be as much as 25 percent below the other three quarters due to vacation schedules for customer field crews working at the drill sites.

#### Backlogs

The Specialty Chemicals Segment operates primarily on the basis of delivering products soon after orders are received. Accordingly, backlogs are not a factor in this business. The same applies to commodity pipe sales in the Metals Segment. However, backlogs are important in the Metals Segment's steel and fiberglass tank operations since tanks are produced only after orders are received. Its backlog of open orders were \$9,878,000 and \$9,964,000 at the end of 2016 and 2015, respectively.

#### Employee Relations

At December 31, 2016, the Company had 412 employees. The Company considers relations with employees to be strong. The number of employees of the Company represented by unions, located at the Bristol, Tennessee and Mineral Ridge, Ohio facilities, is 132, or 32 percent of the Company's employees. They are represented by two locals affiliated with the United Steelworkers. Collective bargaining contracts for the Steelworkers will expire in June 2017 and July 2019.

#### Financial Information about Geographic Areas

Information about revenues derived from domestic and foreign customers is set forth in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Available information

The Company electronically files with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") its annual reports on Form 10-K, its quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, its periodic reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act"), and proxy materials pursuant to Section 14 of the 1934 Act. The SEC maintains a site on the Internet, [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov), which contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The Company also makes its filings available, free of charge, through its Web site, [www.synalloy.com](http://www.synalloy.com), as soon as reasonably practical after the electronic filing of such material with the SEC. The information on the Company's Web site is not incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other filing the Company makes with the SEC.

#### Item 1A Risk Factors

There are inherent risks and uncertainties associated with our business that could adversely affect our operating performance and financial condition. Set forth below are descriptions of those risks and uncertainties that we believe to be material, but the risks and uncertainties described are not the only risks and uncertainties that could affect our business. Reference should be made to "Forward-Looking Statements" above, and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 7 below.

The cyclical nature of the industries in which our customers operate causes demand for our products to be cyclical, creating uncertainty regarding future profitability. Various changes in general economic conditions affect the industries in which our customers operate. These changes include decreases in the rate of consumption or use of our customers' products due to economic downturns. Other factors causing fluctuation in our customers' positions are changes in market demand, capital spending, lower overall pricing due to domestic and international overcapacity,

lower priced imports, currency fluctuations, and increases in use or decreases in prices of substitute materials. As a result of these factors, our profitability has been and may in the future be subject to significant fluctuation.

Domestic competition could force lower product pricing and may have an adverse effect on our revenues and profitability. From time-to-time, intense competition and excess manufacturing capacity in the commodity stainless steel industry have resulted in reduced selling prices, excluding raw material surcharges, for many of our stainless steel products sold by the Metals Segment. In order to maintain market share, we would have to lower our prices to match the competition. These factors have had and may continue to have an adverse impact on our revenues, operating results and financial condition and may continue to do so in the future.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected by an increased level of imported products. Our business is susceptible to the import of products from other countries, particularly steel products. Import levels of various products are affected by, among other things, overall world-wide demand, lower cost of production in other countries, the trade practices of foreign governments, government subsidies to foreign producers and governmentally imposed trade restrictions in the United States. Although imports from certain countries have been curtailed by anti-dumping duties, imported products from other countries could significantly reduce prices. Increased imports of certain products, whether illegal dumping or legal imports, could reduce demand for our products in the future and adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Specialty Chemicals Segment uses significant quantities of a variety of specialty and commodity chemicals in its manufacturing processes, which are subject to price and availability fluctuations that may have an adverse impact on our financial performance. The raw materials we use are generally available from numerous independent suppliers. However, some of our raw material needs are met by a sole supplier or only a few suppliers. If any supplier that we rely on for raw materials ceases or limits production, we may incur significant additional costs, including capital costs, in order to find alternate, reliable raw material suppliers. We may also experience significant production delays while locating new supply sources, which could result in our failure to timely deliver products to our customers. Purchase prices and availability of these critical raw materials are subject to volatility. Some of the raw materials used by the Specialty Chemicals Segment are derived from petrochemical-based feedstock, such as crude oil and natural gas, which have been subject to historical periods of rapid and significant movements in price. These fluctuations in price could be aggravated by factors beyond our control such as political instability, and supply and demand factors, including Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC") production quotas and increased global demand for petroleum-based products. At any given time, we may be unable to obtain an adequate supply of these critical raw materials on a timely basis, at prices and other terms acceptable, or at all. If suppliers increase the price of critical raw materials, we may not have alternative sources of supply. We attempt to pass changes in the prices of raw materials along to our customers. However, we cannot always do so, and any limitation on our ability to pass through any price increases could have an adverse effect on our financial performance. Any significant variations in the cost and availability of our specialty and commodity materials may negatively affect our business, financial condition or results of operations, specifically for the Specialty Chemicals Segment.

We rely on a small number of suppliers for our raw materials and any interruption in our supply chain could affect our operations. In order to foster stronger business relationships, the Metals Segment uses only a few raw material suppliers. During the year ended December 31, 2016, nine suppliers furnished approximately 77 percent of our total dollar purchases of raw materials, with one supplier providing 42 percent. However, these raw materials are available from a number of sources, and the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its raw materials requirements for the Metals Segment. Raw materials used by the Specialty Chemicals Segment are generally available from numerous independent suppliers and approximately 4 percent of total purchases were made from our top eleven suppliers during the year ended December 31, 2016. Although some raw material needs are met by a single supplier or only a few suppliers, the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its raw material requirements for the Specialty Chemicals Segment. While the Company believes that raw materials for both segments are readily available from numerous sources, the loss of one or more key suppliers in either segment, or any other material change in our current supply channels, could have an adverse effect on the Company's ability to meet the demand for its products, which

could impact our operations, revenues and financial results.

A substantial portion of our overall sales is dependent upon a limited number of customers, and the loss of one or more of such customers would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operation and profitability. The products of the Specialty Chemicals Segment are sold to various industries nationwide. The Specialty Chemicals Segment has one customer that accounted for approximately 25 percent of revenues for 2016 and the same customer accounted for approximately 31 percent of revenues for 2015 and 2014. The concentration of sales to this customer declined in 2016 as a result of this customer moving production of the products previously produced and sold by the Specialty Chemicals Segment in house. The loss of this customer would have a material adverse effect on the revenues of the Specialty Chemicals Segment of the Company.

The Metals Segment had one customer that accounted for approximately 14 percent of revenues for 2015. There were no customers representing more than ten percent of the Metals Segment's revenues in 2016 or 2014. Palmer and Specialty, which are a part of the Metals Segment, sell much of their products to the oil and gas industry. Any change in this industry, or any change in this industry's demand for their products, would have a material adverse effect on the profits of the Metals Segment and the Company.

7

---

Our operating results are sensitive to the availability and cost of energy and freight, which are important in the manufacture and transport of our products. Our operating costs increase when energy or freight costs rise. During periods of increasing energy and freight costs, we might not be able to fully recover our operating cost increases through price increases without reducing demand for our products. In addition, we are dependent on third party freight carriers to transport many of our products, all of which are dependent on fuel to transport our products. The prices for and availability of electricity, natural gas, oil, diesel fuel and other energy resources are subject to volatile market conditions. These market conditions often are affected by political and economic factors beyond our control. Disruptions in the supply of energy resources could temporarily impair the ability to manufacture products for customers and may result in the decline of freight carrier capacity in our geographic markets, or make freight carriers unavailable. Further, increases in energy or freight costs that cannot be passed on to customers, or changes in costs relative to energy and freight costs paid by competitors, has adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, our profitability.

Oil prices are extremely volatile. A substantial or extended decline in the price of oil could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Prices for oil can fluctuate widely. Our Palmer and Specialty (Houston, Texas) units' revenues are highly dependent on our customers adding oil well drilling and pumping locations. Should oil prices decline such that drilling becomes unprofitable for our customers, such customers will likely cap many of their current wells and cease or curtail expansion. This will decrease the demand for our tanks and pipe and tube and adversely affect the results of our operations.

Significant changes in nickel prices could have an impact on the sales by the Metals Segment. The Metals Segment uses nickel in a number of its products. Nickel prices are currently at a relatively low level, which reduces our manufacturing costs for certain products. When nickel prices increase, many of our customers increase their orders in an attempt to avoid future price increases, resulting in increased sales for the Metals Segment. Conversely, when nickel prices decrease, many of our customers wait to place orders in an attempt to take advantage of subsequent price decreases, resulting in reduced sales for the Metals Segment. On average, the Metals Segment turns its inventory of commodity pipe every six months, but the nickel surcharge on sales of commodity pipe is established on a monthly basis. The difference, if any, between the price of nickel on the date of purchase of the raw material and the price, as established by the surcharge, on the date of sale has the potential to create an inventory profit or loss. If the price of nickel steadily increases over time, as it did from 2005 to 2007, the Metals Segment is the beneficiary of the increase in nickel price in the form of inventory gains. Conversely, if the price of nickel steadily decreases over time, as it did from 2009 to 2013, the Metals Segment suffers inventory losses. Low nickel prices weighted heavily on stainless steel pipe sales throughout most of 2016, with only late year increases having some minor favorable impacts during the fourth quarter. This resulted in average nickel prices being down 19 percent for the full year of 2016 and up 14 percent for the fourth quarter 2016, when compared to the same periods of the prior year. We will incur inventory losses in the future if nickel prices decrease. Any material changes in the cost of nickel could impact our sales and result in fluctuations in the profits for the Metals Segment.

The Company began hedging its nickel exposure during 2016. The program may not eliminate all of the Company's nickel pricing exposure.

We encounter significant competition in all areas of our businesses and may be unable to compete effectively, which could result in reduced profitability and loss of market share. We actively compete with companies producing the same or similar products and, in some instances, with companies producing different products designed for the same uses. We encounter competition from both domestic and foreign sources in price, delivery, service, performance, product innovation and product recognition and quality, depending on the product involved. For some of our products, our competitors are larger and have greater financial resources than we do. As a result, these competitors may be better able to withstand a change in conditions within the industries in which we operate, a change in the prices of raw materials or a change in the economy as a whole. Our competitors can be expected to continue to develop and

introduce new and enhanced products and more efficient production capabilities, which could cause a decline in market acceptance of our products. Current and future consolidation among our competitors and customers also may cause a loss of market share as well as put downward pressure on pricing. Our competitors could cause a reduction in the prices for some of our products as a result of intensified price competition. Competitive pressures can also result in the loss of major customers. If we cannot compete successfully, our business, financial condition and profitability could be adversely affected.

Our lengthy sales cycle for the Specialty Chemicals Segment makes it difficult to predict quarterly revenue levels and operating results. Purchasing the products of the Specialty Chemicals Segment is a major commitment on the part of our customers. Before a potential customer determines to purchase products from the Specialty Chemicals Segment, the Company must produce test product material so that the potential customer is satisfied that we can manufacture a product to their specifications. The production of such test materials is a time-consuming process. Accordingly, the sales process for products in the Specialty Chemicals Segment is a lengthy process that requires a considerable investment of time and resources on our part. As a result, the timing of our revenues is difficult to predict, and the delay of an order could cause our quarterly revenues to fall below our expectations and those of the public market analysts and investors.

Our operations expose us to the risk of environmental, health and safety liabilities and obligations, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. We are subject to numerous federal, state and local environmental protection and health and safety laws governing, among other things:

- the generation, use, storage, treatment, transportation, disposal and management of hazardous substances and wastes;
- emissions or discharges of pollutants or other substances into the environment;
- investigation and remediation of, and damages resulting from, releases of hazardous substances; and
- the health and safety of our employees.

Under certain environmental laws, we can be held strictly liable for hazardous substance contamination of any real property we have ever owned, operated or used as a disposal site. We are also required to maintain various environmental permits and licenses, many of which require periodic modification and renewal. Our operations entail the risk of violations of those laws and regulations, and we cannot assure you that we have been or will be at all times in compliance with all of these requirements. In addition, these requirements and their enforcement may become more stringent in the future.

We have incurred, and expect to continue to incur, additional capital expenditures in addition to ordinary costs to comply with applicable environmental laws, such as those governing air emissions and wastewater discharges. Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and permit requirements could result in civil and/or criminal fines or penalties, enforcement actions, and regulatory or judicial orders enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures such as the installation of pollution control equipment, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are currently, and may in the future be, required to investigate, remediate or otherwise address contamination at our current or former facilities. Many of our current and former facilities have a history of industrial usage for which additional investigation, remediation or other obligations could arise in the future and that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, we are currently, and could in the future be, responsible for costs to address contamination identified at any real property we used as a disposal site.

Although we cannot predict the ultimate cost of compliance with any of the requirements described above, the costs could be material. Non-compliance could subject us to material liabilities, such as government fines, third-party lawsuits or the suspension of non-compliant operations. We also may be required to make significant site or operational modifications at substantial cost. Future developments also could restrict or eliminate the use of or require us to make modifications to our products, which could have a significant negative impact on our results of operations and cash flows. At any given time, we are involved in claims, litigation, administrative proceedings and investigations of various types involving potential environmental liabilities, including cleanup costs associated with hazardous waste disposal sites at our facilities. We cannot assure you that the resolution of these environmental matters will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows. The ultimate costs and timing of environmental liabilities are difficult to predict. Liability under environmental laws relating to contaminated sites can be imposed retroactively and on a joint and several basis. We could incur significant costs, including cleanup costs, civil or criminal fines and sanctions and third-party claims, as a result of past or future violations of, or liabilities under, environmental laws.

We could be subject to third party claims for property damage, personal injury, nuisance or otherwise as a result of violations of, or liabilities under, environmental, health or safety laws in connection with releases of hazardous or other materials at any current or former facility. We could also be subject to environmental indemnification claims in connection with assets and businesses that we have acquired or divested.

There can be no assurance that any future capital and operating expenditures to maintain compliance with environmental laws, as well as costs to address contamination or environmental claims, will not exceed any current estimates or adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, any unanticipated liabilities or obligations arising, for example, out of discovery of previously unknown conditions or changes in laws or regulations, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are dependent upon the continued operation of our production facilities, which are subject to a number of hazards. In both of our business segments, but especially in the Specialty Chemicals Segment, our production facilities are subject to hazards associated with the manufacture, handling, storage and transportation of chemical materials and products, including leaks and ruptures, explosions, fires, inclement weather and natural disasters, unscheduled downtime and environmental hazards which could result in liability for workplace injuries and fatalities. In addition, some of our production capabilities are highly specialized, which limits our ability to shift production to another facility in the event of an incident at a particular facility. If a production facility, or a critical portion of a production facility, were temporarily shut down, we likely would incur higher costs for alternate sources of supply for our products. We cannot assure you that we will not experience these types of incidents in the future or that these

incidents will not result in production delays, failure to timely fulfill customer orders or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Certain of our employees in the Metals Segment are covered by collective bargaining agreements, and the failure to renew these agreements could result in labor disruptions and increased labor costs. As of December 31, 2016, we had 132 employees represented by unions at our Bristol, Tennessee and Mineral Ridge, Ohio facilities, which is 32 percent of the aggregate number of Company employees. These employees are represented by two local unions affiliated with the United Steelworkers (the "Steelworkers Union"). The collective bargaining contracts for the Steelworkers Unions will expire in June 2017 and July 2019. Although we believe that our present labor relations are satisfactory, our failure to renew these agreements on reasonable terms as the current agreements expire could result in labor disruptions and increased labor costs, which could adversely affect our financial performance.

Our current capital structure includes indebtedness, which is secured by all or substantially all of our assets and which contains restrictive covenants that may prevent us from obtaining adequate working capital, making acquisitions or capital improvements.

Our existing credit facility contains restrictive covenants that limit our ability to, among other things, borrow money or guarantee the debts of others, use assets as security in other transactions, make investments or other restricted payments or distributions, change our business or enter into new lines of business, and sell or acquire assets or merge with or into other companies. In addition, our credit facility requires us to meet a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio which could limit our ability to plan for or react to market conditions or meet extraordinary capital needs and could otherwise restrict our financing activities. Our ability to comply with the covenants and other terms of our credit facility will depend on our future operating performance. If we fail to comply with such covenants and terms, we will be in default and the maturity of any then outstanding related debt could be accelerated and become immediately due and payable. In addition, in the event of such a default, our lender may refuse to advance additional funds, demand immediate repayment of our outstanding indebtedness, and elect to foreclose on our assets that secure the credit facility.

There were no events of default under our credit facility at December 31, 2016. Although we believe we will remain in compliance with these covenants in the foreseeable future and that our relationship with our lender is strong, there is no assurance our lender would consent to an amendment or waiver in the event of noncompliance; or that such consent would not be conditioned upon the receipt of a cash payment, revised principal payout terms, increased interest rates or restrictions in the expansion of the credit facility for the foreseeable future, or that our lender would not exercise rights that would be available to them, including, among other things, demanding payment of outstanding borrowings. In addition, our ability to obtain additional capital or alternative borrowing arrangements at reasonable rates may be adversely affected. All or any of these adverse events would further limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, downturns in our business.

We may need new or additional financing in the future to expand our business or refinance existing indebtedness, and our inability to obtain capital on satisfactory terms or at all may have an adverse impact on our operations and our financial results. If we are unable to access capital on satisfactory terms and conditions, we may not be able to expand our business or meet our payment requirements under our existing credit facility. Our ability to obtain new or additional financing will depend on a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. We may not be able to obtain new or additional financing because we may have substantial debt, our current receivable and inventory balances do not support additional debt availability or because we may not have sufficient cash flows to service or repay our existing or future debt. In addition, depending on market conditions and our financial performance, equity financing may not be available on satisfactory terms or at all. If we are unable to access capital on satisfactory terms and conditions, this could have an adverse impact on our operations and our financial results.

Our existing property and liability insurance coverages contain exclusions and limitations on coverage. We maintain various forms of insurance, including insurance covering claims related to our properties and risks associated with our operations. From time-to-time, in connection with renewals of insurance, we have experienced additional exclusions and limitations on coverage, larger self-insured retentions and deductibles and higher premiums, primarily from the operations of the Specialty Chemicals Segment. As a result, our existing coverage may not be sufficient to cover any losses we may incur and in the future our insurance coverage may not cover claims to the extent that it has in the past and the costs that we incur to procure insurance may increase significantly, either of which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows.

We may not be able to make the operational and product changes necessary to continue to be an effective competitor. We must continue to enhance our existing products and to develop and manufacture new products with improved capabilities in order to continue to be an effective competitor in our business markets. In addition, we must anticipate and respond to changes in industry standards that affect our products and the needs of our customers. We also must continue to make improvements in our productivity in order to maintain our competitive position. When we invest in new technologies, processes or production capabilities, we face risks related to construction delays, cost over-runs and unanticipated technical difficulties.

The success of any new or enhanced products will depend on a number of factors, such as technological innovations, increased manufacturing and material costs, customer acceptance and the performance and quality of the new or enhanced products. As we introduce new products or refine existing products, we cannot predict the level of market acceptance or the amount of market share these new or enhanced products may achieve. Moreover, we may experience delays in the introduction of new or enhanced products. Any manufacturing delays or problems with new or enhanced product launches will adversely affect our operating results. In addition, the introduction of new products could result in a decrease in revenues from existing products. Also, we may need more capital for product development and enhancement than is available to us, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. We sell our products in industries that are affected by technological changes, new product introductions and changing industry standards. If we do not respond by developing new products or enhancing existing products on a timely basis, our products will become obsolete over time and our revenues, cash flows, profitability and competitive position will suffer.

In addition, if we fail to accurately predict future customer needs and preferences, we may invest heavily in the development of new or enhanced products that do not result in significant sales and revenue. Even if we successfully innovate in the development of new and enhanced products, we may incur substantial costs in doing so, and our profitability may suffer. Our products must be kept current to meet the needs of our customers. To remain competitive, we must develop new and innovative products on an on-going basis. If we fail to make innovations, or the market does not accept our new or enhanced products, our sales and results could suffer.

Our inability to anticipate and respond to changes in industry standards and the needs of our customers, or to utilize changing technologies in responding to those changes, could have a material adverse effect on our business and our results of operations.

Our strategy of using acquisitions and dispositions to position our businesses may not always be successful, which may have a material adverse impact on our financial results and profitability. We have historically utilized acquisitions and dispositions in an effort to strategically position our businesses and improve our ability to compete. We plan to continue to do this by seeking specialty niches, acquiring businesses complementary to existing strengths and continually evaluating the performance and strategic fit of our existing business units. We consider acquisitions, joint ventures and other business combination opportunities as well as possible business unit dispositions. From time-to-time, management holds discussions with management of other companies to explore such opportunities. As a result, the relative makeup of the businesses comprising our Company is subject to change. Acquisitions, joint ventures and other business combinations involve various inherent risks, such as: assessing accurately the value, strengths, weaknesses, contingent and other liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition or other transaction candidates; the potential loss of key personnel of an acquired business; significant transaction costs that were not identified during due diligence; our ability to achieve identified financial and operating synergies anticipated to result from an acquisition or other transaction; and unanticipated changes in business and economic conditions affecting an acquisition or other transaction. If acquisition opportunities are not available or if one or more acquisitions are not successfully integrated into our operations, this could have a material adverse impact on our financial results and profitability.

The loss of key members of our management team, or difficulty attracting and retaining experienced technical personnel, could reduce our competitiveness and have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. The successful implementation of our strategies and handling of other issues integral to our future success will depend, in part, on our experienced management team. The loss of key members of our management team could have an adverse effect on our business. Although we have entered into employment agreements key members of our management team including Craig C. Bram, President and Chief Executive Officer, Dennis M. Loughran, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Sally M. Cunningham, Vice President of Corporate Administration, J. Kyle Pennington, President of Metals Segment, James G. Gibson, General Manager and President of Specialty Chemicals Segment,

Steven J. Baroff, President and General Manager of Specialty, K. Dianne Beck, Vice President of Specialty, and Christopher D. Sitka, Vice President of Specialty, employees may resign from the Company at any time and seek employment elsewhere, subject to certain non-competition restrictions for a one-year period. Additionally, if we cannot retain our technical personnel or attract additional experienced technical personnel, our ability to compete could be harmed.

Federal, state and local legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to hydraulic fracturing, as well as governmental reviews of such activities could result in delays or eliminate new wells from being started, thus reducing the demand for our fiberglass and steel storage tanks and heavy walled pipe and tube. Hydraulic fracturing (“fracking”) is currently an essential and common practice to extract oil from dense subsurface rock formations and this lower cost extraction method is a significant driving force behind the surge of oil exploration and drilling in several locations in the United States. However, the Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Congress and state legislatures have considered adopting legislation to provide additional regulations and disclosures surrounding this process. In the event that new legal restrictions surrounding the fracking process are adopted in the areas in which our customers operate, we may see a dramatic decrease in Palmer’s and Specialty - Texas’ profitability which could have an adverse impact on our financial results.

Our results of operations could be adversely affected by goodwill impairments. Goodwill must be tested at least annually for impairment, and more frequently when circumstances indicate likely impairment. Goodwill is considered impaired to the extent that its carrying amount exceeds its implied fair value. An impairment of goodwill could have a substantial negative effect on our profitability. The Company performed the step zero qualitative test during the fourth quarter of 2016 which resulted in no goodwill impairment for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Our results of operations could be adversely affected by intangible asset impairments. As a result of our acquisitions, we had approximately \$12,309,000 of intangible assets on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2016. Intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives using either an accelerated or straight-line method. Intangibles are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of the intangible asset or group of assets may no longer be recoverable. An impairment of intangible assets could have a substantial negative effect on our profitability.

Our allowance for doubtful accounts may not be adequate to cover actual losses. An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. This allowance may not be adequate to cover actual losses, and future provisions for losses could materially and adversely affect our operating results. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on an evaluation of the outstanding receivables and existing economic conditions. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other outside forces and conditions, all of which are beyond our control, and these losses may exceed current estimates. Although management believes that the allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate to cover current estimated losses, management cannot make assurances that we will not further increase the allowance for doubtful accounts. A significant increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts could adversely affect our earnings.

We depend on third parties to distribute certain of our products and because we have no control over such third parties we are subject to adverse changes in such parties' operations or interruptions of service, each of which may have an adverse effect on our operations. We use third parties over which we have only limited control to distribute certain of our products. Our dependency on these third party distributors has increased as our business has grown. Because we rely on these third parties to provide distribution services, any change in our ability to access these third party distribution services could have an adverse impact on our revenues and put us at a competitive disadvantage with our competitors.

Freight costs for products produced in our Palmer operations restrict our sales area for this facility. The freight and other distribution costs for products sold from our Palmer facility are extremely high. As a result, the market area for these products is restricted, which limits the geographic market for Palmer's tanks and the ability to significantly increase revenues derived from sales of products from the Palmer facility.

New regulations related to "conflict minerals" may force us to incur additional expenses, may make our supply chain more complex and may result in damage to our reputation with customers. On August 22, 2012, under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), the SEC adopted new requirements for companies that use certain minerals and metals, known as conflict minerals, in their products, whether or not these products are manufactured by third parties. These regulations require companies to conduct annual due diligence and disclose whether or not such minerals originate from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries. Tungsten and tantalum are designated as conflict minerals under the Dodd-Frank Act. These metals are used to varying degrees in our welding materials and are also present in specialty alloy products. These new requirements could adversely affect the sourcing, availability and pricing of minerals used in our products. In addition, we could incur additional costs to comply with the disclosure requirements, including costs related to determining the source of any of the relevant minerals and metals used in our products. Since our supply chain is complex, we may not be able to sufficiently verify the origins for these minerals and metals used in our products through the due diligence procedures that we implement, which may harm our reputation. In such event, we may also face difficulties in

satisfying customers who could require that all of the components of our products are conflict mineral-free.

Our inability to sufficiently or completely protect our intellectual property rights could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. Our ability to compete effectively in both of our business segments will depend on our ability to maintain the proprietary nature of the intellectual property used in our businesses. These intellectual property rights consist largely of trade-secrets and know-how. We rely on a combination of trade secrets and non-disclosure and other contractual agreements and technical measures to protect our rights in our intellectual property. We also depend upon confidentiality agreements with our officers, directors, employees, consultants and subcontractors, as well as collaborative partners, to maintain the proprietary nature of our intellectual property. These measures may not afford us sufficient or complete protection, and others may independently develop intellectual property similar to ours, otherwise avoid our confidentiality agreements or produce technology that would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Our internal controls over financial reporting could fail to prevent or detect misstatements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of

effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Any failure to maintain effective internal controls or to timely effect any necessary improvement in our internal control and disclosure controls could, among other things, result in losses from fraud or error, harm our reputation or cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Cyber security risks and cyber incidents could adversely affect our business and disrupt operations. Cyber incidents can result from deliberate attacks or unintentional events. These incidents can include, but are not limited to, gaining unauthorized access to digital systems for purposes of misappropriating assets or sensitive information, corrupting data, or causing operational disruption. The result of these incidents could include, but are not limited to, disrupted operations, misstated financial data, liability for stolen assets or information, increased cyber security protection costs, litigation and reputational damage adversely affecting customer or investor confidence.

Loss of key supplier authorizations, lack of product availability, or changes in supplier distribution programs could adversely affect our sales and earnings. Our business depends on maintaining an immediately available supply of various products to meet customer demand. Many of our relationships with key product suppliers are longstanding, but are terminable by either party. The loss of key supplier authorizations, or a substantial decrease in the availability of their products, could put us at a competitive disadvantage and have a material adverse effect on our business. Supply interruptions could arise from raw material shortages, inadequate manufacturing capacity or utilization to meet demand, financial problems, labor disputes or weather conditions affecting suppliers' production, transportation disruptions or other reasons beyond our control.

In addition, as a master distributor, we face the risk of key product suppliers changing their relationships with distributors generally, or Specialty in particular, in a manner that adversely impacts us. For example, key suppliers could change the following: the prices we must pay for their products relative to other distributors or relative to competing products; the geographic or product line breadth of distributor authorizations; supplier purchasing incentive or other support programs; or product purchase or stock expectations.

The purchasing incentives we earn from product suppliers can be impacted if we reduce our purchases in response to declining customer demand. Certain of our product and raw material suppliers have historically offered to their customers and distributors, including us, incentives for purchasing their products. In addition to market or customer account-specific incentives, certain suppliers pay incentives to the customer or distributor for attaining specific purchase volumes during the program period. In some cases, in order to earn incentives, we must achieve year-over-year growth in purchases with the supplier. When the demand for our products declines, we may be less willing to add inventory to take advantage of certain incentive programs, thereby potentially adversely impacting our profitability.

Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments  
None.

## Item 2 Properties

The Company operates the major plants and facilities listed below, all of which are in adequate condition for their current usage. All facilities throughout the Company are believed to be adequately insured. The buildings are of various types of construction including brick, steel, concrete, concrete block and sheet metal. All have adequate transportation facilities for both raw materials and finished products. In September 2016, the Company sold its real estate properties previously owned in Tennessee, South Carolina, Texas and Ohio to Store Funding and concurrently leased back these real properties; see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 8K. The parcel of land in Mineral Ridge, OH, the corporate headquarters located in Richmond, VA, and the shared service center located in Spartanburg, SC were excluded from this transaction and continue to be leased by the Company from other parties.

Location	Principal Operations	Building Square Feet	Land Acres
Bristol, TN	Manufacturing stainless steel pipe	275,000	73.1
Cleveland, TN	Chemical manufacturing and warehousing facilities	143,000	18.8
Fountain Inn, SC	Chemical manufacturing and warehousing facilities	136,834	16.9
Andrews, TX	Manufacturing liquid storage solutions and separation equipment	122,662	19.6
Houston, TX	Cutting facility and storage yard for heavy walled pipe	29,821	10.0
Mineral Ridge, OH	Cutting facility and storage yard for heavy walled pipe	12,000	12.0
Mineral Ridge, OH	Storage yard for heavy walled pipe	—	4.6
Richmond, VA	Corporate headquarters	5,911	—
Spartanburg, SC	Office space for corporate employees and shared service center	4,858	—
Augusta, GA	Chemical manufacturing <sup>(1)</sup>	—	46.0

(1) Property owned by Company; plant was closed in 2001 and all structures and manufacturing equipment have been removed.

## Item 3 Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Notes 7 and 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

## PART II

## Item 5 Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company had 525 common shareholders of record at March 10, 2017. The Company's common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Market under the trading symbol SYNL. As a result of the Board's review of financial performance and capital needed to support future growth, no dividends were declared or paid in 2016. The Company's credit agreement restricts the payment of dividends indirectly through a minimum fixed charge coverage covenant. The Company paid a \$0.30 cash dividend on December 8, 2015 and a \$0.30 cash dividend on December 9, 2014. The prices shown below are the high and low sales prices for the common stock for each full quarterly period in the last two fiscal years as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market.

Quarter	2016		2015	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st	\$10.07	\$6.42	\$18.49	\$14.25
2nd	8.50	7.25	15.00	13.25
3rd	9.68	6.56	13.79	7.92
4th	11.70	8.57	10.55	6.20

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is set forth in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

\*\$100 invested on 12/31/11 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends.

Fiscal year ending December 31.

Source: Russell Investment Group

## Comparison of 5 Year Cumulative Total Return Graph

	12/11	12/12	12/13	12/14	12/15	12/16
Synalloy Corporation	\$100.00	\$141.90	\$154.75	\$180.75	\$73.42	\$116.86
Russell 2000	100.00	116.35	161.52	169.43	161.95	196.45
NASDAQ Non-Financial	100.00	117.61	166.99	194.19	205.76	221.55

This graph and related information shall not be deemed to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission or "soliciting material" or subject to Regulation 14A, or the liabilities of Section 18 of the 1934 Act, except to the extent the Company specifically requests that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporates it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the 1934 Act.

## Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

Pursuant to the compensation arrangement with directors discussed under Item 12 "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters" in this Form 10-K, on May 5, 2016, the Company issued an aggregate of 40,991 shares of restricted stock to non-employee directors in lieu of \$330,000 of their annual cash retainer fees. Issuance of these shares was not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 based on the exemption provided by Section 4(2) thereof because no public offering was involved.

The Company also issued 21,133 shares of common stock in 2016 to management and key employees that vested pursuant to the 2005 and 2015 Stock Awards Plans. Issuance of these shares was not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 based on the exemption provided by Section 4(2) thereof because no public offering was involved.

## Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Period	(a) Total number of shares (or units) purchased	(b) Average price paid per share (or unit)	(c) Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	(d) Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
January 1, 2016 - January 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	899,600
February 1, 2016 - February 29, 2016	—	\$ —	—	899,600
March 1, 2016 - March 31, 2016	29,500	\$ 8.61	29,500	870,100
April 1, 2016 - April 30, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
May 1, 2016 - May 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
June 1, 2016 - June 30, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
July 1, 2016 - July 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
August 1, 2016 - August 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
September 1, 2016 - September 30, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
October 1, 2016 - October 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
November 1, 2016 - November 30, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
December 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	870,100
Total	29,500		29,500	

The Stock Repurchase Plan was approved by the Company's Board of Directors on August 31, 2015 authorizing the Company's chief executive officer or the chief financial officer to repurchase shares of the Company's stock on the open market, provided however, that the number of shares of common stock repurchased pursuant to the resolutions adopted by the Board do not exceed 1,000,000 shares and no shares shall be repurchased at a price in excess of \$10.99 per share or during an insider trading "closed window" period. There is no guarantee on the exact number of shares

that will be purchased by the Company and the Company may discontinue purchases at any time that management determines additional purchases are not warranted. The Stock Repurchase Plan will expire on August 31, 2017.

## Item 6 Selected Financial Data

## Selected Financial Data and Other Financial Information

(Dollar amounts in thousands except for per share data)

	2016	2015 <sup>(c)</sup>	2014 <sup>(a)</sup>	2013	2012	
Operations <sup>(b)</sup>						
Net sales	\$ 138,566	\$ 175,460	\$ 199,505	\$ 196,751	\$ 166,162	
Gross profit	16,904	25,319	32,929	19,798	19,733	
Selling, general & administrative expense <sup>(e)</sup>	22,673	21,938	16,530	15,987	12,399	
Goodwill impairment	—	17,158	—	—	—	
Operating (loss) income <sup>(e)</sup>	(8,246 )	(13,031 )	16,098	3,547	7,334	
Net (loss) income - continuing operations	(6,994 )	(10,269 )	12,619	2,898	3,983	
Net (loss) income - discontinued operations	(99 )	(1,251 )	(7,157 )	(1,137 )	252	
Net (loss) income	(7,093 )	(11,520 )	5,462	1,761	4,235	
Financial Position						
Total assets <sup>(d), (e)</sup>	138,638	149,043	187,633	163,068	148,299	
Working capital <sup>(d)</sup>	64,732	58,304	64,580	74,988	65,919	
Long-term debt, less current portion <sup>(e)</sup>	8,804	23,410	27,039	20,713	37,385	
Shareholders' equity	88,593	95,154	109,454	106,098	71,774	
Financial Ratios						
Current ratio <sup>(d),(e)</sup>	3.0:1	3.2:1	2.6:1	4.0:1	3.6:1	
Gross profit to net sales <sup>(b)</sup>	12	% 14	% 17	% 10	% 12	%
Long-term debt to capital <sup>(e)</sup>	9	% 20	% 20	% 16	% 34	%
Return on average assets <sup>(b), (d), (e)</sup>	(4 )%	(6 )%	7	% 2	% 3	%
Return on average equity <sup>(b)</sup>	(7 )%	(10 )%	12	% 3	% 6	%
Per Share Data (Income/(Loss) – Diluted)						
Net (loss) income - continuing operations	\$(0.81 )	\$(1.18 )	\$1.45	\$0.42	\$0.62	
Net (loss) income - discontinued operations	(0.01 )	(0.14 )	(0.82 )	(0.16 )	0.04	
Net (loss) income	(0.82 )	(1.32 )	0.63	0.25	0.66	
Dividends declared and paid	—	0.30	0.30	0.26	0.25	
Book value	10.22	11.02	12.57	12.21	11.29	
Other Data						
Depreciation and amortization <sup>(b), (e)</sup>	\$6,695	\$6,634	\$5,132	\$4,625	\$2,952	
Capital expenditures <sup>(b)</sup>	3,044	10,905	8,066	5,648	4,542	
Employees at year end	412	411	464	670	597	
Shareholders of record at year end	527	540	575	619	669	
Average shares outstanding - diluted	8,650	8,710	8,715	6,947	6,394	
Stock Price						
Price range of common stock						
High	\$ 11.70	\$ 18.49	\$ 18.84	\$ 17.38	\$ 14.97	
Low	6.42	6.20	13.14	12.53	10.21	
Close	10.95	6.88	17.67	15.53	13.49	

(a) 2014 represents a 53 week year.

(b) Information in the section or line has been re-stated to reflect continuing operations only.

(c) Effective December 31, 2015, the Company changed from a fiscal year to a calendar year.

(d) Effective 2015, the section or line includes the effects of the adoption of ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification and Deferred Taxes, requiring all deferred tax assets and liabilities and any related valuation allowance to be classified as non-current on our consolidated balance sheets. Prior periods were not retrospectively adjusted.

(e) Information in the line has been re-stated to reflect the adoption of ASU 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest, requiring debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented as a direct deduction of the debt

liability. Concurrently, amortization expense is reclassified from amortization expense (included in selling, general, administrative expense) to interest expense.

## Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments based on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management believes the following critical accounting policies, among others, affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintained allowances for doubtful accounts of approximately \$82,000 as of December 31, 2016, for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. The allowance is based upon a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information and existing economic conditions. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Receivables are generally due within 30 to 60 days. Delinquent receivables are written off based on individual credit evaluations and specific circumstances of the customer.

#### Inventory Adjustments and Reserves

At the end of each quarter, all facilities review recent sales reports to identify sales price trends that would indicate products or product lines that are being sold below our cost. This would indicate that an adjustment would be required. As of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, an adjustment was required by our Metals Segment mainly due to decreases in nickel prices. Stainless steel, both in its raw material (coil or plate) or finished goods (pipe) state is purchased / sold using a base price plus an additional surcharge which is dependent on current nickel prices. As raw materials are purchased, it is priced to the Company based upon the surcharge at that date. When the finished pipe is ultimately sold to the customer approximately five months later, the then-current nickel surcharge is used to determine the proper selling prices. A lower of cost or market adjustment is recorded when the Company's inventory cost, based upon a historical nickel price, is greater than the current selling price of that product due to a reduction in the nickel surcharge. A \$43,000 and \$1,237,000 adjustment was required at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, for reductions in nickel surcharge. At December 31, 2016, an adjustment of \$93,000 was required by our storage tank facility as lower demand for oil and gas products caused selling prices to fall below inventory cost for certain tanks. No adjustment was needed at December 31, 2015 for tank inventory.

The Company establishes inventory reserves for:

- Estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory. As of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company identified inventory items with no sales or expected sales activity for finished goods or no usage for raw materials for a certain period of time. For those inventory items that are not currently being marketed and unable to be sold, a reserve was established for 100 percent of the inventory cost less any estimated scrap proceeds. The Company reserved \$697,000 and \$658,000 at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Estimated quantity losses. The Company performs an annual physical inventory during the fourth quarter each year. For those facilities that complete their physical inventory before the end of December, a reserve is established for the potential quantity losses that could occur subsequent to their physical. This reserve is based upon the most recent physical inventory results. At December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had \$269,000 and \$24,000, respectively, reserved for physical inventory quantity losses.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company continually reviews the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances, also referred to as "triggering events", indicate that

the carrying value of a long-lived asset or group of assets (the "Assets") may no longer be recoverable. Triggering events include: a significant decline in the market price of the Assets; a significant adverse change in the operating use or physical condition of the Assets; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate impacting the Assets' value, including regulatory issues such as environmental actions; the generation by the Assets of historical cash flow losses combined with projected future cash flow losses; or the expectation that the Assets will be sold or disposed of significantly before the end of the useful life of the Assets.

### Business Combinations

Acquisitions are accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations in accordance with GAAP. Under this method, the total consideration transferred to consummate the acquisition is allocated to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values as of the closing date of the acquisition. The acquisition method of accounting requires extensive use of estimates and judgments to allocate the consideration transferred to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets, if any, acquired and liabilities assumed.

### Goodwill

Goodwill, which represents the excess of purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired, is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level, annually in the fourth quarter and whenever circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. The evaluation of impairment involves using either a step zero qualitative approach or a two-step quantitative approach, if required, as outlined in Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 350. The step zero approach allows an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the Fair Value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If an entity cannot make this determination, then the two-step approach will be followed. The initial step of the two-step quantitative approach of the goodwill impairment test involves a comparison of the fair value of the reporting unit in which the goodwill is recorded to its carrying amount. If the reporting unit's fair value exceeds its carrying value, no impairment loss is recognized and the second step, which is a calculation of the impairment, is not performed. However, if the reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, an impairment charge equal to the difference in the carrying value of the goodwill and the implied fair value of the goodwill is recorded. Implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination. That is, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit as if it had been acquired in a business combination. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts allocated to assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. The Company performed the step zero qualitative test during the fourth quarter of 2016 which resulted in no impairment of the goodwill recognized of \$1,355,000 for the Specialty Chemicals Segment for the year ended December 31, 2016.

When the two-step quantitative approach is used, in making our determination of fair value of the reporting unit, we rely on the discounted cash flow method. This method uses projections of cash flows from the reporting unit. This approach requires significant judgments including the Company's projected net cash flows, the weighted average cost of capital ("WACC") used to discount the cash flows and terminal value assumptions. We derive these assumptions used in the testing from several sources. Many of these assumptions are derived from our internal budgets, which would include existing sales data based on current product lines and assumed production levels, manufacturing costs and product pricing. We believe that our internal forecasts are consistent with those that would be used by a potential buyer in valuing our reporting units.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash flows provided by continuing operating activities during 2016 and 2015 totaled \$5,301,000 and \$17,312,000, respectively, a reduction of cash flows of \$12,011,000. Net income from continuing operations generated \$2,073,000 in cash flows for 2016 after adding back depreciation and amortization expense of \$6,695,000 and the loss on the sale of property, plant and equipment resulting from the sale-leaseback of \$2,372,000, a decrease of \$6,553,000 from \$8,626,000 for 2015. In addition to adding back depreciation and amortization, the prior year amount also added back the goodwill impairment charge and deducted the gain on the earn-out liability which did not recur in 2016. Accounts receivable from continuing operations used cash of \$37,000 during 2016 as sales increased three percent for the last two months of the year when compared to the same period of the prior year. Accounts receivable days outstanding, calculated on a three-month average basis, decreased two days during 2016, decreasing from 53.6 days at the end of 2015 to 51.5 at the end of 2016. Inventory generated \$2,033,000 of cash as year-over-year inventory levels decreased to correspond with lower sales volumes. Inventory turns, calculated on a three-month average basis, were relatively unchanged, increasing to 1.90 turns at the end of 2016 from 1.89 turns at the end of 2015. Accounts payable favorably affected cash flows from continuing operations by \$4,419,000 in 2016 entirely in the Metals Segment as BRISMET purchased a large amount of inventory in December to obtain favorable pricing for an order that is scheduled to ship

in early 2017 combined with increased inventory purchases for Palmer and Specialty to support projected 2017 sales levels. Also, accounts payable days outstanding at year-end 2016 was increased by approximately seven days to 52 days. Finally, the change in other assets and accrued expenses resulted mainly from an \$11,000,000 non-cash accrual recorded during the fourth quarter 2016 for a judgment received on an on-going lawsuit which was initially identified during the Company's due diligence associated with the acquisition of Palmer. The Company is completely indemnified by the former shareholders of Palmer and, accordingly, a corresponding indemnified receivable was also recorded. This litigation is more fully described in Note 13.

Cash flows provided by continuing operating activities during 2015 and 2014 totaled \$17,312,000 and \$28,104,000 respectively, a decrease in cash flows of \$10,792,000. Cash flows in 2015 were generated from net income from continuing operations totaling \$8,626,000 after adding back depreciation and amortization expense of \$6,634,000, the goodwill impairment charge of \$17,158,000

and deducting the gain on the earn-out liability of \$4,897,000, a decrease from the prior year of \$5,588,000. Accounts receivable from continuing operations generated \$11,381,000 cash during 2015 as sales decreased 27 percent for the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to the fourth quarter of 2014. Accounts receivable days outstanding remained relatively stable, decreasing from 54.9 days at the end of 2014 to 53.6 days at the end of 2015. Accounts payable negatively affected cash flows from continuing operations by \$9,122,000 in 2015 as the significant inventory purchases made during the fourth quarter of 2014 in the Metals Segment, which increased the 2014 year-end accounts payable balance, were paid during 2015. Accounts payable days outstanding was consistent at 45 days for both years. Accrued income taxes generated \$3,037,000 as the Company received excess tax deposits when the 2014 tax returns were filed. In prior year, these excess payments would have been applied to the subsequent year tax deposits. A decrease in inventory generated \$4,173,000 of cash during 2015. This resulted from selling the incremental inventory purchased by the Metals Segment at the end of 2014 combined with a Company directive to lower inventory levels during 2015. Inventory turns, calculated on a three month basis, decreased from 3.16 turns at the end of 2014 to 1.89 turns at the end of 2015. The 2015 calculation includes Specialty's values which, by definition of being a master pipe distributor, has a lower turnover rate.

In 2016, the Company's current assets and current liabilities increased \$12,407,000 and \$5,979,000, respectively, from the year ended 2015 amounts, which caused working capital for 2016 to increase by \$6,428,000 to \$64,732,000 from the 2015 total of \$58,304,000. The current ratio for the year ended December 31, 2016, decreased to 3.0:1 from the 2015 year-end ratio of 3.2:1.

The Company generated cash from investing activities during 2016 of \$17,673,000. During the fourth quarter 2016, a \$3,000,000 escrow deposit was made in conjunction with the Marcegaglia USA acquisition, which will be used to offset total funds due at closing. The sale-leaseback transaction, which is discussed in Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, resulted in net proceeds of \$21,925,000. Financing activities during 2016 used cash of \$19,459,000 as the proceeds from the sale-leaseback transaction was used to pay down long-term debt. No dividends were declared during 2016.

In connection with the Specialty acquisition discussed in Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, on November 21, 2014, the Company modified its Credit Agreement to increase the limit of the current revolving line of credit (the "Line") by \$15,000,000 to a maximum of \$40,000,000, and extended the maturity date to November 21, 2017. The Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement), tangible net worth floor (as defined in the Credit Agreement), and Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement) were changed as a result of this modification. None of the other provisions of the Credit Agreement were changed as a result of this modification. Interest on the Credit Agreement is calculated using the One Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread, based on the Company's Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

The Credit Agreement modification on November 21, 2014, also provided for a five-year term loan (the "Specialty note"), expiring November 21, 2019, in the amount of \$10,000,000 that required equal monthly payments of \$166,667, plus interest, calculated using the One Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread, based on the Company's Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement). On August 31, 2016, the balance of this note was refinanced and consolidated into the Line as part of the amendment to the Credit Agreement discussed below.

On August 31, 2016, the Company amended its Credit Agreement with its bank to create a new credit facility in the form of an asset-based revolving line of credit in the amount of \$45,000,000. The Line was used to refinance and consolidate the existing line of credit, the Palmer note, and the Specialty note in the aggregate amount of approximately \$24,200,000. The maturity date of the Line is February 28, 2019. Interest on the Line is calculated using the One Month LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread. Borrowings under the Line are limited to an amount equal to a Borrowing Base calculation (as defined in the Credit Agreement) that includes eligible accounts receivable and inventory. The Company evaluated this transaction in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 470-50-40-10 and ASC 470-50-40-21 and determined the refinancing should be accounted for as a debt modification. The Company incurred lender and third party costs associated with the debt restructuring that were capitalized on the balance sheet while certain other third party costs were expensed.

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Company was required to pledge all of its tangible and intangible properties, including the stock and membership interests of its subsidiaries. In the Credit Agreement, the Company's bank agreed to release its liens on the real estate properties covered by the Purchase and Sale Agreement ("PSA"), as described in Note 12. Covenants under the Credit Agreement include maintaining a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and a limitation on the Company's maximum amount of capital expenditures per year, which is in line with currently projected needs. At December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

#### Results of Operations

##### Comparison of 2016 to 2015 – Consolidated

For the full-year 2016, the net loss from continuing operations totaled \$6,994,000, or \$0.81 loss per share. This compared to full-year 2015 net loss from continuing operations of \$10,269,000, or \$1.18 loss per share. For the fourth quarter of 2016 the Company

recorded a net loss from continuing operations of \$1,435,000, or \$0.17 loss per share. This compares to a net loss from continuing operations of \$17,717,000, or \$2.04 loss per share for fourth quarter of 2015.

Consolidated gross profit from continuing operations decreased 33 percent to \$16,904,000 in 2016, compared to \$25,319,000 in 2015, and, as a percent of sales, decreased to twelve percent of sales in 2016 compared to 14 percent of sales in 2015. For the fourth quarter of 2016, consolidated gross profit from continuing operations was \$3,684,000, an increase of eight percent from the fourth quarter of 2015 of \$3,424,000. Consolidated gross profit from continuing operations was eleven percent of sales for the fourth quarter of 2016 and ten percent of sales for same period of 2015. The majority of the changes in dollars and in percentage of sales were attributable to the Metals Segment as discussed in the Metals Segment Comparison of 2016 to 2015 below. Consolidated selling, general and administrative expense from continuing operations for 2016 increased by \$735,000 or three percent to \$22,673,000 (16 percent of sales) compared to \$21,938,000 (13 percent of sales) for 2015. These costs decreased \$78,000 or one percent to \$5,548,000 for the fourth quarter of 2016 from \$5,626,000 for the same period of 2015 and were 17 percent of sales for the fourth quarter 2016 compared to 16 percent of sales for the fourth quarter of 2015. The increase for the full-year 2016 resulted from higher salaries and wages, directors fees, amortization and sale-leaseback closing costs partly offset by lower professional fees, sales commissions and incentive based bonuses. In addition, the Company incurred \$106,000 for one-time acquisition costs associated with the Marcegaglia USA acquisition in 2016 compared to \$500,000 of one-time acquisition costs associated with the Specialty acquisition in 2015. These costs were \$30,000 and \$46,000 for the fourth quarters of 2016 and 2015, respectively. All of these items will be discussed in greater detail in the respective sections below.

#### Comparison of 2015 to 2014 – Consolidated

For the full-year 2015, the net loss from continuing operations totaled \$10,269,000, or \$1.18 loss per share. This compared to full-year 2014 net earnings from continuing operations of \$12,619,000, or \$1.45 per share. For the fourth quarter of 2015 the Company recorded a net loss from continuing operations of \$17,717,000, or \$2.04 loss per share. This compares to net earnings from continuing operations of \$1,409,000, or \$0.16 per share for fourth quarter of 2014. The fourth quarter and full-year 2015 results were impacted by a fourth quarter 2015 pretax charge of \$17,158,000, representing the impairment of goodwill for two Metals Segment business units, Palmer and Specialty. The non-cash charge represents the application of ASC Topic 350 requiring (at least annual) impairment assessments of goodwill recorded by our business units. This assessment involves a comparison of the book value of the business units to fair value determined through analysis of management's financial projections, as well as consideration of our market capitalization. The results of the impairment analysis were significantly impacted by the Company's stock price of \$6.88 per share at December 31, 2015. A more detailed description of the accounting assessment is provided below. Consolidated gross profit from continuing operations decreased 23 percent to \$25,319,000 in 2015, compared to \$32,929,000 in 2014, and, as a percent of sales, decreased to 14 percent of sales in 2015 compared to 17 percent of sales in 2014. For the fourth quarter of 2015, consolidated gross profit from continuing operations was \$3,424,000, a decrease of 58 percent from the fourth quarter of 2014 of \$8,247,000. Consolidated gross profit from continuing operations was ten percent of sales for the fourth quarter of 2015 and 17 percent of sales for same period of 2014. The decreases in dollars and in percentage of sales were attributable to the Metals Segment as discussed in the Metals Segment Comparison of 2015 to 2014 below. Consolidated selling, general and administrative expense from continuing operations for 2015 increased by \$5,409,000 to \$21,938,000, or 13 percent of sales, compared to \$16,529,000, or eight percent of sales for 2014. These costs increased \$1,203,000 during the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to the same period of 2014 and were 16 percent of sales for the fourth quarter 2015 compared to nine percent of sales for the fourth quarter of 2014. The dollar increase for both the year and fourth quarter of 2015 when compared to the same periods of 2014 resulted primarily from the inclusion of Specialty's selling, general and administrative expenses for the entire year and quarter for 2015. Since Specialty was acquired in November 2014, only a portion of their selling, general, and administrative expenses were included in the prior year. This accounted for \$3,746,000 and \$561,000 of the annual and fourth quarter increase in selling, general and administrative costs for 2015. The remainder of the increase resulted from higher professional fees and increased salaries and wages, partly offset by lower incentive based bonuses and sales commissions. In addition, the Company incurred \$500,000 for one-time acquisition costs associated with the Specialty acquisition in 2015 compared to \$302,000 of one-time

acquisition costs associated with this acquisition in 2014. These costs were \$46,000 and \$305,000 for the fourth quarters of 2015 and 2014, respectively. All of these items will be discussed in greater detail in the respective sections below.

Metals Segment – The following table summarizes operating results from continuing operations and backlogs for the three years indicated. Reference should be made to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(in thousands)	2016		2015		2014	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Net sales	\$90,215	100.0 %	\$114,908	100.0 %	\$134,304	100.0 %
Cost of goods sold	82,676	91.6 %	100,077	87.1 %	112,486	83.8 %
Gross profit	7,539	8.4 %	14,831	12.9 %	21,818	16.2 %
Selling, general and administrative expense	12,360	13.7 %	12,009	10.5 %	8,307	6.2 %
Goodwill impairment	—	— %	17,158	14.9 %	—	— %
Business interruption proceeds	—	— %	(1,246 )	(1.1 )%	—	— %
Loss on sale-leaseback	2,166	2.4 %	—	— %	—	— %
Operating (loss) income	\$(6,987 )	(7.7 )%	\$(13,090 )	(11.4 )%	\$13,511	10.1 %
Year-end backlog - Storage tanks	\$9,878		\$9,964		\$12,229	

#### Comparison of 2016 to 2015 – Metals Segment

The Metals Segment sales from continuing operations decreased \$24,693,000 or 21 percent for the full-year of 2016 compared to the same period of 2015. For the fourth quarter of 2016, Metals Segment sales from continuing operations totaled \$21,883,000, a decrease of \$537,000 or two percent from \$22,420,000 for the fourth quarter of 2015. Sales in prior year periods reflected stronger order shipments across all markets in early 2015, before the precipitous decline in oil prices occurred.

Stainless steel pipe sales from continuing operations decreased 28 percent and 17 percent for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2016 when compared to the same periods of the prior year. The pipe sales decrease for the year resulted from a ten percent decrease in average unit volumes and an 18 percent decrease in average selling price. For the fourth quarter, average unit volumes decreased seven percent while the average selling price decreased ten percent for 2016 compared to 2015. Low nickel prices weighed heavily on stainless steel pipe sales throughout most of 2016, with only late year increases having some minor favorable impacts during the fourth quarter. That late year movement resulted in average nickel prices being up 14 percent for the fourth quarter, while the average for the full year of 2016 was down 19 percent, when compared to the same periods of the prior year, respectively.

Seamless heavy-wall carbon steel pipe and tube sales decreased 17 percent while increasing 26 percent for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2016 compared to the same periods of the prior year. The full year sales reduction was comprised of a two percent increase in average unit volumes offset by a 19 percent decrease in average selling price. For the fourth quarter, average unit volumes increased 36 percent while average selling prices decreased ten percent. Heavier fourth quarter demand, primarily related to improvements in the oil and gas sector and reduced inventory overhang, drove the sales increase.

Storage tank sales increased one percent and 33 percent for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2016 when compared to the same periods for the prior year. The full-year increase was comprised of a 15 percent increase in the number of tanks sold offset by a 14 percent decrease in average selling price. For the fourth quarter, the storage tank increase resulted from a 51 percent increase in the number of tanks sold offset by an 18 percent decrease in average selling price. The results highlight a move toward higher levels of activity in the Permian Basin and other Palmer of Texas delivery areas, as WTI pricing and other economic indicators have risen throughout the second half of 2016.

The Metals Segment's operating results from continuing operations increased \$6,103,000 to a loss of \$6,987,000 for the full-year 2016 compared to an operating loss of \$13,090,000 for 2015. For the fourth quarter, the Metals Segment's operating results from continuing operations increased \$17,164,000 to a loss of \$1,326,000 compared to a loss of \$18,490,000 for 2016 compared to 2015, respectively. Current year operating results was affected by the following factors:

- a) The Metals Segment recorded a pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of \$17,158,000 in the fourth quarter of 2015. See the "Comparison of 2015 to 2014 - Metals Segment" section for further explanation.

\$2,166,000 in net charges associated with the loss recognized on three Metal Segment properties sold as part of the sale-leaseback transaction that took place during the third quarter. This amount is net of the deferred gain amortization of \$60,000 recorded in the fourth quarter 2016.

- c) Lost contribution margin due to lower volumes across all segments as continued low oil and gas prices, as well as sustained lower levels of customer spending across all industrial classes, had an unfavorable effect on sales and profits for our storage tank and carbon pipe distribution facilities, as well as our stainless steel welded pipe markets.

As a result of continued low nickel prices during 2016, the Company experienced inventory margin compression of approximately \$5,751,000 and \$194,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter of 2016. This compares to inventory margin compression of approximately \$6,872,000 and \$2,012,000, respectively, for the same periods of 2015. Selling, general and administrative expense from continuing operations increased \$351,000, or three percent for the full-year 2016 when compared to 2015. This expense category was 14 percent of sales for 2016 and ten percent of sales for 2015. For the fourth quarter, selling, general and administrative expense was \$3,200,000 (15 percent of sales) in 2016, an increase of \$345,000 from \$2,855,000 (13 percent of sales) for the same period of 2015. The changes in selling, general and administrative expense resulted from higher salaries and wages (\$259,000 and \$136,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively), higher sales commissions (\$32,000 and \$174,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively), higher allocated administrative costs (\$408,000 and \$102,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively) and higher amortization expense (\$181,000 and \$45,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively). These amounts were partially offset by lower incentive bonus expense (\$403,000 and \$56,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively) and lower professional fees (\$129,000 and \$147,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively).

#### Comparison of 2015 to 2014 – Metals Segment

The Metals Segment sales from continuing operations decreased 14 percent for the full-year 2015 as compared to the same period of 2014 and sales for the fourth quarter of 2015 totaled \$22,420,000, a decrease of 30 percent compared to 2014 results. The following factors resulted in the decreased sales in 2015. Storage tank sales decreased 38 percent and 50 percent for the year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2015 when compared to the same periods of 2014. The decrease in storage tank sales for the year and fourth quarter of 2015 when compared to the same periods of 2014 resulted from a decrease in demand for storage tank products due to lower oil prices in 2015 combined with a fire occurring at the facility in late April. The Company was adequately insured for the fire and the proceeds from business interruption insurance payments for May through October were recorded in the operating income section of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The facility was 100 percent operational at the end of the third quarter of 2015.

Incremental sales of heavy-walled carbon steel pipe and tube products attributable to the Company's November 21, 2014 acquisition of Specialty, accounted for incremental sales of \$15,489,000 and \$753,000 for the year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2015.

Stainless steel pipe sales from continuing operations decreased 23 percent and 31 percent for the year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2015 when compared to the prior year. The pipe sales decrease for the year resulted from an eleven percent decrease in average unit volumes and a twelve percent decrease in average selling prices. The Metals Segment's commodity unit volumes for the year of 2015 decreased twelve percent while non-commodity unit volumes decreased approximately eight percent. Selling prices for commodity pipe decreased approximately eight percent while selling prices for non-commodity pipe decreased 20 percent. The non-commodity price decrease was largely attributable to mix differences between the years.

The pipe sales decrease for the fourth quarter of 2015 resulted from an approximate twelve percent decrease in average unit volumes combined with an approximate 20 percent decrease in average selling prices. In the fourth quarter 2015, the Metals Segment's commodity unit volumes decreased approximately one percent while non-commodity unit volumes decreased approximately 33 percent. Selling prices for commodity pipe decreased 28 percent while selling prices for non-commodity pipe increased one percent.

The sales decreases resulted from low nickel prices in 2015. Decreasing nickel surcharges reduced the price per pound of stainless steel pipe along with delaying re-stocking purchases from the distributors. Also, imports of stainless steel pressure pipe from India have increased at prices well below market prices in the United States. These unfairly traded imports have hurt the domestic industry's sales volumes, pricing and profits. On September 20, 2015, the Company joined three other stainless steel pipe manufacturers and petitioned the Department of Commerce ("DOC") and the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") to apply antidumping and countervailing duties of imports of welded stainless pressure pipe from India. Even though the Company has been successful in past unfair trade proceedings, this case is pending and there is no assurance that this action will result in a favorable outcome to the petitioners.

Operating income from continuing operations for the entire year and fourth quarter of 2015 when compared to the same periods of 2014 was impacted by the following four factors:

The inclusion of the operating results of Specialty for the full year of 2015 compared to one month in 2014.

- a) Excluding the goodwill impairment charge which is described below, Specialty had an operating income of \$1,611,000 and an operating loss of \$90,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter 2015, respectively, compared to \$505,000 of operating income for both the full-year and fourth quarter 2014;
- b) Continued low oil and gas prices had an unfavorable effect on sales and profits for our storage tank and carbon pipe distribution facilities, as well as our stainless steel welded pipe markets;

c) The dumping of welded stainless pressure pipe from India resulted in lower sales, as well as margin compression during 2015; and

d) As a result of a continued drop in nickel prices during 2015, the Company experienced inventory losses of approximately \$8,079,000 and \$2,363,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter 2015, respectively. This compares to inventory losses of approximately \$107,000 and \$228,000, respectively, for the same periods of 2014.

Selling, general and administrative expense from continuing operations increased \$3,702,000, or 45 percent in 2015 when compared to 2014. This expense category was ten percent of sales for 2015 and six percent of sales for 2014. The increase resulted from including selling, general and administrative expenses for Specialty for the entire year for 2015 compared to only six weeks in 2014. These higher costs amounted to \$3,746,000.

The fire at the storage tank facility in late April 2015 shut down the fiberglass fabrication area of the facility resulting in financial losses. These losses were offset by business interruption insurance proceeds of \$1,246,000 and \$189,000, for the full-year and fourth quarter 2015, respectively.

As a result of the required annual (or more frequent) multi-step analysis to determine whether or not the book value of goodwill is impaired, the Company recognized a pre-tax charge of \$17,158,000 representing the combined value of goodwill impairments for the Company's Specialty and Palmer reporting units. During the Company's performance of the first step in this process the Company employed a discounted cash flow methodology based on management's financial projections to estimate the fair value of its business units. The results of the discounted cash flow analysis preliminarily indicated that the calculated fair value of the business units was in excess of the book value (including goodwill). However, the Company also considered the large decline in its share price and was required to analyze the difference between fair value determined using market capitalization as its basis, compared to fair value determined using the previously described discounted cash flow method. With the share price decline, the Company's market capitalization at the end of 2015 was less than \$60,000,000. This was down from \$164,000,000 at the end of 2014. Due to the decline in market capitalization of over \$100,000,000 during 2015, the Company's analysis concluded there is an impairment to the goodwill for Specialty and Palmer, both with the most significant exposure to declines in the oil and gas market. While this represents a permanent impairment, it is based on stock pricing dynamics that we do not believe currently reflect the future value of the two impacted business units. In 2015, both businesses were EBITDA positive, during a period we believe represents the bottom of the market for the oil and gas segments of their business. In addition, both businesses have maintained or gained market share, and stand ready to support our customer base when those markets inevitably rebound.

Specialty Chemicals Segment – The following tables summarize operating results for the three years indicated. Reference should be made to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(Amounts in thousands)	2016		2015		2014	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Net sales	\$48,351	100.0%	\$60,552	100.0%	\$65,201	100.0%
Cost of goods sold	38,884	80.4%	50,064	82.7%	54,089	83.0%
Gross profit	9,467	19.6%	10,488	17.3%	11,112	17.0%
Selling, general and administrative expense	4,579	9.5%	4,823	8.0%	4,982	7.6%
Loss on sale-leaseback	206	0.4%	—	—%	—	—%
Operating income	\$4,682	9.7%	\$5,665	9.4%	\$6,130	9.4%

#### Comparison of 2016 to 2015 – Specialty Chemicals Segment

Sales for the Specialty Chemicals Segment for the full-year 2016 were \$48,351,000, a decrease of \$12,201,000 or 20 percent from the full-year 2015 amount of \$60,552,000. Sales for the fourth quarter of 2016 were \$11,167,000, a \$1,978,000 or 15 percent decrease from the same quarter of 2015. Pounds shipped during the full-year decreased 16 percent for 2016 compared to 2015. For the fourth quarter of 2016, pounds shipped decreased 13 percent. Overall selling prices decreased four percent and two percent for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2016 compared to the same periods of 2015. Sales were affected during the full-year and fourth quarter of 2016 by:

a) Lower sales due to in-sourcing of several products by customers who were able to absorb production due to weak demand for their other products, as well as delayed ramp-up of several new products due primarily to customer

scheduling; and

Lower selling prices per pound for oil based products. With the reduction in oil prices, the Specialty Chemicals  
b) Segment's raw material costs decreased, which resulted in lower passed through material value as part of the billed  
selling prices.

24

---

The Specialty Chemicals Segment's operating income for the full-year of 2016 decreased \$983,000 or 17 percent to \$4,682,000. The fourth quarter of 2016 decreased eight percent from the prior year quarter to \$961,000. The decrease in operating income for the full-year and fourth quarter was directly related to the lower sales levels.

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$244,000 or five percent in 2016 when compared to 2015. This expense category was nine percent of sales for 2016 and eight percent of sales for 2015. For the fourth quarter, selling, general and administrative expense was \$1,068,000 (ten percent of sales) in 2016, an increase of \$17,000 from \$1,052,000 (eight percent of sales) for the same period of 2015. The changes in selling, general and administrative expense resulted from lower sales commissions in 2016 (\$391,000 and \$54,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively) and lower professional fees (\$72,000 and \$51,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively), partially or entirely offset by higher allocated administrative costs (\$264,000 and \$66,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively) and higher incentive based bonuses (\$80,000 and \$43,000 for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively).

Comparison of 2015 to 2014 – Specialty Chemicals Segment

Sales for the Specialty Chemicals Segment decreased seven percent from 2014 totaling \$60,552,000 for 2015 compared to \$65,201,000 in 2014. For the fourth quarter of 2015, sales were \$13,145,000, representing a 20 percent decrease from the same quarter of 2014. Pounds shipped during the full-year increased by six percent for 2015 compared to 2014. For the fourth quarter of 2015, pounds shipped decreased ten percent. The annual increase resulted from the ramping up of the BioBased Technologies LLC project in early 2015 offset partially by lower chemical sales into the oil and gas market. Overall selling prices decreased twelve percent and eleven percent for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively, of 2015 compared to the same periods of 2014. The change in lower selling prices from 2014 on a year-to-date basis is primarily due to lower raw materials costs. While this negatively impacts the Company's top line sales, this is significantly offset by lower input costs.

The Specialty Chemicals Segment's operating income for the full-year of 2015 decreased eight percent to \$5,665,000. The fourth quarter of 2015 decreased 23 percent from the prior year quarter to \$1,040,000. The decrease in operating income resulted from lower sales, primarily associated with weak demand from the oil and gas sector, combined with higher repairs and maintenance, utilities, waste disposal and depreciation expenses. Tolled products continue to outperform management's acquisition projections and had a positive impact on profitability during the full year of 2015.

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$165,000 or three percent in 2015 when compared to 2014, which represented eight percent of sales for both periods. For the fourth quarter, selling, general and administrative expense was \$1,071,000 in 2015, a decrease of \$198,000 when compared to the same period of 2014. These decreases resulted from lower sales commissions in 2015 (\$405,000 and \$151,000 lower for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively) and lower incentive based bonuses (\$191,000 and \$93,000 lower for the full-year and fourth quarter, respectively). For the full-year of 2015, these costs were slightly offset by higher salaries and wages (up \$396,000).

Unallocated Income and Expense

Reference should be made to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, for the schedule that includes these items.

Comparison of 2016 to 2015 – Corporate

Corporate expenses increased \$627,000 to \$5,733,000, or four percent of sales, in 2016 up from \$5,106,000, three percent of sales, in 2015. The full-year increase resulted primarily from:

Professional fees decreased \$192,000 from the prior year resulting from additional professional services obtained in the prior year surrounding registration statement filing, goodwill impairment testing and valuation and SEC comment letter response;

Personnel costs were \$590,000 higher as additional personnel were added during the third quarter of 2015 to strengthen the Company's corporate staff combined with normal annual rate increases;

- Performance based bonuses increased \$220,000 from the prior year. Pre-defined Adjusted EBITDA targets were not achieved in either year. However, the portion of the performance based bonus relating to personal goal achievements was higher in the current year;
-

One-time closing costs associated with the sale-leaseback transaction increased corporate expenses by \$165,000 in 2016. These costs will not recur in future years; and Directors' fees increased \$203,000 for 2016 compared to 2015 as an additional director was added during 2016 along with increases to the annual retainer during 2016.

Acquisition costs of \$106,000 for 2016 and \$500,000 for 2015 resulted from costs associated with the MUSA and Specialty acquisitions. See Note 18 and Note 22.

Interest expense was \$933,000 and \$1,353,000 for the full-years of 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease in interest expense during 2016 resulted from the company using the proceeds from the September 30, 2016 sale-leaseback transaction to pay off the remaining term loan and lower the outstanding balance of the revolving line of credit.

During the third quarter of 2016, the swap contract entered into on September 3, 2013 was settled leaving only the swap contract entered into on August 12, 2012 outstanding as of December 31, 2016.

During the third quarter of 2016, the Company completed a sale-leaseback transaction whereby all of the Company's operating real estate assets were sold to a third party and are being leased back by the Company. The Company received gross sales proceeds of \$22,000,000, or approximately \$4,230,000 in excess of net book value of total assets sold. Pursuant to the applicable accounting standards, the Company was required to calculate the gain or loss associated with the transaction on a property by property basis. As a result, losses associated with three of the properties in this transaction, totaling \$2,455,000, were charged against earnings during the third quarter. Gains associated with the remaining three properties, totaling approximately \$6,685,000, were deferred and will be amortized on the straight-line method over the initial lease term of 20 years. Total incremental costs associated with the sale-leaseback transaction for 2016 is as follows:

	4th Quarter	Full-Year
Metals Segment Operating (Income) Loss	\$(60,000)	\$2,166,000
Specialty Chemicals Segment Operating (Income) Loss	(24,000)	206,000
Unallocated Corporate Expenses	64,000	165,000
Total incremental costs	\$(20,000)	\$2,537,000

#### Comparison of 2015 to 2014 – Corporate

Corporate expenses for 2015 were \$5,106,000, or three percent of sales from continuing operations, compared to \$3,241,000, or two percent of sales from continuing operations for 2014, an increase of \$1,865,000, or 58 percent. The twelve month increase resulted primarily from:

Professional fees increased \$1,302,000 from the prior year resulting from the change in the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in addition to additional services obtained surrounding income tax provision review, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, registration statement filing and SEC comment letter response;

- Personnel costs were \$515,000 higher than the prior year as additional personnel were added to strengthen the Company's corporate staff combined with normal annual rate increases;

Performance based bonuses decreased \$427,000 from the prior year due to lower current year profitability;

Travel expenses were \$192,000 higher than the prior year in order to provide the necessary oversight to our various facilities; and

Directors' fees increased \$125,000 as an additional director was added during 2015 along with increases to the annual retainer during 2015.

It should be noted that \$765,000 of these are costs that are not expected to recur in 2016 and beyond.

Acquisition costs of \$500,000 during the total year of 2015 mainly represent professional fees associated with the Specialty acquisition.

Interest expense increased to \$1,353,000 for 2015 compared to \$1,092,000 for 2014. The higher interest expense in 2015 is due to a full year of borrowings on the Company's line of credit plus the additional fixed term bank debt associated with the Specialty acquisition in November 2014. Also, unallocated corporate expenses increased by \$42,000 for the change in fair value of the interest rate swap contracts, compared to an increase of \$426,000 for the full-year 2014.

During 2014 and 2015, management reviewed the earn-out reserves for the Palmer and Specialty acquisitions and determined there was no likelihood the minimum threshold sales target would be achieved. As a result, the Company recorded favorable adjustments to earn-out payment liabilities totaling \$4,897,000 and \$3,476,000 for the full years 2015 and 2014, respectively.

In the fourth quarter of 2015 the Company received final proceeds from settlement of the insurance claim for the fire at Palmer and booked a casualty insurance gain of \$923,000. That amount represents the value of insurance payments exceeding the net book value of assets damaged in the loss. The favorable casualty gain adjustment was recorded at the parent company level.

Other income of \$135,000 for the twelve months of 2015 represents life insurance proceeds received in excess of cash surrender value for a former officer of the Company.

#### Contractual Obligations and Other Commitments

As of December 31, 2016, the Company's contractual obligations and other commitments were as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	Total	Payment Obligations for the Year Ended					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter
<b>Obligations:</b>							
Revolving credit facility	\$8,804	\$—	\$—	\$8,804	\$—	\$—	\$—
Interest on bank debt	481	231	231	19	—	—	—
Capital lease	125	71	23	23	8	—	—
Operating leases	47,208	2,252	2,309	2,309	2,309	2,275	35,754
Deferred compensation <sup>(1)</sup>	200	21	21	21	21	17	99
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$56,818</b>	<b>\$2,575</b>	<b>\$2,584</b>	<b>\$11,176</b>	<b>\$2,338</b>	<b>\$2,292</b>	<b>\$35,853</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> For a description of the deferred compensation obligation, see Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

#### Current Conditions and Outlook

The 2017 and 2018 years offer the potential for significant recovery for all of Synalloy's operating businesses. The Company recently closed on the purchase of the stainless steel pipe assets of MUSA. These assets in combination with BRISMET, creates the largest domestic producer of welded stainless steel pipe in North America. The Company will spend the balance of 2017 integrating the two units and looks forward to realizing cost savings and pursuing opportunities for increased revenue. The prospect of rising commodity prices, both oil and nickel, should continue to benefit the Metals Segment businesses over the next several years. Capital spending in the downstream energy markets, along with infrastructure spending should increase demand for all of the Metals Segment's products. The Specialty Chemicals Segment has an excellent pipeline of new product opportunities the Company expects to enhance performance as it moves into the second quarter of this year. One recent example is the Company signed a three-year contract to supply a fire retardant product used in the manufacture of telephone and computer cable and expect production to commence in June. This product will be produced at CRI Tolling.

#### Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks

The Company is exposed to market risks from adverse changes in interest rates. Changes in United States interest rates affect the interest earned on the Company's cash and cash equivalents as well as interest paid on its indebtedness. Except as described below, the Company does not engage in speculative or leveraged transactions, nor does it hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company is exposed to changes in interest rates primarily as a result of its borrowing activities used to maintain liquidity and fund business operations.

Fair value of the Company's debt obligations, which approximated the recorded value, consisted of:

At December 31, 2016

• \$8,804,000 under a revolving line of credit with an availability of \$30,466,000, expiring on February 28, 2019 with a variable interest rate of 2.62 percent.

• An interest rate swap contract with a notional amount of \$12,750,000 which fixes the term loan interest rate at 3.74 percent. The fair value of the interest rate swap contract was an asset to the Company of \$31,000.

## Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Company's consolidated financial statements, related notes, report of management and report of the independent registered public accounting firm follow on subsequent pages of this report.

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015

	2016	2015
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$62,873	\$391,424
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$82,000 and \$247,000, respectively	18,028,946	17,946,119
Inventories, net		
Raw materials	31,973,073	34,821,694
Work-in-process	9,897,857	5,096,515
Finished goods	18,928,579	23,897,426
Total inventories	60,799,509	63,815,635
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,272,569	2,704,958
Indemnified contingencies - see Note 13	11,339,888	238,278
Total current assets	97,503,785	85,096,414
Cash value of life insurance	—	1,500,781
Property, plant and equipment, net	27,324,092	46,294,271
Goodwill	1,354,730	1,354,730
Intangible assets, net	12,308,838	14,745,825
Deferred charges, net and other non-current assets	146,618	51,469
Total assets	\$138,638,063	\$149,043,490
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$16,684,508	\$12,265,930
Accrued expenses	16,087,434	9,992,868
Current portion of long-term debt	—	4,533,908
Total current liabilities	32,771,942	26,792,706
Long-term debt, less unamortized debt issuance costs of \$0 and \$135,915, respectively	8,804,206	23,409,886
Long-term deferred gain, sale-leaseback	6,267,623	—
Deferred income taxes	1,609,492	3,016,954
Other long-term liabilities	592,245	669,650
Shareholders' equity		
Common stock, par value \$1 per share - authorized 24,000,000 shares; issued 10,300,000 shares	10,300,000	10,300,000
Capital in excess of par value	34,714,206	34,476,240
Retained earnings	57,936,533	65,029,474
	102,950,739	109,805,714
Less cost of common stock in treasury - 1,630,690 and 1,663,314 shares, respectively	14,358,184	14,651,420
Total shareholders' equity	88,592,555	95,154,294

Commitments and contingencies – see Note 13

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$138,638,063	\$149,043,490
--	---------------	---------------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

28

---

## Consolidated Statements of Operations

Years ended December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015 and January 3, 2015

	2016	2015	2014
Net sales	\$138,565,782	\$175,460,438	\$199,504,628
Cost of sales	121,661,303	150,141,663	166,575,146
Gross profit	16,904,479	25,318,775	32,929,482
Selling, general and administrative expense	22,672,872	21,937,988	16,529,438
Acquisition related costs	106,227	499,761	301,715
Business interruption proceeds	—	(1,246,024)	—
Goodwill impairment	—	17,158,249	—
Loss on sale-leaseback	2,371,778	—	—
Operating (loss) income	(8,246,398)	(13,031,199)	16,098,329
Other (income) and expense			
Interest expense	932,572	1,352,806	1,150,940
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	12,997	41,580	425,543
Specialty and Palmer earn-out adjustments	—	(4,897,448)	(3,476,197)
Casualty insurance gain	—	(923,470)	—
Other, net	—	(134,389)	(6,744)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(9,191,967)	(8,470,278)	18,004,787
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(2,198,000)	1,799,000	5,386,000
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	(6,993,967)	(10,269,278)	12,618,787
Net loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(99,334)	(1,251,058)	(7,156,524)
Net (loss) income	\$(7,093,301)	\$(11,520,336)	\$5,462,263
Net (loss) income per common share from continuing operations:			
Basic	\$(0.81)	\$(1.18)	\$1.45
Diluted	\$(0.81)	\$(1.18)	\$1.45
Net loss per diluted common share from discontinued operations:			
Basic	\$(0.01)	\$(0.14)	\$(0.82)
Diluted	\$(0.01)	\$(0.14)	\$(0.82)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Cost of Common Stock in Treasury	Total
Balance at December 28, 2013	\$10,300,000	\$33,657,714	\$76,337,597	\$(14,197,198)	\$106,098,113
Net income	—	—	5,462,263	—	5,462,263
Payment of dividends, \$0.30 per share	—	—	(2,632,537 )	—	(2,632,537 )
Issuance of 14,522 shares of common stock from the treasury	—	(8,341 )	—	127,881	119,540
Stock options exercised for 7,980 shares, net	—	40,844	—	1,173	42,017
Employee stock option and grant compensation	—	364,157	—	—	364,157
Balance at January 3, 2015	10,300,000	34,054,374	79,167,323	(14,068,144 )	109,453,553
Net loss	—	—	(11,520,336 )	—	(11,520,336 )
Payment of dividends, \$0.30 per share	—	—	(2,617,513 )	—	(2,617,513 )
Issuance of 26,118 shares of common stock from the treasury	—	(102,237 )	—	231,290	129,053
Stock options exercised for 666 shares, net	—	2,408	—	5,894	8,302
Employee stock option and grant compensation	—	521,695	—	—	521,695
Purchase of 100,400 shares of common stock	—	—	—	(820,460 )	(820,460 )
Balance at December 31, 2015	10,300,000	34,476,240	65,029,474	(14,651,420 )	95,154,294
Net loss	—	—	(7,093,301 )	—	(7,093,301 )
Dividend on stock grant forfeiture	—	—	360	—	360
Issuance of 62,124 shares of common stock from the treasury	—	(221,507 )	—	547,125	325,618
Employee stock option and grant compensation	—	459,473	—	—	459,473
Purchase of 29,500 shares of common stock	—	—	—	(253,889 )	(253,889 )
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$10,300,000	\$34,714,206	\$57,936,533	\$(14,358,184)	\$88,592,555

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015 and January 3, 2015

	2016	2015	2014
Operating activities			
Net (loss) income	\$(7,093,301)	\$(11,520,336)	\$5,462,263
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	99,334	1,251,058	7,156,524
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation expense	4,235,203	4,356,911	3,724,757
Amortization expense	2,459,787	2,277,480	1,407,149
Non-cash interest expense on debt issuance costs	72,290	120,521	59,246
Goodwill impairment	—	17,158,249	—
Deferred income taxes	(1,407,462 )	150,462	796,916
Earn-out adjustments	—	(4,897,448 )	(3,476,197 )
(Reduction of) provision for losses on accounts receivable	(45,151 )	60,855	72,100
Provision for losses on inventories	983,505	2,003,885	2,548,196
Loss (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment	2,294,917	(18,277 )	26,800
Amortization of gain on sale-leaseback	(83,569 )	—	—
Deferred rent adjustment on sale-leaseback	101,633	—	—
Casualty insurance gain	—	(923,470 )	—
Change in cash value of life insurance	1,502	(82,504 )	(39,093 )
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	(277,430 )	41,581	425,543
Change in environmental reserves	140,520	(25,000 )	(50,000 )
Issuance of treasury stock for director fees	330,000	118,762	110,501
Employee stock option and grant compensation	459,473	521,695	364,157
Dividend on stock grant forfeiture	360	—	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(37,676 )	11,380,941	3,448,709
Inventories	2,032,621	4,173,337	(3,298,982 )
Other assets and liabilities	(11,531,707 )	(718,787 )	(1,164,297 )
Accounts payable	4,418,578	(9,122,368 )	7,820,957
Accrued expenses	9,441,925	(2,034,303 )	3,995,534
Accrued income taxes	(1,294,557 )	3,038,362	(1,287,007 )
Net cash provided by continuing operating activities	5,300,795	17,311,606	28,103,776
Net cash (used in) provided by discontinued operating activities	(3,843,137 )	(849,974 )	785,249
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,457,658	16,461,632	28,889,025
Investing activities			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(3,044,411 )	(10,905,230 )	(8,065,992 )
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	22,215,362	21,500	8,000
MUSA escrow deposit	(3,000,000 )	—	—
Acquisition of Specialty	—	—	(31,490,433)
Cash received from Specialty acquisition	—	—	12,960
Proceeds from casualty insurance	—	1,219,048	—
Proceeds from life insurance policies	1,502,283	720,518	—
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing investing activities	17,673,234	(8,944,164 )	(39,535,465)
Net cash provided by discontinued investing activities	—	—	3,139,106
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	17,673,234	(8,944,164 )	(36,396,359)
Financing activities			
Net borrowings from line of credit	6,928,640	990,929	884,637

Edgar Filing: SYNALLOY CORP - Form 10-K

Borrowings from long-term debt	—	—	10,000,000
Payments on long-term debt	(26,068,228 )	(4,700,570 )	(2,533,903 )
Payments on capital lease obligation	(65,966 )	(13,355 )	—
Proceeds from exercised stock options	—	8,302	42,017
Dividends paid	—	(2,617,513 )	(2,632,537 )
Purchase of common stock	(253,889 )	(820,460 )	—
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(19,459,443 )	(7,152,667 )	5,760,214
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(328,551 )	364,801	(1,747,120 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	391,424	26,623	1,773,743
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$62,873	\$391,424	\$26,623

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

31

---

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

### Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Description of Business

Synalloy Corporation (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation, was incorporated in 1958 as the successor to a chemical manufacturing business founded in 1945. Its charter is perpetual. The name was changed on July 31, 1967 from Blackman Uhler Industries, Inc. On June 3, 1988, the state of incorporation was changed from South Carolina to Delaware. The Company's executive offices are located at 4510 Cox Road, Suite 201, Richmond, Virginia 23060. The Company's business is divided into two reportable operating segments, the Metals Segment and the Specialty Chemicals Segment. The Metals Segment currently operates as three reportable units including Bristol Metals, LLC ("BRISMET"), Palmer of Texas Tanks, Inc. ("Palmer") and Specialty Pipe & Tube, Inc. ("Specialty"). Two other operations, Bristol Fab and Ram-Fab, were sold or closed during 2014; see Note 19. BRISMET manufactures pipe, Palmer manufactures liquid storage solutions and separation equipment and Specialty is a master distributor of seamless carbon pipe and tube. The Specialty Chemicals Segment operates as one reportable unit and is comprised of Manufacturers Chemicals, LLC ("MC") and CRI Tolling, LLC ("CRI Tolling") and produces specialty chemicals.

#### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. The Metals Segment is comprised of three subsidiaries: Synalloy Metals, Inc. which owns 100 percent of BRISMET, located in Bristol, Tennessee; Palmer, located in Andrews, Texas and Specialty, located in Mineral Ridge, Ohio and Houston, Texas. The Specialty Chemicals Segment consists of two subsidiaries: Manufacturers Soap and Chemical Company which owns 100 percent of MC, located in Cleveland, Tennessee and CRI Tolling, located in Fountain Inn, South Carolina. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

#### Accounting Period

On December 31, 2015, the Company elected to change its fiscal year from a 52-53 week year ending the Saturday nearest to December 31 to a calendar year ending December 31 effective with fiscal year 2015. The Company made this change prospectively and did not adjust operating results for prior periods. Fiscal year 2016 and 2015 ended on December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, having 52 weeks. Fiscal year 2014 ended on January 3, 2015 having 53 weeks.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash balances at financial institutions with strong credit ratings.

#### Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable from the sale of products are recorded at net realizable value and the Company generally grants credit to customers on an unsecured basis. Substantially all of the Company's accounts receivable are due from companies located throughout the United States. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful collections for projected uncollectable amounts. The allowance is based upon a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information and existing economic conditions. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Receivables are generally due within 30 to 60 days. Delinquent receivables are written off based on individual credit evaluations and specific circumstances of the customer.

#### Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is determined by either specific identification or weighted average methods.

Inventory cost is adjusted when its net realizable value is estimated to be below estimated selling price. At the end of each quarter, all facilities review recent sales reports to identify sales price trends that would indicate products or product lines that are being sold below cost. This would indicate that an adjustment would be required. Adjustments were required by our Metals Segment for the years ended December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, mainly due to decreases in nickel prices. Stainless steel, both in its raw material (coil or plate) or finished goods (pipe) state is purchased / sold using a base price plus an additional surcharge which is dependent on current nickel prices. As raw

materials are purchased, it is priced to the Company based upon the surcharge

32

---

at that date. When the finished pipe is ultimately sold to the customer approximately five months later, the then-current nickel surcharge is used to determine the proper selling prices. An adjustment is recorded when the Company's inventory cost, based upon a historical nickel price, is greater than the current selling price of that product due to a reduction in the nickel surcharge. A \$43,000 and \$1,237,000 adjustment was required at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, for reductions in nickel surcharge. At December 31, 2016, an adjustment of \$93,000 was required by our storage tank facility as lower demand for oil and gas products caused selling prices to fall below inventory cost for certain tanks. No reserve was required at our storage tank facility at December 31, 2015.

The Company establishes inventory reserves for:

**Estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory.** As of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company identified inventory items with no sales activity for finished goods or no usage for raw materials for a certain period of time. For those inventory items that are not currently being marketed and unable to be sold, a reserve was established for 100 percent of the inventory cost less any estimated scrap proceeds. The Company reserved \$697,000 and \$658,000 at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

**Estimated quantity losses.** The Company performs an annual physical count of inventory during the fourth quarter each year. For those facilities that complete their physical inventory counts before the end of December, a reserve is established for the potential quantity losses that could occur subsequent to their physical inventory. This reserve is based upon the most recent physical inventory results. At December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had \$269,000 and \$24,000, respectively, reserved for physical inventory quantity losses.

**Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets. Land improvements and buildings are depreciated over a range of ten years to 40 years, and machinery, fixtures and equipment are depreciated over a range of three to 20 years. The costs of software licenses are amortized over five years using the straight-line method. The Company continually reviews the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets. The Company also reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. When the future undiscounted cash flows of the operation to which the assets relate do not exceed the carrying value of the asset, the assets are written down to fair value.

**Business Combinations**

Acquisitions are accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). Under this method, the total consideration transferred to consummate the acquisition is allocated to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values as of the closing date of the acquisition. The acquisition method of accounting requires extensive use of estimates and judgments to allocate the consideration transferred to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired, if any, and liabilities assumed.

**Goodwill, Intangible Assets and Deferred Charges**

Goodwill, arising from the excess of purchase price over fair value of net assets of businesses acquired, is not amortized but is reviewed annually, at the reporting unit level, in the fourth quarter for impairment and whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable.

The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment by performing a qualitative evaluation and a two-step quantitative test, if required, which involves comparing the estimated fair value, based on a discounted cash flow model, of the associated reporting unit to its carrying value, including goodwill. The Company performed the step zero qualitative test during the fourth quarter of 2016 which resulted in no goodwill impairment for the year ended December 31, 2016. For the fourth quarter of 2015 the Company performed the two-step quantitative test and recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$17,158,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. See Note 4 for further details on the Company's evaluation of goodwill impairment.

Intangible assets represent the fair value of intellectual, non-physical assets resulting from business acquisitions. Deferred charges represent other intangible assets and debt issuance costs for the year ended December 31, 2016 as the Company had no term debt outstanding. For the year ended December 31, 2015, unamortized debt issuance costs are included in long term debt. Intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives using either an

accelerated or straight-line method. Debt issuance costs are amortized on a weighted average basis utilizing the outstanding balance for each debt facility. Other deferred charges are amortized over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Deferred charges are amortized over a period ranging from three to ten years and intangible assets are amortized over a period ranging from eight to 15 years. The weighted average amortization period for the customer relationships is approximately twelve years. Deferred charges and intangible assets totaled \$20,708,000

and \$20,572,000 at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Accumulated amortization of deferred charges and intangible assets as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 totaled \$8,253,000 and \$5,775,000, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for the next five fiscal years based on existing deferred charges and intangible assets is: 2017 - \$2,393,000, 2018 - \$2,228,000, 2019 - \$2,056,000; 2020 - \$1,897,000; 2021 - \$1,807,000; and thereafter - \$2,074,000. The Company recorded amortization expense of \$2,460,000, \$2,277,000 and \$1,407,000 for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue from product sales is recognized at the time ownership of goods transfers to the customer and the earnings process is complete, which is typically on the date the inventory is shipped to the customer.

#### Shipping Costs

Shipping costs of approximately \$4,488,000, \$5,155,000 and \$5,705,000 in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, are recorded in cost of goods sold.

#### Research and Development Expenses

The Company incurred research and development expense of approximately \$603,000, \$548,000 and \$531,000 in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing accounts and their respective tax basis and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in fiscal years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the carrying amounts of deferred tax assets unless it is more likely than not that such assets will be realized.

Additionally, the Company maintains reserves for uncertain tax provisions in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 740. See Note 10 for more information.

#### Earnings Per Share of Common Stock

Earnings per share of common stock are computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each period; see Note 14.

#### Fair Market Value

The Company makes estimates of fair value in accounting for certain transactions, in testing and measuring impairment and in providing disclosures of fair value in its consolidated financial statements. The Company determines the fair values of its financial instruments for disclosure purposes by maximizing the use of observable inputs and minimizing the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Fair value disclosures for assets and liabilities are grouped in three levels. The levels prioritize the inputs used to measure the fair value of the assets or liabilities. These levels are:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for assets and liabilities, either directly or indirectly.

These inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are less active.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity for assets or liabilities and includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques.

Estimates of fair value using levels 2 and 3 may require judgments as to the timing and amount of cash flows, discount rates and other factors requiring significant judgment, and the outcomes may vary widely depending on the selection of these assumptions. The Company's most significant fair value estimates relate to purchase accounting adjustments which included the measurement of earn-out liabilities, estimating the fair value of the reporting units in testing goodwill for impairment, estimating the fair value of the interest rate swaps and providing disclosures of the fair values of financial instruments.



Financial instruments, such as cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and the credit facility revolver are stated at their carrying value, which is a reasonable estimate of fair value; see Note 2.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions, primarily for testing goodwill for impairment, determining proper period-end balances for certain employee benefit accruals, estimating fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as a result of business acquisitions and for establishing reserves on accounts receivable, inventories and environmental issues, that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash deposits and trade accounts receivable.

#### Recent accounting pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)", which changes the criteria for recognizing revenue. The standard requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard requires a five-step process for recognizing revenue including identifying the contract with the customer, identifying the performance obligations in the contract, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and recognizing revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. Two transition methods are available for implementing the requirements of ASU 2014-09: retrospectively for each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initial application recognized at the date of initial application. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations," to improve the operability and understandability of the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-10, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing," to clarify guidance for identifying performance obligations and licensing implementation. In May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-12, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients," to clarify and improve the guidance for certain aspects of Topic 606. ASU 2015-14, "Deferral of the Effective Date," defers the required implementation date of ASU 2014-09 for public business entities from annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The company plans to adopt the new guidance in the first quarter of 2018 and is currently assessing when and which method it will choose for adoption, and is evaluating the impact of the adoption on its consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, "Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis," which modifies the consolidation model for reporting organizations under both the variable interest model and the voting interest model. The ASU is generally expected to reduce the number of situations where consolidation was required; however, in certain circumstances, the ASU may result in companies consolidating entities previously unconsolidated. The ASU requires all legal entities to re-evaluate previous consolidation conclusions under the revised model and is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Effective January 1, 2016, the Company adopted the provisions of this ASU. There was no effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, "Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs," which changes the presentation of debt issuance costs. This ASU requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. Previously, capitalized debt issuance costs were presented as an asset on the consolidated balance sheets. ASU 2015-03 was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. In June 2015, the FASB issued 2015-15, "Interest - Imputation of Interest," to address the presentation of debt issuance costs related to line of credit arrangements to be presented as an asset and amortizing the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the line of credit arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line of credit arrangement. Effective January 1, 2016, the Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2015-03 and ASU 2015-15 and there was no material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. As a result of implementation, the Company reclassified unamortized debt issuance costs from "Deferred charges, net and other non-current assets" to "Long-term debt, less unamortized debt issuance costs" on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2016, as the Company had no term debt outstanding, the debt issuance costs for the current revolving line of credit are presented as "Deferred charges, net and other non-current assets" on the consolidated balance sheet. Also, amortization expense originally recorded as "Selling, general and administrative expenses" related to debt acquisition costs for the twelve-month periods ending December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was reclassified as "Interest expense" on the consolidated statements of operations.

In July 2015, the FASB issued 2015-11, "Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory," which reduces the cost and complexity of accounting for inventory. This ASU requires an entity measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. Subsequent measurement is unchanged for inventory measured using LIFO or the retail inventory method. ASU 2015-11 is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company elected to early adopt the provisions of this ASU in the quarterly period ending September 30, 2016. The implementation of this ASU did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, "Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Measurement-Period Adjustments," which requires an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. This ASU requires the acquirer record, in the same period's financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The amendments in this ASU also require an entity to present separately on the face of the income statement or disclose in the notes the portion of the amount recorded in current-period earnings by line item that would have been recorded in previous reporting periods if the adjustment to the provisional amounts had been recognized as of the acquisition date. Effective January 1, 2016, the Company adopted the provisions of this ASU. There was no effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)," to increase the transparency and comparability of lease recognition and disclosure. The update requires lessees to recognize lease contracts with a term greater than one year on the balance sheet, while recognizing expenses on the income statement in a manner similar to current guidance. For lessors, the update makes targeted changes to the classification criteria and the lessor accounting model to align the guidance with the new lessee model and revenue guidance. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018 and must be applied using the modified retrospective approach. Early adoption is permitted. While the Company expects ASU 2016-02 to add material right-of-use assets and lease liabilities to the consolidated balance sheets, it is evaluating other effects that the new standard will have on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, "Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting." The amendments in this updated guidance include changes to simplify the Codification for several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as

either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. The Company did not elect to early adopt the provisions of this ASU and is currently evaluating the impact ASU 2016-09 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications

Customer rebates payable of \$158,000 were reclassified from accounts receivable to accrued expenses on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet for the period ended December 31, 2015. This reclassification had no material effect on previously reported financial position, results of operations or shareholders' equity.

## Note 2 Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, cash value of life insurance, accounts receivable, derivative instruments, accounts payable, earn-out liabilities and debt instruments. For short-term instruments, other than those required to be reported at fair value on a recurring basis and for which additional disclosures are included below, management concluded the historical carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the short period of time between the origination of such instruments and their expected realization. Therefore, as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the carrying amount for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and borrowings under the Company's line of credit, which are based on variable interest rates, approximates their fair value. The carrying amount for cash value of life insurance and long-term debt at December 31, 2015 approximated their fair values.

The Company has two Level 2 financial assets and liabilities. The fair value of the interest rate swap contract entered into on August 21, 2012 was an asset of \$31,000 and a liability of \$40,000 at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. During the third quarter of 2016, the swap contract entered into on September 3, 2013 was settled and as result had no value at December 31, 2016. The fair value of this interest rate swap contract was a liability of \$206,000 at December 31, 2015. The interest rate swaps were priced using discounted cash flow techniques which are corroborated by using non-binding market prices. Changes in the swaps' fair value were recorded in current assets or liabilities, as appropriate, with corresponding offsetting entries to other income (expense). Significant inputs to the discounted cash flow model include projected future cash flows based on projected one-month LIBOR and the average margin for companies with similar credit ratings and similar maturities. These are classified as Level 2 as they are not actively traded and are valued using pricing models that use observable market inputs. See Note 17 for further discussion of interest rate swaps.

The earn-out liability payments resulting from the acquisitions of Palmer and Specialty are classified as Level 3. The amount of the total earn-out liability to the prior owners of Palmer was determined using management's best estimate of Palmer's EBITDA for the three-year earn-out period which would determine the amount of the ultimate payment to be made. The amount of the total earn-out liability due to the prior owner of Specialty was determined using management's best estimate of Specialty's revenues for the two-year earn-out period which determined the amount of the ultimate payment to be made. Factors such as volume increases, selling price increases and inflation were used to develop a base projection. The Company believed additional costs would be required at Palmer to improve employee turnover, safety, internal controls, etc. These estimated costs were deducted in order to determine projected Palmer's EBITDA. The Company's cost of borrowing at that time was used to determine the present value of these expected payments. Each quarter-end, the Company re-evaluated its assumptions and adjustments to the estimated present value of the expected payments to be made, if required.

During the three months ended June 28, 2014, the Company reviewed the Palmer earn-out reserve for the second and third year payments and determined the EBITDA threshold target of \$5,825,000 for the period from August 22, 2013 to August 21, 2014 ("Year 2") would not be attained, and therefore, the earn-out payment of \$2,500,000 for Year 2 was not made to the former Palmer shareholders. Also, the Company did not expect Palmer to meet the EBITDA threshold target of \$6,825,000 during the final twelve month earn-out period, which was used in the earn-out calculation for year three. However, it was expected to reach the minimum \$5,825,000 threshold and the earn-out reserve was adjusted accordingly. As a result, the Company adjusted the earn-out liability to the present value of the Company's current estimates by recognizing a gain of approximately \$3,476,000 during the second quarter of 2014.

During the three months ended April 4, 2015, the Company reviewed the Palmer earn-out reserve for the third year payment and determined the EBITDA minimum threshold of \$5,825,000 would not be attained. As a result, the remaining earn-out liability to the former shareholders of Palmer was reduced to zero and a gain of approximately \$2,483,000 was recognized during the first quarter of 2015. The earn-out period expired August 21, 2015 and no earn-out payments were made for the third year.

During the second quarter 2015, the Company adjusted the preliminary estimate of the earn-out liability to the former owner of Specialty by approximately \$2,419,000. Based on the heavy dependence on the energy sector by Specialty's

Houston location and as a result of continued evaluation by the Company, the preliminary estimate was revised and goodwill was adjusted accordingly for the final estimate.

During the third quarter 2015, the Company completed its revenue projections during its 2016 planning processes. As a result, the Company determined the fair value of the earn-out liability was zero and reduced the remaining earn-out liability by recognizing a gain of approximately \$2,414,000 during the third quarter 2015 and remained at zero for the period December 31, 2015. There were no changes in the estimated fair value of Specialty's earn-out liability during 2016. The earn-out period expired on November 22, 2016 with no earn-out payment made to the previous owners of Specialty.

The following table presents a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 liabilities measured on a recurring basis for 2015:

	Level 3 Inputs
Balance at January 3, 2015	\$7,256,387
Interest expense charged during the year	60,096
Reduction due to the finalization of Specialty's beginning balance sheet	(2,419,035 )
Change in the fair value of Specialty's earn-out liability	(2,414,115 )
Change in the fair value of Palmer's earn-out liability	(2,483,333 )
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$—

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 in the years ended December 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015. There have also been no changes in the fair value methodologies used by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

#### Note 3 Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

	2016	2015
Land <sup>(1)</sup>	\$62,916	\$1,819,736
Land improvements <sup>(1)</sup>	120,915	852,976
Buildings <sup>(1)</sup>	641,526	24,631,349
Machinery, fixtures and equipment	66,099,880	61,928,770
Machinery and equipment under capital lease	199,767	107,287
Construction-in-progress	5,418,397	7,158,098
	72,543,401	96,498,216
Less accumulated depreciation	45,219,309	50,203,945
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$27,324,092	\$46,294,271

<sup>(1)</sup> On September 30, 2016, the Company completed the sale of substantially all of its real estate properties (land, land improvements and buildings) to Store Funding. Concurrently, the Company leased back all real properties sold to Store Funding (see Note 12).

The Company recorded depreciation expense from continuing operations of \$4,235,000, \$4,357,000, and \$3,725,000 for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Accumulated depreciation includes \$25,300 and \$5,400 at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, for assets acquired under capital leases.

#### Note 4 Goodwill

There were no changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2016. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the year ended December 31, 2015 was as follows:

	Specialty Chemicals Segment	Metals Segment	Total
Balance at January 3, 2015	\$1,354,730	\$21,895,471	\$23,250,201
Specialty inventory adjustment	—	(2,318,187 )	(2,318,187 )
Reduction due to the finalization of Specialty's beginning balance sheet	—	(2,419,035 )	(2,419,035 )
Impairment charge	—	(17,158,249 )	(17,158,249 )
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$1,354,730	\$—	\$1,354,730
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$1,354,730	\$—	\$1,354,730



Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of businesses acquired. During the second quarter 2015, the Company finalized the purchase price allocation for the Specialty acquisition relating to two matters. Additional information was obtained surrounding the proper lifespan of Specialty's steel pipe. As a result, the fair value of the inventory increased and goodwill decreased by approximately \$2,318,000. Additionally, the Company adjusted the earn-out liability to the former owner of Specialty by approximately \$2,419,000.

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level annually in the fourth quarter and whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. The evaluation of goodwill impairment involves using either a qualitative or quantitative approach as outlined in ASC Topic 350. The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment evaluation using the step zero qualitative approach during the fourth quarter of 2016 and the two-step quantitative analysis during the fourth quarter of 2015.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, the goodwill impairment test resulted in no goodwill impairment of the remaining goodwill of \$1,355,000 recognized on the consolidated balance sheet for the Specialty Chemicals Segment. In the first step of the analysis, for the period ended December 31, 2015, the Company compared the estimated value of each reporting unit to its carrying value, including goodwill. The fair value of the reporting units was determined based on discounted cash flow methodologies. The fair value of all reporting units exceeded the carrying value. However, the Company noted substantial compression of the Company's stock price during 2015 resulting in a significant gap between the market capitalization of the Company, which has been increased by an estimated control premium of 35 percent, compared to the fair value of the Company determined using the discounted cash flow methodologies mentioned previously. As a result, invested equity, which is market capitalization plus interest rate debt, was allocated to each reporting unit and compared to the respective net assets. This step indicated sufficient cushion (\$26,573,000) in the Specialty Chemicals Segment to support the recorded goodwill but indicated potential impairment of the goodwill recorded for the Metals Segment. Therefore, the second step of the analysis was performed where the implied fair value of goodwill was determined for the Specialty and Palmer reporting units. BRISMET was not included in the Step 2 analysis since it does not have any goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill represents the excess of fair value of the reporting unit over the fair value amounts assigned to all of the tangible and intangible assets of the reporting unit as if it were to be acquired in a business combination. Any amount remaining after this allocation represents the implied fair value of goodwill. The implied fair value of the respective reporting units' goodwill was then compared to the carrying value of the goodwill and any excess of carrying value over the implied fair value represents the non-cash impairment charge. The results of the second step analysis showed that the implied fair value of goodwill was zero for the Palmer and Specialty reporting units. Therefore, in 2015, the Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$17,158,000 for the Palmer and Specialty operations. As a result of the goodwill impairment charge, there is no goodwill remaining within the Metals Segment, and goodwill remaining on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015 is \$1,355,000 for the Specialty Chemicals Segment.

The impairment of the Specialty and Palmer reporting units was primarily driven by the significant compression of the Company's stock price as a result of temporary business declines being experienced in the Metals Segment. These declines primarily related to lower oil prices that caused significantly reduced demand for Palmer and Specialty's products and, secondarily, related to lowered nickel surcharges which affected both pounds shipped and selling prices for the BRISMET reporting unit. Other companies in the oil and gas sector are similarly affected as a result of declining commodity prices. As discussed above, this compression resulted in a significant gap between the fair value of the Company based on the discounted cash flow analysis and the market capitalization of the Company as of December 31, 2015. The valuation of goodwill for the second step of the goodwill impairment analysis is considered a Level 3 fair value measurement, which means that the valuation of the assets and liabilities reflect the Company's own assumptions about the assumptions that the market participants would use in pricing the assets and liabilities.

## Note 5 Long-term Debt

	2016	2015
\$45,000,000 Revolving line of credit, due February 28, 2019	\$8,804,206	\$—
\$40,000,000 Revolving line of credit due November 21, 2017	—	1,875,566
\$10,000,000 Term loan, due November 21, 2019	—	7,833,333
\$22,500,000 Term loan, due August 21, 2022	—	15,000,000
\$4,033,250 Mortgage, due August 19, 2023	—	3,370,810
	8,804,206	28,079,709
Less current portion	—	4,533,908
Less unamortized debt issuance costs	—	135,915
Long-term debt, less current portion	\$8,804,206	\$23,409,886

In connection with the Palmer acquisition on August 21, 2012, the Company amended its Credit Agreement with a regional bank for an additional ten-year term loan (the "Palmer note") in the amount of \$22,500,000 that required equal monthly payments of \$187,500 plus interest. In conjunction with this term loan, to mitigate the variability of the interest rate risk, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract (the "Palmer swap") on August 21, 2012 with its current bank; see Note 17. On August 31, 2016, the Palmer note was refinanced and consolidated into the new credit facility in the form of an asset-based revolving line of credit (the "Line") as part of the amendment to the Credit Agreement discussed below.

In connection with the acquisition of CRI, on August 9, 2013 the Company amended its Credit Agreement for an additional ten-year mortgage (the "CRI note") in the amount of \$4,033,250, with monthly principal payments customized to account for the 20-year amortization of the real estate assets combined with a 5-year amortization of the equipment assets purchased. In conjunction with this term loan, to mitigate the variability of interest rate risk, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract (the "CRI swap") on September 3, 2013; see Note 17. On September 30, 2016, the Company paid the remaining balance of the CRI note and settled the CRI swap with its bank in conjunction with the sale leaseback transaction; see Note 12.

In connection with the Specialty acquisition discussed in Note 18, on November 21, 2014, the Company modified its Credit Agreement to increase the limit of the current revolving line of credit by \$15,000,000 to a maximum of \$40,000,000, and extended the maturity date to November 21, 2017. The Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement), tangible net worth floor (as defined in the Credit Agreement), and Total Liabilities to Tangible Net Worth ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement) were changed as a result of this modification. None of the other provisions of the Credit Agreement were changed as a result of this modification. Interest on the Credit Agreement is calculated using the One Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread, based on the Company's Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

The Credit Agreement modification on November 21, 2014, also provided for a five-year term loan (the "Specialty note"), expiring November 21, 2019, in the amount of \$10,000,000 that required equal monthly payments of \$166,667, plus interest, calculated using the One Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread, based on the Company's Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement). On August 31, 2016, the balance of this note was refinanced and consolidated into the Line as part of the amendment to the Credit Agreement discussed below.

On August 31, 2016, the Company amended its Credit Agreement with its bank to create a new credit facility in the form of an asset-based revolving line of credit in the amount of \$45,000,000. The Line was used to refinance and consolidate the existing line of credit, the Palmer note, and the Specialty note. The maturity date of the Line is February 28, 2019. Interest on the Line is calculated using the One Month LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread. Borrowings under the Line are limited to an amount equal to a Borrowing Base calculation (as defined in the Credit Agreement) that includes eligible accounts receivable and inventory. The Company evaluated this transaction in accordance with ASC 470-50-40-10 and ASC 470-50-40-21 and determined the restructuring should be accounted for as a debt modification. The Company incurred lender and third party costs associated with the debt restructuring that were capitalized on the balance sheet while certain other third party costs were expensed.

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Company was required to pledge all of its tangible and intangible properties, including the stock and membership interests of its subsidiaries. In the Credit Agreement, the Company's bank agreed to release its liens on the real estate properties covered by the Purchase and Sale Agreement ("PSA"), as described in Note 12. Covenants under the Credit Agreement include maintaining a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and a limitation on the Company's maximum amount of capital expenditures per year, which is in line with currently projected needs. At December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

The line of credit interest rates were 2.62 percent, 2.00 percent, and 1.77 percent at December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015, and January 3, 2015, respectively. Additionally, the Company is required to pay a fee equal to 0.125 percent on the average daily unused amount of the line of credit on a quarterly basis. As of December 31, 2016, the amount available for borrowing under the line of credit was \$30,466,000 of which \$8,804,000 was borrowed, leaving \$21,662,000 of availability. Average line of credit borrowings outstanding during fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014 were \$6,830,000, \$6,446,000 and \$2,735,000 with weighted average interest rates of 2.88 percent, 2.48 percent and 1.35 percent, respectively.

The Company made interest payments on all credit facilities of \$826,000 in 2016, \$1,149,000 in 2015 and \$930,000 in 2014.

#### Note 6 Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	2016	2015
Indemnified legal judgment (See Note 13)	\$11,000,000	\$—
Salaries, wages and commissions	2,133,814	1,941,547
Facility closing reserves	—	3,000,000
Taxes, other than income taxes	479,870	744,880
Current portion of pension liability from the closure of Bristol Fab	—	643,802
Advances from customers	571,738	637,597
Insurance	209,000	629,625
Professional fees	40,073	531,694
EPA liability	—	368,690
Warranty reserve	180,000	254,516
Interest rate swap liability	—	246,145
Benefit plans	159,253	181,694
Customer rebate liability	157,445	157,988
Current portion, environmental reserves	184,887	101,000
Current portion, deferred gain sale-leaseback	334,273	—
Other accrued items	637,081	553,690
Total accrued expenses	\$16,087,434	\$9,992,868

#### Note 7 Environmental Compliance Costs

At December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had accrued \$590,000 and \$551,000, respectively, for remediation costs which, in management's best estimate, is sufficient to satisfy anticipated costs of known remediation requirements as outlined below. Expenditures related to costs currently accrued are not discounted to their present values and are expected to be made over the next three to four years. As a result of the evolving nature of the environmental regulations, the difficulty in estimating the extent and remedy of environmental contamination and the availability and application of technology, the estimated costs for future environmental compliance and remediation are subject to uncertainties and it is not possible to predict the amount or timing of future costs of environmental matters which may subsequently be determined.

Prior to 1987, the Company utilized certain products at its chemical facilities that are currently classified as hazardous materials. Testing of the groundwater in the areas of the former wastewater treatment impoundments at these facilities disclosed the presence of certain contaminants. In addition, several solid waste management units ("SWMUs") at the plant sites have been identified. During 2014, at the former Augusta, GA plant site, the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division ("EPD") closed the surface impoundment regulated unit since the Company met post-closure clean-up goals and the Company renewed the Corrective Action Permit, which includes a site-wide corrective action plan, long-term monitoring and institutional controls. The Company has accrued \$515,000 and \$476,000 at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, for estimated future remedial and clean-up costs. As part of the Asset Purchase Agreement for the sale of the former Spartanburg facility, the purchaser

also agreed to pay for all future annual monitoring and reporting costs at the Augusta facility required by the EPD.

41

---

The Company has identified and evaluated two SWMUs at its plant in Bristol, Tennessee that revealed residual groundwater contamination. An Interim Corrective Measures Plan to address the final area of contamination identified was submitted for regulatory approval and was approved in March 2005. The Company has \$75,000 accrued at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, to provide for estimated future remedial and cleanup costs.

The Company has been designated, along with others, as a potentially responsible party under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or comparable state statutes, at one waste disposal site. Notification from the United States Environmental Protection Agency for this site was received by the Company in February 2008. The last correspondence that the Company received for this site was dated March 10, 2011. It is impossible to determine the ultimate costs related to the remaining site due to several factors such as the unknown possible magnitude of possible contamination, the unknown timing and extent of the corrective actions which may be required and the determination of the Company's liability in proportion to the other parties. At the present time, the Company does not have sufficient information to form an opinion as to whether it has any liability, or the amount of such liability, if any. However, it is reasonably possible that some liability exists.

The Company does not anticipate any insurance recoveries to offset the environmental remediation costs it has incurred. Due to the uncertainty regarding court and regulatory decisions, and possible future legislation or rulings regarding the environment, many insurers will not cover environmental impairment risks, particularly in the chemical industry. Hence, the Company has been unable to obtain this coverage at an affordable price.

#### Note 8 Deferred Compensation

The Company has deferred compensation agreements with certain former officers providing for payments for the longer of ten years or life from age 65. The present value of such vested future payments, \$171,000 at December 31, 2016 and \$182,000 at December 31, 2015, has been accrued.

## Note 9 Stock Options, Stock Grants and New Stock Issues

A summary of activity in the Company's stock option plans is as follows:

	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Contractual Term (in years)	Intrinsic Value of Options	Options Available
At December 28, 2013	\$ 11.95	162,736	7.5	\$582,894	181,017
Granted February 20, 2014	\$ 14.76	13,790			(13,790 )
Exercised	\$ 11.23	(17,074 )		\$91,772	
Expired	\$ 13.70	(2,157 )			2,157
At January 3, 2015	\$ 12.25	157,295	6.9	\$852,810	169,384
Granted February 10, 2015	\$ 16.01	32,532			(32,532 )
Exercised	\$ 12.47	(666 )		\$1,511	
Expired	\$ 14.08	(15,176 )			15,176
At December 31, 2015	\$ 12.79	173,985	6.4	\$—	152,028
Exercised	\$ —	—		\$—	
Expired	\$ 16.01	(937 )			937
At December 31, 2016	\$ 12.77	173,048	5.4	\$—	152,965
Exercisable options	\$ 12.12	129,762	4.8	\$—	

Options expected to vest:				Grant Date Fair Value
At January 3, 2015	\$ 12.54	100,508	7.2	\$6.76
Granted February 10, 2015	\$ 16.01	32,532		\$6.39
Vested	\$ 12.16	(35,794 )		\$7.01
Forfeited unvested options	\$ 14.23	(11,286 )		
At December 31, 2015	\$ 13.76	85,960	7.3	\$6.57
Vested	\$ 12.71	(41,737 )		\$6.91
Forfeited unvested options	\$ 16.01	(937 )		
At December 31, 2016	\$ 14.72	43,286	7.1	\$6.24

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2016:

Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding Stock Options Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Exercisable Stock Options Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$11.55	82,342	\$11.55	4.06	82,342	\$ 11.55
\$11.35	25,076	\$11.35	5.10	19,879	\$ 11.35
\$13.70	27,801	\$13.70	6.10	17,141	\$ 13.70
\$14.76	9,260	\$14.76	7.14	3,704	\$ 14.76
\$16.01	28,569	\$16.01	8.11	6,696	\$ 16.01
	173,048			129,762	

The 2011 Plan is an incentive stock option plan, therefore there are no income tax consequences to the Company when an option is granted or exercised. The stock options will vest in 20 percent increments annually on a cumulative basis, beginning one year after the date of grant. In order for the options to vest, the employee must be in the continuous employment of the Company since the date of the grant. Any portion of the grant that has not vested will

be forfeited upon termination of employment. Shares representing grants that have not yet vested will be held in escrow by the Company. An employee will not be entitled to any voting

43

---

rights with respect to any shares not yet vested, and the shares are not transferable. On February 20, 2014, the Company granted options to purchase 13,790 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$14.76 per share to participants in the 2011 Plan. The fair value of this stock option grant was \$6.70. The Black-Scholes model for this grant was based on a risk-free interest rate of two percent, an expected life of seven years, an expected volatility of 0.52 and a dividend yield of 1.80 percent.

On February 10, 2015, the Company granted options to purchase 32,532 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$16.01 per share to participants in the 2011 Plan. The per share weighted-average fair value of this stock option grant was \$6.39. The Black-Scholes model for this grant was based on a risk-free interest rate of two percent, an expected life of seven years, an expected volatility of 0.46 and a dividend yield of two percent.

No options were exercised by employees or directors in 2016. In 2015 and 2014, options for 666 and 17,074 shares were exercised by employees and directors for an aggregate exercise price of \$8,000 and \$192,000, respectively. The proceeds were generated from cash received of \$8,000 in 2015 and from cash received of \$42,000 and repurchase of 9,094 shares from employees and directors totaling \$150,000 in 2014. At the 2016, 2015 and 2014 respective year ends, options to purchase 129,762, 88,025 and 56,787 shares with weighted average exercise prices of \$12.12, \$11.85 and \$11.73, respectively, were fully exercisable. Compensation cost charged against income before taxes for the options was approximately \$135,000 for 2016, \$278,000 for 2015 and \$261,000 for 2014. As of December 31, 2016, there was \$173,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options granted under the Company's stock option plans. The weighted average period over which the stock option compensation cost is expected to be recognized is 2.50 years.

The Compensation & Long-Term Incentive Committee ("Compensation Committee") of the Board of Directors of the Company approves stock grants under the Company's 2005 Stock Awards Plan to certain management employees of the Company. The stock grants will vest in 20 percent increments annually on a cumulative basis, beginning one year after the date of grant. In order for the grants to vest, the employee must be in the continuous employment of the Company since the date of the grant. Any portion of the grant that has not vested will be forfeited upon termination of employment. Shares representing grants that have not yet vested will be held in escrow by the Company. An employee will not be entitled to any voting rights with respect to any shares not yet vested, and the shares are not transferable. On October 16, 2014, 31,080 shares, with a market price of \$15.69 per share, were granted under the Plan to the chief executive officer of the Company. On November 21, 2014, as a result of the acquisition of Specialty, 23,665 shares, at a market price of \$15.85 per share, were granted under the Plan to certain management employees of Specialty. On January 5, 2015, 3,000 shares, with a market price of \$17.95 per share, were granted under the Plan to external consultants of the Company. The Company's 2005 Stock Awards Plan expired on February 3, 2015 at which time no further grants could be awarded. There are outstanding awards under this plan that will vest over the next three years.

The 2015 Stock Awards Plan was approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company and authorizes the issuance of up to 250,000 shares which can be awarded for a period of ten years from the effective date of the plan. The stock awards vest in 20 percent increments annually on a cumulative basis, beginning one year after the date of grant from shares held in treasury with the Company. In order for the awards to vest, the employee must be in the continuous employment of the Company since the date of the award. Any portion of an award that has not vested is forfeited upon termination of employment. The Company may terminate any portion of the award that has not vested upon an employee's failure to comply with all conditions of the award or the 2015 Stock Awards Plan. An employee is not entitled to any voting rights with respect to any shares not yet vested, and the shares are not transferable.

On February 19, 2016, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved stock grants under the Company's 2015 Stock Awards Plan to certain management employees of the Company where 50,062 shares with a market price of \$7.51 per share were granted under the Plan. On May 5, 2016, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved stock grants under the Company's 2015 Stock Awards Plan to certain management employees of the Company where 42,348 shares with a market price of \$8.05 per share were granted under the Plan.



A summary of plan activity for the 2005 and 2015 Stock Awards Plans is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 28, 2013	19,252	\$ 11.15
Granted October 16, 2014	31,080	\$ 15.69
Granted November 21, 2014	23,665	\$ 15.85
Vested	(7,434 )	\$ 10.60
Forfeited	(160 )	\$ 13.34
Outstanding at January 3, 2015	66,403	\$ 15.00
Granted January 5, 2015	3,000	\$ 17.95
Vested	(17,903 )	\$ 13.86
Forfeited	(60 )	\$ 13.34
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	51,440	\$ 15.57
Granted February 19, 2016	50,062	\$ 7.51
Granted May 5, 2016	42,348	\$ 8.05
Vested	(21,133 )	\$ 13.12
Forfeited	(1,260 )	\$ 17.73
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	121,457	\$ 10.03

Compensation expense on the grants issued is charged against earnings equally before forfeitures, if any, over a period of 60 months from the date of the grants, with the offset recorded in Shareholders' Equity. Compensation cost charged against income for the awards was approximately \$324,000, \$207,000 net of income taxes, or \$0.02 per share for 2016, \$243,000, \$155,000 net of income taxes, or \$0.02 per share for 2015 and \$103,000, \$66,000 net of income taxes, or \$0.01 per share, for 2014. As of December 31, 2016, there was \$1,082,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock grants under the Company's Stock Awards Plan. The weighted average period over which the stock grant compensation cost is expected to be recognized is 3.58 years.

Each year, the Company allows each non-employee director to elect to receive up to 100 percent of their annual retainer in restricted stock. The number of restricted shares issued is determined by the average of the high and low common stock price on the day prior to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders or the date prior to the appointment to the Board for those individuals that are appointed mid-term. On May 5, 2016, May 12, 2015 and April 24, 2014, non-employee directors received an aggregate of 40,991, 8,216 and 7,088 shares, respectively, of restricted stock in lieu of total retainer fees of \$330,000, \$119,000 and \$111,000, respectively. The shares granted to the directors are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are subject to forfeiture in whole or in part upon the occurrence of certain events.

## Note 10 Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets are as follows at the respective year ends:

(Amounts in thousands)	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Sale leaseback deferred gain	\$2,387	\$—
Inventory valuation reserves	379	699
Allowance for doubtful accounts	29	61
Inventory capitalization	1,781	1,692
Environmental reserves	199	175
Interest rate swap	15	41
Warranty accrual	62	88
Deferred compensation	61	64
Accrued bonus	337	338
Accrued expenses	78	1,403
State net operating loss carryforwards	1,725	1,616
Other	389	423
Total deferred tax assets	7,442	6,600
Valuation allowance	(1,790 )	(1,694 )
Total net deferred tax assets	5,652	4,906
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Tax over book depreciation and amortization	6,947	7,609
Prepaid expenses	211	312
Other	103	2
Total deferred tax liabilities	7,261	7,923
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(1,609)	\$(3,017)

Significant components of the provision for income taxes from continuing operations are as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	2016	2015	2014
Current:			
Federal	\$(981 )	\$1,414	\$3,933
State	191	235	656
Total current	(790 )	1,649	4,589
Deferred:			
Federal	(1,329 )	(48 )	964
State	(79 )	198	(167 )
Total deferred	(1,408 )	150	797
Total	\$(2,198)	\$1,799	\$5,386

Tax benefit from discontinued operations amounted to \$51,000, \$651,000 and \$3,807,000 for the fiscal years ended 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The reconciliation of income tax computed at the U. S. federal statutory tax rates to income tax expense is:

(Amounts in thousands)	2016		2015		2014	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Tax at U.S. statutory rates	\$(3,125)	34.0 %	\$(2,881)	34.0 %	\$6,302	35.0 %
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	(49 )	0.5 %	285	(3.4 )%	324	1.8 %
State valuation allowance	96	(1.0 )%	94	(1.1 )%	—	— %
Life insurance cash surrender value	504	(5.5 )%	—	— %	—	— %
Earn-out adjustments	—	— %	(857 )	10.1 %	(1,217 )	(6.8 )%
Manufacturing exemption	—	— %	(188 )	2.2 %	(458 )	(2.5 )%
Stock option compensation	46	(0.5 )%	95	(1.1 )%	91	0.5 %
Uncertain tax positions	—	— %	(139 )	1.6 %	139	0.8 %
Goodwill impairment	—	— %	5,405	(63.8)%	—	— %
Other, net	330	(3.6 )%	(15 )	0.2 %	205	1.1 %
Total	\$(2,198)	23.9 %	\$1,799	(21.3)%	\$5,386	29.9 %

Income tax payments of approximately \$992,000, \$2,251,000 and \$2,091,000 were made in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company had state net operating loss carryforwards at the end of fiscal years 2016 and 2015 of approximately \$49,677,000 and \$47,042,000, respectively. These losses will expire between the years of 2017 and 2036. A valuation allowance has been set up against \$49,545,000 of these state net operating loss carryforwards because it is not more likely than not that the losses will be realized in the foreseeable future. The portion of the valuation allowance for the net operating loss carryforwards was \$1,725,000 and \$1,616,000 at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. In addition, a \$65,000 valuation allowance was established at December 31, 2016 for other deferred tax assets. This resulted in a valuation allowance increase of \$96,000 all related to continuing operations.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal examinations for years before 2014 or state income tax examinations for years before 2012. The Company completed its 2012 and 2013 federal income tax return examination by the Internal Revenue Service during the second quarter of 2015.

Provided below is a roll forward of the Company's uncertain tax positions.

(Amounts in thousands)	Unrecognized Tax Benefit	Interest and Penalties	Total
Balance at January 3, 2015	\$ 1,431	\$ 73	\$1,504
Increases related to prior year tax positions	—	—	—
Decreases related to prior year tax positions	(1,431 )	(73 )	(1,504 )
Increases related to current year tax position	—	—	—
Settlements during period	—	—	—
Lapse of statute of limitations	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2015	—	—	—
Increases related to prior year tax positions	—	—	—
Decreases related to prior year tax positions	—	—	—
Increases related to current year tax position	—	—	—
Settlements during period	—	—	—
Lapse of statute of limitations	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ —	\$ —	\$—

The Company's continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in the provision for income taxes. The Company had no accruals for uncertain tax positions including interest and penalties

at the end of 2016.

47

---

#### Note 11 Benefit Plans and Collective Bargaining Agreements

The Company has a 401(k) Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the "401(k)/ESOP Plan") covering all non-union employees. Employees could contribute to the 401(k)/ESOP Plan up to 100 percent of their wages with a maximum of \$18,000 for 2016. Under the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act, employees who are age 50 or older could contribute an additional \$6,000 per year for a maximum of \$24,000 for 2016. Contributions by the employees are invested in one or more funds at the direction of the employee; however, employee contributions cannot be invested in Company stock. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and January 3, 2015, contributions by the Company were made in cash and then used by the 401(k)/ESOP Plan Trustee to purchase Company stock. Effective January 1, 2016, contributions by the Company are made in accordance with the investment elections made by each participant for their deferral contributions. The Company contributes on behalf of each eligible participant a matching contribution equal to a percentage which is determined each year by the Board of Directors. For 2016, 2015 and 2014 the maximum was four percent. The matching contribution is allocated after each payroll. Matching contributions of approximately \$517,000, \$541,000 and \$521,000 were made for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company may also make a discretionary contribution, which if made, would be distributed to all eligible participants regardless of whether they contribute to the 401(k)/ESOP Plan. No discretionary contributions were made to the 401(k)/ESOP Plan in 2016, 2015 or 2014.

The Company also has a 401(k) and Profit Sharing Plan (the "Profit Sharing Plan") covering all employees of the United Steel Workers of America, Local 4586 Collective Bargaining Agreement. Employees could contribute to the Profit Sharing Plan up to 60 percent of pretax annual compensation, as defined in the Plan, with a maximum of \$18,000 for 2016. Under the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act, employees who are age 50 or older could contribute an additional \$6,000 per year for a maximum of \$24,000 for 2016. The Company contributes three percent of a participant's compensation for the plan year, regardless of whether the participants contribute to the Profit Sharing Plan. The Company's contribution of approximately \$137,000, \$147,000 and \$148,000 were expensed for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Additional profit sharing amounts may also be contributed at the option of the Company's Board of Directors, which if made, would be allocated to participants based on the ratio of the participant's compensation to the total compensation of all participants eligible to participate in the Profit Sharing Plan. No discretionary contributions were made to the Profit Sharing Plan in 2016, 2015 or 2014.

The Company also contributes to union-sponsored defined contribution retirement plans. Contributions relating to these plans were approximately \$22,000, \$38,000 and \$2,180,000 for 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Also, upon closure of Bristol Fab as discussed in Note 19, the Company was legally obligated to pay a withdrawal liability to the Union's pension fund of over \$1,900,000. This withdrawal liability is included in the employer contribution to the union-sponsored defined contribution retirement plan for 2014.

The Company has two collective bargaining agreements at its Bristol, Tennessee and Mineral Ridge, Ohio facilities. The number of employees of the Company represented by these unions, located at the Bristol, Tennessee and Mineral Ridge, Ohio facilities, is 132, or 32 percent of the Company's total employees. They are represented by two locals affiliated with the United Steelworkers. The Company considers relationships with its union employees to be satisfactory. Collective bargaining contracts for the United Steelworkers will expire in June 2017 and July 2019.

#### Note 12 Leases

On August 31, 2016, Synalloy and its operating subsidiaries ("the Synalloy Companies") entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement ("PSA") with Store Capital Acquisitions, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and an affiliate of Store Capital Corporation ("Store Capital Acquisitions"). Store Capital Acquisitions assigned its rights under the PSA to Store Master Funding XII, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Store Funding"), prior to closing.

On September 30, 2016, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the PSA, the Synalloy Companies completed the sale of their real estate properties in Tennessee, South Carolina, Texas and Ohio to Store Funding for a purchase price of \$22,000,000. The net book value of the real estate properties sold totaled \$17,770,000 and the Company recognized a loss on the sale at September 30, 2016 of \$2,455,000. The Company also recognized a deferred gain of \$6,685,000 amortized on the straight-line method over the initial lease term of 20 years. The deferred gain recognized during the fourth quarter of 2016 totaled \$82,000 and reduced the net loss recognized at December 31, 2016 in the accompanying

consolidated statements of operations to \$2,372,000. Concurrent with the sale of its real properties, the Company leased back all real properties sold to Store Funding. The closing of the sale-leaseback transaction provided Synalloy with net proceeds (after transaction-related costs) of approximately \$21,925,000. The net proceeds were used to pay down debt under the Company's credit agreement, as described in Note 5.

The initial non-cancelable term of the lease is 20 years, with two renewal options of ten years each. First year rent expense will be \$1,892,000. The lease includes a rent escalator equal to the lesser of 1.25 times the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index since the previous increase or two percent. The lease met the operating lease requirements and has been accounted for as such. For each location, Synalloy simultaneously entered into a sublease with each operating subsidiary.

The Company leases office space in Spartanburg, South Carolina and Richmond, Virginia, property for a storage yard in Mineral Ridge, Ohio and various manufacturing and office equipment at each of its locations, all under operating leases.

The amount of future minimum lease payments under operating leases are as follows: 2017 - \$2,253,000; 2018 - \$2,309,000; 2019 - \$2,309,000; 2020 - \$2,310,000; 2020 - \$2,275,000; and thereafter - \$35,754,000. Rent expense related to operating leases was \$1,144,000, \$686,000 and \$903,000 in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Company leases machinery and equipment for its manufacturing facility in Cleveland, Tennessee under a capital lease. Future minimum commitments for capital leases are as follows:

Year ending December 31:

2017	\$70,656
2018	23,076
2019	23,076
2020	7,692
2021	—
Total minimum lease payments	124,500
Less imputed interest costs	4,053

Present value of net minimum lease payments \$120,447

The current portion due under the capital lease is included in accrued expenses and the long-term portion is included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

#### Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is from time-to-time subject to various claims, other possible legal actions for product liability and other damages, and other matters arising out of the normal conduct of the Company's business. No significant claims expenses were incurred during 2016 or 2015, with the exception of the items discussed below. The Metals Segment recorded claim expense from continuing operations \$115,000 for 2014 for specific customers' product claims. These claim expenses exclude normal, recurring warranty charges. Any legal costs associated with commitments or contingencies are expensed as incurred.

In January 2014, a Metals Segment customer filed suit against Palmer and Synalloy and another unrelated defendant in Texas state court alleging breach of warranty, among other claims. The plaintiff's claim for damages did not state a dollar amount. This matter arose out of products manufactured and sold by Palmer prior to the Company's acquisition of all of Palmer's outstanding stock in August 2012. In August and September 2016, the parties to the lawsuit tried the matter in a bench trial in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 333rd Judicial District (the "Court"). On December 31, 2016 (but made available to the parties to the lawsuit on January 3, 2017), the Court entered final judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and Synalloy and against Palmer. The Court ordered Palmer to pay the Plaintiff approximately \$8,600,000 in damages, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, and approximately \$1,040,000 in attorneys' fees. The Court ruled Synalloy has no liability to the Plaintiff. Palmer filed a motion for a new trial with the Court at the end of January 2017. Palmer is currently analyzing its options should the motion for a new trial be denied, including, but not limited to, a full appeal of the matter. The former shareholders of Palmer are contractually bound, pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Synalloy and the former shareholders dated August 10, 2012, to hold harmless and indemnify Synalloy and Palmer from any and all costs and damages, including the judgment described above and all associated attorneys' fees, arising out of this matter. At December 31, 2016, the Company recorded \$11,000,000 in accrued expenses and current assets to reflect the legal liability and corresponding indemnified receivable due from the former shareholders of Palmer.

In September 2014, a Metals Segment customer filed suit against Synalloy Fabrication, LLC (discontinued operation) and its surety in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland (Baltimore Division) alleging breach of contract, among other claims. The plaintiff's claim for damages was approximately \$3,300,000 plus attorney's fees. This matter arose from a disagreement over the scope of a pipe fabrication project and whether an enforceable contract exists between the parties. On March 11, 2016, the United States District Court of Maryland (Baltimore Division)

granted summary judgment regarding liability in favor of the plaintiff by ruling that an enforceable contract existed between the parties and the Company breached the agreement. As a result of this ruling, the remaining issue in the case was the amount of the plaintiff's damages. Consequently, the Company increased the facility closing liability to a level of \$3,000,000 for the estimated costs associated with the claim for the year ended December 31, 2015. In June 2016, the matter was settled for damages totaling \$3,100,000. As a result, the Company increased the facility closing liability and made a payment of \$2,500,000 in June 2016. In September 2016, the remaining balance of \$600,000

was paid in full. The amount required to adjust the facility closing reserve as a result of the settlement is included in discontinued operations on the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Other than the environmental contingencies discussed in Note 7 and the matters discussed in this Note 13, management is not currently aware of any other asserted or unasserted matters which could have a significant effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

#### Note 14 Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	2016	2015	2014
Numerator:			
Net (loss) income from continuing operations	\$(6,993,967)	\$(10,269,278)	\$12,618,787
Net loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$(99,334 )	\$(1,251,058 )	\$(7,156,524 )
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average shares	8,649,745	8,710,361	8,702,094
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Employee stock options and stock grants	—	—	13,008
Denominator for diluted earnings per share - weighted average shares	8,649,745	8,710,361	8,715,102
Net (loss) earnings per share from continuing operations:			
Basic	\$(0.81 )	\$(1.18 )	\$1.45
Diluted	\$(0.81 )	\$(1.18 )	\$1.45

Net loss per share from discontinued operations:

Basic	\$(0.01 )	\$(0.14 )	\$(0.82 )
Diluted	\$(0.01 )	\$(0.14 )	\$(0.82 )

The diluted earnings per share calculations exclude the effect of potentially dilutive shares when the inclusion of those shares in the calculation would have an anti-dilutive effect. The Company had weighted average shares of common stock of 295,287 in 2016, 229,025 in 2015 and 46,957 in 2014, which were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation as their effect was anti-dilutive.

#### Note 15 Industry Segments

The Company's business is divided into two operating segments: Metals and Specialty Chemicals. The Company identifies such segments based on products and services, long-term financial performance and end markets targeted. The Metals Segment operates as three reporting units including Synalloy Metals, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary which owns 100 percent of BRISMET, Palmer and Specialty, both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company. BRISMET manufactures pipe from stainless steel and other alloys, Palmer produces fiberglass and steel storage tanks and Specialty is a master distributor of seamless carbon pipe and tube. The Metal Segment's products, some of which are custom-produced to individual orders and required for corrosive and high-purity processes, are used principally by the chemical, petrochemical, pulp and paper, mining, power generation (including nuclear), water and wastewater treatment, liquid natural gas, brewery, food processing, petroleum, pharmaceutical and other industries. Products include pipe, storage tanks, pressure vessels and a variety of other components. The Specialty Chemicals Segment operates as one reporting unit which includes MS&C, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company which owns 100 percent of MC, and CRI Tolling, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Specialty Chemicals Segment manufactures a wide variety of specialty chemicals for the carpet, chemical, paper, metals, mining, agricultural, fiber, paint, textile, automotive, petroleum, cosmetics, mattress, furniture, janitorial and other industries. MC manufactures lubricants, surfactants, defoamers, reaction intermediaries and sulfated fats and oils. CRI Tolling provides chemical tolling manufacturing resources to global and regional companies and contracts with other chemical companies to manufacture certain pre-defined products.

The chief operating decision maker evaluates performance and determines resource allocations based on a number of factors, the primary measure being operating income (loss). The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

Segment operating income is the segment's total revenue less operating expenses, excluding interest expense and income taxes. Identifiable assets, all of which are located in the United States, are those assets used in operations by each segment. The Metals Segment's identifiable assets did not include any goodwill in 2015 or 2016. During 2015, the Company recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$17,158,000 of the total Metals Segment's goodwill as a result of the two-step annual impairment analysis performed in the fourth quarter; see Note 4. The Specialty Chemicals Segment's identifiable assets include goodwill of \$1,355,000 in 2016 and 2015. Centralized data processing and accounting expenses are allocated to the two segments based upon estimates of their percentage of usage. Unallocated corporate expenses include environmental charges of \$48,000 and \$1,000 for 2016 and 2015, respectively, and environmental income of \$13,000 for 2014. Corporate assets consist principally of cash, certain investments and equipment.

The Metals Segment had one customer that accounted for approximately 14 percent of revenues in 2015. There were no customers representing more than ten percent of the Metals Segment's revenues in 2016 or 2014. The Specialty Chemicals Segment has one customer that accounted for approximately 25 percent of revenues for 2016 and the same customer accounted for approximately 31 percent of revenues for 2015 and 2014. The concentration of sales to this customer declined in 2016 as a result of this customer moving production of the products previously produced and sold by the Specialty Chemicals Segment in house. The loss of this customer would have a material adverse effect on the revenues of the Specialty Chemicals Segment and the Company.

In order to establish stronger business relationships, the Metals Segment uses only a few raw material suppliers. Nine suppliers furnish about 77 percent of total dollar purchases of raw materials, with one supplier furnishing 42 percent. However, the Company does not believe that the loss of this supplier would have a materially adverse effect on the Company as raw materials are readily available from a number of different sources, and the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its requirements. For the Specialty Chemicals Segment, most raw materials are generally available from numerous independent suppliers and about 46 percent of total purchases are from its top eleven suppliers. While some raw material needs are met by a sole supplier or only a few suppliers, the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its raw material requirements.

## Segment Information:

All values are for continuing operations only.

(Amounts in thousands)	2016	2015	2014
Net sales			
Metals Segment	\$90,215	\$114,908	\$134,304
Specialty Chemicals Segment	48,351	60,552	65,201
	\$138,566	\$175,460	\$199,505
Operating (loss) income			
Metals Segment	\$(4,821 )	\$2,822	\$13,511
Goodwill impairment	—	(17,158 )	—
Business interruption proceeds	—	1,246	—
Loss on sale-leaseback	(2,166 )	—	—
Total Metals Segment	(6,987 )	(13,090 )	13,511
Specialty Chemicals Segment	4,888	5,665	6,130
Loss on sale-leaseback	(206 )	—	—
Total Specialty Chemicals Segment	4,682	5,665	6,130
Unallocated straight line lease cost	(102 )	—	—
	(2,407 )	(7,425 )	19,641
Less unallocated corporate expenses	5,733	5,106	3,241
Acquisition related costs	106	500	302
Operating (loss) income	(8,246 )	(13,031 )	16,098
Interest expense	933	1,353	1,151
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	13	42	426
Specialty and Palmer earn-out adjustments	—	(4,897 )	(3,476 )
Casualty insurance gain	—	(923 )	—
Other income, net	—	(136 )	(8 )
(Loss) income before income taxes	\$(9,192 )	\$(8,470 )	\$18,005
Identifiable assets			
Metals Segment	\$109,689	\$112,749	
Specialty Chemicals Segment	22,908	33,391	
Corporate	6,041	2,903	
	\$138,638	\$149,043	
Depreciation and amortization			
Metals Segment	\$5,133	\$5,173	\$4,078
Specialty Chemicals Segment	1,449	1,376	974
Corporate	113	85	80
	\$6,695	\$6,634	\$5,132
Capital expenditures			
Metals Segment	\$2,201	\$7,399	\$3,123
Specialty Chemicals Segment	476	3,439	4,913
Corporate	367	67	30
	\$3,044	\$10,905	\$8,066
Sales by product group			
Specialty chemicals	\$48,351	\$60,552	\$65,201
Stainless steel pipe	56,066	77,850	101,035
Seamless carbon steel pipe and tube	14,913	18,013	2,524
Liquid storage tanks and separation equipment	19,236	19,045	30,745
	\$138,566	\$175,460	\$199,505

Geographic sales

United States	\$132,313	\$167,185	\$191,032
Elsewhere	6,253	8,275	8,473
	\$138,566	\$175,460	\$199,505

## Note 16 Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of quarterly operations for 2016 and 2015:

(Amounts in thousands except for per share data)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
<b>2016</b>				
Net sales from continuing operations	\$36,312	\$34,907	\$34,297	\$33,050
Gross profit from continuing operations	4,718	3,998	4,504	3,684
Net loss from continuing operations	(1,367 )	(1,584 )	(2,608 )	(1,435 )
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	(99 )	—	—
Net loss	(1,367 )	(1,683 )	(2,608 )	(1,435 )
Per common share from continuing operations				
Basic	(0.16 )	(0.18 )	(0.30 )	(0.17 )
Diluted	(0.16 )	(0.18 )	(0.30 )	(0.17 )
Per common share from discontinued operations				
Basic	—	(0.01 )	—	—
Diluted	—	(0.01 )	—	—
<b>2015</b>				
Net sales from continuing operations	\$51,648	\$50,163	\$38,083	\$35,566
Gross profit from continuing operations	8,942	8,416	4,537	3,424
Net income (loss) from continuing operations <sup>(1)</sup>	3,638	2,455	1,355	(17,717 )
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	—	—	(1,251 )
Net income (loss)	3,638	2,455	1,355	(18,968 )
Per common share from continuing operations				
Basic	0.42	0.28	0.16	(2.04 )
Diluted	0.42	0.28	0.16	(2.04 )
Per common share from discontinued operations				
Basic	—	—	—	(0.14 )
Diluted	—	—	—	(0.14 )

(1) The Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of approximately \$17,158,000 during the fourth quarter of 2015; see Note 4.

## Note 17 Interest Rate Swaps

As discussed in Note 5, as a result of the CRI acquisition and in conjunction with the term loan obtained in August 2013, to mitigate the variability of the interest rate risk, the Company entered into the CRI swap on September 3, 2013 with its current bank. The CRI swap had an initial notional amount of \$4,033,000 with a fixed interest rate of 4.83 percent and a term of ten years that expires on August 19, 2023. Also, as a result of the Palmer acquisition and in conjunction with the term loan obtained in August 2012 to mitigate the variability of the interest rate risk, the Company entered into the Palmer swap on August 21, 2012 with its current bank. The Palmer swap had an initial notional amount of \$22,500,000 with a fixed interest rate of 3.74 percent, and a term of ten years that expires on August 21, 2022. The notional amounts of both interest rate swaps decrease as monthly principal payments are made.

Although the swaps are expected to effectively offset variable interest in the borrowing, hedge accounting was not utilized. Therefore, their fair values are recorded in current assets or liabilities, as appropriate, with corresponding changes to their fair values recorded to other income (expense). The Company recorded a liability of \$206,000 for the fair value of the CRI swap as of December 31, 2015. During the third quarter of 2016, the CRI contract was settled and as a result had no value at December 31, 2016. The Company recorded an asset of \$31,000 and a liability of \$40,000 for the fair value of the Palmer swap at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

#### Note 18 Acquisitions

##### Acquisition of Specialty Pipe & Tube, Inc.

On November 21, 2014, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with The Davidson Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Davidson") to purchase all of the issued and outstanding stock of Specialty. Established in 1964 with distribution centers in Mineral Ridge, Ohio and Houston, Texas, Specialty is a master distributor of seamless carbon pipe and tube, with a focus on heavy wall, large diameter products. The Company viewed the Specialty acquisition as an excellent complement to the product offerings of the Metals Segment with similar end markets and consistent profit margins. Specialty's results of operations since the acquisition date are reflected in the Company's consolidated statements of operations, and the Specialty acquisition added approximately 30 employees at January 3, 2015.

The purchase price for the all-cash acquisition was approximately \$31,500,000. Davidson had the potential to receive earn-out payments up to a total of \$5,000,000 if Specialty achieved targeted sales revenue over a two-year period following closing. At the end of each year (based on the acquisition date) for the following two year periods, if Specialty's revenues for a year were greater than \$27,000,000, the seller of Specialty would be paid the product of the amount of revenue during the year in excess of \$27,000,000, as a percentage of \$2,000,000, multiplied by \$2,500,000, not to exceed \$2,500,000. No earn-out payment would be paid for any year where revenue was less than or equal to \$27,000,000. If the cumulative revenue for the earn-out periods was greater than \$58,000,000, the Company would make an additional earn-out payment so that the total cumulative earn-out payments equaled the product of the amount of cumulative revenue for all earn-out periods in excess of \$54,000,000, as a percentage of \$4,000,000, multiplied by \$5,000,000, not to exceed a total cumulative earn-out payment of \$5,000,000.

At acquisition, the Company preliminarily forecasted earn-out payments totaling \$5,000,000, which was discounted to a present value of \$4,774,000 using its incremental borrowing rate of three percent. As discussed in Note 2, during the three months ended July 4, 2015, the Company finalized its sales projections for Specialty and determined the revenue targets for the first year would not be met and the opening balances for the earn-out liability and goodwill were adjusted by \$2,419,000. The impact of the declines experienced in West Texas Intermediate Prices ("WTI") oil prices, which decreased 31 percent during 2015, had a substantial effect on Specialty. Revenues declined by more than 35 percent during 2015 compared to 2014 revenue levels. The Company did not expect significant improvement in WTI prices during 2016 and adjusted its 2016 projections accordingly. As a result, during the three months ended October 3, 2015, the Company determined the fair value of the Specialty earn-out liability was zero and reduced the remaining earn-out liability by recognizing a gain of approximately \$2,414,000. The Company reviewed Specialty's revenue projections at December 31, 2015 and again concluded that the fair value was zero. The estimated fair value of the Specialty earn-out liability remained at zero during 2016 and the earn-out period expired November 22, 2016 with no payment made during the year ended December 31, 2016. The financial results for Specialty are reported as a part of the Company's Metals Segment.

The purchase price for the acquisition was funded through a combination of cash on hand, a new term loan with the Company's bank and an increase to the Company's current credit facility which is discussed in Note 5.

A summary of sources and uses of proceeds for the acquisition of Specialty was as follows:

##### Sources of funds:

Cash on hand	\$21,490,433
Proceeds of term loan	10,000,000
Total sources of funds	\$31,490,433

Uses of funds:

Acquisition of Specialty's common stock	\$27,496,000
Cash paid to escrow agent for potential future claims, to be settled within 18 months	3,248,500
Cash paid for a portion of the seller's investment banker fee	745,933
Total uses of funds	\$31,490,433

54

---

The total purchase price was allocated to Specialty's net tangible and identifiable assets based on their fair values as of November 21, 2014. An intangible asset representing the fair value of Specialty's customer base acquired by the Company was valued at \$11,457,000, which is being amortized by the straight-line method over an eight-year period. The excess of the consideration transferred over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable assets and intangible assets is reflected as goodwill. All of the goodwill was allocated to the Metals Segment. Since the Company treated the acquisition of Specialty as an asset purchase, goodwill will be deductible for tax purposes. The initial allocation of the total consideration paid to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed is as follows:

	As recorded by Specialty	Purchase accounting and fair value adjustments	As recorded by Synalloy
Cash	\$12,960	\$—	\$12,960
Accounts receivable, net	2,827,251	—	2,827,251
Inventories, net	17,041,660	(1,516,888 )	15,524,772
Fixed assets	3,018,416	(67,924 )	2,950,492
Goodwill	—	5,993,705	5,993,705
Intangible asset - customer base	—	11,457,000	11,457,000
Earn-out liability	—	(4,773,620 )	(4,773,620 )
Other liabilities assumed	(2,502,127 )	—	(2,502,127 )
	\$20,398,160	\$11,092,273	\$31,490,433

The purchase accounting and fair value adjustments for fixed assets reduced the book value of the property and buildings to their estimated fair value as of the acquisition date. The earn-out liability is the present value of the projected earn-out payments to Davidson.

During the second quarter of 2015, the Company finalized the purchase price allocation for the Specialty acquisition. Additional information was obtained surrounding the proper lifespan of Specialty's steel pipe. As a result, the Company changed its fair value estimate for valuing inventory and the fair value of inventory increased and goodwill decreased by approximately \$2,318,000. This adjustment and the adjustment to the earn-out liability describe above caused goodwill related to the Specialty acquisition to decrease to \$1,260,000. During the fourth quarter, as discussed in Note 4, goodwill related to the Specialty acquisition was reduced to zero.

The amount of Specialty's revenues and pre-tax earnings included in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended January 3, 2015 was \$2,524,000 for revenues and \$493,000 for pre-tax earnings. The following unaudited pro-forma information is provided to present a summary of the combined results of the Company's operations with Specialty as if the acquisition had occurred on December 30, 2012. The unaudited pro-forma financial information is for information purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what the results would have been had the acquisition been completed on the date indicated above.

	2014
Pro-forma revenues from continuing operations	\$228,647,000
Pro-forma net income from continuing operations	8,928,000
Earnings per share from continuing operations:	
Basic	\$1.85
Diluted	\$1.85

The pro-forma calculation excludes non-recurring acquisition costs of \$302,000 which were incurred by the Company during 2014. These expenditures included \$92,000 for professional audit fees associated with the audit of Specialty's historical financial statements, acquisition testing and intangible assets identification and valuation, \$83,000 of legal fees, \$65,000 of success based fees to a mergers and acquisition consultant and \$62,000 of travel costs. Specialty's historical financial results were adjusted for both years to eliminate intangible asset amortization and management fees charged by the prior owner. Pro-forma net income was reduced for both years for the amount of amortization on Specialty's current customer list intangible and an estimated amount of interest expense associated with the five-year term loan and earn out liability.



#### Note 19 Dispositions and Closures

On August 29, 2014, the Company completed the sale of all of the issued and outstanding membership interests of its wholly owned subsidiary Ram-Fab to a subsidiary of Primoris Services Corporation ("Primoris"). The transaction was valued at less than \$10 million, which consideration included cash at closing, Synalloy's ability to receive potential future earn-out payment(s) and the retention of specified Ram-Fab current assets. The future earn-out calculation was based upon the Company and Primoris sharing the profits for the sales order that was in process at the time of sale. The respective sales order was completed and shipped during 2015. Primoris realized minimal profit on this order, which resulted in the Company not receiving an earn-out payment. The Company realized a one-time charge in the third quarter of 2014 of \$1,996,000 for costs associated with the closure plus a \$947,000 charge to write-off the Company's investment in Ram-Fab. These charges, along with all non-recurring expenses associated with Ram-Fab are included in the respective consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations. Ram-Fab was reported as a part of the Metals Segment.

On June 27, 2014, the Company completed the planned closure of Bristol Fab. Bristol Fab's collective bargaining agreement with the Union expired on February 15, 2014. Also, upon closure of the operation, the Company was legally obligated to pay a withdrawal liability to the Union's pension fund of approximately \$1,900,000. This obligation was payable over 26 months ending October 1, 2016 with an interest rate of 4.51 percent. The balance as of December 31, 2015 of \$644,000 is included in accrued expenses on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The Company realized charges in the fourth quarter of 2015 and in the second quarter of 2014 of \$1,902,000 and \$6,988,000, respectively, for costs associated with the closure of Bristol Fab. These costs, along with all non-recurring expenses associated with Bristol Fab, are included in the respective consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations. Bristol Fab was reported as a part of the Metals Segment.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company has successfully completed the items and processes identified when the one-time closing charges were developed. A charge of \$99,000 and \$1,251,000, net of tax respectively, was recorded as discontinued operations during 2016 and 2015 for the legal claim filed against Synalloy Fabrication as discussed in Note 13. The matter was settled during 2016 and the settlement was paid in full by September 2016. As such, the facility closing reserve was zero as of December 31, 2016.

The Company's results from discontinued operations are summarized below:

	2016	2015	2014
Net sales	\$—	\$—	\$21,963,078
Loss before income taxes	\$(150,334)	\$(1,902,058)	\$(10,963,524)
Benefit from income taxes	(51,000 )	(651,000 )	(3,807,000 )
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$(99,334 )	\$(1,251,058)	\$(7,156,524 )

#### Note 20 Payment of Dividends

At the end of each fiscal year the Board reviews the financial performance and capital needed to support future growth to determine the amount of cash dividend, if any, which is appropriate. As a result of this review, no dividends were declared or paid in 2016. In 2015, the Company paid a \$0.30 cash dividend on December 8, 2015 for a total of \$2,618,000 and in 2014, the Company paid a \$0.30 cash dividend on December 9, 2014 for a total payment of \$2,633,000.

#### Note 21 Business Interruption Proceeds and Gain on Casualty Loss

On April 30, 2015, the Company's fiberglass tank fabrication facility at the Palmer complex in Andrews, Texas suffered fire damage including minor structural damage as well as damage to the electrical system and overhead cranes. The Company completed repairs to the facility and the losses were fully insured including business interruption coverage. Total business interruption insurance recoveries recognized during the year ended December 31, 2015 were approximately \$1,246,000 and is shown separately in operating income on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company completed the insurance claim settlement for the fire and recorded a casualty insurance gain of \$923,000, representing the excess of insurance proceeds over the net book value of assets damaged in the loss, and is shown separately in other income on the

accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Note 22 Subsequent Events

On February 8, 2017, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved stock grants under the Company's 2015 Stock Awards Plan to certain management employees of the Company where 44,686 shares with a market price of \$12.30 per share were granted under the Plan. The stock awards vest in 20 percent increments annually on a cumulative basis, beginning one year after the date of grant shares held in treasury with the Company. In order for the awards to vest, the employee must be in the continuous employment of the Company since the date of the award. Any portion of an award that has not vested is forfeited upon termination of employment. The Company may terminate any portion of the award that has not vested upon an employee's failure to comply with all conditions of the award or the 2015 Stock Awards Plan. An employee is not entitled to any voting rights with respect to any shares not yet vested, and the shares are not transferable.

On December 9, 2016, the Company's subsidiary BRISMET, entered into a definitive agreement to acquire the stainless steel pipe and tube assets of Marcegaglia USA, Inc. ("MUSA") located in Munhall, PA to enhance its on-going business with additional capacity and technological advantages. The transaction closed on February 28, 2017 and was funded through an increase to the Company's current credit facility. The agreement is structured as an asset purchase and excludes galvanized product and ornamental tubing products. The purchase price for the transaction, which excludes real estate and certain other assets, totaled \$14,954,000; the assets purchased from MUSA include inventory, equipment and a non-compete agreement. In accordance with the agreement, on December 9, 2016, BRISMET entered into an escrow agreement and deposited \$3,000,000 into the escrow fund. This deposit is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The deposit was remitted to MUSA at the close of the transaction and was reflected as a credit against the purchase price. Since the transaction closed on February 28, 2017, the purchase accounting is not complete at the time of this filing. As part of the MUSA transaction, BRISMET assumed all of MUSA's rights and obligations pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement between MUSA and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union AFL-CIO, on behalf of Local Union 5852-22 (the "Union") dated October 1, 2013 (the "CBA"). At the closing of the transaction, BRISMET and the Union amended the CBA to include a modest wage increase and to extend the CBA's termination date to September 30, 2018.

#### Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies may deteriorate. Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016 using the criteria established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in the Internal Control-Integrated Framework (COSO 2013). Based on that evaluation, management believes the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2016. The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, has been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, which also audited the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2016. KPMG LLP's report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting is set forth below.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's fourth quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Company believes that its disclosure controls and procedures were operating effectively as of December 31, 2016.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Synalloy Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Synalloy Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement schedule II, Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Synalloy Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Synalloy Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 14, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP  
Richmond Virginia  
March 14, 2017

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Synalloy Corporation:

We have audited Synalloy Corporation's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Synalloy Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and our report dated March 14, 2017, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Richmond, Virginia

March 14, 2017

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders  
Synalloy Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows of Synalloy Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") for the year ended January 3, 2015. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15 for the year ended January 3, 2015. These consolidated financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of the Company for the year ended January 3, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)2 for the year ended January 3, 2015, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina  
March 14, 2017

Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure  
None.

Item 9A Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), as amended. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of December 31, 2016. There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls subsequent to the date the Company completed the evaluation.

Item 9B Other Information

Not applicable

PART III

Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 10, is incorporated herein by reference from the information appearing under the caption "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors," "Executive Officers," and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Code of Ethics. The Company's Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and corporate and divisional controllers. The Code of Ethics is available on the Company's website at [www.synalloy.com](http://www.synalloy.com). Any amendment to, or waiver from, this Code of Ethics will be posted on the Company's website.

Audit Committee. The Company has a separately designated standing Audit Committee of the Board of Directors established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The members of the Audit Committee are Anthony A. Callander, Henry L. Guy, James W. Terry and Vincent W. White.

Audit Committee Financial Expert. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that the Company has at least one "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, serving on its Audit Committee. Mr. Anthony A. Callander meets the terms of the definition and is independent, as independence is defined for audit committee members in the rules of the NASDAQ Global Market. Pursuant to the terms of Item 407(d) of Regulation S-K, a person who is determined to be an "audit committee financial expert" will not be deemed an expert for any purpose as a result of being designated or identified as an "audit committee financial expert" pursuant to Item 407(d), and such designation or identification does not impose on such person any duties, obligations or liability that are greater than the duties, obligations or liability imposed on such person as a member of the Audit Committee and Board of Directors in the absence of such designation or identification. Further, the designation or identification of a person as an "audit committee financial expert" pursuant to Item 407(d) does not affect the duties, obligations or liability of any other member of the Audit Committee or Board of Directors.

Item 11 Executive Compensation

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 11, is incorporated herein by reference from the information appearing under the caption "Board of Directors and Committees - Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," "Director Compensation," "Discussion of Executive Compensation"

and "Compensation Committee Report" in the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.

**Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 12, is incorporated by reference from the information appearing under the caption "Beneficial Owners of More Than Five Percent of the Company's Common Stock" and "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Equity Compensation Plan Information. The following table sets forth aggregated information as of December 31, 2016 about all of the Company's equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) <sup>(1)</sup> (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	173,048	\$ 12.77	235,611
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	173,048	\$ 12.77	235,611

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents shares remaining available for issuance under the 2015 Stock Awards Plan and the 2011 Plan.

Non-employee directors are paid an annual retainer of \$95,000, and each director has the opportunity to elect to receive 100 percent of the retainer in restricted stock. For 2016, non-employee directors received an aggregate of \$330,000 of the annual retainer in restricted stock. The number of restricted shares is determined by the average of the high and low sale price of the Company's stock on the day prior to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. For 2016, seven non-employee directors each received an aggregate of 40,991 shares. Issuance of the shares granted to the directors is not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and the shares are subject to forfeiture in whole or in part upon the occurrence of certain events. The above table does not reflect these shares issued to non-employee directors.

**Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions**

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 13, is incorporated by reference from the information appearing under the caption "Board of Directors and Committees – Related Party Transactions" and "– Director Independence" in the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.

**Item 14 Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 14, is incorporated by reference from the information appearing under the caption "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and "– Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.



PART IV

Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1. Financial Statements: The following consolidated financial statements of Synalloy Corporation are included in Part II, Item 8:

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015 and January 3, 2015

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015, and January 3, 2015

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015 and January 3, 2015

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statements Schedules: The following consolidated financial statements schedule of Synalloy Corporation is included in Item 15:

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2016, December 31, 2015 and January 3, 2015

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

3. Listing of Exhibits:

See "Exhibit Index"

## Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Column A Description	Column B Balance at Beginning of Period	Column C Charged to (Reduction of) Cost and Expenses	Column D Deductions	Column E Balance at End of Period
Year ended December 31, 2016				
Deducted from asset account:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$247,000	\$(45,000 )	\$(120,000 )	\$82,000
Inventory reserves	\$682,000	\$984,000	\$(700,000 )	\$966,000
Year ended December 31, 2015				
Deducted from asset account:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$1,115,000	\$104,000	\$(972,000 )	(a) \$247,000
Inventory reserves	\$725,000	\$767,000	\$(810,000 )	\$682,000
Year ended January 3, 2015				
Deducted from asset account:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$1,079,000	\$667,000	(b) \$(631,000 )	\$1,115,000
Inventory reserves	\$2,206,000	\$3,975,000	(c) \$(5,456,000)	(c) \$725,000

(a) Allowance for doubtful accounts deductions for 2015 includes an \$801,000 payment to the former owners of Palmer. Per the Stock Purchase Agreement between the former owners of Palmer and the Company (the "SPA"), the former owners of Palmer reimbursed Synalloy for all uncollected accounts receivable after 120 days of Synalloy's ownership. Synalloy increased the allowance for doubtful accounts to reflect the \$801,000 payment to offset the outstanding accounts receivable at that time. Over the next two years, Synalloy collected approximately \$299,000 on these old accounts and the accounts receivable balance was reduced accordingly. The SPA did not require the reimbursement of these subsequent collections to the former owners of Palmer; however, Synalloy management, on our own recognizance during the second quarter of 2015, reimbursed the \$299,000 collected on these old accounts and eliminated the outstanding receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts balances. This transaction had no effect on earnings during any period.

(b) Allowance for doubtful accounts charged to cost and expenses for 2014 includes approximately \$76,000 for the beginning balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts for Specialty as a result of the acquisition on November 21, 2014.

(c) Inventory reserves for 2014 reflect \$3,109,000 of charged to costs and \$4,813,000 of deductions associated with the closing of Bristol Fab and the sale of Ram-Fab during 2014.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SYNALLOY CORPORATION

By /s/ Craig C. Bram

Craig C. Bram March 14, 2017

President and Chief Executive Officer Date

(principal executive officer)

By /s/ Dennis M. Loughran

Dennis M. Loughran March 14, 2017

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Date

(principal financial officer)

By /s/ Richard D. Sieradzki

Richard D. Sieradzki March 14, 2017

Chief Accounting Officer Date

(principal accounting officer)

Registrant

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

By /s/ Murray H. Wright

March 14, 2017

Murray H. Wright Date

Chairman of the Board

By /s/ Anthony A. Callander

March 14, 2017

Anthony A. Callander Date

Director

By /s/ Amy J. Michtich

March 14, 2017

Amy J. Michtich Date

Director

By /s/ James W. Terry, Jr.

March 14, 2017

James W. Terry, Jr. Date

Director

By /s/ Henry L. Guy

March 14, 2017

Henry L. Guy Date

Director

By /s/ Susan S. Gayner

March 14, 2017

Susan S. Gayner Date

Director

By /s/ Vincent W. White

March 14, 2017

Vincent W. White Date

Director

By /s/ Craig C. Bram

Craig C. Bram

Chief Executive Officer and Director

March 14, 2017

Date

Index to Exhibits

Exhibit

No.	from	Item	Description
	601		of Regulation S-K
1.1			Underwriting Agreement dated September 24, 2013, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed September 24, 2013
3.1			Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, as amended, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed August 13, 2007
3.2			Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, as amended, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed May 8, 2015
3.3			Bylaws of Registrant, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2001, as amended, which amendments are incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed August 13, 2007
4.1			Form of Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2001
10.1			Synalloy Corporation 2005 Stock Awards Plan, incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting of Shareholders
10.2			Synalloy Corporation 2015 Stock Awards Plan, incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders
10.3			Amendment 1 to the Synalloy Corporation 2005 Stock Awards Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2007
10.4			Amendment 2 to the Synalloy Corporation 2005 Stock Awards Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2015
10.5			2011 Long-Term Incentive Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders
10.6			2013 Short-Term Cash Incentive and Options Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2013
10.7			2014 Short-Term Cash Incentive and Options Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2015
10.8			2015 Short-Term Cash Incentive and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015
10.9			2016 Short-Term Cash Incentive and Restricted Stock Incentive Plan
10.10			Agreement between Registrant's Bristol Metals, LLC subsidiary and the United Steelworkers of America Local 4586, dated February 1, 2015, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015
10.11			Agreement between Registrant's Specialty Pipe & Tube, Inc. subsidiary and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union Local 4564-07, dated July 1, 2014, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2015
10.12			Agreement between Registrant's Bristol Metals, LLC subsidiary and the Teamsters Local Union No. 549, dated March 5, 2010, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011
10.13			Agreement between Registrant's Bristol Metals, LLC subsidiary and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union Local 5852-22, dated October 1, 2013, as amended March 1, 2017

- 10.14 Loan Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2010, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011
- 10.15 First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated August 21, 2012, between Registrant and BB&T, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2012
- 10.16 First Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated October 22, 2012, between Registrant and BB&T, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2012
- 10.17 Second Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated August 9, 2013, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2013.
- 10.18 Third Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated January 2, 2014, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 28, 2013

- 10.19 Fourth Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of November 21, 2014, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2014
- 10.20 Fifth Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of March 7, 2016, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015
- 10.21 Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2016, between Registrant and Branch Banking & Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2016
- 10.22 Employment Agreement dated January 24, 2011, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011
- 10.23 Amended Employment Agreement dated January 24, 2012, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011
- 10.24 Amended Employment Agreement dated January 24, 2013, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2012
- 10.25 Amended Employment Agreement dated June 1, 2013, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed June 28, 2013
- 10.26 Amended Employment Agreement dated May 1, 2014, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2015
- 10.27 Employment Agreement dated January 11, 2016, between Registrant and Dennis M. Loughran, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed January 11, 2016.
- 10.28 Employment Agreement dated January 11, 2016, between Registrant and J. Kyle Pennington, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed January 11, 2016.
- 10.29 Employment Agreement dated January 11, 2016, between Registrant and James G. Gibson, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed January 11, 2016.
- 10.30 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2012, among Jimmie Dean Lee, James Varner, Steven C. O'Brate and Synalloy Corporation, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2012
- 10.31 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 21, 2014, between The Davidson Corporation and Synalloy Corporation, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2014
- 10.32 Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2016, by and between Store Capital Acquisition, LLC and Bristol Metals, LLC, Specialty Pipe & Tube, Inc., Palmer of Texas Tanks, Inc., Manufacturers Soap & Chemical Company, Manufacturers Chemicals, LLC, and Synalloy Corporation, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2016
- 10.33 Master Lease Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2016 between Registrant and Store Master Funding XII, LLC
- 10.34 Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 9, 2016, by and between Marcegaglia USA, Inc. and Bristol Metals, LLC, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2017, by and between Marcegaglia USA, Inc. and Bristol Metals, LLC
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm
- 23.2 Consent of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP, independent registered public accounting firm
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer
- 31.3 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Principal Accounting Officer
- 32 Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
- 101.INS\* XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

Edgar Filing: SYNALLOY CORP - Form 10-K

101.LAB\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

101.DEF\* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

\* In accordance with Regulation S-T, the XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K shall be deemed "furnished" and not "filed."

68